LEFT $\phi$-BIPROJECTIVITY OF SOME BANACH ALGEBRAS

A. SAHAMI

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a homological notion of left $\phi$-biprojectivity for Banach algebras, where $\phi$ is a non-zero multiplicative linear functional. We show that for a locally compact group $G$, the Segal algebra $S(G)$ is left $\phi$-contractible if and only if $G$ is compact. Also, we prove that the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is left $\phi$-biprojective if and only if $G$ is discrete. Finally, we study left $\phi$-biprojectivity of certain semigroup algebras. We give some examples which show the differences between our new notion and the classical ones.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Helemskii studied the structure of Banach algebras thorough the notions of biprojectivity. Indeed a Banach algebra $A$ is biprojective, if there exists a Banach $A$-bimodule from $A$ into $A \otimes_p A$ such that $\pi_A \circ \rho(a) = a$, for each $a \in A$. Helemskii showed that $L^1(G)$ is biprojective if and only if $G$ is compact. Also a unital Banach algebra is biprojective if and only if $A$ is contractible (super amenable), that is there exists an element $m \in A \otimes_p A$ such that $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$ and $\pi_A(m)a = a$ for all $a \in A$. For the further information see [12]. For recent generalizations of biprojectivity see [15] and [14].

Hu et. al. in [6] defined a notion of left $\phi$-contractibility for Banach algebras, where $\phi$ is a non-zero multiplicative linear functional. Following [9] notations, a Banach algebra $A$ is left $\phi$-contractible if there exists an element $m \in A$ such that $am = \phi(a)m$ and $\phi(m) = 1$, for every $a \in A$. For recent works about this concept reader referred to [1], [3] and [7].

Motivated by these considerations, we define the homological notion of left $\phi$-biprojectivity for Banach algebras. Here is the definition of our new notion:

**Definition 1.1.** Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and $\phi \in \Delta(A)$. The Banach algebra $A$ is called left $\phi$-biprojective if there exists a bounded linear map $\rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$ such that

$$
\rho(ab) = a \cdot \rho(b) = \phi(b)\rho(a), \quad \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a), \quad (a, b \in A).
$$

We show that (up to the existence of a left approximate identity) left $\phi$-biprojectivity is equivalent with left $\phi$-contractibility. We study some hereditary properties of this new notion. As applications for harmonic analysis, we show that the Segal algebra $S(G)$ is left $\phi$-biprojective if and only if $G$ is compact. Also we show that the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is left $\phi$-biprojective if and only if $G$ is discrete. We prove that the semigroup algebra $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{max}})$ is not left $\phi_S$-biprojective, where $\phi_S$ is the augmentation character on $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{max}})$. We conclude our paper with some examples which shows the differences of our new notion with classical ones.
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We give some notations and definitions that we use in this paper frequently. Suppose that $A$ is a Banach algebra. Throughout this manuscript, the character space of $A$ is denoted by $\Delta(A)$, that is, all non-zero multiplicative linear functionals on $A$.

The projective tensor product $A \otimes_p A$ is a Banach $A$-bimodule via the following actions

$$a \cdot (b \otimes c) = ab \otimes c, \ (b \otimes c) \cdot a = b \otimes ca \quad (a, b, c \in A).$$

The product morphism $\pi_A : A \otimes_p A \to A$ is given by $\pi_A(a \otimes b) = ab$, for every $a, b \in A$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach $A$–bimodules. The map $T : X \to Y$ is called $A$–bimodule morphism, if

$$T(a \cdot x) = a \cdot T(x), \ T(x \cdot a) = T(x) \cdot a, \quad (a \in A, x \in X).$$

2. LEFT $\phi$-BIPROJECTIVITY

In this section we give some general properties of left $\phi$-biprojectivity for Banach algebras.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and $\phi \in \Delta(A)$. If $A$ is left $\phi$-contractible, then $A$ is left $\phi$-biprojective.

**Proof.** Suppose that $A$ is left $\phi$-contractible. Then there exists an element $m \in A$ such that $am = \phi(a)m$ and $\phi(m) = 1$, for each $a \in A$. Define $\rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$ such that $\rho(a) = \phi(a)m \otimes m$, for each $a \in A$. It is easy to see that $\rho$ is a bounded linear map. Consider

$$\rho(ab) = \phi(ab)m \otimes m = \phi(b)\phi(a)m \otimes m = \phi(b)\rho(a)$$

and

$$\rho(ab) = \phi(ab)m \otimes m = abm \otimes m = a \cdot (bm \otimes m) = a \cdot \rho(b),$$

for each $a, b \in A$. Also we have

$$\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi \circ \pi_A(\phi(a)m \otimes m) = \phi(a)\phi(m)^2 = \phi(a),$$

for every $a \in A$. It shows that $A$ is left $\phi$-biprojective. \hfill \Box

In the following lemma, we show that the converse of above lemma is also partially valid.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and $\phi \in \Delta(A)$. Suppose that there is an element $a_0 \in A$ such that $aa_0 = a_0a$ and $\phi(a_0) = 1$, for every $a \in A$. If $A$ is left $\phi$-biprojective, then $A$ is left $\phi$-contractible.

**Proof.** Since $A$ is left $\phi$-biprojective, there exists a bounded linear map $\rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$ such that

$$\rho(ab) = \phi(b)\rho(a) = a \cdot \rho(b)$$

and $\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a)$ for each $a, b \in A$. Let $a_0$ be an element of $A$ such that $aa_0 = a_0a$ and $\phi(a_0) = 1$, for every $a \in A$. Put $m = \rho(a_0)$, then

$$a \cdot m = a \cdot \rho(a_0) = \rho(aa_0) = \rho(a_0a) = \phi(a)\rho(a_0) = \phi(a)m \quad (a \in A).$$

Clearly $\pi_A(m)$ belongs to $A$. Since $\pi_A$ is a bounded $A$-bimodule morphism, we have

$$a\pi_A(m) = \pi_A(a \cdot m) = \phi(a)\pi_A(m)$$
also

\[ \phi \circ \pi_A(m) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a_0) = \phi(a_0) = 1, \]

for each \( a \in A \). It follows that \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( A \) be a commutative Banach algebra and \( \phi \in \Delta(A) \). If \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective, then \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra with a left approximate identity and let \( \phi \in \Delta(A) \). If \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective, then \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible.

**Proof.** Let \( A \) be a left \( \phi \)-biprojective Banach algebra and let \( L = \ker \phi \).

Step1: We show that there exists a bounded linear left \( A \)-module morphism \( \xi \) from \( A \) into \( A \otimes_p \frac{A}{L} \) such that \( \xi(L) = \{0\} \).

To see this, since \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective, we have a bounded linear map \( \rho : A \to A \otimes_p A \) such that \( \rho(ab) = \phi(b)\rho(a) = a \cdot \rho(b) \) and \( \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a) \) for all \( a, b \in A \). We denote \( \text{Id}_A \) for the identity map from \( A \) into \( A \) and also \( q : A \to \frac{A}{L} \) is denoted for the quotient map. Define

\[ \xi = (\text{Id}_A \otimes q) \circ \rho : A \to A \otimes_p \frac{A}{L}, \]

where \( \text{Id}_A \otimes q(a \otimes b) = \text{Id}_A(a) \otimes q(b) \) for all \( a, b \in A \). Now we show that \( \xi(L) = \{0\} \). Let \( l \in L \). Since \( A \) has a left approximate identity, \( \overline{AL} = L \). So there exist sequences \( (a_n) \) in \( A \) and \( (l_n) \) in \( L \) such that \( l = \lim_n a_nl_n \). Clearly \( \phi(l_n) = 0 \), thus we have

\[ \xi(l) = \xi(l_n) = \lim_n \xi(a_nl_n) = \lim_n (\text{Id}_A \otimes q) \circ \rho(a_nl_n) = \lim_n \phi(l_n)(\text{Id}_A \otimes q) \circ \rho(a_n) = 0. \]

Step2: There exists a bounded left \( A \)-module morphism \( \eta \) from \( \frac{A}{L} \) into \( A \) such that \( \phi \circ \eta = \phi \).

To see this, let \( \xi \) be the same map as in step1. Since \( \xi(L) = \{0\} \), \( \xi \) induces a map \( \overline{\xi} : \frac{A}{L} \to A \otimes_p \frac{A}{L} \) which is given by \( \overline{\xi}(a + L) = \xi(a) \) for all \( a \in A \). Define \( \eta = (\text{Id}_A \otimes \overline{\phi}) \circ \overline{\xi} : \frac{A}{L} \to A \otimes_p A \), where \( \overline{\phi}(a + L) = \phi(a) \), for each \( a \in A \). It is easy to see that \( \eta \) is a bounded linear map. Consider

\[ \eta(ax + L) = (\text{Id}_A \otimes \overline{\phi}) \circ \overline{\xi}(ax + L) = (\text{Id}_A \otimes \overline{\phi}) \circ \overline{\xi}(ax) = (\text{Id}_A \otimes \overline{\phi})(a \cdot \overline{\xi}(x)) = a \cdot (\text{Id}_A \otimes \overline{\phi})(\overline{\xi}(x)) = a \cdot (\text{Id}_A \otimes \overline{\phi}) \circ \overline{\xi}(x + L) = a \cdot \eta(x + L), \]

for every \( a, x \in A \). Note that since \( A \otimes_p C \cong A \), the composition of \( \phi \) and \( \text{Id}_A \otimes \overline{\phi} \) is well-defined and \( \phi \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes \overline{\phi}) = \phi \otimes \overline{\phi} \). Using the facts

\[ (\phi \otimes \overline{\phi}) \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes q) = \phi \otimes \phi \]

and

\[ (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \rho(x) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(x) = \phi(x) \]
follows that
\[
\phi \circ \eta(x + L) = \phi \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes \overline{\phi}) \circ \overline{\xi}(x + L) = (\phi \otimes \overline{\phi}) \circ \overline{\xi}(x + L)
\]
\[
= (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \xi(x)
\]
\[
= (\phi \otimes \overline{\phi}) \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes q) \circ \rho(x)
\]
\[
= (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \rho(x)
\]
\[
= \phi(x),
\]
for each \( x \in A \).

Step 3: We show that \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible.

Pick \( a_0 \) in \( A \) such that \( \phi(a_0) = 1 \). Put \( m = \eta(a_0 + L) \). Clearly \( m \in A \). Since \( aa_0 - \phi(a)a_0 \in L \), we have \( aa_0 + L = \phi(a)a_0 + L \). Therefore by step 1 and step 2
\[
am = a\eta(a_0 + L) = \eta(aa_0 + L) = \eta(\phi(a)a_0 + L) = \phi(a)\eta(a_0 + L)
\]
\[
= \phi(a)m,
\]
for each \( a \in A \). Also
\[
\phi(m) = \phi \circ \eta(a_0 + L) = \phi(a_0) = 1,
\]
it implies that \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible.

Lemma 2.5. Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra and \( \phi \in \Delta(A) \). Suppose that \( I \) is a closed ideal in \( A \) such that \( \phi|_I \neq 0 \). If \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective, then \( I \) is left \( \phi|_I \)-biprojective.

Proof. Since \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective, there exists a bounded linear map \( \rho : A \to A \otimes_p A \) such that \( \rho(ab) = \phi(b)\rho(a) = a \cdot \rho(b) \) and \( \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a) \), for each \( a \in A \). Let \( a_0 \) be an element in \( I \) such that \( \phi(a_0) = 1 \). Define \( R_{a_0} : A \to I(L_{a_0} : A \to I) \) by \( R_{a_0}(a) = aa_0(L_{a_0}(a) = a_0a) \) for every \( a \in A \), respectively. Clearly \( R_{a_0} \) and \( L_{a_0} \) are bounded linear maps. Put
\[
\theta = (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0}) \circ \rho|_I : I \to I \otimes_p I.
\]
Clearly \( \theta \) is a bounded linear map. Also
\[
\theta(ab) = (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0}) \circ \rho(ab) = (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0})(a \cdot \rho(b)) = a \cdot (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0})(\rho(b)) = a \cdot \theta(b)
\]
and
\[
\theta(ab) = (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0}) \circ \rho(ab) = (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0})(\phi(b)\rho(a)) = \phi(b)(R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0})(\rho(a)) = \phi(b)\theta(a),
\]
for each $a, b \in I$. On the other hand, we know that every $x \in A \otimes_p A$ has a form $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes b_i$ for some sequences $(a_i)$ and $(b_i)$ in $A$. It follows that

$$
\phi \circ \pi_A \circ (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0})(x) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0})(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes b_i) = \phi \circ \pi_A(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_0 a_i \otimes a_0 b_i) \\
= \phi(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_0 a_i b_i) \\
= \phi(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i b_i) \\
= \phi \circ \pi_A(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes b_i) \\
= \phi \circ \pi_A(x).
$$

Using this fact we have

$$
\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \theta(a) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0}) \circ \rho(a) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a),
$$

for every $a \in I$. It follows that $I$ is a left $\phi$-biprojective. \hfill \Box

We recall that a Banach algebra is $\phi$-biprojective if there exists a bounded $A$-bimodule morphism $\rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$ such that $\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a)$ for all $a \in A$, see [13].

**Proposition 2.6.** Let $A$ be a $\phi$-biprojective Banach algebra. Then $A$ is left $\phi$-biprojective.

**Proof.** Suppose that $A$ is $\phi$-biprojective. Then there exists a bounded $A$-bimodule morphism $\rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$ such that $\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Pick $a_0$ in $A$ which $\phi(a_0) = 1$. Define $\eta_{a_0} : A \otimes_p A \to A \otimes_p A$ by $\eta_{a_0}(a \otimes b) = \phi(b)a \otimes a_0$, for each $a, b \in A$. Clearly $\eta_{a_0}$ is a linear map. Since $||\phi|| = 1$, $||\eta_{a_0}(x)|| \leq ||a_0|| ||x||$, for all $x \in A$. So $\eta_{a_0}$ is bounded. Consider

$$
cr_{\eta_{a_0}}(a \otimes b) = \phi(b)ca \otimes a_0 = \eta_{a_0}(c \cdot (a \otimes b))
$$

and

$$
\phi(c)\eta_{a_0}(a \otimes b) = \phi(c)\phi(b)a \otimes a_0 = \phi(bc)a \otimes a_0 = \eta_{a_0}(a \otimes bc) = \eta_{a_0}((a \otimes b) \cdot c),
$$

for every $a, b, c \in A$. It immediately follows that

$$
c \cdot \eta(x) = \eta(c \cdot x), \quad \phi(c)\eta(x) = \eta(x \cdot c), \quad (c \in A, x \in A \otimes_p A).
$$

Also

$$
\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \eta_{a_0}(a \otimes b) = \phi \circ \pi_A(\phi(b)a \otimes a_0) = \phi(ab),
$$

implies that $\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \eta_{a_0}(x) = \phi \circ \pi_A(x)$, for every $x \in A \otimes_p A$. Define $\xi = \eta_{a_0} \circ \rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$. Clearly $\xi$ is a bounded linear map. Also

$$
a \cdot \xi(b) = a \cdot \eta_{a_0}(\rho(b)) = \eta_{a_0}(a \cdot \rho(b)) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(ab)) = \xi(ab)
$$

and

$$
\phi(b)\xi(a) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(a))\phi(b) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(a))\phi(b) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(a) \cdot b) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(ab)) = \xi(ab),
$$

Using this fact we have

$$
\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \theta(a) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0}) \circ \rho(a) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a),
$$

for every $a \in I$. It follows that $I$ is a left $\phi$-biprojective. \hfill \Box

We recall that a Banach algebra is $\phi$-biprojective if there exists a bounded $A$-bimodule morphism $\rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$ such that $\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a)$ for all $a \in A$, see [13].

**Proposition 2.6.** Let $A$ be a $\phi$-biprojective Banach algebra. Then $A$ is left $\phi$-biprojective.

**Proof.** Suppose that $A$ is $\phi$-biprojective. Then there exists a bounded $A$-bimodule morphism $\rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$ such that $\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Pick $a_0$ in $A$ which $\phi(a_0) = 1$. Define $\eta_{a_0} : A \otimes_p A \to A \otimes_p A$ by $\eta_{a_0}(a \otimes b) = \phi(b)a \otimes a_0$, for each $a, b \in A$. Clearly $\eta_{a_0}$ is a linear map. Since $||\phi|| = 1$, $||\eta_{a_0}(x)|| \leq ||a_0|| ||x||$, for all $x \in A$. So $\eta_{a_0}$ is bounded. Consider

$$
cr_{\eta_{a_0}}(a \otimes b) = \phi(b)ca \otimes a_0 = \eta_{a_0}(c \cdot (a \otimes b))
$$

and

$$
\phi(c)\eta_{a_0}(a \otimes b) = \phi(c)\phi(b)a \otimes a_0 = \phi(bc)a \otimes a_0 = \eta_{a_0}(a \otimes bc) = \eta_{a_0}((a \otimes b) \cdot c),
$$

for every $a, b, c \in A$. It immediately follows that

$$
c \cdot \eta(x) = \eta(c \cdot x), \quad \phi(c)\eta(x) = \eta(x \cdot c), \quad (c \in A, x \in A \otimes_p A).
$$

Also

$$
\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \eta_{a_0}(a \otimes b) = \phi \circ \pi_A(\phi(b)a \otimes a_0) = \phi(ab),
$$

implies that $\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \eta_{a_0}(x) = \phi \circ \pi_A(x)$, for every $x \in A \otimes_p A$. Define $\xi = \eta_{a_0} \circ \rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$. Clearly $\xi$ is a bounded linear map. Also

$$
a \cdot \xi(b) = a \cdot \eta_{a_0}(\rho(b)) = \eta_{a_0}(a \cdot \rho(b)) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(ab)) = \xi(ab)
$$

and

$$
\phi(b)\xi(a) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(a))\phi(b) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(a))\phi(b) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(a) \cdot b) = \eta_{a_0}(\rho(ab)) = \xi(ab),
$$

Using this fact we have

$$
\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \theta(a) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ (R_{a_0} \otimes L_{a_0}) \circ \rho(a) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a),
$$

for every $a \in I$. It follows that $I$ is a left $\phi$-biprojective. \hfill \Box
for all \( a, b \in A \). Moreover
\[
\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \xi(a) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \eta_\rho(\rho(a)) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a),
\]
for each \( a \in A \). It gives that \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 2.7.** Let \( A \) be a biprojective Banach algebra with \( \phi \in \Delta(A) \). Then \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective for all \( \phi \in \Delta(A) \).

### 3. Applications for harmonic analysis

Throughout this section, suppose that \( G \) is a locally compact group. A linear subspace \( S(G) \) of \( L^1(G) \) is said to be a Segal algebra on \( G \) if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. \( S(G) \) is dense in \( L^1(G) \),
2. \( S(G) \) with a norm \( || \cdot ||_{S(G)} \) is a Banach space and \( ||f||_{L^1(G)} \leq ||f||_{S(G)} \) for every \( f \in S(G) \),
3. for \( f \in S(G) \) and \( y \in G \), we have \( L_y(f) \in S(G) \) the map \( y \mapsto L_y(f) \) from \( G \) into \( S(G) \) is continuous, where \( L_y(f)(x) = f(y^{-1}x) \),
4. \( ||L_y(f)||_{S(G)} = ||f||_{S(G)} \) for every \( f \in S(G) \) and \( y \in G \).

It is well-known that \( S(G) \) always has a left approximate identity. We remind that a Segal algebra is a left ideal of \( L^1(G) \), for more information see [11]. For a Segal algebra \( S(G) \) it has been shown that

\[
\Delta(S(G)) = \{ \phi \circ_S | \phi \in \Delta(L^1(G)) \},
\]

see [11, Lemma 2.2].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( G \) be a locally compact group. Then \( S(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective (for some \( \phi \in \Delta(S(G)) \)) if and only if \( G \) is compact.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( S(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective. Since \( S(G) \) always has a left approximate identity, by Proposition 2.3 \( S(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible. Applying [11, Theorem 3.3] \( G \) is compact.

Conversely, let \( G \) be a compact group. So by [11, Theorem 3.3], \( S(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible. Thus by Lemma 2.1 \( S(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective.

\[ \square \]

Let \( G \) be a locally compact group and let \( \hat{G} \) be its dual group, which consists of all non-zero continuous homomorphism \( \zeta : G \to \mathbb{T} \). It is well-known that \( \Delta(L^1(G)) = \{ \phi_\zeta : \zeta \in \hat{G} \} \), where \( \phi_\zeta(f) = \int_G \overline{\zeta(x)} f(x)dx \) and \( dx \) is a left Haar measure on \( G \), for more details, see [11, Theorem 23.7].

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( G \) be a locally compact group. Then \( L^1(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective (for some \( \phi \in \Delta(L^1(G)) \)) if and only if \( G \) is compact.

It is well-known that the group algebra \( L^1(G) \) is a closed ideal of the measure algebra \( M(G) \), see [11]. Note that we can easily extend a non-zero multiplicative linear functional from a closed ideal of a Banach algebra to the whole Banach algebra.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( G \) be a locally compact group. Then the measure algebra \( M(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective if and only if \( G \) is compact, provided that \( \phi \in \Delta(L^1(G)) \).
Proof. Suppose that \( M(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective. Since \( L^1(G) \) is a closed ideal in \( M(G) \) and \( \phi|_{L^1(G)} \neq 0 \), by Lemma 2.5 \( L^1(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective. So previous corollary implies that \( G \) is compact.

Let \( G \) be compact. Then by [9, Theorem 6.1] \( M(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible. So Lemma 2.1 gives that \( M(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective. \( \square \)

We recall that a Banach algebra \( A \) is left \( 0 \)-contractible, if \( A \) has a right identity, see [9, Proposition 3.4].

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( G \) be a locally compact group. Then \( M(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective (for all \( \phi \in \Delta(M(G)) \)) if and only if \( G \) is finite.

Proof. Suppose that \( M(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective for all \( \phi \in \Delta(M(G)) \). Since \( M(G) \) is unital, by Proposition 2.4 \( M(G) \) is \( \phi \)-contractible for all \( \phi \in \Delta(A) \cup \{0\} \) (or \( M(G) \) is character contractible). Then by [9, Corollary 6.2] \( G \) is finite.

Converse is clear. \( \square \)

Let \( E \) be a compact Hausdorff space. We denote \( C(E) \) for the space of all continuous functions on \( E \). With pointwise multiplication \( C(E) \) becomes a Banach algebra. It is well-known that \( \Delta(C(E)) \) consists precisely of all point evaluations \( \phi_t \) for each \( t \in E \), where

\[
\phi_t(f) = f(t), \quad (f \in C(E)).
\]

Then we may also identify \( E \) with \( \Delta(C(E)) \).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( G \) be a compact group. Then \( C(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective (for some \( \phi \in \Delta(C(G)) \)) if and only if \( G \) is finite.

Proof. Let \( C(G) \) be left \( \phi \)-biprojective for some \( \phi \in \Delta(C(G)) \). Since \( C(G) \) is commutative, by Corollary 2.6 \( C(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible. So there is an element \( m \in C(G) \) such that \( fm = \phi(f)m \) and \( \phi(m) = 1 \), for every \( f \in C(G) \). Let \( \psi \) be any character on \( C(G) \) which \( \psi \neq \phi \). Choose \( f_0 \) in \( C(G) \) such that \( \phi(f_0) = 1 \) and \( \psi(f_0) = 0 \). Consider \( m \) as an element of \( C(G)^{**} \), so

\[
m(\psi) = \phi(f_0)m(\psi) = f_0m(\psi) = \psi(f_0m) = \psi(f_0)\psi(m) = 0.
\]

Since \( m(\phi) = 1 \), we have \( m = \chi_{\{\phi\}} \in C(G) = \Delta(C(G)) \) is the characteristic function at \( \{\phi\} \). It follows \( \{\phi\} \) is an open set of \( \Delta(C(G)) = G \). Therefore \( G \) is discrete and compact. So \( G \) is finite.

Converse is clear. \( \square \)

Let \( G \) be a locally compact group. We denote \( A(G) \) for the Fourier algebra on \( G \). The character space \( \Delta(A(G)) \) consists of all point evaluations \( \phi_g \) for each \( g \in G \), where

\[
\phi_g(f) = f(g), \quad (f \in A(G)),
\]

see[8, Example 2.6].

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( G \) be a locally compact group. Then \( A(G) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective (for some \( \phi_g \in \Delta(A(G)) \)) if and only if \( G \) is discrete.
Proof. Let $A(G)$ be left $\phi_g$-biprojective, for some $g \in G$. Since $A(G)$ with pointwise multiplication is a commutative Banach algebra, by Corollary 2.24 $A(G)$ is left $\phi_g$-contractible. Applying [1] Theorem 3.5 $G$ is discrete.

Suppose that $G$ is discrete and $g \in G$. Then the characteristic function $\chi_{\{g\}}$ belongs to $A(G)$. Define $\rho : A(G) \rightarrow A(G) \otimes_p A(G)$ by $\rho(f) = \phi_g(f)\chi_{\{g\}} \otimes \chi_{\{g\}}$ for every $f \in A(G)$. Clearly $\rho$ satisfies the Definition 3.4. So $A(G)$ is left $\phi$-biprojective.

Let $S$ be a discrete semigroup. We denote $Z(S) = \{s_0 \in S | ss_0 = s_0 s, \ \forall s \in S\}$ and also we denote $\ell^1(S)$ for the semigroup algebra. It is well-known that there is at least one non-zero multiplicative linear functional (the augmentation character) on $\ell^1(S)$, that we denote it here by $\phi_S$ which is given by $\phi_S(f) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)$ for each $f \in \ell^1(S)$, see [2].

**Theorem 3.7.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with a left unit. Then $\ell^1(S)$ is left $\phi_S$-biprojective if and only if $S$ is finite, provided that the $Z(S)$ is a non-empty set.

Proof. Since $Z(S)$ is non-empty, there exists an element $s_0 \in S$ such that $ss_0 = s_0 s$ for $s \in S$. So $f\delta_{s_0} = \delta_{s_0}f$ and $\phi_S(\delta_{s_0}) = 1$, for every $f \in \ell^1(S)$, where $\delta_{s_0}$ is the point mass at $\{s_0\}$. So by Lemma 2.2 $\ell^1(S)$ is left $\phi_S$-contractible. Thus there exists an element $m \in \ell^1(S)$ such that $fm = \phi_S(f)m$ and $\phi_S(m) = 1$, for every $f \in \ell^1(S)$. We denote $e_1$ for the right unit of $S$. So

$$m(s) = m(e_1 s) = \delta_s m(e_1) = \phi_S(\delta_s)m(e_1) = m(e_1).$$

It follows that $m$ is a constant function. Since $\phi_S(m) = 1$, $m$ is a non-zero element of $\ell^1(S)$. Therefore $S$ must be finite. □

**Example 3.8.** We denote $\mathbb{N}_{\text{max}}$ for the semigroup $\mathbb{N}$ with the multiplication given by

$$m * n = \max\{m, n\}, \ (m, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

Clearly $\mathbb{N}_{\text{max}}$ is a commutative semigroup and $\delta_1$ is its unit.

We claim that $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{max}})$ is not left $\phi_S$-biprojective. Assume conversely that $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{max}})$ is left $\phi_S$-biprojective. By previous theorem $\mathbb{N}_{\text{max}}$ must be finite which is a contradiction.

**Remark 3.9.** Note that we can not remove the hypothesis the existence of “right unit” from Theorem 3.7.

To see this we give an infinite semigroup which is commutative which doesn’t have a right unit but its semigroup algebra is left $\phi_S$-contractible.

To see this equip $\mathbb{N}$ with the multiplication

$$m * n = \min\{m, n\}, \ (m, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Clearly $\mathbb{N}_{\text{min}}$ is a commutative semigroup which doesn’t have unit. Define $\rho : \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{min}}) \rightarrow \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{min}}) \otimes_p \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{min}})$ by

$$\rho(f) = \phi_S(f)\delta_1 \otimes \delta_1, \ (f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{min}})).$$

Clearly

$$\rho(fg) = f : \rho(g) = \phi_S(g)\rho(f), \ \phi_S \circ \pi_{\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{min}})} \circ \rho(f) = \phi_S(f), \ (f, g \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{min}})).$$

So $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\text{min}})$ is left $\phi_S$-biprojective.
A discrete semigroup \( S \) is called inverse semigroup, if for each \( s \in S \) there exists an element \( s^* \in S \) such that \( ss^*s = s^* \) and \( s^*ss^* = s \). There exists a partial order on each inverse semigroup \( S \), that is,

\[
s \leq t \Leftrightarrow s = ss^*t \quad (s, t \in S).
\]

Let \( (S, \leq) \) be an inverse semigroup. For each \( s \in S \), set \( \{x \in S \mid y \leq x\} \). \( S \) is called uniformly locally finite if \( \sup \{|x| : x \in S\} < \infty \). Suppose that \( S \) is an inverse semigroup and \( e \in E(S) \), where \( E(S) \) is the set of all idempotents of \( S \). Then \( G_e = \{s \in S \mid ss^* = s^*s = e\} \) is a maximal subgroup of \( S \) with respect to \( e \). An inverse semigroup \( S \) is called Clifford semigroup if for each \( s \in S \) there exists \( s^* \in S \) such that \( ss^* = s^*s \). See [5] as a main reference of semigroup theory.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let \( S = \cup_{e \in E(S)} G_e \) be a Clifford semigroup such that \( E(S) \) is uniformly locally finite. Then \( \ell^1(S) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective for all \( \phi \in \Delta(A) \) if and only if \( \ell^1(S) \) biprojective.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \ell^1(S) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective for all \( \phi \in \Delta(\ell^1(S)) \). By [10, Theorem 2.16], \( \ell^1(S) \cong \ell^1 - \oplus_{e \in E(S)} \ell^1(G_e) \). Since \( \ell^1(G_e) \) is a closed ideal of \( \ell^1(S) \) with a character \( \phi \), this character can be extended to \( \ell^1(S) \) which still is denoted by \( \phi \). So \( \ell^1(S) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective. Since \( \phi|\ell^1(G_e) \neq 0 \) and \( \ell^1(G_e) \) is a closed ideal of \( \ell^1(S) \), by Lemma 2.7, \( \ell^1(G_e) \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective. Applying Corollary 3.2 gives that the discrete group \( G_e \) is compact. Then \( G_e \) is finite. Now by [10, Theorem 3.7], \( \ell^1(S) \) is biprojective.

Converse is true by Corollary 2.7. \( \square \)

4. Examples

In this section we give some examples that show the differences of left \( \phi \)-biprojectivity with the classical notions of Banach homology.

**Example 4.1.** We give a Banach algebra which is left \( \phi \)-biprojective but it is not left \( \phi \)-contractible.

To see this, let \( A \) be a Banach algebra with \( \dim A > 1 \), which \( ab = \phi(b)a \), for all \( a, b \in A \), where \( \phi \in \Delta(A) \). Define \( \rho : A \to A \otimes_B A \) by \( \rho(a) = a \otimes a_0 \), for all \( a \in A \). We have

\[
\rho(ab) = ab \otimes a_0 = \phi(b)a \otimes a_0 = \phi(b)\rho(a)
\]

and

\[
\rho(ab) = ab \otimes a_0 = a \cdot (b \otimes a_0) = a \cdot \rho(b),
\]

for each \( a, b \in A \). Moreover

\[
\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi \circ \pi_A (a \otimes a_0) = \phi(aa_0) = \phi(a), \quad (a \in A).
\]

So \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-biprojective.

We assume conversely that \( A \) is left \( \phi \)-contractible. Then there exists an element \( m \in A \) such that \( am = \phi(a)m \) and \( \phi(m) = 1 \), for all \( a \in A \). It follows that

\[
\phi(a)m = am = \phi(m)a = a, \quad (a \in A).
\]

It implies that \( \dim A = 1 \) which is a contradiction.
Example 4.2. We give a Banach algebra which is left $\phi$-biprojective but it is not $\phi$-biprojective.

Let $S$ be a right zero semigroup with $|S| \geq 2$, that is, a semigroup with the multiplication

$$st = t, \quad (s, t \in S).$$

The semigroup algebra $\ell^1(S)$ with respect to the right zero semigroup has the following multiplication

$$fg = \phi_S(fg) \quad (f, g \in \ell^1(S)),$$

where $\phi_S$ is given for the augmentation character on $\ell^1(S)$. We denote $\ell^1(S)^\sharp$ for the unitization of $\ell^1(S)$ and $\phi^\sharp_S : \ell^1(S)^\sharp : A^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ is a character which is given by $\phi^\sharp_S(f, \lambda) = \phi_S(f) + \lambda$, for each $f \in \ell^1(S)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\phi^\sharp_S|_{A} = \phi_S$. We claim that $\ell^1(S)^\sharp$ is not $\phi^\sharp_S$-biprojective but it is left $\phi^\sharp_S$-biprojective.

To see this, we assume in a contradiction and suppose that $\ell^1(S)^\sharp$ is $\phi^\sharp_S$-biprojective. Since $\ell^1(S)^\sharp$ is unital by [13, Lemma 3.2], $\ell^1(S)^\sharp$ is right $\phi^\sharp_S$-contractible. Applying the same argument as in [9, theorem 3.1] gives that $\ell^1(S)$ is right $\phi_S$-contractible. Then there exists an element $m \in \ell^1(S)$ such that $mf = \phi_S(f)m$ and $\phi_S(m) = 1$ for every $f \in \ell^1(S)$. It follows that

$$f = \phi_S(m)f = mf = \phi_S(f)m, \quad (f \in \ell^1(S)).$$

Let $s_1$ and $s_2$ be two distinct elements of $S$. So $\delta_{s_1}$ and $\delta_{s_2}$ (the point mass in $s_1$ and $s_2$) are two distinct elements of $\ell^1(S)$. Put $\delta_{s_1}$ and $\delta_{s_2}$ instead of $f$ in the equation $f = \phi_S(f)m$ gives that $s_1 = s_2$ which is a contradiction. So $\ell^1(S)^\sharp$ is not $\phi^\sharp_S$-biprojective. Moreover choose $g_0 \in \ell^1(S)$ such that $\phi_S(g_0) = 1$. Then we have $fg_0 = \phi_S(f)g_0$ for all $f \in \ell^1(S)$. So $\ell^1(S)$ is left $\phi_S$-contractible. Applying [9, theorem 3.1], we have $\ell^1(S)^\sharp$ is left $\phi^\sharp_S$-contractible. So by Lemma [23, theorem 3.1], we have $\ell^1(S)^\sharp$ is left $\phi^\sharp_S$-biprojective.
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