A note of values of minors for Hadamard matrices
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1 Introduction

An Hadamard matrix is a type of square \((-1,1)\)-matrix, whose rows are pairwise orthogonal.

Two Hadamard matrices \(H\) and \(K\) are said to be said Hadamard equivalent or \(H\)-equivalent if there exist \((-1,0,1)\)-monomial matrices \(A, B\) with \(K = AHB\).

Hadamard matrices of order \(n\) have determinant \(n \cdot n\). Whenever a determinant or minor is mentioned in this note, we just mean its absolute value.

Sharpe \cite{1} observed that all the \((n-1) \times (n-1)\) minors of an Hadamard matrix of order \(n\) are zero or \(n \cdot n\), and that all \((n-2) \times (n-2)\) minors are zero or \(2 \cdot n \cdot n\), and that all \((n-3) \times (n-3)\) minors are zero or \(4 \cdot n \cdot n\).

The authors in \cite{2, 3} give an algorithm for computing \((n-j) \times (n-j)\) minors of Hadamard matrices of order \(n\). The authors in \cite{3} give the following open problem.

\textbf{Conjecture 1.} The \(n-8\) minors of Hadamard matrices of order \(n \geq 8\) can take the possible values

\[ k \cdot 2^7 \cdot n^{(n/2)-8}, k = 1, 2, \ldots, 32. \]

Based upon the formulae of all possible values of \((n-j) \times (n-j)\) minors of an Hadamard matrices of order \(n\) presented in this note, the above conjecture is true.

2 Main results

We write

\[ H_n = \begin{bmatrix} M_k & B \\ C & M_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} \]

for the Hadamard matrix of order \(n\), and \(M_k\) is the \(k\) order leading principal sub-matrix of \(H_n\).

\textbf{Theorem 2.} Let \(H_n = \begin{bmatrix} M_k & B \\ C & M_{n-k} \end{bmatrix}\) be an Hadamard matrix of order \(n\) where \(M_k\) is the \(k\) order leading principal sub-matrix of \(H_n\). Then

\[ \det(M_{n-k}) = n^{\frac{n}{2}-k}\det(M_k) \]
for $1 < k < n$.

**Proof.** Consider that

$$H_n \cdot (n^{-1}H_n) = I_n.$$  

This implies that

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  M_k & B \\
  C & M_{n-k}
\end{bmatrix} \cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
  n^{-1}M_k^T & n^{-1}C^T \\
  n^{-1}B^T & n^{-1}M_{n-k}^T
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  I_k \\
  I_{n-k}
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

Thus, $M_k C^T + B M_{n-k}^T = 0$. Now consider that

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  M_k & B \\
  I_{n-k}
\end{bmatrix} \cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
  n^{-1}M_k^T & n^{-1}C^T \\
  n^{-1}B^T & n^{-1}M_{n-k}^T
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  I_k \\
  n^{-1}B^T & n^{-1}M_{n-k}^T
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

By taking determinants, we have

$$
\det(M_k) \det(n^{-1}H_n) = \det(n^{-1}M_{n-k}^{-1}).
$$

Thus,

$$
\det(M_{n-k}) = n^{\frac{k}{2}-k} \det(M_k).
$$

$$
\det(M_{n-k}) = n^{\frac{k}{2}} \det\left(\frac{1}{n} M_k\right).
$$

\[\square\]

The next following result is straightforward from linear algebra.

**Lemma 3.** Let $A_k$ be an integer $(-1,1)$-matrix of order $k$. Then there exists an integer $(0,1)$-matrix $\overline{A}_{k-1}$ of order $k - 1$

$$
\det(A_k) = 2^{k-1} \det(\overline{A}_{k-1}).
$$

For a $(0,1)$-matrix of order $k$, the largest possible determinants $\beta_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Eric W. Weisstein of Wolfram Research, Inc., computed the sequence $\beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 1, \beta_3 = 2, \beta_4 = 3, \beta_5 = 5, \beta_6 = 9, \beta_7 = 32, \beta_8 = 56, \beta_9 = 144, \beta_{10} = 320, \beta_{11} = 1458, \beta_{12} = 3645, \beta_{13} = 9477$, see [4] for more.

The next result follows directly from Lemma 3.

**Corollary 4.** Let $H_n = \begin{bmatrix}
  M_k & B \\
  C & M_{n-k}
\end{bmatrix}$ be an Hadamard matrix of order $n$ where $M_k$ is the $k$ order leading principal sub-matrix of $H_n$. Then

$$
\det(M_{n-k}) = n^{\frac{k}{2}-k} \det(M_k) = n^{\frac{k}{2}-k} 2^{k-1} \det(\overline{M}_{k-1})
$$

where $\overline{M}_{k-1}$ is a $(0,1)$-matrix of order $k - 1$. 


The next result tries to answer Conjecture[1]

**Theorem 5.** Let \( M_{n-k} \) be an \((n - k) \times (n - k)\) minor of an Hadamard matrix of order \( n \) with \( n > k > 1 \). If \( \beta_k \) be the largest possible determinants of a \((0, 1)\)-matrix of order \( k \), then

\[
\det(M_{n-k}) \in \{m \cdot 2^{k-1} \cdot n^{\frac{k}{2} - k} | m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}\}.
\]

**Proof.** It follows directly from Corollary[4] and the definition of \( \beta_{k-1} \) that

\[
\det(M_{n-k}) = n^{\frac{k}{2}} \det(M_k) = 2^{k-1} \cdot n^{\frac{k}{2} - k} \cdot \det(M_{k-1}) \leq 2^{k-1} \cdot n^{\frac{k}{2} - k} \cdot \beta_{k-1}.
\]

\( \square \)

**Example 6.** Consider \( \beta_7 = 32 \). we know that the \( n - 8 \) minors of Hadamard matrices of order \( n \gg 8 \) can take the possible values

\[
m \cdot 2^7 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 8}, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 32.
\]

Therefore, Conjecture[1] in [3] is true. The next table presents all possible \((n - k) \times (n - k)\) minors of an Hadamard matrix of order \( n > 13 \) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, 13 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>order</th>
<th>Values of minors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n - 8 )</td>
<td>( 0, 1 \cdot 2^7 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 8}, 2 \cdot 2^7 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 8}, \ldots, 32 \cdot 2^7 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 8} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n - 9 )</td>
<td>( 0, 1 \cdot 2^8 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 9}, 2 \cdot 2^8 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 9}, \ldots, 56 \cdot 2^8 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 9} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n - 10 )</td>
<td>( 0, 1 \cdot 2^9 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 10}, 2 \cdot 2^9 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 10}, \ldots, 144 \cdot 2^9 \cdot n^{(n/2) - 10} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n - 11 )</td>
<td>( 0, 1 \cdot 2^{10} \cdot n^{(n/2) - 11}, 2 \cdot 2^{10} \cdot n^{(n/2) - 11}, \ldots, 320 \cdot 2^{10} \cdot n^{(n/2) - 11} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n - 12 )</td>
<td>( 0, 1 \cdot 2^{11} \cdot n^{(n/2) - 12}, 2 \cdot 2^{11} \cdot n^{(n/2) - 12}, \ldots, 1458 \cdot 2^{11} \cdot n^{(n/2) - 12} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n - 13 )</td>
<td>( 0, 1 \cdot 2^{12} \cdot n^{(n/2) - 13}, 2 \cdot 2^{12} \cdot n^{(n/2) - 13}, \ldots, 9477 \cdot 2^{12} \cdot n^{(n/2) - 13} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 An application

A *D-optimal design of order* \( n \) is a \((-1, 1)\)-matrix having maximum determinant. Throughout this note we write \( H_n \) for a Hadamard matrix of order \( n \) and \( D_j \) for D-optimal design of order \( j \). The notation \( D_j \subseteq H_n \) means that \( D_j \) is embedded in some \( H_n \) with \( j < n \). The authors in [5] show that every Hadamard matrix of order \( n \geq 4 \) contains a sub-matrix equivalent to

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

**Theorem 7.** If \( \frac{\det(D_k)}{\det(D_{n-k})} > n^{\frac{k}{2} - k} \) with \( k > \frac{n}{2} \), then \( D_k \nsubseteq H_n \).
Proof. If $D_k \in H_n$, with without loss of generality we suppose that

$$H_n = \begin{bmatrix} A_{n-k} & B \\ C & D_k \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\det(D_k) = n^{\frac{n}{2}-k} \det(A_{n-k})$$

by Theorem 2. It implies that

$$\det(D_k) \leq n^{\frac{n}{2}-k} \det(D_{n-k}).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\det(D_k)}{\det(D_{n-k})} \leq n^{\frac{n}{2}-k}.$$

\[\square\]

Example 8. Consider

$$\begin{align*}
\det(D_5) &= 48, \quad \det(D_3) = 4, \\
\det(D_6) &= 160, \quad \det(D_2) = 2, \\
\det(D_7) &= 576, \quad \det(D_1) = 1.
\end{align*}$$

We have

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{\det(D_5)}{\det(D_3)} &= 12 > 8^{\frac{n}{2}-3} = 8, \\
\frac{\det(D_6)}{\det(D_2)} &= 80 > 8^{\frac{n}{2}-2} = 64, \\
\frac{\det(D_7)}{\det(D_1)} &= 576 > 8^{\frac{n}{2}-1} = 512.
\end{align*}$$

It follows from Theorem 7 that $D_5, D_6, D_7 \notin H_8$ which are presented in Lemmas 1,2 and 3 in [6].
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