For the field $K_{n,m} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[2n]{p}, \mu_{2m+1})$ where $p$ is a prime number, we determine the structure of the 2-class group of $K_{n,m}$ for all $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ in the case $p = 2$ or $p \equiv 3, 5 \mod 8$, and for $(n, m) = (n, 0), (n, 1)$ or $(1, m)$ in the case $p \equiv 7 \mod 16$, generalizing the results of Parry [Par80] about the 2-divisibility of the class number of $K_{2,0}$. The main tools we use are class field theory, Chevalley’s ambiguous class number formula and its generalization by Gras.

1. Introduction

In this paper we let $p$ be a prime number. For $n$ and $m$ non-negative integers, let $K_{n,m} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[2n]{p}, \mu_{2m+1})$. Let $A_{n,m}$ and $h_{n,m}$ be the 2-part of the class group and the class number of $K_{n,m}$. It is well-known that $h_{1,0}$ is odd by the genus theory of Gauss. In 1886, Weber [Web86] proved that $h_{0,m}$ is odd for any $m \geq 0$. In 1980, by a more careful application of genus theory for quartic fields, Parry [Par80] showed that $A_{2,0}$ is cyclic and

(i) If $p = 2$ or $p \equiv 3, 5 \mod 8$, then $2 \nmid h_{2,0}$.
(ii) If $p \equiv 7 \mod 16$, then $2 \parallel h_{2,0}$.
(iii) If $p \equiv 15 \mod 16$, then $2 \nmid h_{2,0}$.
(iv) If $p \equiv 1 \mod 8$, then $2 \mid h_{2,0}$. Moreover, if 2 is not a fourth power modulo $p$, then $2 \parallel h_{2,0}$.

For $p \equiv 9 \mod 16$, Lemmermeyer showed that $2 \parallel h_{2,0}$, see [Mon10]. For $p \equiv 15 \mod 16$, one can show that $4 \mid h_{2,0}$ using genus theory (unpublished manuscripts by the authors and Lemmermeyer respectively).

The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $p$ be a prime number, $K_{n,m} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[2n]{p}, \mu_{2m+1})$. Let $A_{n,m}$ be the 2-part of the class group and $h_{n,m}$ the class number of $K_{n,m}$.

1. If $p = 2$ or $p \equiv 3 \mod 8$, then $h_{n,m}$ is odd for $n, m \geq 0$.
2. If $p \equiv 5 \mod 8$, then $h_{n,0}$ and $h_{1,m}$ are odd for $n, m \geq 0$ and $2 \parallel h_{n,m}$ for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$.
3. If $p \equiv 7 \mod 16$, then $A_{n,0} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $A_{n,1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq 2$, and $A_{1,m} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}$ for $m \geq 1$.

Let $p \equiv 3 \mod 8$ and $\epsilon = a + b\sqrt{p}$ be the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$. Parry [Par80] and Zhang-Yue [ZY14] showed that $a \equiv -1 \mod p$ and $v_2(a) = 1$. From our main theorem, we obtain the following analogous of their results.

---
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Theorem 1.2. Let \( p \equiv 7 \mod 16 \) and \( e \) be the fundamental unit of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \). Then for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{N}^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})}(\xi) \), one has \( \xi \equiv -\text{sgn}(\xi) \mod \sqrt{p} \) and \( v_q(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})}(\xi)) = 3 \), where \( \text{sgn} \) is the sign function and \( q \) is the unique prime of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \) above 2.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In §2 we introduce notations and conventions for the paper, and present basic properties of the Hilbert symbol. In §3, we give some general results on class groups in cyclic extensions. In particular, Gras’ work on genus theory is recalled. In §4, we prove our main results.
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2. Preliminary

2.1. Notations and Conventions. For a number field \( K \), we denote by \( \text{Cl}_K \), \( h_K \), \( \mathcal{O}_K \), \( E_K \) and \( \text{cl} \) the class group, the class number, the ring of integers, the unit group of the ring of integers and the ideal class map of \( K \) respectively. When \( K = K_{n,m} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{p}_m) \), we write \( \text{Cl}_{n,m} = \text{Cl}_K \), \( h_{n,m} = h_K \), \( \mathcal{O}_{n,m} = \mathcal{O}_K \) and \( E_{n,m} = E_K \) for simplicity. For \( w \) a place of \( K \), \( K_w \) is the completion of \( K \) by \( w \). For \( p \) a prime of \( K \), \( v_p \) is the additive valuation associated to \( p \).

For an extension \( K/F \) of number fields, \( v \) a place of \( F \) and \( w \) a place of \( K \) above \( v \), let \( e_{w/v} = e(w/v, K/F) \) be the ramification index in \( K/F \) if \( v \) is finite and \( e_{w/v} = [K_w : F_v] \) if \( v \) is infinite. \( w/v \) is ramified if \( e_{w/v} > 1 \). \( w/v \) is totally ramified if \( e_{w/v} = [K : F] \), in this case \( w \) is the only place above \( v \) and we can also say that \( v \) is totally ramified in \( K/F \). Note that for \( v \) infinite, \( w/v \) is ramified if and only if \( w \) is complex and \( v \) is real, and in this case \( e_{w/v} = 2 \). Hence an infinite place \( v \) is totally ramified if and only if \( K/F \) is quadratic, \( F_v = \mathbb{R} \) and \( K_w = \mathbb{C} \). When \( K/F \) is Galois, then \( e_{w/v} \) is independent of \( w \) and we denote it by \( e_v \).

Denote by \( N_{K/F} \) the norm map from \( K \) to \( F \), and the induced norm map from \( \text{Cl}_K \) to \( \text{Cl}_F \). If the extension is clear, we use \( N \) instead of \( N_{K/F} \).

The number \( \ell \) is always a prime. The \( \ell \)-Sylow subgroup of a finite abelian group \( M \) is denoted by \( M(\ell) \).

2.2. Hilbert symbol. Let \( n \geq 2 \) be an integer. Let \( k \) be a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) containing \( \mu_n \). Let \( \phi_k \) be the local reciprocity map \( \phi_k : k^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k) \). Given \( a, b \in k^\times \), the \( n \)-th Hilbert symbol is defined by

\[
\left( \frac{a, b}{k} \right)_n = \frac{\phi_k(a)(\sqrt[n]{b})}{\sqrt[n]{b}} \in \mu_n \subset k.
\]

The following results about Hilbert symbol can be found in standard textbooks in number theory, for example [Neu13 Chapters IV and V].

Proposition 2.1. Let \( a, b \in k^\times \).

1. \( \left( \frac{a, b}{k} \right)_n = 1 \Leftrightarrow a \) is a norm from the extension \( k(\sqrt[n]{b})/k \);
2. \( \left( a, b^\prime \right)_n = \left( \frac{a}{k} \right)_n \left( \frac{b^\prime}{k} \right)_n \) and \( \left( a, b b^\prime \right)_n = \left( \frac{a}{k} \right)_n \left( \frac{b}{k} \right)_n \left( \frac{a}{k} \right)_n \left( \frac{b}{k} \right)_n ;
3. \( \left( \frac{a, b}{k} \right)_n = \left( \frac{b, a}{k} \right)_n^{-1} ;
\]
(4) \((a, 1-a)_{k}^{n} = 1\) and \((a, -a)_{k}^{n} = 1\);

(5) Let \(\omega\) be a uniformizer of \(k\). Let \(q = |O_{k}/(\omega)|\) be the cardinality of the residue field of \(k\). If \(p \nmid n\), then \(\left(\frac{\omega(u)}{k}^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right)\) is the unique map such that \(u \equiv \omega(u) \mod \omega \) for \(u \in O_{k}\).

(6) Let \(M/k\) be a finite extension. For \(a \in M^{\times}, b \in k^{\times}\), one has the following norm-compatible property

\[
\left(\frac{a, b}{M}\right)_{n} = \left(\frac{N_{M/k}(a), b}{k}\right)_{n}.
\]

When \(k = \mathbb{R}\), \(\mu_{n} \subset \mathbb{R}\) if and only if \(n = 1\) or \(2\). For \(a, b \in k^{\times}\) define

\[
\left(\frac{a, b}{k}\right)_{2} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } a < 0 \text{ and } b < 0; \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

When \(k = \mathbb{C}\), define \(\left(\frac{a, b}{k}\right)_{n} = 1\) for any \(a, b \in k^{\times}\).

The following is the product formula of Hilbert symbols, see [Neu13, Chapter VI, Theorem 8.1].

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \(K\) be a number field such that \(\mu_{n} \subset K\). For any place \(v\) of \(K\), set 

\[
\left(\frac{a, b}{v}\right)_{n} := \iota_{v}^{-1}\left(\frac{a, b}{K_{v}}\right)_{n}
\]

where \(\iota_{v}\) is the canonical embedding of \(K \rightarrow K_{v}\). Then for \(a, b \in L^{\times}\), one has 

\[
\prod_{v} \left(\frac{a, b}{v}\right)_{n} = 1,
\]

where \(v\) runs over all places of \(K\).

### 3. Results on class groups in cyclic extensions

We first recall Gras’ work on genus theory.

**Theorem 3.1 (Gras).** Let \(K/F\) be a cyclic extension of number fields with Galois group \(G\). Let \(C\) be a \(G\)-submodule of \(\text{Cl}_{K}\). Let \(D\) be a subgroup of fractional ideals of \(K\) such that \(\text{cl}(D) = C\). Denote by \(\Lambda_{D} = \{x \in F^{\times} \mid (x)O_{F} \in ND\}\). Then

\[
|\text{Cl}_{K}/C|^{G} = |\text{Cl}_{F}| \frac{\prod_{v \in v_{F}} e_{v}}{|K : F|} \frac{1}{|\Lambda_{D} : \Lambda_{D} \cap N K^{\times}|},
\]

where the product runs over all places of \(F\).

**Proof.** See [Gra17] Section 3] or [Gra73] Chapter IV]. Gras proved the theorem for (narrow) ray class groups, but his proof works for class groups. \(\square\)

**Remark 3.2.** (1) The index \([\Lambda_{D} : \Lambda_{D} \cap N K^{\times}]\) is independent of the choice of \(D\).

(2) Take \(C = \{1\}\) and \(D = \{1\}\), then \(\Lambda_{D} = E_{F}\), and Gras’ formula is nothing but the ambiguous class number formula of Chevalley:

\[
|\text{Cl}_{K}^{G}| = |\text{Cl}_{F}| \frac{\prod_{v \in v_{F}} e_{v}}{|K : F|} \frac{1}{|E_{F} : E_{F} \cap N K^{\times}|}.
\]

In fact the proof of Gras’ formula is based on Chevalley’s formula, whose proof can be found in [Lan90] Chapter 13, Lemma 4.1].

One can use Hilbert symbols to compute the index \([\Lambda_{D} : \Lambda_{D} \cap N K^{\times}]\).
Lemma 3.3. Let $F$ be a number field and $\mu_d \subseteq F$. Assume $K = F(\sqrt[d]{a})$ is a Kummer extension of $F$ of degree $d$. Let $D$ be any subgroup of the group of fractional ideals of $K$ and $\Lambda_D = \{ x \in F^\times \mid (x)\mathcal{O}_F \in ND \}$. Define

$$
\rho = \rho_{D,K/F} : \Lambda_D \longrightarrow \prod_v \mu_d, \quad x \mapsto \left( \left( \frac{x,a}{v} \right)_d \right)_v,
$$

where $v$ passes through all places of $F$ ramified in $K/F$. Then

1. $\ker(\rho) = \Lambda_D \cap NK^\times$. In particular, $[\Lambda_D : \Lambda_D \cap NK^\times] = |\rho(\Lambda_D)|$.

2. Let $\Pi$ be the product map $\prod_v \mu_d \to \mu_d$, then $\Pi \circ \rho = 1$ and hence $\rho(\Lambda_D) \subseteq \ker \Pi := (\prod_v \mu_d)^D$.

3. $\ker(\rho)$ and $|\rho(\Lambda_D)|$ are independent of the choice of $a$.

Proof. (1) For $v$ a place of $F$, let $w$ be a place of $K$ above $v$. Recall that $\left( \frac{x,a}{v} \right)_d = 1$ if and only if $x \in \mathcal{N}_{K_w/F_v}(K_w^\times)$. We claim that if $v$ is unramified, then $x \in \mathcal{N}_{K_w/F_v}(K_w^\times)$ for $x \in \Lambda_D$. Suppose $v$ is an infinite unramified place. Then $F_v = K_w$ and clearly $x \in \mathcal{N}_{K_w/F_v}(K_w^\times)$. Suppose $v$ is a finite unramified place. Since $x \in \Lambda_D$, we have $(x)\mathcal{O}_F = \mathcal{N}(I)$. Then locally $(x)\mathcal{O}_{F_v} = \mathcal{N}_{K_w/F_v}(J)$ for some fractional ideal $J$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K_w}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{K_w}$ is a principal ideal domain, $J = (\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in K_w^\times$. Hence $x = u\mathcal{N}_{K_w/F_v}(\alpha) = u \in \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times$. Since $v$ is unramified, we have $u \in \mathcal{N}_{K_w/F_v}(K_w^\times)$ by local class field theory. Therefore $x \in \mathcal{N}_{K_w/F_v}(K_w^\times)$.

Now for $x \in \ker(\rho)$, we have $x \in \mathcal{N}_{K_w/F_v}(K_w^\times)$ for every place $v$ of $F$. Hasse’s norm theorem [Neu13, Chapter VI, Corollary 4.5] gives $x \in NK^\times$. So $\ker(\rho) \subseteq \Lambda_D \cap NK^\times$. The other direction is clear. This proved (1).

(2) We have just proved that if $v$ is unramified, then $\left( \frac{x,a}{v} \right)_d = 1$ for $x \in \Lambda_D$. Therefore (2) follows from the product formula for Hilbert symbols.

(3) is a consequence of (1). \hfill \Box

Lemma 3.4. Assume $K,F,G,C$ as in Gras’ Theorem. Assume $[K : F]$ is an $\ell$-extension. Then $\ell \mid [\text{Cl}_K(C)^G]$ implies that $\text{Cl}_K(\ell) = C(\ell)$. In particular, $\ell \nmid [\text{Cl}_K^G]$ implies that $\ell \mid h_K$.

Proof. Consider the action of $G$ on $\text{Cl}_K(C)$. The cardinality of the orbit of $c \in (\text{Cl}_K(C))/\ell$ is a multiple of $\ell$. Thus $|\text{Cl}_K(C)/\ell| \equiv |\text{Cl}_K(C)\ell^G| \equiv 1 \mod \ell$ by the assumption. Hence $\ell \nmid |\text{Cl}_K(C)\ell^G|$ implies $|\text{Cl}_K(C)/\ell| = 1$. Note that $\text{Cl}_K(C)/\ell \cong (\text{Cl}_K(C) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}$, from the exact sequence

$$
0 \to C \to \text{Cl}_K \to \text{Cl}_K/C \to 0,
$$

we obtain $(\text{Cl}_K(C)/\ell) \cong \text{Cl}_K(\ell)/C(\ell)$. Therefore $\text{Cl}_K(\ell) = C(\ell)$. \hfill \Box

Proposition 3.5. Let $K_2/K_0$ be a cyclic extension of number fields of degree $\ell^2$ satisfying RamHyp. Let $K_1$ be the unique nontrivial intermediate field of $K_2/K_0$. Then for any $n \geq 1$,

$$
|\text{Cl}_{K_0}/\ell^n\text{Cl}_{K_0}| = |\text{Cl}_{K_1}/\ell^n\text{Cl}_{K_1}|
$$
implies that
\[ \Cl(K_1^\ell) / \ell^n \Cl K_2 \cong \Cl K_1^\ell / \ell^n \Cl K_1 \cong \Cl K_0^\ell / \ell^n \Cl K_0. \]

In particular, \(|\Cl(K_0^\ell)\) \(|\Cl(K_1^\ell)\) implies that \(|\Cl(K_0^\ell)\) \(|\Cl(K_1^\ell)\).

Proof. Denote by \(G = \Gal(K_2/K_0) = \langle \sigma \rangle\). Let \(L_i\) be the maximal unramified abelian \(\ell\)-extension of \(K_1\) and \(X_i := \Gal(L_i/K_1) \cong \Cl(K_1^\ell)\). By class field theory, \(G\) acts on \(X := X_2 \via x^\sigma = \bar{\sigma} x \bar{\sigma}^{-1}\) where \(\bar{\sigma} \in G := \Gal(L_2/K_0)\) is any lifting of \(\sigma\), by this action \(X\) becomes a module over the local ring \(\Z \ell[G]\). Since \(K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K_2\) satisfies \textbf{RamHyp}, we have \(L_0 \cap K_2 = K_0\). Let \(M = \Gal(L_2/K_2 L_0)\). Then \(X/M = \Gal(K_2 L_0/K_2) \cong X_0\). We have the following claim:

Claim: \(X/\omega M \cong X_1\), where \(\omega = 1 + \sigma + \cdots + \sigma^{\ell-1} \in \Z \ell[G]\).

Now for any \(n \geq 1\), by the claim,
\[ X_0/\ell^n X_0 \cong X/M + \ell^n X_0 \quad \text{and} \quad X_1/\ell^n X_1 \cong X/\omega M + \ell^n X_0. \]

By the assumptions, \(M + \ell^n X = \omega M + \ell^n X\). Since \(\omega\) lies in the maximal ideal of \(\Z \ell[G]\), we have \(M \subset \ell^n X\) by Nakayama’s Lemma. Hence we have isomorphisms which are induced by the restrictions
\[ X/\ell^n X \cong X_1/\ell^n X_1 \cong X_0/\ell^n X_0. \]

By class field theory we have isomorphisms which are induced by the norm maps
\[ \Cl(K_2^\ell) / \ell^n \Cl K_2 \cong \Cl(K_1^\ell) / \ell^n \Cl K_1 \cong \Cl(K_0^\ell) / \ell^n \Cl K_0. \]

Let \(n \to +\infty\), we get \(\Cl(K_2^\ell) \cong \Cl(K_1^\ell) \cong \Cl(K_0^\ell)\).

Let us prove the claim. We know \(G = \bar{G}/X\). Let \(\{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}\) be the set of places of \(K_0\) ramified in \(K_2/K_0\). Note that \(p_i\) cannot be an infinite place by \textbf{RamHyp}.

For each \(p_i\), choose a prime ideal \(\bar{p}_i\) of \(L_2\) above \(p_i\). Let \(I_i \subset \bar{G}\) be the inertia subgroup of \(\bar{p}_i\). The map \(I_i \to \bar{G} \to G\) induces an isomorphism \(I_i \cong G\), since \(L_2/K_2\) is unramified and \(K_2/K_0\) is totally ramified. Let \(\sigma_i \in I_i\) such that \(\sigma_i \equiv \sigma \mod X\).

Then \(I_i = \langle \sigma_i \rangle\). Let \(a_i = \sigma_i \sigma_i^{-1} \in X\). Then \(\langle I_1, \cdots, I_s \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_s \rangle\). Since \(L_0\) is the maximal unramified abelian \(\ell\)-extension of \(K_0\), we have
\[ \Gal(L_2/L_0) = \langle \bar{G}', I_1, \cdots, I_s \rangle = \langle \bar{G}', a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_s \rangle\]
where \(\bar{G}'\) is the commutator subgroup of \(\bar{G}\). In fact \(\bar{G}' = X^{\sigma^{-1}}\). The inclusion \(X^{\sigma^{-1}} \subset \bar{G}'\) is clear. On the other hand, it is easy to check that \(X^{\sigma^{-1}}\) is normal in \(\bar{G}\) and \(X/X^{\sigma^{-1}}\) is in the center of \(\bar{G}/X^{\sigma^{-1}}\). Since \(\bar{G}/X \cong G\) is cyclic, from the exact sequence
\[ 1 \to X/X^{\sigma^{-1}} \to \bar{G}/X^{\sigma^{-1}} \to G \to 1, \]
we obtain \(\bar{G}/X^{\sigma^{-1}}\) is abelian. Thus we have
\[ \Gal(L_2/L_0) = \langle X^{\sigma^{-1}}, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_s \rangle. \]

Since \(a_i \in X\) and \(X \cap I_1 = \{1\}\), we have \(X \cap \Gal(L_2/L_0) = \langle X^{\sigma^{-1}}, a_2, \cdots, a_s \rangle\).

Thus the map \(X \to \bar{G}\) induces the following isomorphism
\[ X/\langle X^{\sigma^{-1}}, a_2, \cdots, a_s \rangle \cong \bar{G}/\Gal(L_2/L_0) = X_0. \]

Therefore \(\langle X^{\sigma^{-1}}, a_2, \cdots, a_s \rangle = M\). Repeat the above argument to \(L_2/K_1\), we obtain
\[ X/\langle X^{\sigma^{-1}}, b_2, \cdots, b_t \rangle \cong X_1, \]
where $b_i = \sigma_i^e \sigma_i^{-1}$ for each $i$. Obviously, $X^{\sigma_i^e - 1} = \omega X^{\sigma_i^{-1}}$. For $b_i$, we have

$$b_i = \sigma_i^e \sigma_i^{-1} = \sigma_i^{e-1} a_i \sigma_i^{-(t-1)} = \sigma_i^{e-t} a_i \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_i = \cdots = a_i^{1+\sigma_i^{e-t-1}} = a_i^\omega.$$  

So $\langle X^{\sigma_i^e - 1}, b_2, \cdots, b_t \rangle = \omega M$ and $X_1 = X/\omega M$. This finishes the proof of the claim. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.6.** (1) Let $K_\infty/K$ be a $Z_\ell$-extension and $K_n$ its $n$-th layer. It is well known that exists $n_0$ such that $K_\infty/K_{n_0}$ satisfies RamHyp. Then Proposition 3.2 recovers Fukuda’s result [Fuk94] that if $[\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}(\ell)] = [\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}(\ell)]$ (resp. $[\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}/\ell \text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}] = [\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}/\ell \text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}]$ for some $m \geq n_0$, then $[\text{Cl}_{K_{n}}(\ell)] = [\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}(\ell)]$ (resp. $[\text{Cl}_{K_{n}}/\ell \text{Cl}_{K_{n}}] = [\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}/\ell \text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}]$) for any $i \geq 1$. In fact, our proof is essentially the same as the proof of the corresponding results for $Z_\ell$-extensions, see [Was97] Lemma 13.14, 13.15 and [Fuk94].

(2) Let $K$ be a number field containing $\mu_{i+2}$. Let $a \in K^* \setminus K^\ell$ and $K_n = K(\sqrt[n]{a})$. Then $\text{Gal}(K_{n+1}/K_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell^2 \mathbb{Z}$ for any $m_\ell$. One can show that there exists some $n_0$ such that $K_\infty/K_{n_0}$ satisfies RamHyp. If $[\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}(\ell)] = [\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}(\ell)]$ (resp. $[\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}/\ell \text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}] = [\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}/\ell \text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}]$) for some $m \geq n_0$, repeatedly applying Proposition 3.2 then one can get $[\text{Cl}_{K_{n+1}}(\ell)] = [\text{Cl}_{K_{n}}(\ell)]$ for any $i \geq 0$.

The following ramification lemma is useful.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let $K_n/K_0$ be a cyclic extension of number fields of degree $\ell^n$. Let $K_i$ be the unique intermediate field such that $[K_i : K_0] = \ell^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. If a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K_0$ is ramified in $K_1/K_0$, then $\mathfrak{p}$ is totally ramified in $K_n/K_0$.

**Proof.** Let $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the inertia group of $\mathfrak{p}$. Then $K_{n+1}^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}} = K_i$ for some $i$ and $K_{n+1}^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}} / K$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $K_1/K_0$ is ramified at $\mathfrak{p}$, we must have $K_{n+1}^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}} = K_0$. In other words, $\mathfrak{p}$ is totally ramified. \hfill \Box

For our convenience, we need the following well-known consequence of class field theory, see [Was97] Theorem 10.1.

**Proposition 3.8.** Suppose the number field extension $M/K$ contains no unramified abelian sub-extension other than $K$. Then the norm map $\text{Cl}_M \to \text{Cl}_K$ is surjective. In particular, $h_K \mid h_M$.

### 4. 2-CLASS GROUPS OF $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[2^m]{p}, \mu_{2^m+1})$

We now study the 2-class group of $K_{n,m} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[2^m]{p}, \mu_{2^m+1})$. The following Proposition is a consequence of Proposition 3.9.

**Proposition 4.1.** Assume 2 is totally ramified in $K_{n_0+1,m_0+1}/K_{n_0,m_0}$ for some integers $n_0 \geq v_p(2)$ and $m_0 \geq 1 + v_p(2)$. Then

1. All primes above 2 in $K_{n_0,m_0}$ are totally ramified in $K_{n,m}/K_{n_0,m_0}$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $m \geq m_0$;
2. If $|A_{n,m}| = |A_{n+1,m+1}|$, then $A_{n,m} \cong A_{n_0,m_0}$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $m \geq m_0$.
3. If $2 \nmid h_{n_0+1,m_0+1}$, then $2 \nmid h_{n,m}$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $m \geq m_0$. 


Proof. Note that if \( n_0 \geq v_p(2) \) and \( m_0 \geq 1 + v_p(2) \), then \( \text{Gal}(K_{n_0+2,m_0}/K_{n_0,m_0}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \) and \( [K_{n_0+1,m_0+1} : K_{n_0,m_0}] = 4 \). We have the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{n_0,m_0+1} & \rightarrow & K_{n_0+1,m_0+1} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K_{n_0,m_0} & \rightarrow & K_{n_0+1,m_0} \\
\end{array}
\]

For (1), let \( q \) be a prime of \( K_{n_0,m_0} \) above 2. Apply Lemma 3.7 to the two horizontal lines in the diagram, we obtain \( q \) is totally ramified in \( K_{n_0+2,m_0+1}/K_{n_0,m_0} \). Apply Lemma 4.2 to the right most vertical line in the diagram, we get \( q \) is totally ramified in \( K_{n_0+2,m_0+2}/K_{n_0,m_0} \). Hence \( q \) is totally ramified in \( K_{n_0+2,m_0+2}/K_{n_0,m_0} \). Repeatedly using the above argument, we obtain \( q \) is totally ramified in \( K_{n,m}/K_{n_0,m_0} \) for all \( n \geq n_0 \) and \( m \geq m_0 \).

For (2), if \( p \neq 2 \), let \( p \) be a prime of \( K_{0,m} \) above \( p \). For any \( n \geq 1 \), note that \( x^{2^n} - p \) is a \( p \)-Eisenstein polynomial in \( K_{0,m}[x] \). Therefore \( K_{n,m}/K_{0,m} \) is totally ramified at \( p \). Since \( K_{\infty}/K_{n_0,m_0} \) is unramified outside 2 and \( p \), the two horizontal lines and the right most vertical line in the diagram all satisfy RamHyp by (1). Note that \( |A_{n_0,m_0}| = |A_{n_0+1,m_0+1}| \) implies that \( A_{n_0+1,m_0+1} \cong A_{n_0+1,m_0} \cong A_{n_0,m_0+1} \cong A_{n_0,m_0} \) by Proposition 3.5. Apply Proposition 3.5 to the two horizontal lines in the diagram, we get \( A_{n_0+2,m_0+1} \cong A_{n_0+2,m_0} \cong A_{n_0,m_0} \). Apply Proposition 3.5 to the vertical line, we get \( A_{n_0+2,m_0+2} \cong A_{n_0,m_0} \). Then we have \( A_{n,m} \cong A_{n_0,m_0} \) for \( n \geq n_0 \) and \( m \geq m_0 \) by inductively using the above argument.

For (3), \( 2 \nmid h_{n_0+1,m_0+1} \) implies that \( 2 \nmid h_{n_0,m_0} \) by Proposition 3.8. Then the result follows from (2).

\[\square\]

### 4.1. The cases \( p = 2 \) and \( p \equiv 3, 5 \text{ mod } 8 \)

**Proof of Theorem 4.1** for \( p = 2 \). The prime 2 is totally ramified in \( K_{2,3} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2},\mu_{16}) \) and \( h_{2,3} = 1 \). Therefore 2 is totally ramified in \( K_{\infty}/K_{0,2} \) and \( 2 \nmid h_{n,m} \) for \( n \geq 1, m \geq 2 \) by Proposition 4.1. The remaining \((n,m)\) follows from Proposition 3.8.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose \( p \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 8 \).

1. The unique prime above 2 in \( K_{1,1} \) is totally ramified in \( K_{\infty}/K_{1,1} \).
2. \( \prod_v e_v = 32 \) where \( v \) runs over the places of \( K_{0,2} \) and \( e_v \) is the ramification index of \( v \) in \( K_{2,2}/K_{0,2} \).
3. \([E_{0,2} : E_{0,2} \cap \mathbb{N}K_{2,2}^\times] = 8\).

**Proof.** (1) We only need to show \( K_{2,2}/K_{1,1} \) is totally ramified at 2 by Proposition 4.1. It is easy to show that \( K_{1,2}/K_{1,1} \) is ramified at 2. To see 2 is also ramified in \( K_{2,2}/K_{1,2} \), we consider the local fields extension \( \mathbb{Q}_2(\mu_8, \sqrt[3]{3})/\mathbb{Q}_2(\mu_8, \sqrt{3}) \). Note that

\[
\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt[3]{3}) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{3}) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 16, \\
\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{11}) & \text{if } p \equiv 11 \text{ mod } 16.
\end{cases}
\]

Since the fields \( \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{3}) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{11}) \) are not Galois over \( \mathbb{Q}_2 \),

\[
\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{un}} \cap \mathbb{Q}_2(\mu_8, \sqrt[3]{3}) \subset \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ab}} \cap \mathbb{Q}_2(\mu_8, \sqrt[3]{3}) = \mathbb{Q}_2(\mu_8, \sqrt{3}),
\]

\[\square\]
where $\mathbb{Q}_n^m$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}_n^{ab}$) is the maximal unramified (resp. abelian) extension of $\mathbb{Q}_n$. Thus $\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q}_n^{ab}$ is totally ramified. So $K_{2,2}/K_{1,1}$ is totally ramified at 2.

(2) Since $p \equiv 3 \mod 8$, we have $p\mathcal{O}_{0,2} = p_1p_2$, with $p_1, p_2$ totally ramified in $K_{\infty,2}$. Then $e_p = [\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p}, \zeta_k) : \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_k)] = 4$. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ the unique prime ideal above 2 in $K_{0,2}$. Then $e_q = 2$ as $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{p}, \zeta_k)/\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_k)$ is unramified. Since $K_{2,2}/K_{0,2}$ is unramified outside 2 and $p$, we have $\prod_v e_v = 32$.

(3) Note that $E_{0,2} = \langle \zeta_8, 1 + \sqrt{2} \rangle$. Recall the following map as in Lemma 3.3:

$$\rho: E_{0,2} \to \mu_4 \times \mu_4 \times \mu_4,$$

$$x \mapsto \left(\frac{x}{p_1}, \frac{x}{p_2}, \frac{x}{q}\right).$$

We have $|\rho(E_{0,2})| = [E_{0,2} : E_{0,2} \cap \mathbb{N}K_{2,2}^+] = 1$ and $\rho(E_{0,2}) \subset (\mu_4 \times ?) \Pi = 1$.

Let $\iota_1, \iota_2 : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) \to \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_8)$ be the corresponding embeddings of $p_1, p_2$ such that $\iota_1(\zeta_8) = \zeta_8$ and $\iota_2(\zeta_8) = \zeta_8^{-1}$. By definition $\left(\frac{x/p}{p_1}, \frac{x/p}{p_2}\right)^{-1} = \iota_j^{-1} \left(\frac{\iota_j(x)/p}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_8)}\right)$ for $j = 1, 2$.

We first compute $\rho(i)$. Since the residue field of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_8)$ is $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\zeta_8^{\pm 1}p}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_8)}\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{p_1}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_8)}\right)^{-1} = \zeta_8^{\pm 1}.$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{\zeta_8, p}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{\zeta_8, p}{p_2}\right) = \zeta_8^{\pm 1} = \pm i.$$

By the product formula $\left(\frac{\zeta_8, p}{q}\right)^{-1} = -1$.

Now we compute $\rho(1 + \sqrt{2})$. In the local field $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_8)$,

$$\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{2})}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{2})}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{-1, p}{\mathbb{Q}_p}\right)^2 = -1,$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{2})}\right) = \pm i.$$

Since $\iota_1(1 + \sqrt{2}) = \iota_2(1 + \sqrt{2}) = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and $\iota_1(i) = i, \iota_2(i) = -i$, we have

$$\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p}{p_1}\right) = \pm i, \quad \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p}{p_2}\right) = \mp i.$$

By the product formula, $\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p}{q}\right) = 1$.

Therefore, $\rho(\zeta_8) = (\pm i, \pm i, -1)$ and $\rho(1 + \sqrt{2}) = (\pm i, \mp i, 1)$. In each case, we have $|\rho(E_{0,2})| = 8$. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** for $p \equiv 3 \mod 8$. We know the class number of $K_{0,2} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ is 1, the product of the ramification indices is 32 and the index $[E_{0,2} : E_{0,2} \cap \mathbb{N}K_{2,2}^+] = 8$ by Lemma 1.2. Then $[\mathbb{Q}_0^m] = 1$ by Chevalley’s formula 3.2. Thus $2 \mid h_{2,2}$ by Lemma 3.2. Now Proposition 4.1 implies $2 \mid h_{n,m}$ for $n, m \geq 1$. Since $K_{n,1}/K_{n,0}$ is ramified at infinity, we have $2 \mid h_{n,0}$ by Proposition 3.3. □
Lemma 4.3. Suppose $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.

1. The prime 2 is inert in $K_{1,0}$ and is totally ramified in $K_{\infty,\infty}/K_{1,0}$.
2. $\prod_v e(v, K_{3,2}/K_{0,2}) = 2^8$ where $v$ runs over the places of $K_{0,2}$.
3. $\prod_v e(v, K_{2,1}/K_{0,1}) = 2^5$ where $v$ runs over the places of $K_{0,1}$.
4. $\prod_v e(v, K_{1,2}/K_{0,2}) = 4$ where $v$ runs over the places of $K_{0,2}$.

Proof. (1) Note that $Q_2(\sqrt{2})/Q_2$ is not Galois, so $\sqrt{2} \notin Q_2^b$. Then the proof is the same as the case $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$.

(2) We only need to consider the primes above 2 and $p$. Since $e(p, K_{3,0}/Q) = 8$ and $pQ_{0,2} = p_1p_2$, we have $e(p_1, K_{3,2}/K_{0,2}) = e(p_2, K_{3,2}/K_{0,2}) = 8$. From (1), we can easily obtain that $e(q_{0,2}, K_{3,2}/K_{0,2}) = 4$ for $q_{0,2}$ the only prime above 2 in $K_{0,2}$. Hence the product of ramification indexes is $2^8$.

The proof of (3) and (4) is easy, we leave it to the readers.

Lemma 4.4. Let $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Let $\Lambda_{0,2} = \langle (1 - \zeta_8)^2, \zeta_8, 1 + \sqrt{2} \rangle \subset K_{0,2}$ and $\Lambda_{0,1} = \langle (1 - i)^2, i \rangle \subset K_{0,1}$. We have

1. $[\Lambda_{0,2} : \Lambda_{0,2} \cap N K_{3,2}^\times] = 32$ and $[E_{0,2} : E_{0,2} \cap N K_{3,2}^\times] = 16$;
2. $[\Lambda_{0,1} : \Lambda_{0,1} \cap N K_{2,1}^\times] = 8$ and $[E_{0,1} : E_{0,1} \cap N K_{2,1}^\times] = 4$;
3. $[E_{0,2} : E_{0,2} \cap N K_{3,2}^\times] = 2$.

Proof. Denote by $q_{n,m}$ the unique prime ideal of $K_{n,m}$ above 2 for each $n, m \geq 0$. Note that $E_{0,2} = \langle \zeta_8, 1 + \sqrt{2} \rangle$. Then $\Lambda_{0,2} = \Lambda_{(q_{2,1})}$ respect to the extension $K_{3,2}/K_{0,2}$ and $\Lambda_{0,1} = \Lambda_{(q_{3,1})}$ respect to the extension $K_{2,1}/K_{0,1}$ as in Lemma 3.3.

Since $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, we have $pQ_{1,1} = p_1p_2$ and $pQ_{0,2} = p_1p_2$. Note that $p_1, p_2, q_{0,2}$ are exactly the ramified places in $K_{3,2}/K_{0,2}$. For (1), we study the map

$$\rho := \rho_{(q_{3,2}), K_{3,2}/K_{0,2}} : \Lambda_{0,2} \longrightarrow \mu_8 \times \mu_8 \times \mu_8$$

$$x \longmapsto \left( \frac{x}{p \mathfrak{P}_1}, \frac{x}{p \mathfrak{P}_2}, \frac{x}{q_{0,2}} \right).$$

By Lemma 3.3, $\rho(\Lambda_{0,2}) \subset \langle \mu_8 \times \mu_8 \times \mu_8 \rangle^{\prod 1}$, $[\Lambda_{0,2} : \Lambda_{0,2} \cap N(K_{3,2}^\times)] = |\rho(\Lambda_{0,2})|$ and $[E_{0,2} : E_{0,2} \cap N(K_{3,2}^\times)] = |\rho(E_{0,2})|$.

Let $t_j : Q_p(\zeta_8) \rightarrow Q_p(\zeta_8)$ the corresponding embeddings for $\mathfrak{P}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. We choose $t_j$ so that $t_1(\zeta_8) = \zeta_8$ (and hence $t(i) = i, t(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}$ and $t_2(\zeta_8) = \zeta_8^{-1}$ (and hence $t_2(i) = -i, t_2(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}$). The Hilbert symbol $\left( \frac{x, p}{\mathfrak{P}_1} \right)_8$ by definition is

$$\iota_i \left( \frac{t_i(x, p)}{Q_p(\zeta_8)} \right).$$

We first compute $\rho(\zeta_8)$. In the local field $Q_p(\zeta_8)$,

$$\left( \frac{\zeta_8^{\pm 1}, p}{Q_p(\zeta_8)} \right)_8 = \left( \frac{p, \zeta_8^{\pm 1}}{Q_p(\zeta_8)} \right)_8 = \zeta_8^{\pm 1},$$

we have

$$\left( \frac{\zeta_8^{\pm 1}, p}{\mathfrak{P}_1} \right)_8 = \left( \frac{\zeta_8, p}{\mathfrak{P}_2} \right)_8 = \zeta_8^{-1}.$$
where the second equality is due to the norm-compatible property of Hilbert symbols and the fact \( i \in \mathbb{Q}_p \) for \( p \equiv 5 \mod 8 \), the last equality is due to the fact \(-1\) is a square but not a fourth power in \( \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \) for \( p \equiv 5 \mod 8 \). Therefore

\[
\left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)}}{Q_p} \right)_4 = \pm i.
\]

Since \( \iota_1(\sqrt{2}) = \iota_2(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2} \) and \( \iota_1(i) = i, \iota_2(i) = -i \), we have

\[
\left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p_{\mathbb{Q}_1}}{Q_p} \right)_s = \pm i, \quad \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p_{\mathbb{Q}_2}}{Q_p} \right)_s = \mp i.
\]

Hence \( \rho(1 + \sqrt{2}) = (\pm i, \mp i, 1) \) by the product formula. In each case, we always have \( \|\rho(E_{0,2})\| = \|\rho(\zeta), \rho(1 + \sqrt{2})\| = 16 \).

Finally we compute \( \rho(1 - \zeta)^2 \). In \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta) \),

\[
a^\pm := \left( \frac{(1 - \zeta^\pm 1)^2, p}{Q_p(\zeta)} \right)_s = \left( \frac{1 - \zeta^\pm 1, p}{Q_p(\zeta)} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{(1 - \zeta^\pm 1)(1 + \zeta^\pm 1), p}{Q_p} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{1 \pm i, p}{Q_p} \right)_4.
\]

Then \( a^+ a^- = \left( \frac{2, p_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}{Q_p} \right)_4 = \pm i \) and \( \frac{a^-}{a^+} = \left( \frac{i, p_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}{Q_p} \right)_4 = \pm i \). Therefore

\[
(a^+, a^-) = (\pm i, 1), (\pm i, -1), (1, \pm i), (-1, \mp i).
\]

By definition, \( \left( \frac{(1 - \zeta_2)^2, p}{Q_1} \right)_s = a^+ \) and \( \left( \frac{(1 - \zeta_2)^2, p}{Q_2} \right)_s = \iota_2^{-1}(a^-) \). Therefore

\[
\left( \frac{(1 - \zeta_2)^2, p}{Q_1} \right)_s, \left( \frac{1 - \zeta_2^2, p}{Q_2} \right)_s = (\pm i, 1), (\pm i, -1), (1, \mp i), (-1, \mp i).
\]

In each case, we always have \( \|\rho(A_{0,2})\| = \|\rho((1 - \zeta_2)^2), \rho(\zeta_2), \rho(1 + \sqrt{2})\| = 32 \). This proved (1).

For (2), we study the map

\[
\rho_4 := \rho_{(a_2, 1), K_{2,1}/K_{0,1}} : A_{0,1} \rightarrow \mu_4 \times \mu_4 \times \mu_4
\]

\[
x \mapsto \left( \frac{x, p_{\mathbb{Q}_1}}{2}, \frac{x, p_{\mathbb{Q}_2}}{2}, \frac{x, p_{\mathbb{Q}_{0,1}}}{2} \right).
\]

We always have

\[
\left( \frac{i, p_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}{2} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{p, i_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}{2} \right)_4 = i_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1} = \mp i.
\]

Let \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \) be the embeddings corresponding to \( \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2 \) respectively. We assume that \( \tau_1(i) = i \) and \( \tau_2(i) = -i \). Then

\[
\left( \frac{i, p_{\mathbf{p}_1}}{2} \right)_4 = \tau_1^{-1} \left( \frac{\tau_1(i), p_{\mathbf{p}_1}}{2} \right)_4 = \tau_2^{-1} \left( \frac{\tau_2(i), p_{\mathbf{p}_2}}{2} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{i, p_{\mathbf{p}_1}}{2} \right)_4 = \mp i.
\]

Hence \( \rho_4(i) = (\pm i, \pm i, -1) \) by the product formula. So \( [E_{0,1} : E_{0,1} \cap \mathbb{N}^*_{K_{2,1}^\times}] = \|\rho_4 \|_{E_{0,1}} \| = \|\rho_4(i)\| = 4 \).

Now we compute \( \rho_4((1 + i)^2) \). Since \( (1 + i)^2 = 2i \), the product formula gives

\[
\left( \frac{1 + i, p_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}{2} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{1 + i, p_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}{2} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{2, p_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}{2} \right)_2 = -1,
\]

we have

\[
\left( \frac{(1 - i)^2, p_{\mathbb{Q}_1}}{2} \right)_4 = \pm 1, \quad \left( \frac{(1 - i)^2, p_{\mathbb{Q}_2}}{2} \right)_4 = \mp 1.
\]
Hence \( \rho_4((1 - i)^2) = (\pm 1, \mp 1, -1) \). Therefore, \([\Lambda_{0,1} : \Lambda_{0,1} \cap N K_{2,1}^\times] = |\langle \rho_4((1 - i)^2), \rho_4(i) \rangle| = 8\). This proved (2).

(3) follows from the values of the following quadratic Hilbert symbols:

\[
\left( \frac{\zeta_p, p}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{-i, p}{\mathbb{Q}_p} \right)_2 = -1, \quad \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}, p}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{-1, p}{\mathbb{Q}_p} \right)_2 = 1. \quad \Box
\]

**Proof of Theorem 7.1** for \( p = 5 \text{ mod } 8 \). We first prove that \( 2 \mid h_{3,2}, 2 \mid h_{2,1} \) and \( 2 \notmid h_{1,2} \).

Applying Gras’ formula [3.1] to the case

\[ K_{3,2}/K_{0,2}, \ C = \langle \text{cl}(q_{3,2}) \rangle, \ D = \langle q_{3,2} \rangle \]

where \( q_{n,m} \) is the unique prime ideal of \( K_{n,m} \) above 2, then \( \Lambda_D = \Lambda_{0,2} \) as in Lemma 4.4. By the above computation and Lemma 3.4, \( A_{3,2} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{3,2}) \rangle(2) \). Note that \( C \) is invariant under the action of \( G := \text{Gal}(K_{3,2}/K_{0,2}) \). We have \( A_{3,2} = A_{3,2}^G \).

Chevalley’s formula [3.2] and the above computation imply that \( |A_{3,2}| = |A_{3,2}^G| = 2 \).

Similarly, applying Gras’ formula to the case

\[ K_{2,1}/K_{0,1}, \ C = \langle \text{cl}(q_{2,1}) \rangle, \ D = \langle q_{2,1} \rangle \]

shows that \( A_{2,1} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{2,1}) \rangle(2) \). In particular, \( A_{2,1} \) is invariant under the action of \( \text{Gal}(K_{2,1}/K_{0,1}) \). Applying Chevalley’s formula to \( K_{2,1}/K_{0,1} \), we obtain \( |A_{2,1}| = 2 \).

Applying Chevalley’s formula to the extension \( K_{1,2}/K_{0,2} \) and then using Lemma 3.3, we have \( 2 \notmid h_{1,2} \). Hence \( 2 \mid h_{1,1} \) by Proposition 3.8.

We have \( 2 \mid h_{n,m} \) for \( n \geq 2, m \geq 1 \) by Proposition 4.1 and \( 2 \mid h_{1,m} \) for \( n = 1, m \geq 1 \) by Proposition 3.8.

It remains to prove that \( 2 \notmid h_{n,0} \). The proof consists of three steps:

**Step 1:** Let \( \epsilon \) be the fundamental unit of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \). We show that \( \left( \frac{\epsilon, \sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}} \right)_2 = -1 \).

Write \( \epsilon = \frac{a + b\sqrt{p}}{2}, a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then

\[
\left( \frac{\epsilon, \sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{a/2, \sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{a/2, -p}{p} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{a/2}{p} \right).
\]

It is well-known \( N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})/\mathbb{Q}}(\epsilon) = (\frac{a}{2})^2 - p(\frac{b}{2})^2 = -1 \). Since \( (\frac{a}{2})^2 \equiv -1 \text{ mod } p \) and \( p \equiv 5 \text{ mod } 8 \), we have \( (\frac{a/2}{p}) \equiv (\frac{2}{p})^{a/2} \equiv -1 \text{ mod } p \).

**Step 2:** We show that \( [E_{n,0} : E_{n,0} \cap N K_{n+1,0}^\times] = 4 \) for each \( n \geq 1 \).

Consider the map as in Lemma 3.3

\[
\rho : E_{n,0} \longrightarrow \mu_2 \times \mu_2 \times \mu_2 \times \mu_2
\]

\[
x \longmapsto \left( \left( \frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{\infty_n} \right)_2, \left( \frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{\infty_2} \right)_2, \left( \frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{\infty_0} \right)_2, \left( \frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{\infty_0} \right)_2 \right),
\]

where \( \infty_n \) is the real place of \( K_{n,0} \) such that \( \infty_n(\sqrt{p}) < 0 \). We know \([E_{n,0} : E_{n,0} \cap N K_{n,0}^\times] = |\rho(E_{n,0})| \) and \( \rho(E_{n,0}) \subset (\mu_2 \times \mu_2 \times \mu_2) \Pi = 1 \). In particular, \( |\rho(E_{n,0})| \leq 4 \).

Since \(-1, \epsilon \in E_{n,0} \). It is enough to prove that \(|\rho(-1), \rho(\epsilon)| = 4 \). By **Step 1**, we have

\[
\left( \frac{\epsilon, \sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{\epsilon, -\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}} \right)_2 = \cdots = \left( \frac{\epsilon, -\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}} \right)_2 = -1.
\]

Therefore, \( \rho(\epsilon) = (\pm 1, -1, \mp 1) \). Since \( \rho(-1) = (-1, 1, -1) \), we have \(|\rho(-1), \rho(\epsilon)| = 4 \) and hence \(|\rho(E_{n,0})| = 4 \).

**Step 3:** We prove \( 2 \notmid h_{n,0} \) for any \( n \geq 1 \).


Lemma 4.6. We have $\infty$.

Proof. Since $p \nmid E_{K}$, Lemma 4.5. The class number $h_{K}$ unramified in $K$ have $N_{E}/K_{0}$, Chevalley’s formula (3.2) for the extension $K_{n+1,0}/K_{n,0}$ and Lemma 3.3 then imply $2 \nmid h_{n+1,0}$.

4.2. The case $p \equiv 7 \mod 16$. The main purpose of this subsection is to prove Theorem 1.1 (3). We first give a brief description of the proof.

- Apply Gras’ formula (3.1) inductively to the extension $K_{n,0}/K_{n-1,0}$ to show that $A_{n,0}$ is generated by the unique prime above 2. Then apply (3.1) to $K_{n,1}/K_{n,0}$ to show that $A_{n,1}$ equals the 2-primary party of $\langle$classes of primes above 2$\rangle$. Next apply Chevalley’s formula (3.2) to the extensions $K_{3,1}/K_{1,1}$ and $K_{2,1}/K_{1,1}$ to deduce $A_{2,1} \cong A_{3,1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Proposition 3.3 then implies $A_{n,1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq 2$. Finally from this one can get $A_{n,0} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq 2$.

- Apply (3.1) inductively to $K_{1,m}/K_{0,m}$ to show that $A_{1,m}$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}$, then use Kida’s $\lambda$-invariant formula to get $|A_{1,m}| \geq 2^{m-1}$. This leads to $A_{1,m} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}$ for any $m \geq 1$.

For each $n \geq 1$, $K_{n,0}$ has two real places. Let $\infty_{n}$ be the real place such that $\infty_{n}(\sqrt[p]{p}) < 0$. Then $\infty_{n}$ is ramified in $K_{n+1,0}/K_{n,0}$ while the other real place is unramified in $K_{n+1,0}/K_{n,0}$.

The prime $p$ is totally ramified as $pO_{n,0} = p_{n,0}^{2n}$ in $K_{n,0}$, where $p_{n,0} = (\sqrt[p]{p})$. Since $p$ is inert in $K_{0,1}$, $p_{n,0}$ is inert in $K_{n,1}$. Write $p_{n,0}O_{n,1} = p_{n,1}$. The prime $p_{0,1} = (p)$ is totally ramified in $K_{\infty,1}/K_{0,1}$.

Since $(x + 1)^{2^n} - p$ is a 2-Eisenstein polynomial, 2 is totally ramified as $2O_{n,0} = q_{n,0}^{2^n}$ in $K_{n,0}$. Since 2 splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{p})/\mathbb{Q}$, $q_{n,0}$ splits as $q_{n,0}O_{n,1} = q_{n,1}q_{n,1}'$ in $K_{n,1}/K_{n,0}$ for each $n \geq 1$. The primes $q_{1,1}$ and $q_{1,1}'$ are totally ramified in $K_{\infty,1}/K_{0,1}$.

The prime 2 is also totally ramified as $2O_{0,m} = q_{0,m}^{2n}$ in $K_{0,m}$, where $q_{0,m} = (1 - \zeta_{2^{m+1}})O_{0,m}$. The prime $q_{0,m}$ splits as $q_{0,m}O_{1,m} = q_{1,m}q_{1,m}'$ in $K_{1,m}$ for each $m \geq 1$.

Since $2 \nmid h_{1,0}$, $p_{1,0}$ is principal. If $\pi = u + v\sqrt[p]{p}$ is a generator of $p_{1,0}$, we must have $N(\pi) = u^2 - pv^2 = 2$, since $-2$ is not a square modulo $p$. If $\pi$ is a totally positive generator of $p_{1,0}$, then $\frac{\pi^2}{2} = \epsilon k$ with $k$ odd, where $\epsilon$ is the fundamental unit of $K_{1,0}$. Replace the generator $\pi$ by $\pi\epsilon^{-1/2}$. We may assume that $\frac{\pi^2}{2}$ is the fundamental unit. So $E_{1,0} = (\pi, \dfrac{\pi^2}{2})$.

Lemma 4.5. The class number $h_{1,1}$ of $K_{1,1} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{p}, i)$ is odd and $E_{1,1} = (\dfrac{\pi}{2}, i)$.

Proof. Apply Chevalley’s formula to the extension $K_{1,1}/K_{0,1}$, one easily get $2 \mid h_{1,1}$ by Lemma 3.3.

By [FT93] Theorem 42, Page 195],

$$[E_{1,1}] = \left[\dfrac{\pi^2}{2}, i\right] = 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

Note that $\dfrac{\pi}{2}$ is a unit and $\left[\dfrac{\pi}{2}, i\right] = \left[\dfrac{\pi^2}{2}, i\right] = 2$, we must have $E_{1,1} = (\dfrac{\pi}{2}, i)$. □

Lemma 4.6. We have

1. $\left(\dfrac{\pi, \sqrt[p]{p}}{p_{1,0}}\right)_2 = -1$ and $\left(\dfrac{\pi, \sqrt[p]{p}}{q_{1,0}}\right)_2 = -1$;

2. $[E_{1,0} : E_{1,0} \cap NK_{2,0}^\times] = 2$.
(3) \([E_{1,1} : E_{1,1} \cap \mathbb{N}K_{3,1}^\times] = 4\) and \([E_{1,1} : E_{1,1} \cap \mathbb{N}K_{2,1}^\times] = 1\).

**Proof.** (1) Since \(\pi = u + v\sqrt{p}\) is totally positive, we have \(u > 0\), \(u^2 - pv^2 = 2\) and \(2 \nmid uv\). Note that \(2\) is a square modulo \(v\), so \(v \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8\). Then \(u^2 \equiv 9 \mod 16\) since \(p \equiv 7 \mod 16\). In other words, \(u \equiv \pm 3 \mod 8\). We have

\[
\left( \frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{p_{1,0}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{u, \sqrt{p}}{p_{1,0}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{u - p}{p} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{u}{p} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{2}{u} \right)_2 = -1.
\]

The fourth equality is due to the quadratic reciprocity law. We have \(\left( \frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{\infty_{1,0}} \right)_2 = 1\) as \(\pi\) is totally positive, thus \(\left( \frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,0}} \right)_2 = -1\) by the product formula.

(2) Since the infinite place \(\infty_{1,1}\) ramified, \(-1\) is not a norm of \(K_{2,0}\). For the fundamental unit \(\frac{\pi^2}{2}\), we have

\[
\left( \frac{\pi^2, \sqrt{p}}{p_{1,0}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{2, \sqrt{p}}{p_{1,0}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{2 - p}{p} \right)_2 = 1, \quad \left( \frac{\pi^2, \sqrt{p}}{\infty_{1,1}} \right)_2 = 1.
\]

By the product formula,

\[
\left( \frac{\pi^2, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,0}} \right)_2 = 1.
\]

Then \(\frac{\pi^2}{2}\) is a norm of \(K_{2,0}\) by Hasse’s norm theorem. This proved (2).

(3) We need to study the map

\[
\rho : E_{1,1} \to \mu_4 \times \mu_4 \times \mu_4
\]

\[
x \mapsto \left( \left( \frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{p_{1,1}} \right)_4, \left( \frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}} \right)_4, \left( \frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}} \right)_4 \right).
\]

Then \(\rho(E_{1,1}) \subset (\mu_4 \times \mu_4 \times \mu_4)^{\prod = 1}\) and \([E_{1,1} : E_{1,1} \cap \mathbb{N}K_{3,1}^\times] = |\rho(E_{1,1})|\).

We first compute \(\rho(i)\). Since \(p \equiv 7 \mod 16\) and the residue field of \(p_{1,1}\) is \(F_{p^2}\), we have

\[
\left( \frac{i, \sqrt{p}}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p}, i)} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{\sqrt{p}, i}{\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p}, i)} \right)_4^{-1} = i^{-\frac{p-1}{4}} = 1.
\]

Thus

\[
\left( \frac{i, \sqrt{p}}{p_{1,1}} \right)_4 = 1.
\]

Note that the localization of \(K_{1,1}\) at \(q_{1,1}\) is \(\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{p}, i) = \mathbb{Q}_2(i)\). Note that \(\sqrt{-p} \in \mathbb{Q}_2\). Since

\[
\left( \frac{i, i}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{i, -1}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4 \left( \frac{i, -i}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{i, -1}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4 \left( \frac{i, i}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_2 = 1,
\]

we have

\[
\left( \frac{i, \sqrt{p}}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{i, \sqrt{-1}}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{i, 11}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{i, 11}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4, \quad \text{if} \ p \equiv 7 \mod 32;
\]

\[
\left( \frac{i, \sqrt{23}}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{i, 3}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4, \quad \text{if} \ p \equiv 23 \mod 32.
\]

Apply the product formula to the quartic Hilbert symbols on \(\mathbb{Q}(i)\), we have

\[
\left( \frac{i, 11}{\mathbb{Q}_2(i)} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{i, 11}{\mathbb{Q}_1(i)} \right)_4^{-1} = i^{-\frac{i^2 - 1}{4}} = -1,
\]

where \(\mathbb{Q}_1(i) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p}, i)\).
(1,3)_{Q_2(i)} \equiv (1,3)_{Q_3(i)}^{-1} \equiv \frac{-2 \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}} = -1.

Therefore, \((\frac{1, \sqrt{p}}{Q_2(i)})_4 \equiv -1\) and we have \(\rho(i) = (1, -1, -1)\).

Next we compute \(\rho(\frac{\pi}{p+1})\). By (1), we have \(\frac{\pi^{q-1}}{q} \equiv -1 \mod p, 1, 0\). Since \(p \equiv 7 \mod 16\), \(\pi^{q-1} = 1 \mod p, 1, 0\). Hence \((\frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}})_4 = 1\). Since \((1 + i)^{q-1} = (2i)^{q-1} = -2^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \mod p\), \(\rho(i) = (1, -1, -1)\).

Next we compute \((\frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}})_4\). By (1), we have \(1 = (\frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{q_{0,1}})_2 = (\frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{q_{2}(\sqrt{p})}_2 = (\frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}})_2\).

Note that \(\sqrt{-p} \equiv \pm 3 \mod 8\), we have the following equality of quadratic Hilbert symbols:

\[
(\frac{1 \pm i, \sqrt{p}}{Q_2(i)})_2 = (\frac{1 \pm i, \sqrt{-p}}{Q_2(i)})_2 = (\frac{2, \sqrt{-p}}{Q_2})_2 = -1.
\]

Therefore

\[
(\frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}})_4 = 1 = (\frac{\pi, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}}')_4.
\]

By the product formula we must have \(\rho(\frac{\pi}{p+1}) = (-1, \pm 1, \mp 1)\). Hence \(|\rho(E_{1,1})| = 4\). This implies \(|E_{1,1} : E_{1,1} \cap NK_{2,1}^x| = 4\).

To compute \(|E_{1,1} : E_{1,1} \cap NK_{2,1}^x|\), we need to consider the following map

\[
\rho' : E_{1,1} \longrightarrow \mu_2 \times \mu_2 \times \mu_2
\]

\[
x \longmapsto \left( (\frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{p_{1,1}})_2, (\frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}})_2, (\frac{x, \sqrt{p}}{q_{1,1}}')_2 \right).
\]

Then \(\rho' = \rho^2\) by Proposition 4.7. Thus \(\rho'(i) = \rho(i)^2 = (1, 1, 1)\) and \(\rho'(\frac{\pi}{p+1}) = \rho(\frac{\pi}{p+1})^2 = (1, 1, 1)\). Therefore \(|E_{1,1} : E_{1,1} \cap NK_{2,1}^x| = |\rho'(E_{1,1})| = 1\).

**Proposition 4.7.** We have

(1) \(A_{n,0} = \langle cl(q_{n,0}) \rangle\) for \(n \geq 1\) and \(A_{2,0} \equiv \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\);

(2) \(A_{n,1} = \langle cl(q_{n,1}), cl(q_{n,1})' \rangle\) for \(n \geq 2\).

**Proof.** (1) We prove this by induction. The case \(n = 1\) is well-known. Suppose the result holds for \(n\). We apply Gras’ formula 4.7 to

\[
K_{n+1,0}/K_{n,0}, C = \langle cl(q_{n+1,0}) \rangle, D = \langle q_{n+1,0} \rangle.
\]
Note that \( N(C) = \langle \text{cl}(q_{n,0}) \rangle = A_{n,0} \) by the assumption. The product of ramification indices is 8. Consider the map
\[
\rho := \rho_{D,K_{n+1,0}/K_0} : \Lambda_D \rightarrow \mu_2 \times \mu_2 \times \mu_2
\]
\[
x \mapsto \left( \frac{x, z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{\infty_n}, \left( \frac{x, z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{p_{n,0}} \right)_2, \left( \frac{x, z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{q_{n,0}} \right)_2 \right).
\]
We have \( |\rho(\Lambda_D)| = |\Lambda_D : \Lambda_D \cap \mathbf{N}K_{n+1,0}^\times| \) and \( \rho(\Lambda_D) \subset (\mu_2 \times \mu_2 \times \mu_2)^\Pi = 1 \), in particular, \( |\rho(\Lambda_D)| \leq 4 \). Notice that \( \Lambda_D \supset (\pi, \frac{Z}{2Z}, -1) \).

Since \( \infty_n(z^{v_2} \overline{p}) < 0 \),
\[
\left( \frac{-1, z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{\infty_n} \right)_2 = -1.
\]
By the norm-compatibility of Hilbert symbols, \( \left( \frac{-1, z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{p_{n,0}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{-1, z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{p_{n-1,0}} \right)_2 = \cdots = \left( \frac{-1, z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{(p)} \right)_2 = -1. \)
Then \( \rho(-1) = (-1, -1, 1). \) Since \( \pi \) is totally positive, \( \left( \frac{\pi, z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{\infty_n} \right)_2 = 1. \)

By the norm-compatibility of Hilbert symbols and the above Lemma,
\[
\left( \frac{\pi, z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{p_{n,0}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{\pi, (-1)^{n-1} z^{v_2} \overline{p}}{p_{1,0}} \right)_2 = -1.
\]
Hence \( \rho(\pi) = (1, -1, -1). \) Therefore \( |\rho(\Lambda_D)| \geq |(\rho(\pi), \rho(-1))| = 4. \) This shows that \( |\rho(\Lambda_D)| = 4. \) Then Gras’ formula and Lemma \ref{lem:formula} tell us \( A_{n,1,0} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{n,1,0}) \rangle (2). \)

Note that \( q_{n,1,0} = q_{1,0} = (\pi), \) so \( \langle \text{cl}(q_{n,1,0}) \rangle (2) = \langle \text{cl}(q_{n,1,0}) \rangle. \) By induction, we have proved that \( A_{n,1,0} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{n,1,0}) \rangle. \)

In particular, \( A_{2,0} \) is invariant under the action of \( \text{Gal}(K_{2,0}/K_{1,0}) \). Since \( E_{1,0} = \langle -1, \frac{Z}{2Z} \rangle \), and \( [E_{1,0} : E_{2,0} \cap \mathbf{N}K_{2,0}^\times] = 2 \) by the above Lemma. Applying Chevalley’s formula \ref{lem:formula} to \( K_{2,0}/K_{1,0} \) gives \( A_{2,0} \cong Z/2Z. \)

(2) We apply Gras’ formula to \( A_{n,1,0}/K_{n,0}, C = \langle \text{cl}(q_{1,0}), \text{cl}(q_{n,1}) \rangle, D = \langle q_{1,0}, q_{n,1} \rangle. \)

Then \( N(C) = \langle \text{cl}(q_{n,0}) \rangle = A_{n,0} \) by (1). Only the two infinite places are ramified in \( K_{n,1}/K_{n,0}, \) so \( -1 \) is not a norm. This shows that the index \( |\Lambda_D : \Lambda_D \cap \mathbf{N}K_{n+1,0}^\times| \geq 2. \) By Gras’ formula and Lemma \ref{lem:formula} \( A_{n,1} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{n,1}), \text{cl}(q_{n,1}) \rangle (2). \)

**Theorem 4.8.** For \( p \equiv 7 \mod 16, \) one has \( A_{n,1} \cong Z/2Z \times Z/2Z \) and \( A_{n,0} \cong Z/2Z \times Z/2Z \) for any \( n \geq 2. \)

**Proof.** The extension \( K_{\infty,1}/K_{1,1} \) satisfies **RamHyp** and \( \text{Gal}(K_{n+2,1}/K_{n,1}) \) is cyclic of order 4 for each \( n \geq 1. \) By Proposition \ref{lem:formula} to show that \( A_{n,1} \cong Z/2Z \times Z/2Z \) it suffices to show \( A_{2,1} \cong A_{3,1} \cong Z/2Z \times Z/2Z \).

Let \( G_{2,1} = \text{Gal}(K_{2,1}/K_{1,1}). \) By Proposition \ref{lem:formula} \( A_{2,1} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{2,1}), \text{cl}(q_{2,1}) \rangle (2) = A_{2,1}^{G_{2,1}}. \) Since \( h_{1,1} \) is odd, we have \( \text{cl}(q_{2,1})^2 = \text{cl}(q_{1,1}O_{2,1}) \) has odd order. In other words, \( A_{2,1} \) is a quotient of \( Z/2Z \times Z/2Z. \) Note that \( A_{2,1} = A_{2,1}^{G_{2,1}}. \) The product of ramification indices of \( K_{2,1}/K_{1,1} \) is 8. By Lemma \ref{lem:formula} and Chevalley’s formula \ref{lem:formula} for \( K_{2,1}/K_{1,1}, \) we obtain \( |A_{2,1}| = |A_{2,1}^{G_{2,1}}| = 4. \) So \( A_{2,1} \cong Z/2Z \times Z/2Z. \)
By Proposition 4.7, \( A_{3,1} = A_{3,1}^{G_{3,1}} \) where \( G_{3,1} = \text{Gal}(K_{3,1}/K_{1,1}) \). The product of ramification indices of \( K_{3,1}/K_{1,1} \) is 64. By Lemma 4.6 and Chevalley’s formula for \( K_{3,1}/K_{1,1} \), we get \( |A_{3,1}| = |A_{3,1}^{G_{3,1}}| = 4 \). Since the norm map from \( A_{3,1} \) to \( A_{2,1} \) is surjective by Proposition 4.5, we must have \( A_{3,1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

Now we compute \( A_{n,0} \). Since \( K_{n,1}/K_{n,0} \) is ramified at infinity places, the norm map from \( A_{n,1} \) to \( A_{n,0} \) is surjective by Proposition 3.8. In particular, \( A_{n,0} \) is a quotient of \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). We know that \( A_{n,0} \) is cyclic by Proposition 4.7 since the norm map from \( A_{n,0} \) to \( A_{2,0} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) is surjective, we must have \( A_{n,0} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) for \( n \geq 2 \).

To compute the 2-class group of \( K_{1,m} \) for \( m \geq 1 \), we first note that \( K_{1,m} \) is the \( m \)-th layer of the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-extension of \( K_{1,1} \).

**Proposition 4.9.** We have \( A_{1,m} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{1,m}) \rangle(2) \) for \( m \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** We first reduce the result to the case \( m = 2 \). Suppose \( A_{1,2} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{1,2}) \rangle(2) \). Note that \( K_{1,\infty}/K_{1,1} \) is totally ramified at \( q_{1,1} \) and \( q_{1,1}' \), and unramified outside \( q_{1,1} \) and \( q_{1,1}' \). Applying Gras’ formula to \( K_{1,2}/K_{1,1}, C_1 = \langle \text{cl}(q_{1,2}) \rangle, D_1 = \langle q_{1,2} \rangle \) gives

\[
[A_{D_1} : A_{D_1} \cap NK_{1,2}^\times] = 2.
\]

Next we apply Gras’ formula to \( K_{1,3}/K_{1,2}, C_2 = \langle \text{cl}(q_{1,3}) \rangle, D_2 = \langle q_{1,3} \rangle \).

Note that \( N(C)(2) = A_{1,2} \). To prove \( A_{1,3} = C_2 \), we need to prove that \( [A_{D_2} : A_{D_2} \cap NK_{1,3}^\times] = 2 \) by Lemma 3.4. Note that \( K_{1,2} = K_{1,1}(\sqrt{-i}) \) and \( K_{1,3} = K_{1,2}(\sqrt{8}) \).

We need to study the following two maps:

\[
\rho_1 = \rho_{D_1,K_{1,2}/K_{1,1}} : A_{D_1} \longrightarrow \mu_2 \times \mu_2
\]

\[
x \mapsto \left( \frac{x, i}{q_{1,1}}, \frac{x, -i}{q_{1,1}} \right)_2
\]

and

\[
\rho_2 = \rho_{D_2,K_{1,3}/K_{1,2}} : A_{D_2} \longrightarrow \mu_2 \times \mu_2
\]

\[
x \mapsto \left( \frac{x, \zeta_8}{q_{1,2}}, \frac{x, \zeta_8}{q_{1,2}} \right)_2
\]

We have \( [\rho_2(A_2)] = [A_{D_2} : A_{D_2} \cap NK_{1,3}^\times] \leq 2 \) by Lemma 3.3. Note that \( A_{D_1} \subset A_{D_2} \). By the norm-compatible property of Hilbert symbols, \( \left( \frac{x, \zeta_8}{q_{1,2}}, \frac{x, \zeta_8}{q_{1,1}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{x, -i}{q_{1,1}} \right)_2 \).

So the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Lambda_{D_2} \\
\downarrow \rho_2 \\
\Lambda_{D_1} \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\quad \mu_2 \times \mu_2 \\
\end{array}

Thus \( 2 = [\rho_1(A_{D_1})] \leq [\rho_2(A_{D_2})] \leq 2 \) and \( [A_{D_2} : A_{D_2} \cap NK_{1,3}^\times] = 2 \), which implies that \( A_{1,3} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{1,3}) \rangle(2) \) by Lemma 3.3. Repeating this argument, we get \( A_{1,m} = \langle \text{cl}(q_{1,m}) \rangle(2) \) for \( m \geq 2 \).
Consider the case

\[ K/F = K_{1,2}/K_{0,2}, \quad C = \langle \text{cl}(q_{1,2}) \rangle, \quad D = \langle q_{1,2} \rangle. \]

Note that \( C \) is a Gal(\( K_{1,2}/K_{0,2} \))-submodule of \( A_{1,2} \), since for \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{1,2}/K_{0,2}) \),
\( \sigma(q_{1,2})q_{1,2} = q_{0,2}\sigma q_{1,2} = (1 - \zeta_8)q_{1,2} \), in other words, \( \sigma(\text{cl}(q_{1,2})) = \text{cl}(q_{1,2})^{-1} \). If we can show \( |\Lambda_D : \Lambda_D \cap NK_{1,2}^{-1}| = 2 \), then by Gras’ formula \((5.1)\) and Lemma \((5.4)\) we have \( A_{1,2} = (\text{cl}(q_{1,2})) \). (2)

Note that \( \Lambda_D = (1 - \zeta_8, \zeta_8, 1 + \sqrt{2}) \) and the ramified places in \( K_{1,2}/K_{0,2} \) are \( p_{0,2} \) and \( p_{0,2}^\prime \) where \( p_{0,2}p_{0,2}^\prime = p\mathcal{O}_{2,2} \). By Lemma \((5.3)\) for the map
\[ \rho = \rho_{D,K_{1,2}/K_{0,2}} : \Lambda_D \longrightarrow \mu_2^2 \]
\[ x \longmapsto \left( \frac{x, p}{p_{0,2}} \right)_2, \left( \frac{x, p}{p_{0,2}^\prime} \right)_2, \]
we have \( |\rho(\Lambda_D)| = |\Lambda_D : \Lambda_D \cap NK_{1,2}^{-1}| \leq 2 \). To show \( |\rho(\Lambda_D)| = 2 \), it suffices to show \( \rho \) is not trivial. Let us compute \( \rho(1 - \zeta_8) \). For \( p \equiv 7 \text{ mod } 16 \), the conjugate of \( \zeta_8 \)
over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) is \( \zeta_8^{-1} \). By the norm-compatible property of Hilbert symbols, we have
\[ \left( \frac{1 - \zeta_8, p}{p_{0,2}} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{p_{0,2}}{\zeta_8} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{1 - \zeta_8(1 - \zeta_8^{-1}), p_2}{p_2} \right)_2 = \left( \frac{2 + \zeta_8 + \zeta_8^{-1}, p}{p_{0,2}} \right)_2. \]

By Hensel’s Lemma, we have
\[ \left( \frac{2 + \zeta_8 + \zeta_8^{-1}, p}{p_{0,2}} \right)_2 = 1 \iff 2 + \zeta_8 + \zeta_8^{-1} \text{ mod } p \text{ is a square} \iff 2 + \zeta_8 + \zeta_8^{-1} \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2. \]

Notice that \( \zeta_8^2 = 2 + \zeta_8 + \zeta_8^{-1} \). Since \( p \equiv 7 \text{ mod } 16 \), \( \text{Frob}_p(\zeta_{16} + \zeta_{16}^{-1}) = \zeta_{16}^7 + \zeta_{16}^{-7} = - (\zeta_{16} + \zeta_{16}^{-1}) \), where \( \text{Frob}_p \) is the Frobenius element of \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p) \).
Thus \( \zeta_{16} + \zeta_{16}^{-1} \notin \mathbb{Q}_p \) and we have \( \left( \frac{1 - \zeta_8, p}{p_{0,2}} \right)_2 = -1. \) \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.10.** For \( p \equiv 7 \text{ mod } 16 \) and \( m \geq 1 \), the 2-class group \( A_{1,m} \) of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{p}, \mu_{2^m+1}) \)
is \( \mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** Note that \( A_{1,1} \) is trivial and \( q_{1,1}^2 = q_{1,1} \). We have \( A_{1,m} = (\text{cl}(q_{1,m}))(2) \) is a quotient of \( \mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z} \). Since \( h_{1,m} \mid h_{1,m+1} \) by Proposition \((3.8)\) if \( |A_{1,m}| < 2^{m-1} \) for some \( m \), we must have \( |A_{1,k}| = |A_{1,k+1}| \) for some \( k \). Then \( |A_{1,n}| = |A_{1,k}| \) for any \( n \geq k \) by Proposition \((3.3)\). But Kida’s formula \((Kd79)\) shows that the \( \lambda \)-invariant of the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-extension of \( \mathbb{Q}((\sqrt[p]{p}) \) is 1. In particular, the 2-class numbers of \( \mathbb{Q}((\sqrt[p]{p}, \zeta_{2^m+1} + \zeta_{2^m+1}^{-1}) \) are unbounded when \( m \to \infty \). Thus the 2-class numbers of \( \mathbb{Q}((\sqrt[p]{p}, \zeta_{2^m+1}) = K_{1,m} \) are also unbounded by Proposition \((3.8)\). We get a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem \((1.13)\).** Theorem \((1.13)\) is just the combination of Theorem \((4.8)\) and Theorem \((4.10)\). \( \square \)

### 4.3. Congruence property of the relative fundamental unit

We are now ready to prove Theorem \((1.2)\). We assume \( p \equiv 7 \text{ mod } 16 \) and use the same notations as in § 4.2. Theorem \((1.2)\) is just the second part of the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.11.** Let \( p \equiv 7 \text{ mod } 16 \). Let \( \epsilon \) be the fundamental unit of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{p}) \).

1. There exists a totally positive unit \( \eta \in E_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{p})} \) such that \( N(\eta) = \epsilon \) and \( E_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{p})} = \langle \eta, \epsilon, -1 \rangle. \)
For any unit $\eta' \in N^{-1}(e)$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$, one has $v_q(Tr_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})}(\eta')) = 3$ and $\eta' \equiv -\text{sgn}(\eta') \mod \sqrt{p}$, where $q$ is the unique prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ above 2.

**Remark 4.12.** We may call the unit $\eta$ the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$. The first part of this theorem is due to Parry, see [Par80, Theorem 3]. We include a proof here for completeness.

To prove this theorem, we need an explicit reciprocity law for a real quadratic field $F$. For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ with odd norm and $\gamma \in F$ prime to $\mathfrak{p}$, define the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{\gamma}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \in \{\pm 1\}$ by the congruence $\left(\frac{\gamma}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \equiv (-1)^{N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma)} \mod \mathfrak{p}$. For coprime $\gamma, \delta \in F$ with $(2, \delta) = 1$, define $\left[\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right] := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\delta} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{\frac{\text{sgn}(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}}$. By definition $\left[\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right] = 1$ if $\delta \in O_F^\times$. For $\gamma, \delta \in F - \{0\}$, define $\{\gamma, \delta\} := (-1)^{\frac{\text{sgn}(\gamma)-1}{2} \cdot \frac{\text{sgn}(\delta)-1}{2}}$ where $\text{sgn}(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ and $\text{sgn}(x) = -1$ if $x < 0$. We have $\{\gamma, \delta_1\} \{\gamma, \delta_2\} = \{\gamma, \delta_1\delta_2\}$.

**Theorem 4.13.** Assume that $\gamma_1, \delta_1, \gamma_2, \delta_2 \in F$ have odd norms, $\gamma_1$ and $\delta_1$ are coprime, $\gamma_2$ and $\delta_2$ are coprime, and $\gamma_1 \equiv \gamma_2, \delta_1 \equiv \delta_2 \mod 4$. Then

$$\left[\frac{\gamma_1}{\delta_1}\right] \left[\frac{\delta_1}{\gamma_1}\right] \left[\frac{\gamma_2}{\delta_2}\right] \left[\frac{\delta_2}{\gamma_2}\right] = \{\gamma_1, \delta_1\} \{\gamma_1, \delta'_1\} \{\gamma_2, \delta_2\} \{\gamma_2, \delta'_2\},$$

where $\xi'$ is the conjugate of $\xi \in F$.

**Proof.** Lemmermeyer in [Lem05] introduced the symbol $\{\xi\}$ for $\xi \in F$ satisfying the following properties:

1. [Lem05] Lemma 12.13: $\left[\frac{a}{b}\right] \left[\frac{b}{a}\right] = [a][b'][\alpha\beta'][\alpha]{\beta'}{\alpha'\beta'}$.
2. [Lem05] Lemma 12.12: $\{\xi\}$ depends only on the residue class of $\xi \mod 4$.
3. [Lem05] Lemma 12.16: $\{\alpha\} \{\beta\} \{\alpha\beta\} = \{\alpha, \beta\} \{\alpha', \beta\}$.

From the properties the theorem follows. □

**Proof of Theorem 4.13.** (1) Note that $E_{2.0}/E_{1.0}$ is a free abelian group of rank 1, let $\eta \in E_{2.0}$ such that its image in $E_{2.0}/E_{1.0}$ is a generator of $E_{2.0}/E_{1.0}$, then clearly $E_{2.0} = \langle \eta, \epsilon, -1 \rangle$. Recall $\epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$. By Lemma [4.17, 4.18] $\epsilon \in NK_{2.0}^\times$ and $K_{2.0}^\times = KN_{2.0}$ for a $G$-invariant fractional ideal, by [Gre] Proposition 1.3.4, $E_{1.0} \cap NK_{2.0}^\times = NE_{2.0}$ and in particular $\epsilon \in NE_{2.0}$. Therefore we must have $N(\eta) = e^a$ for some odd $a = 2k + 1$. Since $\epsilon$ is totally positive, $\eta$ is either totally positive or totally negative. Replacing $\eta$ by $\text{sgn}(\eta)e^k\eta$, then $\eta$ is totally positive, $N(\eta) = \epsilon$ and $E_{2.0} = \langle \eta, \epsilon, -1 \rangle$.

(2) We first reduce it to the case $\eta' = \eta$. Suppose the result holds for $\eta$. For any $\eta' \in E_{2.0}$ such that $N(\eta') = \epsilon$, we can write $\eta' = \text{sgn}(\eta')\eta'^k e^s$ with $k$ odd and $s = \frac{1-k}{k}$. Since $\epsilon = N(\eta)$, we have $\epsilon \equiv 1 \mod \sqrt{p}$. Then $\eta' \equiv \text{sgn}(-1)^k \equiv -\text{sgn}(\eta') \mod \sqrt{p}$ and $\eta \equiv a + \beta \sqrt{p}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{p})$. By the assumption we have $q \parallel \alpha$ and $q \nmid \beta$. It is easy to check that for odd $k$, $\eta^k := \alpha_k + \beta_k \sqrt{p}$ admits the same property. Thus we have $v_q(Tr(\eta')) = v_q(\pm x\alpha_k) = 3$.

From now on we prove the result holds for $\eta$. Write $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{p}$ and $\beta = c + d\sqrt{p}$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the infinite place is ramified in $K_{2.0}$, we have
\(N_{K_{2,0}/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta) = 1\). Hence \(N_{K_{2,0}/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta) \equiv a^4 \equiv 1 \mod \sqrt{p}\). Since \(p \equiv 7 \mod 16\), we have \(\eta \equiv a \equiv \pm 1 \mod \sqrt{p}\).

Let \(G = \text{Gal}(K_{2,0}/K_{2,0})\). By Proposition 1.7 and Theorem 1.8, we have \(|A_{2,0}| = |A_{2,0}'| = 2\). Applying Chevalley’s formula \((K.2)\) on \(K_{2,0}/K_{2,0}\) tells us \([E_{2,0} : N_{K_{2,0}^\times} \cap E_{2,0}] = 4\). This implies \((\eta_1 \sqrt[p]{\eta})_\infty^2, (\eta_1 \sqrt[p]{\eta})_{q_{2,0}}, (\eta_1 \sqrt[p]{\eta})_{q_{2,0}^2}) = (1, 1, 1)\).

Therefore \((\eta_1 \sqrt[p]{\eta})_{q_{2,0}}^2 = (\eta_1 \sqrt[p]{\eta})_{q_{2,0}} = -1\) by the totally positivity of \(\eta\) and the product formula. Hence \(\eta\) is not a square modulo \(\sqrt{p}\) and we must have \(\eta \equiv -1 \mod \sqrt{p}\).

Write \(\alpha = \pi' \alpha_0\) with \(\pi \nmid \alpha_0\). Our goal is to prove \(t = 1\). Note that \(\alpha\) and \(\alpha_0\) are totally positive. Write \(\epsilon = x + y\sqrt[p]{p'}, \pi = u + v\sqrt[p]{p}\). By Lemma 4.6 \(u\) and \(v\) are both odd and \(v \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8\). Then \(8 \parallel x = a^2 - 1 = pv^2 + 1\) and \(y \equiv \pm 3 \mod 8\).

If \(y \equiv 3 \mod 8\), then \(\epsilon \equiv -\sqrt[p]{p} \mod 4\). Take \((\alpha_0, -\sqrt[p]{p}, \alpha_0, \epsilon)\) in Theorem 4.13 since \(\alpha_0 > 0, -\sqrt[p]{p'} > 0\), we get
\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_0 \\ -\sqrt[p]{p} \\ \epsilon \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_0 \\ -\sqrt[p]{p} \\ \epsilon \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \right] = \{\alpha_0, -\sqrt[p]{p}\}\{\alpha_0', \sqrt[p]{p}'\} = 1.
\]

Since \(\alpha^2 - \sqrt[p]{p}\beta^2 = \epsilon\), \(\left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_0 \\ \epsilon \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_0 \\ \epsilon \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \right] = 1\). By Lemma 4.6, \(\left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_0 \\ \epsilon \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \right] = 1\). Then we have
\[
-1 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \frac{\sqrt[p]{p}}{} \\ \frac{\sqrt[p]{p}}{} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \pi \\ \frac{\sqrt[p]{p}}{} \\ \frac{\sqrt[p]{p}}{} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_0 \\ \sqrt[p]{p} \\ \sqrt[p]{p} \end{array} \right] = (-1)^t,
\]
which means that \(t\) is odd in this case.

If \(y \equiv -3 \mod 8\), then \(\epsilon' = x + y'\sqrt[p]{p}\) with \(y' \equiv 3 \mod 8\) and \(\epsilon' = \frac{1}{2}\). Then \(N(\frac{1}{2}) = \epsilon'\). Repeat the above argument, we obtain \(v(\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}))\) is odd. Let \(\bar{\eta} = \alpha - \beta \sqrt[p]{p}\). We have \(\text{Tr}(\eta^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(\bar{\eta}^{-1}) = \epsilon^{-1}\text{Tr}(\eta)\). Since \(\epsilon^{-1}\) is a unit, we have \(t = v(\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2})) = v(\text{Tr}(\bar{\eta}^{-1}))\) is also odd.

Finally we prove \(t = 1\). Note that \(\pi \nmid u + v\sqrt[p]{p} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[p]{p}]\) if and only if \(u \equiv v \mod 2\). Write \(\eta = a + b\sqrt[p]{p} + (c + d\sqrt[p]{p})\sqrt[p]{p}\) with \(a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\). Since \(t\) is odd, we have \(\pi \nmid a + b\sqrt[p]{p}\) and \(\pi \nmid c + d\sqrt[p]{p}\). Then \(c \not\equiv d \mod 2\). From \(N(\eta) = \epsilon = x + y\sqrt[p]{p}\) we have \(a^2 + pb^2 - 2cdp = x\). Assume \(t \geq 3\), i.e. \(2\pi \mid (a + b\sqrt[p]{p})\). We must have \(2 \parallel a\) and \(2 \parallel b\) or \(a\) and \(4 \mid b\). Since \(8 \mid x\), we have \(4 \mid cd\). But exactly one of \(c\) and \(d\) is odd, \(y = 2ab - c^2 - pd^2 = d^2 - c^2 \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8\), which is a contradiction to \(y \equiv \pm 3 \mod 8\). Thus \(t = 1\).
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