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Abstract

In this paper we prove an Ax-Schanuel type result for the exponential functions of Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$. We prove the result first for the algebra of upper triangular matrices and then for the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ of all $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$. We also obtain Ax-Lindemann type results for these maps as a corollary, characterizing the bi-algebraic subsets of these maps.

§1 Introduction

We study questions related to the functional transcendence of exponential functions of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$. To be more precise, motivated by the exposition in [Pil15], we prove Ax-Schanuel and Ax-Lindemann type Theorems for the exponential function of upper triangular matrices, as well as the exponential function of general $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$. We have divided our exposition into two parts dealing with each of the cases separately.

In the most general case we will consider the exponential function $E : \mathfrak{gl}_n \to GL_n$ over $\mathbb{C}$. The strongest result that we achieve in this case is the following

**Theorem** (Full Ax-Schanuel for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$). Let $U \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ be a bi-algebraic subvariety that contains the origin, let $X = E(U)$, and let $V \subset U$ and $Z \subset X$ be algebraic subvarieties, such that $0 \in V$ and $I_n \in Z$. If $C$ is a component of $V \cap E^{-1}(Z)$ with $0 \in C$, then, assuming that $C$ is not contained in any proper weakly special subvariety of $U$,

$$\dim \mathbb{C} C \leq \dim \mathbb{C} V + \dim \mathbb{C} Z - \dim \mathbb{C} X.$$

Here the term **component** of a subset $R \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ refers to a complex-analytically irreducible component of $R$, while the term **bi-algebraic** refers to a subvariety $U$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ whose image $E(U)$ under the exponential map is an open subset of a subvariety of $GL_n$. 

1
A short review of Ax-Schanuel and Ax-Lindemann

Our main motivation is the Ax-Schanuel Theorem for the usual exponential function of complex numbers. This result, originally a conjecture of Schanuel, is due to J. Ax [Ax71]. Ax’s proof employs techniques of differential algebra. One of the equivalent formulations of this theorem is the following

**Theorem (Ax-Schanuel).** Let \( y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]] \) have no constant terms. If the \( y_i \) are \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linearly independent then

\[
\text{tr} d_C \mathbb{C}(y_1, \ldots, y_n, e^{y_1}, \ldots, e^{y_n}) \geq n + \text{rank} \left( \frac{d\nu}{dt^\mu} \right)_{\nu=1,\ldots,n,\mu=1,\ldots,m}.
\]

An immediate consequence of the above Ax-Schanuel Theorem is the characterization of all the bi-algebraic subsets of \( \mathbb{C}^n \) with respect to the map \( \pi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \), given by \((z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})\). In other words it leads to a characterization of the subvarieties \( W \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) with the property that \( \pi(W) \) is an open subset of a subvariety of \((\mathbb{C}^\times)^n\).

**Definition.** A subvariety \( W \) of \( \mathbb{C}^n \) will be called weakly special, or geodesic, if it is defined by any number \( l \in \mathbb{N} \) of equations of the form

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n q_{i,j} z_i = c_j, \; j = 1, \ldots, l,
\]

where \( q_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q} \) and \( c_j \in \mathbb{C} \).

This characterization of bi-algebraic sets is due to the following result, dubbed Ax-Lindemann by Pila due to its resemblance to Lindemann’s theorem,

**Theorem (Ax-Lindemann).** Let \( V \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \) be an algebraic subvariety. Then any maximal algebraic algebraic subvariety \( W \subset \pi^{-1}(V) \) is weakly special.

For more on these notions, along with a proof of Ax-Lindemann as a corollary of Ax-Schanuel, we refer to [Pil15].

Subsequent results in functional transcendence that look to achieve similar results to the above theorem for other transcendental functions have also been dubbed as ”Ax-Schanuel” and ”Ax-Lindemann” respectively. Ax-Schanuel results are known for affine abelian group varieties, due to J.Ax [Ax72], for semiabelian varieties, due to J. Kirby [Kir09], the \( j \)-function, due to J. Pila and J. Tsimerman [PT16], for Shimura varieties, due to N. Mok, J. Pila, and J. Tsimerman [MPT17], for variations of Hodge structures, due to B. Bakker and J. Tsimerman [BT17], and for mixed Shimura varieties due to Z. Gao [Gao18]. Finally, B. Klingler, E. Ullmo, and A. Yafaev [KUY16] have proven an Ax-Lindemann result for any Shimura variety.
Summary of results in Part I

The first part of this paper deals with the exponential of the algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ of $n \times n$ upper triangular elements. This map is more accessible to computations. These computations form the technical part of the reduction from the Ax-Schanuel result in this case to Theorem 2.1 and are presented in §3.

We let $E : \mathfrak{h}_n \rightarrow U_n$ denote the exponential of $\mathfrak{h}_n$, $U_n$ being the group of upper triangular invertible matrices over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $A$ be an upper triangular matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]]$. We will denote the field extension of $\mathbb{C}$ that results from adjoining to $\mathbb{C}$ the entries of both matrices $A$ and $E(A)$ by $\mathbb{C}(A, E(A))$. In this case our main result will be

**Theorem.** (Ax-Schanuel for $\mathfrak{h}_n$) Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n, g_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]]$ be power series, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. We assume that the $f_i$ don’t have a constant term. Let $A$ be the $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix with diagonal $\vec{f}$ and the $(i, j)$ entry equal to $g_{i,j}$. Let $N = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} < f_1, \ldots, f_n >$, then

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(A, E(A)) \geq N + \text{rank}(J(\vec{f}, \vec{g}; \vec{t})).$$

The main idea is that given a matrix $A \in \mathfrak{h}_n$ we are able to canonically choose a basis of generalized eigenvectors for it, based solely on the multiplicities of its eigenvalues. This basis is chosen in such a way that makes it computable in terms of the entries of the matrix $A$. At the same time we can determine the action of the matrix $A$ in each of its generalized eigenspaces.

The canonical basis and its properties lead us naturally to define the notion of eigencoordinates in §3. These will roughly be coordinates describing the generalized eigenspaces of a matrix $A$ along with the action of the matrix $A$ in each of these spaces. Ultimately they will play the role of the $g_j$ in reducing the Ax-Schanuel result to Theorem 2.1.

Weakly special subvarieties for $\mathfrak{h}_n$

After establishing the Ax-Schanuel result we turn towards characterizing the bi-algebraic subvarieties of the exponential map $E : \mathfrak{h}_n \rightarrow U_n$ that contain the origin, i.e. the subvarieties $W$ of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ that contain the origin and are such that $E(W)$ is an open subset of a subvariety of $U_n$. In the literature for other transcendental maps such subvarieties are referred to as weakly special.

To that end we start by defining the weakly special subvarieties of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ that contain the origin in §3. Roughly speaking a subvariety $V \subset \mathfrak{h}_n$ that contains the origin will be weakly special if its diagonal coordinates satisfy $\mathbb{Q}$–linear relations, while the other algebraic relations on it come from algebraic relations on the eigencoordinates, i.e. from algebraic relations between
generalized eigenvectors and the actions of matrices in $V$ on their generalized eigenspaces.

As a corollary of our Ax-Schanuel we obtain an Ax-Lindemann-type result. This result will imply that the weakly special sets we define will be exactly the bi-algebraic subsets of $h_n$ that contain the origin. We present two examples of weakly special subvarieties as a motivation for the proper definition later on in §5.

**Examples.** 1. Let $V_1 \subset h_3$ be the set of all upper triangular $3 \times 3$ matrices $A$ satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) $A$ has diagonal $(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with $f_i \neq f_j$ for all $i \neq j$,

(C2) $\vec{v}_2 = (1, 1, 0)$ is an $f_2$-eigenvector, and

(C3) there exists $s \in C$ such that $\vec{v}_3 = (s, s^2, 1)$ is an $f_3$-eigenvector.

Notice that all upper triangular matrices will have $\vec{v}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ as an eigenvector for the eigenvalue $f_1$. We also note that here $s$ can be thought of as a free variable.

If we set $W_1 = \text{Zcl}(V_1)$ to be the Zariski closure of $V_1$ in $h_n$, then $W_1$ will be a weakly special subvariety of $h_n$.

2. Once again let us denote by $\vec{v}_1$ the vector $(1, 0, 0)$. We consider $V_2 \subset h_n$ to be the set of all upper triangular matrices $A$ satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) $A$ has diagonal $(f_1, f_2, f_1)$ with $f_1 \neq f_2$,

(C2) let $s \in C$ be such that $\vec{v}_2 = (s, 1, 0)$ is an $f_2$-eigenvector,

(C3) for the same $s$ as above, the vector $\vec{v}_3 = (0, s^2, 1)$ is a generalized eigenvector for the eigenvalue $f_1$, and

(C4) for the same $s$ as above, we have that

$$A\vec{v}_3 = f_1\vec{v}_3 + s^5\vec{v}_1.$$

Here, as in the previous example, we can consider $s$ as a free variable, in fact it will correspond to one of the eigencoordinates.

Finally, setting $W_2 = \text{Zcl}(V_2)$ we get a weakly special subvariety of $h_3$. 4
Summary of results in Part II

In the second part we deal with the same questions of functional transcendence this time for the exponential map $E : \mathfrak{gl}_n \to GL_n$ of the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$.

In this case we generalize the picture we had in $\mathfrak{h}_n$. Instead of a specific canonical basis and eigencoordinates, we introduce the notion of the data of a matrix $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$. This new notion will effectively have the role that the eigencoordinates had for $\mathfrak{h}_n$.

The data of a matrix $A$ will comprise of the distinct eigenvalues of $A$, their multiplicities, their generalized eigenspaces, and the nilpotent operators defined by the matrix $A$ on each such generalized eigenspace. Given the number $k$ of distinct eigenvalues and the multiplicity $m_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, of each of them, the rest of the above information, i.e. the generalized eigenspaces and corresponding nilpotent operators, will be parametrized by an affine variety, which we will denote by $W_k(\vec{m})$. If we consider coordinates $g_j$ on $W_k(\vec{m})$, we shall see that the Ax-Schanuel result for $E$ is reduced to Theorem 2.

Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}[\lbrack t_1, \ldots, t_m \rbrack]$. As before we will denote the field extension of $\mathbb{C}$ that results from adjoining to $\mathbb{C}$ the entries of the matrices $A$ and $E(A)$ by $\mathbb{C}(A, E(A))$. The result we obtain will then be

**Theorem (Ax-Schanuel for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$).** Let $x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[\lbrack t_1, \ldots, t_m \rbrack]$ be power series with no constant term, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $z_i \in \mathbb{C}[\lbrack t_1, \ldots, t_m \rbrack]$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, denote the eigenvalues of the matrix $A = (x_{i,j})$. Let us also set $N = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} < z_1, \ldots, z_n >$, then

$$\text{tr.d.}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(A, E(A)) \geq N + \text{rank } J((x_{i,j})(\vec{t})) .$$

**Weakly special subvarieties for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$**

Again the above Ax-Schanuel result leads us to a description of the weakly special subvarieties of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ that contain the origin. These are defined in detail in §7. Roughly speaking these will be subvarieties of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ that are subject to algebraic relations of the following two types:

1. $\mathbb{Q}$–linear relations on the eigenvalues and

2. algebraic relations on the coordinates of a variety $W_k(\vec{m})$, as above, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\vec{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$, or in other words, relations coming from a subvariety $W \subset W_k(\vec{m})$.

In other words, the relations are either on the eigenvalues, or between the generalized eigenspaces and the corresponding nilpotent operators defined on
them. All the while there can be no algebraic relations between eigenvalues and generalized eigenspaces or eigenvalues and nilpotent operators defined on those spaces. Alternatively, we require that the two types of relations considered above do not interfere with one another.

These results for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ will imply, as a corollary, Ax-Schanuel and Ax-Lindemann type results for all subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and their respective exponentials.
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§2 Ax-Schanuel in families

In each of the two cases we deal with, we start by approaching the Ax-Schanuel result from a functional standpoint. We then use properties of the exponential maps in question to reduce to the following

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $f_i, g_j \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]]$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, be power series. We assume that the $f_i$ don’t have a constant term. Then, assuming that the $f_i$ are $\mathbb{Q}$–linearly independent modulo $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{C}}(\{f_i, g_j, e^{t_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k\}) \geq n + \text{rank}(J(\vec{f}, \vec{g}; \vec{t})).$$

We note that Theorem 2.1 is probably known as a result in the field. However, since we couldn’t find a reference, we have dedicated this section to proving Theorem 2.1 following the exposition of [Tsi15]. Namely, we will reduce the above to an algebro-geometric statement and then use induction along with the classical Ax-Schanuel Theorem. After that we provide a generalization of Theorem 2.1 as a corollary.

§2.1 The Proof

By the Seidenberg Embedding Theorem\footnote{See [Sei58].} we may assume that the $f_i$, $g_j$ are convergent power series in some open neighbourhood $B \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. We consider the uniformizing map $\pi_k : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \to (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, which is given by

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_k) \mapsto (e^{x_1}, \ldots, e^{x_n}).$$
We define $D_k = \Gamma(\pi_k)$, i.e. as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$

$$D_k = \{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) : \pi_k(\bar{u}) = \bar{v}\}.$$ 

Let us also consider $\vec{G} : B \to D_k$ to be the function defined by

$$\vec{G}(t_1, \ldots, t_m) = (f_1, \ldots, f_n, g_1, \ldots, g_k, e^{f_1}, \ldots, e^{f_n}),$$

and finally we set $U = \vec{G}(B)$ and $V = \text{Zcl}(U)$, the Zariski closure of $U$. Then

$$\dim \mathbb{C} U = \text{rank} J(f, g; \vec{t})$$

will be exactly the Jacobian that appears in the theorem we want to prove, while $\dim \mathbb{C} (V) = \text{tr.d.} \mathbb{C}(\{f_i, g_j, e^{f_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k\})$. Furthermore, let $\pi_a$ be the projection on the first $n$ coordinates of the space $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, and $\pi_m$ be the projection on the last $n$ coordinates of the same space.

The condition on the $f_i$ is then equivalent to the condition that the image $\pi_a(U)$ is not contained in the translate of a proper $\mathbb{Q}$–linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ or to the condition that $\pi_m(U)$ is not contained in the coset of a proper subtorus of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. Thus it suffices to prove

**Theorem 2.2** (Alternate Version 1). Let $D_k$ and $\pi_k$ be as above and $U \subset D_k$ be an irreducible complex analytic subspace such that $\pi_m(U)$ is not contained in the coset of a proper subtorus of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. Then

$$\dim \text{Zcl}(U) \geq n + \dim \mathbb{C} U.$$ 

Again following [Tsi15] we can rephrase this last statement in terms of subvarieties of the space $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$.

**Theorem 2.3** (Alternate Version 2). Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ be an irreducible algebraic subvariety, and $U$ a connected complex-analytic irreducible component of $V \cap D_k$. Assuming that $\pi_m(U)$ is not contained in the coset of a proper subtorus of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, then

$$\dim \mathbb{C} V \geq n + \dim \mathbb{C} U.$$ 

**Proof.** We employ induction on $k \geq 0$. For $k = 0$ this is a consequence of the Ax-Schanuel Theorem\textsuperscript{2}.

Assume that $k \geq 1$ and that the result holds for $k - 1$. Then we consider the projection

$$p_0 : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \to \mathbb{C},$$

\textsuperscript{2}See Theorem 1.3 in [Tsi15].
of our space to the \((n + k)\)-th coordinate, i.e.

\[ p_0(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_k, z_1, \ldots, z_n) = y_k. \]

Let also \(V_0 = p_0(V)\) and, for \(y \in V_0\), we consider the fibre \(V_y\) of \(V\) over \(y\). Similarly we consider the corresponding fibre \(U_y\) of \(U\) over \(y\). With this notation we get \(V = \bigcup_{y \in V_0} \{y\} \times V_y\).

Since \(V\) is irreducible, if \(\dim(V_0) = 0\) then \(V_0 = \{y_0\}\) will be a single point. This implies that \(V = V' \times \{y_0\}\) is isomorphic to an irreducible algebraic subvariety \(V' \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n\). In this case, \(U \subset V \cap D_k\) is isomorphic to a connected complex-analytic irreducible component of \(V' \cap D_{k-1}\) and the result follows by induction.

We may therefore assume that \(\dim(V_0) = 1\). This tells us that \(V_0\) contains a non-empty affine open subset of \(\mathbb{C}\) and that for \(y \in V_0\) generic we get

\[ \dim V = \dim V_y + 1. \]

The rest of the proof comprises of considering the only two possible cases for the generic behaviour of the fibres \(U_y\).

**First Case:** Suppose that \(\pi_a(U_y)\) is generically\(^3\) not contained in the translate of a proper \(\mathbb{Q}\)-linear subspace of \(\mathbb{C}^n\).

We have that \(V_y \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n\) is an irreducible algebraic subvariety, and \(U_y\) is a connected complex-analytic irreducible component of \(V_y \cap D_{k-1}\). Therefore by the previous assumption and the inductive hypothesis we get that for \(y \in V_0\) generic

\[ \dim V_y \geq n + \dim U_y. \]

This in turn implies that \(\dim V \geq n + (1 + \dim U_y)\) and, since \(1 + \dim U_y \geq \dim U\), the result follows.

**Second Case:** If the assumption of the previous case doesn’t hold, then for \(y \in V_0\) chosen generically, \(\pi_a(U_y) \subset \mathbb{C}^n\) will be contained in the translate of some proper \(\mathbb{Q}\)-linear subspace of \(\mathbb{C}^n\). In other words \(U_y \subset Z(f_y)\), where \(f_y = c(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i(y)x_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\), is a linear polynomial with the coefficients \(q_i(y) \in \mathbb{Q}\) and \(c(y) \in \mathbb{C}\) depending on \(y\)^4

At this point we consider another projection, namely we let

---

\(^3\)Generically here refers to \(y \in V_0\).

\(^4\)Here \(Z(f_y) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : f_y(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0\}\), is just the set of solutions of \(f_y = 0\) in \(\mathbb{C}^n\).
be the projection given by

\[ p_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_k, z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_k, z_1, \ldots, z_n). \]

We also let \( V_1 = p_1(V), \) \( U_1 = p_1(U), \) \( V'_1 = Zcl(V_1), \) the Zariski closure of \( V_1, \) and \( U'_1 = \overline{U}_1, \) the closure of \( U_1 \) with respect to the standard topology on \( \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n. \)

For these new sets we get that \( V'_1 \) is an irreducible subvariety of \( \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \) and \( U'_1 \) is a connected irreducible complex-analytic component of \( V'_1 \cap D_{k-1}. \) We also get that \( \pi_a(U) = \pi_a(U_1) \) and hence, by the initial assumption on \( U, \) \( \pi_a(U'_1) \) is not contained in the translate of a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear subspace of \( \mathbb{C}^n. \) Therefore we may apply the inductive hypothesis to get

\[ \dim V'_1 \geq n + \dim U'_1. \]

From the preceding discussion we get that \( \dim V \geq \dim V_1 = \dim V'_1. \) On the other hand, since, by assumption, \( \pi_a(U) \) is not contained in the translate of a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear subspace of \( \mathbb{C}^n \) then the \( Z(f_y), \) and hence the \( f_y, \) will vary with \( y. \) This in turn implies that \( \dim U = \dim U_1 = \dim U'_1. \)

Combining all of the above we reach the conclusion. \( \square \)

### §2.2 A generalization

As a corollary of the above proof we are able to extract Ax-Schanuel results for a larger family of spaces. The idea is that we are able to replace \( \mathbb{C}^k \) by a random affine variety. We approach this in a geometric setting similar to the previous subsection.

Let \( W \) be an affine variety over \( \mathbb{C} \) and let \( \pi_n : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \) be the map given by

\[ (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (e^{x_1}, \ldots, e^{x_n}). \]

We consider the uniformizing map \( \pi_n \times id_W : \mathbb{C}^n \times W \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \times W, \) the product of \( \pi_n \) and the identity morphism \( id_W \) of \( W. \) Let also \( p_1 : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \times W \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \) be the projection on \( (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \) and let \( \phi : \mathbb{C}^n \times W \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \) be its composition with \( \pi_n \times id_W. \)

We also define \( D_k(W) = \Gamma(\phi), \) i.e. as a subset of \( \mathbb{C}^n \times W \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \)

\[ D_k(W) = \{(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) : \phi(\vec{u}) = \vec{v}\}. \]

The coordinate function \( y_k \) restricted to \( U \) will depend on the rest of the coordinates of \( U. \)
Corollary 2.1. Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times W \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ be an irreducible algebraic subvariety, and $U$ a connected complex-analytic irreducible component of $V \cap D_k(W)$. Assuming that $\pi_m(U)$ is not contained in the coset of a proper subtorus of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \geq n + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} U.$$ 

Proof. By Noether’s Normalization Lemma there exists a finite surjective morphism $f : W \to \mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}}$ where $d = \dim W$. The product of this morphism with the identity of $\mathbb{C}^n \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ in turn gives a finite morphism

$$F : \mathbb{C}^n \times W \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n.$$ 

Indeed a morphism of affine varieties is finite if and only if it is proper, since $F$ is proper as the product of two such morphisms it will also be finite. The image of the irreducible subvariety $V$ under this map will be an irreducible subvariety $V'$ of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, since finite morphisms are closed.

We also note that $F$ maps the set $D_k(W)$ to the set $D_k(\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}})$. So that the closure $U' = \text{cl}(F(U))$ of the image of $U$ with respect to the Euclidean topology will be a component of $V' \cap D_k(\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Since $F$ is finite we get that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} U'$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V'$, and by the construction of $F$ it follows that $\pi_m(U')$ is not contained in the coset of a proper subtorus of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, since this is true for $\pi_m(U)$. Therefore the result follows from Theorem 2.3.

Part I

Upper Triangular Matrices

Notation: We will denote by $U = U_n$ the algebraic group of $n \times n$ upper triangular invertible matrices over the field $\mathbb{C}$, and by $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_n$ its Lie algebra, i.e. the algebra of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices. Also we denote the corresponding exponential map $\mathfrak{h}_n \to U_n$ by $E = E_n$ and its non-diagonal entries by $E_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

We will mainly concern ourselves with transcendence degrees over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of extensions of the form $\mathbb{C}(\Sigma)$ with $\Sigma$ a finite subset of some ring of power series, or a finite subset of regular functions on some variety over the field $\mathbb{C}$. Of particular interest will be the case were $\Sigma$ is the set of entries of a matrix $A$, or a matrix $A$ and its exponential $E(A)$. In that case we denote

---

6See Exercises II.4.1 and II.4.6 in [Har77].
the field extension $\mathbb{C}(\Sigma)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ by $\mathbb{C}(A)$ and $\mathbb{C}(A, E(A))$ respectively.

Our goal here is to state an Ax-Schanuel-type Theorem and reduce its proof to Theorem 2.1. Our initial goal will be to find a lower bound for the transcendence degree

$$\text{tr.d.}_C(\mathbb{C}(A, E(A))) = \text{tr.d.}_C(\{f_i, g_{i,j}, E_{i,j}(A), e^{f_i} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\})$$

where $f_i, g_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]]$, with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and $A = \text{diag}(\tilde{f}) + (g_{i,j})$.

§3 Eigencoordinates

In this section we consider fixed $f_i, g_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]]$ without constant terms, with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and let $A = \text{diag}(\tilde{f}) + (g_{i,j})$ be the matrix they define.

The main idea is that eigenvectors and generalized eigenvectors for a matrix $A$ will remain as such for the matrix $E(A)$. As we will see shortly the other information, that will naturally appear, and that we will have to keep track of, are the nilpotent operators defined by $A$ and $E(A)$ on their respective generalized eigenspaces.

In this section we define a canonically chosen basis of generalized eigenvectors for a given matrix $A \in h_n$ that will only depend on the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of $A$. To this basis we can assign coordinates, which will be rational functions on the entries of $A$. At the same time we achieve a canonical description of the respective nilpotent operators defined by $A$ and $E(A)$ on each of their generalized eigenspaces. To each such operator we will be able to naturally assign certain rational functions of the entries of $A$. The combination of the above rational functions, both those describing the basis and those describing the nilpotent operators, will be what we will refer to as eigencoordinates.

These new notions have a distinct advantage, as we will see, in our setting, when dealing with questions surrounding transcendence properties. Namely they will allow us to:

1. replace the $g_{i,j}$ by the eigencoordinates of $A$, when dealing with transcendence questions, and

2. capture the essence of the map $E$, as far as transcendence is concerned, and replace the $E_{i,j}$ by the eigencoordinates of $A$, again in questions concerning transcendence.
The scope of this section is to state and prove the main lemmas that we will need concerning these new notions. As a motivation we first deal with the case where all of the eigenvalues $f_i$ of our matrix $A$ are distinct. After that we proceed with dealing with the general case.

§3.1 Distinct Eigenvalues

Let $A$, $f_i$, and $g_{i,j}$ be as defined above, we assume that all the eigenvalues of our matrix $A$ are distinct. This is equivalent to the eigenvalues being distinct for both $A$ and $E(A)$, since the $f_i$ have no constant term. Among all the possible bases of eigenvectors for $A$ we choose one in a canonical way.

Let $K$ be an algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{C}(\{f_i, g_{i,j} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\})$, and let $t_{i,j} \in K$, with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ be such that the vector

$$\vec{v}_i = (-t_{1,i}, \ldots, -t_{i-1,i}, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$$

is an $f_i$-eigenvector for $A$. In this case $\vec{v}_i$ will also be an $e^{f_i}$-eigenvector for $E(A)$. We leave the proof of the existence of this canonical basis for $A$, chosen as above, to Lemma 3.3.

For the above, we will have the following

Lemma 3.1. Let $A$ and $t_{i,j}$ be as above. Then

$$tr.d.\mathbb{C}(A) = tr.d.\mathbb{C}(\{f_i, t_{i,j} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}).$$

Proof. The condition $A\vec{v}_i = \vec{v}_i$ translates to the following system of equations

$$\frac{g_{i,j} - g_{i,j-1}t_{j-1,j} - \cdots - g_{i,i+1}t_{i+1,j}}{f_i - f_j} = t_{i,j}
\vdots
\frac{g_{j-1,j}}{f_{j-1} - f_j} = t_{j-1,j}$$

Since all of the $f_i$ are distinct we can write the $t_{i,j}$ as rational functions on the entries of $A$ by solving the above system of equations. In particular we get that for all $i < j$:

a. $t_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{f_i - f_j}, g_{s,r} : \min(i,j) \leq s < r \leq \max(i,j)]$, and

b. There exists $Q_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{f_i - f_j}, g_{s,r} : \min(i,j) \leq s < r \leq \max(i,j)]$ such that

$$t_{i,j}(A) = \frac{g_{i,j} - Q_{i,j}(A)}{f_i - f_j}.$$  

Our result now follows trivially from the above remarks. \qed
Since the matrices $A$ and $E(A)$ have the same eigenvectors, in the case where both $A$ and $E(A)$ have distinct eigenvalues, we get that, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we will have $t_{i,j}(A) = t_{i,j}(E(A))$. This remark, together with the proof of Lemma 3.1 implies

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $A$ and $t_{i,j}$ be as defined above. Then

$$
\text{tr} \cdot \text{d.}C(E(A)) = \text{tr} \cdot \text{d.}C(\{e^{f_i}, t_{i,j}(A) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}), \quad \text{and}
$$

$$
\text{tr} \cdot \text{d.}C(A, E(A)) = \text{tr} \cdot \text{d.}C(\{f_i, e^{f_i}, t_{i,j}(A) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}).
$$

**Proof.** Let $\vec{v}_i$ be the canonically chosen basis for $A$. Then, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, by combining the proof of Lemma 3.1 and the equality $t_{i,j}(A) = t_{i,j}(E(A))$, there exists $Q_{i,j}$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 such that

$$
E_{i,j}(A) = (g_{i,j} - Q_{i,j}(A)) \frac{e^{f_i} - e^{f_j}}{f_i - f_j} + Q_{i,j}(E(A)).
$$

The result then follows trivially from these remarks. \qed

§3.2 Repeating eigenvalues

In the case where we have eigenvalues with multiplicity greater than 1 we have to alter our approach. The idea is to generalize the approach of the previous subsection. In other words, we wish to find a canonically defined basis, which will allow us to define coordinates that characterize our original matrix $A$ uniquely. Furthermore we wish to describe those coordinates as algebraic functions of the coordinates of $A$. Our ultimate goal is to obtain results about transcendence degrees similar to those we proved in the previous case.

We assume that the matrix $A$ has eigenvalues with multiplicities possibly greater than 1. By our assumption that the $f_i$ have no constant term, each eigenvalue $e^{f_i}$ of $E(A)$ has the same multiplicity as the respective eigenvalue $f_i$ of $A$.

§3.2.1 The Canonical Basis

Our first objective will be to describe and prove the existence of a certain canonical basis for $A$. We begin by describing the canonical basis of each eigenspace, then we combine these to create the basis we want.

Just as before we let $A = \text{diag}(\vec{f}) + (g_{i,j})$ an upper triangular matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]]$ and $K$ be an algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{C}(\{f_i, g_{i,j} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\})$.  
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Lemma 3.3. Let \( z = f_{i_1} = \ldots = f_{i_{k-1}} = f_{i_k} \) with \( i_1 < \ldots < i_k \). We also assume that \( f_i \neq z \) for all \( i \neq i_j \). Let \( M(z) \) be the generalized eigenspace for the eigenvalue \( z \). Then there exists a unique basis \( \mathcal{B}_z \) of \( M(z) \) consisting of vectors \( \vec{v}_{i_j} \), \( 1 \leq j \leq k \), such that

1. \( \vec{v}_{i_j} \) is of the form
   \[ \vec{v}_{i_j} = (-t_{i_1,i_j}, \ldots, -t_{i_{j-1},i_j}, 1, 0, \ldots, 0), \]
   and

2. \( t_{l,i_j} = 0 \) for \( l = i_r \) where \( 1 \leq r \leq j - 1 \), and

3. there exist \( s_{i_l,i_r} \in K \) for \( 1 \leq l < r \leq k \) such that
   \[ A\vec{v}_{i_j} = z\vec{v}_{i_j} + s_{i_1,i_j} \vec{v}_{i_1} + \cdots + s_{i_{j-1},i_j} \vec{v}_{i_{j-1}}. \]

Proof. We proceed by induction on \( k = \dim_C(M(z)) \). For \( k = 1 \) the uniqueness follows from the unique solution to the \( t_{i,j} \) described by the equations (1).

Assume that \( k = 2 \). Then applying the system (2) we can determine the vector \( \vec{v}_{i_1} \), which will be an eigenvector for \( A \). Since \( \vec{v}_{i_2} \) will in general be a generalized eigenvector then we will have

\[ (A - zI_n)\vec{v}_{i_2} = s_{i_1,i_2} \vec{v}_{i_1}, \]

for some \( s_{i_1,i_2} \in K \). We can therefore assume without loss of generality that \( t_{i_1,i_2} = 0 \).

This relation will describe the coefficients of \( \vec{v}_{i_2} \) uniquely thanks to the following series of equations:

1. In the range \( i_1 < j < i_2 \) we get
   \[ 0 = -(f_{i_2-1} - f_{i_2})t_{i_2-1,i_2} + g_{i_2-1,i_2} \]
   \[ \vdots \]
   \[ 0 = -(f_{i_1+1} - f_{i_2})t_{i_1+1,i_2} - \cdots - g_{i_1+1,i_2-1}t_{i_2-1,i_2} + g_{i_1+1,i_2} \] (2)

2. For \( j = i_1 \)
   \[ -g_{i_1,i_1+1}t_{i_1+1,i_2} - \cdots - g_{i_1,i_2-1}t_{i_2-1,i_2} + g_{i_1,i_2} = s_{i_1,i_2} \] (3)

3. In the range \( 1 \leq j < i_1 \)
   \[ -(f_j - f_{i_2})t_{j,i_2} - \cdots - g_{j,i_2-1}t_{i_2-1,i_2} + g_{j,i_2} = s_{i_1,i_2}t_{j,i_1}. \] (4)
Solving the above system, starting from the first equation of (2) and moving to the final equation described in the system (4) provides a unique solution for $t_{j,i}$ in terms of the $f$s and $g$s.

Assume the result holds for $\dim \mathbb{C} M(z) = k$. Then in order to prove the inductive step we can create a similar system of equations with unique solution for the $t_{s,i}$ in terms of the coefficient of the matrix $A$.

We force relations on the canonical basis to be chosen so that

$$A\vec{v}_{ij} = z\vec{v}_{ij} + s_{i,i,j}\vec{v}_{i1} + \cdots + s_{i,j-1,i,j}\vec{v}_{ij-1}. \quad (5)$$

Then by induction it’s enough to determine the $t_{s,i,k+1}$, since the rest of the vectors will constitute a basis for the respective eigenspace of a smaller diagonal submatrix of $A$. To do this we just translate (5) for $j = k + 1$ to a system of equations similar to the systems (2), (3), and (4).

Combining all of the canonical bases of the eigenspaces we get a basis

$$\vec{v}_i = (-t_{1,i}, \ldots, -t_{i-1,i}, 1, 0, \ldots, 0),$$

with $1 \leq i \leq n$, $t_{i,j} \in K$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and such that $t_{i,i} = 0$ if $f_i = f_j$. This will be the canonical basis of $A$.

§3.2.2 Basic Lemmas

In Lemma 3.3 we introduced the coefficients $s_{l,i,r}$ for $l < r$. These coefficients determine uniquely the nilpotent operator defined by $A$ on the generalized eigenspace $M(z)$, i.e. they determine the nilpotent operator $(A - zI_n)|_{M(z)}$. In particular if $z = f_1 = \ldots = f_k$ then we have $s_{l,1,r} \in K$, where $K$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{C}(A)$ for $1 \leq l < r \leq k$ such that

$$A\vec{v}_{ij} = z\vec{v}_{ij} + s_{i,i,j}\vec{v}_{i1} + \cdots + s_{i,j-1,i,j}\vec{v}_{ij-1}. \quad (6)$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.3 both the $t_{i,j}$ and the $s_{i,j}$ are rational functions of the $f_i$ and $g_{i,j}$. Their combined information turns out to be exactly what we will need in what follows.

**Definition.** Let $A = \text{diag}(\vec{f}) + (g_{i,j})$ be an upper triangular matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}[\{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}]$, such the diagonal entries $f_i$ have no constant term. Let $\vec{v}_i = (-t_{1,i}, \ldots, -t_{i-1,i}, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ be a canonical basis for $A$. Also we consider the $s_{i,j}$ that satisfy the equations in (6) for those eigenvalues of $A$ with multiplicity greater than 1. We define the eigencoordinates of $A$ to be

$$T_{i,j}(A) = \begin{cases} t_{i,j}, & \text{if } f_i \neq f_j \\ s_{i,j}, & \text{if } f_i = f_j \end{cases}.$$
At this point we want to replicate the results of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. We start with the following

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $A$ be as above and let $T_{i,j}(A)$ be its eigencoordinates. Then

$$\text{tr.d.}_C(A) = \text{tr.d.}_C(\{f_i, T_{i,j}(A) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}).$$

**Proof.** For convenience we define the ring

$$R_{i,j} = \mathbb{Q}[g_{s,t}, \frac{1}{f_s-f_j} : \min(i,j) \leq s < t \leq \max(s,t), f_s - f_j \neq 0 (s, t) \neq (i, j)].$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.3 it follows that the $T_{i,j}$ are rational functions on the coordinates of the matrix such that

(a) if $f_i \neq f_j$ then there exists a $Q_{i,j} \in R_{i,j}$ such that

$$T_{i,j}(A) = \frac{g_{i,j}-Q_{i,j}(A)}{f_i-f_j}.$$  

(b) if $f_i = f_j$ then there exists $Q_{i,j} \in R_{i,j}$ such that

$$T_{i,j}(A) = g_{i,j} - Q_{i,j}(A).$$

In other words the map $A \mapsto \text{diag}(f_1, \ldots, f_n) + (T_{i,j}(A))$ is bijective and the coordinates are rational functions on the entries of $A$ with only factors of the form $f_i - f_j$ appearing in the denominator.

The equality of the transcendence degrees in question then follows easily from the above remarks. 

The next step here is to study the effects of the exponential function on the eigencoordinates. We record the main such results we will need in the following

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $A$ be as above and let $T_{i,j}(A)$ be its eigencoordinates. Then

$$\text{tr.d.}_C(E(A)) = \text{tr.d.}_C(\{e^{f_i}, T_{i,j}(A) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}).$$

From this and Lemma 3.4 we conclude that

$$\text{tr.d.}_C(A, E(A)) = \text{tr.d.}_C(\{f_i, e^{f_i}, T_{i,j}(A) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}).$$

**Proof.** We start by fixing some notation. If $f_i \neq f_j$ we let $R_{i,j}$ be the rings defined in the proof of Lemma 3.4. Also, if $f_i = f_j$, we set

$$D_{i,j} = \mathbb{Q}(f_j)[\frac{1}{T_{i,j}}, g_{s,t} : \min(i,j) \leq s < t \leq \max(i,j), (s,t) \neq (i,j)].$$
Since we have already dealt with the case where all eigenvalues are distinct, we only have to study the behaviour of the exponential with respect to the generalized eigenspaces of dimension greater than 1.

We assume that \( z = f_{i_1} = \ldots = f_{i_k} \) with \( f_i \neq z \) if \( i \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \) so that the system (6) actually describes the eigencoordinates of \( A \).

We start by looking at the effect of the exponential on the equations of (6).

By induction and the definition of the exponential we get the following relation for the exponential matrix \( E(A) \)
\[
E(A)\vec{v}_{ij} = e^z\vec{v}_{ij} + e^z[s_{i_1,ij}\vec{v}_{i_1} + \cdots + s_{ij-1,ij}\vec{v}_{ij-1}] +
+ e^z[s_{i_1,ij}\vec{v}_{i_1} + \cdots + s_{ij-2,ij}\vec{v}_{ij-2}]
\]
(7)
where \( S_{it,ij} = \sum_{t<i_1<\ldots<t_m<j} \frac{1}{m!}s_{it,i_1}\cdots s_{itk-1,ik}. \)

Most importantly (7) implies that
\[
T_{i,j}(E(A)) = \begin{cases} 
eq f_j \end{cases} \]
(8)
where the \( S_{i,j} \) will again be elements of the ring \( R_{i,j} \), due to the proof of Lemma 3.4 and can therefore be considered as functions on \( A \).

Another consequence of (7) is that \( S_{ij-1,ij} = 0 \) for all \( j \), hence \( T_{ij-1,ij}(E(A)) = e^{z}T_{ij-1,ij}(A) \) for all \( j \). By induction on \( |t-l| \), and the recursive definition of the \( S_{i,j} \), it follows that we can write \( T_{i,j}(A) \) as a rational function on the entries of \( E(A) \) with one difference to the rational functions that have been appearing so far, the denominator will be a product of factors of the form \( e^h - e^l \), where \( f_i \neq f_j \), and factors of the form \( e^z \), where \( z = f_{i_1} = \ldots = f_{i_k} \).

Indeed \( e^{-z}s_{ij-1,ij}(E(A)) = s_{ij-1,ij}(A) \), so we get by (7)
\[
s_{ij-2,ij}(E(A)) = e^z s_{ij-2,ij}(A) + e^z s_{ij-2,ij-1}(A) s_{ij-1,ij}(A).
\]
Using both of these together yields
\[
s_{ij-2,ij}(A) = e^{-z}(s_{ij-2,ij}(E(A)) - e^{-z}s_{ij-2,ij-1}(E(A))s_{ij-1,ij}(E(A)).
\]
The proof of this claim follows by induction along these lines.

Combining these remarks with the proofs of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 we conclude that
\[
T_{i,j}(E(A)) = e^z(T_{i,j}(A)) + P_{i,j}(E(A)),
\]
(9)
where \( P_{i,j} \in D_{i,j} \).
We now go about proving that the transcendence degrees in question are in fact equal. From Lemma 3.4 applied to the matrix $E(A)$ we get that
\[
\text{tr.deg}_C(E(A)) = \text{tr.deg}_C(\{e^{f_i}, T_{i,j}(E(A)) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}).
\]
From (9) on the other hand we get that
\[
\text{tr.deg}_C(\{e^{f_i}, T_{i,j}(A) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}) \leq \text{tr.deg}_C(\{e^{f_i}, T_{i,j}(E(A)) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}).
\]
We are therefore left with proving the converse inequality. We already know that $T_{i,j}(E(A)) = T_{i,j}(A)$, if $f_i \neq f_j$. So suppose that as above we have some repeating eigenvalues. By the definition of the $S_{i,j}$ in (7) and by (8) we conclude that all of the $T_{i,j}(E(A))$ will in fact be elements of the field $C(\{e^{f_i}, T_{i,j}(A) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\})$.

\section{Ax-Schanuel for $\mathfrak{h}_n$}

At this point we are able to state and prove the Ax-Schanuel result for the map $E : \mathfrak{h}_n \to U_n$. We also record a corollary of our result, as well as an alternate geometric view in the spirit of [Pil15].

As we have been doing so far, we let $E_{i,j}(A)$ be the corresponding entry of the matrix $E(A)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

\textbf{Theorem 4.1.} (Ax-Schanuel for $\mathfrak{h}_n$) Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n, g_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[t_1, \ldots, t_m]$ be power series, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. We assume that the $f_i$ don't have a constant term. Let $A$ be the $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix with diagonal $\vec{f}$ and the $(i,j)$ entry equal to $g_{i,j}$. Then, assuming that the $f_i$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent modulo $\mathbb{C}$,
\[
\text{tr.deg}_C(A, E(A)) \geq n + \text{rank}(J(\vec{f}, \vec{g}; \vec{t})).
\]

\textit{Proof.} From Proposition 3.1 we may replace the left hand side of the above inequality by $\text{tr.deg}_C(\{f_i, g_{i,j}, e^{h_i} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\})$. This reduces the proof to Theorem 2.11 by giving the $g_{i,j}$ a new indexing $g_k, 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

At this point we get the following immediate

\textbf{Corollary 4.1.} Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n, g_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[t_1, \ldots, t_m]$ be power series, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. We assume that the $f_i$ don't have a constant term. Let $A$ be the $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix with diagonal $\vec{f}$ and the $(i,j)$ entry equal to $g_{i,j}$.

Let $N = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} < f_1, \ldots, f_n>$, then
\[ \text{tr.deg}_C C(A, E(A)) \geq N + \text{rank}(J(\vec{f}, \vec{g}; \vec{t})). \]

An Alternate Formulation

In the spirit of [Pil15] we can give an alternate form of Theorem 4.1. This time the background is slightly changed. We let \( V \subset \mathfrak{h}_n \) be an open subset and \( X \subset V \) a complex analytic subvariety of \( V \) such that both \( X \) and \( V \) contain the origin and locally the coordinate functions, \( f_1, \ldots, f_n \) and \( g_{i,j} \) for \( i < j \), are meromorphic functions on \( X \). We assume the same holds for the functions \( E_{i,j}(\text{diag}(\vec{f}) + (g_{s,t})) \), the functions \( e^h \), and that there exist derivations \( D_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \), such that \( \text{dim}(X) = \text{rank}(D_{i,j}(g_{s,t})) \), where here we take \( g_{s,s} = g_s \), and the rank is taken over the field of meromorphic functions on \( X \). Finally we assume that the \( E_{s,t} \) satisfy the expected differential equations for \( D_{i,j} \).

For reasons of convenience, and in keeping a similar notation to the previous version, we let \( A = (\vec{f}) + (g_{s,t}) \) denote the matrix corresponding to the coordinates \( f_i \) and \( g_{i,j} \).

**Theorem 4.2. (Ax-Schanuel Alternate Formulation)** In the above context, if the \( f_i \) are \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linearly independent modulo \( C \), then

\[ \text{tr.deg}_C C(A, E(A)) \geq n + \text{dim}(X). \]

Also similarly to above we can translate Corollary 4.1 in this context.

**Corollary 4.2.** In the above context, if the \( f_i \) are are such that \( N = \text{dim}_\mathbb{Q} < f_1, \ldots, f_n > \), then

\[ \text{tr.deg}_C C(A, E(A)) \geq N + \text{dim}(X). \]

This form of the Ax-Schanuel result is the one we will use in what follows.

§5 Weakly Special Subvarieties for \( \mathfrak{h}_n \)

We turn our attention to describing the weakly special subvarieties of \( \mathfrak{h}_n \) that contain the origin, i.e. the zero matrix. Geometrically Proposition 3.1 gives us a significant amount of motivation. We can expect that the weakly special subvarieties will be determined by the following information:

1. A system \( \Sigma \) of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear equations on the diagonal coordinates of the matrices, i.e. the eigenvalues, and

2. A system of equations on the eigen-coordinates of a generic matrix in the subvariety of \( \mathfrak{h}_n \) defined by the system \( \Sigma \).
Conditions on Eigenvalues

We start by making this idea more explicit. Let’s assume that we have a minimal system $\Sigma$ of $\mathbb{Q}$–linear equations on the diagonal coordinates of $h_n$, i.e. equations of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i f_i = 0,$$

where $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $Z(\Sigma) \subset h_n$ be the algebraic subvariety of $h_n$ defined by $\Sigma$.

Picking a generic matrix $A \in Z(\Sigma)$ the multiplicities of the eigenvalues will be determined by $\Sigma$. More specifically, depending on $\Sigma$ we have fixed multiplicities of eigenvalues on a dense open subset of $Z(\Sigma)$, which we denote by $U_\Sigma$. To define this latter subset we start by considering the set

$$I_\Sigma = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, Z(\Sigma) \subset Z(f_i - f_j)\}.$$

Then we take

$$U_\Sigma = Z(\Sigma) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{(i, j) \notin I_\Sigma} Z(f_i - f_j) \right).$$

Passing to the eigencoordinates

Let us restrict our attention to $U_\Sigma$. On this dense open subset we can define, by Lemma 3.3, a canonical basis for any matrix $A \in U_\Sigma$. The eigencoordinates of $A$ will be well defined regular functions on $U_\Sigma$, thanks again to the proof of Lemma 3.3.

The relations proven during the proof of Proposition 3.1 reinterpreted geometrically show that any algebraic relation satisfied by the $T_{i,j}(A)$ will translate to an algebraic relation for the $T_{i,j}(E(A))$ and vice versa. In order to translate this into a geometric language we must first find a more convenient description for $Z(\Sigma)$ and $U_\Sigma$.

We start by noting that we have an isomorphism

$$Z(\Sigma) \cong L \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}},$$

where $L$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$–linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^k$ where $k$ is the number of generically distinct eigenvalues of $Z(\Sigma)$. Let us also consider the dense open subset $V_\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ with

$$D_\Sigma = \mathbb{C}^k \setminus \left( \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} Z(x_i - x_j) \right),$$
where \( x_i \) denote the coordinates of \( \mathcal{C}^k \).

Combining the above, we consider \( L' = L \cap D_\Sigma \) under this identification. We may then take the following isomorphism

\[
U_\Sigma \cong L' \times \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C}^{n(n-1)/2}.
\]

At this point we apply Lemma 3 which shows that we can change coordinates on the \( \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C}^{n(n-1)/2} \) part of the right hand side of the above isomorphism from \( g_{i,j} \) to \( T_{i,j} \). In other words, we have an isomorphism

\[
T_\Sigma : U_\Sigma \to L' \times \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C}^{n(n-1)/2},
\]

where the \( \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C}^{n(n-1)/2} \) on the right signifies the affine space of the eigencoordinates \( T_{i,j} \).

**Conditions on the eigencoordinates**

Let \( V \subset \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C}^{n(n-1)/2} \) be an irreducible subvariety of \( \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C}^{n(n-1)/2} \) that contains the origin, where the latter is considered as the space of the eigencoordinates. Then if we consider \( W = L' \times V \) this will be an irreducible subvariety of \( L' \times \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C}^{n(n-1)/2} \). We now consider its inverse under the isomorphism \( T_\Sigma \) of (11). Finally we consider the Zariski closure of the resulting set in \( \mathfrak{h}_n \), which we will denote by \( X(\Sigma, V) \).

Notice that \( X(\Sigma, V) \) satisfies exactly what we wanted, the diagonal coordinates are only subject to \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear equations, any relation on the strictly upper triangular part comes from relations on the eigencoordinates, it is irreducible and it contains the origin.

**Definition** (Weakly Special Subvarieties). An irreducible subvariety \( X \) of \( \mathfrak{h}_n \) that contains the origin will be called **weakly special** if there exist:

1. a system \( \Sigma \) of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear equations on the diagonal entries, and

2. an irreducible subvariety \( V \) defined as above,

such that \( X = X(\Sigma, V) \), where the latter is as defined in the above discussion.

**§6 Ax-Lindemann for \( \mathfrak{h}_n \) and other corollaries**

Here we record some corollaries of our Ax-Schanuel result. We start with a corollary about atypical intersections and then use that to prove the Ax-
Lindemann result. The latter allows us to characterize the bi-algebraic sub-
sets for the map $E$ that contain the origin. The exposition follows in the
spirit of [Pil15].

We start by defining the notion of a component.

**Definition.** Let $W \subset h_n$ and $V \subset U_n$ be algebraic subvarieties. Then a
component $X$ of $W \cap E^{-1}(V)$ will be a complex-analytically irreducible com-
ponent of $W \cap E^{-1}(V)$.

The context in which we will be using our Ax-Schanuel result is the one
described in Theorem 4.

**Theorem 6.1** (Full Ax-Schanuel for $h_n$). Let $U \subset h_n$ be a weakly special
subvariety, containing the origin, and set $X = E(U)$. Let $W \subset U$ and
$V \subset X$ be algebraic subvarieties, with $0_n \in W$ and $I_n \in V$. If the component
$C$ of $W \cap E^{-1}(V)$ that contains the origin is not contained in any proper
weakly special subvariety of $U$ then

$$\dim_C C \leq \dim_C V + \dim_C W - \dim_C X.$$ 

**Proof.** Following the discussion of the previous section, we can associate to
the subvariety $U$ a system $\Sigma$ of $Q$–linear equations on the diagonal entries, as
well as the corresponding $Q$–linear subspace $L$ of $C^k$ where $k$ is the number
of generically distinct eigenvalues, and a subvariety $Z$ of $A_{(n-1)}$. In other
words with the notation of the previous section $U = X(\Sigma, Z)$. We also denote
by $U_\Sigma$ the corresponding dense open subset we had in the discussion of the
previous section.

At this point we let $B = U_\Sigma \cap C$, then $B$ is again a complex analytically
irreducible subset that is dense in $C$ and it is not contained in a proper
weakly special subvariety of $U$. In particular we will have $\dim_C B = \dim_C C$.

We denote by $f_i$ the diagonal coordinates of a matrix as functions on $B$ and similarly for the coordinates $g_{i,j}$. Likewise we denote the diagonal
coordinates of the exponential map by $E_i$ and the strictly upper triangular
by $E_{i,j}$ and we consider them as functions on $B$ as well, keeping in mind that
$E_i(A) = e^{f_i}$. For reasons of convenience we let $A = \text{diag}(\vec{f}) + (g_{i,j})$ denote
the matrix of the corresponding coordinates.

We start with some simple remarks concerning our setting. First of all, we will have

$$\dim_C W \geq tr.d.C(A), \quad \text{and}$$
$$\dim_C V \geq tr.d.C(E(A)).$$
Next, we employ Corollary 4.2 to get that, if \( N = \dim \mathbb{C} < f_1, \ldots, f_n > \), then
\[
\dim \mathbb{C} B + N \leq \text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(A, E(A)).
\] (14)

We also set
\[
m = \text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(A, E(A)),
\] and
\[
l = \text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(\{T_{i,j}(A) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}),
\] with \( T_{i,j} \) denoting once again the eigencoordinates of a matrix. From this point on for convenience we will denote simply by \( T_{i,j} \) the elements \( T_{i,j}(A) \).

At this point we turn our attention to Lemma 3.4, Proposition 3.1, along with equations (8) and (9). On \( B \) the eigencoordinates \( T_{i,j} \) are well defined as functions on \( B \). From the aforementioned lemmas we also get
\[
\text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(A) = \text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(\{T_{i,j}, f_i : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}), \quad \text{and}
\] (15)
\[
\text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(E(A)) = \text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(\{T_{i,j}, E_i : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}).
\] (16)

By the definition of weakly special subvarieties we see that
\[
\dim \mathbb{C} U = \dim \mathbb{C} E(U) = \dim \mathbb{C} X = N + l.
\] (17)

On the other hand, by the minimality of the weakly special subvariety \( U \), we get that, if \( K = \mathbb{C}(\{T_{i,j} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}) \),
\[
m = l + \text{tr.d.}_K K(\{E_i, f_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\})
\] (18)

We also have that
\[
\text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(A) = \text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C} K + \text{tr.d.}_K K(f_1, \ldots, f_n),
\] and likewise that
\[
\text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(E(A)) = \text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C} K + \text{tr.d.}_K K(E_1, \ldots, E_n).
\]

Combining the above equalities implies that
\[
m \leq \text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(E(A)) + \text{tr.d.}_\mathbb{C}(A) - l.
\] (18)

Using (18) along with (13) and (12) yields
\[
m \leq \dim \mathbb{C} W + \dim \mathbb{C} V - l.
\]

Together with (14), (17), and the fact that \( \dim \mathbb{C} B = \dim \mathbb{C} A \), this finishes the proof. \( \Box \)
Corollary 6.1 (Ax-Lindemann for \( h_n \)). Let \( V \subset U_n \) be an algebraic subvariety with \( I_n \in V \). If \( W \subset E^{-1}(V) \) is a maximal subvariety that contains the origin, then \( W \) is a weakly special subvariety.

Proof. Let \( U \) be the minimal weakly special subvariety that contains \( W \), \( X = E(U) \), and let \( V' = V \cap X \). We use Theorem 6.1 for \( A = W \) to get

\[
\dim_C W \leq \dim_C W + \dim_C V' - \dim_C X.
\]

This implies \( \dim_C X \leq \dim_C V' \), and since \( V' \subset X \) we get that \( X \subset V \) and that \( W \subset U \subset E^{-1}(V) \). Maximality of \( W \) then implies that \( W = U \) is weakly special. \( \square \)

Part II

General Matrices

Having studied the exponential of \( h_n \) we can expect to achieve similar Ax-Schanuel and Ax-Lindemann results for the case of general matrices. Once again the key role will be played by the eigenvalues of our matrix.

We start with considering certain subsets of \( \mathfrak{gl}_n \) that will assist us in formulating the Ax-Schanuel and Ax-Lindemann results. We then proceed in a similar fashion to the upper triangular case. Namely we start by stating the Ax-Schanuel result and then reduce its proof to Theorem 2.1. Finally, we conclude with some corollaries of our result.

Notation: For the remainder we will denote the Lie algebra of \( n \times n \) matrices over \( \mathbb{C} \) by \( \mathfrak{gl}_n \) and the respective exponential function by

\[
E : \mathfrak{gl}_n \to GL_n.
\]

§7 Weakly Special Subvarieties for \( \mathfrak{gl}_n \)

We begin our study by defining the data of a matrix \( A \), a notion that will generalize the eigencoordinates we had in the upper triangular case. With the help of this new notion we can define, as we will see, the weakly special subvarieties and achieve a simpler description of the exponential.

As we did in the case of the upper triangular matrices, throughout this section we present as lemmas the equalities of transcendence degrees that we will need in the proofs of our main results.
§7.1 The Data of a matrix

Let $V$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-linear space with $\dim \mathbb{C} V = n$. Let also $A \in \text{Hom}(V, V) = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, then $A$ is uniquely characterized by the following data:

1. A number of distinct complex numbers $z_1, \ldots, z_k$, the eigenvalues of $A$,
2. for each eigenvalue $z_i$ an $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$, the multiplicity of that eigenvalue, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i = n$,
3. for each $z_i$ as above, a subspace $V_i \leq V$, with $\dim V_i = m_i$, such that $V \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_i$, i.e. to every eigenvalue a corresponding generalized eigenspace, and
4. for each $z_i$ as above, a nilpotent operator $N_i \in \text{Hom}(V_i, V_i)$, i.e. $N_i = (A - z_i I_n)|_{V_i}$.

The above picture also holds over an arbitrary algebraically closed field.

**Definition.** Let $A$ be a matrix as above. Then we define the data of the matrix to be the data

$\{ (z_1, \ldots, z_k), (m_1, \ldots, m_k), (V_1, \ldots, V_k), (N_1, \ldots, N_k) \}$.

The information of the generalized eigenspaces $V_i$ and nilpotent operators $N_i$ of a matrix $A$ with $k$ distinct eigenvalues is parametrized by a variety which we will denote by $W_k(\vec{m})$. We also let $w_{k, \vec{m}} = \dim W_k(\vec{m})$. In what follows we will need to consider a set of coordinates on such a variety, which we will denote by $g_j$ with $1 \leq j \leq w_{k, \vec{m}}$.

What’s most important in our setting is that this passage from a matrix to its data preserves the transcendence degree. As in the upper triangular case, we start by considering elements in some ring of formal power series. In this setting we indeed have

**Lemma 7.1.** Let $x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_l]]$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We assume that the $x_{i,j}$ have no constant term and that the matrix $A = (x_{i,j})$ has exactly $k$ distinct eigenvalues $z_1, \ldots, z_k$ with respective multiplicities $m_i$. Let $K$ be an algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{C}(A)$ and $g_j$, $1 \leq j \leq w_{k, \vec{m}}$, be coordinates for the variety $W_k(\vec{m})$ parametrizing the corresponding data of $A$. Then

$$\text{tr.d}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(A) = \text{tr.d}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(\{ z_i, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k, \vec{m}} \}).$$
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Proof. Follows in a similar fashion to Lemma 3.3. The procedure of changing from either set of functions to the other is algebraic. This whole process is possible since we are working over the algebraically closed field $K$.

More precisely, the $z_i$ and $g_j$ are algebraic functions of the $x_{i,j}$ determined by the process of finding the Jordan canonical form. On the other hand starting with the $z_i$ and the $g_j$ we can determine the Jordan canonical form of a matrix and therefore also its entries as algebraic functions of the $z_i$ and $g_j$.

In particular we get that $\mathbb{C}(\{z_i, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,m}\})$ is an algebraic extension of the field $\mathbb{C}(A)$.

§7.2 The Exponential Map

Let $A = (x_{i,j})$ be a matrix with $x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[t_1, \ldots, t_l]$, where the $x_{i,j}$ have no constant term. Let us also assume that $A$ has data given by

\[ \{(z_1, \ldots, z_k), (m_1, \ldots, m_k), (V_1, \ldots, V_k), (N_1, \ldots, N_k)\}. \]

We are able to consider such data working over an algebraic closure $K$ of the field $\mathbb{C}(A)$. We would like to extract from this a simpler way for computing the effect of the exponential on $A$.

Since the $x_{i,j}$, and hence also the $z_i$, have no constant term, it’s easy to see that the corresponding data for the matrix $E(A)$ will be:

1. the eigenvalues will be the distinct elements $e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_k} \in \mathbb{C}[t_1, \ldots, t_l]$,
2. the multiplicities $m_i$ will be the same,
3. the generalized eigenspaces $V_i$ will remain as such, and
4. the nilpotent operator corresponding to each $e^{z_i}$ is

\[ N_i' = e^{z_i}(E(N_i) - id_{V_i}). \]

Once again the same will hold if we substitute the field $\mathbb{C}$ by any algebraically closed field.

Let $E_{i,j}(A)$ denote the $(i, j)$ entry of the exponential matrix $E(A)$. Then we will have the following

**Proposition 7.1.** Let $x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_l]], 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, be such that the $x_{i,j}$ have no constant term. We assume that $A = (x_{i,j})$ has exactly $k$ distinct eigenvalues $z_1, \ldots, z_k$ with respective multiplicities $m_1, \ldots, m_k$. Let $K$ be an algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{C}(A)$ and $g_j = g_j(A), 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,m}$, be
coordinates for the variety $W_k(\tilde{m})$ parametrizing the corresponding data of $A$. Then

$$tr.d._C(E(A)) = tr.d._C(\{e^{zi}, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}}\}),$$

and

$$tr.d._C(A, E(A)) = tr.d._C(\{z_i, e^{zi}, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}}\}).$$

Proof. Let $h_j = g_j(E(A)), 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}},$ be the coordinates in $W_k(\tilde{m})$ parametrizing the corresponding data of $E(A).$ From Lemma 7.1 applied to the matrix $E(A)$ we get

$$tr.d._C(E(A)) = tr.d._C(\{e^{zi}, h_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}}\}).$$

Therefore we are left with proving the following equality

$$\begin{align*}
tr.d._C(\{e^{zi}, h_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}}\}) &= tr.d._C(\{e^{zi}, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}}\}).
\end{align*}$$

By the remarks above though the $g_j$ and $h_j$ will parametrize the same $V_i$, so that their only difference is located in those $h_j$ and $g_j$ that parametrize the nilpotent operators. For the latter we know that we will have

$$N_i' = e^{zi}(E(N_i) - id_{V_i}).$$

Claim: The map $f : Nil(m) \to Nil(m)$ given by $N \mapsto E(N) - id$ is a bialgebraic map, where $Nil(m)$ denotes the space of nilpotent operators on an $m-$dimensional $C-$vector space.

Assuming this claim holds, if $h_j^i, g_j^i, j \in J_i$ denote the elements among the $h_j$ and $g_j$ respectively, that parametrize the information of the nilpotent operators $N_i'$ and $N_i,$ then the above shows that

$$C(\{e^{zi}, g_j^i : j \in J_i\}) = C(\{e^{zi}, h_j^i : j \in J_i\}),$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Combining this with the fact that $h_j = g_j$ for all of the rest, i.e. those parametrizing the $V_i$, the result follows trivially.

The above argument shows that in fact $C(\{e^{zi}, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}}\}) = C(\{e^{zi}, h_j : 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}}\}).$ Combining this with the remark at the end of the proof of Lemma 7.1 we get that the field $F_1 = C(\{A, e^{zi}, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}}\})$ is a finite algebraic extension of the field $C(A, E(A)).$ Similarly, $F_2 = C(\{e^{zi}, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\tilde{m}}\})$ is a finite algebraic extension of $F_1$ which finishes the proof of the second equality.
Proof of Claim. Let $N$ be a nilpotent operator on an $m$–dimensional vector space and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $N^k \neq 0$ and $N^{k+1} = 0$. Then $E(N) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{N^r}{r!}$ so that $N \mapsto E(N) - id$ is obviously algebraic.

On the other hand define $L : \text{Nil}(m) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(m)$ given by

$$N \mapsto \log(id + N) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r+1}N^r}{r}.$$ 

Since our operator are nilpotent this sum is finite and the map is algebraic, similarly to the above argument. The two functions are inverse of each other, which proves the claim. 

§7.3 The Weakly Special Subvarieties

We return once more to $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and proceed towards defining the weakly special subvarieties. The results we had so far lead us in a natural way to consider some specific subsets of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. We start by formalizing some of the previous ideas, mainly that of the variety $W_k(\vec{m})$.

Consider a vector space $V$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = n$, some fixed $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, some fixed $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $m_1 + \ldots + m_k = n$. Then $W_k(\vec{m})$ is the algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ that parametrizes the information $\{(V_1, \ldots, V_k), (N_1, \ldots, N_k)\}$, where $V_i \leq V$ are subspaces that define a decomposition of $V$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_i = m_i$ and each $N_i$ is a nilpotent operator on the respective subspace $V_i$.

At this point we let $X_k(\vec{m}) = \mathbb{A}^k \times W_k(\vec{m})$. It is therefore natural to consider coordinates $z_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, for $\mathbb{A}^k$ and coordinates $g_j$, $1 \leq j \leq w_{k, \vec{m}}$ for $W_k(\vec{m})$, as we did before. We also define the map

$$\Phi_{k, \vec{m}} : X_k(\vec{m}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n$$

such that $\{(z_i), (g_j)\} \mapsto PJP^{-1}$, with $J$ being a block diagonal matrix, with its blocks being Jordan blocks, where we allow elements of the super diagonal to be either 0 or 1, and $P$ being the transition matrix that is defined by the subspaces $V_i$ parametrized by the coordinates $g_j$.

Remarks. 1. The image of $\Phi_{k, \vec{m}}$ will contain all matrices with $k$ distinct eigenvalues each of them having multiplicity $m_i$. This is true since the Jordan canonical form of a matrix is uniquely determined up to permutation by the Jordan blocks. Permuting these blocks also results in respective permutations of the columns of the transition matrix $P$, which are parametrized by the $g_j$.

2. We note that $\Phi_{k, \vec{m}}$ is not injective, but is a quasi-finite morphism of varieties. This suffices for our purposes.
Relations on Eigenvalues

We expect that the only algebraic relations that will be allowable on the eigenvalues will be $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations. Since we have already accounted for the number of distinct eigenvalues we also require that these relations don’t force any more eigenvalues to be equal.

With that in mind we let $\Sigma$ be a finite system of equations of the form

$$f(\vec{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i z_i = 0$$

on the distinct $z_i$, and let $I$ be the ideal generated by $\Sigma$ in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_k]$. We also assume that $\forall i, j$ such that $z_i - z_j \in I$, i.e. the system of equations $\Sigma$ doesn’t force any of the previously distinct $z_i$ to coincide. Finally we also let

$$T_\Sigma = Z(\Sigma) \subset \mathbb{A}^k.$$

Other Relations

For the rest of the data of the matrix we allow any algebraic relation that does not depend on the eigenvalues. So we consider $W \subset W_k(\vec{m})$ to be a subvariety of $W_k(\vec{m})$. Then if we are given a system $\Sigma$ as above and a subvariety $W \subset W_k(\vec{m})$ we let

$$X(k, \vec{m}, \Sigma, W) = T_\Sigma \times W.$$

All of the above lead us naturally to the following definition.

**Definition.** A subvariety $U \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ containing the origin will be called weakly special if there exist a natural number $1 \leq k \leq n$, a vector $\vec{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i = n$, a system $\Sigma$ of $\mathbb{Q}$-linear equations, and a subvariety $W \subset W_k(\vec{m})$, all defined as above, such that

$$U = Zcl(\Phi_{k, \vec{m}}(X(k, \vec{m}, \Sigma, W))),$$

where $Zcl(R)$ denotes the Zariski closure in $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ of a subset $R \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$.

§8 Ax-Schanuel for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$

We continue with our study of $E : \mathfrak{gl}_n \to GL_n$ by stating the Ax-Schanuel result and proving it by reducing to Theorem 2.1

We will denote the coordinates of the map $E$ by $E_{i,j}$. We start with stating the theorem in the functional point of view.
Theorem 8.1 (Ax-Schanuel for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$). Let $x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[t_1, \ldots, t_m]$ be power series with no constant term, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $z_i \in \mathbb{C}[t_1, \ldots, t_m]$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, denote the eigenvalues of the matrix $A = (x_{i,j})$. Let us also set $N = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} < z_1, \ldots, z_n >$, then

$$tr.d_{\mathbb{C}}C(A, E(A)) \geq N + \text{rank} J((x_{i,j})|\vec{t}).$$

Proof. Let $K$ be the algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{C}(A)$ and assume $A = (x_{i,j}) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(K)$ has exactly $k$ distinct eigenvalues. Let us also assume that the data of the matrix $A$ is given by $(z_1, \ldots, z_k), (m_1, \ldots, m_k), (V_1, \ldots, V_k), \text{ and } (N_1, \ldots, N_k)$.

Let $\{g_j : 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\vec{m}}\}$ be coordinates in $W_k(\vec{m})(K)$ describing the above data.

We are therefore in a position to apply Proposition 7 to get that

$$tr.d_{\mathbb{C}}C(A, E(A)) = tr.d_{\mathbb{C}}C(\{z_i, e^{z_i}, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\vec{m}}\}),$$

which reduces to Theorem 2.

An Alternate Formulation

Similar to the alternate formulation Theorem 4 for the Ax-Schanuel we had for $\mathfrak{h}_n$ we can give an alternate form of Theorem 8.1, again we have to change the background accordingly.

We let $V \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ be an open subset and $X \subset V$ a complex analytic subvariety of $V$ containing the origin such that locally the functions $x_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, are meromorphic functions on $X$. We assume the same holds for the functions $E_{i,j}(x_{s,t})$ and that there exist derivations $D_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = \text{rank}(D_{i,j}(x_{s,t}))$, where the rank is taken over the field of meromorphic functions on $X$. Finally we assume that the $E_{s,t}$ satisfy the expected differential equations for $D_{i,j}$.

Once again, for reasons of convenience, and notational coherence, we let $A = (x_{i,j})$ denote the matrix corresponding to the coordinates $x_{i,j}$.

Theorem 8.2. (Ax-Schanuel Alternate Formulation) In the above context, if the eigenvalues $z_i$ are such that $N = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} < z_1, \ldots, z_n >$, then

$$tr.deg_{\mathbb{C}}C(A, E(A)) \geq N + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X.$$

This version of the Ax-Schanuel result is the one most useful when extracting geometric corollaries, as we have already seen.
§9 Use of Ax-Lindemann for \( \mathfrak{gl}_n \) and other corollaries

We approach this in the same way as we did for the corresponding result in §6. We start with defining components in this setting. After that we prove a result on atypical intersections, similar to Theorem 6.1, and then, just as in §6, use this to infer our Ax-Lindemann result.

**Definition.** Let \( W \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n \) and \( V \subset \mathfrak{GL}_n \) be algebraic subvarieties. Then a **component** \( C \) of \( W \cap E^{-1}(V) \) will be a complex-analytically irreducible component of \( W \cap E^{-1}(V) \).

**Theorem 9.1** (Full Ax-Schanuel for \( \mathfrak{gl}_n \)). Let \( U \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n \) be a weakly special subvariety that contains the origin, let \( X = E(U) \), and let \( V \subset U \) and \( Z \subset X \) be algebraic subvarieties, such that \( \vec{0} \in V \\) and \( I_n \in Z \). If \( C \) is a component of \( V \cap E^{-1}(Z) \) with \( \vec{0} \in C \), then, assuming that \( C \) is not contained in any proper weakly special subvariety of \( U \),

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} C \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( U = \text{Zcl}(\Phi_{k,\vec{m}}(X(k, \vec{m}, \Sigma, W))) \), consider the set

\[ B_k = X(k, \vec{m}, \Sigma, W) \setminus \{z_i - z_j \in 2\pi i \mathbb{Z} : 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}, \]

and let \( B = \Phi_{k,\vec{m}}(B_k) \cap C \). We will have \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} B = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} C \) and on \( B \) the \( x_{i,j} \), \( z_i \), and \( g_j \) are well defined meromorphic functions, where \( z_i \) and \( g_j \) denote the coordinates of \( T_{\Sigma} \) and \( W \subset W_k(\vec{m}) \) respectively. We also let \( N = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle z_1, \ldots, z_k \rangle \).

Then we may use Theorem 8.2 to deduce that

\[
\text{tr.d.} \mathbb{C}(A, E(A)) \geq N + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} C.
\]

(19)

On the other hand, we have the following inequalities:

\[ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z \geq \text{tr.d.} \mathbb{C}(E(A)), \quad \text{and} \]

\[ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \geq \text{tr.d.} \mathbb{C}(A). \]

Combining these with Lemma 7.1 and Proposition 7.1 we conclude that

\[ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z \geq \text{tr.d.} \mathbb{C}(\{e^{z_i}, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\vec{m}}\}), \]

(20)

\[ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \geq \text{tr.d.} \mathbb{C}(\{z_i, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_{k,\vec{m}}\}). \]

(21)
On the other hand, if we set $L = \mathbb{C}(\{g_j : 1 \leq j \leq w_k, m\})$, and let $M = \text{tr.d.}_C L$, we get that
\begin{equation}
\dim C X = N + M.
\end{equation}
By the minimality of $U$, in containing $C$, and hence $B$, we also get that
\begin{equation}
\text{tr.d.}_C C(\{z_i, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_k, m\}) = M + \text{tr.d.}_L L(\{z_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\text{tr.d.}_C C(\{e^{z_i}, g_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq w_k, m\}) = M + \text{tr.d.}_L L(\{e^{z_i} : 1 \leq i \leq k\}), \quad \text{and}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\text{tr.d.}_C C(A, E(A)) = M + \text{tr.d.}_L L(\{e^{z_i} : 1 \leq i \leq k\}).
\end{equation}
Combining these with (20) and (21) we get that
\begin{equation}
\dim C V \geq M + \text{tr.d.}_L L(\{z_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}), \quad \text{and}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\dim C Z \geq M + \text{tr.d.}_L L(\{e^{z_i} : 1 \leq i \leq k\}).
\end{equation}

The rest of the proof follows similar to that of Theorem 6.1.

As we did in §6, we conclude with the characterization of bi-algebraic sets that contain the origin.

**Corollary 9.1 (Ax-Lindemann for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$).** Let $Z \subset GL_n$ be an algebraic subvariety with $I_n \in Z$. If $V \subset E^{-1}(Z)$ is a maximal subvariety that contains the origin, then $V$ is a weakly special subvariety.

**Proof.** Let $U$ be the minimal weakly special subvariety that contains $V$, $X = E(U)$ and let $Z' = Z \cap E(U)$. We use Theorem 9.1 for $C = V$ to get
\begin{equation}
\dim C V \leq \dim C V + \dim C Z' - \dim C X.
\end{equation}
This implies $\dim C X \leq \dim C Z'$, and since $Z' \subset X$ we get that $X \subset Z$ and that $V \subset U \subset E^{-1}(Z)$. Maximality of $V$ then implies that $V = U$ is weakly special.

**Remarks.** 1. We note that the above results imply, as a direct corollary, Ax-Schanuel and therefore also Ax-Lindemann results for all Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$.

2. In mimicking the classical Ax-Schanuel statement, we can extract Ax-Schanuel and Ax-Lindemann type statements for Cartesian powers of the exponential map of a Lie algebra from our results.

Even more generally, we can infer such results for the Cartesian products of exponentials $E_i : \mathfrak{g}_i \to G_i$ of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. We achieve this by noticing that the exponential of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{g}_r$ is the Cartesian product of the $E_i$. 

32
References


DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, CANADA.  
*E-mail address*: george.papas@mail.utoronto.ca