COEFFICIENT AND RADIUS ESTIMATES OF STARLIKE FUNCTIONS WITH POSITIVE REAL PART
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Abstract. Let \( \mathcal{S}_a^* \) and \( \mathcal{S}_b^* \) denote the classes of analytic functions \( f \) in the open unit disk normalized by conditions \( f(0) = 0 \) and \( f'(0) = 1 \) satisfying the subordination \( zf'(z)/f(z) \prec e^z \) and \( zf'(z)/f(z) \prec 1 + z(k + z)/(k(k - z)) =: \varphi_R(z) \) where \( k = \sqrt{2} + 1 \) respectively. In this paper, we obtain the sharp bound for the fifth coefficient for the functions in the class \( \mathcal{S}_a^* \). The upper bound for certain types of Hankel determinant for the classes \( \mathcal{S}_a^* \) and \( \mathcal{S}_b^* \) is also investigated. In addition, some radius estimates associated with the subclasses \( \mathcal{S}_a^* \) and \( \mathcal{S}_b^* \) are also computed.

1. Introduction

The class of all analytic functions \( f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \cdots \) in the open disk \( \mathbb{D} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \} \) normalized by the conditions \( f(0) = 0 \) and \( f'(0) = 1 \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{A} \). Denote by \( \mathcal{S} \), the subclass of \( \mathcal{A} \) consisting of univalent functions. Let the class \( \mathcal{P} \) consists of analytic functions \( p \) in \( \mathbb{D} \) with positive real part that are normalized by \( p(0) = 1 \). For any two analytic functions \( f \) and \( g \), we say that \( f \) is subordinate to \( g \) written as \( f \prec g \), if there exists a Schwarz function \( w \) with \( w(0) = 1 \) such that \( f(z) = g(w(z)) \) for \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). In particular, if \( g \) is univalent in \( \mathbb{D} \), then \( f(0) = g(0) \) and \( f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D}) \). In terms of subordination, Ma and Minda [18] gave a unified representation of various geometric subclasses of \( \mathcal{S} \) which is as follows:

\[
\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \right\}
\]

where \( \varphi \) is any analytic univalent function with positive real part mapping \( \mathbb{D} \) onto domains which are symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with respect to \( \varphi(0) = 1 \) such that \( \varphi'(0) > 0 \). For \(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1\), \( \mathcal{S}^*[A,B] := \mathcal{S}^*((1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)) \) is a well-known class consisting of Janowski starlike functions. The special case when \( A = 1 - 2\alpha \) and \( B = -1 \) reduces to \( \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \) \((0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)\) consisting of starlike functions of order \( \alpha \) [30]. In particular, \( \mathcal{S}^* := \mathcal{S}^*(0) \) is the class of starlike functions. In the similar fashion, several authors defined many new interesting subclasses of starlike functions by altering the superordinate function \( \varphi \). However this paper aims to consider the cases \( \mathcal{S}_a^* := \mathcal{S}^*(e^z) \) consisting of functions \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( zf'(z)/f(z) \) lies in the domain bounded by \( |\log(zf'(z)/f(z))| < 1 \), introduced by Mendiratta et al. [19] and the class \( \mathcal{S}_b^* := \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi_R) \) where

\[
\varphi_R(z) := 1 + z \left( \frac{k + z}{k - z} \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{k}z + \frac{2}{k^2}z^2 + \frac{2}{k^3}z^3 + \cdots
\]

such that \( k = \sqrt{2} + 1 \) and \( z \in \mathbb{D} \) discussed in [13].
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In 1914, Gronwall proved an area theorem related to coefficient estimates. In 1916, Bieberbach \[5\] established bound for the second coefficient of an analytic univalent function. Further, Bieberbach gave a conjecture that \(|a_n| \leq n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}\) for the function \(f \in \mathcal{S}\) and the sharpness follows by Koebe function and its rotation. This conjecture was later proved by Louis de Branges in 1985. In an attempt to resolve Bieberbach conjecture for various subclasses of univalent functions, researchers followed many research areas. For \(f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)\), Ma and Minda \[15\] determined the sharp bound for the second and the third coefficients. Later, Ali et al. \[2\] determined the sharp bound for the fourth coefficient of the functions in the class \(\mathcal{S}\). This conjecture was later proved by Hayman \[10\] for mean univalent functions and by Noonam and Thomas \[20\] for mean \(p\)-valent functions. Noor \[21, 22\] studied the Hankel determinant for close-to-convex and Bazilevic functions. Similarly, the majority of the sharp results were obtained by several authors for the second Hankel determinant given by \(H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2\) (cf. \[16\]). Fekete and Szegő \[9\] considered the second Hankel determinant \(H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2\) for the class \(\mathcal{S}\). They estimated the upper bound for a more general and well-known Fekete-Szegő functional \(|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|\) where \(\mu\) is any real number. However, very few papers discuss the third Hankel determinant \(H_3(1) = a_3(a_2a_4 - a_3^2) - a_4(a_4 - a_2a_3) + a_5(a_3 - a_2^2)\). Babalola \[3\] investigated the upper bound on \(H_3(1)\) for the well-known classes of bounded turning, starlike and convex functions while Prajapat et al. \[25\] investigated same for a class of close-to-convex functions. Recently, Raza and Malik \[29\] obtained the third Hankel determinant for the class \(\mathcal{S}^*_L\) and Zhang et al. \[35\] for the class \(\mathcal{S}^*_e\). For more details, see \[4, 14, 16\].

Set \(\mathbb{D}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}\). Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a set of functions and \(\mathcal{P}\) be a property. Then a real number \(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{P} = \sup\{r > 0 : f\text{ has the property } \mathcal{P}\text{ in the disk } \mathbb{D}_r\text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{M}\}\) is called as the radius of property for the set \(\mathcal{M}\). If there exists \(F_0 \in \mathcal{M}\) such that \(F_0\) has the property \(\mathcal{P}\) in \(\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{P}}\), then sharpness follows for the function \(F_0\). For instance, the radius of convexity for the class \(\mathcal{S}\) is \(2 - \sqrt{3}\) and the Koebe function \(k(z) = z/(1-z)^2\) shows the sharpness of this result \[8\].

Motivated by the above said work, in the following section, we estimate the sharp bound for the absolute value of the fifth coefficient and sharp estimates of some second Hankel determinant for the functions in the class \(\mathcal{S}^*_e\). We also determine the upper bound for \(|H_3(1)|\) for the class of functions in the class \(\mathcal{S}^*_e\). In the last section, we estimate the sharp \(\mathcal{S}^*_R\)-radius, \(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{M}(\beta)\), \(\mathcal{S}^*_L\) and \(\mathcal{S}^*_e\)-radius for various well-known classes of functions.

\[H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix}
a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} 
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} 
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots 
a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2}
\end{vmatrix}\]

where \(a_1 = 1\) and \(n, q\) are fixed positive integers. Problem of finding the exact bounds of \(|H_q(n)|\) for various subclasses of analytic functions is investigated by many authors. Pommerenke \[23, 24\] first studied the Hankel determinant for the class \(\mathcal{S}\) of univalent functions. Later, \(H_2(n)\) was studied by Hayman \[10\] for mean univalent functions and by Noonam and Thomas \[20\] for mean \(p\)-valent functions. Noor \[21, 22\] studied the Hankel determinant for close-to-convex and Bazilevic functions. Similarly, the majority of the sharp results were obtained by several authors for the second Hankel determinant given by \(H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2\) (cf. \[16\]). Fekete and Szegő \[9\] considered the second Hankel determinant \(H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2\) for the class \(\mathcal{S}\). They estimated the upper bound for a more general and well-known Fekete-Szegő functional \(|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|\) where \(\mu\) is any real number. However, very few papers discuss the third Hankel determinant \(H_3(1) = a_3(a_2a_4 - a_3^2) - a_4(a_4 - a_2a_3) + a_5(a_3 - a_2^2)\). Babalola \[3\] investigated the upper bound on \(H_3(1)\) for the well-known classes of bounded turning, starlike and convex functions while Prajapat et al. \[25\] investigated same for a class of close-to-convex functions. Recently, Raza and Malik \[29\] obtained the third Hankel determinant for the class \(\mathcal{S}^*_L\) and Zhang et al. \[35\] for the class \(\mathcal{S}^*_e\). For more details, see \[4, 14, 16\].


2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

For any function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)$, let

$$p(z) := \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \cdots.$$  

In particular, we have

$$a_2 = B_1, \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2}(B_1^2 + B_2), \quad a_4 = \frac{1}{6}(B_1^3 + 3B_1B_2 + 2B_3)$$

and

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{24}(B_1^4 + 6B_1^2B_2 + 3B_2^2 + 8B_1B_3 + 6B_4).$$

We now express the coefficients $a_n \ (n = 2, 3, 4, 5)$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)$ in terms of the coefficient of the function $\varphi(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots$ and of a function with the positive real part in $\mathbb{D}$. Since $\varphi$ is univalent and $p \prec \varphi$, the existence of a Schwartz function $w$ will imply that $q \in \mathcal{P}$, where

$$q(z) := \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = \frac{1 + \varphi^{-1}(p(z))}{1 - \varphi^{-1}(p(z))} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots.$$  

Equivalently

$$p(z) = \varphi\left(\frac{q(z) - 1}{q(z) + 1}\right)$$

and therefore we can express the coefficients $B_i$ in terms of $c_i$ and $b_i$. From the expansion of $q$, it follows that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2} b_1 c_1$$  

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{8}((b_1^2 - b_1 + b_2)c_1^2 + 2b_1 c_2)$$  

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{48}((b_1^3 - 3b_1^2 + 3b_1 b_2 + 2b_1 - 4b_2 + 2b_3)c_1^3 + 2(3b_1^2 - 4b_1 + 4b_2)c_1 c_2$$

$$\quad + 8b_1 c_3)$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{384}((b_1^4 - 6b_1^3 + 6b_1 b_2 + 12b_1^2 - 22b_1 b_2 + 3b_2^2 + 8b_1 b_3 - 6b_1 + 18b_2$$

$$\quad - 18b_3 + 6b_4)c_1^5 + 4(3b_1^3 - 11b_1^2 + 11b_1 b_2 + 9b_1 - 18b_2 + 9b_3)c_1^2 c_2$$

$$\quad + 12(b_1^2 - 2b_1 + 2b_2)c_2^2 + 16(2b_1^2 - 3b_1 + 3b_2)c_1 c_3 + 48b_1 c_4).$$

We first estimate the well-known Fekete-Szegö functional for the class $\mathcal{S}^*$.  

\textbf{Theorem 2.1.} Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then for any complex number $\mu$, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{1, \frac{1}{2}|4\mu - 3| \right\}.$$  

The result obtained is sharp.

The following lemma is needed in proving the result:

\textbf{Lemma 2.2.} [18] Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$, then for any complex number $\nu$, we have

$$|p_2 - \nu p_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |2\nu - 1|\}.$$
The result is sharp.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{S}_e^{*} \). Then \( zf'(z)/f(z) \prec e^z \). Use of the taylor series expansion of \( e^z \), (2.1) and (2.2) show that the coefficients \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) are given by

\[
a_2 = \frac{1}{2} c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{16} (c_1^2 + 4c_2)
\]

respectively. Therefore using Lemma 2.2, we have

\[
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \frac{1}{16} \left| (1 - 4\mu) c_1^2 + 4c_2 \right|
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left| c_2 - \frac{1}{4} (4\mu - 1) c_1^2 \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \left| 2\mu - \frac{3}{2} \right| \right\}
\]

and hence the required result follows. The sharpness of the result of the functional in the result follows from the functions

\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = e^z \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = e^{2z}.
\]

\( \square \)

**Remark 2.3.** Taking \( \mu = 1 \) in Theorem 2.1, we obtain \( |a_3 - a_2^2| \leq 1/2 \) which is same as obtained in [35, Theorem 1] and [19, p. 372].

Now we estimate the sharp bound on the absolute value of \( H_2(2) \) for the functions in the class \( \mathcal{S}_e^{*} \).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{S}_e^{*} \) and \( f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \), then

\[
|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4}
\]

The bound obtained is sharp.

To prove our result, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.5** (Carathéodory's Lemma). [8] Let \( p \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \), then \( |p_n| \leq 2 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \). This inequality is sharp for each \( n \).

**Lemma 2.6.** [17] Let \( p \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \), then

\[
2p_2 = p_1^2 + x(4 - p_1^2)
\]

\[
4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2p_1 x(4 - p_1^2) - (4 - p_1^2) p_1 x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z
\]

for some \( |x| \leq 1 \) and \( |z| \leq 1 \).

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** Using (2.3) for the expansion of \( \varphi(z) = e^z \), we have

\[
a_4 = \frac{1}{288} (-c_1^2 + 12c_1 c_2 + 48c_3).
\]

From (2.5) and (2.6), it follows that

\[
a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 = \frac{-1}{2304} (13c_1^4 + 24c_1^2 c_2 + 144c_2^2 - 192c_1 c_3).
\]
By Lemma 2.6, we have $|c_1| \leq 2$. Therefore substituting the values of $c_2$ and $c_3$ from Lemma 2.6 and assuming $c_1 = c \in [0, 2]$ without loss of generality, we get
\[
a_2a_4 - a_3^2 = \frac{-1}{2304} (13a^4 + 36(4-a^2)^2x^2 - 12(4-a^2)x + 48(4-a^2)x^2 - 96(4-a^2)(1-|x|^2)c).
\]
Applying triangle inequality and replacing $|x|$ by $\mu$, we have
\[
|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{2304} (13a^4 + 36(4-a^2)^2\mu^2 + 12(4-a^2)\mu c^2 + 48(4-a^2)c^2\mu^2 + 96(4-a^2)(1-\mu^2)c) =: F(c, \mu).
\]
Since $\partial F/\partial \mu > 0$ for $(c, \mu) \in [0, 2] \times [0, 1]$, $F(c, \mu)$ is an increasing function of $\mu$ in the closed interval $[0, 1]$ which implies $F(c, \mu)$ attains its maximum value at $\mu = 1$, that is,
\[
\max F(c, \mu) = F(c, 1) =: G(c)
\]
where
\[
G(c) = \frac{1}{2304} (13a^4 + 36(4-a^2)^2 + 60c^2(4-a^2)).
\]
The second derivative test shows that maximum value of $G$ occurs at $c = 0$, therefore
\[
|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq G(0) = \frac{1}{4}.
\]
The bound is sharp for the function $f$ such that
\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = e^{z^2}.
\]

Note that the upper bound on the second Hankel determinant is an improvement of obtained bound in [35, Theorem 3]. Mendiratta et al. [19, Theorem 2.3 p. 372] estimated the sharp upper bounds $|a_2| \leq 1$, $|a_3| \leq 3/4$ and $|a_4| \leq 17/36$ for the functions in $S_e^*$. However authors were not able to maximizes $|a_n|$ for $n \geq 5$. Here we obtain the sharp bound for the absolute value of fifth coefficient for the functions in the class $S_e^*$.

**Theorem 2.7.** If $f \in S_e^*$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then $|a_5| \leq 1/4$. The estimate is sharp.

We will make use of the following lemma to prove our desired estimation.

**Lemma 2.8.** [23] Let $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and $\delta$ satisfy the inequalities $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \delta < 1$ and
\[
8\delta(1-\delta)((\alpha\beta - 2\gamma)^2 + (\alpha(\alpha+\delta) - \beta)^2) + \alpha(1-\alpha)(\beta - 2\alpha\delta)^2 \leq 4\alpha^2(1-\alpha)^2\delta(1-\delta).
\]
\[
(2.7)
\]
If $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in P$, then
\[
|\gamma a_4 + \delta a_2^2 + 2\alpha a_1 a_3 - (3/2)\beta a_2^2 a_2 - a_4| \leq 2.
\]

**Proof of Theorem 2.7.** Let $f \in S_e^*$. By making use of the taylor series expansion of $e^z$ and (2.4), the coefficient $a_5$ is given by
\[
a_5 = -\frac{1}{8} \left( -\frac{1}{144} c_4^4 + \frac{1}{12} c_2^2 c_2 - \frac{1}{6} c_1 c_3 - c_4 \right).
\]
Since $\alpha = -1/12$, $\beta = -1/18$, $\gamma = -1/144$ and $\delta = 0$ satisfy (2.7), applying Lemma 2.8 we get $|a_5| \leq 1/4$. Let the function $f_e : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$f_e(z) = z \exp \left( \int_0^z \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \, dt \right) = z + \frac{1}{4} z^5 + \frac{1}{32} z^9 + \cdots.$$ 

Then $f_e(0) = 0$, $f'_e(0) = 1$, $zf'_e(z)/f_e(z) = e^{z^4}$ and therefore the function $f_e \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$ which completes the sharpness part of the result. \hfill $\square$

Now if $f \in \mathcal{S}_R^*$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n$, then $zf'(z)/f(z) \prec \varphi_R(z)$ where $\varphi_R$ is given by (1.2). Using the taylor series expansion of $\varphi_R$ given by (1.2) and equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), precisely we get

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2k} c_1$$

(2.8)

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{8k^2} (2kc_2 + (3 - k)c_1^2)$$

(2.9)

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{48k^3} \left( (11 - 11k + 2k^2)c_1^3 + 2(11 - 4k)kc_1c_2 + 8k^2 c_3 \right).$$

(2.10)

Here we estimate the Fekete-Szegö functional for the class $\mathcal{S}_R^*$.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_R^*$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n$, then for any complex number $\mu$, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2k} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{k} |2\mu - 3| \right\}$$

where $k = \sqrt{2} + 1$. The result obtained is sharp.

**Proof.** Since

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \frac{1}{4k} \left| c_2 - \frac{1}{2k} (2\mu - 3 + k)c_1^2 \right|$$

using Lemma 2.2 we get the required result. The sharpness of the result follows from the functions

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + \frac{z}{k} \left( \frac{k + z}{k - z} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^2}{k} \left( \frac{k + z^2}{k - z^2} \right).$$

\hfill $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** If the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_R^*$, then using Theorem 2.9 we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{3}{2k^2}.$$ 

The function $h$ defined by

$$h(z) := \frac{k^2 z}{(k - z)^2} e^{-z/k}$$

$$= z + \frac{1}{k} z^2 + \frac{3}{2k^2} z^3 + \frac{11}{6k^3} z^4 + \cdots + \frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \left( \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} (-1)^p \frac{n! - p}{p!} \right) z^n + \cdots$$

(2.11)

plays the role of extremal function for the class $\mathcal{S}_R^*$ and hence we conclude following Conjecture.
Lemma 2.6 and assuming $c$

Using the triangle inequality and substituting $|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \left( \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} (-1)^p \frac{p^n - p}{p!} \right)$.

Substituting $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.9 gives the following bound on the coefficients $a_2$ and $a_3$.

Corollary 2.12. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_R^*$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2k} \approx 0.207107.$$

The result is sharp.

Now, we estimate the sharp bound on the second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$ for the class $\mathcal{S}_R^*$.

Theorem 2.13. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_R^*$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4k^2} \approx 0.0428932.$$

The bound obtained is sharp.

Proof. Using (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 = \frac{1}{192k^4} \left( (-5 - 4k + k^2)c_1^4 + 4k(2 - k)c_1^2c_2 - 12k^2c_2^2 + 16k^2c_1c_3 \right).$$

In view of Lemma 2.5, $|c_1| \leq 2$, therefore substituting the values of $c_2$ and $c_3$ from Lemma 2.6 and assuming $c_1 = c \in [0, 2]$, we have

$$a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 = \frac{1}{192k^4} \left( -5c^4 + 4k(4 - c^2)c^2 - 3k^2(4 - c^2)^2c^2 - 4k^2(4 - c^2)x^2c^2 + 8k^2(4 - c^2)(1 - |x|^2)c \right).$$

Using the triangle inequality and substituting $|x|$ by $\mu$, we get

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{192k^4} \left( 5c^4 + 4k(4 - c^2)c^2 + 3k^2(4 - c^2)^2c^2 + 4k^2(4 - c^2)\mu^2c^2 + 8k^2(4 - c^2)(1 - \mu^2)c \right) =: F(c, \mu).$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{192k^4} \left( 4k(4 - c^2)c^2 + 2k^2(4 - c^2)(c^2 - 8c + 12) \right) > 0$$

for $(c, \mu) \in [0, 2] \times [0, 1]$, $F(c, \mu)$ is an increasing function of $\mu$ in $[0, 1]$, that is, $F(c, \mu)$ attains its maximum value at $\mu = 1$ and therefore we have

$$\max F(c, \mu) = F(c, 1) =: G(c)$$

where

$$G(c) = \frac{1}{192k^4} \left( 5c^4 + 4k(4 - c^2)c^2 + 3k^2(4 - c^2)^2 + 4k^2(4 - c^2)c^2 \right).$$

The second derivative test shows that maximum value of $G$ occurs at $c = 0$ and hence

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq G(0) = \frac{1}{4k^2}.$$
The bound is sharp for the function \( f \) satisfying
\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^2}{k} \left( \frac{k + z^2}{k - z^2} \right).
\]

**Theorem 2.14.** Let \( f \in S^*_R \) and \( f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \), then
\[
|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{5220 + 3683\sqrt{2} + 359\sqrt{359 + 246\sqrt{2} + 246\sqrt{718 + 492\sqrt{2}}}}{1458(1 + \sqrt{2})^5}
\]
\[
\approx 0.244395.
\]

**Proof.** Again by making use of (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), we have
\[
a_2a_3 - a_4 = -\frac{1}{24k^3} \left( (1 - 4k + k^2)c_1^3 + 4k(2 - k)c_1c_2 + 4k^2c_3 \right).
\]

Using Lemma 2.6 assuming \( c > 0 \) and letting \( c_1 = c \in [0, 2] \), we have
\[
a_2a_3 - a_4 = -\frac{1}{24k^3} \left( c^3 + 4k(4 - c^2)xc - k^2(4 - c^2)x^2c + 2k^2(4 - c^2)(1 - |x|^2)z \right).
\]

With the help of same technique as used in previous theorem, an application of triangle inequality and the fact that \( 1 - |x|^2 \leq 1 \) give
\[
|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{1}{24k^3} \left( c^3 + 4k(4 - c^2)xc + k^2(4 - c^2)x^2c + 2k^2(4 - c^2) (1 - |x|^2)z \right) =: F(c, \mu).
\]

Since \( \partial F/\partial \mu > 0 \) for any fixed \( c \in [0, 2] \) and for all \( \mu \in [0, 1] \), we can say that \( F(c, \mu) \) is an increasing function of \( \mu \) and hence
\[
\max F(c, \mu) = F(c, 1) =: G(c)
\]
where
\[
G(c) = \frac{1}{24k^3} \left( c^3 + 4k(4 - c^2)c + k^2(4 - c^2)c + 2k^2(4 - c^2) \right).
\]

Since
\[
G'' \left( \frac{2(-k^2 + M)}{3N} \right) = -0.31492 < 0
\]
where \( M = (-12k + 45k^2 + 24k^3 + 4k^4)^{1/2} \) and \( N = -1 + 4k + k^2 \), the maximum value of \( G \) occurs at \( c = 2(-k^2 + M)/(3N) \). Therefore
\[
|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq G \left( \frac{2(-k^2 + M)}{3N} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{144k^4 + 16k^5 - 24M + 12k^2(-15 + 4M) + k^3(243 + 8M)}{1458(1 + \sqrt{2})^5}
\]
\[
= \frac{5220 + 3683\sqrt{2} + 359\sqrt{359 + 246\sqrt{2} + 246\sqrt{718 + 492\sqrt{2}}}}{1458(1 + \sqrt{2})^5}.
\]

By making use of Conjecture 2.11, Corollary 2.12, Theorem 2.13 and Theorem 2.14, we obtain the following bound on the third Hankel determinant for the functions in the class \( S^*_R \).
Theorem 2.15. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_R^*$, then
\[ |H_3(1)| \leq \frac{4293 + 1458k + 88(144k^4 + 16k^3 - 24M + 12k^2(-15 + 4M) + k^3(243 + 8M) + 9k(3 + 10M))}{3888k^5} \]
\[ \approx 0.0563448 \]
where $M = (-12k + 45k^2 + 24k^3 + 4k^4)^{1/2}$ and $N = -1 + 4k + k^2$.

3. RADIUS ESTIMATES

By using the Ma-Minda relation (1.1), Sokól and Stankiewicz [33] introduced the subclass \( \mathcal{S}_L^* := \mathcal{S}^*(\sqrt{1 + z}) \) associated with lemniscate of Bernoulli, Raina and Sokól [26] defined the subclass \( \mathcal{S}_q^* := \mathcal{S}^*(z + \sqrt{1 + z^2}) \) associated with lune and Sharma et al. [32] investigated the class \( \mathcal{S}_e^* := \mathcal{S}^*(1 + 4z/3 + 2z^2/3) \) associated with cardioid. For \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), Kargar et al. [12] (see also [6]) introduced the class \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha) := \mathcal{S}^*(G_\alpha(z) = 1 + z/(1 - \alpha z^2)) \) associated with Booth lemniscate. The interesting class \( \mathcal{M}(\beta) \) where \( \beta > 1 \), defined by
\[ \mathcal{M}(\beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \beta, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\} \]
was investigated by Uralegaddi et al. [34].

Let \( \mathcal{C} \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha) \) be the class of close-to-star functions of type \( \alpha \) which is defined by
\[ \mathcal{C} \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{f}{g} \in \mathcal{P}, \ g \in \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha) \right\} \]
Sokól and Stankiewicz [33] estimated the radius of convexity for functions in the class \( \mathcal{S}_L^* \). Recently, Kumar and Ravichandran [13] and Mendiratta et al. [19] estimated the sharp \( \mathcal{S}_R^* \)-radii and \( \mathcal{S}_e^* \)-radii, respectively for various well-known classes of functions. For example, they estimated the radius of convexity, \( \mathcal{S}_R^* \)-radius and \( \mathcal{S}_e^* \)-radius for the class \( \mathcal{S}[A, B] \), \( W := \{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re (f(z)/z) > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \} \), \( \mathcal{F}_1 := \{ f \in \mathcal{A} : f/g \in \mathcal{P} \text{ for some } g \in \mathcal{W} \}, \mathcal{F}_2 := \{ f \in \mathcal{A} : |f(z)/g(z) - 1| < 1 \text{ for some } g \in \mathcal{W} \} \) and so forth. In this section, we compute the sharp \( \mathcal{S}_R^* \)-radius, \( \mathcal{M}(\beta) \)-radius, \( \mathcal{S}_L^* \) and \( \mathcal{S}_e^* \)-radius for various other well-known classes of functions.

Theorem 3.1. The \( \mathcal{S}_R^* \)-radii for the classes \( \mathcal{C} \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha) \), \( \mathcal{S}_q^* \) and \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha) \), \( \mathcal{M}(\beta) \)-radius for the class \( \mathcal{S}_R^* \) and \( \mathcal{S}_L^* \)-radius for the class \( \mathcal{S}_R^* \) are given by:

(a) \( \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}_R^*}(\mathcal{C} \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha)) = \rho_0 := (2 - \alpha + \sqrt{7 - 6\alpha + \alpha^2})/(-3 + 2\alpha) \)
(b) \( \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}_R^*}(\mathcal{S}_q^*) = (1 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{4\alpha + 17 + 12\sqrt{2}})/2 \approx 0.350701 \) which is the smallest positive root of the equation \( 4r^4 - 4r^2 + (57 - 40\sqrt{2}) = 0 \)
(c) \( \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}_R^*}(\mathcal{B} \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha)) = (-3 + 2\sqrt{2}) + \sqrt{4\alpha + 17 + 12\sqrt{2}})/2\alpha \)
(d) \( \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}(\beta)}(\mathcal{S}_R^*) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \beta \geq 2 \\
1/(k(-\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\beta - 4}))/2 & \text{if } \beta < 2 \end{array} \right. \)
(e) \( \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}_L^*}(\mathcal{S}_R^*) = (-1 + \sqrt{2})(-4 - 3\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{62 + 44\sqrt{2}})/2 \approx 0.601232 \)
respectively. The radii obtained are sharp.

The subclass of \( \mathcal{P} \) which satisfies \( \Re p(z) > \alpha \) where \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{P}(\alpha) \). In general for \( |B| \leq 1 \) and \( A \neq B \), the class \( \mathcal{P}[A, B] \) consists of all those functions \( p \).
with the normalization \( p(0) = 1 \) satisfying \( p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz) \). The following lemmas will be used in our investigation.

**Lemma 3.2.** [31] If \( p \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha) \), then
\[
\left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2r(1 - \alpha)}{(1 - r)(1 + (1 - 2\alpha)r^\alpha)}, \quad |z| = r < 1.
\]

**Lemma 3.3.** [27] If \( p \in \mathcal{P}[A, B] \), then
\[
\left| p(z) - \frac{1 - ABr^2}{1 - B^2r^2} \right| \leq \frac{|A - B|r}{1 - B^2r^2}, \quad |z| = r < 1.
\]

**Lemma 3.4.** [13] For \( 2(\sqrt{2} - 1) < a < 2 \), let \( r_a \) be defined by
\[
r_a = \begin{cases} 
  a - 2(\sqrt{2} - 1), & \text{if } 2(\sqrt{2} - 1) < a \leq \sqrt{2}; \\
  2 - a, & \text{if } \sqrt{2} \leq a < 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Then \( \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a| < r_a\} \subset \varphi_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{D}) \) where
\[
\varphi_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{D}) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w + (w^2 + 4w - 4)^{1/2}| < 2/k\}.
\]

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** (a) Let \( f \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}^\ast(\alpha) \) and \( g \in \mathcal{S}^\ast(\alpha) \) such that \( p(z) = f(z)/g(z) \in \mathcal{P} \). Then \( zg'(z)/g(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha) \) and Lemma 3.3 gives
\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2} \right| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)r}{1 - r^2}.
\]

Since \( p \in \mathcal{P} \), applying Lemma 3.2 yields
\[
\left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2r}{1 - r^2}.
\]

Using the above estimates in the identity
\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}
\]
we can see that
\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2} \right| \leq \frac{2(2 - \alpha)r}{1 - r^2}. \tag{3.1}
\]

Let \( 0 \leq r \leq \rho_0 \). Then it can be easily seen that if \( a := (1 + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2)/(1 - r^2) \), then \( a \leq 2 \). Therefore from Lemma 3.4, we can see that the disk (3.1) lies inside the domain \( \varphi_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{D}) \) if and only if
\[
\frac{2(2 - \alpha)r}{1 - r^2} \leq 2 - \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2}.
\]

The last inequality reduces to \(-1 + 2(2 - \alpha)r + (3 - 2\alpha)r^2 \leq 0 \). Since \( r \leq \rho_0 \), the result follows. Consider the functions \( f \) and \( g \) defined by
\[
f(z) = \frac{z(1 + z)}{(1 - z)^{3 - 2\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^{2 - 2\alpha}}.
\]

Since
\[
\text{Re} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \text{Re} \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = \text{Re} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2} > \alpha
\]
then \( g \in S^*(\alpha) \) and hence \( f \in \mathcal{C}S^*(\alpha) \). Also at the point \( z = \rho_0 \), we see that

\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1 + 2(2 - \alpha)z + (1 - 2\alpha)z^2}{1 - z^2} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\rho_0^2}{1 - \rho_0^2} - \frac{2(2 - \alpha)\rho_0}{1 - \rho_0^2} = 2.
\]

This proves the sharpness of the result.

(b) Since \( f \) is in the class \( S_q^* \), we have

\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec z + \sqrt{1 + z^2} := q(z).
\]

For \( |z| = r \), note that

\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq |z + \sqrt{1 + z^2} - 1| \\
\leq 1 - r - \sqrt{1 - r^2}. \tag{3.2}
\]

In view of Lemma 3.4, the disk (3.2) lies in the domain \( \varphi_R(D) \) if

\[
1 - r - \sqrt{1 - r^2} \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2}
\]

or

\[
r \leq \frac{3 - 2\sqrt{2}}{1 - 2\sqrt{2}}.
\]

This gives the desired radius estimate and this estimate is best possible for the function

\[
f_q(z) := z \exp(q(z) - \log(1 - z + q(z)) + \log 2 - 1). \tag{3.3}
\]

(c) Let \( f \in \mathcal{B}S^*(\alpha) \). Then

\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{z}{1 - \alpha z^2}.
\]

A simple calculation yields

\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \left| \frac{z}{1 - \alpha z^2} \right| \\
\leq \frac{r}{1 - \alpha r^2}. \tag{3.4}
\]

Using Lemma 3.4, note that the disk (3.4) is contained in the domain \( \varphi_R(D) \) if

\[
r \leq \frac{3 - 2\sqrt{2}}{1 - 2\sqrt{2}}
\]

or \( \alpha r^2 + (3 + 2\sqrt{2})r - 1 \leq 0 \). This gives the required radius estimate

\[
r \leq \frac{-(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) + \sqrt{4\alpha + 17 + 12\sqrt{2}}}{2\alpha}.
\]

The function defined by

\[
f_B(z) := z \exp\left( \frac{\tanh^{-1}(\sqrt{\alpha}z)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \right) \tag{3.5}
\]

proves that the estimation is sharp.

(d) Let \( f \in \mathcal{S}_R^* \). Then \( zf'(z)/f(z) \prec \varphi_R(z) \).

Case 1. Let \( \beta \geq 2 \). For \( |z| = r < 1 \), using the definition of subordination, it is easy to see that

\[
\text{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \leq \max_{|z|=r} \varphi_R(z) \leq 1 + \frac{r}{k} \left( \frac{k + r}{k - r} \right) < \frac{k^2 + 1}{k(k - 1)} \leq \beta.
\]
Case 2. Let $\beta \leq 2$. For $|z| = r < k(-\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\beta - 4})/2$, using the same technique as in Case 1, it follows that
\[
\text{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \leq 1 + \frac{r}{k} \left( \frac{k + r}{k - r} \right) < \beta.
\]
This proves the desired result. Sharpness follows by considering the function
\[
f_r(z) = \frac{kz}{(k - z)^2}e^{-z/k}.
\]
(e) Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_R^*$, we have $zf'(z)/f(z) < \varphi_R(z)$. Then
\[
|\varphi_R(z) - 1|^2 = \frac{r^2}{k^2} \left( \frac{k^2 + r^2 + 2kr \cos t}{k^2 + r^2 - 2kr \cos t} \right) < (\sqrt{2} - 1)^2
\]
if
\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < \sqrt{2} - 1, \quad |z| = r < \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{2})(-4 - 3\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{62 + 44\sqrt{2}}).
\]
Therefore the result follows from [1, Lemma 2.2, p. 6559]. The radius estimate is sharp for the function $f_r(z)$ defined by (3.6). \qed

Next result yields the sharp radius estimates related to the class $\mathcal{S}_e^*$.

Theorem 3.5. The $\mathcal{S}_e^*$-radii for the classes $\mathcal{S}_L^*$, $\mathcal{S}_q^*$, $\mathcal{S}_R^*$, $\mathcal{S}_C^*$ and $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ are given as:
(a) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}_L^*}(\mathcal{S}_L^*) = (e^2 - 1)/e^2 \approx 0.864665$
(b) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}_q^*}(\mathcal{S}_q^*) = (-2e + \sqrt{-4e^2 + 8e^4})/(4e^2) \approx 0.498824$ which is the smallest positive root of the equation $4r^4 - 4r^2 + ((e^2 - 1)/e^2)^2 = 0$
(c) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}_R^*}(\mathcal{S}_R^*) = (k - 2ek + k\sqrt{1 - 8e + 8e^2})/(2e) \approx 0.780444$
(d) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}_C^*}(\mathcal{S}_C^*) = (-2e + \sqrt{10e^2 - 4e})/2e \approx 0.395772$
(e) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}_e^*}(\mathcal{B} \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)) = (-e + \sqrt{e^2 + 4(e - 1)^2\alpha})/(2\alpha(e - 1))$
respectively. The results are all sharp.

To prove our estimations, we will make use of the following result.

Lemma 3.6. [10] For $1/e < a < e$, let $r_a$ be defined by
\[
r_a = \begin{cases} a - e^{-1}, & \text{if } e^{-1} < a \leq (e + e^{-1})/2; \\ e - a, & \text{if } (e + e^{-1})/2 < a < e. \end{cases}
\]
Then $\{w \in \mathbb{C}: |w - a| < r_a\} \subset \{w \in \mathbb{C}: |\log w| < 1\}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let $|z| = r$.
(a) Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*$, we have $zf'(z)/f(z) < \sqrt{1 + z}$. Therefore we get
\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| = |\sqrt{1 + z} - 1| \leq 1 - \sqrt{1 - r}.
\]
By applying Lemma 3.6 we note that $f \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$ if $1 - \sqrt{1 - r} \leq 1 - 1/e$ which leads to the inequality $r \leq e^2 - 1/e^2$. The obtained radius estimate is sharp for the function
\[
\frac{zf'_L(z)}{f'_L(z)} = \sqrt{1 + z}.
\]
(b) In view of Lemma 3.6, we see that the disk \((3.2)\) is contained in the domain \(e^z(\mathbb{D}) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |\log w| < 1\}\) provided \(1 - r - \sqrt{1 - r^2} \leq 1 - 1/e\) or \(r + \sqrt{1 - r^2} \geq 1/e\) or equivalently

\[
4r^4 - 4r^2 + \left(\frac{e^2 - 1}{e^2}\right)^2 \leq 0.
\]

The last inequality yields the desired estimate for the radius. The sharpness follows for the function \(f_q\) defined by \((3.3)\).

(c) Let \(f \in S^*_R\). Then

\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{z}{k} \left( \frac{k + z}{k - z} \right) \right| \leq \frac{r}{k} \left( \frac{k + r}{k - r} \right).
\]

(3.7)

Using Lemma 3.6 we see that the disk \((3.7)\) lies in the domain \(\{w \in \mathbb{C} : |\log w| < 1\}\) if

\[
r \frac{k + r}{k - r} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{e}.
\]

The above inequality simplifies to

\[
er^2 + k(2e - 1)r - k^2(e - 1) \leq 0
\]

which gives the required radius estimate. Sharpness follows for the function defined by \((3.6)\).

(d) Let \(f \in S^*_C\). Then a simple calculation gives

\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{4z}{3} + \frac{2z^2}{3} \right| \leq \frac{1}{3} (4r + 2r^2).
\]

(3.8)

Using Lemma 3.6 we note that the disk \((3.4)\) lies in the domain \(\{w \in \mathbb{C} : |\log w| < 1\}\) if \((4r + 2r^2)/3 \leq 1 - 1/e\) or \(2er^2 + 4er - 3(e - 1) \leq 0\). The last inequality gives

\[
r \leq -2e + \sqrt{10e^2 - 6e}.
\]

The result is sharp for the function

\[
f_C(z) := z \exp \left( \frac{4z}{3} + \frac{2z^2}{3} \right).
\]

(e) Using Lemma 3.6 note that the disk \((3.4)\) lies in the domain \(e^z(\mathbb{D})\) provided

\[
\frac{r}{1 - \alpha r^2} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{e}.
\]

By a simple computation, the last inequality becomes \(\alpha(e - 1)r^2 + er - (e - 1) \leq 0\) which gives

\[
r \leq \frac{-e + \sqrt{e^2 + 4(e - 1)^2\alpha}}{2\alpha(e - 1)}.
\]

The function \(f_B(z)\) defined by \((3.5)\) shows the sharpness of this radius estimate. \(\square\)
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