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ABSTRACT. We give an explicit description of all complete $G$-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on the tangent bundle $T(G/K) \cong G^C/K^C$ of rank-one Riemannian symmetric spaces $G/K$ of compact type, in terms of associated vector-functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the latest decades there has been considerable interest in Ricci-flat Kähler metrics whose underlying manifold is diffeomorphic to the tangent bundle $T(G/K)$ of a Riemannian symmetric space $G/K$ of compact type. For instance, a remarkable class of Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds of cohomogeneity one was discovered by M. Stenzel [18]. This has originated a great deal of papers. To cite but a few: M. Cvetič, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lü and C. N. Pope [5] studied certain harmonic forms on these manifolds and found an explicit formula for the Stenzel metrics in terms of hypergeometric functions. Earlier, T. C. Lee [11] gave an explicit formula of the Stenzel metrics for classical spaces $G/K$ but in another vein, using the approach of G. Patrizio and P. Wong [17]. Remark also that in the case of the standard sphere $S^2$, the Stenzel metrics coincide with the well-known Eguchi-Hanson metrics [7]. On the other hand, and as it is well known, Stenzel metrics continue being a source of results both in physics and differential geometry. We cite here only to G. Oliveira [15] and M. Ionel and T. A. Ivey [10].

In the present paper we give an explicit description of all complete $G$-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on the tangent bundle $T(G/K)$ of rank-one Riemannian symmetric spaces $G/K$ of compact type or, equivalently, on the complexification $G^C/K^C$ of $G/K$. To this end, reached in our main assertions (Theorem 4.1 and its Corollary 4.3), we use the method of our article [8], giving the result in terms of associated vector-functions (see below in this introduction). In this article it is also shown that this set of metrics contains a new family of metrics which are not $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}$-exact if $G/K \in \{\mathbb{CP}^n, n \geq 1\}$, and coincides with the set of $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}$-exact Stenzel metrics for any of the latter spaces $G/K$.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C30, 53C35, 53C55,
Key words and phrases. Invariant Ricci-flat Kähler structures, rank-one semisimple Riemannian symmetric spaces of compact type, restricted roots.

Research supported by the Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness, Spain, under Project MTM2016-77093-P.
Remark here that until now, in the case of the space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ($n \geq 1$), all known Ricci-flat Kähler metrics were Calabi metrics, so being hyper-Kählerian and thus automatically Ricci-flat (see O. Biquard and P. Gauduchon [2, 3] and E. Calabi [4]). Since by A. Dancer and M.Y. Wang [6, Theorem 1.1] any complete $G$-invariant hyper-Kählerian metric on $G/K = \mathbb{CP}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) coincides with the Calabi metric, our new metrics are not hyper-Kählerian.

Note also, that in [6] the Kähler-Einstein metrics on manifolds of $G$-cohomogeneity one were classified but only under one additional assumption: It is assumed that the isotropy representation of the space $G/H$ (see our notation below) splits into pairwise inequivalent sub-representations. This condition is crucial for the fact that the Einstein equation can be solved (see [6, Theorem 2.18]). But this assumption fails, for instance, for the symmetric space $P$. M. GADEA, J. C. GONZÁLEZ-DÁVILA, AND I. V. MYKYTYUK

Let $G/K$ be a rank-one symmetric space of a compact connected Lie group $G$. The tangent bundle $T(G/K)$ has a canonical complex structure $J^K_e$ coming from the $G$-equivariant diffeomorphism $T(G/K) \rightarrow G^C/K^C$. The latter space is the above-mentioned complexification of $G/K$. In our paper [8] we described, for such a $G/K$, all $G$-invariant Kähler structures $(g, J^K)$ which are moreover Ricci-flat on the punctured tangent bundle $T^+(G/K)$ of $T(G/K)$. This description is based on the fact that $T^+(G/K)$ is the image of $G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$ under certain $G$-equivariant diffeomorphism. Here $H$ denotes the stabilizer of any element of $T(G/K)$ in general position. Such $G$-invariant Kähler and Ricci-flat Kähler structures are determined completely by a unique vector-function $a: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow g_H$ satisfying certain conditions, $g_H$ being the subalgebra of $\text{Ad}(H)$-fixed points of the Lie algebra of $G$.

As for the contents, we recall in Section 2 some definitions and results on the canonical complex structure on $T(G/K)$. In Section 3 we recall the general description given in [8] of invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on tangent bundles of Riemannian symmetric spaces of compact type, especially in Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 below, given here without proof. In Section 4 we state and prove Theorem 4.1 and its Corollary 4.3 giving the invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on the punctured tangent bundles $T^+(G/K)$ of the rank-one Riemannian symmetric spaces of compact type and then the complete invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on $T(G/K)$.

2. THE CANONICAL COMPLEX STRUCTURE ON $T(G/K)$

Consider a homogeneous manifold $G/K$, where $G$ is a compact connected Lie group and $K$ is some closed subgroup of $G$. Let $g$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebras of $G$ and $K$ respectively. There exists a positive-definite $\text{Ad}(G)$-invariant form $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$ on $g$.

Denote by $m$ the $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$-orthogonal complement to $\mathfrak{k}$ in $g$, that is, $g = m \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ is the $\text{Ad}(K)$-invariant vector space direct sum decomposition of $g$. Consider the trivial vector bundle $G \times m$ with the two Lie group actions (which commute) on it: the left $G$-action, $l_h: (g, w) \mapsto (hg, w)$ and the right $K$-action $r_k: (g, w) \mapsto (gk, \text{Ad}_{k^{-1}}w)$. Let

$$\pi : G \times m \rightarrow G \times_K m, \quad (g, w) \mapsto [(g, w)],$$
be the natural projection for this right $K$-action. This projection is $G$-equivariant. It is well known that $G \times_K m$ and $T(G/K)$ are diffeomorphic. The corresponding $G$-equivariant diffeomorphism

$$\phi: G \times_K m \to T(G/K), \quad [(g, w)] \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}\big|_0 g \exp(tw)K,$$

and the projection $\pi$ determine the $G$-equivariant submersion $\Pi = \phi \circ \pi: G \times m \to T(G/K)$.

Let $G^C$ and $K^C$ be the complexifications of the Lie groups $G$ and $K$. In particular, $K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of the Lie group $K^C$ and the intersection of $K$ with each connected component of $K^C$ is not empty (cf. A.L. Onishchik and E.V. Vinberg [16, Ch. 5, p. 221] and note that $G^C$, $K^C$, $G$ and $K$ are algebraic groups). Let $g^C = g \oplus i\eta$ and $\ell^C = \ell \oplus i\ell$ be the complexifications of the compact Lie algebras $g$ and $\ell$.

Since $G$ and $K$ are maximal compact Lie subgroups of $G^C$ and $K^C$, respectively, by a result of G.D. Mostow [12, Theorem 4], we have that $K^C = K \exp(i\ell)$, $G^C = G \exp(i\eta) \exp(i\ell)$, and the mappings

$$G \times m \times \ell \to G^C, \quad (g, w, \zeta) \mapsto g \exp(iw) \exp(i\zeta),$$

$$K \times \ell \to K^C, \quad (k, \zeta) \mapsto k \exp(i\zeta),$$

are diffeomorphisms. Then the map

$$f_K^*: G^C/K^C \to G \times_K m, \quad g \exp(iw) \exp(i\zeta)K^C \mapsto [(g, w)],$$

is a $G$-equivariant diffeomorphism [13, Lemma 4.1]. It is clear that

$$f_K: G^C/K^C \to T(G/K), \quad g \exp(iw) \exp(i\zeta)K^C \mapsto \Pi(g, w),$$

is also a $G$-equivariant diffeomorphism. Since $G^C/K^C$ is a complex manifold, the diffeomorphism $f_K$ supplies the manifold $T(G/K)$ with the $G$-invariant complex structure which we denote by $J^C_K$.

3. **Invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on tangent bundles of compact Riemannian symmetric spaces. General description**

We continue with the previous notations but in this section and the next one it is assumed in addition that $G/K$ is a rank-one Riemannian symmetric space of a connected, compact semisimple Lie group $G$.

3.1. **Root theory of Riemannian symmetric spaces of rank one.** Here we will review a few facts about Riemannian symmetric spaces of rank one [9, Ch. VII, §2, §11] and results of our paper [8] adapted to the case of these (rank one) spaces.

We have then

$$g = m \oplus \ell, \quad \text{where} \quad [m, m] \subset \ell, \quad [\ell, m] \subset m, \quad [\ell, \ell] \subset \ell, \quad \text{and} \quad \ell \perp m.$$ 

In other words, there exists an involutive automorphism $\sigma: g \to g$ such that

$$\ell = (1 + \sigma)g \quad \text{and} \quad m = (1 - \sigma)g.$$ 

Moreover, the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is $\sigma$-invariant.
Let $a \subset m$ be some Cartan subspace of the space $m$. There exists a $\sigma$-invariant Cartan subalgebra $t$ of $g$ containing the commutative subspace $a$, i.e.
\[ t = a \oplus t_0, \quad \text{where} \quad a = (1 - \sigma)t, \quad t_0 = (1 + \sigma)t. \]
Then the complexification $t^C$ is a Cartan subalgebra of the reductive complex Lie algebra $g^C$ and we have the root space decomposition
\[ g^C = t^C \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \tilde{g}_\alpha. \]
Here $\Delta$ is the root system of $g^C$ with respect to the Cartan subalgebra $t^C$. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$ we have
\[ \tilde{g}_\alpha = \{ \tilde{\xi} \in g^C : \text{ad}_i \tilde{\xi} = \alpha(i) \tilde{\xi}, \ i \in t^C \} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_C \tilde{g}_\alpha = 1. \]
It is evident that the centralizer $\tilde{g}_0$ of the space $\tilde{a}^C$ in $g^C$ is the subalgebra
\[ (3.1) \quad \tilde{g}_0 = t^C \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \tilde{g}_\alpha, \]
where $\Delta_0 = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : \alpha|_{a^C} = 0 \}$ is the root system of the reductive Lie algebra $\tilde{g}_0$ with respect to its Cartan subalgebra $t^C$.

The set $\Sigma = \{ \lambda \in (a^C)^* : \lambda = \alpha|_{a^C}, \ \alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_0 \}$ is the set of restricted roots of the triple $(g, t, a)$, which is independent of the choice of the $\sigma$-invariant Cartan subalgebra $t$ containing the Cartan subspace $a$.

Since $G/K$ is a rank-one Riemannian symmetric space, $\dim a = 1$. Then the restricted root system is either $\Sigma = \{ \pm \epsilon \}$ or $\Sigma = \{ \pm \epsilon, \pm \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \}$, where $\epsilon \in (a^C)^*$. There exists a unique (basis) vector $X \in a$ such that $\epsilon(X) = i$, where, since the algebra $g$ is compact, $\alpha(t) \subset iR$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. It is clear that multiplying our scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by a positive constant we can suppose that $\langle X, X \rangle = 1$. For each $\lambda \in \Sigma$ define the linear function $\lambda' : a \to R$, by the relation $i\lambda' = \lambda$. Note that then
\[ \langle X, X \rangle = 1, \quad i\epsilon' = \epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon'(X) = 1. \]

Since the algebra $\tilde{g}_0$ coincides with the centralizer of the element $X \in a$ in $g^C$, there exists a basis $\Pi$ of $\Delta$ (a system of simple roots) such that $\Pi_0 = \Pi \cap \Delta_0$ is a basis of $\Delta_0$. Indeed, the element $-iX \in \Pi$ belongs to the closure of some Weyl chamber in $\Pi$ determining the basis $\Pi$. Then $\Pi_0 = \{ \alpha \in \Pi : \alpha(-iX) = 0 \}$. The bases $\Pi$ and $\Pi_0$ determine uniquely the subsets $\Delta^+$ and $\Delta^+_0$ of positive roots of $\Delta$ and $\Delta_0$, respectively. It is evident that
\[ \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_0 = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : \alpha(-iX) > 0 \}. \]

The following decomposition
\[ g^C = \tilde{g}_0 \oplus \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} (\tilde{g}_\lambda \oplus \tilde{g}_{-\lambda}), \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{g}_\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_0, \alpha|_{a^C} = \lambda} \tilde{g}_\alpha \]
and $\Sigma^+$ denotes the subset of positive restricted roots in $\Sigma$ determined by the set of positive roots $\Delta^+$, gives us a simultaneous diagonalization of $\text{ad}(\tilde{a}^C)$ on $g^C$. Remark that in our
case either $\Sigma^+ = \{ \varepsilon \}$ or $\Sigma^+ = \{ \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \}$. Denote by $m_\lambda$ the multiplicity of the restricted root $\lambda \in \{ \pm \varepsilon, \pm \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \}$, that is, $m_\lambda = \text{card}\{ \alpha \in \Delta : \alpha|_{a^C} = \lambda \}$.

For each linear form $\lambda$ on $a^C$ put
\begin{equation}
(3.2) \quad m_\lambda \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ \eta \in m : \text{ad}_w^2(\eta) = \lambda^2(\eta) \eta, \forall w \in a \},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{e}_\lambda \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ \zeta \in \mathfrak{e} : \text{ad}_w^2(\zeta) = \lambda^2(\zeta) \zeta, \forall w \in a \}.
\end{equation}
Then $m_\lambda = m_{-\lambda}$, $\mathfrak{e}_\lambda = \mathfrak{e}_{-\lambda}$, $m_0 = a$ and $\mathfrak{e}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{h} = \{ u \in \mathfrak{e} : [u,a] = 0 \} = (\ker \text{ad}_X) \cap \mathfrak{e}$ is the centralizer of $a$ in $\mathfrak{e}$.

In Table 3.1 we list all compact Riemannian symmetric spaces of rank one with their corresponding multiplicities $m_\varepsilon, m_{\varepsilon/2}$ and type of the algebra $\mathfrak{h}$.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Irreducible rank-one Riemannian symmetric spaces of compact type}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$G/K$ & $\dim m_\varepsilon$ & $m_{\varepsilon/2}$ & $\mathfrak{h}$ \\
\hline
$\mathbb{S}^n, (n \geq 2)$ & SO(n+1)/SO(n) & $n$ & $n-1$ & 0 & $\mathfrak{so}(n-1)$ \\
$[\mathbb{R}P^n]^*$ & [SO(n+1)/O(n)]$^*$ & $n$ & $n-1$ & 0 & $\mathfrak{so}(n-1)$ \\
$\mathbb{C}P^n, (n \geq 2)$ & SU(n+1)/S(U(1)\times U(n)) & $2n$ & 1 & $2n-2$ & $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n-1)$ \\
$\mathbb{H}P^n, (n \geq 1)$ & Sp(n+1)/Sp(1)\times Sp(n) & $4n$ & 3 & $4n-4$ & $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n-1)$ \\
$\mathbb{C}A^2$ & $F_4/\text{Spin}(9)$ & 16 & 7 & $8$ & $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Here we assume that $\mathfrak{so}(1) = 0$, $\mathfrak{so}(2) \cong \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{su}(0) = \mathfrak{su}(1) = 0$, $\mathfrak{sp}(0) = 0$. The symmetric spaces $G/K$ with non-connected $K$ are marked with $[*]$ in Table 3.1.

It is clear that $m_\lambda^C \oplus \mathfrak{e}_\lambda^C = \tilde{g}_\lambda \oplus \tilde{g}_{-\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in \Sigma^+$ and $\tilde{g}_0 = m_0^C \oplus \mathfrak{e}_0^C = a^C \oplus h^C$ (the Cartan subspace $a^C$ is a maximal commutative subspace of $m^C$). By [9] Ch. VII, Lemma 11.3, the following decompositions are direct and orthogonal:
\begin{equation}
(3.4) \quad m = a \oplus m_\varepsilon \oplus m_{\varepsilon/2}, \quad \mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{e}_\varepsilon \oplus \mathfrak{e}_{\varepsilon/2},
\end{equation}
where to simplify the notation we suppose that $m_{\varepsilon/2} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{e}_{\varepsilon/2} = 0$ if $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \not\in \Sigma$. We shall put
\begin{equation}
(3.5) \quad m^+ \overset{\text{def}}{=} m_\varepsilon \oplus m_{\varepsilon/2}, \quad \mathfrak{e}^+ \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{e}_\varepsilon \oplus \mathfrak{e}_{\varepsilon/2}.
\end{equation}

Since the restriction of the operator $\text{ad}_X$ to the subspace $m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{e}^+$ is nondegenerate and $\text{ad}_X(m) \subset \mathfrak{e}$, $\text{ad}_X(\mathfrak{e}) \subset m$ for any vector $\xi_\lambda \in m_\lambda \subset m$, $\lambda \in \Sigma^+$, by (3.2) and (3.4) there exists a unique vector $\xi_\lambda \in \mathfrak{e}_\lambda$ such that
\begin{equation}
(3.6) \quad [X, \xi_\lambda] = -\lambda'(X)\xi_\lambda, \quad [X, \xi_\lambda] = \lambda'(X)\xi_\lambda,
\end{equation}
where, recall, $\varepsilon'(X) = 1$. In particular, $\dim m_\lambda = \dim \mathfrak{e}_\lambda = m_\lambda$ and there exists a unique endomorphism $T : m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{e}^+ \rightarrow m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{e}^+$ such that
\begin{equation}
(3.7) \quad \text{ad}_X|_{m_\lambda \oplus \mathfrak{e}_\lambda} = \lambda'(X)T|_{m_\lambda \oplus \mathfrak{e}_\lambda}, \quad T(m_\lambda) = \mathfrak{e}_\lambda, \quad T(\mathfrak{e}_\lambda) = m_\lambda, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Sigma^+.$
This endomorphism is orthogonal because $T^2 = -\text{Id}_{m^+ \oplus t^+}$ and the endomorphism $\text{ad}_x$ is skew-symmetric. Note also here that by (3.1) the subspace
\[ t_0 = (1 + \sigma)t \] is a Cartan subalgebra of the centralizer $h$ and $t = a \oplus t_0$.

Moreover, since $[t_0, m] \subset m$ and $[t_0, t] \subset t$, $[a, t_0] = 0$, from definitions (3.2) and (3.6) we obtain that
\[ [t_0, m_\lambda] \subset m_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad [t_0, t_\lambda] \subset t_\lambda \quad \text{for each} \quad \lambda \in \Sigma^+, \]
\[ [\text{ad}_x, T] = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad m^+ \oplus t^+ \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in t_0. \]

Fix the Weyl chamber $W^+$ in $a$ containing the element $X$:
\[ W^+ = \{ w \in a : \varepsilon(-iw) > 0 \} = \{ w \in a : \varepsilon'(w) > 0 \} = \mathbb{R}^+ X. \]

The subspace $m \subset g$ is $\text{Ad}(K)$-invariant. Each nonzero $\text{Ad}(K)$-orbit in $m$ intersects the Cartan subspace $a$ and also the Weyl chamber $W^+$, that is, $\text{Ad}(K)(W^+) = m \setminus \{0\}$. The set $m^R = m \setminus \{0\}$ of all nonzero elements of $m$ is the set of regular points in $m$.

Consider the centralizer $H$ of the Cartan subspace $a$ in $\text{Ad}(K)$, i.e.
\[ (3.7) \quad H = \{ k \in K : \text{Ad}_k u = u \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in a \} = \{ k \in K : \text{Ad}_k X = X \}. \]

It is clear that the algebra $h$ (see (3.5)), is the Lie algebra of $H$.

Our interest now centers on what will be shown to be an important subalgebra of $g$. Let $g_H \subset g$ be the subalgebra of fixed points of the group $\text{Ad}(H)$, i.e.
\[ (3.8) \quad g_H \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ u \in g : \text{Ad}_h u = u \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in H \}. \]

It is evident that $g_H \subset g_h$, where
\[ (3.9) \quad g_h \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ u \in g : [u, \zeta] = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \zeta \in h \} \]
is the centralizer of the algebra $h$ in $g$. Note that in the general case one has $g_H \neq g_h$ (see Example 4.6 in [8]).

To understand the structure of the algebra $g_H$ we consider more carefully the centralizer $g_h$. Since $h$ is a compact Lie algebra, $h = z(h) \oplus [h, h]$, where $z(h)$ is the center of $h$ and $[h, h]$ is a maximal semisimple ideal of $h$. It is clear that
\[ z(h) \subset g_h \quad \text{and} \quad g_h \cap [h, h] = 0 \quad \text{because} \quad \langle g_h, [h, h] \rangle = \langle [g_h, h], h \rangle = 0. \]

Therefore $g_h \cap h = z(h)$ and $g_h \oplus [h, h] = g_h + h$ is a subalgebra of $g$.

By its definition, $z(h)$ is a subspace of the center of the algebra $g_h$. Moreover, by (3.3), $a \subset g_h$. The space $a \oplus z(h) \subset g_h$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $g_h$ (a maximal commutative subalgebra of $g_h$) because the centralizer of $a$ in $g$ equals $a \oplus h$, $a \oplus z(h)$ is the center of the algebra $a \oplus h$ and $g_h \cap (a \oplus h) = a \oplus z(h)$ by definition of $g_h$ (see also [8, Subsection 4.1]).

Since $a \subset g_h$ and $t_0 \subset h$, then $a \oplus t_0 \subset g_h + h$. But $a \oplus t_0 = t$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $g$. This means that the complex reductive Lie algebras $(g_h + h)^C$, $g_h^C$ and $h^C$ are $\text{ad}(t^C)$-invariant subalgebras of $g^C$. Taking into account that $t \cap g_h = a \oplus z(h)$ and $t \cap h = t_0$, we
obtain the following direct sum decompositions:

\[ \mathfrak{g}_h^C = a^C \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h)^C \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_h} \tilde{g}_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h}^C = t_0^C \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \tilde{g}_\alpha, \]

where \( \Delta_h \) is some subset of the root system \( \Delta \). Since the spaces \( a \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h) \subset \mathfrak{t} \) and \( t_0 \subset \mathfrak{t} \) are Cartan subalgebras of the algebras \( \mathfrak{g}_h \) and \( \mathfrak{h} \) respectively, the decompositions above are the root space decompositions of \((\mathfrak{g}_h^C, (a \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h))^C)\) and \((\mathfrak{h}^C, t_0^C)\), respectively. In particular, the subset \( \Delta_h \subset \Delta \) is the root system of \((\mathfrak{g}_h^C, (a \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h))^C)\).

Since \( \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{t} \), we see that \( \sigma(h) = \mathfrak{h} \) and the centralizer \( \mathfrak{g}_h \) of \( \mathfrak{h} \) in \( \mathfrak{g} \) is \( \sigma \)-invariant. By [8, Proposition 4.3],

\[ \Delta_h = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : \alpha(t_0) = 0, \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \text{ for all } \beta \in \Delta_0 \}. \]

But by [8, Lemma 4.1] this subset \( \Delta_h \) of the set of roots \( \Delta \) admits the following alternative description:

\[ \Delta_h = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : \alpha(t_0) = 0, m_\lambda = 1, \text{ where } \lambda = \alpha|_{a^C} \}. \]

As follows from Table 3.1, two such restricted roots \( \{ \varepsilon, -\varepsilon \} \subset \Sigma \) of multiplicity 1 exist if and only if \( G/K \in \{ \mathbb{C}P^n (n \geq 1), \mathbb{R}P^2 \} \) (\( \mathbb{C}P^1 \cong S^2 \)). Hence for any of the latter rank-one symmetric spaces \( \mathfrak{g}_h = a \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h) \). Since for these latter spaces \( \mathfrak{z}(h) = 0 \) (see Table 3.1), we obtain that

\[ \mathfrak{g}_h = a \quad \text{if} \quad G/K \notin \{ \mathbb{C}P^n (n \geq 1), \mathbb{R}P^2 \}. \]

Since \( \sigma(h) = \mathfrak{h} \), the centralizer \( \mathfrak{g}_h \) of \( \mathfrak{h} \) in \( \mathfrak{g} \) is \( \sigma \)-invariant, i.e.

\[ \mathfrak{g}_h = m_h \oplus \mathfrak{t}_h, \quad \text{where} \quad m_h = \mathfrak{g}_h \cap m, \quad \mathfrak{t}_h = \mathfrak{g}_h \cap \mathfrak{t} \]

and as \( a \subset m_h \) is a maximal commutative subspace of \( m \), the space \( a \) is a Cartan subspace of \( m_h \). Then the set

\[ \Sigma_h = \{ \lambda \in (a^C)^* : \lambda = \alpha|_{a^C}, \alpha \in \Delta_h \} \subset \Sigma \]

is the set of restricted roots of the triple \((\mathfrak{g}_h, \mathfrak{t}_h, a)\) and since by (3.11) the spaces \( m_\lambda, \lambda \in \Sigma_h \), have dimension one, we obtain the following direct orthogonal decompositions

\[ m_h = a \oplus \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_h \cap \Sigma^+} m_\lambda, \quad \mathfrak{t}_h = \mathfrak{z}(h) \oplus \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_h \cap \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{t}_\lambda. \]

To describe the algebra \( \mathfrak{g}_H \subset \mathfrak{g}_h \) we consider now in more detail the subgroup \( H \subset K \). By [8, Proposition 4.4], \( H = (\exp(a) \cap K)H_0 \), where \( H_0 = \exp \mathfrak{h} \) is the identity component of the Lie group \( H \) (\( H_0 \subset K \) because \( \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{t} \)). Since the group \( H \subset K \) is compact and \( K \) is a subgroup of the group of fixed points of certain involutive automorphism of \( G \) acting by \( \exp(v) \mapsto \exp(-v) \) on \( \exp(a) \), the discrete group \( D_a := \exp(a) \cap K \) is finite and

\[ D_a = \{ \exp v : v \in a, \exp v = \exp(-v) \} \cap K. \]

Since \([h, g_h] = 0\), the group \( \text{Ad}(H_0) \) acts trivially on \( \mathfrak{g}_h \) and therefore

\[ \mathfrak{g}_H = \{ u \in \mathfrak{g}_h : \text{Ad} \exp v . u = u \text{ for all } v \in a \text{ such that } \exp v \in D_a \}. \]
Taking into account that \([a, t] = 0\), we conclude that the group \(\text{Ad}_{\exp a}\) acts trivially on the space \(a \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h) \subset t\) and consequently, by (3.12),
\[
(3.15) \quad g_H = g_0 = a \quad \text{if} \quad G/K \not\in \{ \mathbb{CP}^n \,(n \geqslant 1), \mathbb{R}P^2 \},
\]
and \(g_H\) contains \(a \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h)\) otherwise. For the space \(G/K = \mathbb{CP}^n\) \((n \geqslant 1)\) we will calculate the algebra \(g_H\) in the next section using the matrix representation for \(g \simeq su(n+1)\).

The algebra \(g_H\) is \(\sigma\)-invariant because by definition (3.7), \(\sigma \text{Ad}(H)\sigma = \text{Ad}(H)\). In particular,
\[
g_H = m_H \oplus \mathfrak{t}_H, \quad \text{where} \quad m_H = g_H \cap m, \quad \mathfrak{t}_H = g_H \cap \mathfrak{t},
\]
and \((g_H, \mathfrak{t}_H)\) is a symmetric pair. By maximality conditions the space \(a \subset g_H\) is a Cartan subspace of \(m_H \subset g_H\) and the space \(a \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h)\) is a Cartan subalgebra of \(g_H\).

For each \(\lambda \in \Sigma^+\) and \(g \in D_a \subset \exp a\) we have that \(\text{Ad}_g(m_\lambda \oplus \mathfrak{t}_\lambda) = m_\lambda \oplus \mathfrak{t}_\lambda\) because \(\text{Ad}_{\exp v} = e^{ad_v}\).

The set
\[
\Sigma_H = \{ \lambda \in \Sigma_h : \text{Ad}_g \mid m_\lambda \oplus \mathfrak{t}_\lambda = \text{Id}_{m_\lambda \oplus \mathfrak{t}_\lambda} \text{ for all } g \in D_a \}
\]
is the set of restricted roots of the triple \((g_H, \mathfrak{t}_H, a)\). By (3.11) each element \(\lambda \in \Sigma_H \subset \Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma\) has multiplicity 1 as an element of \(\Sigma\), that is, \(\dim m_\lambda = \dim \mathfrak{t}_\lambda = 1\).

The following decompositions are direct and orthogonal:
\[
m_H = a \oplus \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+} m_\lambda, \quad \mathfrak{t}_H = \mathfrak{z}(h) \oplus \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{t}_\lambda.
\]

**Remark 3.1.** Put \(m_H^+ = \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+} m_\lambda\) and \(\mathfrak{t}_H^+ = \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{t}_\lambda\). Consider the orthogonal decompositions:
\[
m^+ = m_H^+ \oplus m_H^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t}^+ = \mathfrak{t}_H^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}_H^+,
\]
where \(m_H^+ = \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+} m_\lambda\) and \(\mathfrak{t}_H^+ = \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{t}_\lambda\). Since the decompositions
\[
g_H = a \oplus m_H^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}_H^+ \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h), \quad g = a \oplus m_H^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}_H^+ \oplus m_H^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}_H^+ \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h) = g_H \oplus (m_H^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}_H^+) \oplus [h, h]
\]
are orthogonal and \([g_H, h] = 0\), one has that \(g_H \oplus [h, h]\) is a subalgebra of \(g\).

Moreover, because of its definition, \(T(m_\lambda) = \mathfrak{t}_\lambda, \quad T(\mathfrak{t}_\lambda) = m_\lambda\) for all restricted roots \(\lambda \in \Sigma^+, \) we obtain that
\[
T(m_H^+) = \mathfrak{t}_H^+, \quad T(\mathfrak{t}_H^+) = m_H^+ \quad \text{and} \quad T(m_H^+) = \mathfrak{t}_H^+, \quad T(\mathfrak{t}_H^+) = m_H^+.
\]

Fix in each subspace \(m_\lambda, \lambda \in \Sigma^+, \) some basis \(\{ \xi^j_{\lambda}, j = 1, \ldots, m_\lambda \}\), orthonormal with respect to the form \((\cdot, \cdot)\). In the case when \(\lambda \in \Sigma_0 \cap \Sigma^+, \lambda = 1\) we have a unique vector \(\xi^1_{\lambda}\).

As we remarked above, for each \(\lambda \in \Sigma^+\) there exists a unique basis \(\{ \xi^j_{\lambda}, j = 1, \ldots, m_\lambda \}\) of \(\mathfrak{t}_\lambda\) such that for each pair \(\{ \xi^j_{\lambda}, \xi^j_{\lambda}, j = 1, \ldots, m_\lambda \}\), condition (3.5) holds. The basis \(\{ \xi^j_{\lambda}, j = 1, \ldots, m_\lambda \}, \lambda \in \Sigma^+, \) of \(\mathfrak{t}_\lambda\), is also orthonormal due to the orthogonality of the operator \(T\) (see (3.6)). Fix also some orthonormal basis \(\{ \xi^k_{\lambda}, k = 1, \ldots, \dim h \}\) of the centralizer \(h\) of \(a\) in \(\mathfrak{t}\). We will use the orthonormal basis
\[
X, \xi^j_{\lambda}, \xi^j_{\lambda}, j = 1, \ldots, m_\lambda, \lambda \in \Sigma^+; \xi^k_{\lambda}, k = 1, \ldots, \dim h,
\]
of the algebra \(g\) in our calculations below.
3.2. **The canonical complex structure on** $G/H \times W^+ \cong G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$. By definition (3.7) of the group $H$, the map

$$K/H \times W^+ \to m^R, \quad (kH, w) \mapsto \text{Ad}_k w,$$

is a well-defined diffeomorphism because, recall, $W^+ = \mathbb{R}^+X$ and $m^R = m \setminus \{0\}$. Thus the map

$$f^+ : G/H \times W^+ \to G \times_K m^R, \quad (gH, w) \mapsto [(g, w)],$$

is a well-defined $G$-equivariant diffeomorphism of $G/H \times W^+$ onto the subset $D^+ = G \times_K m^R$, which is an open dense subset of $G \times_K m$.

It is clear that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times W^+ & \overset{\text{id}}{\longrightarrow} & G \times m^R \\
\downarrow \pi_H \times \text{id} & & \downarrow \pi_H \times \text{id} \\
G/H \times W^+ & \overset{f^+}{\longrightarrow} & G \times_K m^R
\end{array} \quad (3.16)
$$

where $\pi_H : G \to G/H$ is the canonical projection, is commutative.

Denote by $\xi^L$ the left $G$-invariant vector field on $G$ corresponding to $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. The submersion (projection) $\pi : G \times m \to G \times_K m$ is (left) $G$-equivariant. Therefore, the kernel $\mathcal{N} \subset T(G \times m)$ of the tangent map $\pi_* : T(G \times m) \to T(G \times_K m)$ is generated by the global (left) $G$-invariant vector fields $\xi^L$, for $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, on $G \times m$,

$$\xi^L(g, w) = (\xi^L(g), [w, \xi]) \in T_g G \times T_w m, \quad (3.17)$$

where the tangent space $T_w m$ is canonically identified with the space $m$.

To describe the $G$-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on $T(G/K)$ associated to the canonical complex structure $J^K_c$, we first attempt to describe such metrics on the punctured tangent bundle $T^+(G/K) \overset{\text{def}}{=} T(G/K) \setminus \{\text{zero section}\}$ of $G/K$. It is clear that $T^+(G/K) = \phi(G \times_K m^R)$ and therefore

$$T^+(G/K) = (\phi \circ f^+)(G/H \times W^+),$$

that is, $T^+(G/K)$ is $G$-equivariantly isomorphic to the direct product $G/H \times W^+$, where the action of the group $G$ on the first component is the natural one and that on the second component is the trivial one (see the commutative diagram (3.16)). This $G$-equivariant diffeomorphism determines a $G$-invariant complex structure on $G/H \times W^+$, which we denote also by $J^K_c$.

Note also here that the tangent space $T_o(G/H)$ at $o = \{H\} \in G/H$ can be identified naturally with the space $m \oplus \mathfrak{t}^+ = \mathfrak{a} \oplus m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}^+$, because by definition $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^+$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of the group $H$.

Considering the coordinate $x$ on $W^+ = \mathbb{R}^+X$ associated with the basis vector $X$ of $\mathfrak{a}$, we identify naturally $W^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathbb{R}^+$ replacing $w = xX$ by $x$:

$$G/H \times W^+ \to G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (gH, xX) \mapsto (gH, x).$$
By the $G$-invariance it suffices to describe the operators $J^K_c$ only at the points $(o,x) \in G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$, where $o = \{H\}$. By [8 (4.47)],

$$J^K_c(o,x)(X,0) = \left(0, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right),$$

(3.18)

$$J^K_c(o,x)(\xi^j,0) = \left(-\frac{\cosh \lambda_x^j}{\sinh \lambda_x^j}, \zeta^j,0\right), \quad j = 1,\ldots,m_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma^+,$$

where $\lambda_x^j = \lambda'(xX) \in \mathbb{R}$, that is, $\lambda_x^j = x$ if $\lambda = \epsilon$ and $\lambda_x^j = \frac{1}{2}x$ if $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. Here $T_o(G/H)$ is identified naturally with the space $a \oplus \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} m_\lambda \oplus \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{t}_\lambda$, $a = \mathbb{R}X$, and, in the first equation, we use naturally the usual basis vector $\{\partial/\partial x\}$ of $T_o \mathbb{R}^+$.

The second relation in (3.18) can be represented in a more general form (see [8 (4.27)]):

$$J^K_c(o,x)(\xi,0) = \left(-\frac{\cos \text{ad}_{xX}\xi}{\sin \text{ad}_{xX}\xi}, \zeta,0\right), \quad \text{where } \zeta \in m^+.$$

Let $F = F(J^K_c)$ be the subbundle of $(1,0)$-vectors of the structure $J^K_c$ on the manifold $G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Since the map $\pi_H \times \text{id}: G \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$ is a submersion, there exists a unique maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{F}$ of $T_G(G \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $(\pi_H \times \text{id})_* \mathcal{F} = F$. As shown in [8 (4.28),(4.29)], $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by the kernel $\mathcal{H}$ of the submersion $\pi_H \times \text{id}$,

$$\mathcal{H}(g,x) = \{(\xi^j(g),0), \xi \in \mathfrak{h}\}, \quad g \in G, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

and the left $G$-invariant global vector fields on $G \times \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$Z^X(g,x) = \left(X^j(g), -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right),$$

$$Z^{\xi^j}(g,x) = \left(\left(\frac{1}{\cosh \lambda_x^j}, \zeta^j \pm i \frac{-1}{\sinh \lambda_x^j}, \xi^j\right)^j(g),0\right),$$

where $j = 1,\ldots,m_\lambda, \lambda \in \Sigma^+$.

To simplify calculations in the next subsection, for the vector fields of the second family we will use a more general expression

$$Z^\xi(g,x) = \left((R_x \xi - iS_x \xi)^j(g),0\right), \quad \xi \in m^+,$$

in terms of the two operator-functions $R: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \text{End}(g)$ and $S: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \text{End}(g)$ on the set $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$R_x \eta = \frac{1}{\cos \text{ad}_{xX}\eta} \eta \quad \text{if } \eta \in m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}^+, \quad R_x \eta = 0 \quad \text{if } \eta \in a \oplus \mathfrak{h},$$

$$S_x \eta = \frac{-1}{\sin \text{ad}_{xX}\eta} \eta \quad \text{if } \eta \in m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}^+, \quad S_x \eta = 0 \quad \text{if } \eta \in a \oplus \mathfrak{h},$$

where, recall, $xX \in W^+ \subset a$. Remark also that $\frac{1}{\cos \text{ad}_{xX}\eta} \eta = \eta$ if $\eta \in a \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ but $R_x \eta = 0$ in this case. Since the operator $\text{ad}_{xX}$ is skew-symmetric with respect to the scalar product on $g$. 

each operator \( R_x \) is symmetric and \( S_x \) is skew-symmetric:

\[
\langle R_x \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \eta_1, R_x \eta_2 \rangle, \quad \langle S_x \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \eta_1, -S_x \eta_2 \rangle, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathfrak{g}.
\]

Moreover, since \( xX \in W^+ \subset \mathfrak{a} \), the restrictions \( R_x|_{m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}^+} \) and \( S_x|_{m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}^+} \) are nondegenerate and by Remark [5.1] the following relations hold:

\[
R_x(m_s^+) = m_s^+, \quad R_x(t_s^+) = t_s^+, \quad S_x(m_s^+) = t_s^+, \quad S_x(t_s^+) = m_s^+, \quad s \in \{H, \ast\}.
\]

It is clear also that

\[
R_x|_{m_\lambda \oplus t_\lambda} = \frac{1}{\cosh \lambda} \text{Id}_{m_\lambda \oplus t_\lambda}, \quad S_x|_{m_\lambda \oplus t_\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sinh \lambda} T|_{m_\lambda \oplus t_\lambda}
\]

for all \( \lambda \in \Sigma^+ \), and \([R_x, T] = [S_x, T] = 0\) on \( m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{t}^+ \) for all \( xX \in W^+ \), where, recall, the operator \( T \) is defined by the expression (3.6).

### 3.3. Invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on \( G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \)

Let \( \mathcal{K}(G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \{(g, \omega, J^K)\} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{R}(G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \{(g, \omega, J^K)\} \)) be the set of all \( G \)-invariant Kähler (resp. Ricci-flat Kähler) structures on \( G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \), identified also with the set \( \mathcal{K}(T^+(G/K)) \) (resp. \( \mathcal{R}(T^+(G/K)) \)) of all \( G \)-invariant Kähler (resp. Ricci-flat Kähler) structures on the open dense subset \( T^+(G/K) \) of \( T(G/K) \), associated with \( J^K \), via the \( G \)-equivariant diffeomorphism \( \phi \circ f^+: G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to T^+(G/K) \) \((\mathbb{R}^+ \cong W^+)\).

Put

\[
\{T_1, \ldots, T_n\} = \{Z^X \} \cup \{Z^{\Sigma^+}_j, \lambda \in \Sigma^+, j = 1, \ldots, m_\lambda \}.
\]

The following theorem is Theorem 4.8 from [8] (adapted to the rank one case) which describes the spaces \( \mathcal{K}(G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) and \( \mathcal{R}(G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) in terms of invariant forms on the space \( G \times \mathbb{R}^+ \):

**Theorem 3.2.** [8] Let \( \mathcal{K}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \{\tilde{\omega}\} \) be the set of all 2-forms \( \tilde{\omega} \) on \( G \times \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that

1. the form \( \tilde{\omega} \) is closed;
2. the form \( \tilde{\omega} \) is left \( G \)-invariant and right \( H \)-invariant;
3. the kernel of \( \tilde{\omega} \) coincides with the subbundle \( \mathcal{H} \subset T(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) in (3.19);
4. \( \tilde{\omega}(T_j, T_k) = 0, \ j, k = 1, \ldots, n \);
5. \( i \tilde{\omega}(T, \bar{T}) > 0 \) for each \( T = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j T_j \), where \( (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} \).

Let \( \mathcal{R}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \{\tilde{\omega}\} \) be the subset of the set \( \mathcal{K}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \{\tilde{\omega}\} \) consisting of all elements \( \tilde{\omega} \) such that the following condition holds (in addition):

6. \( \det(\tilde{\omega}(T_j, \bar{T}_k)) = \text{const} \) on \( G \times \mathbb{R}^+ \).

Then (i) For any 2-form \( \tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{K}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) there exists a unique 2-form \( \omega \) on \( G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \cong T^+(G/K) \) such that \( (\pi_H \times \text{id})^* \omega = \tilde{\omega} \). The map \( \tilde{\omega} \mapsto \omega \) is a one-to-one map from \( \mathcal{K}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) onto \( \mathcal{K}(G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+) \cong \mathcal{K}(T^+(G/K)) \).

(ii) If the group \( G \) is semisimple then the restriction of this map to \( \mathcal{R}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) is a one-to-one map from \( \mathcal{R}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) onto \( \mathcal{R}(G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+) \cong \mathcal{R}(T^+(G/K)) \).
Remark 3.3. Note that condition (5) of the previous theorem is equivalent to the following condition: the Hermitian matrix-function \( w(x) \) on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) with entries \( w_{jk}(x) = i\bar{\omega}(T_j, \overline{T_k})(e,x) \), \( j,k = 1, \ldots, n \), is positive-definite.

To prove that a Kähler structure on \( T^+(G/K) \) admits a Kähler extension to the whole \( T(G/K) \) we will use Corollary 4.10 from [S] (adapted to the rank one case):

Corollary 3.4. [S] Let \( \omega \in \mathcal{K}(G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) and \( \bar{\omega} = (\pi_H \times \text{id})^* \omega \). Then \( \omega = ((\phi \circ f^+)^{-1})^* \omega \in \mathcal{K}(T^+(G/K)) \). Suppose that there exists a smooth form (extension) \( \omega_\theta \) on the whole tangent bundle \( T(G/K) \) such that \( \omega_\theta = \omega \) on \( T^+(G/K) \). Then the form \( \omega_\theta \) determines a \( G \)-invariant Kähler structure on \( T(G/K) \) (associated to the canonical complex structure \( J^K \)) if and only if for some sequence \( x_m \in \mathbb{R}^+, m \in \mathbb{N} \), such that \( \lim_{m \to \infty} x_m = 0 \), the Hermitian matrix \( \omega(0) \) with entries \( w_{jk}(0) = \lim_{m \to \infty} w_{jk}(x_m) = \lim_{m \to \infty} i\bar{\omega}(T_j, \overline{T_k})(e,x_m), j,k = 1, \ldots, n \), is positive-definite.

3.4. General description of the space \( \mathcal{R}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \). For any vector \( a \in \mathfrak{g} \), denote by \( \theta^a \) the left \( G \)-invariant 1-form on the group \( G \) such that \( \theta^a(\xi^l) = \langle a, \xi \rangle \). Since \( r^K_\xi \theta^a = \theta^a \text{Ad}_a, \) where \( g \in G \), the form \( \theta^a \) is right \( H \)-invariant if and only if \( \text{Ad}_h a = a \) for all \( h \in H \subset G \). Because
\[
d\theta^a(\xi^l, \eta^l) = -\theta^a([\xi^l, \eta^l]) = -\langle a, [\xi, \eta] \rangle,
\]
the \( G \)-invariant form \( \theta^a \) on \( G \)
\[
\omega^a(\xi^l, \eta^l) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \langle a, [\xi, \eta] \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g},
\]
is a closed 2-form on \( G \).

Let \( \text{pr}_1 : G \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to G \) and \( \text{pr}_2 : G \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) be the natural projections. Choosing some orthonormal basis \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_N\} \) of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \), where \( e_1 = X \), put \( \bar{\theta}^a \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{pr}_1^*(\theta^a) \) and \( \bar{\omega}^{ek} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{pr}_2^*(\omega^{ek}) \). For any vector-function \( a : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathfrak{g}, a(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha^k(x)e_k \), denote by \( \bar{\theta}^a \) (resp. \( \bar{\omega}^a \)) the \( G \)-invariant 1-form \( \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha^k \cdot \bar{\theta}^a \) (resp. 2-form \( \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \alpha^k \cdot \bar{\omega}^a \)).

The following theorem [S] Theorem 5.1] (adapted to the rank one case) describes the spaces \( \mathcal{K}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) and \( \mathcal{R}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \) in terms of some \( \mathbb{R}^+ \)-parameter family of exact 1-forms on the Lie group \( G \):

Theorem 3.5. [S] Let \( \bar{\omega} \) be a 2-form belonging to \( \mathcal{K}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \), where the compact Lie group \( G \) is semisimple. Then there exists a unique (up to a real constant) smooth function \( f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto f(x) \), and a unique smooth vector-function \( a : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathfrak{g}_H \) given by
\[
a(x) = a^a(x) + z_\theta + \beta^x(x) + \alpha^m(x), \quad \text{where} \quad a^a(x) = f'(x)X, \quad z_\theta \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}),
\]
\[
a^\ell(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+} \frac{e^{\frac{\ell}{\lambda}}}{\cosh \lambda(xX)} \xi^\ell_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{t}^+_H, \quad a^m(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+} \frac{e^{m\frac{\ell}{\lambda}}}{\sinh \lambda(xX)} \xi^m_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{m}^+_H
\]
\[
c^\ell_{\lambda}, c^m_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{such that} \quad \bar{\omega} \text{ is the exact form expressed in terms of } a \text{ as}
\]
\[
\bar{\omega} = d\theta^a = dx \wedge \bar{\theta}^a - \bar{\omega}^a, \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{\theta}^a = \frac{\partial a}{\partial x},
\]
Moreover, for all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following conditions (1)–(3) hold:

(1) the components $a^x(x) + z_h$ and $a^m(x)$ of the vector-function $a(x)$ in (3.21) satisfy the commutation relations

\[
(R_x \cdot \text{ad}_{a^x(x)} \cdot R_x + S_x \cdot \text{ad}_{a^m(x)} \cdot S_x + (R_x^2 + S_x^2) \cdot \text{ad}_z)(m^+) = 0,
\]

\[
(R_x \cdot \text{ad}_{a^m(x)} \cdot S_x - S_x \cdot \text{ad}_{a^m(x)} \cdot R_x)(m^+) = 0;
\]

moreover, if $G/K$ is an irreducible Riemannian symmetric space and $a^x(x) \equiv 0$, then $z_h = 0$;

(2) the Hermitian $p \times p$-matrix-function $w_H(x) = (w_{k,j}(x))$, $p = \dim m_H = 1 + \text{card}(\Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+)$, with indices $k, j \in \{1\} \cup \{1, \lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+\}$ and entries

\[
w_{11}(x) = 2 f''(x),
\]

\[
w_{1\lambda}(x) = 2\lambda'(X) \left( i \frac{c^x}{\cosh^2 \lambda'} - \frac{c^m}{\sinh^2 \lambda'} \right), \ \lambda \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+,
\]

\[
w_{1\lambda\mu}(x), \ \lambda, \mu \in \Sigma_H \cap \Sigma^+, \text{ determined by (3.24),}
\]

is positive-definite;

(3) if $m^+_* \neq 0$ then the Hermitian $s \times s$-matrix $w_*(x) = (w_{j,k}(x))$, where $s = \dim m_* = \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+ \setminus \Sigma_H} m_\lambda$, with indices $j, k \in \{1, \lambda \in \Sigma^+ \setminus \Sigma_H, j = 1, \ldots, m_\lambda\}$ and entries

\[
w_{j,k}(x) = -\frac{2i}{\sinh \lambda' \sinh \mu'} \langle (\text{ad}_{a^x(x)+z_h}) \xi_j^\mu, \xi_k^\mu \rangle
\]

\[
-\frac{2}{\cosh \lambda' \sinh \mu'} \langle (\text{ad}_{a^m(x)+z_h}) \xi_j^\mu, \xi_k^\mu \rangle
\]

is positive-definite.

If in addition

(4) either $\det w_H(x) \cdot \det w_*(x) = \text{const}$ when $m^+_* \neq 0$ or $\det w_H(x) \equiv \text{const}$ otherwise, then $\tilde{w} \in \mathcal{R}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+)$.  

Conversely, any 2-form as in (3.22) determined by a vector-function $a: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathfrak{g}_H$ as in (3.21) for which conditions (1)–(3) hold, belongs to $\mathcal{K}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ and if in addition (4) holds, it belongs to $\mathcal{R}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+)$.  

Also Theorem 3.2 immediately implies

**Corollary 3.6.** Let $G/K$ be a rank-one Riemannian symmetric space of compact type. Each $G$-invariant Kähler metric $g$, associated with the canonical complex structure $J^K_*$ on $G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \cong T^+(G/K)$ ($T^+(G/K)$ is an open dense subset of $T(G/K)$), is uniquely determined by the Kähler form $\omega(\cdot,\cdot) = g(-J^K_* \cdot,\cdot)$ on $G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$(\pi_H \times \text{id})^* \omega = d\tilde{\omega}^a,$$
where \( a \) is the unique smooth vector-function \( a: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow g_H \) in (3.21) satisfying conditions (1)–(3) of Theorem 3.5. If, in addition, condition (4) of Theorem 3.5 holds, this metric \( g \) is Ricci-flat.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let \( \omega \) be a \( G \)-invariant symplectic form on \( G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that \( (\pi_H \times \text{id})^* \omega = d\theta^a \), where \( a: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow a, a(x) = f'(x)X \), for some function \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}) \). Then the pair \( (\omega, J^K) \) is a Kähler structure on \( G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \) (equivalently \( (\pi_H \times \text{id})^* \omega \in \mathcal{K}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \)) if and only if \( f''(x) > 0 \) and \( f''(x) > 0 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). In this case, the \( G \)-invariant function \( Q: G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, Q(gH, x) = 2f(x), \) is a potential function of the Kähler structure \( (\omega, J^K) \) on \( G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \).

The Kähler structure \( (\omega, J^K) \) with \( G \)-invariant potential function \( Q \) is Ricci-flat Kähler (equivalently \( (\pi_H \times \text{id})^* \omega \in \mathcal{R}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+) \)) if and only if

\[
f'' \cdot \prod_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} \left( \frac{2\lambda'(a)}{\sinh 2\lambda'(a)} \right)^m_{\lambda} = f'' \cdot \left( \frac{2f'}{\sinh(2f')} \right)^m_{e} \left( \frac{f'}{\sinh(f')} \right)^m_{e/2} \equiv \text{const.}
\]

4. **COMPLETE INVARIANT RICCI-FLAT KAHLER METRICS ON TANGENT BUNDLES OF RANK-ONE RIEMANNIAN SYMMETRIC SPACES OF COMPACT TYPE**

Let \( g \) be a compact Lie algebra and let \( \sigma, \ell, m, a, X \in a, \Sigma, \text{etc.} \) be as in Section 3. We continue with the previous notations but in this section it is assumed in addition that the subgroup \( K \) is connected.

In this Section using Theorem 3.5 we describe all invariant Ricci-flat Kähler structures on the tangent bundles of the spaces under study, in terms of explicit expressions of the corresponding vector-valued functions \( a \).

To this end we give with more detail the facts concerning the case \( G/K = \mathbb{C}P^n (n \geq 1) \). These spaces are Hermitian symmetric spaces and therefore we will review a few facts about them [9] Ch. XVII, §§4–7. The compact Lie subalgebra \( \ell \) of the semisimple Lie algebra \( g = su(n+1) \) is the direct sum \( \ell = 3 \oplus [\ell, \ell] \) of the one-dimensional center \( 3 \) and the semisimple ideal \( [\ell, \ell] \cong su(n) \). The subalgebra \( \ell \) coincides with the centralizer of \( 3 \) in \( g \). Here \( su(n+1) \) denotes the space of traceless skew-Hermitian \( (n+1) \times (n+1) \) complex matrices and \( \ell = \{ (b_{jk}) \in su(n+1) : b_{1j} = b_{j1} = 0, j = 2, \ldots, n+1 \} \). Fix on \( g = su(n+1) \) the invariant trace-form given by \( \langle B_1, B_2 \rangle = -2 \text{tr}B_1B_2, B_1, B_2 \in su(n+1) \). There exists a unique (up to a sign) element \( Z_0 \in \ell \) such that the endomorphism \( I = \text{ad}Z_0 \big|_m : m \rightarrow m \) satisfies \( I^2 = -\text{Id}_m \). Choose \( Z_0 \) as

\[
Z_0 = \text{diag}(ib_0, i(b_0-1), \ldots, i(b_0-1)), \quad b_0 = n/(n+1).
\]

By the invariance of the form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( g \), the form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \big|_m \) is \( I \)-invariant. Moreover, by the Jacobi identity,

\[
[I_\xi, I_\eta] = [\xi, \eta], \quad I[I_\xi, \eta] = [I_\xi, I_\eta] \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in m, \xi \in \ell.
\]

Denote by \( E_{jk} \) the elementary \( (n+1) \times (n+1) \) matrix whose entries are 0 except for 1 at the entry in the \( j \)th row and \( k \)th column. Choose as basis vector \( X \in a \) the matrix \( X = \frac{1}{2}E_{12} - \frac{1}{2}E_{21} \in m \subseteq su(n+1) \). We will show below (using direct matrix calculations) that this
choice is consistent with the notation of the previous sections, i.e. in this case $\langle X, X \rangle = 1$ and
the restricted root system $\Sigma$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, a)$ coincides with the set $\{ \pm \varepsilon \}$ if $n = 1$ and $\{ \pm \varepsilon, \pm \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \}$ if $n \geq 2$. The center $\mathfrak{z}(h)$ of the centralizer $h = \mathfrak{g}_X \cap \mathfrak{k}$ of $X \in a$ in $\mathfrak{k}$ is trivial for $n = 1$ and one-dimensional for $n \geq 2$ (see Table 3.1). It is easy to verify that $\mathfrak{z}(h) = \mathbb{R}Z_1$, where

\begin{equation}
(4.3) \quad Z_1 = \text{diag}(ib_1, ib_1, i(b_1 - 1), \ldots, i(b_1 - 1)), \quad b_1 = (n - 1)/(n + 1), \quad n \geq 2.
\end{equation}

Note that $Z_1 = 0$ for $n = 1$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $G/K$ be a rank-one Riemannian symmetric space of compact type with $K$ connected. A 2-form $\omega$ on the punctured tangent bundle $T^+(G/K)$ of $G/K$ determines a $G$-invariant Kähler structure, associated to the canonical complex structure $J^K$, and the corresponding metric $g = \omega(J^K \cdot, \cdot)$ is Ricci-flat, if and only if the 2-form $\tilde{\omega} = ((\phi \circ f^+) \circ (\pi_H \times \text{id})) \omega$ on $G \times \mathbb{R}^+$ may be expressed as $\tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{\theta}^a$, where

\begin{enumerate}
\item for $G/K \in \{ \mathbb{S}^n(n \geq 3), \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^n(n \geq 1), \mathbb{C}a\mathbb{P}^2 \}$ the vector-function $a(x) = f'(x)X$, where
\begin{equation}
(4.4) \quad (f'(x))^{m_\varepsilon + m_{\varepsilon/2} + 1} = C \cdot \int_{0}^{x} (\sinh 2t)^{m_\varepsilon}(\sinh t)^{m_{\varepsilon/2}}dt + C_1,
\end{equation}
$C, C_1 \in \mathbb{R}, C > 0, C_1 \geq 0$;
\item for $G/K \in \{ \mathbb{C}P^n(n \geq 1) \}$ the vector-function is
\begin{equation}
(4.5) \quad a(x) = f'(x)X + \frac{cz}{\cosh x}[IX, X] - \frac{1}{2}c_ZZ_1,
\end{equation}
where $c_Z$ is an arbitrary real number and
\begin{equation}
(4.6) \quad f'(x) = \sqrt{(C^n\sinh^{2n}x + C_1)^{1/n} + c_Z^2\sinh^2xcosh^{-2}x},
\end{equation}
$C, C_1 \in \mathbb{R}, C > 0, C_1 \geq 0$.
\end{enumerate}

The corresponding $G$-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metric $g = g(C, C_1, c_Z)$ on $T^+(G/K)$ is uniquely extendable to a smooth complete metric on the whole tangent bundle $T(G/K)$ if and only if $C_1 = 0$ (that is, $\lim_{x \to 0} f'(x) = 0$).

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.5 we have to describe all vector-functions $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathfrak{g}_H$ satisfying conditions (1)–(4) of that theorem. Then the 2-form $\tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{\theta}^a$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{R}(G \times \mathbb{R}^+)$. We consider the following two cases:

\begin{enumerate}
\item $G/K \in \{ \mathbb{S}^n(n \geq 3), \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^n(n \geq 1), \mathbb{C}a\mathbb{P}^2 \}$. In this case by (3.15) $g_H = g_0 = a$. One gets that $m_H = a$ and $\mathfrak{t}_H = 0$. Then $a(x) = f'(x)X, x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let us describe the Hermitian matrix-functions $w_H(x)$ and $w_\varepsilon(x)$ from Theorem 3.5. As it is easily seen, the first matrix $w_H(x)$ contains a unique element $w_{11}(x) = 2f''(x)$ and the second one, $w_\varepsilon(x)$, is diagonal with elements
\begin{equation}
(4.6) \quad w_{e^{1/2}e/2}(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{\cosh x\cdot \sinh \frac{x}{2}}, \quad w_{e/2e/2}(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{\cosh \frac{x}{2} \cdot \sinh \frac{1}{2} x}.
\end{equation}
\end{enumerate}
where \( j = 1, \ldots, m_e \) and \( k = 1, \ldots, m_e/2 \). These matrices are positive definite if and only if 
\[ f'(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f''(x) > 0 \] 
for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). Hence the vector-function \( a : \mathbb{R}^+ \to a \) satisfies conditions (1)—(4) of Theorem 5.3 (see also Corollary 5.7) if and only if
\[ f'(x) > 0, \quad f''(x) > 0, \quad f''(x) \cdot \left( \frac{f'(x)}{\cosh x \sinh x} \right)^m \left( \frac{f'(x)}{\cosh x \sinh x} \right)^{m_e/2} \equiv \text{const}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+. \]

It is clear that the unique possible solution of these equations is of form (4.4).

(2) \( G/K = \mathbb{C}P^n (n \geq 2) \). Theorem 3.5 was shown for \( G/K = \mathbb{C}P^1 \cong S^2 \) in our paper [8] Theorem 6.1. Therefore in this proof we will suppose that \( n \geq 2 \). Since we have chosen the matrix \( X = \frac{1}{2}E_{12} - \frac{1}{2}E_{21} \in \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{su}(n + 1) \) as the basis vector \( X \in \mathfrak{a} \), it follows that
\[
Y \overset{\text{def}}{=} [X_0, X] = \frac{1}{2}E_{12} + \frac{1}{2}E_{21} \in \mathfrak{m}
\]
\[
Z \overset{\text{def}}{=} [IX, X] = -\frac{1}{2}E_{11} + \frac{1}{2}E_{22} \in \mathfrak{h}.
\]

It is easy to verify that the set \( \{X, Y, Z\} \) is an orthonormal system of vectors in \( \mathfrak{g} \) and
\[
[X, Y] = -Z, \quad [X, Z] = Y, \quad [Z, Y] = X,
\]
i.e. the vectors \( \{X, Y, Z\} \) form a canonical basis of the Lie algebra isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \).

By (4.8), \( \text{ad}_X^2 (IX) = -IX \) and as it is easy to verify, \( \text{ad}_X^2 (\xi) = -\frac{1}{2}\xi \) for any vector \( \xi \) from the set of vectors
\[
\xi^{2j-1}_{e/2} = \frac{1}{2}E_{12(j+1)} - \frac{1}{2}E_{21(j+1)}, \quad \xi^{2j}_{e/2} = \frac{1}{2}E_{12(j+1)} + \frac{1}{2}E_{21(j+1)}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n-1.
\]

Defining the restricted root \( \varepsilon \in (\mathfrak{a}^C)^* \) by the relation \( \varepsilon'(X) = 1 \) (\( \varepsilon(X) = i \)), we obtain that \( m_e = \mathbb{R}^{(IX)} \) and that the set (4.9) is an orthonormal basis of the space \( m_{e/2} \) of dimension \( 2n - 2 \) (the orthogonal complement to \( \mathfrak{a} \oplus m_e \) in \( \mathfrak{m} \)). Moreover, \( IX^{2j-1}_{e/2} = IX^{2j}_{e/2} \) for each \( j = 1, \ldots, n-1 \). Therefore \( \Sigma^+ = \{ \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \} \) \( (n \geq 2) \).

Let us calculate the subalgebras \( \mathfrak{g}_h \) and \( \mathfrak{g}_H \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \) determined by relations (3.8) and (3.9). By (3.10) the space \( \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h) \), where \( \mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{C}X \) and \( \mathfrak{z}(h) = \mathbb{C}Z_1 \), is a Cartan subalgebra of the algebra \( \mathfrak{g}_h \). Since \( \mathfrak{z}(h) \) belongs to the center of \( \mathfrak{g}_h \) we see that rank \( \mathfrak{g}_h, \mathfrak{g}_h \) \( \leq \dim \mathfrak{a} = 1 \), that is, \( \mathfrak{g}_h \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h) \) if the algebra \( \mathfrak{g}_h \) is not commutative.

Since by definition \( [X, h] = 0 \) and \( h \subseteq \mathfrak{h} \), by (4.2) one gets that \( [IX, h] = 0 \), that is, \( IX \in \mathfrak{g}_h \). By (4.8), the subalgebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) generated by the vectors \( X \) and \( IX = Y \) is not commutative. Thus \( \mathfrak{g}_h \) is not commutative and, consequently, \( \mathfrak{g}_h \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{z}(h) \). The vectors \( \{X, Y, Z, Z_1\} \) form an orthonormal basis of \( \mathfrak{g}_h \). Therefore \( \Sigma_h = \{ \pm \varepsilon \} \).

Let us find now the algebra \( \mathfrak{g}_H \). The finite group \( D_n \) defined by relation (5.13) is given by
\[
D_n = \{ \exp tX : \exp tX = \exp(-tX) \} \cap \mathcal{K} = \{ \exp 4\pi X, \exp 2\pi X \} = \{ \text{diag}(1, \ldots, 1), \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1, \ldots, 1) \}.
\]

It is clear that the group \( \text{Ad}(D_n) \) acts trivially on the space generated by the vectors \( X, Y, Z \) and \( Z_1 \). Therefore by (5.14) we have that \( \mathfrak{g}_H = \mathfrak{g}_h \) and, consequently, \( \Sigma_H = \Sigma_h = \{ \pm \varepsilon \} \). Note that \( D_n \subset H_0 \cong U(1) \times SU(n-1), U(1) \cong \{ \exp tZ_1, t \in \mathbb{R} \} \), i.e. the subgroup \( H \) is connected.
Using properties (4.2) of the automorphism $I$, we can describe the actions of the operators $\text{ad}_Y$ and $\text{ad}_Z$ on $m \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ in terms of the operators $I$ and $\text{ad}_X$. Specifically, for any vectors $\xi \in m$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, we have

\begin{align*}
(4.10) & \quad [Y, \xi] = [IX, \xi] \quad \text{and} \quad [-X, I\xi] = -\text{ad}_X I\xi, \\
(4.11) & \quad [Y, \xi] = [IX, \xi] \quad \text{and} \quad I[X, \xi] = I\text{ad}_X \xi.
\end{align*}

Similarly, for $Z = [Y, X]$, using the Jacobi identity and relations (4.10), (4.11) we obtain that

\begin{align*}
(4.12) & \quad [Z, \xi] = [Y, [X, \xi]] - [X, Y, \xi]] = I\text{ad}_X^2 \xi + \text{ad}_X \text{ad}_Y \xi, \\
(4.13) & \quad [Z, \xi] = [Y, [X, \xi]] - [X, Y, \xi]] = -2 \text{ad}_X I\text{ad}_Y \xi.
\end{align*}

From the definitions of $Z_0$ and $Z_1$ in (4.1) and (4.3), respectively, it follows that $\text{ad}_{Z_0} |_{m_{1/2}} = \text{ad}_{Z_1} |_{m_{1/2}}$. Moreover, since $b_1 - 1 = 2(b_0 - 1)$, then from (4.1) and (4.7) we obtain that $Z_1 - 2Z_0 = 2Z$. In other words,

\begin{equation}
(4.14) \quad \text{ad}_{Z_1} |_{m_{1/2}} = I |_{m_{1/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad Z - \frac{1}{2} Z_1 = -Z_0.
\end{equation}

The operator-functions in (3.20) are given here by

\begin{align*}
(4.15) & \quad R_X |_{m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}} = \frac{1}{\cosh x} \text{Id}_{m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}}, \quad S_X |_{m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}} = \frac{1}{\sinh x} \text{ad}_X |_{m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}}, \\
(4.16) & \quad R_X |_{m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}} = \frac{1}{\cosh x} \text{Id}_{m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}}, \quad S_X |_{m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}} = \frac{2}{\sinh x} \text{ad}_X |_{m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}}.
\end{align*}

Put $\xi_{1/2} = Y \in m_{1/2}$. With the notation of the previous subsection, $\xi_{1/2} = Z \in \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}$. Now we have to verify conditions (1)–(4) of Theorem 3.5 for the vector-function

\begin{equation}
(4.17) \quad \text{a}(x) = a_0(x) + a_1(x) + a_m(x) + z_0 = f^0(x)X + cz_0 \varphi(x)Z + c_0 \psi(x)Y + c_1 Z_1,
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation*}
\begin{aligned}
f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}), \quad \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\cosh x}, \quad \psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sinh x}, \quad c, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation*}

Consider now the first condition in (3.23). We have the splitting $m^+ = m_{1/2} \oplus m_{1/2}$. Taking into account that by its definition $[\mathfrak{b}(h), \mathfrak{g}(h)] = 0$ and $m_{1/2} = \mathbb{R}Y \subset \mathfrak{g}_h$, using relations (4.15), we can rewrite the first condition in (3.23) for the vector $Y = \xi_{1/2}$ as

\begin{equation}
(4.18) \quad \frac{1}{\cosh x} \cdot R_X [cZ \varphi(x)Z, Y] + \frac{1}{\sinh x} \cdot S_X [cZ \varphi(x)Z, \text{ad}_Y X] = 0.
\end{equation}

The first term in (4.18) vanishes because $[Z, Y] = X \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $R_X(a) = 0$; the second term vanishes because $\text{ad}_Y Y = -Z$.

Since in our case $m_{1/2} = m_{1/2}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{1/2} = \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}$, then by Remark 3.1 $[\mathfrak{b}(h) \oplus [h, h], m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}] \subset m_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{1/2}$. Let now $\xi \in m_{1/2}$. Using relations (4.12), (4.13) and (4.16), expression (4.14)
and the fact that $I \xi \in \mathfrak{m}_{e/2}$, we can rewrite the first condition in (3.23) as
\[
0 = \frac{c_Z \phi(x)}{\cosh \frac{i}{2} x} \cdot R_x[Z, \xi] + \frac{2 c_Z \phi(x)}{\sinh \frac{i}{2} x} \cdot S_x[Z, \text{ad}_X \xi] + \left( \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{i}{2} x} - \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{i}{2} x} \right) \cdot [c_1 Z_1, \xi] \\
= \frac{c_Z \phi(x)}{\cosh \frac{i}{2} x} \cdot (I \text{ad}_X \xi + \frac{4 c_Z \phi(x)}{\sinh \frac{i}{2} x} \cdot \text{ad}_X(-2 \text{ad}_X I \text{ad}_X \xi)) - \frac{c_1}{\cosh \frac{i}{2} x \sinh \frac{i}{2} x} \cdot I \xi.
\]
Then
\[
0 = \left( \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{i}{2} x} + \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{i}{2} x} \right) \cdot \frac{-c_Z}{2 \cosh x} I \xi - \frac{c_1}{\cosh \frac{i}{2} x \sinh \frac{i}{2} x} I \xi = \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{i}{2} x \sinh \frac{i}{2} x} \left( -\frac{1}{2} c_Z - c_1 \right) I \xi,
\]
because $\text{ad}_X |_{\mathfrak{m}_{e/2}} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{m}_{e/2}}$. Thus $c_1 = -\frac{1}{2} c_Z$.

We can also rewrite the second condition in (3.23) for $\xi \in \mathfrak{m}_{e/2}$ as
\[
\frac{2 c_y \psi(x)}{\sinh \frac{i}{2} x \cosh \frac{i}{2} x} \cdot R_x[c_y \psi(x) Y, \text{ad}_X \xi] - \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{i}{2} x} \cdot S_x[c_y \psi(x) Y, \xi] = 0.
\]

Taking into account the relations (4.10), (4.11) and (4.16) we obtain that
\[
\frac{2 c_y \psi(x)}{\sinh \frac{i}{2} x \cosh \frac{i}{2} x} \cdot (I \text{ad}_X \xi + \frac{c_y}{\sinh \frac{i}{2} x \cosh \frac{i}{2} x} \cdot I \xi) = 0.
\]
Thus $c_y = 0$ and therefore the component $a^m(x)$ of $a(x)$ vanishes. The second condition in (3.23) holds.

Summarizing the results proved above, we obtain that for the vector-function (4.17), condition (1) of Theorem 3.5 for $G/K = \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) is equivalent to the conditions \{$c_Z \in \mathbb{R}, \ c_1 = -\frac{1}{2} c_Z, \ c_y = 0$\}.

Let us describe the $2 \times 2$ Hermitian matrix-function $w_H(x)$, $2 = \dim a + \dim \mathfrak{m}_e$, according to condition (2) of Theorem 3.5.

It is clear that $w_{11}(x) = 2 f''(x)$. The function $w_{11}(x)$ is determined by the relation (for $\lambda = \epsilon$): $w_{11}(x) = 2i \frac{c_Z}{\cosh^2 x} - \frac{2 c_y}{\sinh^2 x}$.

The function $w_{11}(x)$ is determined by the relation (3.24) for $\xi^{1}_e = Z$ and $\xi^{1}_e = Y$. By relations (4.8) and the invariance of the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$,
\[
w_{11}(x) = -\frac{2 i}{\cosh^2 x} \langle [c_Z \phi(x) Z + c_1 Z_1, Z], Z \rangle - \frac{2}{\cosh x \sinh x} \langle [f'(x) X, Y], Z \rangle = f'(x) \frac{2}{\cosh x \sinh x}.
\]

Hence, we conclude that the entries of $w_H(x)$ are
\[
(4.19) \quad w_{11}(x) = 2 f''(x), \quad w_{11}(x) = 2i \frac{c_Z}{\cosh^2 x}, \quad w_{11}(x) = \frac{2 f'(x)}{\cosh x \sinh x}.
\]

Let us describe the Hermitian $s \times s$-matrix $w_s(x) = (w_{jk}(x))$, $s = \dim \mathfrak{m}_{e/2} = 2n - 2$, with entries $w_{jk}(x) = w_{j/k \epsilon^2 e/2}(x)$, $j, k = 1, \ldots, 2n - 2$, determined by relations (3.24):
\[
w_{jk}(x) = -\frac{2i}{\cosh^2 x} \langle [c_Z \phi(x) Z + c_1 Z_1, -2 \text{ad}_X \xi^{j}_e], -2 \text{ad}_X \xi^{k}_e \rangle \\
- \frac{2}{\cosh^2 x} \langle [f'(x) X, \xi^{j}_e], -2 \text{ad}_X \xi^{k}_e \rangle.
\]
where we put $\xi^j = \xi^j_{e/2}$ to simplify notation. Taking into account relations (4.13), (4.10) and the commutation relation $[\text{ad}_{Z_j}, \text{ad}_X] = 0$, we obtain that

\[
w_{jk}(x) = -\frac{2i}{\sinh^2\frac{1}{2}x} (-8cZ\varphi(x)\langle \text{ad}_Y I \text{ad}_X^2 \xi^j, \text{ad}_X \xi^k \rangle + 4c_1 \langle \text{ad}_Y I \xi^j, \text{ad}_X \xi^k \rangle) \\
= \frac{2}{\cosh^2\frac{1}{2}x \sinh^2\frac{1}{2}x} (-2f'(x) \langle \text{ad}_X \xi^j, \text{ad}_X \xi^k \rangle) \\
= -\frac{i}{\sinh^2\frac{1}{2}x} e^z \left( \frac{1}{\cosh x} - 1 \right) \langle I \xi^j, \xi^k \rangle + \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{1}{2}x \sinh^2\frac{1}{2}x} f'(x) \langle \xi^j, \xi^k \rangle.
\]

But the orthonormal basis \( \{ \xi_{e/2}^j \}_{j=1}^{2n-2} \) is chosen in such a way that $\xi_{e/2}^{2j-1} = I \xi_{e/2}^{2j-1}$. Thus from the relations above it follows that the Hermitian matrix $w_*(x)$ is a block-diagonal matrix, where each block is an Hermitian $2 \times 2$-matrix. Each such block is determined by the pair of vectors $(\xi_{e/2}^{2j-1}, \xi_{e/2}^{2j})$, $j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, and it is a $2 \times 2$ Hermitian matrix with the entries

\[
w_{(2j-1)(2j-1)}(x) = w_{(2j)(2j)}(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{\cosh^2\frac{1}{2}x \sinh \frac{1}{2}x}, \\
w_{(2j-1)(2j)}(x) = \frac{icZ}{\sinh^2\frac{1}{2}x} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\cosh x} \right).
\]

It is easily checked (calculating determinants of order 2) that vector-function (4.17) satisfies conditions (2), (3) and (4) of Theorem 3.5 if and only if all the mentioned Hermitian $2 \times 2$ matrices are positive-definite and $\det w_H(x) \cdot \det w_*(x) = 2^{2n-2} \cdot C^n$, $C > 0$, i.e. for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the following relations hold:

\[
(a) \ f''(x) > 0, \quad (b) \ \frac{f''(x)f'(x)}{\cosh^2 x \sinh^2 x} - \frac{c_1^2}{\cosh^4 x} > 0, \\
(c) \ f'(x) > 0, \quad (d) \ \frac{f'(x)f''(x)}{\cosh^2 \frac{1}{2}x \sinh^2 \frac{1}{2}x} - \frac{c_1^2}{\sinh^4 \frac{1}{2}x} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\cosh x} \right)^2 > 0,
\]

and

\[
(4.22) \quad \left( \frac{f''(x)f'(x)}{\cosh x \sinh^2 x} - \frac{c_1^2}{\cosh^4 x} \right) \left( \frac{f'(x)f''(x)}{\cosh^2 \frac{1}{2}x \sinh^2 \frac{1}{2}x} - \frac{c_1^2}{\sinh^4 \frac{1}{2}x} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\cosh x} \right)^2 \right)^{n-1} = 2^{2n-2} C^n.
\]

However, there exists an exact general solution of equation (4.22). Indeed, taking into account some well-known identities for the functions $\cosh x$ and $\sinh x$, and using the substitution $g_1(x) = (f'(x))^{-2}$ one can rewrite (4.22) as

\[
g_1'(x) = \frac{2c_1^2 \sinh^2 x}{\cosh^3 x} + 2^{n-1} C^n \cosh x \sinh x \left( \frac{\cosh^2 x \sinh^2 x}{4g_1(x) \cosh^2 x - 4c_1^2 \sinh^2 x} \right)^{n-1}.
\]

Next, using the substitution $g_2(x) = g_1(x) \cosh^2 x - c_1^2 \sinh^2 x$ we obtain the Bernoulli equation

\[
g_2'(x) = \frac{2 \sinh x}{\cosh x} \cdot g_2(x) + 2C^n \cosh^3 x \sinh x \left( \frac{\cosh^2 x \sinh^2 x}{g_2(x)} \right)^{n-1}
\]
with solutions $g_2(x) = \cosh^2 x \left( C^2 \sinh^2 x + C_1 \right)^{1/n}$, $C_1 \geq 0$, on the whole semi-axis, i.e. we obtain that

$$f'(x) = \sqrt{\left( C^2 \sinh^2 x + C_1 \right)^{1/n} + c_2^2 \sinh^2 x \cosh^{-2} x}.$$  

and therefore

$$f''(x) = (f'(x))^{-1} \left( C^2 \cdot (C^2 \sinh^2 x + C_1) \right)^{1-n} \cdot \sinh^{2-n} x \cosh x
+ c_2^2 (\tanh x - \tanh^3 x).$$

For these functions on the whole semi-axis relations (4.21b) and (4.21a) hold since $\sinh x > 0$ and $\tanh x > \tanh^3 x$ on this set ($0 < \tanh x < 1$); also (4.21b) hold, because $\tanh x - \tanh^3 x = \sinh x \cosh^{-3} x$; and (4.21d) hold, as $\sinh^2 x \cosh^{-2} x = \left( \frac{\cosh^2 x}{\sinh x} \right)^{1-n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\cosh x} \right)^2$.

The form $\tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{\theta}^a$ on $G \times \mathbb{R}^+$ determines a unique form $\omega$ on $G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ = G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\tilde{\omega} = (\pi_H \times \text{id})^* \omega$ (see Corollary [5.6]). Let us study when the form $\omega$ on $G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \cong T^+(G/K)$ admits an smooth extension to the whole tangent space $T(G/K)$. To this end we will find the expression of the form $\omega^R = ((f^+)^{-1})^* \omega$ on the space $G \times K \cong T^+(G/K)$, where, recall, $f^+: G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow G \times K$ is a $G$-equivariant diffeomorphism. However, by the commutativity of diagram (3.16) there exists a unique form $\tilde{\omega}^R$ on $G \times \mathfrak{m}^R$ such that

$$\tilde{\omega}^R = \pi^* \omega^R \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\omega} = \text{id}^* \tilde{\omega}^R.$$  

Thus it is sufficient to calculate the form $\tilde{\omega}^R$ on the space $G \times \mathfrak{m}^R$, because the form $\omega^R$ on the space $G \times K \cong T^+(G/K)$ may be extended (in a unique way if the extension does exist) to the whole tangent space $T(G/K)$ if and only if the form $\tilde{\omega}^R$ is extendable (admits an extension to the whole space $G \times \mathfrak{m}$).

By the second expression in (4.25),

$$\tilde{\omega}^R_{(g,x)}((\xi_1^r(g),t_1X), (\xi_2^r(g),t_2X)) = \tilde{\omega}^R_{(g,x)}((\xi_1^l(g),t_1\frac{\partial}{\partial r}), (\xi_2^l(g),t_2\frac{\partial}{\partial r})).$$

To describe $\tilde{\omega}^R$ we consider again the two cases (1) and (2):

(1) $G/K \in \{S^n (n \geq 3), \mathbb{H} \mathbb{P}^n (n \geq 1), \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2 \}$. Since $a(x) = f'(x)X$, by (3.22) at the point $(g,x) \in G \times \mathbb{W}^+$ and from (4.26) we have that

$$\tilde{\omega}^R_{(g,x)}((\xi_1^r(g),t_1X), (\xi_2^r(g),t_2X)) = -\langle f'(x)X, [\xi_1, \xi_2] \rangle + f''(x)(t_1 \langle X, \xi_2 \rangle - t_2 \langle X, \xi_1 \rangle),$$

where $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ and $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider on the whole tangent space $T_{(g,w)}(G \times \mathfrak{m}^R)$ ($w \in \mathfrak{m}^R = \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}$), the bilinear form $\Delta$ given by

$$\Delta_{(g,w)}((\xi_1^r(g),u_1), (\xi_2^r(g),u_2)) = -\langle \frac{f(r)}{r}w, [\xi_1, \xi_2] \rangle
+ \frac{f'(r)}{r} \langle \langle u_1, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle u_2, \xi_1 \rangle \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{f'(r)}{r} \right)' \langle \langle u_1, w \rangle \langle w, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle u_2, w \rangle \langle w, \xi_1 \rangle \rangle,$$

where $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathfrak{g} = T_e G$, $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{m} = T_w \mathfrak{m}^R$. Here $r = r(w), r^2(w) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \langle w, w \rangle \left( r(\lambda x) = x \right)$. It is clear that this form is skew-symmetric.
Since \( \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{f'(r)}{r} \right)' = \frac{r f''(r) - f'(r)}{r^3} \), it is easy to verify that

\[
\Delta_{(g, \alpha \mathcal{X})} \left( (\xi_1^l(g), t_1X), (\xi_2^l(g), t_2X) \right) = \tilde{\omega}^R_{(g, \alpha \mathcal{X})} \left( (\xi_1^l(g), t_1X), (\xi_2^l(g), t_2X) \right),
\]

i.e. the restrictions of \( \tilde{\omega}^R \) and \( \Delta \) to \( G \times W^+ \subset G \times m^R \) coincide. Now to prove that the differential forms \( \tilde{\omega}^R \) and \( \Delta \) coincide on the whole tangent bundle \( T(G \times m^R) \) it is sufficient to show that the form \( \Delta \) is left \( G \)-invariant, right \( K \)-invariant and its kernel contains (and therefore coincides with) the subbundle \( \mathcal{K} = \ker \pi_\ast \).

Since for each \( k \in K \) the scalar product \( \langle ., \cdot \rangle \) is \( \text{Ad}_k \)-invariant and \( \text{Ad}_k \) is an automorphism of \( g \), the following relations hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{(g, w)}((\xi_1^l(g), u_1), (\xi_2^l(g), u_2)) &= \Delta_{(e, w)}((\xi_1^l, u_1), (\xi_2^l, u_2)) \\
&= \Delta_{(e, \text{Ad}_k w)}((\text{Ad}_k \xi_1, \text{Ad}_k u_1), (\text{Ad}_k \xi_2, \text{Ad}_k u_2)).
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, \( \Delta \) is left \( G \)-invariant and right \( K \)-invariant.

The kernel \( \mathcal{K} \subset T(G \times m) \) of the tangent map \( \pi_\ast : T(G \times m) \to T(G \times K \, m) \) is generated by the \( (\text{left}) \) \( G \)-invariant vector fields \( \xi^L, \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \, (3.17) \) on \( G \times m \). Then, since \( m \perp \mathfrak{k} \) and \( \langle w, [w, \xi] \rangle = 0 \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{(g, w)}(\xi_1^l(g), u_1, \xi_2^l(g), u_2) &= -\langle \frac{f'(r)}{r} w, [\xi_1, \xi] \rangle + \frac{f'(r)}{r} (\langle u_1, \xi \rangle - \langle [w, \xi], \xi_1 \rangle) \\
&+ \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{f'(r)}{r} \right)' (\langle u_1, w \rangle \langle w, \xi \rangle - \langle [w, \xi], w \rangle \langle w, \xi_1 \rangle) = -\langle \frac{f'(r)}{r} w, [\xi_1, \xi] \rangle - \langle \frac{f'(r)}{r} w, [\xi, \xi_1] \rangle = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

This means that \( \mathcal{K} \subset \ker \Delta \). Thus \( \tilde{\omega}^R = \Delta \) on \( G \times m^R \) (\( \mathcal{K} = \ker \Delta \) because the form \( \omega \) is nondegenerate).

Expression (4.27) determines a smooth 2-form on the whole tangent bundle \( T(G \times m) \) if and only if \( \lim_{x \to 0} f'(x) = 0 \), that is, \( C_1 = 0 \). Indeed, if \( C_1 > 0 \) it is easy to verify that

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} f'(x) = C_1^{1/m} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to 0} f''(x) = 0,
\]

where \( m = \dim m = m_\ell + m_{\ell/2} + 1 \). Therefore, by (4.27), \( \lim_{x \to 0} \Delta_{(e, \ell \mathcal{X})}((\xi_1, u_1), (\xi_2, u_2)) = \infty \) for some vectors \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in g, u_1, u_2 \in m \) such that

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f'(x)}{x} \left( \langle u_1, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle u_2, \xi_1 \rangle - \langle u_1, X \rangle \langle X, \xi_2 \rangle + \langle u_2, X \rangle \langle X, \xi_1 \rangle \right) = \infty.
\]

Let \( C_1 = 0 \). Since \( \frac{\sinh x}{x} > 1 \) for \( x > 0 \), there exists an even real analytic function on the whole axis, \( \psi_2(x) \), such that

\[
f'(x) = x \left( \frac{2m C}{m} + x^2 \psi_2(x) \right)^{1/m}, \quad \frac{2m C}{m} + x^2 \psi_2(x) > 0, \quad \forall x > 0.
\]

In this case expression (4.27) determines a smooth 2-form on the whole space \( G \times m \).

We will denote this form (extension) on \( G \times m \) by \( \tilde{\omega}^R_0 \). There exists a unique 2-form \( \omega^R_0 \) on \( G \times K \, m \cong T(G / K) \) such that \( \tilde{\omega}^R = \pi_\ast \omega^R_0 \). The forms \( \tilde{\omega}^R_0 \) and \( \omega^R \) coincide, by construction, on the open submanifold \( G \times K \, m^R \cong T^+(G / K) \), that is, \( \omega^R_0 \) is a smooth extension of \( \omega^R \).
Now we will prove, applying Corollary \[3.4\] that this extension is the Kähler form of the metric \(g_0\) on the whole tangent bundle \(T(G/K)\). Indeed, by (4.6) and (4.29) for \(C_1 = 0\),

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} w_{11}(x) = 2 \left( \frac{2\text{me}^C}{m} \right)^{1/m}, \quad \lim_{x \to 0} w_{ij}(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} w_{0i}^2(x) = 2 \left( \frac{2\text{me}^C}{m} \right)^{1/m},
\]

that is, the corresponding limit diagonal Hermitian matrices \(\lim_{x \to 0} \mathbf{w}_H(x)\) and \(\lim_{x \to 0} \mathbf{w}_e(x)\) are positive-definite. Thus by Corollary \[3.4\], \(\omega_0^R\) is the Kähler form of the metric \(g_0\) (the extension of \(g\)) on \(G \times K \cong T(G/K)\).

(2) \(G/K = \mathbb{C}P^n\) \((n \geq 2)\). In this case the vector-function \(a\) takes the form

\[
a(x) = f'(x)x + c_Z \varphi(x) Z + c_1 Z_1 = f'(x)x + c_Z (\varphi(x) - 1) Z - c_Z Z_0,
\]

because \(Z_1 = 2(Z + Z_0)\) and \(c_1 = -\frac{1}{\cos \alpha}\). Here \(f'(x)\) is given in (4.5), \(\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\cos \alpha}\) and \(c_Z\) is an arbitrary real number. Then, from (3.22), we have

\[
\hat{\omega}_{(g,x)}^R \left( (\xi_1^I(g), t_1X), (\xi_2^I(g), t_2X) \right) = -\langle f'(x)x + c_Z (\varphi(x) - 1) \rangle Z - c_Z Z_0, [\xi_1, \xi_2] \\
+ f''(x) (t_1 \langle X, \xi_2 \rangle - t_2 \langle X, \xi_1 \rangle) + c_Z \varphi'(x) (t_1 \langle Z, \xi_2 \rangle - t_2 \langle Z, \xi_1 \rangle),
\]

where \(\xi_1, \xi_2 \in g = T_e G\) and \(t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}\).

Consider on the whole tangent space \(T_{(g,w)}(G \times m^R)\) \((w \neq 0)\), the bilinear form \(\Delta\):

\[
\Delta_{(g,w)} \left( (\xi_1^I(g), u_1), (\xi_2^I(g), u_2) \right) = -\langle f'(r)w + \frac{c_Z}{r^2} \varphi'(r) - 1 \rangle [Iw, w] - c_Z Z_0, [\xi_1, \xi_2] \\
+ f''(r) \left( \langle u_1, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle u_2, \xi_1 \rangle \right) \left( \langle u_1, w \rangle \langle Iw, w \rangle, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle u_2, w \rangle \langle [Iw, w], \xi_1 \rangle \right) \\
+ c_Z \left( \frac{\varphi'(r) - 2 \varphi'(r) - 1}{r^2} \right) \left( \langle u_1, w \rangle \langle [Iw, w], \xi_2 \rangle - \langle u_2, w \rangle \langle [Iw, w], \xi_1 \rangle \right) \\
+ c_Z \left( \frac{\varphi'(r) - 2 \varphi'(r) - 1}{r^2} \right) \left( \langle u_1, Iw \rangle \langle w, u_2 \rangle - \langle u_2, Iw \rangle \langle w, u_1 \rangle \right) \\
+ c_Z \left( \frac{2 \varphi'(r) - 1}{r^2} \right) \left( \langle [Iu_1, w], \xi_2 \rangle - \langle [Iu_2, w], \xi_1 \rangle + \langle u_1, Iu_2 \rangle \right),
\]

where \(\xi_1, \xi_2 \in g = T_e G\), \(u_1, u_2 \in m = T_w m^R\). It is clear that this form is skew-symmetric. From the expression of \(\hat{\omega}^R\) at the point \((g, x) \in G \times W^+\) given in (4.30) and taking into account that \([IX, X] = Z\) and \(\langle X, IX \rangle = 0\), it is easy to verify that

\[
\Delta_{(g,x)} \left( (\xi_1^I(g), t_1X), (\xi_2^I(g), t_2X) \right) = \hat{\omega}_{(g,x)}^R \left( (\xi_1^I(g), t_1X), (\xi_2^I(g), t_2X) \right).
\]

Since for each \(k \in K\) the scalar product \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) is \(\text{Ad}_k\)-invariant, \(\text{Ad}_k\) is an automorphism of \(g\) and \(\text{Ad}_k(Z_0) = Z_0\), \(\text{Ad}_k I = I \text{Ad}_k\), relations (4.28) hold now for this \(\Delta\), that is, \(\Delta\) is left \(G\)-invariant and right \(K\)-invariant. We now prove that \(\ker \Delta \supseteq \mathcal{K}\). By (3.17), since the form \(\Delta\) is left \(G\)-invariant, right \(K\)-invariant and \(\text{Ad}(K)(\mathbb{R}X) = m\), it is sufficient to show that the vectors \((\xi, x[X, \xi])\), \(\xi \in \ell\), belong to the kernel of \(\Delta_{(e,x)}\). Indeed, using the fact that
that \( \lim_{x \to 0} \{ f(x) \} = 0 \), \( \forall x \neq 0 \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is Ad(G)-invariant, we have that

\[
\Delta_{(e,x)} \left( \langle (\xi, u), (\xi, x[X, \xi] \rangle \right) = c_Z (\varphi(x) - 1) \langle \{-[I]X, [\xi, \xi], \xi] \rangle \\
+ \frac{2}{x}c_Z (\varphi(x) - 1) \cdot \left( \langle [Iu, X], \xi \rangle - \langle [I[x, \xi], X], \xi \rangle + \langle u, I[X, \xi] \rangle \right).
\]

This expression vanishes because the endomorphism \( I \) on \( m \) is skew-symmetric and

\[
- \langle [I]X, [\xi, \xi] \rangle - 2 \langle [I[X, \xi], X], \xi \rangle = 4.8
\]

Thus the differential forms \( \tilde{\omega}^R \) and \( \Delta \) coincide on the whole tangent bundle \( T(G \times m) \).

Our expression (4.31) determines a smooth 2-form on the whole tangent bundle \( T(G \times m) \) if and only if \( \lim_{x \to 0} f'(x) = 0 \), that is, \( C_1 = 0 \). Indeed, if \( C_1 > 0 \) it is easy to verify that \( \lim_{x \to 0} f'(x) = C_1^{1/2n} \) and \( \lim_{x \to 0} f''(x) = 0 \). Therefore by (4.31), \( \lim_{X \to 0} \Delta_{(e,x)} \left( \langle (\xi, u), (\xi, X) \rangle \right) \) is finite for some vectors \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in g, u_1, u_2 \in m \) such that

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f'(x)}{x} \left( \langle u_1, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle u_2, \xi_1 \rangle - \langle u_1, X \rangle \langle X, \xi_2 \rangle + \langle u_2, X \rangle \langle X, \xi_2 \rangle \right) = \infty.
\]

Let \( C_1 = 0 \). In this case, the expression for the function \( f'(x) \) in (4.5) is independent of \( n \) and there exists an even real analytic function on the whole axis, \( \varphi_2(x) \), such that

\[
(4.32) \quad f'(x) = x \left( C + c_Z^2 + x^2 \varphi_2(x) \right)^{1/2}, \quad C + c_Z^2 + x^2 \varphi_2(x) > 0, \quad \forall x > 0.
\]

Hence by (4.5) the functions \( \frac{f'(x)}{x} \) and \( \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{f'(x)}{x} \right)' \) are even real analytic functions on the whole axis. Also taking into account that \( \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\cosh x} \) and \( \varphi'(x) = -\varphi(x) \tanh x \) and \( \tanh x = \varphi_2(x) \) we obtain that \( \varphi(x) = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{5}{24}x^4 + \varphi_6(x)x^6 \), where \( \varphi_6(x) \) is an even real analytic function on the whole axis \( \mathbb{R} \). Therefore the functions \( \varphi(x)^{-1} \) and \( \frac{\varphi'(x) - 2\varphi(x) - 1}{x^4} = \frac{5}{12} + 4\varphi_6(x)x^2 + \varphi_6'(x)x^3 \) are even real analytic functions defined on the whole axis. Therefore the expression (4.31) determines a smooth 2-form on the whole tangent space \( T(G/K) \). We will denote, as in the previous cases, this form (extension) on \( T(G \times m) \) by \( \tilde{\omega}^R_0 \).

By continuity the form \( \tilde{\omega}^R_0 \) is closed, left \( G \)-invariant, right \( K \)-invariant and \( \mathcal{H} \subset \ker \tilde{\omega}^R_0 \). It is clear that there exists a unique closed 2-form \( \omega^R_0 \) on \( T(G/K) \) such that \( \tilde{\omega}^R_0 = \pi^*\omega^R_0 \).

Now we will prove, applying Corollary 3.4, that this extension is the Kähler form of the metric \( g_0 \) on the whole tangent bundle \( T(G/K) \). Indeed, the entries of \( w_H(x) \) are determined by expressions (4.19) and therefore by (4.32),

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} w_{1||1}(x) = 2\sqrt{C + c_Z^2}, \quad \lim_{x \to 0} w_{1||2}(x) = 2ic_Z, \quad \lim_{x \to 0} w_{1||1}(x) = 2\sqrt{C + c_Z^2}.
\]
Also from relations (4.20) it follows that for the block-diagonal Hermitian matrix \( \mathbf{w}_s(x) \) for each its \( 2 \times 2 \) block we have

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} w_{(2j-1)(2j-1)}(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} w_{(2j)(2j)}(x) = 2\sqrt{C + c_Z^2},
\]

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} w_{(2j-1)(2j)}(x) = 2ic_Z.
\]

It is easy to check that the corresponding limit diagonal Hermitian matrices \( \lim_{x \to 0} \mathbf{w}_H(x) \) and \( \lim_{x \to 0} \mathbf{w}_s(x) \) are positive-definite. Thus by Corollary 3.4, \( \mathbf{g}_0^R \) is the Kähler form of the metric \( \mathbf{g}_0 \) (the extension of \( \mathbf{g} \) on \( G \times_K \mathfrak{m} \cong T(G/K) \)).

Let us prove that the metric \( \mathbf{g}_0 \) determined by the form \( \mathbf{g}_0^R \) on the whole tangent bundle \( T(G/K) \cong G \times_K \mathfrak{m} \) is complete.

First of all suppose that \( \mathbf{g}_0^R \) is determined by the vector-function \( a(x) = f'(x)X \). By Corollary 3.7, such a metric admits a \( G \)-invariant potential function \( 2f(r) \) on \( T(G/K) \setminus G/K \), where \( r \) is the norm function determined by a \( G \)-invariant metric on \( G/K \). Since in our cases \( f(x) \) is the restriction of an even smooth function on the whole axis \( \mathbb{R} \), there exist a smooth extension of \( 2f(r) \) to the whole tangent bundle \( T(G/K) \). By continuity, this extension is a potential function on \( T(G/K) \). Now, Stenzel described all \( G \)-invariant Kähler structures \( (\omega, J_0^K) \) on \( T(G/K) \), where \( G/K \) is a compact symmetric space of rank one admitting a \( G \)-invariant potential function [13]. Thus the set of metrics \( c\mathbf{g}_0, c > 0 \), coincides with Stenzel’s set of metrics. The completeness of these metrics is proved in Stenzel’s paper [18] (see also another proof of this fact in Mykytyuk [14]).

Let us prove that the metric \( \mathbf{g}_0 \) determined by the form \( \mathbf{g}_0^R \) on the whole tangent bundle \( T(G/K) \cong G \times_K \mathfrak{m} \) is complete if \( G/K = \mathbb{C}P^n, n \geq 2 \). To this end, consider again its description (4.30) on the space \( G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \) (\( G = SU(n+1), H \cong U(1) \times SU(n-1) \)). For our aim it is sufficient to calculate the distance \( \text{dist}(b, c) \) between the compact subsets \( G/H \times \{b\} \) and \( G/H \times \{c\} \), where \( \text{dist}(b, c) = \inf \{d(p_b, p_c), p_b \in G/H \times \{b\}, p_c \in G/H \times \{c\} \} \). Since the sets \( G/H \times \{x\} \) are compact, it is clear that the metric \( \mathbf{g}_0 \) is complete if and only if for some \( b > 0 \) one has \( \lim_{c \to \infty} \text{dist}(b, c) = \infty \).

To calculate the function \( \text{dist}(b, c) \) note that the tangent bundle \( T(G/K) \cong G \times_K \mathfrak{m} \) is a cohomogeneity-one manifold, i.e. the orbits of the action of the Lie group \( G \) have codimension one. We will use only one fundamental fact on the structure of these manifolds [11]: A unit smooth vector field \( U \) on a \( G \)-invariant domain \( D \subset T(G/K) \) which is \( \mathbf{g}_0 \)-orthogonal to each \( G \)-orbit in \( D \) is a geodesic vector field, i.e. its integral curves are geodesics of the metric \( \mathbf{g}_0 \).

We now describe such a vector field \( U \) on the domain \( G \times \mathbb{R}^+ \cong T(G/K) \setminus G/K \). Put

\[
(4.33) \quad f_U(x) = \left( \frac{f''(x)}{(f'(x)f''(x) + c_Z^2\varphi(x)\varphi'(x))^{1/2}} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+,
\]

where, recall, \( \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\cosh x} \).
Lemma 4.2. Such a unit vector field $U$ on $G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$ is $G$-invariant and at the point $(o,x)$, $o = \{H\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, is determined by the expression

$$U(o,x) = f_U(x) \cdot \left( -\frac{cz\phi'(x)}{f'(x)} Y, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right).$$

(4.34)

For the coordinate function $x$ on $G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$ the following inequality holds

$$\left| dx_{(o,x)}(\xi, t\frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \right| \leq f_U(x) \cdot \left\| (\xi, t\frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \right\| (o,x),$$

(4.35)

where $(\xi, t\frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \in T_{(o,x)}(G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+) = (m \oplus t^+) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\| \cdot \|$ is the norm given by the metric $g$.

Proof (of Lemma) Since the vector field $U$ is unique (up to sign), it is sufficient to verify that each vector $U(o,x)$ in (4.34) is $g$-orthogonal to the $G$-orbit through $(o,x)$, i.e. to the subspace $V(o,x) \subset T_{(o,x)}(G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ generated by the vectors $(\xi,0)$, $\xi \in m \oplus t^+$, and that $\|U(o,x)\| = 1$.

Using expression (4.30) for the form $\omega^R$ we obtain the following expression for the form $\omega$ at $(o,x)$:

$$\omega_{(o,x)} \left( (\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}), (\xi_2, t_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \right) = -\langle f'(x)X + cZ(\phi(x) - 1)Z - cZ0, [\xi_1, \xi_2] \rangle$$

$$+ f''(x)(t_1\langle X, \xi_2 \rangle - t_2\langle X, \xi_1 \rangle) + cZ\phi'(x)(t_1\langle Z, \xi_2 \rangle - t_2\langle Z, \xi_1 \rangle),$$

(4.36)

where $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in m \oplus t^+$, $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Fix a point $(o,x) \in G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and consider a tangent vector $\tilde{Y} = (bY, \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, at $(o,x)$. This vector is $g$-orthogonal to $V(o,x)$ if and only if this vector is $\omega$-orthogonal to the subpace $J^K_c(V(o,x))$ generated by the vectors $(\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$, $\xi_1 \in m \oplus t^+$ ($\langle \xi_1, X \rangle = 0$), $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, because by (3.18),

$$J^K_c(o,x)(X,0) = (0, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}), \quad J^K_c(o,x)(Y,0) = \left( -\frac{\cosh x}{\sinh x}, 0 \right).$$

(4.37)

and $J^K_c(o,x)(\xi_j, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) = (\frac{\cosh^j x}{\sinh^j x}, 0)$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2(n-1)$. By (4.36) for any $\xi_1 \in m \oplus t^+$, $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain

$$\omega_{(o,x)} \left( (\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}), (bY, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \right) = b\langle [f'(x)X + cZ(\phi(x) - 1)Z - cZ0, Y], \xi_1 \rangle$$

$$+ f''(x)(t_1\langle X, bY \rangle - \langle X, \xi_1 \rangle) + cZ\phi'(x)(t_1\langle Z, bY \rangle - \langle Z, \xi_1 \rangle)$$

$$= -b\langle f'(x)X + cZ\phi'(x), \xi_1 \rangle - \langle X, \xi_1 \rangle,$$

(4.38)

because $\xi_1 \perp X$ and $[Z_0, Y] = IY = -X$ (see also relations (4.8)). By (4.38) the vector $U(o,x) = f_U(x)\tilde{Y}$ with $b = -cZ\phi'(x)/f'(x)$ is $g$-orthogonal to the subspace $V(o,x)$.

But by (4.37), $J^K_c(o,x)\left( -\frac{cZ\phi'(x)}{f'(x)} Y, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \left( -\frac{cZ\phi'(x)}{f'(x)} Z - X, 0 \right)$ because $\phi'(x) = -\phi(x)\tanh x$. Taking into account relations (4.36), (4.31), (4.8) and the fact that $\langle Z_0, Z \rangle = -1$ we obtain that $\omega(J^K_c(U), U) = f^2_U \left( f'' + \frac{cZ\phi'}{f'} \right) = 1$, i.e. $\|U\| = 1$. 
To prove the inequality in the statement it is sufficient to find the Hamiltonian vector field $H^x$ of the function $x$. This vector field is $G$-invariant as so are the form $\omega$ and the function $x$. Let us show that $H^x(a,x) = (a(x)X + c(x)Z, 0)$, where $a, c$ are some functions of $x$. Indeed, using relations (4.36), (4.7), (4.8), $[Z_0, Z] = 0$ and the invariance of the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, we obtain the following expression at the point $(a,x)$ for any $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\omega\left((\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}), (aX + cZ, 0)\right) = -\langle f'X + cZ(\varphi - 1)Z - cZ_0, [\xi_1, aX + cZ] \rangle$$

$$+ f''t_1 \langle X, aX + cZ \rangle + cZ\varphi' t_1 \langle Z, aX + cZ \rangle$$

$$= (c f' - cZa\varphi) \langle Y, \xi_1 \rangle + (a f'' + cZc\varphi)' t_1,$$

Now it is easy to see that $\omega((\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}), H^x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} dx((\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) = t_1$ at the point $(a,x)$ for arbitrary $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi_1 \in m \oplus t^+$ if and only if

$$a = c \cdot \frac{f'}{cZ\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{cZ\varphi}{f'' + cZc\varphi}.$$

Since $J^K_c(H^x)(a,x) = \left(\xi_c^{\sinh X}, a \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)$ and $a = f_U^2$ we obtain at the point $(a,x)$

$$\|H^x\|^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \omega\left((c \sinh X, a \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, (aX + cZ, 0)\right) = dx\left(\sinh X, a \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = a = f_U^2.$$

Now, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for metrics one has at the point $(a,x)$

$$\|dx(\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\| = |\omega((\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}), H^x)\| = |g((\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}), J^K_c(H^x))|$$

$$\leq \|J^K_c(H^x)\| \cdot \|(\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\| = \|H^x\| \cdot \|(\xi_1, t_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\|,$$

that is, we obtain (4.35). □

Using now the vector field $U$ we shall calculate the distance between the level sets $G/H \times \{b\}$ and $G/H \times \{c\}$ in $G/H \times \mathbb{R}^+$ with respect to the metric $g$. Let $\gamma(t) = (\tilde{g}(t)H, \tilde{x}(t)), t \in [0, T]$, be the integral curve of the vector field $U$ with initial point $p_b$ in $G/H \times \{b\}$, that is, $\tilde{x}(0) = b$. There exists a function $h$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that the function $h(\tilde{x}(t))$ is linear in $t$. It is easy to verify that $h(x) = \int_b^x \frac{ds}{f_U(s)}$, because by (4.34)

$$\frac{d}{dt}h(\tilde{x}(t)) = h'(\tilde{x}(t)) \cdot dx(\gamma(t)) = h'(\tilde{x}(t)) \cdot \tilde{x}'(t) = h'(\tilde{x}(t)) \cdot (f_U(\tilde{x}(t))) = 1.$$ 

Suppose that $p_c \in G/H \times \{c\}$, where $p_c = \gamma(t_c), t_c \in [0, T]$. Since the curve $\gamma$ is a geodesic, the length of the curve $\gamma(t), t \in [0, t_c]$, from $p_b$ to $p_c$ is $t_c = h(x(p_c)) - h(x(p_b)) = h(c) - h(b)$. Thus $\text{dist}(b, c) \geq h(c) - h(b)$.

For any other curve $\gamma_1(t) = (\tilde{g}_1(t)H, \tilde{x}_1(t)), \text{with } \|\gamma_1(t)\| = 1$, starting at the point $p_b$, and ending at a point $p_c^1 \in G/H \times \{c\}, p_c^1 = \gamma_1(t_1^c)$ of length $t_1^c$, we obtain by Lemma 4.2

$$\frac{d}{dt}h(\tilde{x}_1(t)) = h'(\tilde{x}_1(t)) \cdot dx(\gamma_1(t)) \leq \frac{1}{f_U(\tilde{x}_1(t))} \cdot f_U(\tilde{x}_1(t)) \cdot \|\gamma_1(t)\| = 1.$$
Thus $h(c) - h(b) \leq t^1_c$ and the length $t^1_c$ of the curve $\gamma_1$ from $p_b$ to $p^1_c$ is not less than the length of the curve $\gamma(t), t \in [0, t_c]$. Thus, the distance between the level surfaces $G/H \times \{c\}$ and $G/H \times \{c\}$ is $|h(c) - h(b)|$.

Now, since by (4.23) and (4.24) for $C_1 = 0$,

$$f'(x) = \sqrt{C \sinh^2 x + c_2^2 \sinh^2 x \cosh^{-2} x},$$
$$f''(x) = \left( C \sinh x \cosh x + c_2^2 \sinh x \cosh^{-1} x - c_2^2 \sinh^3 x \cosh^{-3} x \right)/f'(x),$$

we obtain that $f'(x) \sim \sqrt{C} \sinh x$, $f''(x) \sim \sqrt{C} \sinh x$ and, by (4.33), $\frac{1}{f'(x)} \sim \left( \sqrt{C} \sinh x \right)^{1/2}$ as $x \to \infty$. Therefore $\lim_{x \to \infty} h(x) = \infty$. Hence the metric $g_0 = g_0(C, c_2, 0)$ (that is, for $C_1 = 0$) on the tangent bundle $T(G/K)$ is complete for any $C > 0, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is well known that $\mathbb{RP}^n \cong S^n/Z_2$ as $\mathbb{RP}^n = SO(n+1)/O(n)$ and $S^n = SO(n+1)/SO(n)$ ($n \geq 2$). Hence each $SO(n+1)$-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler structure on $T\mathbb{RP}^n$ is uniquely determined by a $Z_2$-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler structure on $T\mathbb{RP}^n$.

**Corollary 4.3.** If $n \geq 3$, each $G$-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler structure $(g(C, C_1), J^K)$ on the punctured tangent bundle $T^+(G/K) = T^+(SO(n+1)/SO(n)) = T^+S^n$ determines an invariant Ricci-flat Kähler structure on $T^+\mathbb{RP}^n$. If $n = 2$, the $G$-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler structure $(g(C, C_1, c_2), J^K)$ on $T^+(G/K) = T^+(SO(3)/SO(2)) = T^+S^2$ determines an invariant Ricci-flat Kähler structure on $T^+\mathbb{RP}^2$ if and only if $c_2 = 0$. All these invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on $T^+\mathbb{RP}^n$ are uniquely extendable to complete metrics on the whole tangent bundle $T\mathbb{RP}^n$, $n \geq 2$, if and only if $C_1 = 0$.

**Proof.** We will use the notations of the proof of Theorem 4.1. As it follows from its proof, the Kähler structure $(g(C, C_1), J^K)$ on $T^+(G/K) = T^+(SO(n+1)/SO(n))$ ($n \geq 3$) is $Z_2$-invariant if and only if the form $\tilde{\omega}^R = \Delta$ (see (4.27)) on $G \times m$ is right $K_1$-invariant, where $K_1 = O(n)$ ($K \subset K_1 \subset G$). The form $\tilde{\omega}^R$ is right $K_1$-invariant because $\text{Ad}(K_1)(m) = m$ and $\text{Ad}(K_1)$ is a subgroup of the group of inner automorphisms $\text{Ad}(G)$ of $g$. Similarly, if $n = 2$, the form $\tilde{\omega}^R = \Delta$ (see (4.31)) is right $K_1$-invariant if and only if $c_2 = 0$ because $\text{Ad}(K_1)Z \neq \{Z\}$ ($Z_0 = Z$ if $n = 2$ and $\mathbb{RP}^2$ is not a homogeneous complex manifold). Now the last assertion of the corollary immediately follows from the last assertion of Theorem 4.1. \qed
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