1. Introduction

Let us summarize the two integrals, restricting ourselves on the construction stages, to be in a position to make comparisons.

1.1. Construction of the Integral with respect to a Measure.

Assume that we have a measure space \((\Omega, \mathcal{A}, m)\). We are going to construct the integral of a real-value measurable function \(f : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{R}\) with respect to the measure \(m\) (that may take infinite values) denoted by

\[
\int f \, dm = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \, dm(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \, m(d\omega).
\]

into three steps. The first step concerns non-negative functions among the class of elementary functions which have the general representation:
(1.1) \[ f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \alpha_i 1_{A_i}, \ (\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ A_i \in \mathcal{A}, \ 1 \leq i \leq k), \ k \geq 1, \]

where the measurable sets \( A_i \) are pairwise disjoint (pwd). If it happens that the unions of the \( A_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq p \) is not \( \Omega \), we implicitly mean that \( f = 0 \) on the complement of \( A_1 + \cdots + A_p \).

The class of real-valued elementary functions is denoted by \( \mathcal{E}(\omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \mathcal{E}^+(\omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R}) \) stands for the subclass of non-negative functions of \( \mathcal{E}(\omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R}) \).

The expression of an elementary function, as expressed in Formula (1.2) is not unique. But there exists one and only in which the coefficients \( \alpha_i \) are disjoint, called the canonical representation. As a result, that canonical representation is used with the summations of the \( A_i \) covering \( \Omega \) unless the contrary is specified.

Let us begin to describe the construction.

**Step 1M. Definition of the integral of a non-negative simple function**: \( f \in \mathcal{E}_+ \).

The integral of a non-negative simple function

(1.2) \[ f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \alpha_i 1_{A_i}, \ (\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ A_i \in \mathcal{A}, \ 1 \leq i \leq k), \ k \geq 1, \]

is defined by

(1.3) \[ \int f \, dm = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \alpha_i \, m(A_i). \]

**Convention - Warning 1** In the definition (1.3), the product \( \alpha_i \, m(A_i) \) is zero whenever \( \alpha_i = 0 \), event if \( m(A_i) = +\infty \).

The definition (1.3) is coherent. This means that \( \int f \, dm \) does not depend on one particular expression of \( f \).
step 2M. Definition of the integral for a non-negative measurable function.

Let \( f \) be any non-negative measurable function. By we have the following fact (see for example Point (03-23) in Doc 03-02 in Chapter 4 in Lo (2017b)) : There exists a non-decreasing sequence \((f_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{E}_+\) such that

\[
(1.4) \quad f_n \uparrow f \quad \text{as} \quad n \uparrow +\infty.
\]

We define

\[
(1.5) \quad \int f \ dm = \lim_{n \uparrow +\infty} \int f_n \ dm.
\]

This definition (1.5) is also coherent since it does not depends of the sequence which is used in the definition. (See Chapter 4, Lo (2017b)).

Step 3M. Definition of the integral for a measurable function.

In the general case, the decomposition of \( f \) into its positive part and its negative part is used as follows :

\[
(1.6) \quad f = f^+ - f^- , \quad |f| = f^+ + f^- , \quad \text{and} \quad f^+ f^- = 0,
\]

where \( f^+ = \max(0, f) \) and \( f^- = \max(0, -f) \), which are measurable, form the unique couple of functions such that Formulas (1.6) holds.

By Step 2M, the numbers

\[
\int f^+ \ dm \quad \text{and} \quad \int f^- \ dm
\]

exist in \( \mathbb{R}_+ \). If one of them is finite, i.e.,

\[
\int f^+ \ dm < +\infty \quad \text{or} \quad \int f^- \ dm < +\infty,
\]

we say that \( f \) is quasi-integrable with respect to \( m \) and we define
\begin{equation}
\int f \, dm = \int f^+ \, dm - \int f^- \, dm.
\end{equation}

**Warning** The integral of a real-valued and measurable function with respect to a measure \( m \) exists \textit{if only if} : either it is of constant sign or the integral of its positive part or its negative part is finite.

By extension, the \textbf{Integration of a mapping over a measurable set}. If \( A \) is a measurable subset of \( \Omega \) and \( 1_A f \) is quasi-integrable, we denote

\[
\int_A f \, dm = \int 1_A f \, dm.
\]

**Convention - Warning 2** The function \( 1_A f \) is defined by \( 1_A f(\omega) = f(\omega) \) of \( \omega \in A \), and zero otherwise.

The function \( f \) is said to be integrable if and only if the integral \( \int f \, dm \) exist (in \( \mathbb{R} \)) and is finite, i.e.,

\[
\int f^+ \, dm < +\infty \ \text{and} \ \int f^- \, dm < +\infty,
\]

The set of all integrable functions with respect to \( m \) is denoted

\[ \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, m). \]

Let us move to the Bochner integral as given in Mikusiński (2015).

1.2. **Bochner Integration on Banach Spaces.**

Given a finite measure space \( (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, m) \), the Banach valued Bochner integral of measurable function

\[ f : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, m) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{B}), \]

where \( (E, +, ., \|\cdot\|_E) \) is a real Banach endowed with its Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra, is defined through two steps. Below, the \textit{norm} of \( f \), still denoted by \( \|f\|_E \), is the measurable real-valued function defined by
\[ \forall \omega \in \Omega, \| f \|_{E(\omega)} = \| f(\omega) \|_E. \]

In the sequel, we denote by \( \mathcal{E}(\Omega, A, E) \) the class of all Banach-elementary functions.

**Step 1B:** The integral of an Banach-valued elementary function of the form

\[
f = \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j 1_{B_j},
\]

where \( p \geq 1, x_j \in E, B_j \in A, B_1 + B_2 + \cdots + B_p = \Omega \). At this stage, only the linear structure of \( E \) is used since \( f(\omega) \) is a finite linear combination. Let us denote by

\[
\int_{(\Omega, E)} f \, dm = \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j m(A_j) \in E.
\]

**Step 2B:** A function (1.2) is Banach-Bochner integrable (denoted by \( f \in L^1(\Omega, A, E, m) \)) if and only if the two following conditions hold.

(a) There exists \((f_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{E}(\Omega, A, E) \) such that

\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{(\Omega, E)} |f_n| \, dm < +\infty.
\]

(b) We have

\[
f = \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n, \quad m - a.e.
\]

If so, we write

\[
f \in S(f_n, n \geq 1, \mathcal{E}(\Omega, A, E)).
\]

and the Banach-valued Bochner integral is defined by
\[
\int_{(\Omega, E)} f \, dm = \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{(\Omega, E)} f_n \, dm.
\]

A complete round on the subject is available in Mikusiński (2015), where the consistency of the definitions of both steps has been been proved and the justification of both Formula (1.10) and (1.11).

In the same paper, the space $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, E, m)$ modulo the class of $m$-null-sets and denoted by $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, E, m)$ is proved to be a Banach space when endowed by the norm

\[
|f|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, E, m)} = \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} |f|_E \, dm
\]

finally a dominated convergence theorem (DCT) is given.

As well, the limits theory of sequences Bochner integrals is also very interesting. That theory is based on two key-ideas. First, we may replace $\mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, E)$ by $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, E)$ in Formula (1.2) and still get the same decomposition of the integral as follows

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that we have

\[
f \in S(f_n, n \geq 1, L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, E)),
\]

then $f$ is integrable and we have

\[
\int_{(\Omega, E)} f \, dm = \sum_{n \geq 1} \text{int}_{(\Omega, E)} f_n \, dm.
\]

The second idea is that, in Step 1B, for any $\eta > 0$, we can choose a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that Formula holds and

\[
|f|_E \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} |f_n|_E \leq |f|_E + \eta.
\]

Now we have the two approaches, we are going to consider both on $\mathbb{R}$ and proceed to a comparison.
2. Comparison of the two Integrals on $\mathbb{R}$

In the previous section, we used the Real-valued Mapping (RVM) integration scheme to get the Bochner integral in a complete normed space.

We already knew that the natural order on $\mathbb{R}$ was used in the general construction of the (RVM) integration in the step $2M$ (see 3) and we saw how that approach allowed to integrate with respect to an infinite measure.

Now, we are going to see that by restricting ourselves to finite measure, the Bochner and the (RVM) integrals are exactly the same.

We suppose that the (RVM) integration with respect to a finite measure $m$ is completely set. We have

**Theorem 2.** A real-valued measurable mapping and $m$-a.e. finite $f : (\Omega, A, m) \to \mathbb{R}$ is integrable in the sense of the RVM-MI scheme if and only if its is Bochner integrable and its (RVM-MI) and Bochner integrals coincide.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let us consider a real-valued and measurable mapping and $m$-a.e. finite $f : (\Omega, A, m) \to \mathbb{R}$. Let use denote

$$\int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, B)} f \, dm \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, MI)} f \, dm.$$ 

Its Bochner integral and its in modern integral, whenever they make sense. By definition, we have

$$\int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, B)} f \, dm = \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, MI)} f \, dm,$$

for any elementary function.

(a) Let $f$ be integrable in the sense of the (RVM-MI) scheme. Its positive part $f^+$ and negative part $f^-$ are integrable. In the context of $\mathbb{R}$, $f$ is limit of a non-decreasing sequence $(f_n^{(1)})_{n \geq 1}$ of non-negative elementary functions such that

$$f_n^{(1)} \to f^+ \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall n \geq 1), \quad |f_n^{(1)}| \leq f^+.$$
So, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem in the \((RVM-MI)\) scheme, we have

\[
\int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, MI)} f_n^{(1)} \, dm \to \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, MI)} f^+ \, dm.
\]

Now set \(f_0 = 0\) and \(h_n^{(1)} = f_n^{(1)} - f_{n-1}^{(1)}\) for \(n \geq 1\). We have, for all \(n \geq 1\),

\[
f_n^{(1)} = h_1^{(1)} + \cdots + h_n^{(1)}
\]

It is clear that

\[
(h_n^{(1)})_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R})
\]

and

\[
f^+ = \sum_{1}^{+\infty} h_n^{(1)}
\]

Further, we have for all \(k \geq 1\),

\[
\sum_{1}^{k} \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} |h_n^{(1)}| \, dm = \sum_{1}^{+\infty} \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} h_n^{(1)} \, dm = \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} f_k^{(1)} \, dm.
\]

Hence, by taking the limit as \(k \to +\infty\),

\[
(2.1) \quad \sum_{1}^{+\infty} \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} |h_n^{(1)}| \, dm \leq \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} f^+ \, dm < +\infty.
\]

By doing the same for the negative part, we get a sequence

\[
(h_n^{(2)})_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R})
\]

such that
\[ f^+ = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} h^{(2)}_n \]

and

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} |h^{(2)}_n| \, dm \leq \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} f^- \, dm < +\infty. \]

Hence, by taking \( h_n = h^{(1)}_n - h^{(2)}_n, \) \( n \geq 1, \) we get

\[ (h_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R}), \]

\[ f = f^+ - f^- = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} h_n \]

and

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} |h_n| \, dm \leq \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} |f| \, dm < +\infty. \]

We conclude that

\[ f \in S(f_n, \ n \geq 1, \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R})) \]

and hence \( f \) is Bochner integrable and its Bochner integral is

\[ \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, B)} f \, dm = \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} h_n \, dm. \]

Since
\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}) h_n \, dm = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq k} \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}) h_n \, dm
\]

\[
= \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}) f_k^{(1)} - f_k^{(2)} \, dm
\]

\[
= \left( \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}) f_k^{(1)} \right) - \left( \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}) f_k^{(1)} \, dm \right)
\]

\[
= \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}, \text{MI}) f^+ \, dm - \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}, \text{MI}) f^- \, dm
\]

\[
= \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}, \text{MI}) f \, dm,
\]

We have

\[
\int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}, B) f \, dm = \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}, \text{MI}) f \, dm. \quad \square
\]

(a) Let \( f \) be integrable in the Bochner sense with :

\[
f = \sum_{1}^{+\infty} f_n,
\]

\[(f_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R}),
\]

\[
\sum_{1}^{+\infty} \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}) |f_n| \, dm < +\infty
\]

and

\[
\int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}, B) f \, dm = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq k} \int (\Omega, \mathbb{R}) f_n \, dm.
\]

We take \( g_k = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq k} f_n \, dm, \, k \geq 1. \) In the (RVM-MI) scheme, the \( g_k \)'s are integrable (we recall that the measure if finite here!) and are bounded by

\[
S = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f_n,
\]
which is integrable in the \((RVM-MI)\) scheme. Then, by the dominated convergence theorem of the \((RVM-MI)\) scheme, we have that \(f\) is integrable in that scheme and

\[
\int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, MI)} f \, dm = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq k} \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R})} f_n \, dm = \int_{(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, B)} f \, dm.
\]

The proof is over. ■

The main conclusions of that section are the following.

(1) The real-valued Bochner integral is exactly the modern real-valued integral with respect to a measure, provided the measure is finite.

(2) The Banach valued Bochner integral is an extension of the modern integral with respect to a finite measure to normed and complete space.

(3) The Bochner approach provides an alternative construction of the modern integral with respect to a finite measure, independently of the natural order of \(\mathbb{R}\).
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