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Abstract. In this paper we study the spectra of regular hypergraphs following the definitions from [15]. Our main result is an analog of Alon's conjecture [1] for the spectral gap of the random regular hypergraphs. We then relate the second eigenvalues to both its expansion property and the mixing rate of the non-backtracking random walk on regular hypergraphs. We also prove spectral gap for the non-backtracking operator associated to a random regular hypergraph introduced in [3]. Finally we prove the convergence of the empirical spectral distribution (ESD) for random regular hypergraphs in different regimes. Under certain conditions, we can show a local law for the ESD.

1. Introduction

Since their introduction in the early 1970’s (see for example Berge’s book [5]), hypergraphs have steadily risen to prominence, both from a theoretical perspective and through their potential for applications. Of the most recent fields to recognize their importance we mention machine learning, where they have been used to model data [41], including recommender systems [34], pattern recognition [25] and bioinformatics [35].

As with graphs, one main feature for study is graph expansion; e.g. studies of regular graphs [1, 30, 16, 2, 6], where all vertices have the same degree \(d\), and quasi-regular graphs (e.g., bipartite biregular [8, 9], where the graphs are bipartite and the two classes are regular with degrees \(d_1\), respectively, \(d_2\); or preference models and \(k\)-frames [37], which generalizes these notions). The key property for graph expansion is fast random walk mixing. There are three main perspectives on examining this property: vertex, edge, and spectral expansion [10]; the latter of these, the spectral gap, is the most desirable feature as it controls the others (the bounds on vertex and edge expansion generally involve the second eigenvalue of the Laplacian of the graph).

For general, connected, simple graphs (possibly with loops), the Laplacian is a scaled and shifted version of the adjacency matrix \(A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\), with \(A_{ij} = \delta_{i\sim j}\), that is \(A_{ij} = 1\) iff \(i\) and \(j\) are connected by an edge. The Laplacian is defined by \(L = I - D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}\), where \(D\) is the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees.

As mentioned before, spectral expansion of a graph involves the spectral gap of its Laplacian matrix; however, in the case of regular or bipartite biregular graphs, looking at the adjacency matrix or at the Laplacian is equivalent (in the case of the regular ones, \(D\) is a multiple of the identity, and in the case of the bipartite biregular ones, the block structure of the matrix ensures that \(D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_1d_2}}A\)). For regular and bipartite biregular graphs, the largest (Perron-Frobenius) eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix is fixed (it is \(d\) for \(d\)-regular graphs and \(\sqrt{d_1d_2}\) for bipartite biregular ones). So for these special cases, the study of the second largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix is sufficient. As we show here, this will also be the case for \((d,k)\)-regular hypergraphs.

The study of the spectral gap in \(d\)-regular graphs with fixed \(d\) had a first breakthrough in the Alon-Boppana bound [1], which states that the second largest eigenvalue \(\lambda := \max\{\lambda_2,|\lambda_n|\}\).
satisfies
\[ \lambda \geq 2\sqrt{d-1} - o(1). \]

Later, Friedman [16] proved Alon’s conjecture [1] that almost all \( d \)-regular graphs have
\[ \lambda \leq 2\sqrt{d-1} + \epsilon \]
for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) with high probability, as the number of vertices goes to infinity. Recently, Bordenave [6] gave a new proof that
\[ \lambda_2 \leq 2\sqrt{d-1} + \epsilon_n \]
for a sequence \( \epsilon_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to 0 \) based on the non-backtracking (Hashimoto) operator. Following the same idea in [6], Coste proved the spectral gap for \( d \)-regular digraphs [13] and Brito et al. [9] proved an analog of Alon’s spectral gap conjecture for random bipartite biregular graphs; for bipartite biregular graphs, the equivalent of the Alon-Boppana bound had first been shown by Lin and Solé [24].

It is thus fair to say that both graph expansion and the spectral gap in regular graphs and quasi-regular graphs are now very well understood; by contrast, despite the natural applications and extension possibilities, hypergraph expansion is a much less understood area. The difficulty here is that it is not immediately clear which operator or structure to associate to the hypergraph. There are three main takes on this: the Feng-Li approach [15], which defined an adjacency matrix, the Friedman-Wigderson tensor approach [17], and the Lu-Peng approach [28, 29], which defined a sequence of Laplacian matrices through higher order random walks.

Thus far, the best results on hypergraph expansion using the Friedman-Wigderson approach have included hyperedge expansion depending on the spectral norm of the associated tensor in the original paper [17], the relation between the spectral gap and quasirandom properties discussed in Lenz and Mubayi [21, 22] and an inverse expander lemma in Cohen et al. [11]; very recently, Li and Mohar [23] proved a generalization of the Alon-Boppana bound to \((d,k)\)-regular hypergraphs for their adjacency tensors. On the other side, using the Feng-Li adjacency matrix approach, the original paper [15] proved the Alon-Boppana lower bound for the adjacency matrix of regular hypergraphs, and then Li and Solé [24] defined a \((d,k)\)-regular hypergraph to be \textit{Ramanujan} if any eigenvalue \( \lambda \neq d(k-1) \) satisfies
\begin{equation}
|\lambda - k + 2| \leq 2\sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)}.
\end{equation}

Note that when \( k = 2 \) (when the hypergraphs are actual graphs), this definition coincides with the definition for Ramanujan graphs. The adjacency matrices and Laplacian matrices of general uniform hypergraphs were analyzed in [4], where the relation between eigenvalues and diameters, random walks, Ricci curvature of the hypergraphs were studied.

In this paper we fill in the gaps in the literature by showing a spectral gap for the adjacency matrix of a hypergraph, following the Feng-Li definition; we connect it to the mixing rate of the hypergraph random walk considered in [41] and subsequently studied in [12, 19], and we also show that this gap governs hyperedge and vertex expansion of the hypergraph, thus completing the parallel with graph results. Specifically, for \((d,k)\)-regular hypergraphs and their adjacency matrices (the precise definitions are given in the next section), we prove the following:

- Hyperedge and vertex expansion are controlled by the second eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix.
- The mixing rate of the random walk is controlled by the second eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix.
- The uniformly random \((d,k)\)-regular hypergraph model has spectral gap. This is by far the most exciting result, and it turns out to be a simple consequence of the spectral gap of uniformly random bipartite biregular graphs [9]. Our result shows that, asymptotically,
almost all \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraphs are almost Ramanujan in the sense of Li-Solé (see \((1.1)\)).

Other results include the spectral gap and description for the spectrum of the non-backtracking operator of the hypergraph, the limiting empirical distribution for the spectrum of the adjacency matrix of the uniformly random \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraph in different regimes (which was studied by Feng and Li in \([15]\) for deterministic sequences of hypergraphs with few cycles and fixed \(d, k\)), and a sort of local law of this empirical spectral distribution.

Our main methodology is to translate the results from bipartite biregular graphs by using the bijection between the spectra (Lemma 4.2). While this bijection has been known for a long time, the results on bipartite biregular graphs \([14, 9]\) (especially the spectral gap) are quite recent.

Our spectral gap results are linked to the random walk and offer better control over the mixing rate. Together with the Alon-Boppana result established by Feng-Li \([15]\), they give complete control over the behavior of the random walk and hyperedge/vertex expansion. In our view, this establishes the adjacency matrix perspective of Feng and Li as ultimately more useful not just theoretically, but possibly computationally as well, since computing second eigenvalues of matrices is achievable in polynomial time, whereas the complexity of computing spectral norms of tensors is NP-hard \([20]\).

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we provide definitions and properties of hypergraphs that we use in the paper. In Section 3 we show that several expansion properties of \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraphs are related to the second eigenvalues of their adjacency matrices. In Section 4 we prove the analog of Friedman’s second eigenvalue theorem for uniformly random \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraphs. The spectra of the non-backtracking operator for the hypergraph is analyzed in Section 5. Finally, we study the empirical spectral distributions of uniformly random \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraphs in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (hypergraph). A hypergraph \(H\) consists of a set \(V\) of vertices and a set \(E\) of hyperedges such that each hyperedge is a nonempty set of \(V\). A hypergraph \(H\) is \(k\)-uniform for an integer \(k \geq 2\) if every hyperedge \(e \in E\) contains exactly \(k\) vertices. The degree of \(i\), denoted \(\operatorname{deg}(i)\), is the number of all hyperedges incident to \(i\). A hypergraph is \(d\)-regular if all of its vertices have degree \(d\). A hypergraph is \((d, k)\)-regular if it is both \(d\)-regular and \(k\)-uniform. A vertex \(i\) is incident to a hyperedge \(e\) if and only if \(v\) is an element of \(e\). We can define the incidence matrix \(X\) of a hypergraph to be a \(|V| \times |E|\) matrix indexed by elements in \(V\) and \(E\) such that \(X_{i,e} = 1\) if \(i \in e\) and 0 otherwise. Moreover, if we regard \(X\) as the adjacency matrix of a graph, it defines a bipartite graph \(G\) with two vertex sets being \(V\) and \(E\). We call \(G\) the bipartite graph associated to \(H\).

Definition 2.2 (walks and cycles). A walk of length \(l\) on a hypergraph \(H\) is a sequence \((i_0, e_1, i_1, \ldots, e_l, i_l)\) such that \(i_{j-1} \neq i_j\) and \(\{i_{j-1}, i_j\} \subseteq e_j\) for all \(1 \leq j \leq l\). A walk is closed if \(i_0 = i_l\). A cycle of length \(l\) in a hypergraph \(H\) is a closed walk \((v_0, e_1, \ldots, v_{l-1}, e_l, v_l)\) such that

- \(|\{e_1, \ldots, e_l\}| = l\) (all edges are distinct).
- \(|\{v_0, \ldots, v_{l-1}\}| = l\), \(v_{l+1} = v_0\) (all vertices are distinct subject to \(v_{l+1} = v_0\)).

In the associated bipartite graph \(G\), a cycle of length \(l\) in \(H\) corresponds to a cycle of length \(2l\). We say \(H\) is connected if for any \(i, j \in V\), there is a walk between \(i, j\). It’s easy to see \(H\) is connected if and only if the corresponding bipartite graph \(G\) is connected.
Definition 2.3 (adjacency matrix). For a hypergraph $H$ with $n$ vertices, we associate a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix $A$ called the adjacency matrix of $H$. For $i \neq j$, $A_{ij}$ is the number of hyperedges containing both $i$ and $j$ and $A_{ii} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

If $H$ is 2-uniform, this the adjacency matrix of an ordinary graph. The largest eigenvalue of $A$ for $(d, k)$-regular hypergraphs is $d(k - 1)$ with eigenvector $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, \ldots, 1)$.

3. Expansion and Mixing Properties of Regular Hypergraphs

In this section we relate the expansion property of a regular hypergraph to its second eigenvalue. We prove results on expander mixing and vertex expansion and compute the mixing rate of simple random walks and non-backtracking random walks. These results follow easily from the same methodology used in Chung’s book [10].

Let $H = (V, E)$ be a $(d, k)$-regular hypergraph, for any subsets $V_1, V_2 \subset V$, define

$$e(V_1, V_2) := |\{(i, j, e) : i \in V_1, j \in V_2, \{i, j\} \in e \subset E\}|$$

which counts the number of hyperedges between vertex set $V_1, V_2$ with multiplicity. For each hyperedge $e$, the multiplicity is given by $|e \cap V_1| \cdot |e \cap V_2|$. We first provide an edge mixing result whose equivalence for regular graphs is given in [10].

Theorem 3.1 (expander mixing). Let $H = (V, E)$ be a $(d, k)$-regular hypergraph with adjacency matrix $A$. Let $\lambda = \max\{\lambda_2(A), |\lambda_n(A)|\}$. The following holds: for any subsets $V_1, V_2 \subset V$,

$$e(V_1, V_2) - \frac{d(k - 1)}{n} |V_1| \cdot |V_2| \leq \lambda \sqrt{|V_1| \cdot |V_2| \left(1 - \frac{|V_1|}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{|V_2|}{n}\right)}.$$

Remark 3.2. The above result is qualitatively different from the expander mixing lemma for $k$-uniform regular graphs studied in [17, 11]. Their result considers the number of hyperedges between any $k$ subsets of $V$ and the parameter $\lambda$ there is the spectral norm of a tensor associated to the hypergraph.

Proof. Let $1_{V_i}$ be the indicator vector of the set $V_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be the unit eigenvector associated to $\lambda_1, \ldots \lambda_n$ of $A$. We have the following decomposition of $1_{V_1}, 1_{V_2}$:

$$1_{V_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i v_i, \quad 1_{V_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i v_i$$

for some numbers $\alpha_i, \beta_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Recall that $\lambda_1 = d(k - 1)$ and $v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, \ldots, 1)^\top$. We have

$$\alpha_1 = \langle 1_{V_1}, v_1 \rangle = \frac{|V_1|}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \beta_1 = \langle 1_{V_2}, v_1 \rangle = \frac{|V_2|}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Now from the definition of $e(V_1, V_2)$,

$$e(V_1, V_2) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} 1_{V_1}(i) 1_{V_2}(j) A_{ij} = \langle 1_{V_1}, A 1_{V_2} \rangle$$

$$= \lambda_1 \alpha_1 \beta_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \lambda_i \alpha_i \beta_i = \frac{d(k - 1)}{n} |V_1| \cdot |V_2| + \sum_{i \geq 2} \lambda_i \alpha_i \beta_i.$$

Therefore by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left|e(V_1, V_2) - \frac{d(k - 1)}{n} |V_1| \cdot |V_2| \right| \leq \lambda \sum_{i \geq 2} |\alpha_i \beta_i| \leq \lambda \sqrt{\sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i \geq 2} \beta_i^2}.$$
Note that
\[
\sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i^2 = \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i^2 - \frac{|V_1|^2}{n} = |V_1| \left(1 - \frac{|V_1|}{n}\right),
\]
and similarly
\[
\sum_{i \geq 2} \beta_i^2 = |V_2| \left(1 - \frac{|V_2|}{n}\right).
\]
this implies
\[
\left|e(V_1, V_2) - \frac{d(k-1)}{n}|V_1| \cdot |V_2|\right| \leq \lambda \sum_{i \geq 2} |\alpha_i| |\beta_i| \leq \lambda \sqrt{|V_1| \cdot |V_2| \left(1 - \frac{|V_1|}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{|V_2|}{n}\right)}.
\]

For any subset \(S \subset V\), we define its neighborhood set to be
\[
N(S) := \{i : \text{there exists } j \in S \text{ such that } \{i, j\} \subset e \text{ for some } e \in E\}.
\]
We have the following result on vertex expansion of regular hypergraphs.

**Theorem 3.3** (vertex expansion). Let \(H = (V, E)\) be a \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraph with adjacency matrix \(A\). Let \(\lambda = \max\{\lambda_2(A), |\lambda_n(A)|\}\). For any subset \(S \subset V\), we have that
\[
\frac{|N(S)|}{|S|} \geq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{d!(k-1)^2}\right) \frac{n}{n - |S|}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(1_S\) be the indicator vector of the set \(S\) with the decomposition \(1_S = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i\) where \(\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\) are constants and \(v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\) are the unit eigenvectors of \(A\) associated to \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\), respectively. Then we know \(\alpha_1 = \frac{|S|}{\sqrt{n}}\) and
\[
\|A_1S\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \alpha_i^2 \leq d^2(k-1)^2 \frac{|S|^2}{n^2} + \lambda^2 \left(\sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i^2\right)
= d^2(k-1)^2 \frac{|S|^2}{n^2} + \lambda^2 \left(|S| - \frac{|S|^2}{n^2}\right) = (d^2(k-1)^2 - \lambda^2) \frac{|S|^2}{n^2} + \lambda^2 |S|.
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\|A_1S\|^2 = \langle A_1S, A_1S \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in S} A_{ik}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n e(\{i\}, S)^2 = \sum_{i \in N(S)} e(\{i\}, S)^2,
\]
and by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,
\[
\sum_{i \in N(S)} e(\{i\}, S)^2 \geq \frac{\left(\sum_{i \in N(S)} e(S, \{i\})\right)^2}{|N(S)|}.
\]
The quantity
\[
\sum_{i \in N(S)} e(S, \{i\}) = e(S, N(S)) = |\{(i, j, e) : i \in S, j \in N(S), \{i, j\} \subset e \in E\}|
\]
counts the number of hyperedges between \(S\) and \(N(S)\) with multiplicity. We then have
\[
e(S, N(S)) = |S|(k-1)d.
\]
Putting everything together we have
\[
\frac{|N(S)|}{|S|} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{d^2(k-1)^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{|S|}{n}\right)}.
\]

For the rest of this section we compute the mixing rates of random walks on hypergraphs. The simple random walk on a general hypergraph was first defined in Zhou et al. [41], where the authors gave a random walk explanation of the spectral methods for clustering and segmentation on hypergraphs, which generalized the result in Meila and Shi [32] for graphs. A quantum version of random walks on regular hypergraphs was recently studied by Liu et al. [26].

The simple random walk on \(k\)-uniform hypergraphs has the following transition rule. Start at a vertex \(v_0\). If at the \(t\)-th step we are at vertex \(v_t\), we first choose a hyperedge \(e\) uniformly over all hyperedges incident with \(v_t\), and then choose a vertex \(v_{t+1} \in e, v_{t+1} \neq v_t\) uniformly at random. The sequence of random vertices \((v_t, t \geq 0)\) is a Markov chain. It generalizes the simple random walk on graphs.

We denote by \(P = (P_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}\) the transition matrix for the Markov chain and let \(D = D_{ii} = \deg(i), 1 \leq i \leq n\). The following lemma follows from the definition of the simple random walk on hypergraphs.

**Lemma 3.4.** For \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraphs, with adjacency matrix \(A\), the transition matrix satisfies \(P = \frac{1}{d(k-1)}A\).

It’s known (see for example [27]) that for any graph (or multigraph) \(G\), if \(G\) is connected and non-bipartite, then it has a unique stationary distribution. For \(d\)-regular graphs, being connected and non-bipartite is equivalent to requiring
\[
\lambda = \max\{\lambda_2(A), |\lambda_n(A)|\} < d,
\]
see for example [2]. The simple random walk on \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraphs \(H = (V, E)\) can also be seen as a simple random walk on a multigraph \(G_H\) on \(V\), where the number of edges between \(i, j\) in \(G_H\) is \(A_{ij}\). The adjacency matrix of \(G_H\) is the same as the adjacency matrix of \(H\). Therefore the simple random walk on \(H\) converges to a unique stationary distribution if and only if the multigraph \(G_H\) is connected and non-bipartite. These two conditions can be satisfied as along as we have the following condition on the second eigenvalue.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \(H\) be a \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraph with adjacency matrix \(A\). The simple random walk on \(H\) converges to a stationary distribution if
\[
\lambda = \max\{\lambda_2(A), |\lambda_n(A)|\} < d(k-1).
\]

**Proof.** If the corresponding multigraph \(G_H\) is bipartite, \(\lambda_n = -\lambda_1 = -d(k-1)\), a contradiction. If \(G_H\) is not connected, then it has at least two connected components, the largest eigenvalue will have multiplicity \(\geq 2\), which implies \(\lambda = d(k-1)\), a contradiction. Therefore the condition \(\lambda < d(k-1)\) implies that \(G_H\) is non-bipartite and connected. From the general theory of Markov chain on graphs and multigraphs, the simple random walk on \(G_H\) converges to a stationary distribution. Therefore the simple random walk on \(H\) converges to a stationary distribution. \(\square\)

For any \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraph \(H\) with \(\lambda < d(k-1)\), a simple calculation shows that the stationary distribution is \(\pi(i) = \frac{1}{n}\) for all \(i \in V\). The mixing rate of the simple random walk on hypergraphs, which measures how fast the Markov chain converges to the stationary distribution, is defined by
\[
\rho(H) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{i,j \in V} \left(\left|P^t_{ij} - \pi(j)\right|/t\right)^{1/t},
\]
where $\pi$ is the unique stationary distribution on $H$. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $A$ and we define the second eigenvalue of $A$ by $\lambda := \max\{\lambda_2, |\lambda_n|\}$.

The non-backtracking walk on hypergraphs is defined in [33] as a generalization of non-backtracking walk on graphs. Recall a walk of length $l$ in a hypergraph is a sequence

$$w = (v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \ldots, v_{k-1}, e_l, v_l)$$

such that $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$ and $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in e_{i+1}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq l - 1$. We say $w$ is a non-backtracking walk if $e_i \neq e_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$. Define a non-backtracking random walk of length $l$ on $H$ from some given vertex $v_0 \in V$, to be a uniformly chosen member of the set of non-backtracking walks of length $l$ starting at $v_0$.

Let

$$(3.1) \quad \vec{E}(H) = \{(i, e) : i \in V(H), e \in E(H), i \in e\}$$

be the set of oriented hyperedges of a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$. Similar to case for regular graphs in [2], we can also consider the non-backtracking random walk on $H$ starting from an initial vertex $v_0$ as a Markov chain $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ with a state space $\vec{E}(H)$ in the following way. The distribution of the initial state is given by

$$P(X_0 = (v_0, e)) = \frac{1_{v_0 \in e}}{\deg(v_0)},$$

for any $e \in E(H)$. The transition probability is given by

$$P(X_{t+1} = (u, f) \mid X_t = (v, e)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\deg(u) - 1} & \text{if } u \neq v \in V(H), f \neq e \in E(H), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Notice that if $H$ is a $(d, k)$-regular hypergraph with $(d, k) = (2, 2)$, then $H$ is a 2-regular graph, which is a disjoint union of cycles. The non-backtracking random walk on $H$ is periodic and does not converge to a stationary distribution. Given a $(d, k)$-regular hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ with $(d, k) \neq (2, 2)$, let $\tilde{P}_{i,j}^{(l)}$ be the transition probability that a non-backtracking random walk of length $l$ on $H$ starts at $i$ and ends at $j$. Define

$$\tilde{\rho}(H) := \limsup_{l \to \infty} \max_{i,j \in V} \left| \tilde{P}_{i,j}^{(l)} - \frac{1}{n} \right|^{1/l}$$

to be the mixing rate of the non-backtracking random walk. As a generalization of the result in [2], we connect the second eigenvalue of regular hypergraphs to the mixing rate of non-backtracking random walk in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $H$ be a $(d, k)$-regular hypergraph with $d \geq 2, k \geq 2$ whose adjacency matrix has second largest eigenvalue $\lambda := \max\{\lambda_2, |\lambda_n|\} < d(k - 1)$, then

1. The mixing rate of the simple random walk on $H$ is $\rho(H) = \frac{\lambda}{(k-1)^2}$.
2. Assume further that $(d, k) \neq (2, 2)$. Define a function $\psi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\psi(x) := \begin{cases} x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} & \text{if } x \geq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then a non-backtracking random walk on $H$ converges to the uniform distribution, and its mixing rate $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\rho}(H) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)}} \psi \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{(k-1)(d-1)}} \right).$$
Proof. (1) We first consider simple random walks. For any \( l \geq 1 \), \( P^{(l)} = \frac{1}{(k-1)d^l}A^l \) and the vector \( v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, \ldots, 1) \) is an eigenvector of \( P^{(l)} \) corresponding to the unique largest eigenvalue 1. Let

\[
\mu(l) = \max\{|\lambda_2(P^{(l)})|, |\lambda_n(P^{(l)})|\},
\]

we have

\[
\max_{ij} |P^{(l)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{n}| = \max_{ij} |(P^{(l)} - v_1^\top v_1) e_i, e_j| \leq \max_{|u|=|v|=1} |(P^{(l)} - v_1^\top v_1) u, v| = \mu(l).
\]

Note that \( \mu(l) = \frac{\lambda^l}{(k-1)d^l} \), and then \( \rho(H) \leq \frac{\lambda}{(k-1)d} \).

On the other hand, let \( J \) be a \( n \times n \) matrix whose entries are all 1, we have

\[
\max_{ij} |P^{(l)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{n}| \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ij} |(P^{(l)})_{ij} - \frac{1}{n}|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \| P^l - \frac{1}{n} J \|_F = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i^2(P^{(l)})} \geq \frac{\mu(l)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\lambda^l}{(k-1)d^l},
\]

which implies \( \rho(H) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(k-1)d} \). This completes the proof of part (1) of Theorem 3.6.

For part (2), we follow the steps in [2]. Recall that the Chebyshev polynomials satisfy the following recurrence relation:

\[
U_{k+1}(x) = 2xU_k(x) - U_{k-1}(x), \quad \forall k \geq 0,
\]

and we define \( U_{-1}(x) = 0, U_0(x) = 1 \). Let \( A \) be the adjacency matrix of \( H \) and define the matrix \( A^{(l)} \) such that \( A^{(l)}_{ij} \) is the number of non-backtracking walks of length \( l \) from \( i \) to \( j \) for all \( i, j \). By definition, the matrices \( A^{(l)} \) satisfy the following recurrence:

\[
A^{(1)} = A, A^{(2)} = A^2 - (k-1)dI
\]

\[
A^{(l+1)} = AA^{(l)} - (k-1)(d-1)A^{(l-1)} \text{ for } l \geq 2,
\]

where \( (k-1)dI \) in the first equation eliminates the diagonal of \( A^2 \) to avoid backtracking and \( (k-1)(d-1)A^{(l-1)} \) in the second equation of (3.2) eliminates the walk which backtracks in the \( (l+1) \)-st step. We claim that

\[
A^{(l)} = \sqrt{(k-1)d(d-1)^{l-1}}Q_l \left( \frac{A}{2\sqrt{(k-1)(d-1)}} \right),
\]

where

\[
Q_l(x) = \sqrt{\frac{d-1}{d}}U_l(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(d-1)}}U_{l-2}(x).
\]

for all \( l \geq 1 \). To see this, let

\[
f(A, l) := \sqrt{(k-1)d(d-1)^{l-1}}Q_l \left( \frac{A}{2\sqrt{(k-1)(d-1)}} \right).
\]

Since \( U_1(x) = 2x, U_2(x) = 4x^2 - 1 \), we have

\[
Q_1(x) = 2\sqrt{\frac{d-1}{d}}x, \quad Q_2(x) = \sqrt{\frac{d-1}{d}}(4x^2 - 1) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(d-1)}}.
\]
We can check that
\[
 f(A, 1) = \sqrt{(k - 1)d} \cdot Q_1 \left( \frac{A}{2\sqrt{(k - 1)(d - 1)}} \right) = A = A^{(1)},
\]
\[
 f(A, 2) = \sqrt{(k - 1)^2d(d - 1)} \cdot Q_2 \left( \frac{A}{2\sqrt{(k - 1)(d - 1)}} \right) = A^2 - (k - 1)dI = A^{(2)}.
\]
Therefore (3.3) holds for \( l = 1, 2 \). Since \( Q_l(x) \) is a linear combination of \( U_{l-2}, U_l \), it satisfies the recurrence
\[
 Q_{k+1}(x) = 2xQ_k(x) - Q_{k-1}(x).
\]
Therefore by induction we have \( f(A, l) = A^{(l)} \) for all \( l \geq 1 \).

Recall \( \tilde{P}^{(l)}_{ij} \) is the probability that a non-backtracking random walk of length \( l \) on \( H \) starts from \( i \) and ends in \( j \). The number of all possible non-backtracking walks of length \( l \) starting from \( i \) is
\[
 d(k - 1)((k - 1)(d - 1))^{l-1}.
\]
This is because for the first step we have \( d(k - 1) \) many choices for hyperedges and vertices, and for the remaining \((l - 1)\) steps we have \((d(k - 1)(d - 1))^{l-1} \) many choices in total. Normalizing \( A^{(l)} \) yields
\[
 \tilde{P}^{(l)}_{ij} = \frac{A^{(l)}_{ij}}{(k - 1)d((k - 1)(d - 1))^{l-1}} = \frac{A^{(l)}_{ij}}{d(d - 1)^{l-1}(k - 1)^l}.
\]
Let \( \tilde{\mu}_1(l) = 1, \tilde{\mu}_2(l) \geq \cdots \geq \tilde{\mu}_n(l) \) be the eigenvalues of \( \tilde{P}^{(l)} \), and let
\[
 \tilde{\mu}(l) = \max\{ |\mu_2(l)|, |\mu_n(l)| \}.
\]
We obtain that \( \tilde{P}^{(l)} \) is precisely the transition matrix of a non-backtracking random walk of length \( l \). Same as Claim 2.2 in [2], we have
\[
 \frac{\tilde{\mu}(l)}{n} \leq \max_{ij} \left| \tilde{P}^{(l)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{n} \right| \leq \tilde{\mu}(l).
\]
We sketch the proof of (3.5) here. Since \( \tilde{P}^{(l)} \) is doubly stochastic, the vector \( v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, \ldots, 1) \) is an eigenvector of \( \tilde{P}^{(l)} \) corresponding to the largest eigenvalue 1. We have
\[
 \max_{ij} \left| \tilde{P}^{(l)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{n} \right| = \max_{ij} \left| (\tilde{P}^{(l)} - v_1^T v_1) e_i, e_j \right| \leq \max_{|u| = |v| = 1} \left| (\tilde{P}^{(l)} - v_1^T v_1) u, v \right| = \tilde{\mu}(l).
\]
On the other hand, let \( J \) be as above, we have
\[
 \max_{ij} \left| \tilde{P}^{(l)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{n} \right| \geq \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{ij} \left| \tilde{P}^{(l)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{n} \right|^2} = \frac{1}{n} \| \tilde{P}^{(l)} - \frac{1}{n} J \|_F = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i^2(\tilde{P}^{(l)})} \geq \frac{\tilde{\mu}(l)}{n}.
\]
Therefore we have
\[
 \tilde{\rho}(H) = \lim_{l \to \infty} \max_{i,j \in V} \left| \tilde{P}^{(l)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{n} \right|^{1/l} = \lim_{l \to \infty} \tilde{\mu}(l)^{1/l}.
\]
By (3.3) and (3.4), for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \),
\[
 \tilde{\mu}_i(l) = \frac{\lambda_i(A^{(l)})}{d(d - 1)^{l-1}(k - 1)^l} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(d - 1)^{l-1}(k - 1)^l}} Q_l \left( \frac{\lambda_i(A)}{2\sqrt{(k - 1)(d - 1)}} \right).
\]
From Lemma 2.3. in [2], we have
\[
\limsup_{l \to \infty} |Q_l(x)|^{1/l} = \psi(|x|) = \begin{cases} 
1 & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
|x| + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1].
\end{cases}
\]
Therefore
\[
\tilde{\rho}(H) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)}} \psi\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{(k-1)(d-1)}}\right).
\]
This completes the proof. \(\square\)

4. Spectral Gap of Random Regular Hypergraphs

Let \(\mathcal{G}(n, m, d_1, d_2)\) be the uniform distribution on simple bipartite biregular random graphs with vertex set \(V = V_1 \cup V_2\) where \(|V_1| = n, |V_2| = m\), where every vertex in \(V_i\) has degree \(d_i\) for \(i = 1, 2\). Here we must have \(nd_1 = md_2 = |E|\). Without loss of generality we assume \(n \leq m\). Similarly, let \(\mathcal{H}(n, d, k)\) be the uniform distribution on simple \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraphs with \(n\) vertices.

It’s well known (see for example [15]) that there exists a bijection between regular hypergraphs and bipartite biregular graphs. For completeness, we include the proof below. See Figure 1 as an example of the bijection.

Remark 4.1. From this section on, we always assume \(d \geq k\) for simplicity, since a \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraph, its dual hypergraph is \((k, d)\)-regular and they have the same associated bipartite biregular graph by swapping the vertex sets \(V_1\) and \(V_2\).

![Figure 1. a (2, 3)-regular hypergraph and its associated bipartite biregular graph](image)

**Lemma 4.2.** There is a bijection between the set of all \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraphs on \(n\) vertices and the set of all \((n, \frac{nd}{k}, d, k)\)-bipartite biregular graphs.

**Proof.** Let \(G\) be a \((n, \frac{nd}{k}, d, k)\)-bipartite biregular graph, and \(A_G\) be its adjacency matrix, we then have
\[
A_G = \begin{pmatrix} 
0 & X \\
X^\top & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where \(X\) is a \(n \times \frac{nd}{k}\) matrix with entries \(X_{ij} = 1\) if and only there is an edge between \(i \in V_1, j \in V_2\). We can then construct a regular hypergraph \(H = (V(H), E(H))\) from \(X\) with \(V(H) = V_1\). There exists an edge \(e_j = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \in E(H)\) if and only if \(j \in V_2\) and \(i_1, \ldots, i_k \in V_1\) are connected to \(j\) in \(G\). It’s easy to check that \(H\) is a \((d, k)\)-regular hypergraph on \(n\) vertices. Conversely, for any
Let $A$ be the adjacency matrix of a random $(d,k)$-regular graph sampled from $\mathcal{H}(n,d,k)$ with $d \geq k$, then any eigenvalue $\lambda(A) \neq d(k-1)$ satisfies

$$|\lambda(A) - k + 2| \leq 2\sqrt{(k-1)(d-1)} + \epsilon_n,$$

asymptotically almost surely with $\epsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

**Remark 4.4.** For $k = 2$, Theorem 4.3 reduces to Alon’s second eigenvalue conjecture proved in [16, 6]. In terms of Ramanujan hypergraphs defined in (1.1), the theorem implies almost every $(d,k)$-regular hypergraph is almost Ramanujan.

We start with the following lemma connecting the adjacency matrix of a regular hypergraph and its associated bipartite biregular graph.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $H$ be a $(d,k)$-regular hypergraph, and let $G$ be the corresponding bipartite biregular graph associated to $H$. Let $A_H$ be the adjacency matrix of $H$, and $A_G$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$ with the form

$$A_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $XX^T = A_H + dI$.

**Proof.** Let $V$ and $E$ be the vertex and hyperedge set of $H$ respectively. For $i \neq j$, we have

$$(XX^T)_{ij} = \sum_{e \in E} X_{ie}X_{je} = \sum_{e \in E} 1_{\{i,j\} \in e} = (A_H)_{ij}.$$ 

For the diagonal elements, we have

$$(XX^T)_{ii} = \sum_{e \in E} X_{ie}^2 = \deg(i) = d.$$

Therefore $A_H + dI = XX^T$. \hfill \Box

It’s not hard to show that for $d \geq k$, all eigenvalues of $A_G$ from (4.1) occur in pairs $(\lambda, -\lambda)$, where $|\lambda|$ is a singular value of $X$, along with extra $(\frac{dn}{k} - n)$ many zero eigenvalues. The next result for random bipartite biregular graphs is given in Theorem 4 of [9].

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $A_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be the adjacency matrix of a random bipartite biregular graph $G \sim \mathcal{G}(n,m,d_1,d_2)$, with $d_1 \geq d_2$. Then:

(1) Its second eigenvalue $\lambda_2$ satisfies

$$\lambda_2 \leq \sqrt{d_1 - 1} + \sqrt{d_2 - 1} + o(1)$$

asymptotically almost surely as $n \to \infty$.  
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Then its associated bipartite biregular graph has adjacency matrix $A$.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let $A_H$ be the adjacency matrix of a random $(d, k)$-regular graph with $d \geq k$. Then its associated bipartite biregular graph has adjacency matrix $A_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $X$ is a $n \times \frac{n d}{k}$ matrix and $XX^\top = A_H + dI$.

From (4.2), $\lambda_2(A_G) \leq \sqrt{d - 1} + \sqrt{k - 1} + o(1)$, we have

$$\lambda_2(XX^\top) = \lambda_2^2(A_G) \leq d + k - 2 + 2\sqrt{(k - 1)(d - 1)} + o(1),$$

hence

$$\lambda_2(A_H) - k + 2 \leq 2\sqrt{(k - 1)(d - 1)} + o(1).$$

From (4.3), $\lambda_{\min}^+(A_G) \geq \sqrt{d - 1} - \sqrt{k - 1} - o(1)$. For the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_n(A_H)$, we have

$$\lambda_n(A_H) + d = \lambda_n(XX^\top) = \lambda_{\min}^+(A_G)^2 \geq d + k - 2 - 2\sqrt{(d - 1)(k - 1)} - o(1),$$

which implies

$$\lambda_n(A_H) - k + 2 \geq -2\sqrt{(d - 1)(k - 1)} - o(1).$$

Combining (4.4) with (4.5), and note that the largest eigenvalue of $A$ is $d(k - 1)$, we have

$$|\lambda - k + 2| \leq 2\sqrt{(d - 1)(k - 1)} + o(1)$$

for any eigenvalue $\lambda \neq d(k - 1)$ asymptotically almost surely. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3. \hfill \square

5. Spectra of the Non-backtracking Operators

Following the definition in [3], for a hypergraph $H = (V, E)$, its non-backtracking operator $B$ is a square matrix indexed by oriented hyperedges

$$\bar{E} = \{(i, e) : i \in V, e \in E, i \in e\}$$

with entries given by

$$B_{(i, e), (j, f)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in e \setminus \{i\}, f \neq e, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any oriented hyperedges $(i, e), (j, f)$. This is a generalization of the graph non-backtracking operators to hypergraphs. In [3] a spectral algorithm was proposed for solving community detection problems on sparse random hypergraph, and it uses the eigenvectors of the non-backtracking operator defined above. To obtain theoretical guarantees for this spectral algorithm, we need to prove a spectral gap for the non-backtracking operator.

To the best of our knowledge, this operator has not been rigorously analyzed for any random hypergraph models. In the first step, we study the spectrum of the non-backtracking operator for the random regular hypergraphs. From the bijection in Lemma 4.2, it is important to find its connection to the non-backtracking operator of the corresponding bipartite biregular graph.

Consider a bipartite graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ with $V(G) = V_1(G) \cup V_2(G)$. The non-backtracking operator $B_G$ of $G$ is a matrix indexed by the set of oriented edges

$$\bar{E}(G) = \{e = (i, j) : i, j \in V(G), e \in E(G)\}$$
with dimension $2|E(G)| \times 2|E(G)|$. For an oriented edge $e = (i, j)$ and $f = (s, t)$, define $B_G$ as

$$(B_G)_{ef} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = s \text{ and } t \neq i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

We order the elements of $\vec{E}$ as $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2|E(G)|}\}$, so that the first $|E(G)|$ oriented edges have starting vertices from $V_1$ and ending vertices in $V_2$. In this way we can write

$$B_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $M, N$ are $|E| \times |E|$ matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The following lemma connects the non-backtracking operator $B_H$ of a hypergraph $H$ to the non-backtracking operator $B_G$ of its associated bipartite graph $G$.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $H$ be a hypergraph and $B_H$ be its non-backtracking operator. Let $G$ be its associated bipartite graph whose non-backtracking operator is given by

$$B_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $B_H = MN$.

**Proof.** Since $B_G^2 = \begin{pmatrix} MN & 0 \\ 0 & NM \end{pmatrix}$, it suffices to show the $|E| \times |E|$ submatrix $MN$ in $B_G^2$ is $B_H$. From our construction of the associated bipartite graph, we know $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2$ and $V_1 = V(H), V_2 = E(H)$. The oriented edges with starting vertices from $V_1$ and ending vertices from $V_2$ can be denoted by $(i, e)$, where $i \in V(H), e \in E(H)$. Then for any $(i, e), (j, f)$ in $\vec{E}(G)$, we have

$$(B_G^2)_{(i,e), (j,f)} = \sum_{(k,g) \in \vec{E}(G)} (B_G)_{(i,e),(k,g)} (B_G)_{(k,g),(j,f)}$$

$$= \sum_{(k,g) \in \vec{E}(G)} 1_{\{e=k,g \neq i\}} 1_{\{j=g,f \neq k\}}$$

$$= \sum_{(e,j) \in \vec{E}(G)} 1_{\{f \neq e,j \neq i\}} 1_{\{j \in e,j \neq i,f \neq e\}} = (B_H)_{(i,e),(j,f)}.$$

Hence $B_H = MN$, this completes the proof. \qed

**Remark 5.2.** The Lemma above is true for any hypergraphs, including non-uniform hypergraphs.

If $H$ is a $(d, k)$-regular hypergraph, then $G$ is a $(d, k)$-bipartite biregular graph with $|V_1(G)| = n, |V_2(G)| = nd/k$. We have the following lemma for the spectrum of $B_G$ from Lemma 2 in [9].

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $G$ be a $(d, k)$-bipartite biregular graph with $n$ vertices. Any eigenvalue of $B_G$ belongs to one of the following categories:

1. $\pm 1$ are both eigenvalues with multiplicity $|E(G)| - |V(G)| = n(d - 1) - nd/k$.
2. $\pm i\sqrt{d-1}$ are eigenvalues with multiplicity $nd/k - r$ where $r$ is the rank of matrix $X$.
3. $\pm i\sqrt{k-1}$ are eigenvalues with multiplicity $r$.
4. Every pair of non-zero eigenvalues $(-\xi, \xi)$ of the adjacency matrix $A_G$ generates exactly 4 eigenvalues of $B_G$ with the equation

$$\lambda^4 - (\xi^2 - d - k + 2)\lambda^2 + (k - 1)(d - 1) = 0.$$ 

We have the following characterization of eigenvalues for $B_H$ of a $(d, k)$-regular hypergraph $H$. It follows immediately from Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 above.
Theorem 5.4. Let \( H \) be a \((d,k)\)-regular hypergraph on \( n \) vertices and \( G \) be its associated \((d,k)\)-bipartite biregular graph with adjacency matrix \( A_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^T \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). All eigenvalues of \( B_H \) can be classified into the following:

1. 1 with multiplicity \( n(d-1) - \frac{nd}{k} \).
2. \(-(d-1)\) with multiplicity \( \frac{nd}{k} - r \), where \( r \) is the rank of \( X \).
3. \(-(k-1)\) with multiplicity \( r \).
4. Every pair of \((-\xi,\xi)\) of \( A_G \) generates exactly 2 eigenvalues of \( B_H \) with the equation:

\[
\lambda^2 - (\xi^2 - d - k - 2)\lambda + (k-1)(d-1) = 0.
\]

Let \( G \) be an associated \((d,k)\)-bipartite biregular graph of a regular hypergraph \( H \). From Section 2 in [9], \( \pm \sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)} \) are eigenvalues of \( B_G \) with multiplicity 1. Then from Theorem 5.4, \( B_H \) has an eigenvalue \( \lambda_1(B_H) = (d-1)(k-1) \) with multiplicity 1.

From Theorem 3 in [9], for random \((d,k)\)-bipartite biregular graphs with \( d \geq k \geq 2 \), the second largest eigenvalue (in absolute value) \( \lambda_2(B_G) \) satisfies

\[
|\lambda_2(B_G)| \leq ((k-1)(d-1))^{1/4} + o(1)
\]
asymptotically almost surely as \( n \to \infty \). Therefore by Theorem 5.4, from the discussion above, we obtain the following spectral gap result for \( B_H \).

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( H \) be a random \((d,k)\)-regular hypergraph sampled from \( \mathcal{H}(n, d, k) \), with \( d \geq k \geq 2 \). Then any eigenvalue lambda of \( B_H \) with \( \lambda \neq (d-1)(k-1) \) satisfies

\[
|\lambda_2(B_H)| \leq ((k-1)(d-1))^{1/2} + o(1)
\]
asymptotically almost surely as \( n \to \infty \).

6. Empirical Spectral Distributions

In the last section we study the empirical spectral distribution of the adjacency matrix of a random regular hypergraph. We define the empirical spectral distribution (ESD) of a symmetric \( n \times n \) matrix \( M \) to be the probability measure \( \mu_n \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) given by

\[
\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i},
\]

where \( \delta_x \) is the point mass at \( x \) and \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \) are the eigenvalues of \( M \). We always assume \( d \geq k \) (see Remark 4.1). Feng and Li in [15] derived the limiting ESD for a sequence of connected \((d,k)\)-regular hypergraphs with fixed \( d \), as follows. The definition of primitive cycles in [15] is the same as cycles in our Definition 2.2.

**Theorem 6.1** (Theorem 4 in [15]). Let \( H_n \) be a family of connected \((d,k)\)-regular hypergraph with \( n \) vertices. Assume for each integer \( l \geq 1 \), the number of cycles of length \( l \) is \( o(n) \). For \( d \geq k \), the empirical spectral distribution of \( M_n := \frac{A - (k-2)}{\sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)}} \) converges weakly to the measure \( \mu \) supported on \([-2,2]\), whose density function is given by

\[
f(x) := \frac{1 + \frac{k-1}{q}}{(1 + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{x}{\sqrt{q}})(1 + \frac{(k-1)^2}{q} + \frac{(k-1)x}{\sqrt{q}})} \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{4}} dx,
\]

where \( q = (d-1)(k-1) \).
We prove that for uniform random regular hypergraphs, the assumptions in Theorem 6.1 hold with high probability, which implies the convergence of ESD in probability for random regular hypergraphs.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let $H$ be a random $(d,k)$-regular hypergraph with $d,k \geq 2$ and $(d,k) \neq (2,2)$. Then $H$ is connected asymptotically almost surely.

**Proof.** $H$ is connected if and only if its associated bipartite biregular graph $G$ is connected. And from the spectral gap result in [9], the first eigenvalue for the $(d,k)$-bipartite biregular graph $G$ is $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{dk}$ and we know for a random bipartite biregular graph, $\lambda_2 \leq \sqrt{d-1} + \sqrt{k-1} + o(1)$ asymptotically almost surely. Note that for $d,k \geq 2$, $\sqrt{d-1} + \sqrt{k-1} = \sqrt{dk}$ if and only if $d = k = 2$. So when $d \geq 3$, for sufficiently large $n$, the first eigenvalue has multiplicity one with high probability. If $G$ is not connected, we can decompose $G$ as $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ such that there is no edge between $G_1$ and $G_2$. Then $G_1, G_2$ are both bipartite biregular graphs with largest eigenvalue $\sqrt{dk}$. However, that implies $G$ satisfies $\lambda_2 = \sqrt{dk}$, a contradiction. \qed

The next lemma shows the number of cycles of length $l$ in $H$ is $o(n)$ asymptotically almost surely.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let $H_n$ be a random $(d,k)$-regular hypergraph. For each integer $l \geq 1$, the number of cycles of length $l$ in $H_n$ is $o(n)$ asymptotically almost surely.

**Proof.** It is equivalent to show the number of cycles of length $2l$ for a random bipartite biregular graph, denoted by $X_l$, is $o(n)$ with high probability. Let $\alpha_n = d/k$, $\mu_l := \frac{(k-1)^l(d-1)^l}{2l}$. From Proposition 4 in [14], we know if $d = o(n), l = O(\log n)$, and $ld = o(n)$ then

$$\mathbb{E}[X_l] = \mu_l \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{\log^2(n)}{n} \right) \right), \quad \text{Var}[X_l] = \mu_l \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{\log^2(n)}{n} \right) \right).$$

When $d,k$ are fixed, we have for each integer $l$,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_l] = O(1), \text{Var}[X_l] = O(1).$$

Then, by Chebyshev’s inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_l - \mathbb{E}X_l| \geq \frac{n}{\log n}) = O \left( \frac{\log^2(n)}{n^2} \right).$$

Hence $X_l = o(n)$ asymptotically almost surely. \qed

Combining Theorem 6.1, Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3, we have the following theorem for the ESDs of random regular hypergraphs with fixed $d,k$:

**Theorem 6.4.** Let $A_n$ be the adjacency matrix of a random $(d,k)$-regular hypergraph on $n$ vertices. Let $M_n := \frac{A - (k-2)}{\sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)}}$. For fixed $d \geq k \geq 2$, and $(d,k) \neq (2,2)$, the empirical spectral distribution of $M_n$ converges in probability to a measure $\mu$ with density function $f(x)$ given in (6.1).

**Remark 6.5.** When $k = 2$, $f(x)$ is the density of the Kesten-McKay law [31] with a different scaling factor. For $k \geq 3$, the limiting distribution in (6.1) is not symmetric (i.e. $f(x) \neq f(-x)$), which is quite different from the random graph case. For example, the limiting spectral distributions of Erdős-Rényi random graphs, random $d$-regular graphs, random bipartite biregular graphs are all symmetric. For random bipartite biregular graphs with bounded degrees, the limit of the ESDs was derived in [18], and later in [7] using different methods.

In [15], the cases where $d,k$ grow with $n$ have not been discussed. With the results on random bipartite biregular graphs from [14, 36], we can get the following result in this regime.
Theorem 6.6. Let $A_n$ be the adjacency matrix of a random $(d,k)$-regular hypergraph on $n$ vertices. For $d \to \infty$ with $\frac{d}{k} \to \alpha \geq 1$ and $d = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$, the empirical spectral distribution of $M_n := \frac{A_n - (k-2)}{\sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)}}$ converges in probability to a measure supported on $[-2,2]$ with a density function

\begin{equation}
    g(x) = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha} x}} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \right) dx.
\end{equation}

Combing Theorem 1 in [14] and Corollary 2.2 in [36], we have the following result for the global law of bipartite biregular graphs.

Lemma 6.7. Let $A_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be the adjacency matrix of a random bipartite biregular graph sampled from $G(n,m,d,k)$ with $n \leq m$ and $\frac{d}{k} \to \alpha \geq 1$ and $d = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. Then the ESD of $\frac{A_G}{\sqrt{k}}$ converges almost surely to a distribution supported on $[-b,-a] \cup [a,b]$ with density

\begin{equation}
    h(x) := \frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha) \pi |x|} \sqrt{(b^2 - x^2)(x^2 - a^2)},
\end{equation}

and a point mass of $\frac{a-1}{\alpha+1}$ at 0, where $a = 1 - \alpha^{-1/2}$, $b = 1 + \alpha^{-1/2}$.

With Lemma 6.7, we are ready to prove Theorem 6.6.

Proof of Theorem 6.6. Let $A_G$ be the adjacency matrix of a random $(d,k)$-bipartite biregular graph with $n$ vertices. Since $X$ is a $n \times m$ matrix with $n \leq m$, the ESD of $\frac{XX^\top}{\sqrt{k}}$ is the distribution of the squares of the nonzero eigenvalues of $\frac{A_G}{\sqrt{k}}$, and from (6.4), the ESD of $\frac{XX^\top}{\sqrt{k}}$ is supported on $[a^2,b^2]$ with density

\begin{equation}
    \tilde{h}(x) = \frac{\alpha}{2 \pi x} \sqrt{(b^2 - x)(x - a^2)}.
\end{equation}

Since the adjacency matrix of the corresponding regular hypergraph $H$ is $A_n = XX^\top - d$, by scaling, this implies that the ESD of $M_n = \frac{A_n - (k-2)}{\sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)}}$ is supported on $[-2,2]$ and the density is given by

\begin{equation}
    g(x) = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha} x}} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \right) dx. \quad \Box
\end{equation}

The convergence of empirical spectral distributions on short intervals (or also known as the local law) for random bipartite biregular graphs was studied in [14, 36, 40]. Universality of eigenvalue statistics was studied in [38, 39]. All of these local eigenvalue statistics can be translated to random regular hypergraphs via the bijection. As an example, for $(d,k)$-regular hypergraphs with $d, k \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\frac{d}{k} \to \alpha \geq 1$, we translate the result of the local law for random bipartite biregular graphs in [14] to random regular hypergraphs.

Theorem 6.8. Let $H$ be a random $(d,k)$-regular hypergraph on $n$ vertices satisfying $d \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, $\frac{d}{k} \to \alpha \geq 1$ and $\log k = o\left(\sqrt{\log n}\right)$. Let $A$ be the adjacency matrix of $H$ and $\mu_n$ be the ESD of $M := \frac{A - d}{\sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)}}$ and $\mu$ be the limiting ESD defined in (6.3). For any $\epsilon > 0$, there
exists a constant $C_\epsilon$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$ and $\delta > 0$, for any interval $I \subset [-2 + \epsilon, 2]$ with length $|I| \geq \frac{4(1 + \sqrt{\alpha})^2}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \max\left\{2\eta, \frac{\eta}{-\delta \log \delta}\right\}$, it holds that

$$|\mu_n(I) - \mu(I)| \leq \delta C_\epsilon |I|$$

with probability $1 - o(1/n)$, where $\eta$ is given by the following quantities:

$$h = \min\left\{\frac{\log n}{9(\log k)^2}, k\right\}, \quad r = e^{1/h}, \quad \eta = r^{1/2} - r^{-1/2}.$$

We prove Theorem 6.8 from the following local law for random bipartite biregular graphs in [14].

**Lemma 6.9** (Theorem 3 in [14]). Let $G$ be a random $(d, k)$-bipartite biregular graph on $n + \frac{nd}{k}$ vertices satisfying $d \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\log k = o\left(\sqrt{\log n}\right) \frac{d}{k} \to \alpha \geq 1$. Let $A_G$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$ and $\mu_n$ be the ESD of $R_{G_n}$ and let $\mu$ be the measure defined in (6.4). For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\epsilon$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, for any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ avoiding $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ and with length $|I| \geq \max\{2\eta, \frac{\eta}{-\delta \log \delta}\}$,

$$|\mu_n(I) - \mu(I)| \leq \delta C_\epsilon |I|$$

with probability $1 - o(1/n)$, where $\eta$ is given by the following quantities:

$$h = \min\left\{\frac{\log n}{9(\log k)^2}, k\right\}, \quad r = e^{1/h}, \quad \eta = r^{1/2} - r^{-1/2}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 6.8.** For any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a symmetric matrix $M$, we denote $N^M_I$ to be the number of eigenvalues of $M$ in the interval $I$. For a random $(d, k)$-regular hypergraph $H$ with adjacency matrix $A$, let $G$ be its associated bipartite biregular graph and $A_G = \begin{pmatrix} XX^\top & X \\ X^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$. Recall that the ESD of $W := \frac{XX^\top}{k-1}$ is the distribution of the squares of the nontrivial eigenvalues of $\frac{A_G}{\sqrt{k-1}}$. Let $M := \frac{A_G - k - 2}{\sqrt{(d-1)(k-1)}}$. Consider any interval $I_1 = [\beta, \gamma] \subset [-2 + \epsilon, 2]$ with length

$$|I_1| \geq \frac{4(1 + \sqrt{\alpha})^2}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \max\left\{2\eta, \frac{\eta}{-\delta \log \delta}\right\}.$$

Let

$$I_2 = \left[\sqrt{\frac{d-1}{k-1}} \beta + \frac{d+k+2}{k-1}, \sqrt{\frac{d-1}{k-1}} \gamma + \frac{d+k+2}{k-1}\right] := [\beta', \gamma']$$

be a shifted and rescaled interval from $I_1$. We have

$$\beta' = \sqrt{\alpha} \beta + \alpha + o(1) = (\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2 + \sqrt{\alpha} \epsilon + o(1) > (\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

and

$$\gamma' \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{d-1}{k-1}} + \frac{d+k+2}{k-1} = 2\sqrt{\alpha} + \alpha + 1 + o(1) = (1 + \sqrt{\alpha})^2 + o(1).$$
Let $I_3 := [\sqrt{\beta'}, \sqrt{\gamma'}]$. From the eigenvalue relation between $M, W$ and $A_G$, we have

$$N_1^M = N_2^W = 2N_{I_3}^{A_G}.$$ 

Note that the interval length of $I_3$ satisfies

$$|I_3| = \sqrt{\gamma'} - \sqrt{\beta'} = \frac{\gamma' - \beta'}{\sqrt{\gamma'} + \sqrt{\beta'}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} + o(1)}{2(1 + \sqrt{\alpha})^2 + o(1)} \geq \max\{2\eta, \frac{\eta}{-\delta \log \delta}\},$$

and

$$|I_3| = \frac{\gamma' - \beta'}{2\sqrt{\beta'}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} + o(1)}{2(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2 + \epsilon} |I_1|.$$ 

From Lemma 6.9, since $\sqrt{\beta'} \geq \sqrt{\epsilon/2}$, $I_3$ is an interval avoiding $[-\sqrt{\epsilon/2}, \sqrt{\epsilon/2}]$, hence there exists a constant $C_\epsilon$ such that

$$(6.6) \quad \left| \frac{1}{n + \frac{na}{k}} N_{I_3}^{A_G} - \mu_G(I_3) \right| \leq \delta C_\epsilon |I_3|,$$

where $\mu_G$ is the limiting measure defined in (6.4). Let $\mu_X$ be the limiting measure defined in (6.5) and $\mu_A$ be the limiting measure defined in (6.3). Note that $\mu_A(I_1) = \mu_X(I_2) = 2(\alpha + 1)\mu_G(I_3)$.

Therefore (6.6) implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{na}{k})} N_{I_1}^M - \frac{1}{2(\alpha + 1)} \mu_A(I_1) \right| \leq \delta C_\epsilon |I_3|.$$ 

Let $\mu_n$ be the ESD of $M$. We get

$$|\mu_n(I_1) - \mu_A(I_1)| = \left| \frac{1}{n} N_{I_1}^M - \mu_A(I_1) \right|$$

$$\leq 2(1 + \frac{d}{k})\delta C_\epsilon |I_3| + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \left| \frac{d}{k} - \alpha \right| \mu_A(I_1)$$

$$\leq \delta \frac{(2 + 4\alpha)\sqrt{\alpha} + o(1)}{2(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2 + \epsilon} C_\epsilon |I_1| + o(|I_1|)$$

$$\leq \delta C'_\epsilon |I_1|$$

where $C'_\epsilon := \frac{4(1 + \alpha)\sqrt{\alpha}}{2(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2 + \epsilon} C_\epsilon$ is a constant depending on $\alpha$ and $\epsilon$. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.8. □

REFERENCES


DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98105  
E-mail address: dumitriu@uw.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98105  
E-mail address: yizhezhu@uw.edu