Further improving of upper bound on a geometric Ramsey problem
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Abstract
We consider following geometric Ramsey problem: find the least dimension \( n \) such that for any 2-coloring of edges of complete graph on the points \( \{\pm 1\}^n \) there exists 4-vertex coplanar monochromatic clique. Problem was first analyzed by Graham and Rothschild [1] and they gave an upper bound: \( n \leq F(F(F(F(F(12)))))) \), where \( F(m) = 2 \uparrow \uparrow m \). In 2014 Lavrov, Lee and Mackey [2] greatly improved this result by giving upper bound \( n < 2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 6 < F(5) \). In this paper we revisit their estimates and reduce upper bound to \( n < 2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 5 \).

1 Setting

Definition 1. Given \( n, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) let Hales-Jewett number \( \text{HJ}(n, c, d) \) be the least integer \( k \) with the following property. For any \( c \)-coloring \( D \) of \( \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^k \) there exists an injective function \( \rho : \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^d \to \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^k \) such that,

\[
\forall i \leq k \exists 1 \leq j \leq d \rho_i(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = y_d \lor \exists 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \rho_i(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = j,
\]

and \( \rho \left( \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^d \right) \) is \( D \)-monochromatic.

Definition 2. Given \( n, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) let Tic-Tac-Toe number \( \text{TTT}(n, c, d) \) be the least integer \( k \) with the following property. For any \( c \)-coloring \( D \) of \( \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^k \) there exists an injective function \( \rho : \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^d \to \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^k \) such that,

\[
\forall i \leq k \exists 1 \leq j \leq d \rho_i(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = y_d \lor \exists 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \rho_i(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = n - 1 - y_d \lor \exists 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \rho_i(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = j, \tag{1}
\]

and \( \rho \left( \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^d \right) \) is \( D \)-monochromatic. Image of such a function is called a \( d \)-dimensional Tic-Tac-Toe Subspace.

Definition 3. Given \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) let Graham \( (d) \) be the smallest dimension \( k \) such that for every edge-coloring of a complete graph on the points \( \{\pm 1\}^k \) there exists an injective function \( \rho : \{\pm 1\}^d \to \{\pm 1\}^k \) with

\[
\forall i \leq k \exists 1 \leq j \leq d \rho_i(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = y_d \lor \exists 1 \leq j \leq d \rho_i(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = -y_d \lor \exists 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \rho_i(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = j, \tag{2}
\]

and all edges between the points of \( \rho \left( \{\pm 1\}^d \right) \) have the same color.

In particular, Graham (2) is the smallest integer \( k \), such that for every edge-coloring of a complete graph on the points \( \{\pm 1\}^k \) there exist four coplanar vertices such that all six edges between them are monochromatic. Our goal is to give a better upper bound for that value. It has been proven in [2], that Graham (2) \( \leq \text{TTT}(4, 2, 6) + 1 \), and then, using obvious inequality \( \text{HJ}(n, c, d) \geq \text{TTT}(n, c, d) \) it was shown that Graham (2) < 2 \( \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 6 \). Our approach is to not use the Hales-Jewett function, because \( \text{TTT}(\cdot, c, d) \) and \( \text{HJ}(\cdot, c, d) \) have similar growth rate, but initial values of \( \text{TTT}(\cdot, c, d) \) are much smaller.

Definition 4. Given \( n, c, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) let Cub \( (n, c, l) \) be the least integer \( k \) with the following property. For any \( c \)-coloring \( D \) of \( X = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^k \) there exists \( c \)-coloring \( D' \) of \( Y = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^l \) and an injective function \( \pi : Y \to X \) such that,

\[
\forall i \leq k \exists 1 \leq j \leq l \pi_i(y_1, \ldots, y_l) = y_j \lor \exists 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \pi_i(y_1, \ldots, y_l) = j, \tag{2}
\]

\[
\forall y \in Y D(\pi(y)) = D'(y), \tag{3}
\]

\[
\forall i \leq l D'(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}, n-1, y_{n+1}, \ldots, y_l) = D'(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}, n-2, y_{n+1}, \ldots, y_l). \tag{4}
\]

In other words, values \( n-2 \) and \( n-1 \) are not distinguished by induced coloring of \( Y \).
Lemma 1. Let \( n, c, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), then \( \text{Cub}(n,c,l) \leq l \cdot f \left( l, e^{11} \right) \), where

\[
f(l,k) = \begin{cases} k^{f(l-1,k)2^{l-2}} + 1 & \text{for } l > 1 \\ k + 1 & \text{for } l = 1 \end{cases}.
\]

Proof. This is straightforward conclusion from chapter 1 of [3]. This fact was used to show, that \( \text{HJ}(n+1,c,d) \leq \text{Cub}(n+1,c,\text{HJ}(n,c,d)) \).

Lemma 2. Let \( k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( f \) be defined as above, then \( 2l < k \Rightarrow f(l,k) < k \uparrow\uparrow 2l \).

Proof. For \( l = 1 \) it is obviously true as \( k + 1 < k^k = k \uparrow\uparrow 2 \) for \( k > 2l = 2 \). By induction it is true for any \( l \) because

\[
f(l,k) < k(k\uparrow\uparrow 2l-2)^{2^{l-2}} < k(k\uparrow\uparrow 2l-2)^{k} < k \uparrow\uparrow 2l.
\]

Lemma 3. Let \( c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), then \( \text{TTT}(2,c,d) \leq \xi \cdot 3^d \).

Proof. First, we notice \( \text{TTT}(2,c,1) = [\log_2(c+1)] \leq c \) as a line connecting any two points in \( \{0,1\}^k \) has property \([1]\), so we just need to have more points than colors. Define \( r_1 = \lceil \log_2(c+1) \rceil, r_i = \left[ \log_2(c \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \binom{r_{j-1}}{2}) + 1 \right] \), then by pigeonhole principle \( \text{TTT}(2,c,d) \leq \sum_{j \leq d} r_j \). Because \( r_i \leq 3 \cdot r_{i-1} \) then \( \sum_{j \leq d} r_j \leq r_1 \cdot 3^{d-1} \).

Corollary 1. By carefully repeating previous proof we can get even better estimate for certain values, in particular for \( c = 2 \) we have \( (r_i) = (2,4,11,32,95,284,...) \) so \( \text{TTT}(2,2,6) \leq 428 \).

2 Main Result

Lemma 4. For \( n \geq 2 \)

\[
\text{TTT}(n+2,c,d) \leq \text{Cub}(n+2,c,\text{Cub}(n+1,c,\text{TTT}(n,c,d)))
\]

Proof. We will basically repeat proof of Lemma 1.4 from [3], but with TTT instead of Hales-Jewett function. Define

\[
X = \{0,1,\ldots,n+1\}^{\text{Cub}(n+2,c,\text{Cub}(n+1,c,\text{TTT}(n,c,d)))},
\]

\[
Y = \{0,1,\ldots,n+1\}^{\text{Cub}(n+1,c,\text{TTT}(n,c,d))},
\]

\[
Y' = \{0,1,\ldots,n\}^{\text{Cub}(n+1,c,\text{TTT}(n,c,d))},
\]

\[
Z = \{0,1,\ldots,n\}^{\text{TTT}(n,c,d)},
\]

\[
Z' = \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}^{\text{TTT}(n,c,d)}.
\]

Let \( D \) be any \( c \)-coloring of \( X \), by definition of Cub we have induced \( c \)-coloring \( D' \) of \( Y \) and embedding \( \pi : Y \to X \) such that properties \([2],[3],[4]\) hold (for \( n := n + 2 \)). Again, by definition of Cub we have induced \( c \)-coloring \( D'' \) of \( Z \) and embedding \( \tau : Z \to Y' \) such that properties \([2],[3],[4]\) hold (for \( n := n + 1 \)). By definition of TTT there exists an injective function \( \rho : \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}^d \to Z' \) with property \([1]\) and its image is \( D'' \)-monochromatic. Let \( \sigma : Y' \to Y' \) be defined as \( \sigma(y_1,y_2,\ldots) = (n-y_1,n-y_2,\ldots) \), also let \( \gamma : Y' \to Y, \zeta : Z' \to Z \) be natural embeddings.

Define \( \rho' : \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}^d \to X \) as

\[
\rho'(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_d) = \pi \circ \gamma \circ \sigma \circ \tau \circ \zeta \circ \rho(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_d).
\]
It is easy to check, that \( \rho' \left( \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}^d \right) \subset \{1,2,\ldots,n\}^{\dim X} \) is \( D \)-monochromatic and
\[
\forall 1 \leq i \leq \dim X \, [\exists 1 \leq j \leq \dim X (x_1, \ldots, x_d) = x_j + 1 \lor \exists 1 \leq j \leq \dim X (x_1, \ldots, x_d) = n - x_j \lor \exists 1 \leq j \leq \dim X (x_1, \ldots, x_d) = j].
\]
Now, we define function \( \rho' : \{0,1,\ldots,n,n+1\}^d \to X \) in a following way
\[
\rho''(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \begin{cases} 
    x_j & \text{if } \exists 1 \leq j \leq \dim X (x_1, \ldots, x_d) = x_j + 1 \\
    n + 1 - x_j & \text{if } \exists 1 \leq j \leq \dim X (x_1, \ldots, x_d) = n - x_j, \\
    j & \text{if } \exists 1 \leq j \leq \dim X (x_1, \ldots, x_d) = j.
\end{cases}
\]
This function satisfies \( \rho'(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \rho''(x_1 + 1, \ldots, x_d + 1) \), so \( \rho'(\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}^d) = \rho''(\{1,2,\ldots,n\}^d) \), and image of \( \rho'' \) is a Tic-Tac-Toe subspace. Because \( \pi \) and \( \tau \) have property \([4]\) this image is also \( D \)-monochromatic, so \( TTT(n + 2,c,d) \leq \dim X \).

\begin{lemma}
Cub(3, 2, TTT(2, 2, 6)) < 2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137.
\end{lemma}
\begin{proof}
\[
\text{Cub}(3,2, TTT(2,2,6)) \leq TTT(2,2,6) \cdot f \left(TTT(2,2,6), 2^{3TTT(2,2,6)} \right)
\leq 428 \cdot f \left(428, 2^{428} \right) \leq 428 \cdot \left(2^{428} \uparrow\uparrow 856 \right)
< 2^9 \cdot ((2 \uparrow\uparrow 6) \uparrow\uparrow 856) < 2 \uparrow\uparrow (6 \cdot 856 + 1) = 2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137.
\]
\end{proof}

\begin{lemma}
TTT(4, 2, 6) + 1 < 2 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow (2 \uparrow\uparrow 5138).
\end{lemma}
\begin{proof}
\[
TTT(4,2,6) + 1 \leq 1 + \text{Cub}(4,2,\text{Cub}(3,2, TTT(2,2,6)))
\leq 1 + \text{Cub}(4,2,2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137)
\leq 1 + (2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137) \cdot f \left(2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137, 2^{4 \uparrow\uparrow 5137} \right)
\leq 1 + (2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137) \cdot \left(2^{4 \uparrow\uparrow 5137} \uparrow\uparrow (2 \cdot 2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137) \right)
< (2 \uparrow\uparrow 5138) \cdot ((2 \uparrow\uparrow 5140) \uparrow\uparrow (2 \cdot 2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137))
< (2 \uparrow\uparrow 5138) \cdot (2 \uparrow\uparrow (5140 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137))
< 2 \uparrow\uparrow (10280 \cdot 2 \uparrow\uparrow 5137 + 1)
< 2 \uparrow\uparrow (2 \uparrow\uparrow 5138).
\]
\end{proof}

\begin{theorem}
Graham(2) < 2 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 5.
\end{theorem}
\begin{proof}
From \([2]\) we know, that Graham(2) \( \leq TTT(4,2,6) + 1 \), so Graham(2) < 2 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow (2 \uparrow\uparrow 5138) < 2 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 5.
\end{proof}
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