Electrochemical properties of Na$_{0.66}$V$_4$O$_{10}$ nanostructures as cathode material in rechargeable batteries for energy storage applications
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ABSTRACT: We report the electrochemical performance of nanostructures of Na$_{0.66}$V$_4$O$_{10}$ as cathode material for rechargeable batteries. The Rietveld refinement of room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern shows the monoclinic phase with C2/m space group. The cyclic voltammetry curves of prepared half-cells exhibit redox peaks at 3.1 and 2.6 V, which are due to two-phase transition reaction between V$^{5+/4+}$ and can be assigned to the single step deintercalation/intercalation of Na-ion. We observe a good cycling stability with specific discharge capacity (measured vs. Na$^+$/Na) between 80 (±2) and 30 (±2) mAh g$^{-1}$ at a current density 3 and 50 mA g$^{-1}$, respectively. The electrochemical performance of Na$_{0.66}$V$_4$O$_{10}$ electrode was also tested with Li anode, which showed higher capacity, but decay faster than Na. Using density functional theory, we calculate the Na vacancy formation energies; 3.37 eV in the bulk of the material and 2.52 eV on the (100) surface, which underlines the importance of nanostructures.

INTRODUCTION

After commercialization in early 1990s, rechargeable Li-ion batteries (LIBs) are being widely investigated and used as energy storage to power portable electronic devices and hybrid electric vehicles [1, 2]. This is mainly because LIBs show the lowest redox potential of the Li (E$_{Li/Li^+}$ = -3.04 V vs. SHE), which allows possessing high voltage and energy density. Also, small ionic radius of Li$^+$ (~0.76 Å in six coordination state) allows its smooth diffusion during charging/discharging, which makes long cycle life [3, 4]. However, due to huge demand, high cost, safety concerns, limited and non-uniform Li resources [5], the Na-ion batteries (NIBs) have generated considerable interest as the most promising alternative (due to the uniform distribution and abundance of Na resources in the earth crust) to the LIBs for large-scale energy storage systems [6-12]. The elements Na and Li are from the same group in the periodic table and have similar properties such as reactivity, physical strength, etc. The global abundance, low cost and appropriate redox potential (E$_{Na/Na^+}$ = -2.71 V vs. SHE) prove its suitability as a good substitute for Li. However, large ionic radius of Na-ion (~1.02 Å in the six coordination environment) as compared to the Li leads to slow ionic diffusion and lower energy density [13, 14]. Owing to these facts, materials with open framework or materials which can exchange more than one Na per formula unit, or Na-rich materials are crucial for the possibility of having high power and energy density [15, 16].

In this context, layered transition metal oxides having formula Na$\text{T}_n\text{O}_3$ (T= transition metal) and polyvanionic compounds have been extensively explored and used as a cathode material for Na-ion battery [17-24]. For example, vanadium oxychloride [25], Na$_{0.44}$Mn$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$O$_2$ [26, 27], NaNi$_{1/3}$Mn$_{1/3}$Co$_{1/3}$O$_2$ [28], Na$_2$V$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$ [29], olivine-type phosphates and sulphate based cathodes like NaFePO$_4$ [30], NaFeSO$_4$F [31] and even prussian blue derivatives which contain a suitable transition metal such as K$\text{Fe(CN)}_6$[44, 45]. In Li-ion batteries, Li$_x$V$$_2$O$_5$ can yield high discharge capacities [34]. In Li-ion batteries, Li$_x$V$_2$O$_5$ is explored extensively [36, 39], but it suffers significant capacity loss dur-
ing repetitive charging/discharging for an intercalated amount of \( x > 1 \) [45]. Analogous to \( \text{Li}_x \text{V}_2 \text{O}_5 \) in Li-ion, \( \text{Na}_x \text{V}_2 \text{O}_5 \) was also proposed for Na-ion battery, where the Na cations can be reversibly cycled along the \( b \) axis in a similar manner as the Li counterpart. Within pentoxide based cathode, \( \text{Na}_{0.33} \text{V}_2 \text{O}_5 \) has gained significant attention as a cathode material for Li-ion/Na-ion batteries because of high experimental discharge capacity [43, 50–52]. Bach, et. al. have first investigated the Na intercalation and de-intercalation behavior in \( \text{Na}_{0.33} \text{V}_2 \text{O}_5 \) bronze [51] and then similarly, \( \text{NaV}_6 \text{O}_{15} \) \([\text{Na}_{0.33} \text{V}_2 \text{O}_5]_3\) have been studied as a cathode material in various morphologies such as nanoplates, nanoroads, nanoflowers, and nanoflakes for Li/Na-ion batteries by various research groups [39, 44, 53, 54]. Jiang et al. synthesized \( \text{NaV}_6 \text{O}_{15} \) nanoflakes for Li/Na-ion batteries by various research strategies such as nanoplates, nanoroads, nanoflowers, and nanoflakes for Li/Na-ion batteries by various research groups [39, 44, 53, 54]. Jiang et al. synthesized \( \text{NaV}_6 \text{O}_{15} \) nanoflakes and reported a discharge capacity of 116 mAh g\(^{-1}\) measured vs. \( \text{Na}^+/	ext{Na} \) with a cycle retention of 55% at a current density of 50 mA g\(^{-1}\) [53]. However, note that the electrochemical performance of \( \text{Na}_{0.33} \text{V}_2 \text{O}_5 \) critically depends on the synthesis conditions, size in nanometer and morphology [39, 44, 53, 54]. Therefore, nanostructures of \( \text{Na}_{0.66} \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} \) \([\text{Na}_{0.33} \text{V}_2 \text{O}_5]_2\) in different morphologies still need to be explored in detail as cathode material for Na-ion batteries. Also, it have been reported that partial substitution of Li with Na in \( \text{Li}_{2-x} \text{Na}_x \text{MnO}_3 \) improve the electrochemical performance and significantly enhance the cycling stability of Li-ion battery [55]. This further motivates to test our \( \text{Na}_{0.66} \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} \) cathode with Li as counter electrode.

In this paper, we synthesize nanorods of \( \text{Na}_{0.66} \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} \) and study the electrochemical performance for a promising cathode material in Na-ion batteries. The Rietveld refinement of x-ray diffraction pattern reveals the monoclinic phase with \( \text{C2/m} \) space group. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies reveal the rod shaped morphology with agglomeration. The size and the length of the rods were found to be 50-100 nm and few \( \mu \)m, respectively. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of prepared half-cells exhibit redox peaks at \( \approx 3.1 \) and 2.6 V, which indicate phase transition reaction in \( V^{5+/4+} \) and can be assigned to the single step deintercalation/intercalation of Na-ion. Interestingly, we observed good cycling stability with specific discharge capacity of 80 (±2) and 30 (±2) mAh g\(^{-1}\) at a current density of 3 and 50 mA g\(^{-1}\), respectively, measured vs. \( \text{Na}^+/	ext{Na} \) anode. We have also tested the electrochemical performance with Li anode. The energetics of Na vacancy formation on discharging and Na vacancy filling on charging could form a potential descriptor for electrochemical performance. Since Na vacancy formation was relatively easier on the electrode surface, a surface diffusion pathway for Na transport could be hypothesized. For nanostructures, the available surface area for this pathway is likely to increase, which further alludes to the measured electrochemical performance.

![Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the precursors used to synthesize \( \text{Na}_{0.66} \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} \) samples.](image)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Structural Analysis**

In order to decide the annealing temperature for stable phase formation, we performed the thermal analysis of the resulting gel precursor under air atmosphere using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) profile. Figure 1 shows the variation in mass (%) of the gel precursor with increase in temperature. The mass loss of around 10% below 180°C (region 1) is due to the removal of physically adsorbed as well as some intercalated water molecules [54]. The steep mass loss (\( \Delta m = 46.3\% \)) observed between 180-400°C in region 2 is attributed to the removal of acetate precursors and volatile impurities in the form of \( \text{NH}_3 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) gases. A vigorous oxidation and decomposition reactions take place in this region leading to the phase formation of NVO material. Further, negligible mass loss was observed for region 3 and 4, i.e. above 400°C in TGA profile. It can be observed from TGA profile that annealing at about 400°C would be sufficient for the phase formation of \( \text{Na}_{0.66} \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} \) (NVO) material. We have also tried annealing at higher temperatures and found good thermal stability of the prepared material.

The x-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern along with the corresponding Rietveld refinement of the prepared \( \text{Na}_{0.66} \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} \) (NVO) sample are shown in Figure 2(a). The XRD pattern was matched with the standard phase using X-pert high score plus software with PDF2 reference data file. The obtained XRD pattern of the synthesized NVO powder could be well-indexed with the pure phase of the pristine sodium vanadium bronze i.e. \( \text{β-Na}_{0.33} \text{V}_2 \text{O}_5 \) having monoclinic structure with \( \text{C2/m} \) space group (JCPDS code: 48-0382), as also reported in the Rietveld refinement of x-ray diffraction pattern reveals the monoclinic phase with \( \text{C2/m} \) space group (JCPDS code: 48-0382), as also reported in
The sharp and intense peaks reveal the crystalline nature of the sample. The Rietveld refinement was performed to obtain the quantitative crystal structure information such as lattice parameters. The peaks were modeled using the Pseudo-Voigt function and the Lorentzian broadening of the XRD peaks. The structural refinement results \( (\chi^2=2.47, R_p = 4.83\%, R_{wp} = 6.6\%) \) indicate that the fitting is reliable and good. The obtained lattice parameters from refinement are: \( a = 15.426 \text{ Å}, b = 3.610 \text{ Å}, c = 10.073 \text{ Å} \) and \( \beta = 109.55^\circ \) are in good agreement with previous reports of Na\textsubscript{0.33}V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} \cite{ref55}. Further, we have provided the fractional coordinates and site occupancies in the following Table I.

We further characterize the prepared NVO cathode material to check the morphological properties. The SEM micrograph reveals the formation of uniformly distributed highly agglomerated rod-shaped morphology, as shown in Figure 2(b). However, the length of the rods varies dramatically, which give rise to some plate-like particles over rod-shaped morphology. The TEM images in Figures 2(c, d) clearly confirm the formation of nano-sized rod-shaped morphology of the NVO sample, in agreement with the SEM analysis. The dark and slightly greyish regions correspond to the NVO nanorods, which display uniform dimensions with 50–100 nm wide and several micrometers long. The cathode materials in the nanosized particles/rods shape are expected to enhance the electrochemical performance of Na-ion batteries. Note that the characteristic diffusion length (L) of nanostructures and the diffusion coefficient (D) of Na-ion are related as: \( \tau = L^2/D \), where \( \tau \) is the time for Na-ion to diffuse through the electrode material. In this context, one can achieve better electrochemical performance by decreasing \( L \), which effectively reduce \( \tau \). The selected area electron diffraction pattern (SAED) for the synthesized NVO sample is shown in Figure 2(e), which reveals the existence of sharp diffraction spots along with diffused circles which represents polycrystalline nature of the synthesized sample. The interplanar spacing/distance \( (d = 0.47 \text{ nm}) \) calculated using SAED pattern matches to the (200) peak of the XRD pattern.

### Table I. Atomic coordinates, site occupancies and isotropic displacement parameters for as-prepared Na\textsubscript{0.66}V\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{10}, obtained from the Rietveld refinement of room temperature x-ray diffraction spectrum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>atom</th>
<th>site</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>( B_{iso} ) (Å(^2))</th>
<th>Occ.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.0045(12)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.4100(16)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.3382(3)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.0987(5)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.1196(3)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.1198(5)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.2885(3)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.4059(6)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.8156(10)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.0509(17)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.6345(9)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.0796(16)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.4334(9)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.2192(15)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O5</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.2666(9)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.2175(17)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O6</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.1078(9)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.2599(15)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O7</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.2391(11)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.5709(16)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O8</td>
<td>4i</td>
<td>0.3089(11)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.4597(16)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electrochemical Performance

Now we present, in Figures 3(a–d), the electrochemical performance of the prepared NVO cathode in terms of cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge/discharge curves, rate performance and Coulombic efficiency. Figure 3(a) shows the cyclic voltammograms (CV) of prepared NVO electrode in the potential range of 1.5–4.0 V at a scan rate of 0.05 mV s\(^{-1}\), measured \( v/s \) Na\textsuperscript{+}/Na at room temperature. Interestingly, it exhibits redox peaks at around 3.1 and 2.6 V, which are due to two-phase transition reactions between \( V^{5+/4+} \) and can be assigned...
to the single step de-intercalation/intercalation of Na-ion in the Na_{0.66}V_{4}O_{10} electrode. It is interesting to note that no such characteristics have been observed in vanadium based oxide cathodes. Liu et al. reported the charge/discharge profile of Na_{x}V_{6}O_{15} \left((\text{Na}_{0.33}\text{V}_{2}\text{O}_{5})_{3}\right), however, that have multiple steps/plateaus \cite{39}. The obtained CV results are consistent with charge-discharge profiles, as shown in Figure 3(c). The insertion and extraction behaviour of Na-ion can be tentatively given as:

$$Na_{0.66}V_{4}O_{10} \leftrightarrow Na_{0.66-x}V_{4}O_{10} + xNa^+ + xe^- \tag{1}$$

Figure 3(c) shows the galvanostatic first charge-discharge curves of prepared CR2016 half-cells using NVO as cathode and recorded at various current densities from 3 mA g\(^{-1}\) to 50 mA g\(^{-1}\) in the potential range of 1.5–4.0 V vs. Na\(^+\)/Na. The specific discharge capacity of the NVO sample is observed to be 80 (±2), 64 (±2), 56 (±2), 52 (±2) and 30 (±2) mAh g\(^{-1}\) at a current density of 3, 5, 11, 20 and 50 mA g\(^{-1}\), respectively. Assuming no electrolyte decomposition during the first charge, Na_{0.66}V_{4}O_{10} charged to Na_{0.09}V_{4}O_{10} (corresponding to the charge capacity of 80 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 3 mA g\(^{-1}\)) and then, back to Na_{0.66}V_{4}O_{10}. Note that the discharge capacity of about 80 mAh g\(^{-1}\) (1C equated to 141 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) corresponds to +4.86 oxidation state of vanadium, consistent with the CV results. It can also be seen from the Figure 3(c) that as current density increases from 3 mA g\(^{-1}\) to 50 mA g\(^{-1}\), the specific discharge capacity value decreases from 80 (±2) to 30 (±2) mAh g\(^{-1}\) with an apparent drop in potential plateau, which is due to the increase in polarization and resistance or iR drop of the electrode at high current densities. Note that the OCV for NVO cathode was observed to be around 2.8 V. The GCD results in Fig. 3(c) show the charge/discharge voltage plateau around 2.9/2.8 V, respectively at 3 mA g\(^{-1}\) vs. Na/Na\(^+\). The relatively high over potential indicates that the activation energy of the redox event is very high because of which the potential necessary to transfer an electron from NVO cathode is relatively high even at low current density. The comparison of 1st, 2nd and 10th cycle discharge capacity curves are shown in Figure 3(d). The discharge capacity remains fairly constant for the ten cycles, which elaborates the structural stability of the electrode material. The cycling stability and rate performance for an electrode are very important parameters to determine the stability in long-term applications. Figure 3(e, f) show the stepwise electrochemical rate and cycling performance, respectively. As observed...
from Figure 3(e), the capacity decreases with increase in current density and goes to minimum for 50 mA g\(^{-1}\). Figure 3(f) shows the cycling performance of the synthesized NVO sample at 5 mA g\(^{-1}\) current density at room temperature. It shows an initial discharge capacity 64 \((\pm2)\) mAh g\(^{-1}\) and retains nearly 100\% capacity even after 50 charge/discharge cycles. The Coulombic efficiency of the NVO electrode for 50 charge/discharge cycles at 5 mA g\(^{-1}\) current density is also shown in Figure 3(f). The Coulombic efficiency for all the charge/discharge cycles is observed to be more than 95\% [Figure 3(f)].

Furthermore, the electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of fresh cells were performed to measure the electrode/electrolyte resistance. Figure 3(b) shows the impedance spectra of NVO sample measured with an AC voltage pulse having amplitude of 5 mV. The EIS curve, measured in the frequency range of 10 mHz to 100 kHz, shows a depressed semi-circle and a straight line in the high and low-frequency regions, respectively. In general, the ohmic resistance (R\(_o\)) of the cell, i.e. the resistance due to electrolyte and electrode material, can be estimated by an intercept at the Z’-axis in the high-frequency region. The information about the electrochemical reactions taking place at the electrode/electrolyte interface, which indicate the charge transfer resistance (R\(_{ct}\)), can be obtained from the semi-circle in the middle-frequency range. Also, the Warburg impedance (Z\(_w\)), which is associated with Na-ion diffusion in the electrode active material, can be represented by the inclined line in the low-frequency region. The value of cell parameters were obtained to be R\(_o\) = 594 \(\Omega\) and R\(_{ct}\) = 778 \(\Omega\).

The chemical diffusion coefficient of the Na ions inside an electrode material is calculated using the following equation:

\[
D = \frac{T^2R^2}{2n^4A^2F^4C^2\sigma_w^2} \tag{2}
\]

where, D, T, R, n, A, C, and F are diffusion coefficient \((\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1})\), absolute temperature (K), gas constant \((8.314 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})\), number of electrons involved in the redox process, electrode area \((2.2 \text{ cm}^2)\), the Na-ion concentration \((3.139\times10^{-3} \text{ mol cm}^{-3})\), and the Faraday constant \((96486 \text{ C mol}^{-1})\), respectively. The \(\sigma_w\) is the Warburg impedance coefficient, which is related to Z’ by the following equation:

\[
Z' = R_o + R_{ct} + \sigma_w\omega^{-0.5} \tag{3}
\]

In the inset of Figure 3(b), we show the obtained value of \(\sigma_w\) from the slope of Z’ and \(\omega^{-0.5}\), which found to be 7739 \(\Omega\) s\(^{-0.5}\). The value of n \((=59 \text{ mV/} \Delta V)\) can be calculated by taking the difference between oxidation and reduction peaks \(\Delta V = 0.53 \text{ V}\) in CV [see Figure 3(a)], which found to be 0.1 (number of electrons participating in charging and discharging process). Finally by using these values in equation 2, the obtained value of the diffusion coefficient is \(1.239\times10^{-13} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}\), which is in good agreement with the one reported in ref. [53].

It is important to compare the observed capacity in this manuscript with the other related cathode materials reported in the literature [60] [62]. For example, Liu et al., observed the discharge capacity of about 100 mAhg\(^{-1}\) at 0.1 C current rate in Na\(_3\)V\(_2\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)/C nanofibers cathode materials [60]. Zhang et al., found the reversible capacity of about 120 mAhg\(^{-1}\) for NaVO\(_3\) cathode after the activation at high-voltage [61]. More recently, Shinde et al., used the ultrasonic sonochemical synthesis method to prepare Na\(_{0.44}\)MnO\(_2\) cathode, which shows the reversible capacity of about 110 mAhg\(^{-1}\) at a current rate of C/10 with good cycling stability [62]. By changing the preparation method to self-combustion, the Na\(_3\)V\(_2\)O\(_7\) nanofibers show the capacity of about 150 mAhg\(^{-1}\) at a current rate of 20 mAg\(^{-1}\), which remains about 82 mAhg\(^{-1}\) after 30 cycles [53]. On the other hand, Na\(_3\)V\(_2\)O\(_7\) nanorods prepared by PVP-modulated synthesis route shows high initial capacity of about 157 mAhg\(^{-1}\) at 20 mAg\(^{-1}\) current density [41]. It should be noted that the discharge capacity of NVO cathode crucially depend on the preparation method, amount of Na content in the material and morphology of the nanoparticles as well as type of intercalation Li\(^+\)/Na\(^+\).

In order to test the feasibility of prepared Na\(_{0.66}\)V\(_2\)O\(_10\) (NVO) as a cathode material for Li-ion batteries, we assembled the half-cells vs. Li/Li\(^+\) as an anode and performed the electrochemical measurements with two electrolytes i.e. 1 M NaClO\(_4\) and LiPF\(_6\) (EC:DMC 1:1 V/V) to find which one is better suited for this purpose. These cells are abbreviated as NVON (for NaClO\(_4\)) and NVOL (for LiPF\(_6\)), respectively. The Cyclic voltammograms of the prepared NVO vs. Li/Li\(^+\) cells are shown in Figure 4(a) for both the electrolytes. The data was recorded at a scan rate of 0.1 mVs\(^{-1}\). Both the half-cells show well defined oxidation/reduction peaks [Figure 4(a)]. However, the intensity of redox peaks in the NVOL half-cell is higher than those of NVON redox peaks, which could be attributed to the better Na-ion diffusion. The redox pairs observed at 3.5/2.7 V, 3.8/3.1 V (for NVOL) and 3.5/2.7 V, 3.9/2.8 V (for NVON) can be due to intercalation of Li-ions in the host NVO structure [Figure 4(a)]. The EIS results of both the half-cells are presented in Figure 4(b), where it can be observed that NVOL half-cell possess lower charge-transfer resistance as compared to the NVON half-cell, which is well consistent with the CV results. The charge-resistance was found to be around 800 and 1300 \(\Omega\) for the NVOL and NVON half-cells, respectively [Figure 4(b)]. The CV and EIS results indicate that NVOL half-cell would display the better electrochemical properties as compared to the NVON half-cell.

Figures 4(c, d) show the initial charge/discharge curves for both the half-cells (NVOL and NVON) and the results are in well agreement with CV and EIS results. One sloping voltage plateau around 3.5 V and other flat plateau
around 2.7 V can be clearly seen. However, as the current density increases from 3 mA g$^{-1}$ to 11 mA g$^{-1}$, the voltage plateaus shift to the lower side due to an increase in the polarization and the cell resistance. The specific discharge capacity was found to be around 101, 87 and 69 mAh g$^{-1}$ at the current density 3, 5 and 11 mA g$^{-1}$, respectively for NVOL half-cell. On the other hand, for NVON half-cell the obtained values are around 97, 83 and 69 mAh g$^{-1}$ at the current density 3, 5 and 11 mA g$^{-1}$, respectively. The intercalation and deintercalation of the Na-ion in the host structure can be understood in the following reaction: During first discharge process, Li-ion moves in to the host NVO electrode:

$$Na_{0.66}V_4O_{10} + xLi^+ + xe^- \rightarrow Li_xNa_{0.66}V_4O_{10} \quad (4)$$

During the charging cycle, Na$^+$ ions together with Li$^+$ ions will be removed from the host structure:

$$Li_xNa_{0.66}V_4O_{10} - xLi^+ - yNa^+ - (x+y)e^- \rightarrow Na_{(0.66-y)}V_4O_{10} \quad (5)$$

Thus obtained $Na_{(0.66-y)}V_4O_{10}$ will again combined with Li-ion during discharge:

$$Na_{(0.66-y)}V_4O_{10} + zLi^+ + ze^- \rightarrow Li_xNa_{(0.66-y)}V_4O_{10} \quad (6)$$
Therefore, during repeated charging/discharging, both the Na and Li-ion will contribute in the electrochemical performance of the battery.

In Figures 4(e, f), we show the charging/discharging comparison of the 1st, 20th and 10th cycles for both the half-cells recorded at the current density of 11 mA g⁻¹. The NVOL half-cell displays a large capacity fading over consecutive cycles as compared to the NVON cell. The specific discharge capacity of NVOL half-cell decreases from 69 mAh g⁻¹ to 20 mAh g⁻¹ (72% decrease), while in NVON half-cell the decrease is 31% (from 53 mAh g⁻¹ to 38 mAh g⁻¹) in 10th cycle. Figures 4(g, h) show the rate and cycling performance of the two half-cells vs. Li/Li⁺, which show comparable capacities up to 5 mA g⁻¹ and for 11 mA g⁻¹, the capacity in NVOL cells decays faster as compared to NVON cells, see Figure 4(g). The specific discharge capacity decays 76% for NVOL, while for NVON half-cell the capacity decays 64%, when the current density changes from 3 mA g⁻¹ to 11 mA g⁻¹. Now we show in Figure 4(h) the cycling performance measured at the current density of 5 mA g⁻¹ up to 50 charge/discharge cycles. Both the cells show a gradual decrease in the discharge capacity as capacity decreases from 106 to 69 mAh g⁻¹ for the NVOL and 83 to 57 mAh g⁻¹ for the NVON half-cell. The Coulombic efficiency for both the half-cells is measured at 5 mA g⁻¹ current density [Figure 4(i)]. For both the half-cells, we observed 100% Coulombic efficiency for all the cycles except the first one for NVOL, which is unexpectedly higher 176%. The possible explanation for such high value in first cycle is that during the discharge there is an extra charge (or electrons) because of the presence of Li in the host structure in the alloy form (LiₓNa₀.₆₆V₄O₁₀). This extra charge contributes in the capacity during the discharge, while during charging only Na₀.₆₆V₄O₁₀ remains (see equations 4 and 5) and therefore, the charge capacity is lower, which reflect in the calculation of the Coulombic efficiency.

**Density Functional Theory**

The density functional theory (DFT) is used to calculate charging and discharging energy of NVO structures with different Na content. The optimized NVO crystal structures, with different Na content are shown in the upper panel of Figure 5. Here, the structures of Na₁₆V₄O₁₀, Na₀.₆₆V₄O₁₀, Na₀.₃₃V₄O₁₀, and V₄O₁₀, were obtained by sequential removal of Na atoms, whereas structure of Na₀.₆₆V₄O₁₀ was obtained by adding one Na atom to Na₁₁V₄O₁₀. The sequential removal of Na atom from Na₁₆V₄O₁₀ to Na₀.₆₆V₄O₁₀, was done keeping in mind the highest separation of Na vacancy. The other structure obtained by sequential removal of Na atoms are unique as the Na atoms are equivalent. The charging, represents the energy gain by the addition of Na; whereas discharging represents the energy required to remove a Na ion. Thus, charging/discharging process can be defined as:

\[ \text{Na}_x \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} + \text{Na} \rightarrow \text{Na}_{x+1} \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} \] (charging) \hspace{1cm} (7)

\[ \text{Na}_x \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} \rightarrow \text{Na}_{x-1} \text{V}_4 \text{O}_{10} + \text{Na} \] (discharging) \hspace{1cm} (8)

As the number of Na atoms in NaₓV₄O₁₀ decreases from 1.33 to 0.33, a gradual increase in both the energy gain during charging and also the energy required for discharging, is observed. The Na₁₃V₄O₁₀ has the lowest Na vacancy formation energy (Eᶠᵥ= 2.84 eV), but the energy gain during the charging is low (Eᶠᶜ= -1.48 eV). Hence, Na₁₃V₄O₁₀ cannot be a good candidate for the battery operation. Whereas, Na₀.₃₃V₄O₁₀ shows a very high energy storage capability due to its high energy gain during the Na addition (Eᶠᶜ= -3.37 eV), but it also...
have a very high Na vacancy formation energy ($E_{Na}^{V} = 3.71$ eV), hence also not a good candidate for battery application. The Na$_{0.66}$V$_4$O$_{10}$ samples have the moderate values for both the energy gains in Na addition ($E_f^{Na} = -3.01$ eV) and the energy required for creating Na vacancy ($E_{Na}^{V} = 3.37$ eV), see Figure 5(a) for calculations performed without considering U for Vanadium. These two characteristics directly point towards the potential of Na$_{0.66}$V$_4$O$_{10}$ as a good battery candidate. However, the energy required for creating Na vacancy at the bulk of Na$_{0.66}$V$_4$O$_{10}$ is still very high ($E_{Na}^{V} = 3.37$ eV, Table II) and will be difficult at the normal battery operating conditions.

In order to check the effect of U on the Na vacancy formation energy and Na filling energies were recalculated with $U_{eff} = 3.3$ eV applied for Vanadium [63], see Figure 5(b). We observed the similar trend on the Na vacancy formation energy and filling energy of the Na$_x$V$_4$O$_{10}$ sample with $U_{eff} = 3.3$ eV for vanadium.

The nanostructured morphologies of the cathode materials are in general known to show better electrochemical activities [64–66], as also shown in our experimental results. It is understood that the nano-structuring opens up the surface diffusion channels, where the formation

---

**FIG. 6.** DFT optimized geometry of (100), (010) and (110) surfaces of Na$_{0.66}$V$_4$O$_{10}$, showing the position of Na vacancy (violet circle with V) and extra Na (green circle). Lower panels (a–f) show the position extra Na atom during the Na filling (green circle) for different surfaces, energies are indicated at the bottom. The distances between the Na atoms in (a) and (b) are given in Angstrom unit.

**TABLE II.** The calculated energy gain due to the addition of Na at the different surfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NVO</th>
<th>Surface energy</th>
<th>Na vacancy formation energy</th>
<th>Na filling energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Na$_{0.66}$V$<em>4$O$</em>{10}$)</td>
<td>(eV/Å$^2$)</td>
<td>(eV)</td>
<td>(eV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>-3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>-2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(010)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>-2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the Na vacancy and the diffusion of Na are known to be easy as compared to the bulk. In order to understand the nano-structuring phenomena for our target NVO material \(\text{Na}_{0.66}\text{V}_4\text{O}_{10}\), we studied the surface Na vacancy formation energy and Na filling energies at three different surfaces of NVO, \((100), (010)\) and \((110)\) as shown in Figure 6. The DFT calculated energies for Na vacancy formation and Na filling are listed in Table II, for all the three surfaces. The surface energy calculation shows that the \((100)\) surface is more stable than the \((010)\) and \((110)\) surface, and hence will cover most of the exposed surface of the nano scale material. The Na vacancy formation energy is calculated to be lowest for the \((100)\) surface \((E_{v}^{Na} = 2.52 \text{ eV})\); which is lower by 0.85 eV, compared to the bulk \((E_{f}^{Na} = 3.37 \text{ eV})\). The \((010)\) surface has the similar Na vacancy formation energy as the bulk; whereas on \((110)\) surface it is a little lower \((E_{v}^{Na} = 3.01 \text{ eV})\). The lower values of Na vacancy formation energy at the \((100)\) surface, will enhance the concentration of Na vacancy at the surface; which will result in a higher diffusion and hence better electrochemical performance.

The Na filling energy also follows the same trends as the Na vacancy formation energy. The energy gain due to the addition of Na at the \((100)\) surface is calculated to be the lowest \((E_{f}^{Na} = -2.19 \text{ eV})\); whereas the energies are also lower for \((010)\) and \((110)\) surfaces \((E_{f}^{Na} = -2.74 \text{ eV}\) and \(-2.47 \text{ eV}\), respectively) as compared to the bulk \((E_{f}^{Na} = -3.01 \text{ eV})\), as given in Table II. Furthermore, for the calculations of Na vacancy formation energy and Na filling energy, we have tested different possible configurations and the most stable configuration was used to calculate the Na vacancy formation energy and Na filling energy. The two Na atoms present at the \((100)\) surface are equivalent, hence unique geometry was obtained for Na vacancy formation energy at the \((100)\) surface. For the Na atom filling energy at the \((100)\) surface, two configurations were studied as shown in the lower panel of Figure 6 (a, b) along with the filling energy. For the \((010)\) surface there is only one Na atom present at the surface, hence the geometry obtained for Na vacancy formation energy at the \((010)\) surface is unique. Similar to the \((100)\) surface, two different configurations were obtained for the Na atom filling energy. On the other hand, the two Na atom present at the \((110)\) surface are equivalent, hence only one unique geometry was obtained for Na vacancy formation energy. Also, two different configurations were obtained for the Na atom filling energy at the \((110)\) surface, see Figure 6 (e, f).

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, the rod-shaped \(\text{Na}_{0.66}\text{V}_4\text{O}_{10}\) (NVO) nanostructured cathode material was prepared using sol-gel method. The XRD patterns confirm the formation of pure monoclinic phase with the \(\text{C2}/m\) space group and no impurity or secondary phases were observed. The SEM and TEM studies reveal the rod-shaped agglomerated morphology of the prepared cathode material with size in 50–100 nm range and the length a few \(\mu\text{m}\). The observed selected area electron diffraction pattern is in good agreement with the XRD pattern. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) results showed the two-phase transition reaction between \(V^{5+/4+}\), which is in good agreement with the galvanostatic charge/discharge curves. The electrochemical performance indicates that the NVO cathode exhibits specific discharge capacity of 80 (±2), 64 (±2), 56 (±2), 52 (±2) and 30 (±2) mAh g\(^{-1}\), measured vs. Na\(^{+}/\text{Na}\) at the current densities of 3, 5, 11, 20 and 50 mA g\(^{-1}\), respectively. The electrochemical performance of \(\text{Na}_{0.66}\text{V}_4\text{O}_{10}\) electrode with Li anode is found promising, but decays faster as compared to the Na-anode. The energetics of Na vacancy formation and filling on discharging and charging, respectively, which could form a potential descriptor of the electrochemical performance. The available surface area for Na transport is likely to increase for nanostructures, which further supports the experimental results.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental details.** The nanostructured \(\text{Na}_{0.66}\text{V}_4\text{O}_{10}\) (NVO) samples were synthesized by sol-gel route using sodium acetate \((\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{NaO}_2)\) and ammonium metavanadate \((\text{H}_3\text{NO}_3\text{V})\) in 1 to 6.06 molar ratio, from Sigma Aldrich, ≥99.0%. The starting precursors were homogeneously mixed in deionized water with continuous stirring. Citric acid (Anhydrous, \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7\), from Fisher Scientific, 99.5%) was used as a chelating agent in a molar ratio of 1:1 with metal ions. The resulting mixture of citric acid and precursors was heated at 80°C with continuous stirring at 400 rpm until a homogenous gel was obtained. Then, the gel was dried overnight at 120°C to obtain the powder followed by heat treating in muffle furnace in air at 400°C (with 5°C/minute rate) for 5 hrs. The final powder was stored in a vacuum desiccator for physical and electrochemical characterization. The thermal decomposition of the resulting gel precursors was examined by thermogravimetric analysis (NETZSCH, TG 209 F3 Tarsus) at a ramp rate of 10°C/min in air from RT to 900°C.

The crystallographic structure and phase purity of the prepared samples were determined by room temperature powder x-ray diffraction (from Pananalytical) with CuKα radiation \((\lambda=1.540 \text{ Å})\) operating at 40 kV voltage and 40 mA current. The XRD pattern was recorded in 2θ angular range between 10 and 70° with a step size of 0.02°. The XRD data have been analyzed by Rietveld refinement using FullProf package and the background was fitted using linear interpolation between the data points. We study the morphology of the prepared cathode us-
ing Zeiss EVO 50 scanning electron microscope (SEM) working at 20 kV in scattered electron mode. A JEOL JEM-1400 Plus microscope coupled with energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) facility has been used for the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements at 120 keV.

For the electrochemical measurements, cathodes were prepared by mixing prepared active material, PVDF (Polyvinylidene difluoride) as a binder and super P (conductive carbon) in a weight ratio of 80:15:5 in NMP2 (N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone) as a solvent. Then we stirred the resulting mixture for 5 hrs to have homogenous mixing and then coated over Al foil (as a current collector) using doctor blade technique. The coated Al sheet was then dried overnight in vacuum oven at 80°C to evaporate the solvent. The sheet was then rolling pressurized and punched into circular electrode with 12 mm diameter. The active mass loading on the electrodes was between 2-3 mg. Before bringing inside the glove box workstation, we dried the electrodes in vacuum at 60°C to remove any moisture. Na chips (16 mm diameter) were extracted from Na cubes (Sigma Aldrich, 99.9%) by cutting, rolling and pressing sodium cubes into thin sheets inside the glove box and used as both counter and reference electrode. For electrochemical measurements, the CR2016 coin half-cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box (Jacomex, ≤ 0.5 ppm of O and H level) with the cathode electrode, Na metal, and a glass fiber (Advantec, GB-100R) as the separator. The electrolyte used was 1 M NaClO4 dissolved in ethylene carbonate (EC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC) in a volume ratio of 1:1. Electro-chemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) using an AC voltage pulse of 5 mV in the frequency range of 100 kHz – 10 mHz was also performed to measure the electrode resistance. We have also assembled and tested the half-cells vs. Li+/Li as an anode to study the feasibility of the prepared NVO cathode as a material for Li-ion batteries. The assembled cells were tested with both electrolytes like 1 M NaClO4 and LiPF6 (EC:DMC 1:1 V/V) and abbreviated as NVON (for NaClO4) and NVOL (for LiPF6). All the electrochemical measurements were carried out at room temperature using VMP3 (Biologic) instrument. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) have been performed in the potential window of 1.5 – 4.0 V vs. Na/Na+ at the scan rate of 0.05 mV s⁻¹. The charging/discharging characteristics were studied in galvanostatic mode at different current densities.

**Computational Method.** Periodic plane-wave based density functional module implemented in Vienna ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) [67] is applied to calculate the energy of the cathode material with six different NaₓV₄O₁₀ compositions, where x = 1.66, 1.33, 1, 0.66, 0.33 and 0. The model structure of Na₁₃₃V₄O₁₀ [Na₂V₆O₁₅] composition was obtained from The Materials Project database https://materialsproject.org/ (materials ID. mp-778594) [63], having monoclinic crystal structure with C2/m space group. The lattice parameter of a = 15.7845 Å, b = 3.6662 Å, c = 10.6182 Å, and α = 90° and β = 103.093°, matches well with the experimentally obtained cell parameters. The sodium bulk metal geometry is modelled as cubic bcc bulk with lattice parameter, a = 4.2906 Å and α = 90°. Geometry optimization is performed on the structures, with energy and force convergence criteria set to 1×10⁻⁴ eV and 0.05 eV/Å, respectively. RPBE [68] GGA exchange correlation functional and Ultra-soft pseudopotentials (USPP) [69] are used. Plane wave basis sets are expanded to an energy cut-off value of 396 eV. Monkhorst pack [70] k-points grid of 1×3×1 is utilized for all the calculations on different NVO structures, whereas a 5×5×5 grid is used for Na bulk lattice geometry optimization. The Na vacancy formation energy (E⁰ᵥNa) of NVO structure NaₓV₄O₁₀ is calculated using the formula below;

\[ E^{Na}_v = E[Na_{(x-1)}V_4O_{10}] + E(Na) - E(Na_xV_4O_{10}), \] (9)

where E(NaₓV₄O₁₀), E(Na₋¹ₓV₄O₁₀) and E(Na) are the energies of NVO structure NaₓV₄O₁₀, Na₋¹ₓV₄O₁₀ formed after Na removal and energy of bulk Na, respectively. Similarly the energy gain from Na addition (EᶠNa) to NVO structure NaₓV₄O₁₀ can be calculated as;

\[ E^{Na}_f = E(Na_{(x+1)}V_4O_{10}) - E(Na_xV_4O_{10}) - E(Na), \] (10)

In order to study the nano-structuring effect on the Na vacancy formation and Na filling energies; three different low-index surfaces, (100), (010) and (110) are created for the NVO structure studied experimentally, Na₀.₆₆V₄O₁₀. A 2×1 super cell was used for (100) surface, whereas for both the (010) and (110) surfaces, a 1×1 unit cell is used for the surface calculation. For all the three surfaces, a four layer surface slab is used; where two bottom layers are kept fixed to their bulk positions and the top two layers are allowed to relax. A vacuum of 20 Å is used to model the surface slabs. Calculations of Na vacancy formation energy and Na filling energy are performed by removing and adding Na at the corresponding surface, respectively. For the addition of Na to the surface two distinct adsorption sites were studied and the geometry and energy of the most stable adsorption site was reported. Equations 9 and 10 are used to calculate the surface Na vacancy formation energy and Na filling energy, respectively.
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