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Abstract

Let $G_n$ be a linear crossed polyomino chain with $n$ four-order complete graphs. In this paper, explicit formulas for the Kirchhoff index, the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index and the number of spanning trees of $G_n$ are determined, respectively. It is interesting to find that the Kirchhoff (resp. multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff) index of $G_n$ is approximately one quarter of its Wiener (resp. Gutman) index. More generally, let $G_r^n$ be the set of subgraphs obtained by deleting $r$ vertical edges of $G_n$, where $0 \leq r \leq n + 1$. For any graph $G_r^n \in G_r^n$, its Kirchhoff index and number of spanning trees are completely determined, respectively. Finally, we show that the Kirchhoff index of $G_r^n$ is approximately one quarter of its Wiener index.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a simple connected graph with vertex set $V_G = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E_G$. The adjacent matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is a $(0, 1)$-matrix such that $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ are adjacent. Let $D(G) = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees, where $d_i$ is the degree of $v_i$ in $G$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the Laplacian matrix of $G$ is defined as $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$.

The traditional distance between vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$, denoted by $d_{ij}$, is the length of a shortest path connecting them. Distance is an important invariant in graph theory and derives many distance-based invariants. One famous distance-based graph invariant of $G$ is the Wiener index \cite{29}, $W(G)$, which is the sum of distances between pairs of vertices in $G$, namely $W(G) = \sum_{i<j} d_{ij}$. The Gutman index of $G$ was defined as $\text{Gut}(G) = \sum_{i<j} d_id_j d_{ij}$ by Gutman in \cite{12}. When $G$ is a tree of order $n$, he \cite{12} showed that $\text{Gut}(G) = 4W(G) - (2n - 1)(n - 1)$.

Based on the electronic network theory, Klein and Randić\cite{19} introduced a new distance-based parameter, namely the resistance distance, on a graph. The resistance distance $r_{ij}$ is the effective resistance between vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ when one puts one unit resistor on every edge of a graph $G$. This parameter is intrinsic to the graph and has many applications in theoretical chemistry. As an analogue to the Wiener index, one may define $Kf(G) = \sum_{i<j} r_{ij}$, which is known as the
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Kirchhoff index \[19\] of \(G\). Klein and Randić \[19\] found that \(Kf(G) \leq W(G)\) with equality if and only if \(G\) is a tree. For an \(n\)-vertex connected graph \(G\), Klein \[17\] and Lovász \[21\], independently, obtained that

\[Kf(G) = n \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{\mu_i}.\]  

(1.1)

where \(0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n\) \((n \geq 2)\) are the eigenvalues of \(L(G)\).

Recently, the normalized Laplacian has attracted increasing attention because some results which were only known for regular graphs can be spread to all graphs. The normalized Laplacian matrix of \(G\) is defined to be \(\mathcal{L}(G) = D(G)^{-\frac{1}{2}}L(G)D(G)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\). It should be stressed that \((d_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0\) for the degree of vertex \(v_i\) in \(G\) is 0 \[7\]. Therefore, one can easily verify that

\[(\mathcal{L}(G))_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j; \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_id_j}}, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } v_i \sim v_j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}\]  

(1.2)

In 2007, Chen and Zhang \[6\] proposed a new resistance distance-based graph invariant, defined by \(Kf^*(G) = \sum_{i<j} d_id_j r_{ij}\), which is called the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index (see \[11, 14\]). This index is closely related to the spectrum of the normalized Laplacian matrix \(\mathcal{L}(G)\). For an \(n\)-vertex connected graph \(G\) with \(m\) edges, Chen and Zhang \[6\] showed that

\[Kf^*(G) = 2m \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}.\]  

(1.3)

where \(0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n\) \((n \geq 2)\) are the eigenvalues of \(\mathcal{L}(G)\).

Up to now, closed formulas for the Kirchhoff index and the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index have been given for some linear chains, such as cycles \[18\], ladder graphs \[10\], ladder-like chains \[5\], liner phenylenes \[26, 36\], linear polyomino chains \[16, 31\], linear pentagonal chains \[13, 28\], linear hexagonal chains \[15, 31\] and linear crossed hexagonal chains \[25\]. Some other topics on the Kirchhoff index and the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index of a graph may be referred to \[2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 20, 22, 23, 24, 27, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35\] and references therein.

Figure 1: The linear crossed polyomino chain \(G_n\) with some labelled vertices.
Let $G_n$ be a linear crossed polyomino chain with $n$ four-order complete graphs as depicted in Fig. 1. Then it is routine to check that $|V_{G_n}| = 2n + 2$ and $|E_{G_n}| = 5n + 1$. Let $E'$ be the set of vertical edges of $G_n$, where $E' = \{ii' : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n + 1\}$. Let $G_n^r$ be the set of subgraphs obtained by deleting $r$ vertical edges from $G_n$, where $0 \leq r \leq n + 1$. Obviously, $G_n^0 = \{G_n\}$.

In this paper, explicit formulas for the Kirchhoff index, the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index and the number of spanning trees of $G_n$ are determined, respectively. We are surprised to find that the Kirchhoff (resp. multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff) index of $G_n$ is approximately one quarter of its Wiener (resp. Gutman) index. More generally, for any graph $G_n^r \in G_n^r$, its Kirchhoff index and number of spanning trees are completely determined, respectively. Furthermore, the Kirchhoff index of $G_n^r$ is found to be approximately one quarter of its Wiener index.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we denote by $\Phi(B) = \det(xI - B)$ the characteristic polynomial of a square matrix $B$. Let $V_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, n + 1\}$ and $V_2 = \{1', 2', \ldots, (n + 1)\}$. Then $L(G_n)$ and $\mathcal{L}(G_n)$ can be written as the following two block matrices

$$
L(G_n) = \begin{pmatrix}
L_{11} & L_{12} \\
L_{21} & L_{22}
\end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{L}(G_n) = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{L}_{11} & \mathcal{L}_{12} \\
\mathcal{L}_{21} & \mathcal{L}_{22}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where $L_{ij}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{ij}$ are the submatrices formed by rows corresponding to vertices in $V_i$ and columns corresponding to vertices in $V_j$ respectively, for $i, j = 1, 2$. It is easy to check that $L_{11} = L_{22}$, $L_{12} = L_{21}$, $\mathcal{L}_{11} = \mathcal{L}_{22}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{12} = \mathcal{L}_{21}$.

Let

$$
T = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_{n+1} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_{n+1} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_{n+1} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_{n+1}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

then we have

$$
TL(G_n)T = \begin{pmatrix}
L_A & 0 \\
0 & L_S
\end{pmatrix}, \\
T\mathcal{L}(G_n)T = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{L}_A & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{L}_S
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where $L_A = L_{11} + L_{12}$, $L_S = L_{11} - L_{12}$, $\mathcal{L}_A = \mathcal{L}_{11} + \mathcal{L}_{12}$ and $\mathcal{L}_S = \mathcal{L}_{11} - \mathcal{L}_{12}$.

Then similar to the decomposition theorem obtained in [31], we can get the following decomposition theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $L_A$, $L_S$, $\mathcal{L}_A$ and $\mathcal{L}_S$ be defined as above. Then

$$
\Phi(L(G_n)) = \Phi(L_A) \cdot \Phi(L_S), \\
\Phi(\mathcal{L}(G_n)) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_A) \cdot \Phi(\mathcal{L}_S).
$$

**Proof.** Note that $T^2 = I_{2n+2}$, thus $(\det T)^2 = 1$. Then we have

$$
\Phi(L(G_n)) = \det(xI_{2n+2} - L(G_n)) = \det T \cdot \det(xI_{2n+2} - L(G_n)) \cdot \det T
$$
\[
= \det(xI_{2n+2} - TL(G_n)T) \\
= \det \begin{pmatrix} xI_{n+1} - L_A & 0 \\ 0 & xI_{n+1} - L_S \end{pmatrix} \\
= \Phi(L_A) \cdot \Phi(L_S).
\]

Similarly, we have \( \Phi(L(G_n)) = \Phi(L_A) \cdot \Phi(L_S) \). \(\square\)

**Theorem 2.2.** ([7]). Let \( G \) be a connected graph of order \( n \), then \( \tau(G) = \frac{1}{n} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \mu_i \), where \( \tau(G) \) is the number of spanning trees of \( G \).

### 3 Kirchhoff index and multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index of \( G_n \)

In this section, we will determine the Laplacian eigenvalues and the normalized Laplacian eigenvalues of \( G_n \) according to Theorem 2.1, respectively. Next we will provide a complete description for the sum of the Laplacian (resp. normalized Laplacian) eigenvalues’ reciprocals which will be used in calculating the Kirchhoff (resp. multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff) index of \( G_n \). Finally, we show that the Kirchhoff (resp. multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff) index of \( G_n \) is approximately one quarter of its Wiener (resp. Gutman) index.

#### 3.1 Kirchhoff index of \( G_n \)

Note that

\[
L_{11} = \begin{pmatrix}
3 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 5 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 5 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 5 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 5 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 5 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & 5 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 & 5 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}
\]
and

\[
L_{12} = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\((n+1) \times (n+1)\)

then

\[
L_A = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 4 & -2 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\((n+1) \times (n+1)\)

and

\[
L_S = \begin{pmatrix}
4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\((n+1) \times (n+1)\)

Suppose that the eigenvalues of \(L_A\) and \(L_S\) are respectively, denoted by \(\alpha_i\) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1)\) and \(\beta_j\) \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1)\) with \(\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n+1}\) and \(\beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{n+1}\). By Theorem 2.1, the spectrum of \(G_n\) is \(\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{n+1}\}\). Note that \(L_A = 2L(P_{n+1})\), then it follows from [1] that the eigenvalues of \(L_A\) are \(\alpha_i = 8 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi(i-1)}{2(n+1)} \right) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1\). According to the results obtained in [25], we have

\[
\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} = \frac{n(n+2)}{12}, \quad \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \alpha_i = (n+1)2^n.
\]
On the other hand, since $L_S$ is a diagonal matrix, thus $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 4$ and $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = \cdots = \beta_n = \beta_{n+1} = 6$. Note that $|V_{G_n}| = 2(n + 1)$, then we can get the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $G_n$ be a linear crossed polyomino chain with $n$ four-order complete graphs. Then

$$Kf(G_n) = \frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)^2}{6}.$$ 

**Proof.** Note that $|V_{G_n}| = 2(n + 1)$, then by (1.1) and (3.1), we have

$$Kf(G_n) = 2(n + 1) \left( \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\beta_j} \right)$$

$$= 2(n + 1) \left( \frac{n(n + 2)}{12} + \frac{n + 2}{6} \right)$$

$$= \frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)^2}{6}$$

as desired. $\Box$

Kirchhoff indices of linear crossed polyomino chains from $G_1$ to $G_{50}$ are listed in Table 1.

Now, we show that the Kirchhoff index of $G_n$ is approximately one quarter of its Wiener index.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $G_n$ be a linear crossed polyomino chain with $n$ four-order complete graphs. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{Kf(G_n)}{W(G_n)} = \frac{1}{4}.$$ 

**Proof.** First we calculate $W(G_n)$. We evaluated $d_{ij}$ for all vertices (fixed $i$ and $j$) (there are two types of vertices) and then added all together and finally divided by two. The expressions of each type of vertices are:

| Table 1: Kirchhoff indices of linear crossed polyomino chains from $G_1$ to $G_{50}$. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| G   | $Kf(G)$ | G   | $Kf(G)$ | G   | $Kf(G)$ | G   | $Kf(G)$ |
| G_1  | 3.00    | G_11 | 338.00  | G_21 | 1939.67 | G_31 | 5808.00  |
| G_2  | 8.00    | G_12 | 424.67  | G_22 | 2208.00 | G_32 | 6358.00  |
| G_3  | 16.67   | G_13 | 525.00  | G_23 | 2500.00 | G_33 | 6941.67  |
| G_4  | 30.00   | G_14 | 640.00  | G_24 | 2816.67 | G_34 | 7560.00  |
| G_5  | 49.00   | G_15 | 770.67  | G_25 | 3159.00 | G_35 | 8214.00  |
| G_6  | 74.67   | G_16 | 918.00  | G_26 | 3528.00 | G_36 | 8904.67  |
| G_7  | 108.00  | G_17 | 1083.00 | G_27 | 3924.67 | G_37 | 9633.00  |
| G_8  | 150.00  | G_18 | 1266.67 | G_28 | 4350.00 | G_38 | 10400.00 |
| G_9  | 201.67  | G_19 | 1470.00 | G_29 | 4805.00 | G_39 | 11206.67 |
| G_{10}| 264.00 | G_20 | 1694.00 | G_30 | 5290.67 | G_40 | 12054.00 |
| G_{50}| 22984.00 |
· Corner vertex of $G_n$:

$$f_1(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \cdot 2 + 1 = n^2 + n + 1.$$ 

· Internal $i$-th ($2 \leq i \leq n$) vertex in $G_n$:

$$f_2(i, n) = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} k \cdot 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-i+1} k \cdot 2 + 1 = n^2 - 2ni + 3n + 2i^2 - 4i + 1.$$ 

Hence,

$$W(G_n) = \frac{4f_1(n) + 2\sum_{i=2}^{n} f_2(i, n)}{2} = \frac{2n^3 + 7n^2 + 6n + 3}{3}.$$ 

Together with Theorem 3.1, our result follows immediately. \qed

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $G_n$ be a linear crossed polyomino chain with $n$ four-order complete graphs. Then

$$\tau(G) = 2^{2n+2} \cdot 3^{n-1}.$$ 

**Proof.** Since $|V_{G_n}| = 2(n + 1)$, by Theorem 2.2 and (3.1), we have

$$\tau(G_n) = \frac{1}{2(n + 1)} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \alpha_i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \beta_j \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(n + 1)} \left[ (n + 1)2^n \cdot 4^2 \cdot 6^{n-1} \right]$$

$$= 2^{2n+2} \cdot 3^{n-1}.$$ 

This completes the proof. \qed

### 3.2 Multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index of $G_n$

One can easily obtain that

$$L_{11} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
: & : & : & : & : & \ldots & : & : & : & : \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{1}{15} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$$
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and
\[
\mathcal{L}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} & \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}
\]

Since \( \mathcal{L}_A = \mathcal{L}_{11} + \mathcal{L}_{12} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_S = \mathcal{L}_{11} - \mathcal{L}_{12} \), then we have
\[
\mathcal{L}_A = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & \frac{4}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{2}{5} & \frac{4}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & \frac{4}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & \frac{4}{5} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \\
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}
\]

and
\[
\mathcal{L}_S = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{4}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{6}{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{6}{5} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{6}{5} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{6}{5} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{6}{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{6}{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \frac{6}{5} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{6}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}
\]

Suppose that the eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{L}_A \) and \( \mathcal{L}_S \) are respectively, denoted by \( \gamma_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1 \)) and \( \eta_j \) (\( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1 \)) with \( \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{n+1} \) and \( \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \eta_{n+1} \). Then the eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{L}(G_n) \) is \{\( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{n+1}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{n+1} \)\}. Note that \( \mathcal{L}_S \) is a diagonal matrix, then \( \eta_1 = \eta_2 = \cdots = \eta_{n-2} = \eta_{n-1} = \frac{6}{5} \) and \( \eta_n = \eta_{n+1} = \frac{4}{3} \). For \( \xi = (\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \ldots, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{3})^T \), \( \mathcal{L}_A \xi = 0 \), then 0 is an eigenvalue of \( \mathcal{L}_A \), which implies that \( \gamma_1 = 0 \) and \( \gamma_2 > 0 \). Note that \( |E_{G_n}| = 5n + 1 \),
then by (1.3), we have

\[ Kf^*(G_n) = 2(5n + 1) \left( \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\gamma_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\eta_j} \right) = 2(5n + 1) \left( \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\gamma_i} + \frac{5n + 4}{6} \right). \]  

(3.2)

Based on the relationship between the roots and the coefficients of \( \Phi(L_A) \), the formula of \( \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \) is derived in the next theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( \gamma_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n + 1) \) be defined as above. Then

\[ \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\gamma_i} = \frac{n(25n^2 + 15n + 14)}{12(5n + 1)}. \]  

(3.3)

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \Phi(L_A) = x^{n+1} + a_1x^n + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^2 + a_nx = x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}x + a_n \).

Then \( \gamma_i (i = 2, 3, \ldots, n + 1) \) satisfies the following equation

\[ x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}x + a_n = 0. \]

So \( \frac{1}{\gamma_i} (i = 2, 3 \ldots, n + 1) \) satisfies the following equation

\[ a_nx^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + 1 = 0. \]

By Vieta’s Theorem, we have

\[ \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\gamma_i} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}a_{n-1}}{(-1)^n a_n}. \]  

(3.4)

For \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), let \( C_i \) be the \( i \)-th order principal submatrix formed by the first \( i \) rows and columns of \( L_A \) and \( c_i = \det C_i \). Obviously, \( c_1 = \frac{2}{3} \) and \( c_2 = \frac{4}{15} \). For \( 3 \leq i \leq n \), expanding \( \det C_i \) with regard to its last row yields that \( c_i = \frac{4}{5}c_{i-1} - \frac{4}{25}c_{i-2} \). Then, we have

\[ c_i = \frac{5}{3} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^i. \]  

(3.5)

Next, we will determine the expressions of \((-1)^na_n\) and \((-1)^na_{n-1}\), respectively. For convenience, let the diagonal entries of \( L_A \) be \( k_{ii} \) and \( c_0 \) be 1.

**Claim 1.** \((-1)^na_n = \frac{25n+5}{9} \cdot \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^n.\)

Since the number \((-1)^na_n\) is the sum of those principal minors of \( L_A \) which have \( n \) rows and
columns, we have

\[
(-1)^n a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \begin{vmatrix}
  k_{11} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & k_{22} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  : & : & \ddots & : & : & : & : & : \\
  0 & 0 & \ldots & k_{i-1,i-1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & k_{i+1,i+1} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & \ldots & 0 \\
  : & : & \ddots & : & : & \ddots & : & : \\
  0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} k_{n,n+1} \\
  0 & 0 & \ldots & k_{i-1,i-1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} k_{n+1,n+1}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

(3.6)

Note that a permutation similarity transformation of a square matrix preserves its determinant. Together with the property of \(L_A\), the right hand side of (3.6) is equal to \(\det C_{n+1-i}\). By (3.5), we have

\[
(-1)^n a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_{i-1} c_{n+1-i}
\]

\[
= 2c_n + \sum_{i=2}^{n} c_{i-1} c_{n+1-i}
\]

\[
= 2 \cdot \frac{5}{3} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^n + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{5}{3} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{i-1} \cdot \frac{5}{3} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{n+1-i}
\]

\[
= \frac{25n + 5}{9} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^n.
\]

Claim 2. \((-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} = \frac{n(25n^2 + 15n + 14)}{54} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{n-1}.
\]

Note that the number \((-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1}\) is the sum of those principal minors of \(L_A\) which have \(n-1\) rows and columns, hence \((-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1}\) equals
\[
\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \begin{vmatrix}
    k_{11} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & k_{22} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & k_{i-1,i-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & k_{i+1,i+1} & -\frac{2}{5} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & k_{i+2,i+2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & k_{j-1,j-1} & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[
= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \begin{vmatrix}
    k_{11} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & \cdots & 0 \\
    -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & k_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & k_{i-1,i-1} \\
\end{vmatrix}
\begin{vmatrix}
    k_{i+1,i+1} & -\frac{2}{5} & \cdots & 0 \\
    -\frac{2}{5} & k_{i+2,i+2} & \cdots & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & k_{j-1,j-1} \\
\end{vmatrix}
\begin{vmatrix}
    k_{j+1,j+1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    0 & \cdots & k_{n,n} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} \\
    0 & \cdots & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} & k_{n+1,n+1} \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

Similarly, the right hand side of the above equation is equal to \(\det C_{n+1-j}\). Then, we have

\[
(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} c_{i-1} c_{n+1-j} \cdot \det M_{ij},
\]

(3.7)

where

\[
M_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
    \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^2 \begin{pmatrix}
        0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
        0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
        \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
        0 & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{2}{5} \\
    \end{pmatrix}
\end{pmatrix}^{(j-i-1) \times (j-i-1)}
\]

It is easy to check that \(\det M_{ij} = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{j-i-1}(j-i)\). Thus in view of (3.7), we have

\[
(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{j-i-1}(j-i) \cdot c_{i-1} c_{n+1-j}
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{n-i} (n+1-i) \cdot c_{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{j-2} (j-1) \cdot c_{n+1-j} + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{j-i-1} (j-i) \cdot c_{i-1} c_{n+1-j}
\]
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\[
= 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-i} (n+1-i) \cdot c_{i-1} + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{j-i-1} (j-i) \cdot c_{i-1}c_{n+1-j} + n \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-1}
\]

By (3.5), we have
\[
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-i} (n+1-i) \cdot c_{i-1} = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-i} (n+1-i) \cdot \frac{5}{3} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{i-1} = \frac{5n(n-1)}{6} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-1}
\]
and
\[
\sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{j-i-1} (j-i) \cdot c_{i-1}c_{n+1-j} = \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{j-i-1} \cdot \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{i-1} \cdot \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n+1-j} \cdot \frac{25(j-i)}{9}
\]
\[
= \frac{25}{9} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-1} \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (j-i)
\]
\[
= \frac{25n(n-1)(n-2)}{54} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-1}.
\]

Hence,
\[
(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} = \frac{5n(n-1)}{3} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-1} + \frac{25n(n-1)(n-2)}{54} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-1} + n \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-1} = \frac{n(25n^2+15n+14)}{54} \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^{n-1}.
\]

Substituting Claims 1-2 into (3.4) yields that \( \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{\gamma_i} = \frac{n(25n^2+15n+14)}{12(5n+1)} \). \( \square \)

Together with (3.2) and (3.3), we can get the following theorem immediately.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( G_n \) be a linear crossed polyomino chain with \( n \) four-order complete graphs. Then
\[
Kf^*(G_n) = \frac{25n^3 + 65n^2 + 64n + 8}{6}.
\]

Multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff indices of linear crossed polyomino chains from \( G_1 \) to \( G_{50} \) are listed in Table 2.

Finally, we show that the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index of \( G_n \) is approximately one quarter of its Gutman index.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( G_n \) be a linear crossed polyomino chain with \( n \) four-order complete graphs. Then
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{Kf^*(G_n)}{Gut(G_n)} = \frac{1}{4}.
\]

**Proof.** First we calculate Gut\( (G_n) \). We evaluated \( d_i d_j d_{ij} \) for all vertices (fixed \( i \) and \( j \)) (there are two types of vertices) and then added all together and finally divided by two. The expressions of each type of vertices are:
Table 2: Multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff indices of linear crossed polyomino chains from $G_1$ to $G_{50}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$G_1$</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>$G_{11}$</td>
<td>6975.33</td>
<td>$G_{21}$</td>
<td>43590.33</td>
<td>$G_{31}$</td>
<td>134872.00</td>
<td>$G_{41}$</td>
<td>305820.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_2$</td>
<td>99.33</td>
<td>$G_{12}$</td>
<td>8889.33</td>
<td>$G_{22}$</td>
<td>49846.00</td>
<td>$G_{32}$</td>
<td>147969.33</td>
<td>$G_{42}$</td>
<td>328259.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_3$</td>
<td>243.33</td>
<td>$G_{13}$</td>
<td>11250.00</td>
<td>$G_{23}$</td>
<td>56673.33</td>
<td>$G_{33}$</td>
<td>161888.33</td>
<td>$G_{43}$</td>
<td>351770.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_4$</td>
<td>484.00</td>
<td>$G_{14}$</td>
<td>13707.33</td>
<td>$G_{24}$</td>
<td>64097.33</td>
<td>$G_{34}$</td>
<td>176654.00</td>
<td>$G_{44}$</td>
<td>376377.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_5$</td>
<td>846.33</td>
<td>$G_{15}$</td>
<td>16661.33</td>
<td>$G_{25}$</td>
<td>72143.00</td>
<td>$G_{35}$</td>
<td>192291.33</td>
<td>$G_{45}$</td>
<td>402106.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_6$</td>
<td>1355.33</td>
<td>$G_{16}$</td>
<td>20012.00</td>
<td>$G_{26}$</td>
<td>80835.33</td>
<td>$G_{36}$</td>
<td>208825.33</td>
<td>$G_{46}$</td>
<td>428982.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_7$</td>
<td>2036.00</td>
<td>$G_{17}$</td>
<td>23784.33</td>
<td>$G_{27}$</td>
<td>90199.33</td>
<td>$G_{37}$</td>
<td>226281.00</td>
<td>$G_{47}$</td>
<td>457029.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_8$</td>
<td>2913.33</td>
<td>$G_{18}$</td>
<td>28003.33</td>
<td>$G_{28}$</td>
<td>100260.00</td>
<td>$G_{38}$</td>
<td>244683.33</td>
<td>$G_{48}$</td>
<td>486273.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_9$</td>
<td>4012.33</td>
<td>$G_{19}$</td>
<td>32694.00</td>
<td>$G_{29}$</td>
<td>111042.33</td>
<td>$G_{39}$</td>
<td>264057.33</td>
<td>$G_{49}$</td>
<td>516739.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{10}$</td>
<td>5358.00</td>
<td>$G_{20}$</td>
<td>37881.33</td>
<td>$G_{30}$</td>
<td>122571.33</td>
<td>$G_{40}$</td>
<td>184428.00</td>
<td>$G_{50}$</td>
<td>548451.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

· Corner vertex of $G_n$:

$$g_1(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 3 \cdot 5 \cdot k \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 3 \cdot (1 + n + n) = 15n^2 + 3n + 9.$$ 

· Internal $i$-th ($2 \leq i \leq n$) vertex in $G_n$:

$$g_2(i, n) = \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} 5 \cdot 5 \cdot k \cdot 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-i} 5 \cdot 5 \cdot k \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 1 + 5 \cdot 3 \cdot (i - 1 + n + 1 - i) \cdot 2$$

$$= 25n^2 - 50ni + 55n + 50i^2 - 100i + 75.$$ 

Hence,

$$\text{Gut}(G_n) = \frac{4g_1(n) + 2\sum_{i=2}^{n} g_2(i, n)}{3} = \frac{50n^3 + 30n^2 + 103n - 21}{3}.$$ 

Together with Theorem 3.4, our result follows immediately.

4 Kirchhoff index and number of spanning trees of $G_n^r$

In this section, for any graph $G_n^r \in G_n^r$, we will determine its Kirchhoff index and number of spanning trees. Furthermore, we will show that the Kirchhoff index of $G_n^r$ is approximately one quarter of its Wiener index.

Similar to the Laplacian polynomial decomposition theorem of $G_n$, we can obtain that the Laplacian spectrum of $G_n^r$ consists of the eigenvalues of both $L_A(G_n^r)$ and $L_S(G_n^r)$. It is routine
to check that

\[
L_{11}(G_n^r) = \begin{pmatrix}
l_{11} & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & l_{22} & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & l_{33} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & l_{n-1,n-1} & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & l_{n,n} & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 & l_{n+1,n+1}
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}
\]

and

\[
L_{12}(G_n^r) = \begin{pmatrix}
t_{11} & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & t_{22} & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & t_{33} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & t_{n-1,n-1} & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & t_{n,n} & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 & t_{n+1,n+1}
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}
\]

where \(l_{ii} = d_i\), \(t_{ii} = 0\) if \(d_i \in \{2, 4\}\) and \(t_{ii} = -1\) if \(d_i \in \{3, 5\}\).

Note that \(l_{11} + t_{11} = l_{n+1,n+1} + t_{n+1,n+1} = 2\) and \(l_{ii} + t_{ii} = 4\) for \(2 \leq i \leq n\). Then we have

\[
L_A(G_n^r) = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 4 & -2 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & 4 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 4 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -2 & 4 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -2 & 2
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}
\]

In addition, since \(l_{jj} - t_{jj} = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d_j+1}{2} \right\rfloor\) \((1 \leq j \leq n+1)\), then

\[
L_S(G_n^r) = \begin{pmatrix}
s_{11} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & s_{22} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & s_{33} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & s_{n-1,n-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & s_{n,n} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & s_{n+1,n+1}
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}
\]

where \(s_{jj} = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d_j+1}{2} \right\rfloor\), \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1\).

Let \(\zeta_j\) \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1)\) be the eigenvalues of \(L_S(G_n^r)\) with \(\zeta_1 \leq \zeta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \zeta_{n+1}\). Then one can easily obtain that the spectrum of \(G_n^r\) is \(\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_{n+1}\}\). The expressions of \(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\zeta_j}\) and \(\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \zeta_j\) are derived in the next theorem.
Theorem 4.1. For any graph $G^r_n \in G^r_n$, we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\zeta_j} = \frac{2n + r - d + 16}{12}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \zeta_j = \frac{2^{n+r+2d-9} \cdot 3^{n-r-d+5}}{12},
\]
where $d = d_1 + d_{n+1}$.

Proof. It is easy to observe that the eigenvalues of $L_S(G^r_n)$ are $s_{11}, s_{22}, \ldots, s_{n+1,n+1}$, where $s_{jj} = 2\left\lfloor \frac{d_{j+1}}{2} \right\rfloor$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n + 1$.

Case 1 If $d_1 = d_{n+1} = 2$, then the edges 11’ and $(n+1)(n+1)$’ are deleted, which implies that $r \geq 2$. In this case, we can get that $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = 2$, $\zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = \cdots = \zeta_r = 4$ and $\zeta_{r+1} = \zeta_{r+2} = \cdots = \zeta_{n+1} = 6$. Hence, we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\zeta_j} = \frac{2}{2} + \frac{r - 2}{4} + \frac{n + 1 - r}{6} = \frac{2n + r + 8}{12}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \zeta_j = 2^2 \cdot 4^{r-2} \cdot 6^{n+1-r} = 2^{n+r-1} \cdot 3^{n+1-r}.
\]

Case 2 If $d_1 = d_{n+1} = 3$, then the edges 11’ and $(n+1)(n+1)$’ are not deleted, which implies that $r \geq 0$. Therefore, we can obtain that $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \cdots = \zeta_{r+2} = 4$ and $\zeta_{r+3} = \zeta_{r+4} = \cdots = \zeta_{n+1} = 6$. Thus, we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\zeta_j} = \frac{r + 2}{4} + \frac{n - r - 1}{6} = \frac{2n + r + 4}{12}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \zeta_j = 4^{r+2} \cdot 6^{n-r-1} = 2^{n+r+3} \cdot 3^{n-r}.
\]

Case 3 If $d_1 = 2$ and $d_{n+1} = 3$, or $d_1 = 3$ and $d_{n+1} = 2$, that is the edge 11’ or $(n+1)(n+1)$’ is deleted, thus we have $r \geq 1$. One can immediately get that $\zeta_1 = 2$, $\zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \cdots = \zeta_{r+1} = 4$ and $\zeta_{r+2} = \zeta_{r+3} = \cdots = \zeta_{n+1} = 6$. In this case, we can obtain that
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\zeta_j} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{4} + \frac{n - r}{6} = \frac{2n + r + 6}{12}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \zeta_j = 2 \cdot 4^r \cdot 6^{n-r} = 2^{n+r+1} \cdot 3^{n-r}.
\]

Above all, we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\zeta_j} = \frac{2n + r - 2d + 16}{12}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \zeta_j = 2^{n+r+2d-9} \cdot 3^{n-r-d+5}.
\]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)

Theorem 4.2. For any graph $G^r_n \in G^r_n$, we have
\[
Kf(G^r_n) = \frac{(n+1)(n^2 + 4n + r - 2d + 16)}{6}, \quad (4.1)
\]
where $d = d_1 + d_{n+1}$.
Proof. Since \(|V_{G_n^r}| = 2(n + 1)|, by (1.1), (3.1) and Theorem 4.1| we have

\[
Kf(G_n^r) = 2(n + 1) \left( \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\zeta_j} \right)
\]
\[
= 2(n + 1) \left[ \frac{n(n + 2)}{12} + \frac{2n + r - 2d + 16}{12} \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{(n + 1)(n^2 + 4n + r - 2d + 16)}{6}.
\]

This completes the proof. ∎

**Theorem 4.3.** For any graph \(G_n^r \in \mathcal{G}_n^r\), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{Kf(G_n^r)}{W(G_n^r)} = \frac{1}{4}.
\]

Proof. For any graph \(G_n^r \in \mathcal{G}_n^r\), one can easily check that \(W(G_n^r) = W(G_n) + r\). By (3.8), we have

\[
W(G_n^r) = \frac{2n^3 + 7n^2 + 6n + 3r + 3}{3}.
\]

Together with (4.1), our result follows immediately. ∎

**Theorem 4.4.** For any graph \(G_n^r \in \mathcal{G}_n^r\), we have

\[
\tau(G_n^r) = 2^{2n + r + 2d - 10} \cdot 3^{n - r - d + 5},
\]

where \(d = d_1 + d_{n+1}\).

Proof. Since \(|V_{G_n^r}| = 2(n + 1)|, by Theorem 2.2, (3.1) and Theorem 4.1| we have

**Case 1** If \(d_1 = d_{n+1} = 2\), then

\[
\tau(G_n^r) = \frac{1}{2(n + 1)} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \alpha_i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \zeta_j \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2(n + 1)} \left[ (n + 1)2^n \cdot 2^{n+r-1} \cdot 3^{n+1-r} \right]
\]
\[
= 2^{2n + r - 2} \cdot 3^{n-r+1}.
\]

**Case 2** If \(d_1 = d_{n+1} = 3\), then

\[
\tau(G_n^r) = \frac{1}{2(n + 1)} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \alpha_i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \zeta_j \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2(n + 1)} \left[ (n + 1)2^n \cdot 2^{n+r+3} \cdot 3^{n-r} \right]
\]
\[
= 2^{2n + r + 2} \cdot 3^{n-r}.
\]
Case 3  If $d_1 = 2$ and $d_{n+1} = 3$, or $d_1 = 3$ and $d_{n+1} = 2$, then

$$
\tau(G_n^r) = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \alpha_i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \zeta_j \right)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left( (n+1)2^n \cdot 2^{n+r+1} \cdot 3^{n-r} \right)
$$

$$
= 2^{2n+r} \cdot 3^{n-r}.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\tau(G_n^r) = 2^{2n+r+2d-10} \cdot 3^{n-r-d+5},
$$

as desired.
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