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Abstract

In Part I of this two-part series, the reverse perturbation method for shearing simple liquids [Phys. Rev. E \textbf{59}, 4894 (1999)] was extended to systems of interacting particles with time-discrete stochastic dynamics. For verification, in this paper (Part II) the reverse perturbation method is first applied to a simple momentum-conserving liquid, modeled through the Multi-Particle Collision Dynamics (MPCD) technique [J. Chem. Phys. \textbf{110}, 8605 (1999)]. For MPCD, excellent agreement between the measured shear viscosity and its theoretical prediction is found. Furthermore, this paper contains applications of the reverse perturbation method to agent-based simulations of the Vicsek-model [Phys. Rev. Lett. \textbf{75}, 1226 (1995)] and its metric-free version. The extracted transport coefficients, the kinematic viscosity $\nu$ and the momentum amplification coefficient $\lambda$, were compared to theoretical predictions. To verify the transport coefficients, Green-Kubo relations were evaluated and transverse current correlations were measured in independent simulations. Not too far to the transition to collective motion, we find excellent agreement between the different measurements of the transport coefficients. However, the measured values of $\nu$ and $1-\lambda$ are always slightly higher than the mean-field predictions, even at large mean free paths and at state points quite far from the threshold to collective motion, that is, far in the disordered phase. These findings seem to indicate that the mean-field assumption of molecular chaos is much less reliable in systems with velocity-alignment rules such as the Vicsek model, compared to models obeying detailed balance such as MPCD.
I. INTRODUCTION

In Part I of this two-part series, the reverse perturbation method (RP) \cite{1} for measuring the viscosity of simple liquids is extended to systems of self-propelled particles with time-discrete stochastic dynamics and constant particle speeds. The motivation is that while many derivations of hydrodynamic equations from microscopic interaction rules exist for active matter systems, the majority of these derivations and the obtained transport coefficients have not been verified quantitatively. In this paper, Part II, we first test the RP method for a simple, momentum-conserving liquid in two dimensions, modeled through the Multi-Particle Collision Dynamics (MPCD) technique \cite{2–7}. We find excellent agreement between the measured shear viscosity and its theoretical prediction.

When applying RP to the Vicsek model (VM) of self-propelled particles \cite{8–10}, where momentum is not conserved during collisions, one observes a phenomenon that is similar to the skin effect of an alternating electric current: momentum that is fed into the boundaries of a channel decays mostly exponentially towards the center of the channel. In Part I, we showed how two transport coefficients, \textit{i.e.} the shear viscosity $\nu$ and the momentum amplification coefficient $\lambda$, can be obtained by fitting this decay with an analytical solution of the hydrodynamic equations for the VM. In analogy to the MPCD case, the viscosity of the VM consists of two parts, the kinetic ($\nu_{\text{kin}}$) and the collisional viscosity ($\nu_{\text{coll}}$). In Part I, an analytical expression for $\nu_{\text{coll}}$ is derived by extending the Enskog-like kinetic theory from Refs. \cite{11,12}.

In this paper (Part II), we present the simulation results from applying the RP to self-propelled particles that are simulated by means of the VM. Furthermore, we introduce and apply two other methods to evaluate $\nu$ and $\lambda$ – the transverse current correlation method (TC) and the Green-Kubo method (GK), which, in contrast to RP, operate without introducing velocity gradients. We present a theory for TC with colored noise, which explains limitations of this method at very small mean free path. We find excellent agreement between the measurements of the RP and the TC method. Reasonable quantitative agreement between agent-based simulations (using the RP, GK and TC method) and predictions by kinetic theory is observed. This supports previous concerns on the validity of the mean-field
assumption of molecular chaos in systems without detailed balance and underlines the need for a theory that includes correlation effects.

II. REVERSE NONEQUILIBRIUM SIMULATIONS

A. Verification by Multi-particle collision dynamics

To validate our approach and the implementation of the shear algorithm, we used the RP method to compute the shear viscosity of an MPCD solvent in two dimensions using the SRD and the AT collision rule (see Part I for definitions of the models and algorithms). For both variants of the MPCD algorithm, analytic expressions and previous numeric calculations for the transport coefficients are readily available in the literature [13–16]. In the MPCD-SRD simulations, a Monte Carlo style thermostat was employed to thermalize the system [4, 17]. This method correctly conserves the local momentum in each collision cell and reproduces the Maxwell velocity distribution. In the MPCD-AT simulations, thermalization was achieved directly through the collision step.

In all MPCD simulations, the particle mass, \( m \), was set to unity, and a temperature of \( k_B T = 1 \) was chosen. Simulations were conducted in a quadratic simulation box with \( L_x = L_y = 16a \), where \( a \) is the (unit) edge length of the MPCD collision cells. A particle number density of \( \rho = 10a^{-2} \) has been used throughout. For the SRD rule, we set the collision angle to \( \alpha = 110^\circ \) (see Eq. (I-5) of Part I). We then determined the shear viscosity \( \eta \) of the MPCD fluids for time steps \( \tau = 0.1, 0.2, 0.4 \) and 1.0, by conducting multiple simulations at different average shear stress \( \langle \sigma \rangle \). Figure 1 shows \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) vs. the measured shear rate, \( \dot{\gamma} \), for the MPCD-AT simulations (the MPCD-SRD results are qualitatively similar), demonstrating that the MPCD fluid behaves like a Newtonian liquid, as expected. From these data, the shear viscosity can then be computed as \( \nu = \langle \sigma \rangle / \dot{\gamma} \).

Figure 2 shows \( \nu \) as a function of \( \tau \) compared to the theoretical prediction for the MPCD-AT and MPCD-SRD algorithm. In both cases, the shear viscosity of the fluid, \( \nu = \nu_{\text{coll}} + \nu_{\text{kin}} \), is dominated at small \( \tau \) by the collisional contribution, \( \nu_{\text{coll}} \). However, as \( \tau \) is increased (and thus the mean free path of the particles becomes larger), particle collisions become less important, and the shear viscosity of the fluid is dominated by the kinetic contribution, \( \nu_{\text{kin}} \), instead. The viscosity computed from the shear simulations follows these trends perfectly,
and we achieved quantitative agreement with the theoretical expressions within 3%. This small difference of a few percent between theory and simulation is in the same range of errors which were observed previously by using other methods such as GK relations \[4,15,16\].

**B. Results for the Vicsek model**

We performed two-dimensional agent-based simulations of the regular and the metric-free (topological) VM with system sizes ranging from \(16 \times 16\) to \(128 \times 32\), and followed the RP protocol with swap-times between \(\Delta t = 1\) and \(\Delta t = 4\) (see Sec. IV of Part I). The simulations usually ran for \(5 \times 10^6\) to \(3 \times 10^7\) iterations after the stationary state has been reached in order to ensure sufficient accuracy in the time averages. Since momentum is not conserved in the VM, the stationary velocity profile across each of the half channels (see Fig. 5 in Part I) is usually not linear. Hence, unlike as for MPCD, the shear viscosity cannot simply be obtained as the proportionality factor between the measured velocity gradient and the applied shear stress. Instead, the theory outlined in Sec. V of Part I is used to evaluate the simulation data (we use a prefix “I-“ to indicate equation numbers from Part I of this series). In particular, the velocity profile was fitted with a sinh profile, according to Eq. (I-54). The extracted fitting coefficients \(d_0\) and \(d_1\) were inserted in Eq. (I-47) to obtain the viscosity \(\nu\). If the polar order parameter was above about 0.15 and the coefficient \(d_2\) significantly deviated from zero, expression (I-55) for the ordered state was used to obtain
the momentum gain coefficient $\lambda$. Otherwise, Eq. (I-48) for the disordered state was applied to extract $\lambda$. Fig. 3 shows the measured velocity profiles as a function of height $y$ for the metric-free VM for three different noise values $\eta$ in the disordered phase. Only the lower half of the channel is shown and the velocities inside the bottom and top layer were discarded to obtain a better fit. At the border of these “feeding” layers the profiles change abruptly, as shown by the dotted red line for $\eta = 4$, which is included in the plot for illustration but was not used in the fitting procedure. One sees, that the sinh function provides a perfect fit, at least for this set of parameters.

We have first investigated whether shearing the system has an effect of the (average) ordering of the particle velocities. We quantified the degree of alignment of the particle velocities through the absolute value of the average normalized velocity

$$v_a = \frac{1}{N v_0} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i \right|.$$  

Figure 4 shows typical results of $v_a$ as a function of noise, $\eta$, at rest and under shear for the regular VM in a $16 \times 16$ box with $M = 5$, $\tau = 2$, $R = 1$, and $v_0 = 1$. The data for the
FIG. 3. Average velocity in $x$-direction as a function of height $y$ for the RP measurements of the metric-free VM for noise values $\eta = 3.5$, 3.7 and 4.0. Only the lower half of the channel is shown. The bottom and top parts of the profiles, i.e. the velocities inside the “feeding”-layers of thickness one were cut off for fitting purposes, except for $\eta = 4$ where the dotted red line demonstrates the abrupt change of the profile inside the top layer of the half-channel. The blue circles show the excellent fit by the function $\propto \sinh(d_1 \tilde{y})$ with the shifted height $\tilde{y} = y - L_y/4 + 0.5$). Simulations have been conducted at $L_x = 128$, $L_y = 32$, $M = 5$, $\tau = 2$, $v_0 = 1$.

three shown cases are virtually identical, indicating that shear has no impact on the overall ordering of the particles.

Fig. 5 shows the difference $1 - \lambda$ ($\lambda = 1$ denotes the threshold condition for the order/disorder transition) as a function of noise $\eta$ for different system sizes and time steps. Apart from the values obtained by the RP method, the figure also shows the mean-field prediction and values obtained by the transverse current (or vorticity) correlation method which is described in Sec. III and will be called TC method in this paper. Although both the scaled density $M = \rho \pi R^2 = 5$ and the ratio between mean free path and interaction radius, $v_0 \tau / R = 1$ and 2, are rather large, there is a significant deviation between the numerical results and the theory. This discrepancy indicates that mean-field theory gives quite an inaccurate prediction for the threshold condition at these parameters. One notices that doubling the time step, $\tau$, reduces the deviation to mean-field theory only slightly.

Furthermore, the parameter $\lambda$ seems to jump from a positive value to a negative one
around some critical value if the noise is decreased. This behavior could be due to the appearance of density waves right at the onset of collective motion, that render the order/disorder transition discontinuous. A quantitative, mean-field theory of this mechanism in the regular VM is presented in Ref. [18]. However, density waves only occur in sufficiently large systems; we observed them in the 128 × 32 system but not in the small 16 × 16 system with τ = 2. Nevertheless, even in small systems there might be strong density fluctuations and/or transient clusters at the threshold to collective motion that are precursors of the discontinuous phase transition which is observed in larger systems. In order to test the hypothesis that the jump in the measured values of 1 − λ is caused by those density fluctuations and are not artifacts of the RP method, we also performed measurements for the Vicsek-model with metric-free interactions (see Sec. II.B of Part I for a definition of the model). As shown in Fig. 9 and comparing with Fig. 5 these jumps are about a factor of three smaller in the metric-free model and are hardly noticeable in the plot. Still, we cannot completely rule out that the observed jump in 1 − λ might be an artifact of the assumed hydrodynamic theory which we used to evaluate the RP measurements. In that case, the jump could be interpreted as an error bar in the determination of the momentum gain coefficient λ or, alternatively, could simply mean that the RP method is not very reliable in the ordered phase. These interpretations are consistent with an alternative measurement
FIG. 5. The coefficient $1 - \lambda$ vs. noise, $\eta$, for the regular VM extracted by the RP method (purple circles, blue squares and green triangles) for several time steps $\tau$ and system sizes $L_x \times L_y$, as indicated. The black line shows the theoretical prediction by Eq. (I-31) from Part I. Results from the TC method in a small system, $L_x = L_y = 16$ are shown for $\tau = 1$ (orange triangles) and $\tau = 2$ (red diamonds). All simulations conducted for $M = 5$, $R = 1$, and $v_0 = 1$.

of $\lambda$ by the TC method which is explained in the next section. In particular, comparing the green triangles to the orange triangles in Fig. 5 which corresponds to the same set of parameters but different methods, we see good agreement in the disordered phase with a difference between the two curves that is smaller than the jump in the green curve.

Let us now focus on the effect of time step for otherwise identical conditions (purple circles and blue squares in Fig. 5). Here, we observe that the jump, which indicates the order/disorder transition, occurs at a smaller noise value in the system with the smaller time step $\tau = 1$. This shift is a well-known effect in the standard VM, which has been reported for instance in Refs. 11, 19. It has been shown that at large mean free paths, corresponding to large time steps, the threshold noise converges to the mean field prediction \[ \eta_c \] which follows from Eq. (I-31) by setting $\lambda$ equal to one. This mean-field prediction for $\eta_c$ does not depend on particle velocity, time step or interaction radius. However, as observed in Ref. 19, the actual value of $\eta_c$ becomes smaller by up to a factor between two and three if the mean free path (or $\tau$ in our case) is reduced. This deviation from mean-field theory is attributed to correlation effects which grow at decreasing mean free path. Although a
ring-kinetic theory for correlation effects in the standard VM was attempted in Ref. \[20\], it fell short of explaining the dependence of $\eta_c$ on the mean free path. A similar dependence of the threshold noise on the mean free path can be seen in Fig. 9 which shows $1 - \lambda$ for the metric-free model at two different mean free paths, $v_0\tau = 2$ and 5.66. Here, the threshold noises are $\eta_c \approx 3.24$ and $\eta_c \approx 3.63$, respectively. Around these noise values one observes a tiny jump of $1 - \lambda$ in the figure. Additionally, one sees that the RP method becomes less accurate deep in the ordered phase but also further in the disordered phase, away from the threshold, see also the orange curve in Fig. 8 for $\tau = 2$ and $\eta \geq 3.9$. This is partly because if $\lambda$ differs significantly from one, the velocity profile decays rapidly towards the middle of each half-channel, making a fit by a sinh-function less reliable. Furthermore, at the larger mean free path, $v_0\tau = 5.66$ and a “feeding layer” smaller than this length (as used in our simulations), the discreteness of the dynamics impacts the velocity profile which deviates from a sinh-function. Fitting it anyway by such a function creates a rather large error. This is especially visible in Fig. 9 at noises $\eta \geq 4.0$ and large $\tau = 5.66$. Similar accuracy issues of the RP method are seen in Fig. 8 which shows measurements of the viscosity for the metric-free model. Although near the threshold noise, $\eta_c$, excellent agreement between the TC and the RP method occurs, deviations are observed at larger noise. At these larger noises, the TC method appears to me more accurate than the RP method or at least seems to require less fine-tuning of numerical parameters such as the appropriate thickness of the feeding layers, swap times $\Delta t$ and so on.

To quantify the errors of the RP method we fitted three different sections of the velocity profiles for the parameters of Figs. 9 and 8 at $\eta = 4$ and for both $\tau = 2$ and $\tau = 5.66$. This leads to different fitting coefficients $d_0$ and $d_1$, which consequently lead to different predictions when plugged into Eqs. (I-47), (I-48) or (I-55). For the runs with $\tau = 2$ we found rather small errors, about 5% for the viscosity and 2% for $\lambda$. However, for the larger time step $\tau = 5.66$ the errors become huge if sections of the profile are picked for fitting that either only include profile parts from near the center of the sample or only parts from the vicinity of the feeding layers. In this worst case scenario, one obtains a 100% error in both the viscosity and $\lambda$. However, by comparing the green with the red curve in Fig. 9 the error for $\lambda$ actually appears to be only around 15% to 20% at the largest noises and even smaller for $\nu$. Nevertheless, this serves as a warning that the thickness of the feeding layer and the channel height $L_y$ must be chosen carefully and large enough compared to the
FIG. 6. Kinematic viscosity, $\nu$, versus noise, $\eta$, for the regular VM extracted by the RP method for time step $\tau = 2$ at two system sizes $L_x = 128$, $L_y = 32$ (blue squares), and $L_x = L_y = 16$ (green triangles). The thick black line shows the theoretical prediction by Eqs. (I-13), (I-14) and (I-38) from Part I. Results from the TC method for $L_x = L_y = 16$ are shown by the orange triangles. All simulations conducted for $M = 5$, $R = 1$, and $\nu_0 = 1$.

mean-free path.

Figure 6 shows the total shear viscosity, $\nu$, obtained by the RP method as a function of noise. The trend of a decreasing viscosity with increasing noise is the same as in the theoretical prediction. However, the measured values lie consistently above the mean-field prediction by about 15 to 18%, given by Eqs. (I-13), (I-14), and (I-38) of Part I. Since the measured viscosities seem to always be above the theoretical predictions, even at parameter ranges where one naively would expect mean-field theory to hold, we would like to rule out possible errors in the RP approach. For this purpose, we also measured the viscosities using the GK and TC methods. These two approaches are “equilibrium” methods, in the sense that neither external fields nor Maxwellian demons are applied. These methods are introduced in the next two sections.
III. TRANSVERSE CURRENT FLUCTUATIONS

A. Theory

The most common methods of calculating the shear viscosity from simulations are the GK approach and nonequilibrium molecular dynamics. A third, less popular, approach is the use of transverse-current auto-correlation functions (TCAF’s). This method relies on the fact that in molecular liquids in thermal equilibrium, long-wavelength fluctuations in transverse momentum fields decay exponentially with a decay constant $\nu k^2$, where $k$ is the wave vector of the fluctuation, and $\nu$ is the kinematic shear viscosity. This approach was used, for example, to calculate the shear viscosity for mono-atomic liquids in Ref. [21], and for liquid carbon dioxide, a molecular fluid, in Ref. [22]. In an “artificial” fluid without momentum conservation such as the VM, the decay constant should contain an additional term which does not depend on $k$, because even at zero wave number, momentum fluctuations still decay. This additional term should contain information about the momentum amplification factor $\lambda$.

To describe small fluctuations in a stationary state, we start with the linearized Toner-Tu equations for the momentum density $w = (w_x, w_y)$ which, in the spirit of Landau-Lifschitz’s theory on “fluctuating hydrodynamics” [23], are augmented with a noise source $\Psi = (\Psi_x, \Psi_y)$,

$$\partial_t w_\alpha = -\partial_\alpha P - \kappa w_\alpha + \partial_\beta \sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \Psi_\alpha \quad (2)$$

Here, $P$ is the pressure, $\kappa \equiv (1 - \lambda)/\tau$, and $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ is the viscous stress tensor,

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \nu \left( \partial_\alpha w_\beta + \partial_\beta w_\alpha - \frac{2}{d} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma w_\gamma \right) \quad (3)$$

where $d = 2$ is the spatial dimension. The bulk viscosity is not included in Eq. (2) because it is irrelevant for the TC fluctuations. Higher order gradient terms and nonlinear terms were neglected in this equation. We also assume that we are in the disordered phase, that is $\kappa \geq 0$. As usual, the average of the noise can be chosen to vanish $\langle \Psi \rangle = 0$. However, not much is known about its correlations; we can neither assume that the noise is white nor that its components are uncorrelated.

Defining the Fourier transform of the momentum density,

$$\hat{w}_\alpha(k, t) = \frac{1}{V} \int w_\alpha(x, t) e^{ik \cdot x} \, dx \quad (4)$$
Eq. (2) reads in Fourier space as

$$\partial_t \hat{w}_\alpha = i k_\alpha \hat{P} - \kappa \hat{w}_\alpha - \nu k_\beta^2 \hat{w}_\beta + \hat{\Psi}_\alpha$$ (5)

where $\hat{P}$ and $\hat{\Psi}_\alpha$ are the Fourier transforms of pressure and noise, respectively. In order to estimate the effect of colored noise, we assume a simple exponential form,

$$\langle \hat{\Psi}_\alpha(k, t) \hat{\Psi}_\beta^*(k, \tilde{t}) \rangle = \gamma^2 g_{\alpha\beta}(k) C(k) \exp(-\gamma|t - \tilde{t}|)$$ (6)

where $C$ models the unknown (but irrelevant) strength of the noise and $\gamma$ is the decay rate of the noise correlations. Thus, we can define the memory time of the noise, $\tau_N \equiv 1/\gamma$. The tensor $g$, in particular its off-diagonal elements, describe possible correlations between the different spatial components of the noise. For simplicity and for symmetry reasons, one has $g_{xx} = g_{yy} = 1$, and $g_{xy} = g_{yx}$. Without those correlations, i.e. with $g_{xy} = 0$, and in the limit $\gamma \to \infty$, the typical white noise behavior of a regular fluid is recovered, where the correlations become equal to $\delta_{\alpha\beta} C \delta(t - \tilde{t})$. Note that all three quantities $\gamma$, $C$, and $g$ are likely to depend on wave vector $k$. Equation (6) represents a plausible but ad hoc assumption. In reality, the correlations could have a more complicated temporal behavior. Nevertheless, as discussed below, some qualitative features of this noise model are consistent with our agent based simulations.

One way to extract the TC fluctuations is to focus on the vorticity $\omega \equiv \nabla \times w$ of the flow, whose $z$-component is given by

$$\omega_z = \partial_x w_y - \partial_y w_x$$ (7)

In Fourier space, Eq. (7) becomes

$$\Omega \equiv \hat{\omega}_z = -i k_x \hat{w}_y + i k_y \hat{w}_x.$$ (8)

Multiplying the $x$-component of Eq. (2) by $k_y$ as well as multiplying the $y$-component by $k_x$, and subtracting both equation from each other leads to a closed equation for $\Omega$,

$$\partial_t \Omega = -\mu \Omega + \hat{\phi}$$ (9)

where

$$\mu \equiv \kappa + \nu k^2$$

$$\hat{\phi} \equiv i k_y \hat{\Psi}_x - i k_x \hat{\Psi}_y.$$ (10)
Thus, by using the vorticity, one has managed to effectively “project out” the longitudinal modes which contain pressure and bulk viscosity. The correlations of the noise $\hat{\phi}$ follow from Eq. (6) as
\[
\langle \hat{\phi}(k, t)\hat{\phi}^*(k, \tilde{t}) \rangle = \frac{\gamma}{2} G(k) \exp(-\gamma |t - \tilde{t}|) \tag{11}
\]
where
\[
G(k) = C(k) \left( k^2 - k_x k_y [g_{xy} + g_{xy}^*] \right) \tag{12}
\]
The stochastic differential equation, Eq. (9), is solved, and in the stationary limit, $t \to \infty$ and $\tilde{t} \to \infty$, we obtain the vorticity correlations as a sum of two exponentials,
\[
\langle \Omega(k, t)\Omega^*(k, \tilde{t}) \rangle = G \gamma^2 \left( \gamma^2 - \mu^2 \right) \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\mu} \exp(-\mu |t - \tilde{t}|) - \exp(-\gamma |t - \tilde{t}|) \right] \tag{13}
\]
In the white noise limit, $\gamma \to \infty$, we recover the well-known result
\[
\langle \Omega(k, t)\Omega^*(k, \tilde{t}) \rangle \sim \frac{G}{2\mu} \exp(-\mu |t - \tilde{t}|) \tag{14}
\]
whereas in the limit $\gamma \to \mu > 0$ one finds
\[
\langle \Omega(k, t)\Omega^*(k, \tilde{t}) \rangle \sim \frac{G}{4} \left( \tau_\mu + |t - \tilde{t}| \right) \exp\left( -\frac{|t - \tilde{t}|}{\tau_\mu} \right) \tag{15}
\]
where the viscosity-related decay time $\tau_\mu \equiv 1/\mu$ was introduced. Defining the ratio of the two characteristic times scales,
\[
\delta \equiv \frac{\tau_N}{\tau_\mu} = \frac{\mu}{\gamma} \tag{16}
\]
and introducing the dimensionless time, $\tilde{\tau} \equiv \mu |t - \tilde{t}|$, Eq. (13) becomes
\[
\langle \Omega(k, t)\Omega^*(k, \tilde{t}) \rangle = \frac{G}{2\mu} \frac{\exp(-\tilde{\tau}) - \delta \exp(-\tilde{\tau}/\delta)}{1 - \delta^2} \tag{17}
\]
The scaled vorticity correlations, given by Eq. (17), are plotted in Fig. 7 as a function of $\tilde{\tau}$ for different ratios $\delta$. For small $\delta \ll 1$ which corresponds to an almost white noise, one observes a straight line with slope $-1$ in the semi-logarithmic plot. That means, in this case the value $\mu$ and thus the viscosity $\nu$ and the loss coefficient $\lambda$ can be extracted from a simple exponential fit of the vorticity correlations.

However, once the decay time $\tau_\mu$ and the memory time of the noise $\tau_N$ are approximately equal, the absolute value of the slope in Fig. 7 becomes smaller. If $\delta > 2$, there are strong deviations from the white-noise-scenario, and the decay of the vorticity is dominated by the
memory of the noise but not by $\nu$ and $\lambda$. Attempting a fit with a single exponential to extract $\mu$ would lead to a massive underestimation of $\mu$.

In the collision-dominated regime of the VM, where $v_0\tau \ll R$, $\nu_{\text{coll}}/\nu_{\text{kin}} \gg 1$ and $\nu \propto 1/\tau$, the total viscosity, $\nu$, can become very large at small time step $\tau$. Thus, $\mu$ would also become large. This trend to small $\tau_\mu = 1/\mu$ is intensified if one is deep in the disordered phase, where $\kappa$ is large too, and also in systems with a small linear dimension $L$ since the smallest useful wavenumber is equal to $2\pi/L$ and thus can be rather large. In the same limit of small time steps, a particle needs more iterations to move out of the collision circle of current collision partners. Hypothesizing, that, at least far in the disordered phase, the memory time of the noise $\tau_N$ is approximately given by the time two particles diffuse away from each other by a distance $R$, we obtain a rough estimate for $\tau_N$,

$$\tau_N \approx \frac{R^2}{2v_0^2\tau}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

For small time steps, we also have $\mu \propto 1/\tau$, and therefore

$$\delta = \mu\tau_N \sim 1/\tau^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Therefore, we predict that at sufficiently small time steps, $\delta$ will become larger than 1, meaning that the memory time of the noise cannot be neglected. In this case, a simple
exponential fit based on white noise would dramatically underestimate $\nu$ and/or $\kappa$. In simulations with a small time step of $\tau = 0.2$ we indeed found a much smaller decay of the TC correlations than predicted by simple exponential decay with rate $\kappa + \nu k^2$ and a rather large viscosity. Therefore, we apply the TC method only at sufficiently large time steps ($\tau \geq 1$) where we can assume decent accuracy. These applications are presented in the next section.

**B. Numerical results**

![Viscosity vs. Noise](image)

FIG. 8. Kinematic viscosity, $\nu$, vs. noise, $\eta$, for the metric-free VM extracted by the RP and TC method for time steps $\tau = 2.0$ and $\tau = 5.66$. The solid black line shows the theoretical result for $\tau = 2$, whereas the dashed black curve is the theoretical prediction with $\tau = 5.66$ from Ref. \[27\]. The number density $\rho$ was chosen to ensure that the effective interaction radius, $R_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{M/(\pi \rho)}$ is equal to one. Simulations have been conducted at $M = 5$ and $v_0 = 1$ in a quadratic simulation box with $L_x = L_y = 64$ for the TC runs and in a box with $L_x = 128$, $L_y = 32$ for the RP simulations. The error bars on the TC data are equal to or smaller than the symbols.

The microscopic expression for the momentum density of a system of $N$ point particles at a particular position $x$ is given by (see for example \[24, 25\])

$$w(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} v_j(t) \delta(x - r_j(t))$$

(20)

15
FIG. 9. The coefficient $1 - \lambda$ vs. noise, $\eta$, for the metric-free VM, extracted by the RP and TC method for time steps $\tau = 2.0$ and $\tau = 5.66$, as indicated. The black line shows the theoretical prediction from Ref. 26. Simulations have been conducted at $M = 5$, $R_{\text{eff}} = 1$, and $v_0 = 1$ in a quadratic simulation box with $L_x = L_y = 64$ for the TC runs and in a box with $L_x = 128$, $L_y = 32$ for the RP simulations. The error bars on the TC data are smaller than the symbols.

where $\mathbf{v}_j = (v_{j,x}, v_{j,y})$ and $\mathbf{r}_j = (r_{j,x}, r_{j,y})$ are the velocity and position of particle $j$, respectively. Taking the Fourier transform of Eq. (20) leads to an expression that can be conveniently evaluated in agent-based simulations,

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{k}, t) = N \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{v}_j(t) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j(t)}$$

Inserting the components of the transformed momentum density, $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = (\hat{w}_x, \hat{w}_y)$, into Eq. (21), the $z$-component of the vorticity, denoted by $\Omega$, can be measured.

To study the transverse current (or vorticity) correlations, we performed agent-based simulations of the regular and the metric-free VM with periodic boundary conditions with system sizes $L_x \times L_y$ ranging from $16 \times 16$ to $64 \times 64$. Neither external forces nor the RP-swapping procedure were applied. Once, a system reached its stationary state, the momentum density $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ was measured, according to Eq. (21) and the time series $\Omega(\mathbf{k}, t)$ was recorded for a set of small wave vectors. (The simulations usually ran for $10^5$ to $10^6$ iterations after the stationary state has been reached.) After completion of the simulations, the stored time series was used to calculate the time-averaged vorticity correlations, $\langle \Omega(\mathbf{k}, t)\Omega^*(\mathbf{k}, \tilde{t}) \rangle$. We
found that in the disordered phase, for small $k$ and for not too small time steps, these fluctuations decayed exponentially, $\propto \exp(-\mu |t - \bar{t}|)$, as shown in Fig. 10.

![Vorticity correlations](image)

**FIG. 10.** Vorticity correlations, $\langle \Omega(k, t)\Omega^*(k, \bar{t}) \rangle$, vs. time, $\bar{t}$, for the metric-free VM at $\eta = 3.7$, $M = 5$, $\tau = 2$, $R_{\text{eff}} = 1$, and $v_0 = 1$ in a quadratic simulation box with $L_x = L_y = 64$. The solid lines show simulation data, while the dashed lines correspond to exponential fits.

The decay rate $\mu$ was extracted from exponential fits with different values for the wave vector $k$ (see Fig. 10). Finally, we fitted the obtained $\mu$ by the theoretical expectation, Eq. (10), $\mu = \kappa + \nu k^2$. Figure 11 shows exemplary data for simulations of the metric-free VM at $M = 5$, $\tau = 2$, $R_{\text{eff}} = 1$, and $v_0 = 1$ in a quadratic simulation box with $L_x = L_y = 64$.

The resulting values of $1 - \lambda = \tau \kappa$ and viscosity $\nu$ are plotted in Figs. 5, 6 as well as in 8 and 9. In Figs. 6 and 8 one sees the excellent agreement of the viscosity measurements by the TC and the RP method at noise values in the interval $3.7 \leq \eta \leq 3.9$, in the disordered phase. These figures show viscosity measurements at two rather large time steps $\tau = 2$ and $\tau = 5.66$, for both the regular and the metric-free VM. One observes, that similar to the regular VM, the viscosities of the metric-free VM are slightly higher than the mean-field prediction, even at the larger time, $\tau = 5.66$. Similarly, as seen in Fig. 9, mean-field theory underestimates the coefficient $1 - \lambda$ also for the metric-free model. However, increasing the time step from $\tau = 2$ to $\tau = 5.66$ leads to a better agreement with mean-field theory, as expected. In general, it appears that the agreement with mean-field theory is better for the metric-free model than for the regular VM. Here, the metric-free model was chosen for investigation because its density fluctuations are different from the regular VM. In particular,
FIG. 11. Plot of $\mu$, vs. $k^2$ for the metric-free VM at $M = 5$, $\tau = 2$, $R_{\text{eff}} = 1$, and $v_0 = 1$ in a quadratic simulation box with $L_x = L_y = 64$. Symbols show simulation data, and lines show fits according to $\mu = \kappa + \nu k^2$.

no macroscopic density waves occur near the threshold to collective motion and the phase transition is continuous.

We noticed a significant $k$-dependence of the viscosity near the order/disorder threshold at certain parameter values. In particular, fitting the decay constant $\mu$ by a function $\kappa + \tilde{\nu} k^\beta$ with three free parameters sometimes led to an exponent $\beta$ smaller than two, at least in the range of $k$-values we investigated. We checked that $\beta$ approaches the value two at larger mean free paths and further away from the threshold, i.e. at larger noise. Since this effect seems to be more pronounced than in regular fluids near criticality, we think that it is a result of the velocity alignment interaction. A detailed numerical and analytical investigation of this behavior is beyond the scope of the paper but is subject of current research.

The quantity, $\xi = \sqrt{\nu/\kappa}$ has units of length, and within kinetic theory, it can be established as a mean-field approximation to the correlation length. At a continuous phase transition, the correlation length should diverge with the critical exponent $\tilde{\nu}$ as

$$\xi \sim (\eta - \eta_c)^{-\tilde{\nu}}$$

with the usual mean-field exponent $\tilde{\nu} = 1/2$, see for example Ref. Inserting our measured values of $\kappa$ and $\nu$ into the expression for $\xi$, and plotting this as a function of the relative distance to the threshold noise, $(\eta - \eta_c)/\eta_c$, the divergence with the mean-field exponent of $1/2$ is reproduced rather well, as shown in Fig. Of course, this result does not rule out
that the actual correlation length diverges with an exponent different from 1/2. Measuring of actual critical exponents requires careful finite size scaling and is beyond the scope of this paper.

FIG. 12. Mean-field correlation length $\xi$ in units of the mean free path $\Lambda = v_0 \tau$ vs. relative distance to the threshold noise $\eta_c$ for the metric-free VM. Instead of measuring the actual correlation length directly, $1 - \lambda$ and the viscosity $\nu$ were obtained from measured TC and then inserted in the formula $\xi = \sqrt{\nu \tau / (1 - \lambda)}$ (black circles). The blue and red lines show the theoretical prediction for time steps $\tau = 2$ and 5.66, respectively. The dashed line represents a power law decay with exponent $-1/2$. Simulations have been conducted at $M = 5$, $R_{eff} = 1$, and $v_0 = 1$ in a quadratic simulation box with $L_x = L_y = 64$.

IV. NUMERICAL EVALUATION OF GREEN-KUBO RELATIONS

GK relations [25, 29–31] provide a convenient way to measure transport coefficients in equilibrium Molecular Dynamics or other particle-based simulation methods of regular fluids. Typically, these relations are used to obtain the self-diffusion coefficient and the viscosity. The kinetic part of the viscosity describes the convection of the transverse momentum by a particle. More specifically, every particle that flies in the $x$-direction with some velocity $v_x$ carries its transverse momentum $p_y = mv_y$ with it; when it eventually collides with another particle, it has transferred $y$-momentum in the $x$-direction. This mechanism leads to the
FIG. 13. Kinetic part of the viscosity, $\nu_{\text{kin}}$, vs. noise, $\eta$, for the regular VM. Red squares show measurements in the disordered state by means of Eq. (23), while black circles correspond to the prediction by mean-field kinetic theory, Eq. (I-14) of Part I. The blue dashed line is the measured polar order parameter (right axis). Simulations have been conducted for $M = 5$, $\tau = 1$, $v_0 = 1$, and $R = 1$.

appearance of the off-diagonal element $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{kin}}(t) = m \sum_{j=1}^{N} v_{j,x}(t)v_{j,y}(t)$ of the kinetic stress tensor in the derivation of the corresponding GK relation by the projector-operator method for regular fluids. A similar derivation for the MPCD-fluid can be found in Refs. 32, 33.

It seems plausible that the same mechanism of momentum transport acts also in generalized fluids such as the VM that neither respect momentum conservation nor detailed balance. Indeed, it was shown [12] that the analytical evaluation of the usual GK relation for the kinetic part of the viscosity

$$\nu_{\text{kin}} = \frac{\tau}{N k_B T} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma_{\text{kin}}^2(0) \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \sigma_{\text{kin}}(n\tau)\sigma_{\text{kin}}(0) \rangle \right]$$

within the mean-field assumption of molecular chaos and setting the temperature $k_B T$ equal to $m v_0^2/2$, leads to an expression for $\nu_{\text{kin}}$ which is identical to the one obtained from the Chapman-Enskog theory of the VM, Eq. (I-14) of Part I. Thus, at least at the mean-field level, the validity of the GK relation, Eq. (23), has been proven. Although a microscopic derivation of the GK relation for the VM has not been performed yet, its correctness beyond mean-field seems likely, and we use it here anyway.

Figure 13 shows the kinetic viscosities measured in direct simulations of the VM without
FIG. 14. Kinetic part of the viscosity, $\nu_{\text{kin}}$, vs. velocity, $v_0$, for the regular VM at very large $\eta = 6$. Red circles show measurements in the disordered state by means of Eq. (23), while the solid black line corresponds to the evaluation of this expression by mean-field kinetic theory. Simulations have been conducted at $M = 5$, $\tau = 1$, and $R = 1$.

shear gradient by means of Eq. (23) as a function of noise, $\eta$, in comparison with the theoretical (mean-field) expression. For higher noise, where the theory should become more accurate, we find excellent agreement for a large range of particle velocities, $v_0$, as shown in Fig. 14. However, even at the threshold to ordered motion, the deviation is only around 16%.

These results support the validity of the mean-field derivation of the analytical expression for $\nu_{\text{kin}}$, Eq. (I-14) of Part I, which was first reported in Ref. [11]. Understanding and reducing the deviations between kinetic theory and agent-based simulations will be left for future studies.

V. DISCUSSION

As described above, we have measured the shear viscosity, $\nu$, and the transport coefficient, $\lambda$, by two different methods. In addition, the kinetic part of the shear viscosity, $\nu_{\text{kin}}$, was measured by a third method – the Green-Kubo approach. In the disordered phase and not too far from the threshold to collective motion, excellent agreement between these measurements is found. Even though all viscosity measurements are rather close to the theoretical predictions, they are consistently higher by 15 to 18% than those. Near the
threshold to collective motion, the same difference of about 16% was detected in comparing Green-Kubo measurements for $\nu_{\text{kin}}$ with the corresponding theoretical prediction. Most of our measurements were done at rather large time step $\tau \geq 1$ where the viscosity is dominated by its kinetic part. These facts lead to us to hypothesize that most of the discrepancy in $\nu$ between theory and simulation is due to the invalidity of the mean-field assumption in the analytical calculation of the kinetic part, $\nu_{\text{kin}}$. Therefore, future theoretical efforts to improve the expression for the viscosity should focus on this contribution.

In the Green-Kubo calculations we observed very good agreement between theory and measurements at large noise, close to the maximum noise of $\eta_{\text{max}} = 2\pi$. Note, that viscosity measurements at such large noise would be inconclusive in both the RP and the TC method because the decay rate of the velocity profile and the vorticity correlations would be huge due to a large value of $\kappa$. Thus, we were not able to tell whether the discrepancy between simulation and theory for the total viscosity would go to zero in the limit $\eta \to 2\pi$.

The agreement between the results for $\lambda$ obtained by the RP and TC method is very good but still not as good than for the viscosities. Since $\lambda$ is directly related to the definition of the threshold noise for the order/disorder transition, it does not come as a surprise that the mean-field prediction for $\lambda$ only becomes accurate at very large mean-free paths where there is large mixing of particles and where the assumption of molecular chaos should become valid.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this part of a two-part series we presented the numerical results for measurements of the shear viscosity and the momentum gain coefficient by means of three different methods, the reverse perturbation method (RP), the transverse current fluctuation method (TC), and the Green-Kubo method (GK). These transport coefficients were measured for three different particle models: Multi-particle collision dynamics, the regular Viscek model and the metric-free Vicsek-model. To the best of our knowledge, these are the first systematic measurements of transport coefficients of the regular VM; they help to verify previous derivations of hydrodynamic equations from microscopic interaction rules of active particle systems. We observed excellent agreement between the different approaches with each other in relevant parameter ranges. On one hand, this verifies our extension of Müller-Plathe's
RP method to active particle systems, which is presented in Part I. On the other hand, it also supports the validity of the theory for TC for colored noise, which is presented in this Part II.

For the active particle models, we found reasonable agreement between the measurements and the theoretical predictions from mean-field kinetic theory, whereas for MPCD, a model with “passive” particles, the agreement is excellent. While this supports the correctness of the novel mean-field calculation of the collisional part of the viscosity that is presented in Part I as well as the validity of earlier results from an Enskog-like kinetic theory, it does however underline previous concerns about mean-field assumptions and the relevance of correlation effects in active matter systems. It appears that even at a large average number of interaction partners, $M = 5$, and a mean free path that is twice as large as the interaction range, pre-collisional correlations still significantly influence the transport coefficients.
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