Statistical Analysis of the Chern Number in the interacting Haldane-Hubbard Model
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In the context of many-body interacting systems described by a topological Hamiltonian, we investigate the robustness of the Chern number with respect to different sources of error in the self-energy. In particular, we analyze the importance of non-local (momentum dependent) vs. local contributions to the self-energy and show that the local self-energy provides a qualitative description of the topological phase diagrams of many-body interacting systems, whereas the explicit momentum-dependence constitutes a correction to the exact location of the phase transition. For the latter, we propose a statistical analysis, on the basis of which we develop a stochastic upper bound for the uncertainty of the Chern number as a function of the amount of momentum-dependence of the self-energy. We apply this analysis to the Haldane-Hubbard model and discuss the implications of our results for a general class of many-body interacting systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of the integer quantum Hall effect [1, 2] topology has been considered a key ingredient in characterizing phases of matter, in particular through the formulation of topological order parameters. The topology of a system can be characterized by both bulk and surface properties of a sample. The latter is reflected in the topological surface states [3, 4] at the interfaces between topologically inequivalent crystals by virtue of the bulk-boundary correspondence [5]. On the other hand, the bulk properties are typically characterized in terms of topological invariants [6] which define an equivalence relation among the set of non-interacting Hamiltonians.

In integer quantum Hall systems the topological invariant is given by the Chern number [6]

\[ C = \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_n \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \partial_{k_x} \langle k | n \partial_{k_x} | k, n \rangle - \partial_{k_y} \langle k | n \partial_{k_y} | k, n \rangle \right), \] (1)

defined as the integral over the Berry curvature. Since the Berry phase is the phase acquired by an electron on a path around the Brillouin zone, it is clear that the Chern number primarily describes the momentum-dependence of the Hamiltonian. The definition given in Eq. (1) applies, in principle, only to single-electron systems, where the Bloch theorem guarantees the existence of eigenstates \(|k, n\rangle\), with quasi-momentum \(k\) and band index \(n\).

In recent years, a lot of effort has been devoted to understand the topological properties of non-interacting systems [7–11] and most recent advances include, for instance, the prediction of higher order topological insulators protected by spatial symmetries [12, 13]. The progress for interacting systems has been more difficult due to the challenges posed by the many-body nature of the interactions. Nonetheless, a few important results have been obtained in the past.

It has been shown that the Hall conductivity of an interacting system [14] can be computed through Eq. (1) by using a many-body formalism based on Green’s functions [15, 16]. In this approach one replaces the Hamiltonian with a convenient effective topological Hamiltonian, which is defined by

\[ H_t(k) = H_0(k) + \Sigma(k, \omega = 0), \] (2)

where \(H_0(k)\) is the non-interacting single-particle Hamiltonian and \(\Sigma(k, \omega)\) is the self-energy of the original interacting Hamiltonian. Eq. (2) can be reinterpreted as an effective model, where one adds an additional potential—in this case the self-energy—such that it describes the correct Chern invariant for the interacting system. This approach is valid if a smooth connection to the zero-frequency limit can be established as is the case away from the Mott insulating phase.

In the past, many studies of topological models have neglected the momentum dependence of the self-energy by using the popular dynamical mean-field theory [17, 19] and only very few results are available using approximate non-local methods, e.g. [20, 21]. This seems paradoxical, as the dispersion of the self-energy is expected to be a key ingredient in the computation of the Chern number [Eq. (1)].

Motivated by this paradox and the fact that there is...
A. Haldane-Hubbard Model

We study the Haldane-Hubbard model at half-filling on the honeycomb lattice, cf. Fig. 1, which combines Haldane’s model for the integer quantum Hall effect [22] with a local Hubbard interaction of the form

\[ H = \sum_k (c_{A,k}^\dagger c_{A,k} + c_{B,k}^\dagger c_{B,k}) + U \sum_i n_{i↑} n_{i↓}, \]  

(3)

with

\[ h_k = 2t_2 \cos \phi [\cos(k_1) + \cos(k_2) + \cos(k_2 - k_1)] \sigma_0 \]
\[ + t_1 [1 + \cos(k_2) + \cos(k_2 - k_1)] \sigma_1 \]
\[ - t_1 [\sin(k_2) + \sin(k_2 - k_1)] \sigma_2 \]
\[ + [\Delta - 2t_2 \sin \phi [\sin(k_1) + \sin(k_2)] + \sin(k_2 - k_1)] \sigma_3, \]  

(4)

where A/B stand for sublattice indices (see Fig. 1), t1, t2 are the nearest and next-nearest neighbor hopping amplitudes, respectively, \( \phi \) is the phase associated with the next-nearest neighbor hopping, \( \Delta \) a trivial mass term and \( \sigma_i \) are the Pauli matrices in sublattice space. Throughout this article, we keep \( t \equiv t_1, t_2/t_1 = 0.2 \) and \( \phi = \pi/2 \) fixed. For this set of parameters, the Haldane model (\( U = 0 \)) has a topological phase transition from a topological insulator to a trivial band insulator at \( \Delta \approx 1.04t \).

The phase diagram of the Haldane-Hubbard model at half filling has been studied extensively in recent years [17, 21, 23] by a variety of methods including static mean-field theory (MF), dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT), exact diagonalization (ED), dynamical cluster approximation and quantum Monte Carlo approaches. In Fig. 2 we recapitulate the current understanding of the phase diagram from the contemporary literature and include our results obtained with the Two-Particle Self-Consistent (TPSC) technique [23, 24] for low to intermediate values of the on-site interaction \( U \) where the method is most reliable (Fig. 2(b), blue line). The phases observed are a topological insulator (TI) with \( C = 2 \) (both spins have Chern number 1) at low \( \Delta \) and \( U \), a trivial band insulator (BI) with \( C = 0 \) at large \( \Delta \), a Mott insulator (MI) at large \( U \) and an SU(2) symmetry-broken topological insulator (SBTI) with \( C = 1 \) at intermediate values. The TPSC calculations are in good agreement with DMFT [17] and Bold Diagrammatic Monte Carlo (BDMC) [21] in the regions of \( U \) studied.

In DMFT (Fig. 2(b), red line) the location of the TI→SBTI phase transition strongly depends on the value of \( \Delta \), and approaches the TI→BI transition line asymptotically, while recent BDMC calculations suggest the existence of a critical point where the two lines intersect (Fig. 2(a), dotted blue line). In order to rule out the possibility of this discrepancy being a consequence of different simulation protocols, we have performed DMFT calculations using the protocol laid out in Ref. [23] and confirmed the previously published DMFT data [17]. The shift of the TI→SBTI transition to lower values of \( U \) in BDMC with respect to DMFT means that the interacting system obtains magnetic order sooner than DMFT predicts, which seems to be an indicator of strong non-local contributions to the self-energy.
II. CHERN NUMBER ANALYSIS: LOCAL CONTRIBUTIONS

In order to settle the origin of agreement and discrepancies among the various approaches and to establish which contributions in the self-energy influence the nature of the topological phases, we introduce in what follows a detailed analysis of the calculation of the Chern number through the self-energy as defined in Eq. [2].

As shown in Ref. [26], one can decompose the self-energy into a local part \( \Sigma_{\text{loc}} \) and a non-local part \( \Sigma_{\text{non-loc}} \) as

\[
\Sigma(k, \omega) = \Sigma_{\text{loc}}(\omega) + \Sigma_{\text{non-loc}}(k, \omega),
\]

where \( \Sigma_{\text{non-loc}}(k, \omega) \) has a vanishing momentum average, i.e. corrections to the local self-energy are already absorbed in \( \Sigma_{\text{loc}} \). In order to quantify the explicit momentum-dependence in \( \Sigma_{\text{non-loc}}(k, \omega) \) we define the self-energy dispersion amplitude \[26\]

\[
d_a(\omega) = \max_{k, \omega} \parallel \Sigma(k, \omega) - \Sigma(k', \omega) \parallel.
\]

Since only the zero-frequency self-energy enters the topological Hamiltonian [Eq. [2]] we only have to consider the physics associated with \( d_a(\omega = 0) \). Therefore, hereafter, we use the shorthand notation \( d_a \equiv d_a(0) \).

A. SU(2)-symmetric self-energy

We first focus on the local self-energy. More specifically we will analyze the effects of the diagonal and off-diagonal components of the local self-energy on the Chern number.

In the Hartree approximation (mean field (MF)) the local self-energy is given by

\[
\Sigma_{\text{MF}} = \frac{U}{2} n.
\]

For Hamiltonians in a bipartite lattice with a mass term \( \Delta \) the density alternates between \( A/B \) sublattices (see Fig. [1]), such that upon addition of a constant term the self-energy can be written as

\[
\Sigma_{\text{MF}} = -\frac{U}{2} \delta n \sigma_3 + \text{const.},
\]

where \( \delta n = (n_B - n_A)/2 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) is the third Pauli matrix. The constant is absorbed in the chemical potential. Therefore, within the Hartree approximation, \( \Sigma \) reduces the strength of the mass term with respect to the non-interacting contribution (Eq. [2]) and the topological transition shifts to larger \( \Delta \) with increasing \( U \).

We can easily see that the above Hartree argument is exact for the local contribution of the self-energy. The self-energy generally obeys the symmetry

\[
\Sigma_A(k, \omega) = -\Sigma_B(k, \omega),
\]

which follows from the symmetry of the Hamiltonian, Eq. [3], up to a constant term, which we can neglect as it is absorbed in the chemical potential. Since the mass term breaks the sublattice symmetry, for \( \Delta \neq 0 \) we have \( \Sigma_A \neq \Sigma_B \) and therefore \( \Sigma_{A/B} = \frac{1}{2} [\Sigma_A + \Sigma_B \pm (\Sigma_A - \Sigma_B)] \). The local self-energy can then be written in terms of

\[
\delta \Sigma \equiv \frac{\Sigma_B(\omega = 0) - \Sigma_A(\omega = 0)}{2} \geq 0 \quad (10)
\]
as

\[
\Sigma_{\text{loc}} = a \sigma_1 + b \sigma_2 - \delta \Sigma \sigma_3 = \left( \frac{-\delta \Sigma}{a + ib} \frac{a - ib}{\delta \Sigma} \right) \quad (11)
\]

where \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \). With this we can express the complete self-energy (Eq. [5] at \( \omega = 0 \)) as

\[
\Sigma(k, \omega = 0) = (a \sigma_1 + b \sigma_2) - \delta \Sigma \sigma_3 + \Sigma_{\text{non-loc}}(k, \omega = 0). \quad (12)
\]

We have now made explicit the three terms leading to a shift of the phase transition in the topological Hamiltonian. We can readily see that the effect of the diagonal part (Hartree + corrections) of the local self-energy is proportional to \( \sigma_3 \) and therefore constitutes a mere shift of the mass term

\[
\Delta \rightarrow \Delta - \delta \Sigma, \quad (13)
\]

which already describes the results obtained in many studies with both local and non-local methods [17, 19, 21], as only the value of \( \delta \Sigma \) varies slightly, without changing the qualitative behavior. The negative shift of Eq. (13) in \( \Delta \) corresponds to a positive shift of the topological phase transition along the \( \Delta \) axis (see Fig. [2]). Note that since in the local self-energy away from half filling (per site) non-local corrections are present, the exact value of \( \delta \Sigma \) is not reproduced by local diagrams only, e.g. in DMFT.

We concentrate now on the off-diagonal contribution to \( \Sigma_{\text{loc}} \) (Eq. [11]). For the terms proportional to \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \), we cannot simply write down a mapping like Eq. (13), since such a (constant) term does not appear as an individual parameter in the original Hamiltonian. The only similar term in Eq. (1) is \( t_1 \sigma_1 \), which originates from the coupling of \( A \) and \( B \) sites within the unit cell. By using the general approach given by Eq. (12), we can tune the model between coupled one-dimensional chains \( (a = -t_1, b = 0) \) and coupled dimers \( (|a| \gg t_1) \). Interestingly, tuning the hopping beyond the chain model, \( a < -t_1 \), a novel non-trivial phase with \( C = -1 \) appears at \( \Delta < \Delta_c \), similarly to an effect observed in the dimerized Hofstadter model [27]. We note, however, that if we restrict ourselves to the calculation of the self-energy for the Haldane-Hubbard model through, for instance, the TPSC approach, the sign of the local self-energy off-diagonal term is always positive.

We proceed by numerically studying the effects of such an off-diagonal term by computing the Chern number, Eq. [1], with the algorithm given in [28] as a function of

\[
z = |\Sigma_{\text{loc}}^{AB}| = |a + ib| \quad (14)
\]
FIG. 3. Average Chern number $\langle C \rangle$ as a function of $\Delta$ and $z$. Eq. (13). (a) Only local terms are considered, (b) sampling procedure includes non-local contributions. We added lines marking the non-interacting phase transition (horizontal) and the shifted transition as a function of $z$. The non-trivial phase is stable in the black region and the phase transition with a local self-energy lies in the shaded region, i.e., the phase transition is shifted towards a lower $\Delta$ w.r.t. the non-interacting case. This shift becomes significant at large $z$. for a single spin. Since $H_z$ is diagonal in the spin basis, keeping both spins would unnecessarily double the dimensionality of the problem. Note that this implies a Chern number $C = 1$ below the critical mass $\Delta_c$ instead of $C = 2$ as in the spinful model. In Fig. 3(a) we plot the average Chern number $\langle C \rangle$, where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ is the average over a number of samples with random complex parts of the local self-energy having opposite effects on the topological phase (i.e. down-/up-shift of the transition along the $\Delta$-axis), albeit the diagonal contribution shrinks. This is a consequence of off-diagonal and diagonal terms in the non-interacting phase boundary marked by $\Delta_c$, where $\langle C \rangle = 1$ generally holds. Depending on the value of the complex phase, the phase transition lies in the shaded region, which is located below the non-interacting phase boundary marked by $\Delta_c$. Hence, the non-trivial phase region ($C = 1$) generally shrinks. This is a consequence of off-diagonal and diagonal parts of the local self-energy having opposite effects on the topological phase (i.e. down-/up-shift of the transition along the $\Delta$-axis), albeit the diagonal contribution will typically be much larger for significantly large $\Delta$. Fig. 3(b) shows, for comparison, the average Chern number obtained by including in the calculation the non-local contributions to the self-energy and will be discussed in section III.

B. Magnetic self-energy

Before proceeding with the momentum-dependent (non-local) self-energy contributions, it is worthwhile to analyze the effect of magnetism on the Chern number. An odd total Chern number can only arise if the SU(2) symmetry is spontaneously broken, i.e., in a magnetically ordered phase. This follows directly from the topological Hamiltonian, since the spins are decoupled and the Haldane-Hubbard Hamiltonian conserves SU(2) symmetry. The mean-field equations are easily adapted to include an additional on-site magnetization $m$

$$\Sigma_{MF}^{\sigma} = \frac{U}{2} (n + \sigma m),$$

where $\sigma \in \{+1,-1\}$. Eq. (15) is then rewritten in terms of $\delta n = (n_B - n_A)/2$ and $\delta m = (m_B - m_A)/2$ as

$$\Sigma_{MF}^{\sigma} = -\frac{U}{2} (\delta n \sigma_3 + \sigma \delta m \sigma_3) + \text{const.}$$

In this description one identifies an additional spin-dependent renormalization of the mass term proportional to the magnetization difference $\delta m$. As in the SU(2)-symmetric case, an analogous calculation can be performed with the general self-energy. In this case the mapping of Eq. (13) is modified to

$$\Delta \rightarrow \Delta - (\delta \Sigma + \delta \Sigma_{MF}),$$

where the additional term is $\delta \Sigma_{MF} = \sigma (\Sigma_{B\uparrow} - \Sigma_{B\downarrow} - \Sigma_{A\uparrow} + \Sigma_{A\downarrow})/4$. Therefore, the two spins obtain different renormalizations, which can lead to one spin in the non-trivial phase ($C_1 = 1$) and the other in the trivial phase ($C_0 = 0$). The critical value is given by the condition

$$\Delta - \Delta_c < \delta \Sigma + \delta \Sigma_{MF},$$

where $\Delta_c/t \approx 1.04$ marks the position of the non-interacting phase transition.

III. CHERN NUMBER ANALYSIS: NON-LOCAL CONTRIBUTIONS

In order to study the effect of the explicit momentum dependence of the self-energy on the Chern number (the last term in Eq. (12)), an analytic formula or parameterization of the self-energy would be helpful. One such parameterization is possible within the Two-Particle Self-Consistent method (TPSC) [24], where the self-energy is parameterized by two variables $U, U$, which are determined self-consistently. Within this TPSC parameterization we did not detect any change of the Chern number in the Haldane-Hubbard model with respect to the momentum-averaged TPSC. Generalizing the TPSC formula to an ansatz function that serves as a parameterized form of physical Green’s functions

$$\Sigma(k) = \left[ V[U] + V[U'] \right] * G^0(k),$$

where

$$V[U] = (1 - \chi^0 U)^{-1} \chi^0,$$

$\chi^0$ is the susceptibility and $U, U'$ are the free parameters (here, $U, U'$ depend on the site index $A, B$, i.e., there are four free parameters), we do not find any topological phase transition induced by the momentum dependence of the self-energy while restricting ourselves to moderate values for $U, U'$.
A. Statistical study: Formalism

Since we would like to systematically determine the importance of the momentum-dependence of the self-energy for a general class of interacting systems described by effective topological Hamiltonians, we compute the statistical distribution of the Chern number across the space of possible self-energy functions beyond Eq. (19).

The self-energy for the Haldane-Hubbard model is a complex $2 \times 2$ matrix (for each spin) and is block-diagonal in the spin space due to the absence of spin-mixing terms. Therefore, we focus on a single spin for this investigation as the task is easily separable and both spins are treated in exactly the same way. We define the following parameterization of the momentum-dependent part of the self-energy

$$\Sigma_{\text{non-loc}} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 + i f_3 \\ f_2 - i f_3 & -f_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

(21)

which contains three independent real-valued periodic functions $f_1, f_2, f_3 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and is hermitian by construction. The complete self-energy at $\omega = 0$ is obtained from Eq. (12). The symmetry between the $\Sigma_{11} = f_1$ and $\Sigma_{22} = -f_1$ matrix elements is chosen in accordance with Eq. (9). A generalization with $\Sigma_{22} = f_3$ would, however, be straightforward. Further, we expand all functions $f_j$ in terms of Fourier components

$$f_j(k) = \sum_{l_1, l_2, s} c_{s, l_1, l_2} \cos(l_1 k_1 + s l_2 k_2) + \sum_{l_1, l_2, s} c'_{s, l_1, l_2} \sin(l_1 k_1 + s l_2 k_2),$$

(22)

where $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $s \in \{-1, 1\}, l_1, l_2 \in \{0, ..., N_c\}, N_c$ being the order of the expansion. This expansion is convenient due to the periodicity of the self-energy in momentum space. By sampling the real expansion coefficients $c, c'$ from a suitable probability distribution we obtain samples of smooth self-energy functions. Due to the completeness of the basis functions ($\sin, \cos$) the entire relevant space is covered in the limit $N_c \rightarrow \infty$. We have verified that in order to represent the TPSC or FLEX [29] self-energies with high accuracy one only needs $N_c = 1$, see Fig. 4 (a). At this low cutoff there are still enough sufficient degrees of freedom in Eq. (22) to sample a large variety of sensible functions. In order to be more general, we increased the cutoff to $N_c = 2$ and verified that our sample functions do not oscillate unphysically, see Fig. 4 (b). In our calculations the qualitative results are independent of the choice of the cutoff, while an increase in the degrees of freedom generally leads to a decrease in the relative number of interesting samples (for which the Chern number is susceptible to $\Sigma_{\text{non-loc}}$). For the observation of physical self-energies we chose to sample the $c, c'$ from a Normal distribution with zero mean and a decaying standard deviation $\sigma$

$$\rho(c_{s, l_1, l_2}) = \text{Normal}(\mu = 0, \sigma = \exp(-l_1 - l_2)).$$

(23)

Due to the exponential decay of $\sigma$ with the wavelength of the oscillation, the self-energies (Eq. (21)) are guaranteed to be rather smooth. We verified that for instance a uniform distribution yields highly unsatisfactory samples, especially at larger cutoff.

Since the function samples of Eq. (22) generally do not obey any spatial symmetries, we enforce certain symmetries of the Hamiltonian by adapting the sampling procedure. The sublattice symmetry, cf. Eq. (9), is already incorporated in Eq. (21). General lattice symmetries can be implemented on a higher level. In particular, applying a symmetry operation to $f_j$ yields a constraint on the coefficients, which can then be used to enforce the symmetry on the self-energy. In practice this amounts to setting certain coefficients to zero or an interdependence between some coefficients. For the Haldane-Hubbard model the diagonal elements have a mirror symmetry $M$ along the $k_2 = -k_1$ axis, i.e.,

$$M : \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -k_2 \\ -k_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(24)

We now compute the weighted average Chern number on the space of differentiable functions $\Sigma(k, \omega = 0)$ as a function of their dispersion amplitudes $d_a$ (Eq. 4). The average is weighted in nature, since we implement importance sampling on the subspace of physical functions due to our choice of the distribution function $\rho$ (Eq. 25). After obtaining a sample for the momentum-dependence we rescale the function $\Sigma_{\text{non-loc}}$ to the initially chosen dispersion amplitude $d_a$. The momentum-average $\Sigma_{\text{non-loc}} = \Sigma_{\text{non-loc}}(k, \omega = 0)$ vanishes by construction. The resulting Chern number average $\langle \hat{C} \rangle$ doubles as a standard deviation, since here the Chern number can only take two values $C \in \{0, 1\}$, which square to themselves ($\text{Var}[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2 = E[X] = E[X^2]$). Therefore, the average Chern number can be interpreted as a stochastic error measure. Since the expectation value

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{fig4.png}
\caption{We compare the momentum-dependence of (a) a generic TPSC self-energy (here a fit where coefficients beyond $N_c = 1$ vanish is shown) with (b) one of our random samples at $N_c = 2$. Both functions follow the same symmetry constraints and are reasonably smooth.}
\end{figure}
FIG. 5. Probability $P_{\Delta z=0}(C \neq C_0)$ that the Chern number changes due to the full momentum-dependent self-energy compared to the non-interacting case for various values of $\Delta$ and $d_a$ at $z/t = 0$. The position of the non-interacting phase transition $\Delta_c$ is marked by a gray line.

does not accurately describe the difference between the interacting and non-interacting system, it is still not a sufficient measure for our statistical analysis.

We have already found in Section IIA that a value $z > 1$ can push the system into a $C = -1$ phase, which invalidates our earlier assumption that the Chern number is binary. Therefore, the average Chern number is an insufficient descriptor of the statistical distribution. A description in terms of probabilities of change is more appropriate. We define the probability for the Chern number to change w.r.t. a reference Chern number $C_{\text{ref}}$ as

$$P(C \neq C_{\text{ref}}) = \langle \min \{1, |C - C_{\text{ref}}|\} \rangle,$$

where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ is the sample mean. In fact, this definition is formally equivalent to the normalized distance between two probability distributions $d(X,Y) = E_{X,Y}[|X - Y|]$ and therefore respects changes on a per-sample basis, which the average Chern number neglects. It is straightforward to show that this definition provides an appropriate measure for the probability of change in the sense that $P = 0$ implies $C = C_{\text{ref}}$ for all samples and $P = 1 \Rightarrow C \neq C_{\text{ref}}$. Additionally, $P$ is bounded to the interval $[0,1]$.

### B. Statistical study: non-local self-energy

We now define $C_{\text{ref}} = C_0$, which is the Chern number of the corresponding non-interacting system, and compute the probability $P(C \neq C_0)$.

In the simplified case, where we neglect the off-diagonal contributions to the local self-energy ($z = 0$, Eq. (14)), we obtain a sharply peaked function shown in Fig. 5, which is centered around the phase transition at low $d_a$ and becomes increasingly asymmetric for increasing $d_a$. The smallness of the stochastic error of the Chern number at small $d_a$ is due to the peaked structure of the probability of change, which illustrates the stability of the Chern number with respect to perturbations. At moderate to large $d_a$, however, it turns out that the topologically non-trivial phase $C = 1$, which exists below $\Delta_c \approx 1.04$ at $U = 0$, is more susceptible to the addition of momentum dependent self-energies than the trivial insulator ($C = 0$) above $\Delta_c$. This means that on average, the effect of the momentum dependence of the self-energy has the opposite sign as that of the local part, since it shifts the transition towards lower $\Delta$ instead of larger $\Delta$. Due to the distribution of finite probabilities around the local transition, we can regard the non-local contribution as a perturbation that leads to an uncertainty given by the spread of the probability distribution along the $\Delta$-axis. While this is rather small initially, a strong momentum-dependence of the self-energy can lead to a large uncertainty in the Chern number.

We note that the probabilities shown here depend on the sampling procedure used in our algorithm. From the rather physical nature of the restrictions to our sample functions, it is expected that in an unbiased average the probability of changing the Chern number would be rather low in all cases, since there is a large pool of functions which do not change the topology at all. We have probed the effect of restricting our trial function space of self-energies to only those functions satisfying the lattice symmetries of the non-interacting Hamiltonian and observed a small but noticeable increase in probabilities with enforced symmetries, which indicates that in a more general approach the unphysical samples lead to a decreased probability and therefore reduced contrast.

### C. Statistical study: total self-energy

In the discussion so far we have neglected the off-diagonal terms of the local self-energy, which we have shown in Fig. 3(a) to have a comparatively weak impact on the Chern number, provided that $z$ is rather small. Now we add these terms back in by sampling the parameters $a, b$, cf. Eq. (12). For this purpose we use the Euler representation of the off-diagonal value $a + ib = ze^{i\alpha}$ and sample the phase $\alpha$ from a uniform distribution $\alpha \in [0,2\pi)$. The result is then a function of the absolute value $z$, which we have observed to contain the most relevant information. We compute the sample average over the Chern number, cf. Fig. 3(b), which is remarkably similar to the one without the momentum-dependent part of the self-energy shown in Fig. 3(a). In fact, by comparing the average Chern numbers with and without the explicit momentum dependence we see that the effect of the momentum dependence is an additional uncertainty around the local result, which becomes broader for larger $d_a$ and is consistent with the result obtained without the off-diagonal terms of the local self-energy.

For our statistical analysis we distinguish between the relative probabilities $P(C \neq C_0)$, where $C_0$ is the Chern number of the non-interacting model, and $P(C \neq C_{\text{loc}})$,
where \( C_{\text{loc}} \) is the local Chern number computed from the topological Hamiltonian (Eq. 2) with \( \Sigma(k, \omega = 0) = \Sigma_{\text{loc}} \), cf. Eq. (1). The first probability characterizes the change with respect to the non-interacting case, while the second considers only the effect of the momentum-dependence of the self-energy. Since \( d_a \) and \( z \), characterizing the strength of the momentum-dependence and local self-energy, respectively, are both merely parameters in our model, these are simply different viewpoints onto the same problem, where the frame of reference is chosen differently to focus attention on only one parameter.

We now focus our attention on the effect of the momentum-dependence. Since \( \Sigma_{\text{loc}}^{AB} = z e^{-i\alpha} \), in an average over different values of \( \alpha \) one will automatically observe the changes due to a phase difference, which are unrelated to the momentum dependence. Hence, \( P(C \neq C_{\text{loc}}) \) can only be computed as a function of the phase \( \alpha \). We have computed the probability \( P(C \neq C_{\text{loc}}) \) that the momentum-dependent part of the self-energy \( \Sigma_{\text{non-loc}} \) changes the Chern number for many different values of \( \alpha \) and show in Fig. 6 at two specific values \( \alpha = 0, \pi/2 \) that a finite probability indeed exists only close to the respective local phase transition. We have verified that this is true independently of the phase \( \alpha \) of the local self-energy. The probability can be described as a bell curve placed on top of each point on the local transition line. The width of this curve is proportional to the self-energy dispersion amplitude \( d_a \) and coincides roughly with the result of Fig. 5.

As a result, the Chern number can actually be regarded as separable in the sense that the effects of the local and non-local terms in our representation of Eq. 6 are cumulative. This means that

\[
C = C_{\text{loc}} + \delta C_{\text{non-loc}},
\]

where the latter part is a random variable, whose probabilities for non-zero values decay with increasing distance to the local phase transition on a length scale proportional to \( d_a \). The correction \( \delta C_{\text{non-loc}} \) is therefore—at low to intermediate \( d_a \)—only relevant relatively close to the local phase transition. Based on this observation, we can conclude that the topological phase diagram is well-described qualitatively by the local self-energy, while the explicit momentum-dependence only leads to a statistical error bar, the width of which can be inferred from Fig. 5.

Finally, in Fig. 7 we show at a fixed value \( d_a = 0.5t \) the probability of change \( P(C \neq C_0) \) with respect to the non-interacting case while considering the full self-energy, which largely resembles the result obtained for only the local self-energy, with an added uncertainty around the phase transition.

IV. DISCUSSION

In the following we want to emphasize the most important implications of our results.

A. General Implications

The Chern number as defined in Eq. 1 is a direct measure of the momentum-dependence of the Hamiltonian. It is therefore expected that introducing a perturbation in the shape of an arbitrary function of momentum—in this case the self-energy—will have a large impact.

Our study reveals a paradox, where, in fact, the local perturbations have a much more immediate effect on the location of the topological phase transition, while the
non-local contribution merely adds a rather small uncertainty around the local result. Therefore, the Chern number is really rather robust against non-local perturbations to the Hamiltonian.

B. Discussion of the Phase Diagram

Regarding the phase diagram of the Haldane-Hubbard model, cf. Fig. 2 we draw the following conclusion. The $C = 2$ to $C = 0$ transition ($C = 1$ to $C = 0$ for each spin) is described very well by the local part of the self-energy, which is also reflected in the remarkable agreement between the DMFT and BDMC results. In fact, we have shown in an earlier publication [26] that in the presence of the mass $\Delta$ the momentum-dependence of the self-energy is rather weak for a wide range of parameters. Coincidentally, the TI $\rightarrow$ BI transition lies within the non-dispersive regime, hence DMFT is expected to be very accurate.

Regarding the phase diagram of the Haldane-Hubbard model, we expect the momentum-dependence of $\Sigma$ to be the smaller contribution to the shift of the phase transition in comparison with BDMC, since it is closely related to the onset of a finite magnetization, which is predominantly reflected in the local self-energy. Including non-local (diagrammatic) corrections to the local self-energy should therefore produce a qualitatively correct phase diagram, while the non-local self-energy only leads to a small correction.

Our results are in principle applicable to other topological models, many of which contain a similar mass term, where, based on the published phase diagrams, we expect qualitatively similar results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Most calculations were performed on the Goethe HLR high-performance computer of the Goethe Universität. The authors would like to thank the Hessian Competence Center for High Performance Computing – funded by the Hessen State Ministry of Higher Education, Research and the Arts. K. Z. and R. V. acknowledge financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through Grant No. SFB/TR 49.


