We study the correlation dynamics triggered by lattice depth quench in a system of three dipolar bosons in 1D triple well optical lattice from the first principle using the multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree method for bosons (MCTDHB). The comparison with contact interaction is also presented. For forward quench ($V_f > V_i$), system exhibits the collapse-revival dynamics in the time evolution of normalized first-order Glauber’s correlation function both for the contact as well as for the dipolar interaction which is reminiscent of the one observed in Greiner’s experiment [Nature, 415 (2002)]. We define the collapse and revival time ratio as the figure of merit ($\tau$) which can uniquely distinguish the timescale of dynamics for dipolar interaction from that of contact interaction. In the reverse quench process ($V_i > V_f$), the superfluid state is never achieved for dipolar interaction. The long-range repulsive tail, in the dipolar interaction, inhibits the spread of correlation across the lattice sites. For contact interaction the collapse-revival dynamics is again set-up with a different timescale.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The nonequilibrium dynamics of isolated quantum systems has been explored over the last decade [1-9]. The bosons in the optical lattice is the ideal test bed for realization and the study of nonequilibrium dynamics. The high resolution image technique allows to probe the spatial correlation functions [10, 11]. The dynamics of one- and two-body correlation functions are measured following a sudden change in experimental parameters such as lattice depth [4, 5]. The time evolution of correlation functions is quite complicated and different issues are addressed, like, how quantum systems approach equilibrium, the time scale involved, the short- and long-range order decay of correlation function at long time [12-16, 18, 28]. However most of the studies considered the contact interaction. Our aim in this manuscript is to focus the salient feature of spatial correlation dynamics for interacting dipolar bosons in optical lattice. Ultracold atoms with dipole-dipole interaction is the most popular tool to understand the physics of long-range interacting systems [18, 20]. Dipolar atoms in quasi-one-dimensional traps are more amenable experimentally and provide a variety of effects not seen in three dimension. The dipolar atoms in triple well have already been explored using Bose-Hubbard model and mean-field theory [21, 27]. Strongly interacting (long-range and dipolar) bosons have recently been studied by multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree method for bosons (MCTDHB) [28, 29] and different quantum phases of dipolar atoms in optical lattice are addressed. The mean-field methods and Bose-Hubbard model are unable to address the system with very shallow lattice depth and strong dipolar interactions. Thus it is necessary to employ a general many-body approach to study those systems where the quench dynamics for lattice depth changing from shallow to deep or vice versa is discussed. The multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree method for bosons (MCTDHB) is such a general many-body method capable of addressing our system properly and its implementation in the MCTDH-X software [31-33] has been employed in several earlier works [34-36]. Utilizing the above procedure, we calculate how the correlation function evolve following a sudden change in the lattice depth while the interaction strength is kept fixed. We explore the transition from superfluid (SF) to Mott insulating (MI) phase by analyzing the normalized first-order Glauber’s correlation functions. For shallow lattice depth and weak interaction superfluidity appears which is exhibited by the inter- as well as intra-well correlations. Whereas sudden rise in the lattice depth breaks superfluidity and it leads the system to Mott insulator phase. The sudden rise in the lattice depth stops tunneling and thus inter-well coherence is lost. Our present calculation shows how the SF $\rightarrow$ MI transition is reflected in the correlation dynamics for dipolar interaction. We also present the same for contact interaction and explore the intricate difference between short- and long-range interaction and their timescale in correlation dynamics.

To highlight the role of correlation, we study two types of quenches : a sudden quench from shallow lattice depth to deep lattice depth - forward quench and a reverse quench. Our main findings:
(a) For forward quench both the short- and long-range interaction exhibit quantum phase transition from SF $\rightarrow$ MI, however they can be distinguished by their corresponding timescales of collapse to MI phase.
(b) However in the long time dynamics the system exhibits collapse - revival dynamics and the revival time for long-range is significantly smaller than the same for contact interaction. To distinguish the timescale we define the collapse and revival time as the figure of merit ($\tau$).
(c) For the reverse quench, the system revives to the superfluid phase for the contact interaction, whereas for long-range interaction we do not observe revival to SF phase even in the long time dynamics. It exhibits that the huge correlation build up within the individual lattice sites for long-range interaction is not distributed over the whole lattice. So the inter-well correlation is never fully reached to achieve SF phase.

The paper is organized as follows : In Sec. II we discuss the Hamiltonian and numerical method to solve the time dependent Schrödinger equation. In Sec. III we analyze the correlation dynamics for forward and reverse lattice depth quench for dipolar as well as for contact interaction. We draw the conclusion of our paper in Sec. IV.

II. METHODOLOGY

The time evolution of $N$ interacting bosons of mass $m$ is described by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE)

$$\hat{H}\psi = i\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $|\psi\rangle$ is the many-body state and the total Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + V_{OL}(x_i) \right) + \sum_{i<j} W(x_i - x_j).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
FIG. 1. Time evolution of the normalized first-order Glauber’s correlation function $|g^{(1)}(x', x_1; t)|^2$ for forward lattice depth quench from $V_i = 3.0$ to $V_f = 10.0$ for dipolar interaction. We observe collapse - revival dynamics from $SF \rightarrow MI$ phase (see text). All the quantities are dimensionless.

FIG. 2. Time evolution of the normalized first-order Glauber’s correlation function $|g^{(1)}(x', x_1; t)|^2$ for forward lattice depth quench from $V_i = 3.0$ to $V_f = 10.0$ for contact interaction. We observe collapse - revival dynamics from $SF \rightarrow MI$ phase (see text). All the quantities are dimensionless.
Here $V_{OL}$ represents the external lattice potential modeled as $V(x) = V \sin^2(kx)$ with $V$ being the lattice depth and $k$ the lattice-wave-vector. For long-ranged dipolar interactions

$$W(x_i - x_j) = \frac{g_d}{|x_i - x_j|^3 + \alpha}$$

(3)

where $g_d = \frac{d^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}$ is the dipolar interaction strength and $\alpha$ is a short-range cut-off to avoid the divergence at $x_i = x_j$. $d_m$ is the dipole moment. For contact interaction

$$W(x_i - x_j) = \lambda \delta(x_i - x_j),$$

(4)

where $\lambda$ is the two-body interaction coupling strength between the bosons. The Hamiltonian $H$ is scaled in terms of the recoil energy $E_R = \hbar^2 k^2/2m$, $\hbar = m = k = 1$, thus rendering all terms dimensionless. The time is expressed in units of $\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{\varepsilon_m}$, while distance is expressed as units of $k^{-1}$. We use three particles in three well so filling factor is 1. The value of cut-off parameter $\alpha = 0.05$ in Eq. (3) is chosen in such a way that the effective interaction

$$V_{eff} = \int_{-10.0}^{10.0} \frac{g_d}{x^2 + \alpha} dx = \int_{-10.0}^{10.0} \lambda \delta(x) dx = 1.0.$$

In the MCTDHB method the ansatz for the many-body wave function is taken as the linear combination of time-dependent permanents with time-dependent weights:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n C_n(t)|\vec{n};t\rangle$$

(5)

where, in the second quantized representation

$$|\vec{n};t\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{N_i(t)^{n_i}}{\sqrt{n_i!}} \right] |\text{vac}\rangle.$$

It is important to emphasize that in the ansatz, both the expansion coefficient $\{C_n(t)\}$ and the orbitals $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{M}$ that build up the permanents are time-dependent fully variationally optimized quantities. In MCTDHB(M), the vectors $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots n_M)$ are the occupation of the orbitals in a single configuration and preserve the total number of particles $n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_M = N$. The efficiency of MCTDHB comes from the variationally optimized and time adaptive basis which makes the sample Hilbert space dynamically follow the motion of the many-body dynamics. For $M \rightarrow \infty$, the set of permanents $\{|\vec{n};t\rangle\}$ spans the complete $N$ boson Hilbert space and the expansion is exact. However for practical purpose we limit the size of the Hilbert space. As the permanents are time-dependent, a given degree of accuracy is reached with a shorter expansion as compared to the time-independent basis. We solve the TDSE with the recursive MCTDHB (R-MCTDHB) package. By propagating the MCTDHB equations of motion in imaginary time for a given initial guess excitations are exponentially dropped and the system relaxes to the ground state. In quench dynamics, we initialize the system in some preferred ground state (SF/MI) at $t < 0$ and lattice depth is abruptly changed (raised up or down) at $t = 0$. For the present study, both for the short- and long-range interaction we keep $M = 6$ orbitals to achieve the convergence. The convergence is ascertained by systematically increasing the number of orbitals and observing no change in the calculated quantities such as energy and one-body density. Additionally, the convergence is further assured when the occupation of the last orbital is negligible. In our present computation we find that $M = 6$ orbitals are adequate to capture the correct physics.

III. RESULT OF CORRELATION DYNAMICS IN LATTICE DEPTH QUENCH

Our present calculations are performed for one special dimension and considering $N = 3$ particles in $S = 3$ well optical lattice with periodic boundary condition. We initialize the system in the ground state $|\psi(t = 0)\rangle$ of the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (2) with a fixed choice of lattice depth and interaction strength ($g_d$ for dipolar interaction and $\lambda$ for contact interaction). In the lattice depth quench we abruptly raised up the lattice depth to a higher value and it is termed as forward quench, whereas for reverse quench we abruptly lowered the lattice depth. The correlation dynamics is investigated through the normalized first-order correlation function $g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t)$ defined as

$$g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t) = \frac{\rho^{(1)}(x'_1|x_1; t)}{\sqrt{\rho(x'_1; t)\rho(x_1; t)}}.$$

(7)
FIG. 3. Time evolution of the normalized first-order Glauber’s correlation function $|g^{(1)}(x', x_1; t)|^2$ for reverse lattice depth quench from $V_i = 10.0$ to $V_f = 3.0$ for dipolar interaction. The initial Mott phase builds up some faded off-diagonal correlation, but due to long-range repulsive tail, SF phase is not achieved. All the quantities are dimensionless.

where $\rho$ is the diagonal part of the one-body density matrix $\rho^{(1)}$ given by

$$\rho^{(1)}(x'_1|x_1;t) = N \int dx_2 dx_3 ... dx_N \psi^*(x'_1, x_2, ..., x_N; t) \psi(x_1, x_2, ..., x_N; t).$$

The normalized spatial first-order correlation function $g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t)$ quantifies the degree of first-order coherence. $g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t)$ can be measured from the interference experiment through the visibility in the interference patterns. $g^{(1)} < 1$ means that the visibility of interference fringes will be less than 100%. This is referred to as loss of coherence. $g^{(1)} = 1$ corresponds to maximal fringe visibility in interference experiments and is termed as full coherence.

A. Forward quench : (Quench from $V = 3.0$ to $V = 10.0$)

We prepare the system in the initial state with lattice depth $V = 3.0$ and interaction strength $g_d = 0.01$ for dipolar interaction - which is a superfluid phase exhibiting both inter- as well as intra-well coherence and tunneling is allowed. In forward lattice depth quench, we fix the interaction strength and lattice depth is suddenly raised up to $V = 10.0$, which stops tunneling. From the plot of natural occupation as a function of time (not shown here) we observe, at $t = 0$, only the first natural orbital has 100% population and this corresponds to superfluid condensate. With increase in time, fragmentation occurs and at some time $t = 21.0$, each of the lowest three orbitals exhibit population close to 30%. It corresponds to fragmented MI phase. In Fig. 1 the corresponding time evolution of the first-order correlation function $|g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t)|^2$ is plotted as a function of two spatial variables $x'_1$ and $x_1$ for various time $t$. At $t = 0$, $|g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t)|^2$ remains close to unity for all $(x'_1, x_1)$ -the system is fully coherent superfluid. With increase in time, the off-diagonal correlation $(x'_1 \neq x_1)$ gradually decreases. Finally at $t = 21.0$, correlation function $|g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t)|^2$ remains unity almost along the diagonal $(x'_1 = x_1)$ and is close to zero away from the diagonal $(x'_1 \neq x_1)$. Thus with time the inter-well coherence is gradually lost. At time $t = 21.0$, only the intra-well coherence is retained which corresponds to MI phase. This is the same time when the natural occupation exhibits close to 30% population in lowest three orbitals and is designated as a fragmented MI phase. We declare $t = 21.0$ as the collapse time $t_{col}$, when the system becomes fully fragmented MI phase and the global correlation through the lattice is lost. The inter-well coherence is again started to build up with time. Finally at time $t = 58.0$ the inter-well coherence is fully regained,
FIG. 4. Time evolution of the normalized first-order Glauber’s correlation function \( |g^{(1)}(x', x_1; t)|^2 \) for reverse lattice depth quench from \( V_i = 10.0 \) to \( V_f = 3.0 \) for contact interaction. The initial Mott phase quickly develops off-diagonal correlation through out the whole lattice and the correlation function for \( t = 20.0 \) depicts to SF phase. At \( t = 38.0 \) the system again enters MI phase. All the quantities are dimensionless.

\( |g^{(1)}(x', x_1; t)|^2 \) revives to unity for all \((x'_1, x_1)\). This corresponds to superfluid phase. We consider \( t = 58.0 \) as the revival time \( t_{rev} \) for our present study. It is defined by the time when the system is revived to its fully coherent SF phase. This collapse-revival dynamics reminiscent of the one observed in Greiner’s experiment [4, 5]. However to compare the corresponding timescale we repeat the simulation for contact interaction. We prepare the initial SF phase with \( V = 3.0 \) and \( \lambda = 0.1 \) and quench the lattice depth to \( V = 10.0 \). The corresponding dynamics is presented in Fig. 2. The long time collapse-revival dynamics is again observed but with different timescale. The system reaches to its first collapse (fragmented MI phase) at time \( t_{col} = 31.0 \) - this is the time when we observe that each of the three lowest orbitals exhibit close to 30% population. The revival time for contact interaction is \( t_{rev} = 81.0 \). The required time of collapse as well as revival for contact interaction are significantly different from those observed for dipolar interaction. To characterize the different timescales for contact and dipolar interaction we define \( t_{col}/t_{rev} \) as a figure of merit \((\tau)\). For contact interaction \( \tau_{contact} = 0.383 \) and for dipolar interaction \( \tau_{dipolar} = 0.358 \). The smaller value of \( \tau_{dipolar} \) is attributed by the very fast response of the system with dipolar interaction. In other words, the dipolar interaction enhances the effective repulsion due to the long-range repulsive tail.

**B. Reverse quench : (Quench from \( V = 10.0 \) to \( V = 3.0 \))**

We prepare the initial state with lattice depth \( V = 10.0 \) and interaction strength \( g_{d} = 0.1 \) for dipolar interaction which is a Mott insulator phase. In reverse lattice depth quench, we fix the interaction strength and lattice depth is suddenly lowered down to \( V = 3.0 \). The corresponding correlation dynamics is presented in Fig. 8. At \( t = 0 \), the normalized first-order correlation function \( |g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t)|^2 \) exhibits three separated lobes along the diagonal. Thus \( |g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t)|^2 = 1 \) only along the diagonal \( (x_1 = x'_1) \) and \( |g^{(1)}(x'_1, x_1; t)|^2 \approx 0 \) on the off-diagonal \( (x_1 \neq x'_1) \). The first-order coherence is maintained within the wells and inter-well coherence is lost which is signature of pure Mott phase and the system is strongly correlated however the strong correlation is maintained inside each lattice sites where the Mott phase resides. With the reverse quench, the already build up correlation in the initial phase should be distributed over the three lattice sites. Thus with time the system should try to develop off-diagonal correlation which implies some inter-well tunneling. However for the present simulation with dipolar interaction the system never develops fully coherent superfluid phase. This is due to the effect of long-range repulsive tail of dipolar interaction.
which inhibits the spreading of correlation through the lattice sites. So from Fig. 3, we can infer that although some faded off-diagonal correlation tries to build up around $t = 3.8$, the SF phase is never achieved. Finally at $t = 8.0$, the Mott state is returned. To compare with the reverse quench for contact interaction we redo the simulation. We prepare the initial MI phase with $V = 10.0$ and $\lambda = 0.1$ and quench the lattice depth to $V = 3.0$. The corresponding time dynamics is presented in Fig. 3. The initial Mott state gradually develops off-diagonal correlation. At time $t = 20.0$ the maximum off-diagonal correlation is built up unlike the case for dipolar interaction. This resembles very close to SF phase. At $t = 38.0$ the system again revives the complete Mott phase, the corresponding $\tau_{\text{contact}} = 0.527$.

Our present simulation for contact interaction we observe the collapse-revival dynamics both for the forward as well as reverse lattice depth quench which mimics the Greiner’s experiment. However the effect of long-range repulsive tail of dipolar interaction in the correlation dynamics is not obtained in experiment yet. We believe our theoretical results could be verified in future experiments.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studied the quench dynamics of 1D dipolar bosons in triple well optical lattice from the first principle general quantum many-body perspective utilizing the MCTDHB method both for shallow and deep optical lattice. The comparison with the contact interaction is also presented. For forward lattice depth quench for both the contact and dipolar interaction we observe collapse-revival dynamics in the time evolution of normalized first-order Glauber’s correlation function. However both the collapse and revival time reported for dipolar interaction are significantly smaller than those corresponding to the contact interaction. We define the figure of merit $\tau = \frac{\tau_{\text{col}}}{\tau_{\text{rev}}}$ to distinguish the timescale of dynamics. $\tau_{\text{dipolar}}$ is smaller than that of $\tau_{\text{contact}}$ which signifies fast response of the dipolar interacting system due to the effect of long-range repulsive tail. For the reverse quench we observe collapse-revival dynamics for contact interaction but the huge correlation built up at initial state for the dipolar interaction never destroyed. So for reverse quench with dipolar interaction we do not observe collapse-revival kind of dynamics in the time evolution of first-order correlation function.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Sangita Bera wants to acknowledge Department of science and technology Govt. of India, for the financial support through DST INSPIRE fellowship [2015/IF150245].