Single-photon sources are of great interest because they are key elements in different promising applications of quantum technologies. Here we demonstrate a highly efficient tunable on-demand microwave single-photon source based on a transmon qubit with the intrinsic emission efficiency more than 99%. To confirm the single-photon property of the source, we study the single-photon interference in a Hanbury-Brown-Twiss (HBT) type setup and measure the correlation functions of the emission field using linear detectors with a GPU-enhanced signal processing technique. The antibunching in the second-order correlation function is clearly observed. The theoretical calculations agree well with the experimental results. Such a high-quality single-photon source can be used as a building block of devices for quantum communication, simulations and information processing in the microwave regime.

I. INTRODUCTION

Controllable single photons are an important tool to study fundamental quantum mechanics and also for practical applications in quantum communication [1], sensing [2], simulations [3] and computing [4, 5]. Single-photon sources thus have been extensively studied in optics [6, 7] and a great progress has been achieved [8–10]. The single-photon sources based on superconducting circuits [11, 12] in the microwave regime have also attracted great interest, having a unique property – the easily achievable strong interaction with electromagnetic waves. This property allows to reach high efficiency in generating and detecting microwave photons. There have already been some implementations of single-photon sources, which are based on cavity QED systems [13–17]. Instead of confining the photons with a fixed cavity mode, recently several single-photon sources have also been demonstrated by strong coupling to one-dimensional (1D) continuum [18, 19] and generating tunable single photons, using either flux qubits [20] or transmon qubits [21, 22].

Here we demonstrate a high quality tunable on-demand microwave single-photon source based on a transmon qubit with intrinsic emission efficiency above 99%. A systematic study of the single-photon source demonstrates the dynamics of emission field and correlation function measurements with a GPU-enhanced signal processing technique. The theoretical numerical calculations using the Lindblad master equation with time-dependent Hamiltonian agree very well with experimental results.

II. DEVICE AND EXPERIMENT SETUP

Our single-photon source, see Fig. 1(a), consists of a transmon qubit [24] capacitively coupled to two open-ended 1D coplanar-waveguide transmission lines: one is weakly coupled to the transmon qubit to control its states (control line) and the other is strongly coupled for the photon emission (emission line) [20]. Here the control and emission lines are coupled through a capacitance network, which includes the shunt capacitor of the transmon qubit and capacitances from the qubit electrodes to the ground. The effective attenuation is estimated to be more than 80 dB at 7 GHz and the measured attenuation in off-resonance is not less than 50 dB.

The sample is fabricated using a standard fabrication technique for superconducting quantum circuits. The transmission line is made of 50 nm thick Nb film on an undoped silicon wafer. The qubit consisting of a dc-SQUID is fabricated with a standard Al/AlOx/Al shadow evaporation technique using an electron beam evaporation system. From the measured spectrum, the Josephson energy in maximum is $E_{J}^{\text{max}}/h \approx 16.8$ GHz and the charging energy $E_{C}/h \approx 415$ MHz, where $E_{C} = e^{2}/2C_{q}$ with an effective qubit capacitance $C_{q}$. The qubit energy is controlled by an external magnetic field.

To operate with the single-photon source, the sample is
c cooled down to temperature of about 20 mK in a dilution refrigerator with a microwave circuit shown in Fig. 1(b). The sample is screened against external magnetic fields by two-layer μ-metal and one-layer Al shield (not drawn in Fig. 1(b)). Here the signal from the control line is strongly attenuated at different temperature stages of our dilution refrigerator to minimize the excitation of the transmon qubit by the room-temperature black-body radiation. Two low-pass filters with cut-off frequency at 8 GHz are placed at both control and emission ports of the sample to additionally protect the transmon qubit from the high-frequency radiation noise. A 4-8 GHz circulator placed in the emission line allows to measure the refection from the emission line, which can be used to characterize the coupling (and emission) efficiency of the single-photon source [20]. A 2-8 GHz hybrid coupler is placed at the output port working as a beam splitter in the HBT type setup [25] to show the dynamics of the emission and also the correlation functions of the emitted radiation. An idle input port of the coupler has been terminated by a 50 Ω terminator. Additional isolators situated at the mixing chamber (MC) stage are to protect the source from the back-action of cryogenic amplifiers installed at 4K stage. The total gain of the output line is ∼ 90 dB, including room-temperature (RT) amplifiers. A dc bias line with an RC filter (at 4K) and an Eccosorb low-pass filter (at MC) is used for the global flux bias to tune the transition frequency of the transmon qubit.

For the time-domain and correlation function measurements, we use the single-sideband (SSB) modulation technique with a single microwave source to stabilize the phase in the long-lasting experiment. The single-photon emission after linear amplification is down-converted to 25 MHz and digitized with an ADC at a sampling rate of 250 MS/s, then further processed by a CPU with a GPU-enhanced signal processing technique to extract the quadrature amplitude $S_{x/b}(t)$ and calculate correlation functions.

Note, we still use the linear detectors to carry out the correlation function measurements [14, 16, 26]. Even though several microwave single-photon detectors have already been recently demonstrated [27-29], they are still far from practical applications in real-time microwave single-photon detection. So using linear detectors to carry out the correlation function measurement is so far a more practical and general approach.

III. SPECTRUM AND EMISSION EFFICIENCY

Firstly, we characterize our single-photon source by measuring the transmission from control line to emission line using a vector network analyzer (VNA). The transmission is strongly enhanced, when the drive signal at $\omega_d$ is in resonance with the transmon transition frequency $\omega_{01}$, which is a result of emission from the excited transmon qubit to the emission line under continuous microwave drive. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the single-photon source can be tuned in the range from 4 GHz to 7 GHz.

In the observed spectrum corresponding to the system resonance frequency $\omega_{01}$ (transition between $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ states), there are two avoided-crossings due to the coupling to two-level system (TLS) defects. The large offset in flux bias is caused by residual magnetism in cables inside the magnetic shield which have been replaced in later experiments. The linewidth (∼ 3 dB in amplitude) at the sweet-point with $\omega_m/2\pi = 7.062$ GHz (maximal $\omega_{01}$) is $\Delta\omega/2\pi \approx 7$ MHz.

Next, we characterize the efficiency of emission from the transmon qubit to the emission line. The efficiency $\eta = \Gamma_1^t/\Gamma_1$ can be defined as the ratio of the emission rate $\Gamma_1$ over the total relaxation rate $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1^e + \Gamma_1^i + \Gamma_1^0$ where $\Gamma_1^e$ is the relaxation rate through the emission into the control line and $\Gamma_1^0$ is non-radiative relaxation rate. We simulated our metallic structure and found from the capacitance network that the ratio of the relaxation rates to the lines $\Gamma_1^e/\Gamma_1^0 = (1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$, taking into account the accuracy of derived capacitances. This means that about 99% of radiation can be emitted to the emission line.

As described in Refs. [20, 30], the emission amplitude of coherent radiation is determined by the expectation value of the qubit annihilation operator $\langle \sigma^- \rangle$. By solving the master equation for the two-level system under continuous drive, we find $\langle \sigma^- \rangle = -i \Omega \frac{1 - i \delta \omega / \Gamma_2}{2 \frac{1}{\Gamma_1^e} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1^0} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1^i} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1^0}}$. Here $\delta \omega = \omega_d - \omega_{01}$ is the detuning of the drive, $\Omega$ is the Rabi frequency and $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1/2 + \gamma$ is the dephasing rate.
which includes pure dephasing rate \( \gamma \). We can further find the reflection in the emission line \( r_e \) as

\[
 r_e = 1 - \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2} \cdot \frac{1 - i \delta \omega / \Gamma_2}{1 + (\delta \omega / \Gamma_2)^2 + \Omega^2 / (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2)}. \tag{1}
\]

At the weak driving limit \( \Omega \ll \langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \rangle \), it is simplified to

\[
 r_e \approx 1 - \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2} \cdot \frac{1 - i \delta \omega / \Gamma_2}{1 + i \delta \omega / \Gamma_2}. \tag{2}
\]

and represents a circle with radius \( \Gamma_1 / 2 \Gamma_2 \). Importantly, in the ideal case with \( \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \gamma = 0 \), the radius becomes equal to 1.

As shown in Fig. 2(b), we measure reflection \( r_e \) from the atom in the emission line (at the sweet-point) with the probe power varied from a weak drive at \( W = -146 \) dBm up to a strong drive at \(-116 \) dBm. The data is normalized to its background measured when the qubit is tuned far away. The solid lines are fitting results using Eq. \( \( 1 \). Fitting the experimental curves with Eq. \( 1 \), we found \( 1 - \Gamma_1 / 2 \Gamma_2 = (0.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2} \) and \( \eta \geq \Gamma_1 / 2 \Gamma_2 = (99.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2} \), which is consistent with our preliminary estimates. Note here, the high emission efficiency indicates that nearly all photons are emitted into the emission line and the relaxation rates \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) together with the possible pure dephasing \( \gamma \) are very weak. In that conditions, the linewidth is defined by the relaxation into the emission line \( \Gamma_1 / 2 \pi \approx 7 \) MHz and the relaxation time \( \tau_1 = 1 / \Gamma_1 \approx 23 \) ns. For example, if the qubit intrinsic (non-radiative) relaxation time is 10 \( \mu \)s, it will reduce the efficiency by 23 ns/10 \( \mu \)s \( \approx 2 \times 10^{-3} \). Such a relaxation time or higher is reasonable for the transmon qubit.

Compared with our previous work \[20\], the high emission efficiency achieved here is due to the modified device geometry—much longer intrinsic coherence time of our transmon qubit and the improvement in sample fabrication technology.

Furthermore, we also estimate the emission efficiency over a wide frequency range for different \( \omega_01 \) by tuning the flux bias, as shown in Fig. 3. There are two different mechanisms causing the suppression of emission efficiency. One is seen as two abnormal drops caused by coupling to two TLS defects. The other one is mainly due to the increasing sensitivity to flux noise when \( \omega_01 \) is tuned far away from the sweet-point, which leads to a larger dephasing rate. Apart from the TLS defects, we achieve emission efficiency \( \geq 90 \% \) over 1 GHz frequency range.

IV. DYNAMICS OF EMISSION FIELD

To further study the emission dynamics we utilize an approach similar to one used in Ref. \[14\]. We measure the quadrature amplitude and power of spontaneous emission from a pulse-driven qubit, namely \( \langle a \rangle \) and \( \langle a^\dagger a \rangle \) of the radiation mode. By using two independent detection channels \( a & b \) \[31\], we can average out the uncorrelated noise in each channel, which makes the measurements of microwave single photons possible using linear detectors \[20\] and long-time averages.

We apply a truncated Gaussian pulse \( A \exp(-t^2 / 2 \sigma^2) \) of \( \sigma = 2 \) ns and controlled amplitude \( A \) at the control line to coherently control the transmon qubit. We can prepare the qubit in the state \( \cos(\theta/2) \ket{0} + \sin(\theta/2) \ket{1} \), where \( \theta \) is an angle acquired in the Rabi oscillation process (Rabi angle). As shown in Fig. 4(a, d), we can observe the full dynamics of time dependence of the emission quadrature amplitude \( \langle a(t) \rangle \) and power \( \langle a^\dagger(t)a(t) \rangle \) in a single channel, characterize by the Rabi angle \( \theta \), with \( 5 \times 10^7 \) ensemble averages. Instead of calculating the direct power \( \langle S_a(t)S_a(t) \rangle \) in a single channel, we calculate the cross-power \( \langle S_a^\dagger(t)S_b(t) \rangle \) between two channels of the beam splitter, which can greatly suppress the uncorrelated noise in each channel and result in a much lower effective noise temperature \( \sim 22 \) mK \[15\].

As expected, the quadrature amplitude \( \langle a(t) \rangle \) shows \( \sin(\theta/2) \) dependence in Fig. 4(c), while power \( \langle a^\dagger(t)a(t) \rangle \) is maximal \( \theta = \pi \), which corresponds to excited state \( 1 \) in the qubit and single-photon emission, the quadrature amplitude \( \langle a(t) \rangle \) instead becomes minimal. This shows that the single-photon emission is totally incoherent. With short pulses, the excitation of higher energy level \( 2 \) may also be possible, due to the weak anharmonicity in the transmon qubit \[23\]. In Fig. 4(b), we also show the imaginary part of \( \langle a(t) \rangle \) and it cannot be calibrated to zero by adjusting the global phase \[14\]. The master equation calculations (see details in Sec. \[V\] accounting energy level \[2\]) well reproduce the experiment. The population of energy level \[2\] is calculated to be about \( 0.003 \) when \( \theta = \pi \). The decoherence decreases fidelity of Rabi oscillations in both quadratures of amplitudes and power, when the driving amplitude is increased. Due to the limited length of the state preparation pulse by the anharmonicity of transmon qubit \( \sim 415 \) MHz and the bandwidth of current equipment, the efficiency to prepare state \( 1 \) is \( \sim 0.87 \), very close to the simulated value \( \sim 0.88 \) and the total efficiency to generate single photon is estimated to be \( \sim 0.86 \).

To obtain the dephasing rate \( \Gamma_2 \) \[33\], we exponentially fit the decay envelope of \( \langle a(t) \rangle \) when transmon is prepared with \( \theta = \pi / 2 \), as shown in Fig. 4(b), which gives us \( \Gamma_2/2 \pi = 3.54 \pm 0.05 \) MHz. Similarly, we extract the relaxation rate \( \Gamma_1/2 \pi = 7.02 \pm 0.25 \) MHz, which matches the linewidth measured in spectrum, by preparing the transmon at \( 1 \) with \( \theta = \pi \) and exponentially fitting the decay envelope of \( \langle a^\dagger(t)a(t) \rangle \), see Fig. 4(c).

In Fig. 4(b)-(f), the experimental data are shown in dots and all solid lines are numerical simulation results using the Lindblad master equation under pulse drive with only two fitting parameters \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \). The simulations here also account the limited detection band-
FIG. 2. (a) Normalized transmission spectrum |\text{te}/t_{\text{max}}| vs flux bias. The large offset in flux bias is caused by residue magnetism in cables inside magnetic shield. There are also two avoided-crossings because of the coupling to TLS defects. (b) Reflection $r_e$ at emission line when transmon is bias at the sweet-point. The experimental data (dots) are normalized to the background when $\omega_0$ is tuned far away from the sweet-point. The plot is in real and imaginary coordinates at probe power from $-146$ dBm to $-116$ dBm with 2 dBm/step. The solid lines are the fitting results using Eq. (1). (c) Plot of $(1 - r_e)/2$ vs power $W$ when $\delta\omega = 0$. The dots are data extracted from fitting results of (b) and the solid red line is $(1 - r_e)/2 = A/(1 + kW)$, with $A = 0.992$ and a fitted factor $k$.

FIG. 3. Derived emission efficiency over a wide range. The red line shows the position where efficiency is 90%. The two abnormal drops of the emission efficiency are because of the coupling to TLS defects (see spectrum in Fig. 2(a)). While the decrease of emission efficiency when $\omega_0$ tuned far away from the sweet-point is caused by the increased sensitivity to the flux noise, namely large dephasing.

Theoretical Modeling

The time-dependent system Hamiltonian in a rotating-frame at drive frequency $\omega_d$ and after rotating-wave approximation ($\hbar = 1$):

$$H(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{\Omega(t)}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\Omega(t)}{2} & 0 & \frac{\lambda\Omega(t)}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\lambda\Omega(t)}{2} & \alpha + 2\delta
\end{pmatrix}$$

where $\delta = \omega_{01} - \omega_d$, $\alpha = \omega_{12} - \omega_{01}$, $\lambda = \sqrt{2}$, and $\Omega(t)$ is a time-dependent drive strength with the Gaussian shape of $\Omega(t) = \Omega_0 \exp(-t^2/2\sigma^2)$.

The time-dependent Lindblad master equation for the density matrix $\rho$ is

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H(t), \rho(t)] + \sum_n \frac{1}{2}[2C_n\rho(t)C_n^+ - \rho(t)C_n^+C_n - C_n^+C_n\rho(t)] ,$$

where $C_n = \sqrt{\gamma_n}A_n$ are collapse operators, and $A_n$ are the operators through which the system couples to environment modes.

Specifically, here we take:

$$C_1 = \sqrt{\Gamma_1}\sigma_{01} , C_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\sigma_{11} ,$$
$$C_3 = \sqrt{2\Gamma_1}\sigma_{12} , C_4 = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\sigma_{22} ,$$

where $\sigma_{jk} = \langle j | k \rangle$ with $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$.

Now we have only modeled our system using internal system operators which are not straightforwardly related to the photon emission. Then input-output theory provides us a direct connect between internal system operator $\sigma_{01}$ and external radiation mode operator $a$ by $a = \sqrt{\Gamma_1}\sigma_{01}$.
For dynamics of emission field in both quadrature amplitude and power, we have

$$\langle a(t) \rangle = \sqrt{\Gamma_1 \langle \sigma_{01}(t) \rangle} ,$$

$$\langle a^\dagger(t)a(t) \rangle = \Gamma_1 \langle \sigma_{10}(t)\sigma_{01}(t) \rangle .$$

For correlation functions, there are

$$G^{(1)}(t, \tau) = \langle a^\dagger(t)a(t+\tau) \rangle = \Gamma_1 \langle \sigma_{10}(t)\sigma_{01}(t+\tau) \rangle ,$$

$$G^{(2)}(t, \tau) = \langle a^\dagger(t)a^\dagger(t+\tau)a(t+\tau)a(t) \rangle = \Gamma_1^2 \langle \sigma_{10}(t)\sigma_{10}(t+\tau)\sigma_{01}(t+\tau)\sigma_{01}(t) \rangle .$$

We numerically solve the Lindblad master equation described above with real experimental parameters using QuTip to simulate the time-evolution of our system. The anharmonicity of transmon \( \alpha/2\pi \approx -415 \) MHz is measured with two-tone spectrum (not shown). \( \gamma = \Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1/2 \) with fitted \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) in Sec. IV. The driving strength \( \Omega(t) \) has a similar truncated Gaussian pulse envelope of \( \sigma = 2 \) ns and controlled amplitude \( \Omega_0 \). We found a good match between theoretical simulations (solid lines) and experimental data (dots) in Fig. 4(c)&(f). Note here, if with the infinite detection bandwidth in simulation, the theoretical simulations can show fast dynamics inside the rising envelope of temporal pulse shape when \( \theta_r \geq \pi \), which will help us to understand the physics of system better.

For correlation functions, we can also quickly calcu-
late the two-time correlation of different operators using QuTip built-in functions which uses quantum regression theorem [25]. The solid lines showed in Fig. 5(a)-(c) are numerical calculation results with consideration of finite detection bandwidth. We found a good agreement between simulation and experiment.

VI. CORRELATION FUNCTION MEASUREMENT

Furthermore, we measure the correlation functions of the emitted photons using linear detectors [26] with HBT setup, see Fig. 1(b).

We generate a train of 16 single-photon pulses ($\theta_c = \pi$) with a separation of $t_p = 512$ ns ($t_p \gg 1/\Gamma_1$) between two adjacent pulses. This ensures that the transmon qubit always returns to its ground state before being excited again. The emitted photons are split into two channels by the hybrid coupler and then are amplified at both 4K and RT stages. Next, the signals from two channels are down-converted to IF signals at 25 MHz and filtered by 48 MHz low-pass filter. Finally the IF signals are digitized by two ADCs and further processed by the CPU with a GPU-enhanced signal processing technique to calculate the correlations between two quadrature amplitudes $S_a(t)$ and $S_b(t)$.

For calculation of correlation functions, we follow the same way as in Ref. [14, 26]:

$$\Gamma^{(1)}(\tau) = \int \langle S_a^*(t) S_b(t + \tau) \rangle dt,$$

which measures the first-order cross-correlation of signal $S_a(t)$ and $S_b(t)$.

$$\Gamma^{(2)}(\tau) = \int \langle S_a^*(t) S_a^*(t + \tau) S_b(t + \tau) S_b(t) \rangle dt,$$

which measures the quasi-auto correlation of cross-power $S_a^*(t) S_b(t)$ and serves as a measurement of second-order correlation function only in the HBT-like setup with an idle input port in vacuum.

To remove the correlated noise background ($\Gamma^{(1)}_{bg}(\tau)$ and $\Gamma^{(2)}_{bg}(\tau)$), each signal trace is immediately followed by a trace of noise background, when the photon source is not excited. Signal traces and noise background traces are calculated and averaged in the same way to get the correlation function. Then, we can obtain the correlation function of emitted photons by

$$G^{(1)}(\tau) \propto \Gamma^{(1)}(\tau) - \Gamma^{(1)}_{bg}(\tau),$$

$$G^{(2)}(\tau) \propto \Gamma^{(2)}(\tau) - \Gamma^{(2)}_{bg}(\tau).$$

For $G^{(1)}(\tau)$, the trace (dots) shown in Fig. 5(a) is averaged by $5 \times 10^7$ trains of 16 photons with specific prepared state. Fig. 5(b) shows the dependence of $G^{(1)}(0)$ and $G^{(1)}(nt_p)$ on the Rabi angle $\theta_c$. The center peak $G^{(1)}(0) \propto \langle a^\dagger a \rangle$ measures the average emitted number of photons. As photons generated in different pulses are not correlated ($t_p \gg 1/\Gamma_1$), $G^{(1)}(nt_p) \propto \langle a^\dagger a \rangle$. The solid lines are simulation results using master equation (see Sec. IV) with a finite detection bandwidth of 20 MHz here. The damping in oscillation is mainly due to the decoherence. We see an excellent agreement between our theoretical calculations and experiment results.

For $G^{(2)}(\tau)$, the measurement in the microwave regime is technically difficult due to the very poor SNR, which needs extremely large averaging ($> 10^9$) to achieve a reasonable confidence in experimental data. Instead of using FPGA to realize real-time signal processing [14, 16], here we take an intermediate approach using 1792 CUDA cores in GPU to realize parallel signal processing, which can speed-up by 4x when compared to solely using one Xeon CPU of 6 cores. This approach demonstrated here is not as efficient as FPGA but easier to achieve and also has higher flexibility. The trace (dots) in Fig. 5(c) is averaged 4.8 $\times$ 10$^9$ times within 34 hours, corresponding to $\sim$ 36 TB data processed in total. The data has been normalized to the average peak height of $G^{(2)}(nt_p)$ for state $|1\rangle$ ($\theta_c = \pi$) [13]. We see a strongly suppressed center peak $G^{(2)}(0) \approx 0.15 < 1$ which is limited by $\Gamma_1 T_{FWHM}$, where $T_{FWHM}$ is the length of state preparation pulse [39]. This result shows a clear evidence of the single-photon emission from our source. Here the detection bandwidth is set at 12.5 MHz to suppress the noise outside of signal bandwidth $\sim 7$ MHz. The solid line is a result of the master equation simulation with the experimental detection bandwidth and $G^{(2)}(0) \approx 0.1$ is very close to the experimental value.

To further confirm our experimental results, we carry out another experiment for comparison. We direct generate a short coherent Gaussian pulse with $\sigma = 8$ ns and the power is calibrated to make sure that average photon number inside the pulse is approximately one. Then we measure the second-order correlation function $G^{(2)}(\tau)$ of this coherent state ($\alpha \approx 1$) with $4 \times 10^9$ averages. As expected, we observe $G^{(2)}(0) \approx 0.9$ at $\tau = 0$, which is in significant contrast to the Fock state $|1\rangle$. The red line is the theoretical calculation with a real pulse temporal shape and a finite detection bandwidth. The noise background is slightly higher than Fig. 5(c) because of the broadband noise existing in this particular experimental setup with a transmission line.

Note here, we demonstrate the antibunching of photons emitted from our source. In further experiments, we can also demonstrate indistinguishability of photons, using Hong-Ou-Mandel (HOM) effect [30, 31]. The demonstration of the HOM effect from the same single-photon source in the microwave regime is challenging because of the difficulty to introduce the large time-delay at cryogenic temperatures. Due to the strong coupling to the 1D continuum, we should have negligible pure dephasing ($\gamma \approx 0$) [30] and $2\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1 = 1$. If this condition is satisfied, the perfect two-photon interference can be expected [11, 12]. Here in our source, $2\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1 \approx 1$ which indicates...
good two-photon interference.

VII. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a highly efficient tunable microwave single-photon source based on a transmission qubit with the intrinsic emission efficiency of $\sim 0.99$. Considering the state preparation efficiency of $\sim 0.87$, the total efficiency is $\sim 0.86$. In this work, we carefully characterize our high-quality single-photon source, including its efficiency and correlation functions. The antibunching in the second-order correlation function measurement is clearly observed. The GPU-enhanced signal processing technique shows another possibility to carry out correlation function measurement instead of FPGA. A theoretical model based on the Lindblad master equation to describe our system has also been presented and the simulation results agree very well with the experimental data. Such a single-photon source can be used as a building block in future devices for quantum communication, simulation and information processing in the microwave regime.
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