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#### Abstract

A pair of noncircular gears can be used to generate a strictly increasing continuous function $\psi(\varphi)$ whose derivative $\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)=\mathrm{d} \psi(\varphi) / \mathrm{d} \varphi>0$ is $2 \pi / n$-periodic, where $\varphi$ and $\gamma=\psi(\varphi)$ are the angles of the opposite rotation directions of the drive gear and the driven gear, respectively, and $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$. In this paper, we determine the geometry of both gears for given transmission function $\psi(\varphi)$ when manufacturing with a rack-cutter having fillets. All occurring functions are consistently derived as functions of the drive angle $\varphi$ and the function $\psi$. Throughout the paper, methods of complex algebra, including an external product, are used. An effective algorithm for the calculation of the tooth geometries - in general every tooth has its own shape - is presented which limits the required numerical integrations to a minimum. Simple criteria are developed for checking each tooth flank for undercut. The base curves of both gears are derived, and it is shown that the tooth flanks are indeed the involutes of the corresponding base curve. All formulas for both gears are ready to use.
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## 1 Introduction

Toothed gears are used in many technical systems. The most important and most frequently used type of gearing nowadays is the involute gearing dating back to Leonhard Euler (1765/67) [4]. Involute gears have the advantages that they are easy to manufacture - hence cost-effective and ensure a constant transmission ratio even if the centre distance is not exactly maintained. Furthermore, they have good mating properties. The working flanks of the teeth are the involutes of a circle, which is called base circle. (Clearly, the base circle is the evolute of these involutes.) For the manufacture of involute gears, straight flank tools are often used, for example so-called rack-cutters. (The profile of such a rack-cutter is shown in Fig. 2.2.)
In addition to the well-known circular gears for constant transmission ratios, there are also noncircular gears for non-constant transmission ratios, which also play an important role (see Litvin and Fuentes [7, Chapter 12], Litvin et al. [10]). They are often used for the generation of motions with periodic transmission ratio, such as those required in printing, packaging, textile and many other types of machines. Elliptical gears (see e.g. Wunderlich [15, pp. 233-235, 242244], Chen and Tsay [2], Litvin and Fuentes [7, pp. 324-327], Litvin et al. [9], [10, pp. 40-52]), for example, can be applied for this purpose. However, these only provide suitable rotational motions to a limited extent (see Fig. 172 in [15, p. 235]). It is better to specify the required motion (transmission function) and dimension the gear drive so that it realizes this motion. Tsay and Fong [14] use Fourier series approximation of centrodes and gear ratios in order to derive the tooth profiles of noncircular gears (see e.g. Example 3 on pp. 567-568). The determination of the centrodes for given transmission functions is investigated in [8], especially in Section 4. Qiu and Deng [13] calculate the tooth profile for given transmission function when manufacturing
with rack-cutter, but only for the left sides of the gear tooth spaces. The calculations require case distinctions that are not discussed.

In this paper, we calculate the complete tooth profiles of the drive and the driven gear when manufacturing with a rack-cutter having fillets. All calculations are based on the transmission function, and so all functions are consistently related to the rotation angle $\varphi$ of the drive gear. We use complex-valued functions of the real variable $\varphi$ (see e.g. Wunderlich [15], Müller [12], Luck and Modler [11], Laczik, Zentay, and Horváth [6]). This allows a very simple and transparent derivation of the results. Undercut conditions are obtained for both gears. Equations for the base curves are derived. A detailed algorithm summarizes all necessary calculation steps.

The paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 2 we introduce some basic notation and foundations that we will use throughout the paper.
- In Section 3 we derive a parametric equation for the flank curves of the drive gear in two different ways: 1) by obtaining the flank curves as envelopes of the rack-cutter flanks, applying an exterior product for complex numbers, 2) using the instantaneous centre of velocity of drive gear and rack-cutter. Furthermore, we derive a parametric equation for the fillet curves.
- Section 4 provides the calculations for the driven gear analogous to those of Section 3.
- In Section 5, undercut conditions are derived for the drive and the driven gear.
- Parametric equations for the base curves are derived in Section 6. As a by-product the curvatures of the flank curves are obtained.
- Section 7 is a detailed algorithm for the complete profiles of both gears.
- Section 8 gives a practical example.
- Calculation rules for the exterior product are to be found in Appendix A, a list of the used formula symbols in Appendix B.


## 2 Preliminaries

We denote by $\varphi$ the rotation angle of the drive gear, and by $\gamma$ the rotation angle of the driven gear. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \psi(\varphi) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a strictly increasing continuous function whose derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)=\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi(\varphi)}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is $2 \pi / n$-periodic, $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$. Our aim is to determine drive and driven gear in such a way that the relationship between $\varphi$ and $\gamma$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\psi(\varphi) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\psi$ is called transmission function.
Let $\varphi^{*}(t)$ denote the rotation angle of the drive gear as function of time $t$. Then, the rotation angle of the driven gear as time function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{*}(t):=\psi\left(\varphi^{*}(t)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\varphi=\varphi^{*}(t)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi^{*}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi\left(\varphi^{*}(t)\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}\left(\varphi^{*}(t)\right) \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d} \varphi^{*}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.5) with (2.2) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{*}(t)\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}\left(\varphi^{*}(t)\right)=\frac{\dot{\psi}^{*}(t)}{\dot{\varphi}^{*}(t)}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the over-dot indicates time derivatives. So one sees that $\psi^{\prime}$ is the ratio of the angular velocities $\dot{\psi}^{*}$ and $\dot{\varphi}^{*}$ of driven gear and drive gear, respectively.
Let $P$ be the instanteneous center of relative rotation of drive and driven gear (see Fig. 2.1). With the distance $a=\left|\overline{O_{1} O_{2}}\right|$ between the pivot points $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ we get

$$
r(\varphi)+R(\varphi)=a \quad \text { and } \quad \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)=\frac{\left|\overline{O_{1} P}\right|}{\left|\overline{O_{2} P}\right|}=\frac{r(\varphi)}{R(\varphi)} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(\varphi)=\frac{a \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)}{1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)} \quad \text { and } \quad R(\varphi)=\frac{a}{1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The instantaneous center $P$ is in the rotating $x, y$-system given by $X_{P}(\varphi)=r(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}$, hence here the path of $P$ is the curve (centrode of the drive gear)

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{P}:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi \mapsto X_{P}(\varphi)=r(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rotating $\xi, \eta$-system, the path of $P$ is the curve (centrode of the driven gear)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{P}:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \Xi_{P}(\varphi)=-R(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2.1: Generation of the centrodes $X_{P}$ and $\Xi_{P}$

The arc length of $X_{P}$ between the points $X_{P}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)$ and $X_{P}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)=\int_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi_{1}}\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \mathrm{d} \varphi \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)=\frac{\mathrm{d} X_{P}(\varphi)}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}$ is the tangent vector of $X_{P}$ at point $X_{P}(\varphi)$ (momentary contact point of $X_{P}$ and $\Xi_{P}$ ). From (2.8) one gets

$$
X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)=r^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}-r(\varphi) \mathrm{i}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}=\left(r^{\prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} r(\varphi)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi},
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| & =\left|\left(r^{\prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} r(\varphi)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right|=\left|r^{\prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} r(\varphi)\right|\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right| \\
& =\left|r^{\prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} r(\varphi)\right|=\sqrt{r^{\prime 2}(\varphi)+r^{2}(\varphi)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $r(\varphi)$ in (2.7) we find

$$
r^{\prime}(\varphi)=\frac{a \psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}
$$

It follows that

$$
X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)=\left(\frac{a \psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}-\frac{a \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)}{1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}=\frac{a \psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)-a \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|=\sqrt{\frac{a^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{4}}+\frac{a^{2} \psi^{\prime 2}(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}}=\frac{a w(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\varphi):=\sqrt{\psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime 2}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have found

$$
s\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)=a I\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right):=\int_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi_{1}} \frac{w(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \varphi . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The perimeter $u$ of $X_{P}$ ist given by $u=a I(0,2 \pi)$. We have

$$
u=a I(0,2 \pi)=z_{1} p=z_{1} \pi m,
$$

where $z_{1}$ is the number of teeth of the drive gear, $p$ the tooth pitch and $m$ the module (see Fig. 2.2 ), hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{z_{1} \pi m}{I(0,2 \pi)} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2.2: Reference profile of the rack-cutter

Fig. 2.3 is crucial for all further considerations and calculations. The reference line (centrode) of the rack-cutter is always tangent to the centrodes $X_{P}$ and $\Xi_{P}$ at the intanteneous rotation center $P$. During the rotations of $X_{P}$ and $\Xi_{P}$ about $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$, respectively, the reference line is shifted between $X_{P}$ and $\Xi_{P}$, where it generally rotates around $P$. Rigidly connected to the reference line are the two flanks marked with " + " and " - ". (Note that $P$ in general is not in the middle between both flanks.) The relative motion between one of the centrodes and the reference line is a pure
rolling. The flanks " + " and "-" produce the corresponding flank curves " + " and " - ", respectively, of tooth $k$ of the drive gear ( $X_{F, k, \pm}$ in Fig. 3.2) and tooth space $k$ of the driven gear.

Convention: In order to abbreviate the text, the following convention will be used throughout the paper. In formula symbols and formulas that contain " $\pm$ " and sometimes also " $\mp$ ", the upper sign is for the "+"-flank and the lower sign for the "-"-flank. Example: The sentences "The point $M_{ \pm}$in Fig. 2.2 generates the curve $X_{M, k, \pm}$ in Fig. 3.2." and "The points $M_{ \pm}$in Fig. 2.2 generate the curves $X_{M, k, \pm}$ in Fig. 3.2." are abbreviations for the sentence "The points $M_{+}$and $M_{-}$in Fig. 2.2 generate the curves $X_{M, k,+}$ and $X_{M, k,-}$, respectively, in Fig. 3.2." (Since Fig. 3.2 shows the curves for a tooth of the drive gear, the point $M_{-}$is the left one in Fig. 2.2.)


Fig. 2.3: Definition of the flanks " + " and "-"

## 3 Drive gear

The tangent unit vector $T$ at point $X_{P}(\varphi)$ of $X_{P}$ (see Fig. 3.1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\varphi):=\frac{X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|}=\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)}{w(\varphi)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Splitting (3.1) into real and imaginary part gives

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
t_{x}(\varphi):=\operatorname{Re} T(\varphi)=\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \cos \varphi-\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \sin \varphi}{w(\varphi)},  \tag{3.2}\\
t_{y}(\varphi):=\operatorname{Im} T(\varphi)=-\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \sin \varphi+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \cos \varphi}{w(\varphi)} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We denote the value of $\varphi$ in the middle of the tooth $k$ to be generated by $\chi(k), k=1, \ldots, z_{1}$. One gets these values - in general numerically - from

$$
\begin{equation*}
a I(0, \chi(k))=(k-1) \pi m \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $I(\cdot, \cdot)$ according to (2.13), and $a$ according to (2.14).
Now we determine a parametric equation for the rack-cutter flank line $X_{W, k, \pm}$ (see Fig. 3.1) that generates tooth flank curve $X_{F, k, \pm}, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{1}$ (see Fig. 3.2). If $\varphi=\chi(k)$, then the point $R_{1}$ of the rack-cutter coincides with the point $X_{P}(\chi(k))$ of the centrode $X_{P}$ (see Fig. 3.1). The vectors $T(\varphi)$ and $\overrightarrow{X_{P}(\varphi) R_{1}}$ point into the same direction if $I(\chi(k), \varphi)<0$, which is not the case in Fig. 3.1. The signed arc length between the points $X_{P}(\chi(k))$ and $X_{P}(\varphi)(=$ signed distance between $R_{1}$ and $\left.X_{P}(\varphi)\right)$ is equal to $a I(\chi(k), \varphi)$. The signed distances $\lambda_{k,+}(\varphi)$ and $\lambda_{k,-}(\varphi)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi):= \pm \frac{\pi m}{4}-a I(\chi(k), \varphi) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3.1: Motion of the rack-cutter during the generation of tooth $k$
$\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)>0$ indicates that $T(\varphi)$ and the arrow of $\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)$ have the same direction. So we have the following parametric equation for the rack-cutter flank line $X_{W, k, \pm}$

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu) & =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) T(\varphi)+\mu T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \pm \alpha\right)} \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) T(\varphi)+\mu T(\varphi) \mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)+\mu \mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}\right) T(\varphi), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}
\end{align*}
$$

Splitting (3.5) into real and imaginary part gives

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
x_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu)=r(\varphi) \cos (\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) t_{x}(\varphi)+\mu\left(\mp t_{x}(\varphi) \sin \alpha-t_{y}(\varphi) \cos \alpha\right),  \tag{3.6}\\
y_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu)=-r(\varphi) \sin (\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) t_{y}(\varphi)+\mu\left(\mp t_{y}(\varphi) \sin \alpha+t_{x}(\varphi) \cos \alpha\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Now, we determine a parametric equation of the tooth flank curves $X_{F, k, \pm}$.


Fig. 3.2: Curves for tooth $k$ (example):
$X_{F, k, \pm}$ flank curves, $X_{M, k, \pm}$ curves of $M_{ \pm}$ (see Fig. 2.2),
$X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ fillet curves,
$X_{P}$ centrode,
$X_{a}$ addendum curve, $X_{f}$ dedendum curve

Theorem 3.1. A parametric equation of the flank curves $X_{F, k, \pm}$ (see Fig. 3.2) of tooth $k, k=$ $1,2, \ldots, z_{1}$, of the drive gear is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)=X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{P}(\varphi)=r(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}, \quad T(\varphi)=\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)}{w(\varphi)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi} \\
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)= \pm \frac{\pi m}{4}-a \int_{\chi(k)}^{\varphi} \frac{w(\phi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\phi)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \phi
\end{gathered}
$$

with

$$
r(\varphi)=\frac{a \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)}{1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)}, \quad w(\varphi)=\sqrt{\psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime 2}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}
$$

and $\chi(k)$ according to (3.3).
Proof. Choosing two points (complex numbers) $A=A(\varphi), B=B(\varphi)$ of the rack-cutter flank line $X_{W, k,+}(\varphi, \cdot)$ or $X_{W, k,-}(\varphi, \cdot)$, the equation of this line can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A(\varphi)-B(\varphi), X]=[A(\varphi), B(\varphi)] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cp. (A.7)). A tooth flank curve is the envelope (see e.g. Baule [1, pp. 94-98]) of the family (3.8) of cutter flank lines with $\varphi$ varying in a suitable interval. The equation of the envelope can be obtained from the linear equation system

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
{[A-B, X]} & =[A, B] \\
\left.(A-B)^{\prime}, X\right] & =[A, B]^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

which, according to (A.1), can be written as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\overline{(A-B)} X-(A-B) \bar{X}=2 \mathrm{i}[A, B]  \tag{3.9}\\
\overline{(A-B)^{\prime}} X-(A-B)^{\prime} \bar{X}=2 \mathrm{i}[A, B]^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The solution of (3.9) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
2 \mathrm{i}[A, B] & -(A-B) \\
2 \mathrm{i}[A, B]^{\prime} & -(A-B)^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left\lvert\, \frac{\overline{(A-B)}}{}-(A-B)\right.}=2 \mathrm{i} \frac{[A, B](A-B)^{\prime}-[A, B]^{\prime}(A-B)}{\overline{(A-B)}(A-B)^{\prime}-(A-B) \overline{(A-B)^{\prime}}} \\
& =\frac{[A, B](A-B)^{\prime}-[A, B]^{\prime}(A-B)}{\left[A-B,(A-B)^{\prime}\right]} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=A(\varphi):=X_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, 1)=X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) T(\varphi)+\mathrm{i}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T(\varphi) \\
& B=B(\varphi):=X_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, 0)=X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) T(\varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

then we have

$$
A-B=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T(\varphi), \quad(A-B)^{\prime}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}(\varphi)
$$

From (A.5), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[A-B,(A-B)^{\prime}\right] } & =\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T(\varphi), \mathrm{i}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}(\varphi)\right]=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \overline{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}}\left[T(\varphi), T^{\prime}(\varphi)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha}\left[T(\varphi), T^{\prime}(\varphi)\right]=\left[T(\varphi), T^{\prime}(\varphi)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following we respectively write $X_{P}, T, \lambda, w$ and $\psi$ instead of $X_{P}(\varphi), T(\varphi), \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi), w(\varphi)$ and $\psi(\varphi)$. Applying (A.2), (A.3), (A.4) and (A.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[A, B] } & =\left[X_{P}+\lambda T+\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}+\lambda T\right] \\
& =\left[X_{P}+\lambda T, X_{P}+\lambda T\right]+\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}+\lambda T\right] \\
& =\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right]+\lambda\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, T\right]=\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right]+\lambda T \bar{T}\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, 1\right] \\
& =\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right]-\lambda\left[1, \mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}\right]=\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right]-\lambda \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}\right) \\
& =\left[\mathrm{i}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right]-\lambda \cos \alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, with (3.1), (A.2), (A.3), (A.4), (A.5) and (A.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
{[A, B]^{\prime} } & =\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}, X_{P}\right]+\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}^{\prime}\right]-\lambda^{\prime} \cos \alpha \\
& =\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}, X_{P}\right]+\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T,\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| T\right]-\lambda^{\prime} \cos \alpha \\
& =\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}, X_{P}\right]+\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| T \bar{T}\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, 1\right]-\lambda^{\prime} \cos \alpha \\
& =\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}, X_{P}\right]-\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right|\left[1, \mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}\right]-\lambda^{\prime} \cos \alpha \\
& =\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}, X_{P}\right]-\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| \cos \alpha-\lambda^{\prime} \cos \alpha \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

For the derivative of $\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)$ from (3.4) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}\left( \pm \frac{\pi m}{4}-a \int_{\chi(k)}^{\varphi} \frac{w(\phi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\phi)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)=-\frac{a w(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (3.12) with (2.11) one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)=-\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which simplifies (3.11) to

$$
[A, B]^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{i}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}, X_{P}\right]
$$

Now, (3.10) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{\left(\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right]-\lambda \cos \alpha\right) T^{\prime}-\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}, X_{P}\right] T}{\left[T, T^{\prime}\right]} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is necessary to determine the derivative of $T(\varphi)$. We write (3.1) as

$$
T w \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}=\psi^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime 2}
$$

and get

$$
T^{\prime} w \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}+T w^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}+T w \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}=\psi^{(3)}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime}-2 \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{\prime} & =\frac{\left(\psi^{(3)}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime}-2 \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}-T w^{\prime}-\mathrm{i} T w}{w} \\
& =\frac{T}{w^{2}}\left(w \frac{\psi^{(3)}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime}-2 \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime}}{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi}-w w^{\prime}-\mathrm{i} w^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{T}{w^{2}}\left(w^{2} \frac{\psi^{(3)}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime}-2 \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}\left(1+\psi^{\prime}\right)}-w w^{\prime}-\mathrm{i} w^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{T}{w^{2}}\left(w^{2} \frac{\psi^{(3)}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime}-2 \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}\left(1+\psi^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}\left(1+\psi^{\prime}\right)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}\left(1+\psi^{\prime}\right)}-w w^{\prime}-\mathrm{i} w^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{T}{w^{2}}\left[\left(\psi^{(3)}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime}-2 \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\psi^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}+\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime 2}\right)-w w^{\prime}-\mathrm{i} w^{2}\right] \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

With

$$
w^{\prime}=\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\psi^{\prime}+3 \psi^{\prime 2}+2 \psi^{\prime 3}+\psi^{(3)}\right)}{w}
$$

(3.15) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\prime}=T w^{-2}[ & \left(\psi^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}+\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime 2}\right)\left(\psi^{(3)}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime}-2 \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime}\right)-\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\psi^{\prime}+3 \psi^{\prime 2}+2 \psi^{\prime 3}+\psi^{(3)}\right) \\
& \left.-\mathrm{i}\left(\psi^{\prime \prime 2}+\psi^{\prime 2}+2 \psi^{\prime 3}+\psi^{\prime 4}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= T w^{-2}\left(\psi^{\prime \prime} \psi^{(3)}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime 2}-2 \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime 2}+\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{(3)}+\psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime}+2 \psi^{\prime 2} \psi^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime 2} \psi^{(3)}+\psi^{\prime 2} \psi^{\prime \prime}+2 \psi^{\prime 3} \psi^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\psi^{\prime \prime} \psi^{\prime}-3 \psi^{\prime \prime} \psi^{\prime 2}-2 \psi^{\prime \prime} \psi^{\prime 3}-\psi^{\prime \prime} \psi^{(3)}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime 2}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime 2}-2 \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime 3}-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime 4}\right) \\
&=\mathrm{i} T w^{-2}\left(\psi^{\prime} \psi^{(3)}+\psi^{\prime 2} \psi^{(3)}-\psi^{\prime 2}-2 \psi^{\prime 3}-\psi^{\prime 4}-2 \psi^{\prime \prime 2}-2 \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime \prime 2}\right) \\
&=\mathrm{i} T w^{-2}\left[\left(1+\psi^{\prime}\right) \psi^{\prime} \psi^{(3)}-\left(1+\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2} \psi^{\prime 2}-2\left(1+\psi^{\prime}\right) \psi^{\prime \prime 2}\right] \\
&=\mathrm{i} T w^{-2}\left(1+\psi^{\prime}\right)\left[\psi^{\prime}\left(\psi^{(3)}-\psi^{\prime}-\psi^{\prime 2}\right)-2 \psi^{\prime \prime 2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

So, with the function

$$
\begin{align*}
h:[0,2 \pi] & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
\varphi & \mapsto h(\varphi):=\frac{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)\left[\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(\psi^{(3)}(\varphi)-\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)-\psi^{2}(\varphi)\right)-2 \psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)\right]}{w^{2}(\varphi)} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

we have found

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}(\varphi)=\mathrm{i} h(\varphi) T(\varphi) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.17), we get

$$
\left[T, T^{\prime}\right]=[T, \mathrm{i} h T]=h[T, \mathrm{i} T]=h T \bar{T}[1, \mathrm{i}]=h
$$

and

$$
\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{\prime}, X_{P}\right]=\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \mathrm{i} h T, X_{P}\right]=\left[-\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} h T, X_{P}\right]=-h\left[\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right]
$$

hence (3.14) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =\frac{\left(\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right]-\lambda \cos \alpha\right) \mathrm{i} h T+h\left[\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right] T}{h} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =\left\{\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right] \mathrm{i} T-\mathrm{i} \lambda T \cos \alpha+\left[\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T, X_{P}\right] T\right\} \mathrm{i}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T \bar{X}_{P}+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha} \bar{T} X_{P}\right) T-\mathrm{i} \lambda T \cos \alpha+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T \bar{X}_{P}-\mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha} \bar{T} X_{P}\right) T\right\} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =\left\{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{2} \bar{X}_{P}+\mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha} T \bar{T} X_{P}\right)-\mathrm{i} \lambda T \cos \alpha+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T^{2} \bar{X}_{P}-\mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha} T \bar{T} X_{P}\right)\right\} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =\left\{-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha} X_{P}-\mathrm{i} \lambda T \cos \alpha\right\} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =X_{P}+\lambda T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

We put $X_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi):=X$, and the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.
From Theorem 3.1 with (3.2) one easily finds the result of Corollary 3.1.
Corollary 3.1. A parametric representation of the flank curves $X_{F, k, \pm}$ of tooth $k, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{1}$, of the drive gear is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)=r(\varphi) \cos \varphi-\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha \frac{\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \sin (\varphi \mp \alpha)-\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \cos (\varphi \mp \alpha)}{w(\varphi)} \\
& y_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)=-r(\varphi) \sin \varphi-\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha \frac{\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \cos (\varphi \mp \alpha)+\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \sin (\varphi \mp \alpha)}{w(\varphi)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.1. The first Frenet formula for plane curves (see e. g. Kühnel [5, p. 10]) is

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} T}{\mathrm{~d} s}=\kappa \mathrm{i} T
$$

where $\mathrm{i} T$ is the unit normal vector which one gets by a counter-clockwise rotation of $T$ around $\pi / 2, s$ the arc length, and $\kappa$ the oriented curvature. In the case of the curve $X_{P}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} T}{\mathrm{~d} s}=\frac{\mathrm{d} T}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}{\mathrm{~d} s}=\kappa \mathrm{i} T \quad \Longrightarrow \quad T^{\prime}(\varphi)=s^{\prime}(\varphi) \kappa(\varphi) \mathrm{i} T(\varphi) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (3.18) to (3.17), one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\varphi)=s^{\prime}(\varphi) \kappa(\varphi) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

With

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime}(\varphi)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}\left(a \int_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi} \frac{w(\phi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\phi)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)=\frac{a w(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}=\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that the curvature of $X_{P}$ at point $\varphi$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa(\varphi) & =\frac{h(\varphi)}{s^{\prime}(\varphi)}=\frac{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2} h(\varphi)}{a w(\varphi)} \\
& =\frac{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{3}\left[\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(\psi^{(3)}(\varphi)-\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)-\psi^{\prime 2}(\varphi)\right)-2 \psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)\right]}{a w^{3}(\varphi)} \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since it can be assumed that $X_{P}$ is a regular curve, we have $s^{\prime}(\varphi)=\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|>0$. If $\kappa(\varphi)>0$, then $T^{\prime}(\varphi)$ and $\mathrm{i} T(\varphi)$ have equal direction, hence $X_{P}$ turns to the left. If $\kappa(\varphi)<0$, then $T^{\prime}(\varphi)$ and $\mathrm{i} T(\varphi)$ have opposite direction, hence $X_{P}$ turns to the right.

The perpendicular to the flank of the rack-cutter at the currently generated point $X_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ of the gear tooth flank $X_{F, k, \pm}$ passes through the instantaneous centre of velocity, $X_{P}(\varphi)$, of the rack-cutter motion (see [15, pp. 26-27], [7, pp. 274-275, 341-342]). Knowing this, it is possible to give a rather short alternative proof of Theorem 3.1 without using the envelope of the family of rack-cutter flank positions.


Fig. 3.3: Sketch for the short proof the Theorem 3.1

Short proof of Theorem 3.1. We consider the situation in which point $X_{F, k,-}(\varphi)$ of the flank curve $X_{F, k,-}$ is generated (see Fig. 3.3). We denote by $A$ the intersection between the reference line and the line $X_{W, k,-}(\varphi, \cdot)$, and by $\mu$ the signed distance between $A$ and $X_{F, k,-}(\varphi) \equiv$ $X_{W, k,-}(\varphi, \mu)$ with $\mu>0(\mu<0)$ if $X_{F, k,-}(\varphi)$ is on the left side (right side) of the reference line with respect to the direction of vector $T(\varphi)$. The signed distance between $X_{P}(\varphi)$ and $A$ is given by $\lambda_{k,-}(\varphi)$ (see (3.4) and Fig. 3.1). The points $X_{P}(\varphi), A$ and $X_{F, k,-}(\varphi)$ are the vertices of a rectangular triangle and thus easily follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=-\lambda_{k,-}(\varphi) \sin \alpha \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously one finds the different value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\lambda_{k,+}(\varphi) \sin \alpha \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the point $X_{F, k,+}(\varphi)$ of the flank curve $X_{F, k,+}$ by considering the rectangular triangle with vertices $X_{P}(\varphi), B$ and $X_{F, k,+}(\varphi)$. So we can write (3.22) and (3.23) together as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu= \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \sin \alpha \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (3.24) into (3.5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi) & =X_{W, k, \pm}\left(\varphi, \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \sin \alpha\right) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)\left(1 \pm \mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \sin \alpha\right) T(\varphi) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)[1 \pm \mathrm{i}(\cos \alpha \pm \mathrm{i} \sin \alpha) \sin \alpha] T(\varphi) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)\left[1 \pm\left(\mathrm{i} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \mp \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\right] T(\varphi) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)\left(1-\sin ^{2} \alpha \pm \mathrm{i} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha\right) T(\varphi) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)\left(\cos ^{2} \alpha \pm \mathrm{i} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha\right) T(\varphi) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha(\cos \alpha \pm \mathrm{i} \sin \alpha) T(\varphi) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

$X_{P}$ is a negatively oriented curve. This means that an outer parallel curve is on its left side, and an inner parallel curve on its right side. Hence, with $X_{P}(\varphi)$ and $T(\varphi)$ according to (2.8) and (3.1), respectively, a parallel curve with distance $d, 0<d<\infty$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi) & =X_{P}(\varphi)+d T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \pi / 2}=X_{P}(\varphi) \pm d \mathrm{i} T(\varphi) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi) \pm d \mathrm{i} \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)}{w(\varphi)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi} \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi) \pm d \frac{\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)}{w(\varphi)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varphi} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where the upper and lower sign are for an outer and inner parallel curve, respectively; $w(\varphi)$ see (2.12). Note that our convention concerning " $\pm$ " does not apply to $X_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi)$. Splitting of (3.25) into real and imaginary part yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi)=r(\varphi) \cos \varphi \pm d \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \sin \varphi+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \cos \varphi}{w(\varphi)} \\
& y_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi)=-r(\varphi) \sin \varphi \pm d \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \cos \varphi-\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \sin \varphi}{w(\varphi)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $X_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ the parametric equation of the curve $X_{M, k, \pm}$ (see Fig. 3.2) of the mid point $M_{ \pm}$(see Fig. 2.2). Considering Fig. 2.2, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \alpha=\frac{\ell_{1}}{h_{f}-\varrho} \quad \text { and } \quad \cos \alpha=\frac{\varrho}{\ell_{2}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using Fig. 3.1 we find

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi) & =X_{P}(\varphi)+\left[ \pm \frac{\pi m}{4} \pm \ell_{1} \pm \ell_{2}-a I(\chi(k), \varphi)\right] T(\varphi)-\mathrm{i}\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) T(\varphi) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha \pm \varrho \sec \alpha\right] T(\varphi)-\mathrm{i}\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) T(\varphi) \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha] T(\varphi) .\right. \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

The tangent unit vector at point $X_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ of $X_{M, k, \pm}$ is given by

$$
T_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi):=\frac{X_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\left|X_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|}
$$

From (3.27) with (3.1), (3.17) and (3.13) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) & =X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) T(\varphi)+\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] T^{\prime}(\varphi) \\
& =\left\{\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|+\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \mathrm{i} h(\varphi)\right\} T(\varphi) \\
& =\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \mathrm{i} h(\varphi) T(\varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

The normal unit vector at point $\varphi$ of the curve $X_{M, k, \pm}$ pointing to the left is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi) & =\frac{X_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi / 2}}{\left|X_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi / 2}\right|}=\frac{X_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{i}}{\left|X_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{i}\right|} \\
& =-\frac{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] h(\varphi) T(\varphi)}{\left|\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] h(\varphi) T(\varphi)\right|} \\
& =-\frac{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] h(\varphi) T(\varphi)}{\left|\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right||h(\varphi)|} \\
& =-\operatorname{sgn}(h(\varphi)) \frac{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] T(\varphi)}{\left|\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right|} \\
& =-\operatorname{sgn}(h(\varphi)) \frac{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] T(\varphi)}{\sqrt{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha \pm\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right]^{2}+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)^{2}}} . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, a parametric equation of the parallel curve of interest (fillet curve), $X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ (see Fig. 3.2), with distance $\varrho$ to the curve $X_{M, k, \pm}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\varrho, k, \pm}(\varphi)=X_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)+\varrho N_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi) . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.29) with (3.27) and (3.28) one easily gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{\varrho, k, \pm}(\varphi)=r(\varphi) \cos \varphi+ & \left(1-\frac{\varrho \operatorname{sgn}(h(\varphi))}{\sqrt{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha \pm\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right]^{2}+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)^{2}}}\right) \\
& \cdot\left\{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha \pm\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right] t_{x}(\varphi)+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) t_{y}(\varphi)\right\}, \\
y_{\varrho, k, \pm}(\varphi)=-r(\varphi) \sin \varphi+ & \left(1-\frac{\varrho \operatorname{sgn}(h(\varphi))}{\sqrt{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha \pm\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right]^{2}+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)^{2}}}\right) \\
& \cdot\left\{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \pm \varrho \sec \alpha \pm\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right] t_{y}(\varphi)-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) t_{x}(\varphi)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Contact point of fillet curve $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{k}, \pm}$ and dedendum curve $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{f}}$. We determine a condition for the value of $\varphi$ at the contact point of fillet curve $X_{\varrho, k,-}$ and dedendum curve $X_{f}:=X_{p, h_{f},-}$ (see Fig. 3.2; $X_{p, d, \pm}$ see (3.25)). This contact point is generated when the normal of the curve $X_{P}$ at point $X_{P}(\varphi)$ passes through point $M_{-}$and thus also through $C_{-}$(see Fig. 3.4). In this


Fig. 3.4: Sketch for the situation when the contact point of $X_{\varrho, k,-}$ (not shown) and $X_{f}$ is generated
situation the point $C_{-}$coincides with the contact point, and we have $\lambda_{k,-}(\varphi)=\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}$, hence (see Fig. 2.2 and Eq. (3.26))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k,-}(\varphi)=\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha+\varrho \sec \alpha \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows as condition for the searched value of $\varphi$. Analogously, for the contact point of the fillet curve $X_{\varrho, k,+}$ and the dedendum curve $X_{f}$ the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k,+}(\varphi)=-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha-\varrho \sec \alpha \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained. We can combine (3.30) and (3.31) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mp \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)=\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha+\varrho \sec \alpha \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Driven gear

Since both flank curves of a tooth of the drive gear are meshing with both flank curves of a tooth space of the driven gear, here we consider the flanks of the tooth spaces (see Fig. 2.3). For the generation of a tooth of the drive gear we used the flanks of a tooth space of the rack-cutter. Now, we use these flanks of the rack-cutter again, but as flanks of a tooth. This approach has the advantage that we can determine corresponding points of the flanks of the drive and the driven gear; that means: points that are in contact for a value of the drive angle $\varphi$.

From (2.7) and (2.9) we get

$$
R^{\prime}(\varphi)=-\frac{a \psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)=-R^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)}-R(\varphi) \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)}
$$

respectively, hence

$$
\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)=\frac{a \psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)}-\frac{a \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)}{1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)}=\frac{a \psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)-a \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| & =\left|\frac{a \psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}-\frac{a \mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)}{1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)}\right|\left|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)}\right|=\sqrt{\frac{a^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{4}}+\frac{a^{2} \psi^{\prime 2}(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{a \sqrt{\psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime 2}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}=\frac{a w(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}}, \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$w(\varphi)$ see (2.12). It follows that the tangent unit vector of $\Xi_{P}$ at point $\Xi_{P}(\varphi)$ (see Fig. 4.1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}(\varphi):=\frac{\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|}=\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)}{w(\varphi)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Splitting (4.2) into real and imaginary part gives

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
t_{\xi}(\varphi) & :=\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{T}(\varphi)=\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \cos \psi(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \sin \psi(\varphi)}{w(\varphi)} \\
t_{\eta}(\varphi) & :=\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{T}(\varphi)=\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \sin \psi(\varphi)-\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \cos \psi(\varphi)}{w(\varphi)} \tag{4.3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Now we determine a parametric equation for the rack-cutter flank line $\Xi_{W, k, \pm}$ that generates flank curve $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$ of tooth space $k, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{2}$. If $\varphi=\chi(k)$, then the point $R_{2}$ of the rackcutter coincides with the point $\Xi_{P}(\chi(k))$ of the centrode $\Xi_{P}$ (see Fig. 4.1). The vectors $\widetilde{T}(\varphi)$
and $\overrightarrow{\Xi_{P}(\varphi) R_{2}}$ point into the same direction if $I(\chi(k), \varphi)<0$. The signed arc length between the points $\Xi_{P}(\chi(k))$ and $\Xi_{P}(\varphi)$ (= signed distance between $R_{2}$ and $\left.\Xi_{P}(\varphi)\right)$ is equal to $a I(\chi(k), \varphi)$. The signed distances $\lambda_{k,+}(\varphi)$ and $\lambda_{k,-}(\varphi)$ are given by (3.4). $\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)>0$ indicates that $T(\varphi)$ and the arrow of $\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)$ have the same direction. So we have the following parametric equation for the rack-cutter flank line $\Xi_{W, k, \pm}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu) & =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi)+\mu \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \pm \alpha\right)} \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi)+\mu \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{ie} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}  \tag{4.4}\\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)+\mu \mathrm{i}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Splitting of (4.4) into real and imaginary part gives

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu)=-R(\varphi) \cos \psi(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) t_{\xi}(\varphi)+\mu\left[\mp t_{\xi}(\varphi) \sin \alpha-t_{\eta}(\varphi) \cos \alpha\right],  \tag{4.5}\\
\eta_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu)=-R(\varphi) \sin \psi(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) t_{\eta}(\varphi)+\mu\left[\mp t_{\eta}(\varphi) \sin \alpha+t_{\xi}(\varphi) \cos \alpha\right] .
\end{array}\right\}
$$



Fig. 4.1: Motion of the rack-cutter during the generation of tooth space $k$

Theorem 4.1. A parametric equation of the flank curves $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$ of tooth space $k, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{2}$, of the driven gear is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)=\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Xi_{P}(\varphi)=-R(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)}, \quad \widetilde{T}(\varphi)=\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)}{w(\varphi)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)}, \\
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)= \pm \frac{\pi m}{4}-a \int_{\chi(k)}^{\varphi} \frac{w(\phi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\phi)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \phi
\end{gathered}
$$

with

$$
R(\varphi)=\frac{a}{1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)}, \quad w(\varphi)=\sqrt{\psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime 2}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}},
$$

and $\chi(k)$ according to (3.3).
Proof. The proof is completely analogous to that of Theorem 3.1. We start with the linear equation system

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
{[A(\varphi)-B(\varphi), \Xi]} & =[A(\varphi), B(\varphi)], \\
{\left[(A(\varphi)-B(\varphi))^{\prime}, \Xi\right]} & =[A(\varphi), B(\varphi)]^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=A(\varphi):=\Xi_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, 1)=\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi)+\mathrm{i}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \widetilde{T}(\varphi), \\
& B=B(\varphi):=\Xi_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, 0)=\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi),
\end{aligned}
$$

and get

$$
\begin{gathered}
A-B=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \widetilde{T}(\varphi), \quad(A-B)^{\prime}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi), \quad\left[A-B,(A-B)^{\prime}\right]=\left[\widetilde{T}(\varphi), \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right], \\
{[A, B]=\left[\mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \widetilde{T}(\varphi), \Xi_{P}(\varphi)\right]-\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha,} \\
{[A, B]^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{i}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi), \Xi_{P}(\varphi)\right]-\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}\right| \cos \alpha-\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \cos \alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

With

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)=-\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see (3.12) and (4.1)) we have

$$
[A, B]^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi), \Xi_{P}(\varphi)\right] .
$$

It follows that (cp. (3.14))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\frac{\left(\left[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \widetilde{T}(\varphi), \Xi_{P}(\varphi)\right]-\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha\right) \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi)-\left[\mathrm{i}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi), \Xi_{P}(\varphi)\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi)}{\left[\widetilde{T}(\varphi), \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right]} \mathrm{i}{ }^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.2) one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi)=\mathrm{i} \tilde{h}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the function

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{h}:[0,2 \pi] & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
\varphi & \mapsto \widetilde{h}(\varphi):=\frac{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)\left[\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(\psi^{(3)}(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime 2}(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime 3}(\varphi)\right)-\psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)\right]}{w^{2}(\varphi)} . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging (4.9) into (4.8) finally gives

$$
\Xi=\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha .
$$

We put $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi):=\Xi$ which completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.
From Theorem 4.1 with (4.3) one concludes the result of Corollary 4.1.
Corollary 4.1. A parametric representation of the flank curves $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$ of tooth space $k, k=$ $1,2, \ldots, z_{2}$, of the driven gear is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)= & -R(\varphi) \cos \psi(\varphi) \\
& +\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \cos (\psi(\varphi) \pm \alpha)+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \sin (\psi(\varphi) \pm \alpha)}{w(\varphi)}, \\
\eta_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)= & -R(\varphi) \sin \psi(\varphi) \\
& +\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \sin (\psi(\varphi) \pm \alpha)-\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \cos (\psi(\varphi) \pm \alpha)}{w(\varphi)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.1. The first Frenet formula in the case of the curve $\Xi_{P}$ is

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \widetilde{T}}{\mathrm{~d} s}=\widetilde{\kappa} \mathrm{i} \widetilde{T}
$$

where $s$ is the arc length and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ the oriented curvature. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \widetilde{T}}{\mathrm{~d} s}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \widetilde{T}}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}{\mathrm{~d} s}=\kappa \mathrm{i} \widetilde{T} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi)=s^{\prime}(\varphi) \widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi) \mathrm{i} \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (4.11) to (4.9), one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{h}(\varphi)=s^{\prime}(\varphi) \widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

With

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime}(\varphi)=\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see (3.20) and (4.1)), it follows that the curvature of $\Xi_{P}$ at point $\varphi$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi) & =\frac{\widetilde{h}(\varphi)}{s^{\prime}(\varphi)}=\frac{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2} \widetilde{h}(\varphi)}{a w(\varphi)} \\
& =\frac{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{3}\left[\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(\psi^{(3)}(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime 2}(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime 3}(\varphi)\right)-\psi^{\prime \prime 2}(\varphi)\right]}{a w^{3}(\varphi)} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since it can be assumed that $\Xi_{P}$ is a regular curve, we have $s^{\prime}(\varphi)=\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|>0$. If $\widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi)>0$, then $\widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi)$ and $\mathrm{i} \widetilde{T}(\varphi)$ have equal direction, hence $\Xi_{P}$ turns to the left. If $\widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi)<0$, then $\widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi)$ and $\mathrm{i} \widetilde{T}(\varphi)$ have opposite direction, hence $\Xi_{P}$ turns to the right.

As for Theorem 3.1, we give a short proof of Theorem 4.1 which uses the fact that the perpendicular to the flank of the rack-cutter at the currently generated point of the gear tooth flank passes through the instantaneous centre of velocity of the motion of the rack-cutter.

Short proof of Theorem 4.1. The value of the parameter $\mu$ at the contact point $\Xi_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu) \equiv$ $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ between rack-cutter flank line $\Xi_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \cdot)$ and tooth flank curve $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$ to be generated is given by (3.24). Therefore, applying (4.4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi) & =\Xi_{W, k, \pm}\left(\varphi, \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \sin \alpha\right) \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)\left(1 \pm \mathrm{ie}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \sin \alpha\right) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Xi_{P}$ is a positively oriented curve. An outer parallel curve is on its right side, and an inner parallel curve on its left side. Therefore, with $\Xi_{P}(\varphi)$ and $\widetilde{T}(\varphi)$ according to (2.9) and (4.2), respectively, a parallel curve with distance $d, 0<d<\infty$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi) & =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+d \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \pi / 2}=\Xi_{P}(\varphi) \mp d \mathrm{i} \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi) \mp d \mathrm{i} \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)-\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)}{w(\varphi)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)} \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi) \mp d \frac{\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)+\mathrm{i} \psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)}{w(\varphi)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \psi(\varphi)} \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the upper and lower sign are for the outer and inner parallel curve, respectively; $w(\varphi)$ see (2.12). Note that our convention concerning " $\pm$ " does not apply to $\Xi_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi)$. Splitting of (4.15) into real and imaginary part yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi)=-R(\varphi) \cos \psi(\varphi) \mp d \frac{\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \cos \psi(\varphi)-\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \sin \psi(\varphi)}{w(\varphi)} \\
& \eta_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi)=-R(\varphi) \sin \psi(\varphi) \mp d \frac{\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \sin \psi(\varphi)+\psi^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \cos \psi(\varphi)}{w(\varphi)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $\Xi_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ the parametric equation of the curve $\Xi_{M, k, \pm}$ of the mid point $M_{ \pm}$in Fig. 2.2 where $M_{-}$is the right one. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)= & \Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\left[ \pm \frac{\pi m}{4} \mp\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha \mp \varrho \sec \alpha-a I(\chi(k), \varphi)\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \\
& +\mathrm{i}\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \\
= & \Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha] \widetilde{T}(\varphi)\right. \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The tangent unit vector at point $\Xi_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ of $\Xi_{M, k, \pm}$ is given by

$$
\widetilde{T}_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi):=\frac{\Xi_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\left|\Xi_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|}
$$

From (4.16) with (4.2), (4.9) and (4.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) & =\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi)+\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi) \\
& =\left\{\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|+\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \mathrm{i} \widetilde{h}(\varphi)\right\} \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \\
& =\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \mathrm{i} \widetilde{h}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

The normal unit vector at point $\varphi$ of the curve $\Xi_{M, k, \pm}$ pointing to the right is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{N}_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi) & =\frac{\Xi_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi / 2}}{\left|\Xi_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi / 2}\right|}=-\frac{\Xi_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{i}}{\left|\Xi_{M, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{i}\right|} \\
& =\frac{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \widetilde{h}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi)}{\left|\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \widetilde{h}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi)\right|} \\
& =\frac{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \widetilde{h}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi)}{\left|\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right||\widetilde{h}(\varphi)|} \\
& =\operatorname{sgn}(\widetilde{h}(\varphi)) \frac{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi)}{\left|\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right|} \\
& =\operatorname{sgn}(\widetilde{h}(\varphi)) \frac{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)(\mathrm{i} \mp \tan \alpha)\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi)}{\sqrt{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha \mp\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right]^{2}+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)^{2}}} . \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{\varrho, k, \pm}(\varphi)=\Xi_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)+\varrho \widetilde{N}_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a parametric equation of the parallel curve of interest (fillet curve), $\Xi_{\varrho, k, \pm}$, with distance $\varrho$ to curve $\Xi_{M, k, \pm}$. From (4.18) with (4.16) and (4.17) one easily gets

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\xi_{\varrho, k, \pm}(\varphi)=-R(\varphi) \cos \psi(\varphi)+ & \left(1+\frac{\varrho \operatorname{sgn}(\widetilde{h}(\varphi))}{\sqrt{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha \mp\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right]^{2}+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)^{2}}}\right) \\
\eta_{\varrho, k, \pm}(\varphi)=-R(\varphi) \sin \psi(\varphi)+ & \cdot\left\{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha \mp\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right] t_{\xi}(\varphi)-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) t_{\eta}(\varphi)\right\} \\
\sqrt{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha \mp\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right]^{2}+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right)^{2}}
\end{array}\right), ~ \begin{aligned}
& \varrho \operatorname{sgn}(\widetilde{h}(\varphi)) \\
& \cdot\left\{\left[\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mp \varrho \sec \alpha \mp\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha\right] t_{\eta}(\varphi)+\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) t_{\xi}(\varphi)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Contact point of fillet curve $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ and dedendum curve $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{f}}$. One finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)=\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \tan \alpha+\varrho \sec \alpha \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

as condition for the value of $\varphi$ at the contact point of $\Xi_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ and $\Xi_{f}:=\Xi_{p, h_{f},-}\left(\Xi_{p, d, \pm}\right.$ see (4.15)).

## 5 Undercut

### 5.1 Drive gear (undercut)

There are two cases for the transition between the fillet curve $X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ and the working part of the flank curve $X_{F, k, \pm}$ :
a) $X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ and the working part of $X_{F, k, \pm}$ have a common tangent direction at the transition point. It is said that the flank is free of undercut.
b) $X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ and the working part $X_{F, k, \pm}$ have no common tangent direction at the transition point. It is said that undercut occurs at the flank.

Normally, there exists always exactly one contact point of $X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ and $X_{F, k, \pm}$ where both curves have a common tangent direction. In case (a) the transition point is the contact point, in case (b) not.

We consider the "-"-side of the gear tooth. Undercut occurs if the value $\varphi_{B, k,-}$ of the parameter $\varphi$ at the contact point of $X_{F, k,-}$ and $X_{\varrho, k,-}$ is smaller than then value $\varphi_{S, k,-}$ of $\varphi$ at the singular point (cusp) of $X_{F, k,-}$. On the " + "-side we have the reverse situation: Undercut occurs if the value $\varphi_{B, k,+}$ of $\varphi$ at the contact point of $X_{F, k,+}$ and $X_{\varrho, k,+}$ is greater than the value $\varphi_{S, k,+}$ of $\varphi$ at the singular point (cusp) of $X_{F, k,+}$. One gets the values $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}$ by deriving $X_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ (see (3.7)) with respect to $\varphi$, and then setting the result equal to zero,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{F, k, \pm}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d} X_{F, k, \pm}}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}\right)=0 . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.1), (3.13) and (3.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{F, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)= & X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) T(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) T^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
= & \left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| T(\varphi)+\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mathrm{i} h(\varphi)\right) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
= & {\left[-\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mathrm{i} h(\varphi)\right)(\cos \alpha \pm \mathrm{i} \sin \alpha) \cos \alpha\right] T(\varphi) } \\
= & {\left[-\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)\left(\cos ^{2} \alpha \pm \mathrm{i} \sin \alpha \cos \alpha\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) h(\varphi)\left(\mathrm{i} \cos ^{2} \alpha \mp \sin \alpha \cos \alpha\right)\right] T(\varphi) \\
= & {\left[-\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \cos ^{2} \alpha \mp \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) h(\varphi) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha\right.} \\
& \left.+\mathrm{i}\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) h(\varphi) \cos ^{2} \alpha \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha\right)\right] T(\varphi) \\
= & {\left[-\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \sin ^{2} \alpha \mp \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) h(\varphi) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha\right.} \\
& \left.+\mathrm{i}\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) h(\varphi) \cos ^{2} \alpha \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha\right)\right] T(\varphi) \\
= & {\left[-\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \sin \alpha \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) h(\varphi) \cos \alpha\right) \sin \alpha\right.} \\
& \left.+\mathrm{i}\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) h(\varphi) \cos \alpha \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \sin \alpha\right) \cos \alpha\right] T(\varphi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the condition (5.1) it follows that the term in the square brackets is equal to zero, and so real and imaginary part of this term are equal to zero which gives

$$
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) h(\varphi) \cos \alpha \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \sin \alpha=0,
$$

hence

$$
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) h(\varphi)=\mp \lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \tan \alpha .
$$

Using (3.19) and $s^{\prime}(\varphi)=-\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)(c p$. (3.12) and (3.20)), we get

$$
-\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \kappa(\varphi)=\mp \lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \tan \alpha .
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \kappa(\varphi)= \pm \tan \alpha \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as condition for the value $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}$ of $\varphi$ at the singular point of $X_{F, k, \pm}$.


Fig. 5.1: Sketch for the situation when the contact point of $X_{F, k, \pm}$ and $X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ is generated
Now, we determine a condition for the value of $\varphi$ at the contact point of $X_{F, k, \pm}$ and $X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ (see Fig. 3.2). We denote by $D_{ \pm}$the point where the rack-cutter " $\pm$"-flank is tangent to its rack-cutter fillet (see Fig. 5.1). When the contact point is generated, the rack-cutter " $\pm$ "-flank at its point $D_{ \pm}$is tangent to $X_{F, k, \pm}$ (not shown), and at the same time to $X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ (also not shown). So the rectangular triangle $D_{ \pm} E_{ \pm} X_{P}(\varphi)$ gives

$$
\sin \alpha=\frac{\ell_{3}+\ell_{4}}{\mp \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)},
$$

where, for $\ell_{4}$ see also Fig. 2.2,

$$
\ell_{3}=\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \sec \alpha, \quad \ell_{4}=\varrho \tan \alpha,
$$

hence

$$
\mp \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)=\frac{h_{f}-\varrho}{\cos \alpha \sin \alpha}+\frac{\varrho}{\cos \alpha},
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mp \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha=\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \csc \alpha+\varrho . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the condition for the value $\varphi_{B, k, \pm}$ of the parameter $\varphi$ at the contact point. We write the condition (5.2) for the singular point as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha= \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa(\varphi)} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the functions $\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)$ are strictly decreasing, and the non-undercutting conditions are

$$
\varphi_{S, k,-} \leq \varphi_{B, k,-} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{S, k,+} \geq \varphi_{B, k,+}
$$

we have

$$
\lambda_{k,-}\left(\varphi_{S, k,-}\right) \geq \lambda_{k,-}\left(\varphi_{B, k,-}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{k,+}\left(\varphi_{S, k,+}\right) \leq \lambda_{k,+}\left(\varphi_{B, k,+}\right)
$$

Therefore, from (5.3), (5.4), and $\cos \alpha>0,0 \leq \alpha<\pi / 2$, one gets

$$
-\frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k,-}\right)} \geq\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \csc \alpha+\varrho \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k,+}\right)} \leq-\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \csc \alpha-\varrho .
$$

Putting together these inequalities, we have

$$
\frac{\sin \alpha}{-\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)} \geq\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \csc \alpha+\varrho .
$$

Since $\kappa(\varphi) \leq 0,0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$, we have $-\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right) \geq 0$ and

$$
-\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right) \leq \frac{\sin \alpha}{\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \csc \alpha+\varrho}=\frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{h_{f}-\varrho+\varrho \sin \alpha} .
$$

So we have found the following theorem.
Theorem 5.1. The " $\pm$ "-flank of tooth $k, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{1}$, of the drive gear is free of undercut if

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right) \leq \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{h_{f}-\varrho(1-\sin \alpha)}, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $\kappa(\varphi)$ is the curvature at point $X_{P}(\varphi)$ of the centrode $X_{P}$ according to (3.21),
- $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}$ the value of $\varphi$ at the singular point (cusp) of $X_{F, k, \pm}$ which is the solution of (5.2),
- $\alpha, h_{f}$ and $\varrho$ are the profile angle, the dedendum and the fillet radius, respectively (see Fig. 2.2).

Note that, besides $\alpha, h_{f}$ and $\varrho$, the condition (5.5) depends only on the curvature $\kappa(\varphi)$ of the centrode $X_{P}$ at the only point $X_{P}\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)$. So, in order to check if tooth $k$ is free of undercut, it is necessary to determine the singular points $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}$ from (5.2) and then use (5.5).
Corollary 5.1. Every tooth of the drive gear is free of undercut if

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\kappa(\varphi) \leq \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{h_{f}-\varrho(1-\sin \alpha)} \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the dimensionless quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{f}^{*}:=\frac{h_{f}}{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \varrho^{*}:=\frac{\varrho}{m}, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (5.6) we get the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{\left(h_{f}^{*}-\varrho^{*}(1-\sin \alpha)\right) \max _{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi}(-\kappa(\varphi))} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the values of the module $m$ which provide that every tooth of the drive gear is free of undercut. $h_{f}^{*}$ and $\varrho^{*}$ in (5.8) can be freely chosen within reasonable limits, e.g. according to the rack-cutters available or to DIN 3972 [3]. Note that $\kappa(\varphi)$ depends on the pivot distance $a=\overline{O_{1} O_{2}}$. A greater value of $a$ yields a smaller value of $\max _{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi}(-\kappa(\varphi))$; hence greater values of $m$ are possible. On the other hand, the chosen value of $m$ influences $a$. From (5.8) with (3.21) one gets

$$
\frac{m}{a} \leq \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{\left(h_{f}^{*}-\varrho^{*}(1-\sin \alpha)\right) \max _{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi}\left|\frac{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2} h(\varphi)}{w(\varphi)}\right|}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{m} \geq \frac{h_{f}^{*}-\varrho^{*}(1-\sin \alpha)}{\sin ^{2} \alpha} \max _{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi}\left|\frac{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2} h(\varphi)}{w(\varphi)}\right| \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (5.9) provides an useful criterion to determine pivot distance $a$ and module $m$ if undercut is not allowed. The ratio $a / m$ can be considered as dimensionless pivot distance in a certain sense. (Clearly, for $m=1 \mathrm{~mm}$ it is the real pivot distance without the unit " mm ".) With (2.14) we get

$$
z_{1} \geq \frac{I(0,2 \pi)\left(h_{f}^{*}-\varrho^{*}(1-\sin \alpha)\right)}{\pi \sin ^{2} \alpha} \max _{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi}\left|\frac{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2} h(\varphi)}{w(\varphi)}\right|
$$

as criterion for the number $z_{1}$ of teeth necessary to ensure that each tooth is free of undercut.

### 5.2 Driven gear (undercut)

We determine the singular point $\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}$ of $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$. It follows from the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{F, k, \pm}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right)=0 . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (4.2), (4.9) and (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{F, k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)= & \Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)+\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
= & \left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \widetilde{T}(\varphi)+\left(-\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mathrm{i} \widetilde{h}(\varphi)\right) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
= & {\left[\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|+\left(-\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \mathrm{i} \widetilde{h}(\varphi)\right)(\cos \alpha \pm \mathrm{i} \sin \alpha) \cos \alpha\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi) } \\
= & {\left[\left(\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \sin \alpha \mp \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{h}(\varphi) \cos \alpha\right) \sin \alpha\right.} \\
& \left.+\mathrm{i}\left(\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{h}(\varphi) \cos \alpha \mp\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \sin \alpha\right) \cos \alpha\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (5.10) it follows that the term in the square brackets is equal to zero, and so real and imaginary part of this term are equal to zero which gives

$$
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{h}(\varphi) \cos \alpha \mp\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \sin \alpha=0
$$

hence

$$
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{h}(\varphi)= \pm\left|\Xi_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \tan \alpha
$$

Using (4.12) and (4.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi)= \pm \tan \alpha \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as condition for the values $\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}$ of $\varphi$ at the singular points of $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$. One finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm \lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \cos \alpha=\left(h_{f}-\varrho\right) \csc \alpha+\varrho \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as condition for the value $\widetilde{\varphi}_{B, k, \pm}$ of $\varphi$ at the contact point of $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$ and $\Xi_{\varrho, k, \pm}$. Finally, one gets the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. The " $\pm$ "-flank of tooth space $k, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{2}$, of the driven gear is free of undercut if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\kappa}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right) \leq \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{h_{f}-\varrho(1-\sin \alpha)} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $\widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi)$ is the curvature at point $\Xi_{P}(\varphi)$ of the centrode $\Xi_{P}$ according to (4.14),
- $\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}$ the value of $\varphi$ at the singular point (cusp) of $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$ which is the solution of (5.11),
- $\alpha, h_{f}$ and $\varrho$ are the profile angle, the dedendum and the fillet radius, respectively (see Fig. 2.2).

Corollary 5.2. Every tooth of the driven gear is free of undercut if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi) \leq \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{h_{f}-\varrho(1-\sin \alpha)} \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 The base curves

Unidirectional flanks of different teeth of a gear, i.e. all "+"-flanks or all "-"-flanks, can be generated as involutes of a so-called base curve [15, p. 241]. The respective base curve is the evolute of all unidirectional flanks.

### 6.1 Base curve of the drive gear

The following theorem establishes a parametric equation for the base curves $X_{B, \pm}$ of the drive gear.

Lemma 6.1. A parametric equation of the base curves $X_{B, \pm}$ of the drive gear is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{B, \pm}(\varphi)=X_{P}(\varphi) \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa(\varphi)} T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa(\varphi)$ and $T(\varphi)$ are the curvature and the tangent unit vector, respectively, of the centrode $X_{P}$ at point $\varphi$.

Proof. The base curve is obtained as the envelope of all straight lines that intersect the centrode at the same angle $\alpha\left[15\right.$, p. 241]. The two straight lines that intersect the centrode $X_{P}$ at point $\varphi$ with the angles $-\alpha$ and $+\alpha$, respectively, are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{P}(\varphi)+\mu T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use (3.10), and choose, with $\mu=1$ and $\mu=0$, respectively, in (6.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=A(\varphi):=X_{P}(\varphi)+T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& B=B(\varphi):=X_{P}(\varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
A(\varphi)-B(\varphi)=T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}
$$

and, see (3.17),

$$
(A(\varphi)-B(\varphi))^{\prime}=T^{\prime}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}=\mathrm{i} h(\varphi) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}
$$

With this one finds

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[A-B,(A-B)^{\prime}\right] } & =\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, \mathrm{i} h T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}\right]=h\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, \mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}\right]=h T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \overline{T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}}[1, \mathrm{i}] \\
& =h T \bar{T} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha}[1, \mathrm{i}]=h
\end{aligned}
$$

One also gets

$$
[A, B]=\left[X_{P}+T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]=\left[X_{P}, X_{P}\right]+\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]=\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[A, B]^{\prime} } & =\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]^{\prime}=\left[T^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]+\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\mathrm{i} h T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]+\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha},\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| T\right] \\
& =h\left[\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]+\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right|\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, T\right]=h\left[\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]+\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| T \bar{T}\left[\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, 1\right] \\
& =h\left[\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]-\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right|\left[1, \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}\right]=h\left[\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]-\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| \operatorname{Im} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =h\left[\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right] \mp\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| \sin \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain by means of (3.10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =\frac{\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right] \mathrm{i} h T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}-\left(h\left[\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right] \mp\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| \sin \alpha\right) T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}}{h} \\
& =\frac{\left(\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right] \mathrm{i}-\left[\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]\right) h \pm\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| \sin \alpha}{h} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =\left(\left[T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right] \mathrm{i}-\left[\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right]\right) T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \pm \frac{1}{h}\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \sin \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right] \mathrm{i}-\left[\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, X_{P}\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \bar{X}_{P}-\bar{T} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha} X_{P}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\mathrm{i} T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \bar{X}_{P}+\mathrm{i} \bar{T} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha} X_{P}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \bar{X}_{P}-\bar{T} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{Fi} \alpha} X_{P}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \bar{X}_{P}+\bar{T} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha} X_{P}\right) \\
& =\bar{T} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \mathrm{i} \alpha} X_{P},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
X=X_{P} \pm \frac{1}{h}\left|X_{P}^{\prime}\right| T \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \sin \alpha
$$

With (3.20) and (3.21) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|=\frac{h(\varphi)}{\kappa(\varphi)}, \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so finally follows

$$
X=X_{P}(\varphi) \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa(\varphi)} T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}
$$

Our next aim is to determine the equation of the tooth flanks as involutes of the base curves. We start with the determination of the arc length element of the respective base curve $X_{B, \pm}$. Differentiation of (6.1) with respect to $\varphi$ under consideration of (3.17), (6.3) and (3.1) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{B, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)= X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi) \pm \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{T^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa(\varphi)}-\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi) T(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)}\right) \\
&= X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi) \pm \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} h(\varphi) T(\varphi)}{\kappa(\varphi)}-\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi) T(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)}\right) \\
&= {\left[\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \pm \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \sin \alpha\left(\mathrm{i}\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|-\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)}\right)\right] T(\varphi) } \\
&= {\left[\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \pm(\cos \alpha \pm \mathrm{i} \sin \alpha) \sin \alpha\left(\mathrm{i}\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|-\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)}\right)\right] T(\varphi) } \\
&= {\left[\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \mp(\cos \alpha \pm \mathrm{i} \sin \alpha)\left(\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)}-\mathrm{i}\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|\right) \sin \alpha\right] T(\varphi) } \\
&= {\left[\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \mp\left(\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \cos \alpha \pm\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \sin \alpha\right) \sin \alpha\right.} \\
&= {\left.\left[\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \mp \frac{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha-\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha \mp \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right) \sin \alpha\right] T(\varphi) } \\
&\left.\quad \pm \mathrm{i}\left(\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha \mp \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right) \sin \alpha\right] T(\varphi) \\
&= {\left[\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos { }^{2} \alpha \mp \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha\right.} \\
&\left. \pm \mathrm{i}\left(\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha \mp \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right) \sin \alpha\right] T(\varphi) \\
&= {\left[\left(\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha \mp \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right) \cos \alpha\right.}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{r}
\left.\quad \mathrm{i}\left(\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha \mp \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right) \sin \alpha\right] T(\varphi)
\end{array}
$$

$$
=\left(\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha \mp \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} T(\varphi)
$$

hence

$$
\left|X_{B, \pm}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right|=\left|\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha \mp \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right|
$$

Since we do not want to calculate the length of the curve $X_{B, \pm}$, but the length of its developments, it is convenient to use

$$
\left(\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha \mp \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi
$$

as length element. This will simplify the calculations. In addition, one avoids the case distinctions that become necessary if $X_{B, \pm}$ has cusps (see Fig. 8.4). We denote by $X_{F,+}^{*}$ the involute of the base curve $X_{B,+}$ that we obtain when the singular point (cusp) $\varphi_{S,+}$ of $X_{F,+}^{*}$ is freely chosen in the interval $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$. Using (2.11) and (2.13), one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{F,+}^{*}(\varphi) & =X_{B,+}(\varphi)+\left[\int_{\varphi}^{\varphi_{S,+}}\left(\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha-\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =X_{B,+}(\varphi)+\left[a \cos \alpha \int_{\varphi}^{\varphi_{S,+}} \frac{w(\varphi)}{\left(1+\psi^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \varphi+\sin \alpha \int_{\varphi}^{\varphi_{S,+}}\left(-\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)}\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =X_{B,+}(\varphi)+\left[a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi, \varphi_{S,+}\right)+\sin \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S,+}\right)}-\frac{1}{\kappa(\varphi)}\right)\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =X_{B,+}(\varphi)-\left[a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S,+}, \varphi\right)-\sin \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S,+}\right)}-\frac{1}{\kappa(\varphi)}\right)\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha} \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

For the involutes $X_{F,-}^{*}$ of the base curve $X_{B,-}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{F,-}^{*}(\varphi) & =X_{B,-}(\varphi)-\left[\int_{\varphi_{S,-}}^{\varphi}\left(\left|X_{P}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right| \cos \alpha+\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(\varphi)}{\kappa^{2}(\varphi)} \sin \alpha\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =X_{B,-}(\varphi)-\left[a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S,-}, \varphi\right)+\sin \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S,-}\right)}-\frac{1}{\kappa(\varphi)}\right)\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha} \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi_{S,-}$ is the singular point (cusp) of $X_{F,-}^{*}$. So writing (6.4) and (6.5) together, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{F, \pm}^{*}(\varphi)=X_{B, \pm}(\varphi)-\left[a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \sin \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}\right)}-\frac{1}{\kappa(\varphi)}\right)\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 6.1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{F, \pm}^{*}(\varphi)= & X_{P}(\varphi) \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa(\varphi)} T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& -\left[a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \sin \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}\right)}-\frac{1}{\kappa(\varphi)}\right)\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
= & X_{P}(\varphi)-\left(a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}\right)}\right) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

We formulate our result as theorem.
Theorem 6.1. A parametric equation of the involutes $X_{F, \pm}^{*}$ of the base curve $X_{B, \pm}$ is given by

$$
X_{F, \pm}^{*}(\varphi)=X_{P}(\varphi)-\left(a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}\right)}\right) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}
$$

where $\varphi_{S, \pm}$ is the value of $\varphi$ at the singular point of $X_{F, \pm}^{*}, I$ is the integral (2.13), and $\kappa$ and $T$ are the curvature (3.21) and the tangent unit vector (3.1), respectively, of the centrode $X_{P}$.

It should be emphasized that $\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}\right)$ in Theorem 6.1 is the curvature of the centrode $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{P}}$ at the singular point $\varphi_{S, \pm}$ of the involute $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{F}, \pm}^{*}$.

It remains to be shown that the involutes obtained in this way are indeed the tooth flanks according to Theorem 3.1 (cf. the quote at the beginning of this section). This will be proved in the following corollary.

Corollary 6.1. The flank curve $X_{F, k, \pm}, k \in\left\{1, \ldots, z_{1}\right\}$, generated with the rack-cutter is identical to the involute $X_{F, k, \pm}^{*}$ of the base curve $X_{B, \pm}$ whose parametric equation is

$$
X_{F, k, \pm}^{*}(\varphi)=X_{P}(\varphi)-\left(a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)}\right) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}
$$

Proof. The midpoint of tooth $k$ on the centrode $X_{P}$ is given by $X_{P}(\chi(k))$ with $\chi(k)$ according to (3.3). Condition (5.4) for the value $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}$ of $\varphi$ at the singular point of the flank $X_{F, k, \pm}$ states that

$$
\lambda_{k, \pm}\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right) \cos \alpha= \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)}
$$

Using (3.4), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{F, k, \pm}^{*}(\varphi) & =X_{P}(\varphi)-\left(a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \frac{\sin \alpha}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)}\right) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)-\left[a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp\left( \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right) \cos \alpha\right)\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)-\left[a I\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right)-\lambda_{k, \pm}\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)-\left[a I\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \frac{\pi m}{4}+a I\left(\chi(k), \varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)-\left[\mp \frac{\pi m}{4}+a\left(I\left(\chi(k), \varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)+I\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right)\right)\right] T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\left( \pm \frac{\pi m}{4}-a I(\chi(k), \varphi)\right) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
& =X_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) T(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

One sees that the last line is identical to the parametric equation $X_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ of $X_{F, k, \pm}$ in Theorem 3.1.

The following corollary is important for the calculation, or at least estimation, of the tooth flank pressure by means of the Hertzian theory.

Corollary 6.2. The radius of curvature at point $\varphi$ of the involute $X_{F, k, \pm}^{*} \equiv X_{F, k, \pm}$ is given by

$$
\left|a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right) \mp \sin \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right)}-\frac{1}{\kappa(\varphi)}\right)\right|
$$

Proof. Since $X_{B, \pm}$ is the evolute of the involutes $X_{F, k, \pm}^{*}$, from (6.6) it is known that

$$
-\left[a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \sin \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)} \mp \frac{1}{\kappa(\varphi)}\right)\right]
$$

is the signed radius of curvature at point $X_{F, k, \pm}^{*}(\varphi)$ of $X_{F, k, \pm}^{*}$. The proposition of Corollary 6.2 follows immediately.

### 6.2 Base curve of the driven gear

Lemma 6.2. A parametric equation of the base curves $\Xi_{B, \pm}$ of the driven gear is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{B, \pm}(\varphi)=\Xi_{P}(\varphi) \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi)} \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi, \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi)$ and $\widetilde{T}(\varphi)$ are the curvature and the tangent unit vector, respectively, of the centrode $\Xi_{P}$ at point $\varphi$.

Proof. The two straight lines that intersect the centrode $\Xi_{P}$ at point $\varphi$ with the angles $-\alpha$ and $+\alpha$, respectively, are given by

$$
\Xi=\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\mu \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The proof is now analogous to that of Lemma 6.1.
Theorem 6.2. A parametric equation of the involutes $\Xi_{F, \pm}^{*}$ of the base curve $\Xi_{B, \pm}$ is given by

$$
\Xi_{F, \pm}^{*}(\varphi)=\Xi_{P}(\varphi)-\left(a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \frac{\sin \alpha}{\widetilde{\kappa}\left(\varphi_{S, \pm}\right)}\right) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}
$$

where $\varphi_{S, \pm}$ is value of $\varphi$ at the singular point of $\Xi_{F, \pm}^{*}, I$ is the integral (2.13), and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ and $\widetilde{T}$ are the curvature (4.14) and the tangent unit vector (4.2), respectively, of the centrode $\Xi_{P}$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 6.1.
Corollary 6.3. The flank curve $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}, k \in\left\{1, \ldots, z_{2}\right\}$, generated with the rack-cutter is identical to the involute $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}^{*}$ of the base curve $\Xi_{B, \pm}$ whose parametric equation is

$$
\Xi_{F, k, \pm}^{*}(\varphi)=\Xi_{P}(\varphi)-\left(a \cos \alpha I\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \frac{\sin \alpha}{\widetilde{\kappa}\left(\widetilde{\varphi} \widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right)}\right) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha}
$$

where $\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}$ is the value of $\varphi$ at the singular point of $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$.
Proof. The midpoint of tooth $k$ on the centrode $\Xi_{P}$ is given by $\Xi_{P}(\chi(k))$ with $\chi(k)$ according to (3.3). Condition (5.11) for the value $\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}$ of $\varphi$ at the singular point of the flank $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$ states that

$$
\lambda_{k, \pm}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right) \cos \alpha= \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\widetilde{\kappa}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right)}
$$

Using (3.4), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{F, k, \pm}^{*}(\varphi) & =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)-\left(a \cos \alpha I\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp \frac{\sin \alpha}{\widetilde{\kappa}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right)}\right) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)-\left[a \cos \alpha I\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right) \mp\left( \pm \lambda_{k, \pm}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right) \cos \alpha\right)\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)-\left[a I\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right)-\lambda_{k, \pm}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right)\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)-\left[a I(\widetilde{\varphi} S, k, \pm, \varphi) \mp \frac{\pi m}{4}+a I\left(\chi(k), \widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right)\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)-\left[\mp \frac{\pi m}{4}+a\left(I\left(\chi(k), \widetilde{\varphi} \widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}\right)+I\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}, \varphi\right)\right)\right] \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\left( \pm \frac{\pi m}{4}-a I(\chi(k), \varphi)\right) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha \\
& =\Xi_{P}(\varphi)+\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi) \widetilde{T}(\varphi) \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} \alpha} \cos \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

One sees that the last line is identical to the parametric equation $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ of $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$ in Theorem 4.1.

## 7 Algorithm

## For both gears:

1. Assume that the center distance $a$ is equal to 1 , and check that the centrode $c_{1}$ of the drive gear and the centrode $c_{2}$ of the driven gear are both convex curves.
2. Evaluate the integral $I(0,2 \pi)$ (see (2.13)); if necessary, numerically.
3. Choose the module $m$ and the number $z_{1}$ of teeth of the drive gear, and calculate the center distance with (2.14).
4. Choose angle $\alpha$, addendum $h_{a}$, dedendum $h_{f}$ and fillet radius $\varrho$ (see Fig. 2.2).

## For the drive gear:

5. Determine the values $\chi(k), k=1,2, \ldots, z_{1}$, of the parameter (drive angle) $\varphi$ from (3.3). (These values divide $X_{P}$ into arcs of equal length $p=\pi m . \chi(k)$ is the value for the mid of tooth $k$.)
6. Determine the values $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{1}$, of $\varphi$ at the singular points of the involutes $X_{F, k, \pm} \equiv X_{F, k, \pm}^{*}$ with (5.2).
7. Check each tooth flank for the occurrence of undercut with (5.5). Alternatively, the parameters of the gear can be specified using inequality (5.6) so that no undercut occurs at all.
8. Perform the calculations of this step for each "-"-flank.

If the flank has undercut, then determine the values $\varphi_{F, k,-, 1}$ and $\varphi_{A, k,-, 1}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{F, k,-}\left(\varphi_{F, k,-, 1}\right)=X_{\varrho, k,-}\left(\varphi_{A, k,-, 1}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{F, k,-}(\varphi)$ and $X_{\varrho, k,-}(\varphi)$ are given by (3.7) and (3.29), respectively. If the flank is free of undercut, then $\varphi_{F, k,-, 1}=\varphi_{A, k,-, 1}=\varphi_{B, k,-}$ and the value $\varphi_{B, k,-}$ of $\varphi$ is obtained as solution of (5.3).

Determine the value $\varphi_{A, k,-, 2}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of (3.30). Determine the values $\varphi_{F, k,-, 2}$ and $\varphi_{p, k,+, 1}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{F, k,-}\left(\varphi_{F, k,-, 2}\right)=X_{a}\left(\varphi_{p, k,+, 1}\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X_{a}(\varphi):=X_{p, h_{a},+}(\varphi)\left(X_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi)\right.$ see (3.25)). The "-"-flank of tooth $k$ is then given by

$$
X= \begin{cases}X_{\varrho, k,-}(\varphi), & \varphi_{A, k,-, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_{A, k,-, 2}  \tag{7.3}\\ X_{F, k,-}(\varphi), & \varphi_{F, k,-, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_{F, k,-, 2}\end{cases}
$$

9. Perform the calculations of this step for each "+"-flank.

If the flank has undercut, then determine the values $\varphi_{F, k,+, 2}$ and $\varphi_{A, k,+, 2}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{F, k,+}\left(\varphi_{F, k,+, 2}\right)=X_{\varrho, k,+}\left(\varphi_{A, k,+, 2}\right) \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{F, k,+}(\varphi)$ and $X_{\varrho, k,+}(\varphi)$ are given by (3.7) and (3.29), respectively. If the flank is free of undercut, then $\varphi_{F, k,+, 2}=\varphi_{A, k,+, 2}=\varphi_{B, k,+}$ and the value $\varphi_{B, k,+}$ of $\varphi$ is obtained as solution of (5.3).

Determine the values $\varphi_{F, k,+, 1}$ and $\varphi_{p, k,+, 2}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{F, k,+}\left(\varphi_{F, k,+, 1}\right)=X_{a}\left(\varphi_{p, k,+, 2}\right) \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Determine the value $\varphi_{A, k,+, 1}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of (3.31). The "+"-flank of tooth $k$ is then given by

$$
X= \begin{cases}X_{F, k,+}(\varphi), & \varphi_{F, k,+, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_{F, k,+, 2}  \tag{7.6}\\ X_{\varrho, k,+}(\varphi), & \varphi_{A, k,+, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_{A, k,+, 2}\end{cases}
$$

10. The arc of the addendum curve $X_{a}$ for tooth $k, k=1, \ldots, z_{1}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{a}(\varphi), \quad \varphi_{p, k,+, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_{p, k,+, 2} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varphi_{p, k,+, 1}$ from Step 8.
11. The arc of the dedendum curve $X_{f}$ between tooth $k, k=1, \ldots, z_{1}-1$, and tooth $k+1$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{f}(\varphi), \quad \varphi_{A, k,+, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_{A, k+1,-, 2} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arc of the dedendum curve $X_{f}$ between tooth $z_{1}$ and tooth 1 is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{f}(\varphi), \quad \varphi_{A, z_{1},+, 1} \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi+\varphi_{A, 1,-, 2} \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## For the driven gear:

12. Determine the values $\chi(k), k=1,2, \ldots, z_{2}$, of $\varphi$ from (3.3). Since $\chi(1), \ldots, \chi\left(z_{1}\right)$ are already known from Step 5 , performing this step is only necessary to determine $\chi\left(z_{1}+1\right), \ldots, \chi\left(z_{2}\right)$ if $z_{2}>z_{1}$.
13. Determine the values $\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{2}$, of $\varphi$ at the singular points of the involutes $\Xi_{F, k, \pm} \equiv \Xi_{F, k, \pm}^{*}$ with (5.11).
14. Check each tooth flank for the occurrence of undercut with (5.13). Alternatively, the parameters of the gear can be specified using inequality (5.14) so that no undercut occurs at all.
15. Perform the calculations of this step for each "-"-flank.

If the flank has undercut, then determine the values $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,-, 2}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,-, 2}$ and of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{F, k,-}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,-, 2}\right)=\Xi_{\varrho, k,-}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,-, 2}\right) \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Xi_{F, k,-}(\varphi)$ and $\Xi_{\varrho, k,-}(\varphi)$ are given by (4.6) and (4.18), respectively. If the flank is free of undercut, then $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,-, 2}=\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,-, 2}=\widetilde{\varphi}_{B, k,-}$ and the value $\widetilde{\varphi}_{B, k,-}$ of $\varphi$ is obtained as solution of (5.12).
Determine the value $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,-, 1}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of (4.19). Determine the values $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,-, 1}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{p, k,+, 1}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{F, k,-}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,-, 1}\right)=\Xi_{a}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{p, k,+, 1}\right) \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Xi_{a}(\varphi) \equiv \Xi_{p, h_{a},+}(\varphi)\left(\Xi_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi)\right.$ see (4.15)). The"-"-flank of tooth space $k$ is then given by

$$
\Xi= \begin{cases}\Xi_{F, k,-}(\varphi), & \widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,-, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,-, 2}  \tag{7.12}\\ \Xi_{\varrho, k,-}(\varphi), & \widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,-, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,-, 2}\end{cases}
$$

16. Perform the calculations of this step for each "+"-flank.

If the flank has undercut, then determine the values $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,+, 1}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,+, 1}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{F, k,+}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,+, 1}\right)=\Xi_{\varrho, k,+}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,+, 1}\right) \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Xi_{F, k,+}(\varphi)$ and $\Xi_{\varrho, k,+}(\varphi)$ are given by (4.6) and (4.18), respectively. If the flank is free of undercut, then $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,+, 1}=\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,+, 1}=\widetilde{\varphi}_{B, k,+}$ and the value $\widetilde{\varphi}_{B, k,+}$ of $\varphi$ is obtained as solution of (5.12).

Determine the value $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,+, 2}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of (4.19). Determine the values $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,+, 2}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{p, k,+, 2}$ of the angle $\varphi$ as solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{F, k,+}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,+, 2}\right)=\Xi_{a}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{p, k,+, 2}\right) . \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The " + "-flank of tooth space $k$ is then given by

$$
\Xi= \begin{cases}\Xi_{F, k,+}(\varphi), & \widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,+, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,+, 2}  \tag{7.15}\\ \Xi_{\varrho, k,+}(\varphi), & \widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,+, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,+, 2}\end{cases}
$$

17. The arc of the dedendum curve $\Xi_{f}$ of tooth space $k, k=1, \ldots, z_{2}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\Xi_{f}(\varphi), \quad \widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,-, 1} \leq \varphi \leq \widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,+, 2} . \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

18. The arc of the addendum curve $\Xi_{a}$ between tooth space $k, k=1, \ldots, z_{2}-1$, and tooth space $k+1$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\Xi_{a}(\varphi), \quad \widetilde{\varphi}_{p, k,+, 2} \leq \varphi \leq \widetilde{\varphi}_{p, k+1,+, 1} . \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arc of the addendum curve $\Xi_{a}$ between tooth space $z_{2}$ and tooth space 1 is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\Xi_{a}(\varphi), \quad \widetilde{\varphi}_{p, z_{2},+, 2} \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi+\widetilde{\varphi}_{p, 1,+, 1} . \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the fast numerical solution of the occurring equations, e.g. (3.3) and (7.1), one can use the one-dimensional or two-dimensional Newton's method, respectively.

## 8 Example

Let the transmission funktion given by

$$
\psi(\varphi)=\varphi-b \sin \varphi, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi, \quad b=\text { const } \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Note that $\psi(2 \pi)=2 \pi$.
We consider the centrode $X_{P}$ of the drive gear. From (2.7) it follows that $X_{P}$ has the polar equation

$$
r(\varphi)=\frac{1-b \cos \varphi}{2-b \cos \varphi} a .
$$

We assume $a=1$. Considering Fig. 8.1, one sees that not every value of $b$ results in a practically usable centrode $X_{P}$. Since the teeth are to be generated with a rack-cutter, only centrodes with curvature $\kappa(\varphi) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$ come into question. In order to avoid gears with exotic shapes, only centrodes without self-intersections should be used. A small investigation shows that $2-\sqrt{2} \approx 0.585786$ is the biggest value of $b$ with $\kappa(\varphi) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$, and $\max _{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi} \kappa(\varphi)=0=\kappa(0)=\kappa(2 \pi)$. For the centrode $\Xi_{P}$ of the driven gear one finds $\tilde{\kappa}(\varphi)>0$ for $b=2-\sqrt{2}$ and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$. So in the following we use $b=2-\sqrt{2}$. The graph of $\psi(\varphi)$ is shown in Fig. 8.2. Our transmission function is $-u p$ to a phase difference of $\pi$ - equal to the transmission function shown in [8, Fig. 18b].


Fig. 8.1: The centrode $X_{P}$ with $a=1$ and differerent values of the parameter $b$


Fig. 8.2: Graph of $\psi(\varphi)$ with $b=2-\sqrt{2}$

Table 8.1: Values of $\chi(k)$

| $k$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\chi(k)$ | 0 | 0.674065 | 1.18877 | 1.63010 | 2.03297 | 2.41317 | 2.78037 |
| $k$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| $\chi(k)$ | $\pi$ | 3.50282 | 3.87002 | 4.25022 | 4.65309 | 5.09441 | 5.60912 |

A numerical evaluation yields $I(0,2 \pi) \approx 3.09315\left(I\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)\right.$ see (2.13)). Choosing $m=2$ and $z_{1}=14$, from (2.14) we get $a \approx 28.4385$. The centrodes $X_{P}$ and $\Xi_{P}$ with this value of $a$ are to be seen in Fig. 8.3 (cp. the centrodes in [8, Fig. 18 a$]$ ).

From (3.3) we get the values $\chi(1), \ldots, \chi(14)$ in Table 8.1.
After choosing $h_{a}=m=2, h_{f}=1.2 \cdot m=2.4$ and $\varrho=0.3 \cdot m=0.6\left(h_{a}, h_{f}, \varrho\right.$ see Fig. 2.2), we get the pair of gears in Fig. 8.3.


Fig. 8.3: Example with $\psi(\varphi)=\varphi-(2-\sqrt{2}) \sin \varphi$ and $z_{1}=14=z_{2}$
Table 8.2 shows the values $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}$ of $\varphi$ at the singular points of $X_{F, k, \pm}$ and the curvatures $\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)$ of $X_{P}$ at $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}$ for the drive gear. From (5.5) we get $-\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right) \leq 0.0583369$ as condition for non-undercutting. So one sees that only the "-"-flank of tooth 2 , and the "+"-flank
of tooth 14 are free of undercut. (The curves of tooth 14 are shown in Fig. 3.2.)
Table 8.2: Drive gear: Singular points $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}$, curvatures $\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k, \pm}\right)$ and undercut (UC)

| $k$ | $\varphi_{S, k,-}$ | $\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k,-}\right)$ | UC | $\varphi_{S, k,+}$ | $\kappa\left(\varphi_{S, k,+}\right)$ | UC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.662309 | -0.0793920 | $\bullet$ | 0.662309 | -0.0793920 | $\bullet$ |
| 2 | -0.370208 | -0.0459235 | - | 1.13773 | -0.0902213 | $\bullet$ |
| 3 | 0.697105 | -0.0816165 | $\bullet$ | 1.60927 | -0.0827132 | $\bullet$ |
| 4 | 1.23593 | -0.0892922 | $\bullet$ | 2.04386 | -0.0744589 | $\bullet$ |
| 5 | 1.64804 | -0.0819279 | $\bullet$ | 2.44631 | -0.0690331 | $\bullet$ |
| 6 | 2.02344 | -0.0748006 | $\bullet$ | 2.82554 | -0.0662394 | $\bullet$ |
| 7 | 2.38300 | -0.0697165 | $\bullet$ | 3.18979 | -0.0655454 | $\bullet$ |
| 8 | 2.73727 | -0.0666956 | $\bullet$ | 3.54591 | -0.0666956 | $\bullet$ |
| 9 | 3.09339 | -0.0655454 | $\bullet$ | 3.90019 | -0.0697165 | $\bullet$ |
| 10 | 3.45764 | -0.0662394 | $\bullet$ | 4.25974 | -0.0748006 | $\bullet$ |
| 11 | 3.83688 | -0.0690331 | $\bullet$ | 4.63514 | -0.0819279 | $\bullet$ |
| 12 | 4.23933 | -0.0744589 | $\bullet$ | 5.04725 | -0.0892922 | $\bullet$ |
| 13 | 4.67392 | -0.0827132 | $\bullet$ | 5.58608 | -0.0816165 | $\bullet$ |
| 14 | 5.14546 | -0.0902213 | $\bullet$ | 6.65339 | -0.0459235 | - |

Fig. 8.4 shows for the drive gear the centrode $X_{P}$, the base curve (evolute) $X_{B,+}$, the involutes $X_{F, k,+}, k=1, \ldots, 14$, and for comparison the involute $X_{F, 14,-}$. The base curve has one cusp and one point at infinity. The point at infinity occurs for $\varphi=0$ and is caused by the fact that the straight lines whose envelope is the base curve have no intersection in the finite for $\varphi=0$. Note that the base curve $X_{B,-}$ and all involutes $X_{F, k,-}$ are obtained by mirroring the corresponding "+"-curves on the abscissa. For example, $X_{F, 14,-}$ corresponds to $X_{F, 2,+}$.


Fig. 8.4: Centrode $X_{P}$ (dashed), base curve $X_{B,+}$ and involutes of the drive gear

## A Appendix: The exterior product

The exterior product (see Luck and Modler [11, p. 414]) of two complex numbers $A=x_{A}+\mathrm{i} y_{A}$ and $B=x_{B}+\mathrm{i} y_{B}$ is a real number, and is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A, B]=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(A \bar{B}-\bar{A} B)=x_{A} y_{B}-y_{A} x_{B} . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The real number $[A, B]$ is the signed area of the parallelogram spanned by the complex vectors $A$ and $B$. The exterior product is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\text { anticommutative: } & {[A, B]=-[B, A],}  \tag{A.2}\\
\text { distributive over addition: } & {[A+B, C]=[A, C]+[B, C],} \\
\text { not associative: } & {[[A, B], C] \neq[A,[B, C]] .}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Further rules:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[1, A]=\operatorname{Im} A}  \tag{A.3}\\
& {[A, B c]=[A c, B]=c[A, B], \quad c \in \mathbb{R}}  \tag{A.4}\\
& {[A C, B C]=[A C \bar{C}, B]=C \bar{C}[A, B]} \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $A$ and $B$ are functions of a real parameter $\varphi$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
{[A(\varphi), B(\varphi)]^{\prime} } & \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}[A(\varphi), B(\varphi)]=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(A^{\prime} \bar{B}+A \bar{B}^{\prime}-\bar{A}^{\prime} B-\bar{A} B^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(A^{\prime} \bar{B}-\bar{A}^{\prime} B\right)+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(A \bar{B}^{\prime}-\bar{A} B^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left[A^{\prime}(\varphi), B(\varphi)\right]+\left[A(\varphi), B^{\prime}(\varphi)\right] \quad \text { (product rule) } . \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, three points $A, B, X$ lie on a straight line $g$ if

$$
[A-X, B-X]=0
$$

From this equation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =[A, B-X]-[X, B-X]=[A, B]-[A, X]-[X, B]+\underbrace{[X, X]}_{0} \\
& =[A, B]-([A, X]-[B, X])=[A, B]-[A-B, X],
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A-B, X]=[A, B] . \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X$ is an arbitrary point of $g$, Eq. (A.7) can be considered as the equation of the line through the points $A$ and $B$.

## B Appendix: Formula symbols

| $a$ | center distance $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.1, $(2.14)$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $B$ | contact point of $X_{F, k, \pm}$ and $X_{\varrho, k, \pm} \rightarrow(5.3)$ |
| $\mathbb{C}$ | set of complex numbers $\rightarrow(2.8),(2.9)$ |


| $C_{ \pm}$ | point of the rack-cutter $\rightarrow$ Figures 2.2 and 3.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $D_{ \pm} \quad$ point of the rack-cutter $\rightarrow$ Figures 2.2 and 5.1 |  |
| $\underline{\sim}(\varphi)$ | auxiliary function $\rightarrow$ (3.16), (3.19) |
| $\widetilde{h}(\varphi)$ | auxiliary function $\rightarrow$ (4.10) |
| $h_{a}$ | addendum of the gear teeth, dedendum of the rack-cutter teeth $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.2 |
| $h_{f}$ | dedendum of the gear teeth, addendum of the rack-cutter teeth $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.2 |
| $h_{f}^{*}$ | factor $\rightarrow$ (5.7) |
| $I\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)$ | integral $\rightarrow$ (2.13) |
|  | imaginary unit, $\mathrm{i}^{2}=-1$ |
| $\ell_{1}$ | distance $\rightarrow$ Figures 2.2 and 3.4 |
| $\ell_{2}$ | distance $\rightarrow$ Figures 2.2 and 3.4 |
| $\ell_{3}$ | distance $\rightarrow$ Figures 2.2 and 5.1 |
| $\ell_{4}$ | distance $\rightarrow$ Figures 2.2 and 5.1 |
| $\begin{array}{r} M_{ \pm} \\ m \end{array}$ | mid point of the fillet of the rack-cutter " $\pm$ "-flank $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.2 module $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.2, (2.14) |
| $\begin{aligned} & N_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi) \\ & \widetilde{N}_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi) \end{aligned}$ | normal unit vector at point $X_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ of the mid point curve $X_{M, k, \pm} \rightarrow(3.28)$ |
|  | normal unit vector at point $\Xi_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ of the mid point curve $\Xi_{M, k, \pm} \rightarrow$ (4.17) |
| $\begin{gathered} O_{1} \\ O_{2} \end{gathered}$ | pivot point of the drive gear $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.1 |
|  | pivot point of the driven gear $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.1 |
| $P$$p$ | pitch point, instanteneous center of relative rotation of drive and driven gear $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.1 |
|  | tooth pitch $p=\pi m \rightarrow$ Fig. 2.2 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathbb{R} \\ R(\varphi) \\ r(\varphi) \end{array}$ | set of real numbers |
|  | distance between $O_{2}$ and $P \rightarrow$ Fig. 2.1, (2.7) |
|  | distance between $O_{1}$ and $P \rightarrow$ Fig. 2.1, (2.7) |
| $R_{1}$ | point of the rack-cutter that coincides with $X_{P}(\chi(k))$ for $\varphi=\chi(k)$ when the tooth $k$ of the driving gear is generated $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.2 |
| $R_{2}$ | point of the rack-cutter that coincides with $\Xi_{P}(\chi(k))$ for $\varphi=\chi(k)$ when the tooth space $k$ of the driven gear is generated $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.2 |
| $\begin{array}{r} S \\ s\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right) \end{array}$ | singularity of $X_{F, k, \pm} \rightarrow(5.2)$ |
|  | arc length between points $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1} \rightarrow(2.10)$ |
| ${ }^{t}{ }^{t}$ | time $\rightarrow$ (2.6) |
|  | tangent unit vector at point $X_{P}(\varphi)$ of $X_{P} \rightarrow$ (3.1) |
| $T(\varphi)$ $t_{x}$ | real part of $T(\varphi) \rightarrow(3.2)$ |
| $\sim{ }^{t_{y}}$ | imaginary part of $T(\varphi) \rightarrow$ (3.2) |
| $\widetilde{T}(\varphi)$ | tangent unit vector at point $\Xi(\varphi)$ of $\Xi_{P} \rightarrow(4.2)$ |
|  | real part of $\widetilde{T}(\varphi) \rightarrow(4.3)$ |
| $t_{\xi}$ $t_{\eta}$ | imaginary part of $\widetilde{T}(\varphi) \rightarrow$ (4.3) |
| $w(\varphi)$ | auxiliary function $\rightarrow$ (2.12) |
| $\begin{array}{r} X_{a} \\ X_{B, \pm}(\varphi) \end{array}$ | addendum curve of the drive gear: $X_{a}:=X_{p, h_{a},+} \rightarrow$ Fig. 3.2, (3.25) parametric equation of the bases curves (evolutes) $X_{B, \pm}$ for the flank curves (involutes) "+" and "-" of the drive gear $\rightarrow$ (6.1) |
| $X_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ | parametric equation of the flank curves $X_{F, k, \pm}$ of the tooth $k$ (see Fig. 3.2) of the drive gear $\rightarrow$ (3.7) |
| $x_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ | real part of $X_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi) \rightarrow$ Corollary 3.1 |

$X_{F, \pm}^{*}(\varphi)$ parametric equation of the involutes $X_{F, \pm}^{*}$ of the base curve $X_{B, \pm} \rightarrow$ Theorem 6.1
$X_{f} \quad$ dedendum curve of the drive gear: $X_{f}:=X_{p, h_{f},-} \rightarrow$ Fig. 3.2, (3.25)
$X_{M, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ parametric equations of the curves $X_{M, k,+}$ and $X_{M, k,-}$ (see Fig. 3.2) of the points $M_{+}$and $M_{-}$, respectively, in Fig. $2.2 \rightarrow(3.27)$
$X_{P}(\varphi) \quad$ parametric equation of the centrode $X_{P}$ of the drive gear $\rightarrow$ (2.8), Fig. 2.1
$X_{p, d, \pm}(\varphi)$ parametric equations of an outer ("+") and inner ("-") parallel curve of $X_{P}$ with distance $d \rightarrow$ (3.25)
$X_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu) \quad$ parametric equations of the rack-cutter flank lines for the generation of tooth $k$ of the drive gear $\rightarrow(3.5)$ (for the definition of "+" and "-" see Fig. 2.2)
$x_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu) \quad$ real part $X_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu) \rightarrow(3.6)$
$X_{\varrho, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ parametric equations of the parallel curves (fillets) $X_{\varrho, k, \pm}$ (see Fig. 3.2) of $X_{M, k, \pm}$ with distance $\varrho \rightarrow(3.29)$

| $y_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ | imaginary part of $X_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi) \rightarrow$ Corollary 3.1 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $y_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu)$ | imaginary part of $X_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu) \rightarrow(3.6)$ |
| $z_{1}$ | number of teeth of the drive gear $\rightarrow(2.14)$ |
| $z_{2}$ | number of teeth of the driven gear |
| $\alpha$ | rack-cutter profile angle $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.2 |
| $\gamma$ | rotation angle of the driven gear $\rightarrow$ Fig. 2.1, (2.3) |
| $\eta_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ | imaginary part of $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi) \rightarrow$ Corollary 4.1 |
| $\eta_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu)$ | imaginary part $\Xi_{W, k, \pm}(\varphi, \mu) \rightarrow(4.5)$ |
| $\kappa(\varphi)$ | curvature at point $\varphi$ of $X_{P} \rightarrow(3.21)$ |
| $\widetilde{\kappa}(\varphi)$ | curvature at point $\varphi$ of $\Xi_{P} \rightarrow(4.14)$ |
| $\lambda_{k, \pm}(\varphi)$ | auxiliary function $\rightarrow(3.4)$ |
| $\Xi_{a}$ | addendum curve of the driven gear: $\Xi_{a}:=\Xi_{p, h_{a},+,}(4.15)$ |
| $\Xi_{B, \pm}(\varphi)$ | parametric equation of the base curves $($ evolutes $) \Xi_{B, \pm}$ for the flank curves |
| (involutes) "+" and "-" of the driven gear $\rightarrow(6.7)$ |  |
| $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}(\varphi)$ | parametric equations of the flank curves $\Xi_{F, k, \pm}$ of the tooth space $k$ of the |
| driven gear $\rightarrow(4.6)$ |  |


| $\dot{\varphi}^{*}(t)$ | angular velocity of the drive gear $\rightarrow$ (2.6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\varphi_{A, k,+, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{\varrho, k,+}(\varphi) \cap X_{f} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (3.31), (7.6), (7.8), (7.9) |
| $\varphi_{A, k,+, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{\varrho, k,+}(\varphi) \cap X_{F, k,+} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (7.4) or (5.3), (7.6) |
| $\varphi_{F, k,+, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{F, k,+}(\varphi) \cap X_{a} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow(7.5),(7.6)$ |
| $\varphi_{F, k,+, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{F, k,+}(\varphi) \cap X_{\varrho, k,+} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (7.4) or (5.3), (7.6) |
| $\varphi_{p, k,+, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{a}(\varphi) \cap X_{F, k,-} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow(7.2),(7.7)$ |
| $\varphi_{p, k,+, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{a}(\varphi) \cap X_{F, k,+} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow(7.5),(7.7)$ |
| $\varphi_{A, k,-, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{\varrho, k,-}(\varphi) \cap X_{F, k,-} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (7.1) or (5.3), (7.3) |
| $\varphi_{A, k,-, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{\varrho, k,-}(\varphi) \cap X_{f} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow(7.3),(7.8),(7.9)$ |
| $\varphi_{F, k,-, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{F, k,-}(\varphi) \cap X_{\varrho, k,-} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (7.1) or (5.3), (7.3) |
| $\varphi_{F, k,-, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $X_{F, k,-}(\varphi) \cap X_{a} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow(7.2)$, (7.3) |
| $\varphi_{S, k, \pm}$ | value of $\varphi$ at the singular point of $X_{F, k, \pm} \rightarrow$ (5.1), (5.2) |
| $\varphi_{S, \pm}$ | value of $\varphi$ at the singular point of $X_{F, \pm}^{*}\left(\rightarrow(6.4)\right.$ and (6.5)) or $\Xi_{F, \pm}^{*}(\rightarrow$ Theorem 6.2) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,+, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{\varrho, k,+}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{F, k,+} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow(7.13)$ of (5.12), (7.15) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,+, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{\varrho, k,+}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{f} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (4.19), (7.15) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,+, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{F, k,+}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{0, k,+} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (7.13) or (5.12), (7.15) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,+, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{F, k,+}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{a} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow(7.14),(7.15)$ |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{p, k,+, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{a}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{F, k,-} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (7.11), (7.17), (7.18) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{p, k,+, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{a}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{F, k,+} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (7.14), (7.17), (7.18) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,-, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{\varrho, k,-}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{f} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (4.19), (7.12), (7.16) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A, k,-, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{\varrho, k,-}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{F, k,-} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow(7.10)$ or (5.12), (7.12) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,-, 1}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{F, k,-}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{a} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (7.11), (7.12) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{F, k,-, 2}$ | value of $\varphi$ with $\Xi_{F, k,-}(\varphi) \cap \Xi_{\varrho, k,-} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$ (7.10) or (5.12), (7.12) |
| $\widetilde{\varphi}_{S, k, \pm}$ | value of $\varphi$ at the singular point of $\Xi_{F, k, \pm} \rightarrow$ (5.10), (5.11) |
| $\chi(k)$ | value of $\varphi$ in the mid of tooth $k, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{1}$ of the drive gear $\rightarrow$ (3.3), value of $\varphi$ in the mid of tooth space $k, k=1,2, \ldots, z_{2}$ of the driven gear |
| $\psi(\varphi)$ | transmission function $\rightarrow$ (2.1), (2.3) |
| $\psi^{*}(t)$ | rotation angle of the driven gear as time function $\rightarrow$ (2.4) |
| $\dot{\psi}^{*}(t)$ | angular velocity of the driven gear $\rightarrow$ (2.6) |
| $\bar{A}$ | conjugate $a-b \mathrm{i}$ of the complex number $A=a+b \mathrm{i}$ |
| $[A, B]$ | exterior product of the complex numbers $A$ and $B \rightarrow$ Appendix A |
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