KAC-WAKIMOTO CONJECTURE FOR THE PERIPLECTIC LIE SUPERALGEBRA
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Abstract. We prove the Kac-Wakimoto conjecture for the periplectic Lie superalgebra \( p(n) \), stating that any simple module lying in a block of non-maximal atypicality has superdimension zero.

1. Introduction

1.1. Consider a complex vector superspace \( V \), and let \( \mathbb{C}^{0|1} \) be the odd one-dimensional vectors superspace.

The (complex) periplectic Lie superalgebra \( p(V) \) is the Lie superalgebra of endomorphisms of a complex vector superspace \( V \) possessing a non-degenerate symmetric form \( \omega : S^2V \to \mathbb{C}^{0|1} \) (this form is also referred to as an “odd form”). An example of such superalgebra is \( p(n) = p(C^{n|n}) \) for \( V = C^{n|n} \), where \( \omega_n : C^{n|n} \otimes C^{n|n} \to \mathbb{C}^{0|1} \) pairing the even and odd parts of the vector superspace \( C^{n|n} \).

The periplectic Lie superalgebras has an interesting non-semisimple representation theory; some results on the category \( \mathcal{F}_n \) of finite-dimensional integrable representations of \( p(n) \) can be found in [BDE+16, Che15, Cou16, DLZ15, Gor01, Moo03, Ser02].

In [BDE+16], the blocks of the category \( \mathcal{F}_n \) were classified: it was shown that (up to change of parity) the blocks can be numbered by integers \( -n, -n + 2, -n + 4, \ldots, n - 4, n - 2, n \), with the trivial representation sitting in block number \( n \mod 2 \). We denote this blocks by \( \mathcal{F}_k^n, k \in \{-n, -n + 2, \ldots, n - 2, n\} \).

In this article, we prove the following version of the Kac-Wakimoto conjecture:

**Theorem 1.** We have \( \text{sdim} M = 0 \) if \( M \in \mathcal{F}_k^n \), \( k \neq 0, \pm 1 \), where \( \text{sdim} \) denotes the superdimension \( (\text{sdim} M = \text{dim} M_0 - \text{dim} M_1) \).

The main ingredients in the proof of this theorem, are the translation functors acting on \( \mathcal{F}_n \), and the Duflo-Serganova functor \( DS : \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_{n-2} \). The translation functors are direct summands of the functor \( - \otimes V \), whose action on the blocks \( \mathcal{F}_n \) was obtained in [BDE+16]; the functor \( DS : \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_{n-2} \) is a tensor functor preserving dimension, which allows us to reduce the problem of computing dimensions in \( \mathcal{F}_n \) to a similar problem in \( \mathcal{F}_{n-2} \).

We also prove the following statement:

**Theorem 2.** Let \( M \in \mathcal{F}_n \) be an object lying in a certain block as described in Section 2.3.5 and [BDE+16].

1. The object \( M^* \) also lies in the same block of \( \mathcal{F}_n \).

2. We have a natural isomorphism

\[
\Theta_k(M^*) \cong \Pi (\Theta_{-k}(M))^*
\]

where \( \Theta_k \) is the \( k \)-th translation functor on \( \mathcal{F}_n \) (see Definition 2.3.5).
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2. Preliminaries

2.1. General. Throughout this paper, we will work over the base field \( \mathbb{C} \), and all the categories considered will be \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear.

A vector superspace will be defined as a \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \)-graded vector space \( V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \). The parity of a homogeneous vector \( v \in V \) will be denoted by \( p(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\} \) (whenever the notation \( p(v) \) appears in formulas, we always assume that \( v \) is homogeneous).

2.2. Tensor categories. In the context of symmetric monoidal (SM) categories, we will denote by \( \mathbb{1} \) the unit object, and by \( \sigma \) the symmetry morphisms.

A functor between symmetric monoidal categories will be called a SM functor if it respects the SM structure.

Given an object \( V \) in a SM category, we will denote by

\[
\text{coev} : \mathbb{1} \to V \otimes V^*, \; \text{ev} : V^* \otimes V \to \mathbb{1}
\]

the coevaluation and evaluation maps for \( V \). We will also denote by \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) := V \otimes V^* \) the internal endomorphism space with the obvious Lie algebra structure on it. The object \( V \) is then a module over the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) \); we denote the action by \( \text{act} : \mathfrak{gl}(V) \otimes V \to V \).

For two functors \( F, G \) we write \( F \dashv G \) if \( F \) is left adjoint of \( G \).

2.3. The periplectic Lie superalgebra.

2.3.1. Definition of the periplectic Lie superalgebra. Let \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), and let \( V_n \) be an \((n|n)\)-dimensional vector superspace equipped with a non-degenerate odd symmetric form

\[
1) \quad \beta : V_n \otimes V_n \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \beta(v, w) = \beta(w, v), \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(v, w) = 0 \text{ if } p(v) = p(w).
\]

Then \( \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V_n) \) inherits the structure of a vector superspace from \( V_n \). We denote by \( p(n) \) the Lie superalgebra of all \( X \in \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V_n) \) preserving \( \beta \), i.e. satisfying

\[
\beta(Xv, w) + (-1)^{p(X)p(v)}\beta(v, Xw) = 0.
\]

Remark 2.3.1. Choosing dual bases \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \) in \( V_{0,n} \) and \( v_1', v_2', \ldots, v_n' \) in \( V_{1,n} \), we can write the matrix of \( X \in p(n) \) as \( \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \) where \( A, B, C \) are \( n \times n \) matrices such that \( B^t = B, \; C^t = -C \).

We will also use the triangular decomposition \( p(n) \cong p(n)_{-1} \oplus p(n)_0 \oplus p(n)_1 \) where

\[
p(n)_0 \cong \mathfrak{gl}(n), \quad p(n)_{-1} \cong \Pi \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^n, \quad p(n)_1 \cong \Pi S^2 \mathbb{C}^n.
\]

Then the action of \( p(n)_{\pm 1} \) on any \( p(n) \)-module is \( p(n)_0 \)-equivariant.

2.3.2. Weights for the periplectic superalgebra. The integral weight lattice for \( p(n) \) will be \( \text{span}_\mathbb{Z}\{ \varepsilon_i \}_{i=1}^n \).

\* We fix a set of simple roots \( \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-1}, -\varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n \), the last root is odd and all others are even.

Hence the dominant integral weights will be given by \( \lambda = \sum \lambda_i \varepsilon_i \), where \( \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n \).

\* We fix an order on the weights of \( p(n) \): for weights \( \mu, \lambda \), we say that \( \mu \geq \lambda \) if \( \mu_i \leq \lambda_i \) for each \( i \).
Remark 2.3.2. It was shown in [BDE+16] Section 3.3 that if \( \leq \) corresponds to a highest-weight structure on the category of finite-dimensional representations of \( p(n) \). Note that in the cited paper we use slightly different set of simple roots \( -\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n \).

\* The simple finite-dimensional representation of \( p(n) \) corresponding to the weight \( \lambda \) whose highest weight vector is even will be denoted by \( L_n(\lambda) \).

Example 2.3.3. Let \( n \geq 2 \). The natural representation \( V_n \) of \( p(n) \) has highest weight \( -\varepsilon_1 \), with odd highest-weight vector; hence \( V_n \cong \Pi L_n(-\varepsilon_1) \). The representation \( \wedge^2 V_n \) has highest weight \( -2\varepsilon_1 \), and the representation \( S^2 V_n \) has highest weight \( -\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \); both have even highest weight vectors, so

\[
\wedge^2 V_n \rightarrow L_n(-2\varepsilon_1), \quad L_n(-\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \hookrightarrow S^2 V_n.
\]

\* Set \( \rho^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n (i-1)\varepsilon_i \), and for any weight \( \lambda \), denote

\[
\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + \rho^{(n)}.
\]

\* We will associate to \( \lambda \) a weight diagram \( d_{\lambda} \), defined as a labeling of the integer line by symbols \( \bullet \) ("black ball") and \( \circ \) ("empty") such that such that \( j \) has label

\( \bullet \) if \( j \in \{ \tilde{\lambda}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots \} \), and label \( \circ \) otherwise.

\* We denote \( |\lambda| := -\sum_{i} \lambda_i \) and \( \kappa(\lambda) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{\lambda_i} \).

2.3.3. Representations of \( p(n) \). We denote by \( F_n \) the category of finite-dimensional representations of \( p(n) \) whose restriction to \( p(n)_0 \cong gl(n) \) integrates to an action of \( GL(n) \).

By definition, the morphisms in \( F_n \) will be grading-preserving \( p(n) \)-morphisms, i.e., \( \text{Hom}_{F_n}(X, Y) \) is a vector space and not a vector superspace. This is important in order to ensure that the category \( F_n \) be abelian.

The category \( F_n \) is not semisimple. In fact, this category is a highest-weight category, having simple, standard, costandard, and projective modules (these are also injective and tilting, per [BKN10]). Given a simple module \( L_n(\lambda) \) in \( F_n \), we denote the corresponding standard, costandard, and projective modules by \( \Delta_n(\lambda) \), \( \nabla_n(\lambda) \), \( P_n(\lambda) \) respectively.

2.3.4. Tensor Casimir and translation functors. Consider the following natural endomorphism \( \Omega(n) \) of the endofunctor \( (\cdot) \otimes V_n \) on \( F_n \).

Note that \( p_n \) is the set of fixed points of the involutive automorphism \( \sigma \) of \( gl(V_n) \). We consider the \( p_n \)-equivariant decomposition:

\[
\text{gl}(V_n) \cong p_n \oplus p^*_n
\]

where \( p^*_n \) is the eigenspace of \( \sigma \) with eigenvalue \(-1\). Both \( p_n \) and \( p^*_n \) are maximal isotropic subspaces with respect to the invariant symmetric form on \( gl(V_n) \) and hence this form defines a non-degenerated pairing \( p^*_n \otimes p_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \).

We begin by taking the orthogonal \( p_n \)-equivariant decomposition

\[
\text{gl}(V_n) \cong p_n \oplus p^*_n
\]

with respect to the form

\( tr := ev \circ \sigma_{V_n, V^*_n} : \text{gl}(V_n) \cong V_n \otimes V^*_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \).

Definition 2.3.4 (Tensor Casimir). For any \( M \in F_n \), let \( \Omega_M \) be the composition

\[
V_n \otimes M \overset{\text{Id} \otimes \text{core} \otimes \text{Id}}{\longrightarrow} V_n \otimes p(n)^* \otimes p(n) \otimes M \overset{i_* \otimes \text{Id}}{\longrightarrow} V_n \otimes \text{gl}(V_n) \otimes p(n) \otimes M \overset{\text{act} \otimes \text{act}}{\longrightarrow} V_n \otimes M
\]

where \( i_* : p(n)^* \rightarrow \text{gl}(V_n) \) is the \( p(n) \)-equivariant embedding defined above.
Theorem 2.3.8
By abuse of terminology, we will just call these “blocks” throughout the paper.

Corollary 2.3.10. For any $n \geq 1$, $l \in \{-n,-(n-2),\ldots,n-2,n\}$ and any integer sequence $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$ we have:

$$M \in F^n_l \implies \Theta^{(n)}_I M \in F^n_{l+2(t(I))}$$
2.4. The Duflo-Serganova functor.

2.4.1. Definition and basic properties. Let $n \geq 3$, and let $x \in \mathfrak{p}(n)$ be an odd element such that $[x, x] = 0$. We define the following correspondence of vector superspaces:

**Definition 2.4.1** (See [DS05]). Let $M \in \mathcal{F}_n$. We define

$$DS_x(M) = \frac{\text{Ker}(x|_M)}{\text{Im}(x|_M)}.$$  

The vector superspace $\mathfrak{p}_x := DS_x \mathfrak{p}(n)$ is naturally equipped with a Lie superalgebra structure. One can check by direct computations that $DS_x$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{p}(n - s)$ where $s$ is the rank of $x$. The above correspondence defines an SM-functor $DS_x : \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_{n-s}$, called the Duflo-Serganova functor. Such functors were introduced in [DS05].

3. The Duflo-Serganova functor and the tensor Casimir

Let $n \geq 3$, and let $x \in \mathfrak{p}(n)_1$ be such that $[x, x] = 0$. Let $s := \text{rk}(x)$.

Definition 2.4.1 then gives us a functor $DS_x : \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_{n-s}$.

**Lemma 3.0.1.** We have: $DS_x(\Omega) = \Omega^{(n-s)}DS_n$, where $\Omega^{(n)}$ is the tensor Casimir for $\mathfrak{p}(n)$, and $\Omega_{n-s}$ is the tensor Casimir for $\mathfrak{p}(n - s)$.

That is, for any $M \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $DS_x(\Omega_{M_n}) = \Omega^{(n-s)}_{DS_x(M)}$ as endomorphisms of $V_{n-s} \otimes DS_x(M)$.

**Proof.** This follows directly from the definition of the tensor Casimir (Definition 2.3.4), as well as the fact that $DS_x$ is a SM functor. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 3.0.2.** The functor $DS_x$ commutes with translation functors, that is we have a natural isomorphism of functors

$$DS_x \Theta^{(n)}_k \sim \Theta^{(n-s)}_k DS_x$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** Recall that $DS_x$ is a SM functor and $DS_x(V_n) \cong V_{n-s}$. Hence we have a natural isomorphism $\eta : DS_x \Theta \longrightarrow \Theta DS_x$, where $\Theta^{(n)} = (-) \otimes V_n$ is as in Definition 2.3.5.

Now, consider $DS_x(\Omega_n)$ (the tensor Casimir). By Lemma 3.0.1 the diagram below commutes:

$$DS_x(\Omega_n) \eta \Theta^{(n-s)}_k DS_x.$$  

Hence $\eta$ induces an isomorphism $DS_x \Theta^{(n)}_k \cong \Theta^{(n-s)}_k DS_x$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, as required. \hfill \Box

4. Main result

Throughout this section, we will work with functors $DS_x : \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_{n-2}$ where $x \in \mathfrak{p}(n-1)$ has rank 2 and satisfies $[x, x] = 0$. Here $\mathfrak{p}(n-1)$ is as in Section 2.3.1.

**Theorem 4.0.1.** We have $\text{sd} \dim M = 0$ if $M \in \mathcal{F}_n^k$, $k \neq 0, \pm 1$.

**Proof.** Let $x \in \mathfrak{p}(n-1)$ such that $[x, x] = 0$, $\text{rk}(x) = 2$.

We prove the statement by induction on $n$.

The base case $n = 1$ is tautological (in that case, there are no non-zero blocks except $\mathcal{F}_1$); in the base case $n = 2$, it is enough to check for simple $M \in \mathcal{F}_{\pm 2}^2$. The blocks $\mathcal{F}_{\pm 2}^2$ are
so-called typical blocks, hence all the simple objects in $\mathcal{F}_{\pm 2}^2$ are costandard ($L(\mu) = \nabla(\mu)$), and hence have dimension zero (cf. [BDE+16]).

Next, for the inductive step, let $n \geq 3$, and assume that our statement holds for $n - 2$.

Let $M \in \mathcal{F}^k_n, k \notin \{0, 1\}$. We use Proposition 4.0.2 below, which states that $DS_x$ preserves blocks, to show that $DS_x(M)$ lies in the corresponding block $\mathcal{F}_{n-2}^k$ (if $n = k$, then $DS_x(M) = 0$).

The fact that the functor $DS_x : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{n-2}$ is SM, and hence preserves dimensions, allows us to use the inductive assumption to show that $sdimDS_x(M) = 0$, and hence $sdimM = 0$, as required.

\begin{prop} \label{prop:4.0.2}
Let $x \in p(n)_{-1}$ such that $[x, x] = 0$, $\text{rk}(x) = 2$. Let $M \in \mathcal{F}^k_n$. Then $DS_x(M) \in \mathcal{F}^k_{n-2}$ (if $k = \pm n$, then $DS_x(M) = 0$).
\end{prop}

\begin{proof}
The proposition is proved in several steps.

(1) We prove that $DS_x$ commutes with translation functors. This is done in Lemma 3.0.2.

(2) We prove that it is possible to translate any simple module into any typical block; that is, for any simple $L \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

- There exists an integer sequence $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s)$ such that
  $$\Theta_I^{(n)} L \in \mathcal{F}^n_n \text{ and } \Theta_I^{(n)} L \neq 0.$$

- There exists an integer sequence $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s)$ such that
  $$\Theta_I^{(n)} L \in \mathcal{F}^n_{n-2} \text{ and } \Theta_I^{(n)} L \neq 0.$$

This is proved in Lemma 4.0.3.

(3) We prove the statement in the case $k = \pm n$. In that case, we need to show that $DS_x(M) = 0$ for any $M \in \mathcal{F}^\pm n$. Recall from [BDE+16, Remark 9.1.3] that any simple module in such a block is also costandard (that is, a thin Kac module in the terminology of [BDE+16]). Hence it is a free $U(p(n)_{-1})$-module. Any $p(n)$-module in $\mathcal{F}^\pm n$ has a finite filtration with simple subquotients, hence is a free $U(p(n)_{-1})$-module. This implies that $DS_x(M) = 0$ for any $M \in \mathcal{F}^\pm n$.

(4) Consider a simple module $L \in \mathcal{F}^k_n$, and a simple subquotient $L'$ of $DS_x(L)$. Let $l$ be such that $l' \in \mathcal{F}^l_{n-2}$.

We will show that $k = l$.

Assume $l < k$. Recall that $k \equiv l \pmod{2}$, as explained in Section 2.3.5.

By (2), there exists an integer sequence $I := (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s)$ such that the translation functor

$$\Theta_I^{(n-2)} := \Theta_{i_1}^{(n-2)} \circ \Theta_{i_2}^{(n-2)} \circ \ldots \circ \Theta_{i_s}^{(n-2)}$$

on $\mathcal{F}_{n-2}$ satisfies:

$$\Theta_I^{(n-2)} DS_x L' \neq 0 \text{ and } DS_x L' \in \mathcal{F}^n_{n-2}. $$

Furthermore, by Corollary 2.3.10 we have: $t(I) = (n - 2 - l)/2$.

Let

$$\Theta_I^{(n)} := \Theta_{i_1}^{(n)} \circ \Theta_{i_2}^{(n)} \circ \ldots \circ \Theta_{i_s}^{(n)}$$

be the corresponding translation functor on $\mathcal{F}_n$.

By (1), we have an isomorphism

$$\Theta_I^{(n-2)} DS_x L \cong DS_x \Theta_I^{(n)} L.$$
By our construction, this object has a non-zero direct summand in the typical block $\mathcal{F}_{n-2}^n$. Let us show that $\Theta^{(n)}_I L \in \mathcal{F}_n^m$. Indeed, we may apply Corollary 2.3.8 to get:

$$\Theta^{(n)}_I L = \Theta^{(n)}_{i_1} \circ \Theta^{(n)}_{i_2} \circ \ldots \circ \Theta^{(n)}_{i_s} L \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{k+2l(l)}$$

We already computed that $t(I) = (n - 2 - l)/2$ hence $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{k+2l(l)} = \mathcal{F}_{n}^{n + (k-l)-2}$. Recall that $k > l$ and they have the same parity, so $n + (k-l) - 2 \geq n$. Now, if $n + (k-l) - 2 > n$, then $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{n + (k-l)-2} = 0$, so we can just say that $\Theta^{(n)}_I L \in \mathcal{F}_n^m$.

This implies that $DS_2 \Theta^{(n)}_I L = 0$ (by 2), and hence cannot have a non-zero direct summand in the typical block $\mathcal{F}_{n-2}^n$. Thus we obtained a contradiction.

A similar proof shows that we cannot have $l > k$: in that case, we translate to typical block $\mathcal{F}_{n-2}^{-(n-2)}$.

$\square$

Lemma 4.0.3. For any simple module $L \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

1. There exists a composition of translation functors $\Theta_I$ where $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s)$ is an integer sequence, such that $\Theta^{(n)}_I L \neq 0$ sits in the typical block $\mathcal{F}_n^m$.

2. There exists a composition of translation functors $\Theta_I$ where $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s)$ is an integer sequence, such that $\Theta^{(n)}_I L \neq 0$ sits in the typical block $\mathcal{F}_n^{-n}$.

Proof. We use the results of [BDE+16] on the action of translation functors. In particular, we use the description action of translation functors on projective modules given in [BDE+16] Section 7.2] as well as the adjunction $\Theta^{(n)}_I \vdash \Theta^{(n-1)}_I$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We first prove (1).

Let $\lambda$ be the highest weight of $L$ (hence $L = L_n(\lambda)$), let $P = P_\lambda(\lambda)$ be the projective cover of $L$.

Fix a typical weight $\mu$ of $p(n)$ with $\mu_i < \lambda_i$ and $\mu_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i$. Such a weight clearly exists: take for example $\mu_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mu_n - n \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu_n < \lambda_1$. Set $\mu_k := \mu_n - (n-k)$ for any $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Then $\mu_k < \mu_n < \lambda_1 < \lambda_k$ for any $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and

$$\mu_k + k \equiv \mu_n - n \pmod{2}$$

which implies $\mu_k + k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\mu$ is a typical weight, and $P' := P_\lambda(\mu)$ sits in $\mathcal{F}_{n}^m$.

By [BDE+16] Section 7.2, we have an integer sequence $J = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s)$ such that $\Theta^{(n)}_J P' = P$.

Set $I = (j_s - 1, j_{s-1} - 1, \ldots, j_2 - 1, j_1 - 1)$. Then $\Theta^{(n)}_J \vdash \Theta^{(n)}_I$, and we have:

$$\text{Hom}_{p(n)}(P', \Theta^{(n)}_I L) = \text{Hom}_{p(n)}(\Theta^{(n)}_J P', L) = \text{Hom}_{p(n)}(P, L) = \mathbb{C}.$$ 

Therefore $\Theta^{(n)}_I L$ is a non-trivial quotient of $P'$. This proves (1).

Similarly, we prove (2). Fix a typical weight $\mu$ of $p(n)$ with $\mu_i < \lambda_i$ and $\mu_i \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ for all $i$. Again, such a weight can be constructed very explicitly. Then $P' := P_\lambda(\mu)$ sits in $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{-n}$, and we can apply exact the same arguments as before.

$\square$

5. Dual modules and blocks

Proposition 5.0.1. Let $M \in \mathcal{F}_n^k$. Then $M^*$ also lies in block $\mathcal{F}_n^k$.

Proof. First of all, notice that it is enough to prove the statement for a simple module $M = L(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}_n^k$. 
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Consider the costandard module $\nabla(\lambda)$ having $L(\lambda)$ as its socle. This module is indecomposable, so $\nabla(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}_n^k$. Consider the dual module $\nabla(\lambda)^\ast$. This is also an indecomposable costandard module, with cosocle $L(\lambda)^\ast$, so it is enough to check that $\nabla(\lambda)^\ast \in \mathcal{F}_n^k$ as well. Now, by [3DE16, Lemma 3.6.1], $\nabla(\lambda)^\ast \cong \nabla(\mu)$, where $\mu + \rho = -w_0(\lambda + \rho)$, where $w_0$ is the longest element in the Weyl group. That is, $d_\mu$ is obtained from $d_\lambda$ by reflecting the diagram with respect to zero.

Hence $\kappa(\lambda) = \kappa(\mu)$, and so $L(\mu) \in \mathcal{F}_n^k$.

**Proposition 5.0.2.** There exists a natural isomorphism

$$\Pi \Theta^{(n)}_{-k}(-)^* \sim \left(\Theta^{(n)}_{k}(-)^\ast\right)^\ast.$$ 

**Proof.** Consider the functor $- \otimes V_n : \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_n$, $M \mapsto M \otimes V_n$. We have natural isomorphisms

$$M^* \otimes V_n \otimes \Pi \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes \eta^{-1}} M^* \otimes V_n^* \xrightarrow{\sigma_{M^*, V_n^*}} V_n^* \otimes M^* \cong (M \otimes V_n)^*$$

where $\eta : V_n^* \to V_n \otimes \Pi \mathcal{C}$ is the isomorphism defined by the odd bilinear form on $V_n$.

Consider the tensor Casimir $\Omega_M : M \otimes V_n \to M \otimes V_n$ as in Definition 2.3.4. Choosing dual bases $\{X_i\}, \{X^i\}$ in $p(n)$ and $p(n)^* \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$, we can write $\Omega_M = \sum X_i \mid_M \otimes X^i \mid_{V_n}$.

Denote by

$$\Omega_M^*: V_n^* \otimes M^* \to V_n^* \otimes M^*$$

the dual map. Then for any homogeneous $u \in V_n^*, f \in M^*$, we have:

$$\Omega_M^*(u \otimes f) = \sum (-1)^p(X_i)p(X^i)((-1)^p(X^i)p(f)) (X^i \mid_{V_n})^* (u) \otimes (X_i \mid_M)^* (f).$$

We now construct the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V_n^* \otimes M^* & \xrightarrow{\Omega_M^*} & V_n^* \otimes M^* \\
\sigma_{M^*, V_n^*} & & \sigma_{M^*, V_n^*}^{-1} \\
M^* \otimes V_n^* & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes \eta^{-1}} & M^* \otimes V_n^* \\
\text{Id} \otimes \eta & & \text{Id} \otimes \eta \\
M^* \otimes V_n \otimes \Pi \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{M^*, V_n^*}} & M^* \otimes V_n \otimes \Pi \mathcal{C}
\end{array}$$

and we compute the lower two horizontal arrows.

We begin with the horizontal arrow $\phi : M^* \otimes V_n^* \to M^* \otimes V_n^*$. By definition, $\phi = \sigma_{M^*, V_n^*}^{-1} \circ \Omega_M^* \circ \sigma_{M^*, V_n^*}$. For any homogeneous $f \in M^*, u \in V_n^*$, applying the map $\Omega_M^* \circ \sigma_{M^*, V_n^*}$ to the element $f \otimes u$ we get:

$$\Omega_M^* \left( (-1)^p(f)p(u) u \otimes f \right) =$$

$$= \sum (-1)^p(f)p(u) (-1)^p(X_i)p(X^i) ((-1)^p(X^i)p(f)) X^i \mid_{V_n} \otimes (-1)^p(X^i)p(f) X^i \mid_{V_n} =$$

$$= \sum (-1)^p(X_i)p(X^i) + p(f)u + p(X_i)p(u) (-1)^p(X^i) + p(f) + p(u) X^i \mid_{V_n} \otimes X^i \mid_{V_n}$$

Hence

$$\phi(f \otimes u) = \sum (-1)^p(X_i)p(X^i) + p(f)u + p(X_i)p(u) (-1)^p(X^i) + p(f) + p(u) X^i \mid_{V_n} \otimes X^i \mid_{V_n} =$$

$$= \sum (-1)^p(X^i)p(f) X_i \mid_{V_n} \otimes X^i \mid_{V_n}$$
Next, we compute the horizontal arrow $\phi' : M^* \otimes V_n \otimes \Pi C \to M^* \otimes V_n \otimes \Pi C$.

The elements $X^i \in \mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ satisfy the following property (cf. \cite{BDE+16} Proof of Proposition 4.4.1):

\[
\begin{align*}
V_n^* & \xrightarrow{X^i} V_n^* \\
\downarrow \eta & \downarrow \eta \\
V_n \otimes \Pi C & \xrightarrow{-X^i} V_n \otimes \Pi C
\end{align*}
\]

Given homogeneous $f \in M^*$, $v \in V_n \otimes \Pi C$, we have

\[
\phi'(f \otimes v) = -(-1)^{\nu(X^i)p(f)} X_i f \otimes X^i v.
\]

Hence $\phi' = -\Pi \Omega_{M^*}$. Thus the natural isomorphism $\sigma_{M^*,V_n^*} \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \eta^{-1})$ establishes a natural isomorphism between the eigenspace of $\Omega_{M^*}$ corresponding to eigenvalue $k$ and the eigenspace (shifted by $\Pi$) of $\Omega_{M^*} = -\Pi \phi'$ corresponding to eigenvalue $(-k)$. This implies the statement of the proposition. \hfill \qed

**Example 5.0.3.** Let $n \geq 2$ and set $M = V_n$. Then $V_n^* \cong \Pi V_n$ and $\Theta_{-1} V_n \cong \wedge^2 V_n$ and hence

\[
(\Theta_{-1} V_n)^* \cong S^2 V_n \cong \Pi \Theta_1(\Pi V_n) \cong \Pi \Theta_1 V_n^*.
\]
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