Thermal spin photonics in the near-field of nonreciprocal media
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The interplay of spin angular momentum and thermal radiation is a frontier area of interest to nanophotonics as well as topological physics. Here, we show that a thick planar slab of a nonreciprocal material, despite being at thermal equilibrium with its environment, can exhibit nonzero photon spin angular momentum and nonzero radiative heat flux in its vicinity. We identify them as the persistent thermal photon spin (PTPS) and the persistent planar heat current (PPHC) respectively. With a practical example system, we reveal that the fundamental origin of these phenomena is connected to spin-momentum locking of thermally excited evanescent waves. We also discover spin magnetic moment of surface polaritons in nonreciprocal photonics that further clarifies these features. We then propose a novel thermal photonic imaging experiment based on Brownian motion that allows one to witness these surprising features by directly looking at them using a lab microscope. We further demonstrate the universal behavior of these near-field thermal radiation phenomena through a comprehensive analysis of gyroelectric, gyromagnetic and magneto-electric nonreciprocal materials. Together, these results expose a surprisingly little explored research area of spin-related thermal radiation phenomena or thermal spin photonics with prospects for new fundamental and technological avenues.

I. INTRODUCTION

Thermal spin photonics merges the fields of the thermal radiation and the spin angular momentum of light. Thermal radiation plays an important role in energy conversion and renewable technologies [1, 2] while the spin angular momentum property of light is fundamentally relevant in the context of spin-controlled nanophotonics [3, 4], chiral quantum optics [5] and spintronics [6]. Despite extensive work in the past few decades, there has been very little overlap between these two areas. Important developments in this field include spin-polarized (circularly polarized) far-field thermal radiation from chiral absorbers [7–9] and the definition of the degree of polarization in the thermal near-field [10] for reciprocal media. In stark contrast, the primary aim of this work is to explore thermal spin photonics (spin-related thermal radiation phenomena) in the near-field of non-reciprocal media.

Our work utilizes fluctuational electrodynamics and is fundamentally beyond the regime of Kirchhoff’s laws which is valid only for far-field thermal emission from bodies at equilibrium. One striking example where spin angular momentum of thermal radiation is not captured by Kirchhoff’s laws, is circularly polarized thermal emission from coupled non-equilibrium antennas demonstrated in our recent work [11]. This approach of exploiting interacting non-equilibrium bodies is a paradigm shift from ‘all’ conventional approaches of achieving spin angular momentum of light by employing either polarization conversion or structural chirality. Practically, this non-equilibrium mechanism can enable temperature-based reconfigurability of the spin state of emitted thermal radiation. Our current work deals with bodies at thermal equilibrium with their surroundings, and reveals surprising spin angular momentum features in their near-field arising in presence of non-reciprocity.

To show the universal nature of these non-reciprocal thermal spin photonic effects, we develop a framework to analyze equilibrium thermal radiation properties of a planar slab of a generic bianisotropic material described by arbitrary permittivity ($\varepsilon$), permeability ($\mu$) and magneto-electric susceptibilities ($\zeta, \zeta$). Such a material is reciprocal if the material properties satisfy:

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon^T, \quad \mu = \mu^T, \quad \zeta = -\zeta^T$$

It is nonreciprocal if any one of these conditions is violated. With fluctuational electrodynamic analysis, we show that a nonreciprocal planar slab at thermal equilibrium with its environment exhibits nonzero spin angular momentum of thermal radiation in its near-field. We identify it as the persistent thermal photon spin (PTPS) because it exists without any temperature difference analogous to well-known persistent electronic charge current [12, 13] that exists without any voltage difference. The PTPS is also accompanied by locally nonzero radiative heat flux parallel to the surface which we call as the persistent planar heat current (PPHC).

We reveal that spin-momentum locking [14–16] of thermally excited evanescent waves, plays a fundamental role in facilitating both these phenomena with a practical example system. Our work thus provides the first generalization of the spin-momentum locking of light well-known in topological photonics and atomic physics to thermally excited waves. We consider a doped Indium Antimonide (InSb) slab at room temperature with an arbitrarily directed magnetic field. Our calculations reveal that each thermally excited surface plasmon polariton supported by InSb slab has transverse spin
locked to its momentum. We show for the first time that the spin-momentum locked polariton also carries spin magnetic moment which leads to polaritonic energy/frequency shift through Zeeman type interaction with the applied magnetic field. For InSb sample with doping concentration \( \sim 10^{17} \text{cm}^{-3} \), the polaritonic spin magnetic moment is found to be around \( 10 \mu_B \) where \( \mu_B \) is Bohr magneton. The polaritonic magnetic moment depends asymmetrically on the momentum for forward and backward propagating polaritons leading to asymmetric energy shifts. This clarifies the fundamental origin of PTPS and PPHC, resulting from asymmetric contributions of forward and backward propagating evanescent waves.

Detecting near-field thermal radiation effects of non-reciprocal media is an open challenge. We note however, that our discovered effects PTPS and PPHC are significantly enhanced in the near-field due to a large density of thermally excited evanescent states. In particular, we show the striking result that at a distance \( d \lesssim 0.5 \mu m \) from the slab surface, the magnitude of PTPS exceeds the spin angular momentum density contained in the laser light carrying typical power of \( \sim 1 \text{mW} \). This immediately motivates experimental validation of our predicted effects by probing optical forces and torques on small absorptive particles in the thermal near-field of an InSb slab. We also propose a new experimental approach for probing non-reciprocal thermal fluctuations phenomena through a novel imaging experiment that witnesses Brownian motion of small absorptive particles in the thermal near-field of a non-reciprocal slab. We predict that Brownian movement will be sufficiently influenced by these additional thermal spin photonic forces and torques that it can be directly viewed using a lab microscope.

We also demonstrate the universal behavior of both these near-field thermal radiation phenomena with a comprehensive analysis of the key classes of nonreciprocal media namely, gyroelectric (\( \bar{\varepsilon} \neq \varepsilon \bar{\tau} \)), gyromagnetic (\( \bar{\mu} \neq \mu \bar{\tau} \)) and magneto-electric (\( \bar{\xi} \neq -\bar{\xi} \)) materials. The general analysis describes the origin and the nature of these features for any given material type and further reveals that a nonreciprocal material is necessary but not sufficient to observe PTPS and PPHC. While thermal photonics is so far limited to isotropic, anisotropic and gyroelectric materials, our general analysis paves the way for future inquiries using generic biaxial isotropic materials.

We note that recent interesting works have explored the persistent phenomena in many body system of finite gyroelectric spheres [17], a single gyroelectric sphere in dipolar regime [18] and inside a gyroelectric waveguide [19]. However, we emphasize that the fundamental connection with spin-momentum locking of evanescent waves [14–16] has not been explored till date. We note that the spin-momentum locking of thermally excited waves opens a new degree of freedom for directional radiative heat transport at the nanoscale. We also point out that spherical nano-systems [17, 18] are challenging to fabricate and not easily amenable to experimental verification. Our work answers an open question whether a planar slab, canonical geometry suitable for near-field explorations, can exhibit these phenomena. As mentioned above, we address experimental detection as well as provide a universal description for all nonreciprocal material types as opposed to only gyroelectric type [17–19]. The discovery of spin magnetic moment of surface polaritons in nonreciprocal photonics is also fundamentally new. It invites related studies of spin-dependent quantum light matter interactions and spin-quantization. Finally, we remark that the theoretical framework employed here is amenable for studying numerous topics in the future related to not only fluctuational [20–25] but also quantum [26–30] electrodynamic effects by using generic, biaxial isotropic materials rather than a restricted class of material types explored previously.

II. RESULTS

Theory. We consider a planar geometry shown in figure 1 comprising of a semi-infinite half-space of generic homogeneous material, interfacing with semi-infinite vacuum half-space at \( z = 0 \). We focus on the thermal radiation on the vacuum side of this geometry where the physical quantities such as energy density \( W \), Poynting flux \( P \) and spin angular momentum density \( S \) are well-defined [31, 32] and measurable in suitable experiments [33, 34]:

\[
W(r) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \langle \mathbf{E}^*(r) \cdot \mathbf{E}(r) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \langle \mathbf{H}^*(r) \cdot \mathbf{H}(r) \rangle
\]

\[
P(r) = \text{Re} \{ \langle \mathbf{E}^*(r) \times \mathbf{H}(r) \rangle \}
\]

\[
S(r) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \langle \mathbf{E}^*(r) \times \mathbf{E}(r) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \langle \mathbf{H}^*(r) \times \mathbf{H}(r) \rangle
\]

where \( \mathbf{r} \) denotes the position vector and \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) denotes the thermodynamic ensemble average. The spin angular momentum density (3) has so far been studied primarily for non-thermal light [31, 32], where it leads to proportionate optical torque on small, absorptive particles [35, 36]. We have generalized it here and in our recent work [11] to thermally generated electromagnetic fields in vacuum. We calculate both electric and magnetic type thermal spin angular momentum density given by \( S^{(E)} \) and \( S^{(H)} \) respectively. Throughout the manuscript, all quantities are described in SI units and the dependence on frequency \( \omega \) (such as \( \mathbf{E}(\omega), \mathbf{H}(\omega) \)) is suppressed assuming \( e^{-i\omega t} \) time dependence in Maxwell’s equations. The above quantities \( \mathbf{Q} = \{ W, P, S \} \) are
to be integrated over frequency to obtain the total densities/flux rates as \( \hat{Q} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} Q(\omega) d\omega \). Keeping in mind the future explorations using generic, bianisotropic materials, we prefer to use vector potential in Landau gauge to obtain associated electromagnetic fields \( \mathbf{E} = i\omega \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{H} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \). The electromagnetic field correlations required for calculation of densities and flux rates above are obtained from the vector potential correlations. These correlations evaluated at two spatial points \( \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \) are expressed in the matrix form as \( \langle \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) \otimes \mathbf{A}^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_2)^* \rangle^T \). Here, the vector quantities are written as column vectors such that \( \mathbf{A} = [A_x, A_y, A_z]^T \) where \([\cdot]^T\) denotes the transpose and \([\cdot]^*\) is complex conjugation. We focus on the thermal equilibrium properties of radiation where both vacuum and material half-spaces are at same thermodynamic temperature \( T \). The vector potential correlations are then obtained by making analogies with Kubo’s formalism which describes equilibrium correlations of fluctuating thermodynamic quantities. The correlations are (see supplementary material for derivation):

\[
\langle \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) \otimes \mathbf{A}^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) - \overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1)^T}{2i} \frac{\mu_0}{\omega} \Theta(\omega, T)
\]

Here \( \Theta(\omega, T) = \frac{\hbar \omega}{2 + \hbar \omega/[\exp(\hbar \omega/k_B T) - 1]} \) is the average thermal energy of the harmonic oscillator at temperature \( T \). The Green’s tensor \( \overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \) relates the vector potential \( \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) \) to all the source currents \( \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}_2) \) such that \( \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) = \int_{V_2} \overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \mu_0 \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}_2) d^3\mathbf{r}_2 \). We derive the Green’s function given in the methods section for a planar slab of a generic, bianisotropic medium (see supplement for derivation).

Finally, using the Green’s function and vector potential correlations above, we obtain the electromagnetic field correlations at two spatial points \( \mathbf{r}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{r}_2 \) in vacuum:

\[
\langle \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_1) \otimes \mathbf{E}^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle = \omega^2 \langle \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_2)^* \rangle^T
\]

\[
\langle \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_1) \otimes \mathbf{H}^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle = \frac{i\omega}{\mu_0} \langle \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) [\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_2} \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_2)]^* \rangle^T
\]

\[
\langle \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}_1) \otimes \mathbf{H}^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_1} \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) [\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_2} \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_2)]^* \rangle^T
\]

where \( \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_j} \) for \( j = [1, 2] \) is the differential curl operator. The densities and flux rates are then calculated using definitions (1),(2) and (3) using above correlations with \( \mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{r} \) and making use of the fluctuation dissipation relation given by Eq.(4).

Possibility of observing nonzero spin angular momentum and heat flux despite thermal equilibrium. We now show that a nonreciprocal medium can lead to nonzero spin angular momentum density (3) and nonzero Poynting flux (2) in its thermal near-field. We make use of insightful expressions derived here and time-reversal symmetry arguments, but in this section we do not refer to any specific material.

First, the time-reversal symmetry considerations reveal the possibility of observing PTPS and PPHC using nonreciprocal materials. For the planar geometry, electromagnetic waves are characterized by their in-plane conserved propagation wavevector \( \mathbf{k} \). Poynting flux and spin angular momentum of each wave are \( \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k}) \) and \( \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{k}) \) respectively. In then follows that under time-reversal symmetry at thermal equilibrium, heat and angular momentum associated with thermally excited k-waves are negated by heat and angular momentum carried by \(-\mathbf{k}\)-waves \( (\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k}) = -\mathbf{P}(-\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{k}) = -\mathbf{S}(-\mathbf{k}) ) \) resulting into zero flux rates at thermal equilibrium. Because of time-reversal symmetry for reciprocal media, the persistent phenomena (nonzero flux rates at equilibrium) cannot be observed. For nonreciprocal media, this is no longer true and one can expect to see nonzero flux rates in the absence of cancellation. We identify the resulting nonzero spin angular momentum density as the persistent thermal photon spin (PTPS) and nonzero heat flux as the persistent planar heat current (PPHC). Although this rudimentary analysis proves that nonreciprocity is necessary to observe PTPS and PPHC, full fluctuational electrodynamic calculations below, confirm and reveal much more, including the result that nonreciprocity is not a sufficient condition.

We use the insightful semi-analytic expressions for PTPS and PPHC perpendicular to the planar slab (see supplement for their derivation) for making following important general comments . The near-field Poynting
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flux in \( \hat{e}_z \) direction,
\[
P_z = \Theta(\omega, T) \int \frac{k_\parallel dk_\parallel d\phi}{16\pi^2} \text{Re}\{2i\text{Im}\{e^{-2ik_\parallel d}(r_{ss} - r_{pp})\}\}\]
\[= 0 \quad (8)
\]
for any material at thermal equilibrium with vacuum half-space. Similarly, the electric and magnetic parts of spin angular momentum density along \( \hat{e}_z \) direction are:
\[
S_z^E = \frac{\Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{k_\parallel dk_\parallel d\phi}{16\pi^2k_0} \text{Im}\{-(r_{ps} + r_{sp})e^{2ik_\parallel d}\}
\[= -S_z^H \quad (9)
\]
It follows that the total perpendicular thermal spin \( S_z = S_z^E + S_z^H \) is zero for any material at thermal equilibrium. Here \( r_{ss}, r_{pp}, r_{sp}, r_{pp} \) are the Fresnel reflection coefficients for light incident on the planar slab having parallel wavevector \( k_\parallel \) (conserved) parallel wavevector \( k_\parallel \) and making an azimuthal angle \( \phi \) with x-axis of the geometry. \( k_0 = \omega/c = \sqrt{k_z^2 + k_\parallel^2} \) is the vacuum wavevector (see methods and supplement). For reciprocal media, \( r_{sp} + r_{ps} = 0 \) [37] and the electric and magnetic type persistent thermal photon spin (PTPS) are separately zero. On the other hand, \( r_{sp} + r_{ps} \neq 0 \) for nonreciprocal media and interestingly, even though electric and magnetic type PTPS are separately nonzero, total PTPS perpendicular to slab is always zero. We note that the semi-analytic expressions for PTPS and PPHC parallel to the slab are not conducive for mathematical simplification and general insights but full calculations of examples below reveal their existence and nature.

Before describing PTPS and PPHC with examples, it is important to point out that the intuition confounding presence of non-zero heat current at thermal equilibrium does not lead to thermodynamic contradictions. In particular, because of the nonzero heat flow parallel to the surface, it could be expected that one end will be hotter than the other end. However, given the infinite transverse extent of the system considered above, there is no end that can be heated or cooled [38]. On the other hand, since the two distinct half-spaces are separated by a well-defined interface, no macroscopic flux rates can exist across the boundary by definition of thermal equilibrium between the half-spaces. The fluctuational electrodynamic theory produces a consistent result above that the Poynting flux (\( P_z \)) and the total spin angular momentum (\( S_z \)) perpendicular to the surface are identically zero for any material. In the following, we demonstrate PTPS and PPHC in a practical example system revealing their fundamental connection with spin-momentum locking of evanescent waves.

**Practical Example of InSb slab.** We consider doped Indium Antimonide (InSb) slab which has been most widely studied in context of coupled magneto-plasmon-surface polaritons [39, 40] and whose material permittivity dispersion has been well-characterized experimentally [41–44]. The permittivity dispersion is derived from an extended Lorentz oscillator model which further sheds light on the microscopic origin of the magneto-optic effect arising from the cyclotron motion of bound electrons of the material. For the sake of completeness of our study, we consider the magnetic field to be pointing along any arbitrary direction in our geometry and derive the semi-analytic form of permittivity given by \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_\infty[1 + (\omega_p^2 - \omega_\infty^2)/(\omega_\infty^2 - \omega^2 - i\Gamma\omega)]I_{3\times3} + \varepsilon_\infty\omega_p^2[I_{3\times3}(\omega)]^{-1} \)
where:
\[
I_{3\times3}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix}
-\omega^2 - i\gamma\omega & -i\omega\omega_z & i\omega\omega_y \\
-i\omega\omega_z & -\omega^2 - i\gamma\omega & -i\omega\omega_x \\
-i\omega\omega_y & -i\omega\omega_x & -\omega^2 - i\gamma\omega
\end{bmatrix}
\]
This is obtained from an extended Lorentz oscillator model in which bound/free electrons (charge \( q \), effective mass \( m_f \), position \( r \)) are described as mechanical oscillators, that further experience additional Lorentz force (\( q\vec{r} \times \vec{B} \)) in presence of applied magnetic field \( \vec{B} \). Each \( \omega_{ij} \) for \( j = [x, y, z] \) describes the cyclotron frequency in \( \hat{e}_j \) direction given by \( \omega_{ij} = q(\vec{B} \cdot \hat{e}_j)/m_f \).

We obtain the parameters from Ref. [43, 44] for InSb sample of doping density \( n = 10^{17}\text{cm}^{-3} \), \( \varepsilon_\infty = 15.7 \), \( \omega_\infty = 3.62 \times 10^{13}\text{rad}/\text{s}, \omega_p = 3.39 \times 10^{13}\text{rad}/\text{s}, \omega_\gamma = 3.14 \times 10^{13}\text{rad}/\text{s}, \Gamma = 5.65 \times 10^{11}\text{rad}/\text{s}, \gamma = 3.39 \times 10^{12}\text{rad}/\text{s}, m_f = 0.022m_e \) where \( m_e \) is electron mass. It is found that InSb slab described by above parameters supports surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) at \( \omega \sim 3.9 \times 10^{13}\text{rad}/\text{s} \) (∼48μm) localized close to the interface with vacuum. Below, we first analyze these polaritons.

**Spin magnetic moment of InSb surface polaritons.** As shown schematically in fig.2(a), we consider a magnetic field applied along \( \hat{e}_x \) direction (parallel to the surface). The surface plasmon polariton characterized by its conserved in-plane momentum \( k_\parallel \) makes an angle \( \phi \) with \( \hat{e}_x \) (applied field direction) such that \( \phi \in [-\pi, \pi] \). Each such polariton also carries a transverse spin locked to its momentum, depicted in the schematic (spin momentum locking [14]). Figure 2(b) displays the dispersion \( \omega(k_\parallel) \) of polaritons for different angles \( \phi \), obtained numerically as described in the methods section. The dispersion in the absence of magnetic field or with magnetic field perpendicular to the surface is same as \( \phi = 0 \) dispersion (green curve) for all angles. In presence of magnetic field along \( \hat{e}_x \) direction, the polaritons characterized by \( \phi \geq 0 \) (with positive spin component along applied magnetic field) are redshifted while those characterized by \( \phi < 0 \) (with negative spin component along applied magnetic field) are blueshifted. This is further demonstrated in fig. 2(c) where \( \omega(k_\parallel) \) is obtained as a function of angle \( \phi \) for a
fixed $k_{∥} = |k_{∥}|$, for two different values of magnetic field. We numerically find that the energy shift for each $k_{∥}$ polariton increases linearly with magnetic field in the weak field regime ($B < 1T$) while the dependence is complicated for strong applied fields. All these results strongly indicate that the polaritons have a spin magnetic dipole moment ($\mu_p$) parallel to the transverse spin that interacts with the applied magnetic field. The energy of this Zeeman interaction is described by the Hamiltonian,

$$H_{int} = -\mu_p \cdot B$$

It follows that the magnetic field induced frequency shift $\Delta \omega$ for each polariton is:

$$\hbar \Delta \omega(k_{∥}) = -\mu_p(k_{∥}) \cdot B$$

We find that the magnetic moment $\mu_p = |\mu_p|$ for each $k_{∥}$ polariton depends not only on momentum $k_{∥} = |k_{∥}|$ but also on the angle $\phi$. This is evident upon closer inspection of fig 2(c) where the energy shift as a function of angle is not sinusoidal but exhibits slight deviation (see maximum and minimum values). In fig. 2(d), the magnetic moment in units of $\mu_B$ (Bohr magneton) as a function of $k_{∥}$ is displayed for two different angles $\phi = -\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}$ showing that $\mu_p(\phi) \neq \mu_p(\phi + \pi)$ or $\mu_p(k_{∥}) \neq \mu_p(-k_{∥})$. This asymmetry in polariton spin magnetic moment and magnetic-field induced energy shift lies at the origin of the asymmetry in the spin angular momentum and heat flux carried by thermally excited $\pm k_{∥}$ polaritons, resulting into PTPS and PPHC parallel to the surface. In the following, we analyze PTPS and PPHC computed from full fluctuational electrodynamic calculations.

**PTPS and PPHC in thermal near-field of InSb slab.** We compute the spin angular momentum density (Eq.3) and Poynting flux (Eq.2) in thermal near-field of InSb slab in presence of magnetic field of strength 0.5T along $\hat{e}_x$ direction. Both vacuum and material are assumed to be at thermodynamic equilibrium temperature of $T = 300K$. Based on the discussion of polaritons in the previous section, we calculate spin-resolved quantities in the sense described schematically in fig.3(a). In particular, the contributions of electromagnetic waves characterized by $\phi \geq 0$ ($k_{∥}^{(+)}$-waves) and those characterized by $\phi < 0$ ($k_{∥}^{(-)}$-waves) are calculated separately.

Figure 3(b,c,d) demonstrate the frequency spectra of energy density $W(\omega)$, spin angular momentum density $S(\omega)$ and poynting flux $P(\omega)$ at a distance of $d = 1\mu m$ above the surface of InSb. All these figures depict the separate contributions of $k_{∥}^{(+)}$ waves (red curves) and $k_{∥}^{(-)}$ waves (blue curves) along with the sum total (green curves). As evident from fig.3(b), the collective energy density of $k_{∥}^{(+)}$ waves is redshifted and that of $k_{∥}^{(-)}$ waves is blueshifted similar to polaritons, leading to broadening of total energy density spectrum (green), compared to the spectrum in the absence of magnetic field (black dashed line). The asymmetric overall contributions of $k_{∥}^{(+)}$ and $k_{∥}^{(-)}$ waves result into nonzero spin angular momentum density and nonzero Poynting flux at thermal equilibrium i.e. PTPS and PPHC. Note that these persistent quantities contain contributions from not only surface localized polaritons but also other evanescent waves. For instance, another small peak apparent in 3(b)
and clearly visible in 3(d) is not related to the polaritons studied in previous section. Nonetheless, the polaritons make a dominant contribution to PTPS and PPHC.

Figure 4 describes PTPS spectrum [4(a,b,c)] and also demonstrates that the total frequency-integrated PTPS [4(d)] can compete with the angular momentum density contained in laser light. For brevity, we focus only on PTPS. As shown in fig 4(a), the electric-type PTPS is evidently much larger than the magnetic-type PTPS. We describe later [fig 6] that this holds universally for any gyro-electric type nonreciprocal material. Fig 4(b) demonstrates the change in the spectrum as a function of distance from the surface. At each frequency, the sign (direction) of PTPS stays the same while the magnitude decays exponentially as a function of distance from the surface. This also indicates (although not shown separately) that PTPS and PPHC arise from the waves that are evanescent on the vacuum side of the geometry. Fig 4(c) depicts the dependence of the spin angular momentum density on the applied magnetic field. First, the PTPS spectrum broadens as magnetic field is increased from $B = 0.5Te_z$ (light green) to $B = 2Te_z$ (green). Second, while perpendicular magnetic field by itself does not lead to PTPS, it does affect the spectrum observed in presence of parallel magnetic field. This is evident from green and dark green ($B = 2T(e_x + e_z)$) curves. When magnetic field is at oblique angles to the surface such as this example (dark green), the cyclotron motion in yz-plane due to $B_z$ is intercoupled with that in xy-plane due to $B_x$. Due to this intercoupled cyclotron motion of underlying charges, the perpendicular component of magnetic field (which does not lead to PTPS by itself) affects the PTPS spectrum obtained with magnetic field parallel to the surface.

Finally, we plot the total frequency integrated PTPS $(-\tilde{S}_x)$ in fig 4(d) as a function of distance $(d)$ for increasing values of magnetic field. The total PTPS lies along $-\tilde{e}_x$ direction (anti-parallel to applied magnetic field). In order to get a qualitative estimate of the overall strength of PTPS, fig 4(d) also displays the total spin angular momentum density contained in monochromatic (polariton frequency), circularly polarized laser light of power 1mW focused to 1mm² spot size. Evidently, PTPS which originates from the intrinsic fluctuations in the medium can compete with the total spin angular momentum density contained in the laser light. It can even surpass it at separations $d \lesssim 0.5\mu$m from the surface. This large enhancement of PTPS arises from a large density of thermally excited evanescent and surface waves in the near-field, otherwise inaccessible in the far-field. The same figure also depicts the dependence on the temperature with solid lines ($T = 300K$) and dashed lines ($T = 600K$) which comes from the mean thermal energy given by $\Theta(\omega, T) = \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} + \hbar \omega / [\exp(\hbar \omega/k_B T) - 1]$. Since the mean thermal energy is approximately constant over the entire frequency range, PTPS increases/decreases proportionately with the temperature.

We note that the total frequency-integrated Poynting flux $\tilde{P}_x$ for this practical example (not shown) is along $-\tilde{e}_y$ direction. The direction of integrated PPHC is related to the underlying cyclotron motion of electrons induced by magnetic field [19]. Inside the bulk of InSb, the cyclotron motions of electrons cancel each other but at the surface, this cancellation is incomplete. The direction of this incomplete cyclotron motion co-incides with the direction of the PPHC.

We further note that the practical example considered...
FIG. 4. Persistent thermal photon spin. We analyze the persistent thermal photon spin (PTPS) in detail at a distance \( d \) from InSb in presence of magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) when both vacuum and InSb half-spaces are at temperature \( T \). (a) Electric and magnetic contributions to PTPS indicate that the electric type spin dominates (b) The PTPS decays away from the surface at all frequencies while its direction remains the same. (c) Increasing magnetic field leads to PTPS over a broader range of frequencies. (d) Total frequency-integrated PTPS for various \( \mathbf{B} \) fields and temperatures \( T \) is shown. An important comparison is made with total spin angular momentum density contained in a 1mW laser light at the polaritonic frequency, focused to 1mm\(^2\) spot size (orange). It shows that PTPS which is significantly enhanced due to large density of thermally excited states in the near-field, can surpass the spin angular momentum density of laser light at separations \( \lesssim 0.5\mu m \).

here can also lead to unidirectional energy transport because of nonreciprocity [40, 45, 46]. However, unidirectional transport is not a cause of PTPS and PPHC and this is explained in the following. Figure 2(b) indicates that the unidirectional transport due to polaritons can occur along directions for which polaritonic momentum has a dominant \(-\hat{e}_y\) component (maximally blue-shifted polaritons). Although PPHC spectrum in fig.3(d) has a predominant contribution along the same direction, both PPHC and PTPS spectra shown in fig. 3(c,d) show that smaller frequencies with bidirectional polaritons also lead to nonzero persistent quantities. While recent works [47] have started to explore the role of nonlocality in context of nonreciprocity and unidirectional transport, we leave nonlocality aside for future work.

Experimental Proposal. Here we address the experimental detection of the persistent thermal photonic phenomena based on our calculations above and by proposing a new thermal photonic experiment that can provide a direct visual evidence. It is well-known that light carrying momentum and spin/orbital angular momentum can exert optical forces and torques on small absorptive particles in its path. Many works have explored this light-matter interaction in optical tweezers [35, 48, 49] by non-thermal means and in entirely passive systems where forces and torques originate from intrinsic quantum and thermal fluctuations [50–52]. We are interested in the latter for non-intrusive (without disturbing thermal equilibrium) detection of the persistent phenomena. We therefore consider a passive system shown schematically in figure 5 where aqueous or fluidic environment covers the gyrotropic InSb thick slab and contains suspended small micrometer size absorptive and nonmagnetic particles. The particles perform Brownian motion about their positions at finite equilibrium temperature. Upon application of magnetic field, the particles experience additional optical force and torque associated with PPHC and PTPS respectively. While the average motional energies remain constant at thermal equilibrium, the additional forces and torques lead to preferential changes in their mean positions and angular orientations which can be detected under a microscope [53, 54]. In the following, we estimate the additional accelerations using certain simplifying approximations. A rigorous description of dynamics [55, 56] is beyond the scope of this work and at this point unnecessary since we would like to merely detect presence of the persistent thermal photonic phenomena.

The particles are absorptive, nonmagnetic (not influenced by presence or absence of magnetic field) and much smaller in size (~\( \mu m \)) and hence dipolar in nature at surface-polariton wavelengths (\( \gtrsim 48\mu m \)) of InSb. The entire system is at thermal equilibrium room temperature. Magnetic field of 1T is applied along \( \hat{e}_x \) direction resulting into persistent planar heat current \( \mathbf{P} = -P_y \hat{e}_y \) and persistent thermal photon spin \( \mathbf{S} = -S_x \hat{e}_x \). Using expressions from references [35, 36, 57], time-averaged optical force \( \mathbf{F} = -\int_\omega \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \text{Im}\{\alpha(\omega)\} P_y(\omega) \hat{e}_y \) and optical torque \( \mathbf{T} = -\int_\omega n^2 \omega \text{Im}\{\alpha(\omega)\} S_x(\omega) \hat{e}_y \) parallel to the surface are calculated where \( n \) is the refractive index of the aqueous medium. Here, \( \alpha \) is dipolar vacuum polarizability defined such that induced dipole moment is \( \mathbf{p} = \varepsilon_0 \alpha \mathbf{E} \). We assume \( n = 1.33 \) (water) and spherical particles of diameter 1\( \mu m \), mass density \( \rho \sim 2000kg/m^3 \) and small (non-resonant) imaginary part of dispersionless polarizability \( \text{Im}\{\alpha\} = 0.01V \) where \( V \) is the volume of the nanoparticle. For these parameters, the particles experience linear acceleration of 0.1\( \mu m/s^2 \) and angular acceleration of 10rad/s\(^2\) at distance of 1\( \mu m \) from the interface. Note that we
FIG. 5. Experimental proposal. A thick planar slab of Indium Antimonide (InSb) is covered with an aqueous medium containing suspended micrometer size nonmagnetic particles. The entire system is at room temperature and the particles perform Brownian movement about their mean positions at thermal equilibrium. When magnetic field is turned on, the particles experience additional translational and rotational diffusion due to PPHC and PTPS respectively. The bottom two figures depict the expected view through the microscope where magnetic field along \( \hat{e}_x \) direction leads to an observable overall vertical shift of the Brownian particles.

have ignored the influence of dipolar particles on the surface polaritons assuming sparse distribution in the aqueous medium and non-resonant polarization response at polariton wavelength. The accelerations can be further enhanced by choosing lossy particles or by reducing the particle size. They are enhanced by orders of magnitude by using resonant particles such as doped silicon [58] whose response can be tailored by tuning the doping concentration. Overall, these calculations indicate that the persistent phenomena can be noticed in a wide range of temperatures and frequencies. For clearly observing the rotational motion, one can use anisotropic particles in the shape of microrods as depicted in fig. 5. Since the additional changes in preferential directions are not possible in absence of magnetic field or with other homogeneous reciprocal media, their mere presence will be a clear indicator of the persistent thermal photonic phenomena. They can be readily perceived upon seeing through the microscope or by methodically tracking the particle movements.

Universal behavior of PTPS and PPHC. Here we describe the universal behavior of PTPS and PPHC with generic bianisotropic material types. A bianisotropic medium is often considered in the literature [59, 60]

to represent a superset of all types of media, more commonly described with following constitutive relations assuming local material response (in the frequency domain):

\[
D = \varepsilon \varepsilon_0 E + \xi \frac{1}{c} H \\
B = \mu \frac{1}{c} E + \mu \mu_0 H
\] (11)

\( \varepsilon, \mu \) are dimensionless permittivity and permeability tensors and \( \varepsilon, \mu \) are magneto-electric coupling tensors. Based on the existing literature we categorize bianisotropic materials into following five well-studied material types:

- Isotropic materials: Most naturally existing dielectric or metallic materials with scalar \( \varepsilon, \mu \) and \( \varepsilon, \mu = 0 \).
- Uniaxial/biaxial anisotropic materials such as birefringent crystals that have diagonal \( \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \) with unequal diagonal entries and \( \varepsilon, \mu = 0 \).
- Gyroelectric (Magneto-optic) materials such as semiconductors in external magnetic fields [61] for which \( \varepsilon \) has nonzero off-diagonal components, \( \mu \) is a scalar and \( \varepsilon, \mu = 0 \).
- Gyromagnetic materials such as ferromagnets and ferrites [62] for which \( \mu \) has nonzero off-diagonal components, \( \varepsilon \) is a scalar and \( \varepsilon, \mu = 0 \).
- Magneto-electric materials such as chromite CrO₃, multiferroics CuCrO₂ [63, 64] and topological insulators such as Bi₂Se₃ [65] for which \( \varepsilon, \mu \) are diagonal and \( \varepsilon, \mu = 0 \).

Apart from the naturally existing examples given above, there exists a huge range of artificially designed metamaterials with/without bias fields/currents that can effectively provide any combination of these material types [59, 60]. It is well-known that such a bianisotropic material is reciprocal [66] when,

\[
\varepsilon = \varepsilon^T, \quad \mu = \mu^T, \quad \xi = -\xi^T \] (12)

The material is nonreciprocal if atleast one of these conditions is violated.

Table I summarizes PTPS and PPHC with generic bianisotropic media with suitable representative examples that describe the presence or absence of PTPS and PPHC with specific material type. Both isotropic and uniaxial/biaxial anisotropic materials being reciprocal in nature, do not lead to any persistent spin or heat current. The first example considers uniaxial anisotropic material with its anisotropy axis parallel to the surface (breaking the rotational symmetry) and
Nonreciprocal materials that lead to PTPS and PPHC

\[ \mathbf{\varepsilon} = (2 + 0.1i)\mathbf{I}_{3x3} - 4\hat{e}_y\hat{e}_y^T_{xy}, \quad \mathbf{\mu} = \mathbf{I}_{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\zeta} = 0 \]

PTPS and PPHC in thermal near-field of bianisotropic materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>( g[E(\omega)_{xy}] )</th>
<th>( g[H(\omega)_{xy}] )</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Uniaxial Anisotropic</td>
<td>( \mathbf{\varepsilon} = (2 + 0.1i)\mathbf{I}_{3x3} - 4\hat{e}<em>y\hat{e}<em>y^T</em>{xy}, \quad \mathbf{\mu} = \mathbf{I}</em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\zeta} = 0 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gyroelectric (Magneto-optic)</td>
<td>( \mathbf{\varepsilon} = (-1 + 0.1i)\mathbf{I}_{3x3} + 0.1i(\hat{e}_y\hat{e}<em>y^T</em>{xy} - \hat{e}<em>x\hat{e}<em>x^T</em>{xy}), \quad \mathbf{\mu} = \mathbf{I}</em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\zeta} = 0 )</td>
<td>-65( \hat{e}_x )</td>
<td>0.2( \hat{e}_z )</td>
<td>-7.4( \hat{e}_y )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Gyromagnetic</td>
<td>( \mathbf{\varepsilon} = 4\mathbf{I}<em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\mu} = (-1 + 0.1i)\mathbf{I}</em>{3x3} + 0.1i(\hat{e}_y\hat{e}<em>y^T</em>{xy} - \hat{e}_x\hat{e}<em>x^T</em>{xy}), \quad \mathbf{\zeta} = 0 )</td>
<td>0.2( \hat{e}_x )</td>
<td>-120( \hat{e}_x )</td>
<td>-10.1( \hat{e}_y )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gyroelectric (Magneto-optic)</td>
<td>( \mathbf{\varepsilon} = (4 + 0.1i)\mathbf{I}_{3x3} + 0.1i(\hat{e}_y\hat{e}<em>y^T</em>{xy} - \hat{e}<em>x\hat{e}<em>x^T</em>{xy}), \quad \mathbf{\mu} = \mathbf{I}</em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\zeta} = 0 )</td>
<td>0.004( \hat{e}_z )</td>
<td>-0.004( \hat{e}_x )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Magneto-Electric (Nonreciprocal)</td>
<td>( \mathbf{\varepsilon} = 4\mathbf{I}<em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\mu} = \mathbf{I}</em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\zeta} = 0 )</td>
<td>-0.01( \hat{e}_x )</td>
<td>0.01( \hat{e}_x )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Magneto-Electric (Reciprocal)</td>
<td>( \mathbf{\varepsilon} = 4\mathbf{I}<em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\mu} = \mathbf{I}</em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\zeta} = -\mathbf{I}_{3x3} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Magneto-Electric (Nonreciprocal)</td>
<td>( \mathbf{\varepsilon} = 4\mathbf{I}<em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\mu} = \mathbf{I}</em>{3x3}, \quad \mathbf{\zeta} = \mathbf{I}_{3x3} )</td>
<td>0.02( \hat{e}_y )</td>
<td>0.0003( \hat{e}_z )</td>
<td>0.008( \hat{e}_x )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The full calculations confirm the absence of persistent phenomena. The second and third examples in the table correspond to gyroelectric and gyromagnetic materials having anti-symmetric permittivity \( \mathbf{\varepsilon} \) and permeability \( \mathbf{\mu} \) tensors with nonzero off-diagonal components (hence nonreciprocal). For both examples, the gyrotyropy axis is assumed to be along \( \hat{e}_x \) which leads to PTPS along \( \hat{e}_x \) and PPHC along \( \hat{e}_y \) direction. It is also found that PTPS of gyroelectric material is mostly electric-type while that of gyromagnetic material is mostly magnetic-type. While the chosen parameters lead to plasmonic enhancement of the persistent phenomena, other parameters (dielectric \( \mathbf{\varepsilon} \) and \( \mathbf{\mu} \)) also show the same (zero or nonzero) features.

In the fourth example with gyrotropy axis along \( \hat{e}_z \) (perpendicular to surface), no PPHC is observed. The \( \hat{e}_z \) components of electric and magnetic type PTPS are nonzero but cancel each other leading to zero total thermal spin. This proves that nonreciprocity is not sufficient to observe PTPS and PPHC.

The fifth and sixth examples consider isotropic, dielectric permittivity and permeability (\( \mathbf{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{\mu} \)) and diagonal magneto-electric susceptibilities (\( \mathbf{\zeta}, \mathbf{\zeta} \)). For nonreciprocal susceptibilities (\( \mathbf{\zeta} \neq -\mathbf{\zeta} \)), it is found that both PPHC and PTPS are zero although electric and magnetic type contributions to PTPS are nonzero.
This is qualitatively similar to gyrotropic media with gyrotropy axis perpendicular to the surface. When the off-diagonal components of $\xi, \zeta$ are nonzero as considered in the seventh (last) example, PTPS and PPHC parallel to the surface are observed. Interestingly, when $y$ and $z$-components of $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}$ fields are coupled, PTPS is along $\hat{e}_y$ direction while PPHC is along $\hat{e}_z$ direction. When $x$ and $y$-components of $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}$ fields are coupled, PTPS and PPHC are parallel to the surface but they are not necessarily in a specific direction (not tabulated). For a magneto-electric medium, both electric and magnetic contributions to PTPS are comparable to each other as opposed to gyrotropic media where one of them dominates. Figure 6 summarizes the important findings based on this general analysis.

We note that the material parameters $\xi, \eta, \zeta, \xi$ are not entirely arbitrary but follow certain symmetry relations and are also constrained by conditions of causality and passivity [67, 68]. The causality constraint leads to Kramer-Kronig relations for frequency dependent parameters and it requires separate examination for different types of materials [69]. The passivity requires that the material matrix $M$ is such that $[(-iM) + (-iM)^{\ast}] / 2$ is positive definite [67]. We do not discuss the frequency dependence of various parameters here since single frequency calculations are sufficient to describe the nature of PTPS and PPHC for a given material type. However, we make sure that all the parameters satisfy the constraint of passivity and note that without such constraints, the persistent phenomena can be incorrectly deduced for reciprocal systems that are actually non-passive (nonequilibrium). The seven examples above and the analysis presented here are sufficient to predict the presence or absence of the PTPS and PPHC and their nature (directions, electric/magnetic type PTPS) for any given type of bianisotropic material.

III. CONCLUSION

Modern thermal photonics utilizes fluctuational electrodynamic paradigm to explore new phenomena (near-field radiative heat transfer [70]) and new regimes (nonreciprocity [23], nonlinearities [71] and nonequilibrium [72]) which are inaccessible to older paradigm of radiometry and Kirchhoff’s laws. And yet, thermal spin photonics is so far limited to inquiries based on Kirchhoff’s laws [7–9]. This work demonstrates new thermal spin photonic phenomena in the near-field of nonreciprocal materials analyzed within fluctuational electrodynamic paradigm. It invites new inquiries and paves the way for new fundamental and technological avenues in thermal spin photonics. In particular, it will be useful in the near future for shaping spin-angular momentum related radiative heat transport phenomena such as our recent work on circularly polarized thermal light sources [11].

We revealed that spin-momentum locking of thermally excited evanescent waves plays a fundamental role in facilitating the surprising thermal equilibrium features of PTPS and PPHC. This opens a new degree of freedom for directional radiative heat transport at the nanoscale. We provided a new result that polaritons in nonreciprocal photonics can carry spin magnetic moment. To the best of our knowledge, it has not been calculated from rigorous numerical computations before. Spin magnetic moment of polaritons in nonreciprocal photonics invites further separate inquiries related to spin-dependent quantum light matter interactions and spin-quantization.

We showed that there are no thermodynamic contradictions with respect the existence of nonzero thermal spin (PTPS) and heat flux (PPHC) despite thermal equilibrium. However, it remains to be explored whether nonreciprocity and thermal equilibrium can always coexist, particularly for more complicated systems involving inhomogeneous magnetic field or several heat channels such as conduction. Pursuit of such fundamental inquiries in the future is important since paradoxical situations could be encountered that may invite revision or revalidation of fundamental theories [73].

We described the universal behavior of the thermal spin photonic phenomena with a comprehensive analysis of key classes of nonreciprocal materials namely, gyroelectric, gyromagnetic and magneto-electric media. This general analysis motivates future studies of thermal radiation [74], radiative heat transfer [70], Casimir forces/torques [75] from generic bianisotropic materials. So far, thermal photonics exploring these effects is limited to a restricted class of isotropic, uni/biaxial anisotropic and in some recent works, gyroelectric materials. The theoretical framework and the Green’s function produced here can also be used to study environment assisted quantum nanophotonic phenomena such as Forster resonance energy transfer [26], atomic transition shifts [27] with general, bianisotropic materials. We leave all these promising directions of research aside for future work.
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V. METHODS

Derivation of Green’s function. The Green’s function relating vector potential at \( r_1 = (R_1, z_1) \) to source current at \( r_2 = (R_2, z_2) \) in vacuum where \( R = (x, y) \) denotes planar co-ordinates, is:

\[
\overline{G}(r_1, r_2) = \int \frac{d^2k_\parallel}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i k_\parallel \cdot (R_1 - R_2)} \overline{g}(k_\parallel, z_1, z_2) \tag{13}
\]

The polarization vectors \( \hat{e}_j \) for \( j = s, p \) with \( \pm \) denoting waves going along \( \pm \hat{e}_z \) directions are:

\[
\hat{e}_s = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \phi \\ -\cos \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{e}_p = \begin{bmatrix} \pm k_\parallel \cos \phi \\ \pm k_\parallel \sin \phi \\ -k_\parallel \end{bmatrix} \tag{15}
\]

The Fresnel reflection coefficient \( r_{jk} \) for \( j, k = [s, p] \) describes the amplitude of \( \hat{e}_j \)-polarized reflected light due to unit amplitude \( \hat{e}_k \)-polarized incident light. The Green’s function above consists of two parts corresponding to the trajectories of electromagnetic waves generated at the source position \( r_2 \) and arriving at \( r_1 \) either directly (\( \overline{g}_0 \)) or upon reflection from the interface (\( \overline{g}_{\text{ref}} \)). The Green’s function in Eq. 14 is derived for \( z_1 \geq z_2 \). For \( z_1 < z_2 \), only the vacuum part is modified to \( \overline{g}_0 = e^{-i k_\parallel (z_1 - z_2)} [\hat{e}_s + \hat{e}_s^T + \hat{e}_p + \hat{e}_p^T] \). The Fresnel reflection coefficients can be obtained experimentally or theoretically.

**Fresnel reflection coefficients and polaritonic dispersion.**

We develop a tool to compute Fresnel reflection coefficients for a generic, homogeneous medium that can be described using the following constitutive relations assuming local response (in the frequency domain):

\[
\begin{align*}
D &= \overline{\varepsilon} \varepsilon_0 E + \frac{1}{c} \overline{\mu} \mu_0 H \\
B &= \frac{1}{c} E + \overline{\mu} \mu_0 H
\end{align*}
\tag{16}
\]

\( \overline{\varepsilon}, \overline{\mu} \) are dimensionless permittivity and permeability tensors and \( \overline{\varepsilon}, \overline{\mu} \) are magneto-electric coupling tensors. For isotropic materials, \( \overline{\varepsilon}, \overline{\mu} = 0 \) and \( \overline{\varepsilon}, \overline{\mu} \) are scalars.

\( \mathbf{k} = (k_\parallel, \pm k_\perp) \) is the total wavevector consisting of conserved parallel component \( k_\parallel \) and perpendicular \( \pm \) component in vacuum \( \pm k_\perp \). The (+) and (−) signs denote waves going away from and towards the interface respectively. It follows from Maxwell’s equations that they satisfy the dispersion relation \( k_\parallel^2 + k_\perp^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \) where \( k_\parallel = |k_\parallel| \) is real and \( k_\perp \) can be real \( (k_\parallel < k_0) \) or complex valued \( (k_\parallel > k_0) \). For simplicity, we write \( k_\parallel = (k_\parallel \cos \phi, k_\parallel \sin \phi) \) where \( \phi \) is the angle subtended by \( k_\parallel \) with \( x \)-axis. Assuming \( z_1 > z_2 \), the integrand \( \overline{g}(k_\parallel, z_1, z_2) \) tensor is written using the \( s, p \)-polarization vectors \( (\hat{e}_s, \hat{e}_p) \):

\[
\overline{g}(k_\parallel, z_1, z_2) = \frac{i}{2k_\parallel} \left[ e^{i k_\parallel (z_1 - z_2)} \left[ \hat{e}_s \hat{e}_s^T + \hat{e}_p \hat{e}_p^T \right] + e^{i k_\parallel (z_1 + z_2)} \left[ \left[ (r_{ss} \hat{e}_s + r_{sp} \hat{e}_p) \hat{e}_s^T + (r_{ps} \hat{e}_s + r_{pp} \hat{e}_p) \hat{e}_p^T \right] \right] \right]
\tag{14}
\]

For gyro-electric (magneto-optic) and gyro-magnetic media, the tensors \( \overline{\varepsilon}, \overline{\mu} \) respectively have off-diagonal components and \( \overline{\xi}, \overline{\zeta} = 0 \). The tensors \( \overline{\xi}, \overline{\zeta} \) are nonzero for magneto-electric media. By writing electromagnetic fields inside the material as \( \mathbf{E} = i \omega \mathbf{H} \) and using above constitutive relations in Maxwell’s equations, we obtain the following dimensionless dispersion equation for waves inside the material:

\[
det(M + M_k) = 0, \quad \text{for } M = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\varepsilon} & \overline{\xi} \\ \overline{\xi} & \overline{\mu} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and } M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -k_\parallel/k_0 \\ -k_\parallel/k_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\tag{17}
\]

Here, \( 6 \times 6 \) material tensor \( M \) describes the constitutive relations and \( M_k \) corresponds to the curl operator acting on plane waves. Because of the generality of this problem, we obtain \( k_\perp \) numerically by solving \( \det(M + M_k(k_\perp)) = 0 \) for given \( (k_\parallel, \phi) \). Depending on the nature of the material, there can be two (for isotropic media) or four (for anisotropic media) solutions of \( k_\perp \) corresponding to \( \pm \hat{e}_z \)-propagation of electromagnetic waves. Overall, there are four eigensolutions spanning the null-space of the matrix \( M + M_k(k_\perp) \), out of which two solutions correspond to waves propagating in \( \pm \hat{e}_z \) direction (transmitted waves in our geometry). The four Fresnel reflection coefficients are then obtained by matching the tangential components at the interface \( (E_x, E_y, H_x, H_y) \) of incident and reflected fields with the transmitted fields. Here, the transmitted fields are
written in the basis of former two null-space solutions while the incident and reflected fields are written in the basis of \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\omega, \mathbf{k}_p} \)-polarizations (Eq. 15). This procedure is also extended in this work to compute the polaritonic dispersion \( (\omega(\mathbf{k}_j)) \) of surface polaritons that decay on both sides of the interface. While that calculation does not involve Fresnel coefficients, the boundary conditions again lead to a homogeneous, linear problem of the form \( M_p(\omega, \mathbf{k}_c)X = 0 \) where \( X \) contains the coefficients describing the decomposition of polaritonic fields into four eigenstates (\( s, p \)-polarizations in vacuum and two \(-\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z\)-propagating solutions inside the medium) at the interface. By numerically solving \( \det(M_p(\omega, \mathbf{k}_c)) = 0 \), the polaritonic dispersion \( \omega(\mathbf{k}_j) \) is obtained. The associated null-space describes the polaritonic fields. Note that since \( \mathbf{k}_\parallel \) is assumed to be real-valued and non-decaying, \( \omega \) is complex-valued with the imaginary part describing the finite lifetime (quality factor) of the polaritons.
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We consider a semi-infinite half-space of a generic bianisotropic medium at thermal equilibrium with vacuum. To analyze the thermal radiation on the vacuum side of the geometry, we derive the Green’s function, equilibrium correlations of vector potential (fluctuation dissipation relation) and equilibrium correlations of electromagentic fields. Finally, we provide semi-analytic expressions for spin angular momentum density and Poynting flux perpendicular and parallel to the surface.

I. DERIVATION OF GREEN’S FUNCTION

The vector potential \( \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) \) at \( \mathbf{r}_1 \) produced by source current density \( \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}_2) \) located at \( \mathbf{r}_2 \) can be calculated using Green’s function \( \overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) \) using the relation:

\[
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1) = \int_{V_2} \overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) \mu_0 \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}_2) d^3\mathbf{r}_2
\]  

(S1)

Since we use Landau gauge \( \mathbf{E} = i\omega \mathbf{A} \), this is same as the electric-type Green’s function that is commonly employed in the literature in the form of following equation:

\[
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_1) = i\omega \mu_0 \int_{V_2} \overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}_2) d^3\mathbf{r}_2 = \omega^2 \mu_0 \int_{V_2} \overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}_2) d^3\mathbf{r}_2
\]  

(S2)

where \( \mathbf{p} \) is polarization (dipole moment) density. Since the derivation of this Green’s function is quite well-known for isotropic media, we do not reproduce that derivation here but focus mainly on its extension to the case of bianisotropic half-space considered in the manuscript. We use Weyl’s angular spectrum representation of Green’s function. We write the position vectors as \( \mathbf{r}_j = (\mathbf{R}_j, z_j) \) with transverse co-ordinates \( \mathbf{R}_j = (x_j, y_j) \) for \( j = [1,2] \) and wave-vectors \( \mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_z) \) with transverse wavevector \( k_0 = k_0 (\cos \phi \mathbf{e}_z + \sin \phi \mathbf{e}_x) \) where we introduce \( \phi \) for simplicity of expressions below. In vacuum, the dispersion relation \( k^2_\parallel + k_z^2 = k_0^2 = (\omega/c)^2 \) follows from Maxwell’s equation, where \( k_\parallel \) is real-valued and \( k_z \) can be real (for \( k_\parallel \leq k_0 \)) or complex-valued (for \( k_\parallel \geq k_0 \)). In vacuum, the electric field at \( \mathbf{r}_1 \) produced by the dipole moment \( \mathbf{p} = d\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_2) \) located at \( \mathbf{r}_2 \) is written in the angular spectrum representation for \( z_1 \geq z_2 \) as:

\[
\mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{R}_1, z_1) = \omega^2 \mu_0 \int \frac{d^2k_\parallel}{(2\pi)^2} e^{ik_\parallel(\mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2)} \frac{i}{2k_0} e^{ik_z(z_1 - z_2)} [\mathbf{e}_{s+}(\mathbf{e}_{s+} \cdot \mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{e}_{p+}(\mathbf{e}_{p+} \cdot \mathbf{d})]
\]  

(S3)

The polarization vectors \( \mathbf{e}_{s\pm} \) for \( j = s,p \) with \( \pm \) denoting waves going along \( \pm \mathbf{e}_z \) directions are:

\[
\mathbf{e}_{s\pm} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \phi \\ -\cos \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{p\pm} = \frac{-1}{k_0} \begin{bmatrix} \pm k_z \cos \phi \\ \pm k_z \sin \phi \\ -k_\parallel \end{bmatrix}
\]  

(S4)

For \( z_1 < z_2 \), the integrand is modified and contains the term \( e^{-ik_z(z_1 - z_2)}[\mathbf{e}_{s-}(\mathbf{e}_{s-} \cdot \mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{e}_{p-}(\mathbf{e}_{p-} \cdot \mathbf{d})] \). For consistency, we will stick with \( z_1 \geq z_2 \) in the following discussion. The scattered/reflected field is calculated by considering the reflection of the incident field at the interface (\( z = 0 \)). Since the waves propagate in \( -\mathbf{e}_z \) direction to reach the interface, the incident field will be of the form \( e^{ik_z z_2} [\mathbf{e}_{s-}(\mathbf{e}_{s-} \cdot \mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{e}_{p-}(\mathbf{e}_{p-} \cdot \mathbf{d})] \). It undergoes reflection at the interface where polarization vectors change to \( \mathbf{e}_{s-} \rightarrow r_{ss} \mathbf{e}_{s+} + r_{ps} \mathbf{e}_{p+} \) and \( \mathbf{e}_{p-} \rightarrow r_{ps} \mathbf{e}_{s+} + r_{pp} \mathbf{e}_{p+} \). The Fresnel reflection coefficient \( r_{jk} \) for \( j,k = [s,p] \) describes the amplitude of \( \mathbf{e}_j \)-polarized reflected light due to unit amplitude \( \mathbf{e}_k \)-polarized incident light. For isotropic media, cross-polarization Fresnel coefficients \( r_{sp}, r_{ps} \) are zero which simplifies the calculation. But they are not necessarily zero for general bianisotropic media. The reflected field then acquires
an additional phase of \(e^{ik_z z_1}\) upon reaching the position \(r_1\) along with the overall transverse phase accrual same as \(e^{ik_\perp (R_1-R_2)}\). This results in the scattered/reflected field at \(r_1\) given below:

\[
E_{\text{ref}}(R_1, z_1) = \omega^2 \mu_0 \int \frac{d^2k_z}{(2\pi)^2} e^{ik_z (z_1+z_2)} \left[ \frac{i}{2k_z} e^{ik_z (z_1+z_2)} \left[ (r_{ss} \hat{e}_s^+ + r_{ps} \hat{e}_p^+) \hat{e}_s^- \cdot \hat{d} + (r_{sp} \hat{e}_s^+ + r_{pp} \hat{e}_p^+) \hat{e}_p^- \cdot \hat{d} \right] \right]
\]

(S5)

By writing the total field \(E(r_1) = E_0(r_1) + E_{\text{ref}}(r_2)\) and using Eq S2, the Green’s function \(\overline{G}(r_1, r_2)\) is derived for the geometry considered in the manuscript.

II. DERIVATION OF FLUCTUATION DISSIPATION THEOREM (FDT)

We follow Landau’s discussion in Ref. [S1] (Statistical Physics Part 2, Chapter 8) to obtain the vector potential correlations in the vacuum half-space when both the vacuum and the material half-spaces are at the same thermodynamic temperature \(T\) (FDT of first kind). Let’s consider the linear response theory developed by Kubo. In this theory, we consider a discrete set of quantities denoted by \(x_a\) for \((a = 1, 2, \ldots)\) which describe the behavior of the system under certain external interactions. These interactions are described by external forces \(f_a\) such that interaction energy has the form:

\[
V(r) = - \sum_a f_a(r) x_a(r)
\]

(S6)

The quantities \(x_a\) are further related to the forces \(f_a\) through linear generalized susceptibilities \(\alpha_{ab}(r, r')\) (linear response). In the Fourier domain, they can be written as:

\[
x_a(\omega, r) = \int \sum_b \alpha_{ab}(\omega; r, r') f_b(\omega, r') d^3r'
\]

(S7)

The spectral distribution of the fluctuating quantities \(x_a(\omega, r)\) is related to the generalized susceptibilities by Kubo’s fluctuation dissipation relation given by:

\[
\langle x_a(r, \omega) x_b^*(r', \omega') \rangle = \frac{\alpha_{ab}(\omega; r, r') - \alpha_{ba}^*(\omega; r', r)}{2i} \frac{\hbar \omega}{\omega} \left( \frac{1}{\exp[\hbar \omega/k_0 T] - 1} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega')
\]

(S8)

For electromagnetic fields, \(x_a(\omega, r) \rightarrow A_j(\omega, r)\) \((j = x, y, z\) component of vector potential). The interaction with the externally induced current is given by \(V = -\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{A}\) where \(\mathbf{j}(\omega, r)\) is the generalized force. Since vector potential and current density are related by the Green’s function:

\[
A_j(\omega, r) = \int G_{jk}(\omega; r, r') \mu_0 J_k(\omega, r') d^3r'
\]

(S9)

the generalized susceptibility becomes \(\alpha_{ab}(\omega; r, r') = \mu_0 G_{jk}(\omega; r, r')\). Making these substitutions in Kubo’s linear FDT given by Eq. S8, one retrieves FDT for vector potential components written in the matrix form as:

\[
\langle \mathbf{A}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{A}^*(r_2) \rangle = \frac{\overline{G}(r_1, r_2) - \overline{G}(r_2, r_1)}{2i} \frac{\mu_0}{\omega} \Theta(\omega, T)
\]

(S10)

\([..]^T\) denotes the matrix transpose and \([..]^\ast\) denotes complex conjugation. The vector quantities are written as column vectors such that \(\mathbf{A} = [A_x, A_y, A_z]^T\). Substituting the Green’s function obtained in section I, the vector potential correlations are:

\[
\langle \mathbf{A}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{A}^*(r_2) \rangle = \frac{\mu_0}{\omega} \int \frac{d^2k_z}{2i(2\pi)^2} e^{ik_z (z_1+z_2)} \left[ \frac{i}{2k_z} e^{ik_z (z_1+z_2)} \left[ (r_{ss} \hat{e}_s^+ + r_{ps} \hat{e}_p^+) \hat{e}_s^- + (r_{sp} \hat{e}_s^+ + r_{pp} \hat{e}_p^+) \hat{e}_p^- \right] \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{i}{2k_z} e^{ik_z (z_1+z_2)} [(r_{ss} \hat{e}_s^+ + r_{ps} \hat{e}_p^+) \hat{e}_s^- + (r_{sp} \hat{e}_s^+ + r_{pp} \hat{e}_p^+) \hat{e}_p^-] \hat{d}^T
\]

\[
+ \frac{i}{2k_z} e^{-ik_z (z_1+z_2)} [(r_{ss} \hat{e}_s^+ + r_{ps} \hat{e}_p^+) \hat{e}_s^- + (r_{sp} \hat{e}_s^+ + r_{pp} \hat{e}_p^+) \hat{e}_p^-] \hat{d}^T \right]
\]

(S11)
Note that even though we eventually compute the correlations for $r_1 = r_2$, we still need to expand all the terms since $\mathbf{G}^{-1}(r_2, r_1)^* \neq \mathbf{G}^{-1}(r_1, r_2)^*$. Furthermore, following calculations involve curl operators that act differently on different terms due to different phase factors making it necessary to calculate each term carefully.

### III. Derivation of Electromagnetic Field Correlations

The calculation of field correlations is straightforward in Landau gauge since $\mathbf{E} = i\omega \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$:

\[
\langle \mathbf{E}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{E}^*(r_2) \rangle = \langle (i\omega \mathbf{A}(r_1))(i\omega \mathbf{A}(r_2))^T \rangle = \omega^2 \langle \mathbf{A}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{A}^*(r_2) \rangle
\]  
(S12)

\[
\langle \mathbf{E}(r_1, \omega) \otimes \mathbf{H}^*(r_2, \omega) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \langle ((i\omega \mathbf{A}(r_1, \omega))(\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(r_2, \omega))^*)^T \rangle = \frac{i\omega}{\mu_0} \langle \mathbf{A}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{A}^*(r_2) \rangle (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})^T
\]  
(S13)

\[
\langle \mathbf{H}(r_1, \omega) \otimes \mathbf{H}^*(r_2, \omega) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu_0^2} \langle ((\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(r_1, \omega))(\nabla \times \mathbf{A}^*(r_2, \omega))^*)^T \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu_0^2} \langle (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{A}^*(r_2)) (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}^*)^T \rangle
\]  
(S14)

The curl operator $\nabla \times$ acts only on the exponential phase factor and not the $s, p$ polarization vectors $(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s\pm}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p\pm})$ and therefore leads to the above simplified form. The matrix form of the curl operator therefore depends on the exponential phase in each term. For instance, for a term in the correlations $(\mathbf{A}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{A}^*(r_2))$ that has the phase factor $e^{ik_x(x_1-x_2)+ik_y(y_1-y_2)-ik_z(z_1-z_2)}$, the curl operator $\nabla \times$ is:

\[
\nabla \times = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\partial_z & \partial_y \\
\partial_z & 0 & -\partial_x \\
-\partial_y & \partial_x & 0
\end{bmatrix} e^{ik_x(x_1-x_2)+ik_y(y_1-y_2)-ik_z(z_1-z_2)} = i\begin{bmatrix}
0 & -k_z^* & -k_y \\
k_z^* & 0 & k_x \\
k_y & -k_x & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Here $k_x = k_\parallel \cos \phi$, $k_y = k_\parallel \sin \phi$ and $k_z^2 = k_\parallel^2 - k_\perp^2$. By performing these matrix operations one can obtain the above electromagnetic field correlations. The electromagnetic field correlations are:

\[
\langle \mathbf{E}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{E}^*(r_2) \rangle = \mu_0 i\omega \Theta(\omega, T) \int \frac{k_{11} dk 1 d\phi}{2i(2\pi)^2} e^{ik_1 \cdot (\mathbf{R}_1-\mathbf{R}_2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{i}{2k_z} e^{ik_x(z_1-z_2)} [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+}^T + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+}^T] \\
+ \frac{i}{2k_z} e^{ik_x(z_1+z_2)} [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+}^T + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+}^T]
\end{array} \right]
\]  
(S15)

\[
\langle \mathbf{E}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{H}^*(r_2) \rangle = -k_0 \Theta(\omega, T) \int \frac{k_{11} dk 1 d\phi}{2i(2\pi)^2} e^{ik_1 \cdot (\mathbf{R}_1-\mathbf{R}_2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{i}{2k_z} e^{ik_x(z_1-z_2)} [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+}^T - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+}^T] \\
+ \frac{i}{2k_z} e^{ik_x(z_1+z_2)} [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+}^T - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+}^T]
\end{array} \right]
\]  
(S16)

\[
\langle \mathbf{H}(r_1) \otimes \mathbf{H}^*(r_2) \rangle = \frac{k_0^2 \Theta(\omega, T)}{\mu_0} \int \frac{k_{11} dk 1 d\phi}{2i(2\pi)^2} e^{ik_1 \cdot (\mathbf{R}_1-\mathbf{R}_2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{i}{2k_z} e^{ik_x(z_1-z_2)} [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+}^T + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+}^T] \\
+ \frac{i}{2k_z} e^{ik_x(z_1+z_2)} [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+}^T - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p+} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s+}^T]
\end{array} \right]
\]  
(S17)

In the following, we look at the spin angular momentum density and Poynting flux along certain $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ direction (perpendicular to surface) and along $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x$ direction (parallel to surface). We evaluate these quantities at spatial point $r_1 = r_2 = r = (0, 0, d)$. 
Calculation of spin density and Poynting flux perpendicular to surface

\[ \epsilon_0 \langle E_x(r)E_y^*(r) \rangle = \frac{\omega \Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{k_y dk_y d\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( \frac{1}{k_z} + k_z^2 \right) \sin \phi \cos \phi + \frac{1}{k_z} \left( 1 + k_z^2 \right) \sin \phi \cos \phi \right] \]

\[ + \frac{e^{2ik_z^d}}{k_z} \left( -r_{ss} \sin \phi \cos \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi + r_{pp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin^2 \phi - r_{pp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right) \]

\[ + \frac{e^{-2ik_z^d}}{k_z^s} \left( -r_{ss}^* \sin \phi \cos \phi - r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin^2 \phi - r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi - r_{pp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(S18)}

\[ \epsilon_0 \langle E_y(r)E_x^*(r) \rangle = \frac{\omega \Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{k_y dk_y d\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( \frac{1}{k_z} + k_z^2 \right) \sin \phi \cos \phi + \frac{1}{k_z} \left( 1 + k_z^2 \right) \sin \phi \cos \phi \right] \]

\[ + \frac{e^{2ik_z^d}}{k_z^s} \left( -r_{ss} \sin \phi \cos \phi - r_{sp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin^2 \phi - r_{sp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi - r_{pp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right) \]

\[ + \frac{e^{-2ik_z^d}}{k_z} \left( -r_{ss}^* \sin \phi \cos \phi + r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin^2 \phi + r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi + r_{pp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(S19)}

\[ \mu_0 \langle H_x(r)H_y^*(r) \rangle = \frac{\omega \Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{k_y dk_y d\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( \frac{1}{k_z} + k_z^2 \right) \sin \phi \cos \phi + \frac{1}{k_z} \left( 1 + k_z^2 \right) \sin \phi \cos \phi \right] \]

\[ + \frac{e^{2ik_z^d}}{k_z} \left( -r_{ss} \frac{k_z^2}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi - r_{sp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin^2 \phi - r_{sp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi - r_{pp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right) \]

\[ + \frac{e^{-2ik_z^d}}{k_z^s} \left( -r_{ss}^* \frac{k_z^2}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi + r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin^2 \phi + r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi + r_{pp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(S20)}

\[ \langle E_z(r)H_y^*(r) \rangle = -k_0 \Theta(\omega, T) \int \frac{k_y dk_y d\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( -k_z \right) + \frac{1}{k_z} \left( k_z^* \right) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{e^{2ik_z^d}}{k_z} \left( r_{ss} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin^2 \phi - r_{sp} \frac{k_z^2}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi - r_{sp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi \right) \]

\[ + \frac{e^{-2ik_z^d}}{k_z^s} \left( -r_{ss}^* \frac{k_z^2}{k_0} \sin^2 \phi + r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi - r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(S22)}

\[ \langle E_y(r)H_z^*(r) \rangle = -k_0 \Theta(\omega, T) \int \frac{k_y dk_y d\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( k_z \right) + \frac{1}{k_z} \left( -k_z^* \right) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{e^{2ik_z^d}}{k_z} \left( r_{ss} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi - r_{sp} \frac{k_z^2}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi + r_{pp} \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right) \]

\[ + \frac{e^{-2ik_z^d}}{k_z^s} \left( r_{ss}^* \frac{k_z^2}{k_0} \cos^2 \phi + r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z^2}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi - r_{sp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi - r_{pp}^* \frac{k_z}{k_0} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(S23)}

The heat flux density along \( \hat{e}_z \) direction is given by the Poynting flux \( P_z = \langle \text{Re}\{E_z^*H_y - E_y^*H_z\} \rangle \). From the above expressions, we get:

\[ P_z = -k_0 \Theta(\omega, T) \int \frac{k_y dk_y d\phi}{16\pi^2 k_0} \text{Re}\left\{ e^{-2ik_z^d}(r_{ss}^* - r_{pp}^*) - e^{2ik_z^d}(r_{ss} - r_{pp}) \right\} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(S24)}
The heat flux along $\hat{e}_z$ direction is always zero irrespective of the material type. Similarly, we obtain electric and magnetic contributions to the spin angular momentum density along $\hat{e}_z$ direction.

\[
S_z^{(E)} = \frac{1}{2\omega} e_0 \langle E_z^* E_y - E_y^* E_z \rangle = \frac{\Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{k_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2k_0} \text{Im}\{- (r_{ps} + r_{sp}) e^{2ik_zd} \} \tag{S25}
\]

\[
S_z^{(H)} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \mu_0 \langle H_z^* H_y - H_y^* H_z \rangle = \frac{\Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{k_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2k_0} \text{Im}\{(r_{ps} + r_{sp}) e^{2ik_zd} \} \tag{S26}
\]

It follows that the total spin angular momentum density along $\hat{e}_z$ is always zero. Note that the individual contributions above can be nonzero in presence for $r_{sp} + r_{ps} \neq 0$ which is true for nonreciprocal materials. The above results show that heat and total angular momentum flux rates perpendicular to the surface are always zero at thermal equilibrium. Since this is a thermodynamic requirement, these results prove the consistency of fluctuation electrodynamic theory with thermodynamics.

**Calculation of spin density and Poynting flux parallel to the surface**

\[
\epsilon_0 \langle E_y(r) E_z^*(r) \rangle = \frac{\omega\Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{k_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( -\frac{k_yk_z}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right) + \frac{1}{k_z^2} \frac{k_y^2}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right] + \frac{e^{2ik_zd}}{k_z} \left( -r_{sp} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \cos \phi + r_{pp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right) + \frac{e^{-2ik_zd}}{k_z^2} \left( -r_{sp} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \cos \phi + r_{pp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right) \tag{S27}
\]

\[
\epsilon_0 \langle E_z(r) E_y^*(r) \rangle = \frac{\omega\Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{k_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( -\frac{k_yk_z}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right) + \frac{1}{k_z^2} \frac{k_y^2}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right] + \frac{e^{2ik_zd}}{k_z} \left( -r_{ss} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \sin \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \cos \phi \right) + \frac{e^{-2ik_zd}}{k_z^2} \left( -r_{ss} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \sin \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \cos \phi \right) \tag{S28}
\]

\[
\mu_0 \langle H_y(r) H_z^*(r) \rangle = \frac{\omega\Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{k_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( -\frac{k_yk_z}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right) + \frac{1}{k_z^2} \frac{k_y^2}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right] + \frac{e^{2ik_zd}}{k_z} \left( -r_{ss} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \sin \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \cos \phi \right) + \frac{e^{-2ik_zd}}{k_z^2} \left( -r_{ss} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \sin \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \cos \phi \right) \tag{S29}
\]

\[
\langle E_y(r) H_z^*(r) \rangle = -k_0\Theta(\omega, T) \int \frac{k_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( -\frac{k_yk_z}{k_0^2} \cos \phi \right) + \frac{1}{k_z^2} \frac{k_y^2}{k_0^2} \cos \phi \right] + \frac{e^{2ik_zd}}{k_z} \left( -r_{ss} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \cos \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right) + \frac{e^{-2ik_zd}}{k_z^2} \left( -r_{ss} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \cos \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right) \tag{S31}
\]

\[
\langle E_z(r) H_y^*(r) \rangle = -k_0\Theta(\omega, T) \int \frac{k_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_z} \left( -\frac{k_yk_z}{k_0^2} \cos \phi \right) + \frac{1}{k_z^2} \frac{k_y^2}{k_0^2} \cos \phi \right] + \frac{e^{2ik_zd}}{k_z} \left( -r_{ss} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \cos \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right) + \frac{e^{-2ik_zd}}{k_z^2} \left( -r_{ss} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \cos \phi + r_{sp} \frac{k_zk_y}{k_0^2} \sin \phi \right) \tag{S32}
\]

Upon integration over angle $\phi$ for constant terms and cancellation of various other terms, the simplified final expressions for spin densities and flux rates are:

\[
S_z^{(E)} = \frac{1}{2\omega} e_0 \text{Im}[\langle E_y^* E_z - E_z^* E_y \rangle] = \frac{\Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{dk_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2} \text{Im}\left[ (r_{sp} - r_{ps}) e^{2ik_zd} \frac{k_y^2}{k_zk_0} \cos \phi + 2r_{pp} e^{2ik_zd} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \sin \phi \right] \tag{S33}
\]

\[
S_z^{(H)} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \mu_0 \text{Im}[\langle H_y^* H_z - H_z^* H_y \rangle] = \frac{\Theta(\omega, T)}{c^2} \int \frac{dk_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2} \text{Im}\left[ (r_{sp} - r_{ps}) e^{2ik_zd} \frac{k_y^2}{k_zk_0} \cos \phi + 2r_{ss} e^{2ik_zd} \frac{k_y}{k_0} \sin \phi \right] \tag{S34}
\]

\[
P_x = k_0\Theta(\omega, T) \int \frac{dk_ydk_yd\phi}{16\pi^2} \text{Re}\left[ 2(r_{ss} + r_{pp}) e^{2ik_zd} \frac{k_y^2}{k_zk_0} + (r_{ps} - r_{sp}) \frac{k_y}{k_0} \sin \phi \right] \tag{S35}
\]

For isotropic media, $r_{sp} = r_{ps} = 0$ and $r_{ss}, r_{pp}$ do not depend on the angle $\phi$. Upon integrating over $\phi$, all the Poynting flux rates and spin densities in the vicinity of isotropic media are zero. For generic biansiotropic media, one needs
to compute these quantities by integrating over $\phi$. We find that for reciprocal bianisotropic media, the flux rates and spin-densities are again zero. While this requires numerical validation by integrating over angle $\phi$, it can also be proved based on time-reversal symmetry arguments as discussed in the manuscript. For nonreciprocal media (broken time-reversal symmetry), nonzero heat current and spin angular momentum are expected at thermal equilibrium. We identify them as persistent heat current (PHC) and persistent thermal photon spin (PTPS) in the manuscript. The presence of PTPS and PHC parallel to the surface does not lead to any thermodynamic contradiction.
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