Efficiency and localisation for the first Dirichlet eigenfunction

M. van den Berg ¹
F. Della Pietra ²
G. di Blasio ³
N. Gavitone ²

23 May 2019

Abstract

Bounds are obtained for the efficiency or mean to peak ratio $E(\Omega)$ for the first Dirichlet eigenfunction for open, connected sets $\Omega$ with finite measure in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$. It is shown that (i) localisation implies vanishing efficiency, (ii) a vanishing upper bound for the efficiency implies localisation, (iii) localisation occurs for a wide class of elongating bounded, open, convex and planar sets, (iv) the efficiency of any quadrilateral with perpendicular diagonals of lengths 1, and $n$ respectively is $O(n^{-2/3} \log n)$ as $n \to \infty$, and (v) the efficiency of $\{(x_1,x_2) : (2|n^{-1} x_1|^\alpha + 2|x_2|^\alpha < 1), 1 \leq \alpha < \infty, \}$ is $O(n^{-2/(\alpha+2)}(\log n)^{\max(1/\alpha,1/2)})$, $n \to \infty$. This disproves some claims in the literature. A key technical tool is the Feynman-Kac formula.
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1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be an open set in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 2$, with boundary $\partial \Omega$, and finite measure $|\Omega|$. It is well known that the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian acting in $L^2(\Omega)$ is discrete, and consists of an increasing sequence of eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \leq \lambda_2(\Omega) \leq \ldots,$$

accumulating at infinity. We denote a corresponding orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions by $\{u_{j,\Omega}, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$,

$$-\Delta u_{j,\Omega} = \lambda_j(\Omega) u_{j,\Omega}, u_{j,\Omega} \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$

Throughout this paper we suppose that $\lambda(\Omega) := \lambda_1(\Omega)$ has multiplicity 1. This is for example satisfied if $\Omega$ is connected. In that case the eigenfunction $u_{1,\Omega}$ is uniquely defined up to a sign. We abbreviate, and choose, $u_{\Omega} := u_{1,\Omega} > 0$.
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The Rayleigh-Ritz variational principle asserts that

$$
\lambda(\Omega) = \inf_{\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla \varphi|^2}{\int_\Omega \varphi^2}.
$$

(1)

The efficiency ratio or average to peak ratio of $\Omega$ is defined by

$$
E(\Omega) = \frac{\|u_\Omega\|_1}{|\Omega|\|u_\Omega\|_\infty},
$$

(2)

where $\| \cdot \|_p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ denotes the standard $L^p(\Omega)$ norm.

The study of $E(\Omega)$ goes back to the pioneering results of [19, 23]. In Theorem 3 of [19], it was shown that if $\Omega$ is bounded and convex then

$$
E(\Omega) \leq \frac{2}{\pi},
$$

(3)

with equality in (3) if $\Omega$ is a bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}^1$. A non-linear version has been proved in [10] for the $p$-Laplacian with $1 < p < \infty$. More general results have been obtained in [7]. There it was shown that if $\Omega$ is a bounded region in $\mathbb{R}^m$ then,

$$
E(\Omega) \geq E(B) \left( \frac{\|B\|\lambda(B)}{|\Omega|\lambda(\Omega)} \right)^{m/2},
$$

(4)

where $B$ is a ball in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Moreover, it was asserted in Table 1 in [19] that $\frac{2}{\pi}$ is the limit of the efficiency of a thinning annulus in $\mathbb{R}^m$. The proof of this assertion (Theorem [10]) will be given in Section 4 below. There we will also compute the efficiency for the equilateral triangle, square, and disc. These data support the conjecture that the efficiency of a bounded, convex planar set is maximised by the disc. We note that the efficiency for an arbitrary long rectangle is $(2/\pi)^2 \approx 0.4053...$, whereas the efficiency of a disc is approximately $0.4317...$.

Inequalities (6.9) in [13], and (4.7) in [18] assert that for $\Omega$ open, bounded, planar, and convex,

$$
u_\Omega(x) \leq \min\{|x-y| : y \in \partial \Omega\} \frac{\lambda(\Omega)^{1/2}}{|\Omega| \|u_\Omega\|_1} \|u_\Omega\|_1,
$$

(5)

and both papers refer to [19] for details. However, no such inequality can be found in [19]. Inequality (5) would, by first maximising its right-hand side over all $x \in \Omega$, and subsequently its left-hand side over all $x \in \Omega$, imply that

$$
\|u_\Omega\|_\infty \leq \rho(\Omega) \lambda(\Omega)^{1/2} \|u_\Omega\|_1. \tag{6}
$$

Since the Dirichlet eigenvalues are monotone in the domain, and $\Omega$ contains a disc of radius $\rho(\Omega)$,

$$
\lambda(\Omega) \leq \frac{j_0^2}{\rho(\Omega)^2},
$$

where $j_0$ is the first positive zero of the Bessel function $J_0$. This, by (2) and (6), implies that for a bounded, planar convex set $\Omega$,

$$
E(\Omega) \geq j_0^{-1}. \tag{7}
$$
Inequality (6) was also quoted in formula (2.24) in [11]. However, (6) and (7) cannot hold true. In Theorem 3 and Corollary 3 below we give a wide class of elongating (or by scaling thinning) sequences with vanishing efficiency, which contradicts (7). This class includes the circular sector $S_n(r)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$,

$$S_n(r) = \{(\rho, \theta) : 0 < \rho < r, 0 < \theta < \pi/n\},$$

the quadrilateral with vertices $(0, a_n), (0, -1 + a_n), (b_n, 0), (0, -n + b_n)$, where $a_n \in [0, 1]$, and $b_n \in [0, n]$, and the isosceles triangle with vertices $(n/2, 0), (-n/2, 0), (1, 0)$. The example of a circular sector allows for an explicit computation involving Bessel functions to show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} E(S_n(r)) = 0$. Example 3 below immediately implies that assertion, avoiding the Bessel function computations.

Recently a connection has been established between localisation of eigenfunctions and an effective potential such as the inverse of the torsion function (see [1]). In a similar spirit it has been shown in certain special cases such as a bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$ or a square in $\mathbb{R}^2$ that the eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operator of Anderson type localise (see [2], and [3]).

In Theorem 2 below we establish a connection between a sequence of open sets with finite measure and with vanishing efficiency, that is $E(\Omega_n) \to 0, n \to \infty,$ and localisation. We recall the following definition in [13], (formula (7.1) for $p = 1$).

**Definition 1.** Let $(\Omega_n)$ be a sequence of open, connected sets in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $|\Omega_n| < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $u_{\Omega_n}$ be the first Dirichlet eigenfunction normalised in $L^2(\Omega_n)$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. A sequence $(\Omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\varepsilon$-localising if there exists a sequence of measurable sets $(A_{n,\varepsilon})$, with $A_{n,\varepsilon} \subset \Omega_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{|A_{n,\varepsilon}|}{|\Omega_n|} \leq \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\int_{A_{n,\varepsilon}} u_{\Omega_n}^2 \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

A sequence $(\Omega_n)$ is localising if it is $\varepsilon$-localising for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

It follows directly by scaling of both $u_\Omega$ and $|\Omega|$ that if $\Omega$ is open and connected with $|\Omega| < \infty$, and if $\alpha > 0$, then

$$E(\alpha \Omega) = E(\Omega),$$

where $\alpha \Omega$ is a homothety of $\Omega$ by a factor $\alpha$.

If $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ are open and connected sets in $\mathbb{R}^{m_1}$, and $\mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ respectively, and with finite measures $|\Omega_1|_{m_1}$ and $|\Omega_2|_{m_2}$, then

$$E(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) = E(\Omega_1)E(\Omega_2),$$

where $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ is the Cartesian product in $\mathbb{R}^{m_1 + m_2}$. The proof of (12) follows by factorisation. We have that $\Delta = \Delta_1 \oplus \Delta_2$ is acting in $L^2(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, $\lambda(\Omega) = \lambda_1(\Omega_1) + \lambda_2(\Omega_2)$, $u_\Omega = u_{1,\Omega_1}u_{1,\Omega_2}$.

Finally if $\|u_\Omega\|_2 = 1$, then

$$|\Omega|^{-1}\|u_\Omega\|_\infty^{-2} \leq E(\Omega) \leq |\Omega|^{-1/2}\|u_\Omega\|_\infty^{-1},$$

and

$$E(\Omega) \leq |\Omega|^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_\Omega \right)^2.$$
Theorem 3. For all open, connected set $A$ of a set $\sup_{(14)}$, Theorem 2 (ii) goes in that direction.

Inequality (14) also follows from (15).

We have the following.

**Theorem 2.** (i) If $(\Omega_n)$ is a sequence of open sets in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $|\Omega_n| < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which is localising, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} E(\Omega_n) = 0$.

(ii) If $(\Omega_n)$ is a sequence of open sets in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $|\Omega_n| < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\Omega_n|} \left( \int_{\Omega_n} u_{\Omega_n} \right)^2 = 0,$$

then $(\Omega_n)$ is localising.

We do not have the statement that vanishing efficiency implies localisation but, in view of (14), Theorem 2 (ii) goes in that direction.

Denote by $\rho(\Omega) = \sup \{ \min \{|x - y| : y \in \partial \Omega\} , x \in \Omega\}$ the inradius of $\Omega$, by $\text{diam}(\Omega) = \sup \{|x - y| : x \in \Omega, y \in \Omega\}$ the diameter of $\Omega$, and by $w(\Omega)$ the width of $\Omega$. For a measurable set $A$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with $k < m$ we denote its $k$-dimensional Lebesgue measure by $|A|_k$. The indicator function of a set $A$ is denoted by $1_A$.

Below we show that sets with small $E(\Omega)$ have a small inradius, and a large diameter.

**Theorem 3.** For all open, connected $\Omega$ with $|\Omega| < \infty$,

$$\frac{\rho(\Omega)}{|\Omega|^{1/m}} \leq \left( \frac{e_j^2 (m - 2)/2}{2 \pi m} \right)^{1/2} E(\Omega)^{1/m}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

If $\Omega$ is open, planar, bounded, and convex, then

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{|\Omega|^{1/2}} \geq \left( \frac{\pi}{e_j^2} \right)^{1/2} E(\Omega)^{-1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

It is straightforward to construct sequences which are localising and, as a consequence of Theorem 2 (ii), have vanishing efficiency.

For example, let $\Omega_n$ be the disjoint union of one disc $B$ with radius 1, and $4n$ discs with radii $1/2$. All of the $L^2$ mass of the first eigenfunction of $\Omega_n$ is supported on $B$, with $|B|/|\Omega_n| = \frac{1}{n + 1}$, which tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 5 below implies localisation for a wide class of regions. We first introduce the necessary notation.

**Definition 4.** The Dirichlet heat kernel for a non-empty open set $\Omega$ is denoted by $p_{\Omega}(x,y;t)$, $x \in \Omega, y \in \Omega$, $t > 0$. Points in $\mathbb{R}^m$ will be denoted by a Cartesian pair $(x_1, x')$ with $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$. If $\Omega$ is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^m$, then we define $\Omega(x_1) = \{ x' \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} : (x_1, x') \in \Omega \}$. If $\Omega(x_1)$ is open, bounded, and non-empty in $\mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, then we denote its first $(m - 1)$-dimensional Dirichlet eigenvalue by $\mu(\Omega(x_1))$, and the corresponding Dirichlet heat kernel by $p_{\Omega(x_1)}(x', y'; t)$, $x' \in \Omega(x_1)$, $y' \in \Omega(x_1)$, $t > 0$. We also put $\mu(\emptyset) = \infty$, $\rho_{\emptyset}(x', y'; t) = 0$, and $\Omega' = \cup_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \Omega(x_1)$. A set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is horn-shaped if it is open, connected, $x_1 > x_2 > 0$ implies $\Omega(x_1) \subset \Omega(x_2)$, and $x_1 < x_2 < 0$ implies $\Omega(x_1) \subset \Omega(x_2)$.
Theorem 5. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is horn-shaped with $|\Omega| < \infty$, $|\Omega'|_{m-1} < \infty$,
\[ \mu(\Omega') \geq (m-1)(\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega')), \quad (19) \]
and if
\[ \varepsilon \in (0, |\Omega|^{\mu(\Omega')/2}], \quad (20) \]
then
\[ \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_{\Omega} \right)^2 \leq 2\varepsilon \]
\[ + \frac{2|\Omega'|_{m-1}}{|\Omega|} \left\{ x_1 \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{\mu(\Omega(x_1/2)) - \mu(\Omega')}{2(\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega'))} \leq \log \left( \varepsilon^{-1}|\Omega|^{\mu(\Omega(x_1/2))^{m/2}} \right) \right\} \bigg|_1 \]
\[ + \frac{2^{5/2}|\Omega'|_{m-1}}{|\Omega|} (\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega'))^{-1/2} \left( \log \left( \varepsilon^{-1}|\Omega|^{\mu(\Omega')^{m/2}} \right) \right)^{1/2}. \quad (21) \]

Theorem 5 simplifies in the case of an open, bounded, planar, convex set $\Omega$. If $\Omega$ is bounded, then its width $w(\Omega) < \infty$. It is always possible to choose points $p$ and $q$ on $\partial \Omega$ such that $|p - q| = w(\Omega)$, and $p - q$ is perpendicular to the pair of straight parallel lines tangent to $\partial \Omega$ at $p$ and $q$ which define the width $w(\Omega)$. See Theorem 1.5 and its proof in [5]. We choose the origin $(0, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(p + q)$, and choose the positive $x_1$-axis parallel to these straight lines. The $x' = x_2$-axis is chosen such that $q = (0, \frac{1}{2}w(\Omega))$. It is straightforward to verify that $\Omega$ is horn-shaped with $\Omega' = (-\frac{1}{2}w(\Omega), \frac{1}{2}w(\Omega))$.

Corollary 6. If $\Omega$ is an open, bounded, planar, and convex set, oriented such that $\Omega$ is horn-shaped with $\Omega' = (-\frac{1}{2}w(\Omega), \frac{1}{2}w(\Omega))$ as in the preceding paragraph, if
\[ \lambda(\Omega)w(\Omega)^2 \leq 2\pi^2, \quad (22) \]
and if
\[ \varepsilon \in (0, \pi^2\text{diam}(\Omega)/(2w(\Omega)]), \quad (23) \]
then
\[ \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_{\Omega} \right)^2 \leq 2\varepsilon \]
\[ + \frac{4}{\text{diam}(\Omega)} \left\{ x_1 \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{\mu(\Omega(x_1/2))w(\Omega)^2}{2(\lambda(\Omega)w(\Omega)^2 - \pi^2)} \leq \log \left( 4\pi^2\varepsilon^{-1}w(\Omega)^{-1}\text{diam}(\Omega) \right) \right\} \bigg|_1 \]
\[ + \frac{2^{7/2}w(\Omega)}{\text{diam}(\Omega)} (\lambda(\Omega)w(\Omega)^2 - \pi^2)^{-1/2} \left( \log \left( \pi^2\varepsilon^{-1}w(\Omega)^{-1}\text{diam}(\Omega) \right) \right)^{1/2}. \quad (24) \]

Corollary 6 provides a wealth of examples of localising sequences of bounded, planar, and convex sets.

Example 7. If $(a_n), (b_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ are sequences in $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $a_n \in [0, 1], b_n \in [0, n]$, and if $\Omega_n$ is the quadrilateral with vertices $(0, a_n), (0, -1 + a_n), (b_n, 0), (-n + b_n, 0)$, then $(\Omega_n)$ is localising, and
\[ E(\Omega_n) = O(n^{-2/3}\log n), \quad n \to \infty. \quad (25) \]

Example 8. Let $R_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the rhombus with vertices $(\frac{1}{2}, 0), (-\frac{1}{2}, 0), (0, \frac{1}{2}), (0, -\frac{1}{2})$, and let $\Omega_n$ be an open subset of $R_n$ which contains the open triangle with vertices $(\frac{1}{2}, 0), (0, \frac{1}{2}), (0, -\frac{1}{2})$. Then $(\Omega_n)$ is localising, and its efficiency satisfies (25).
Example 8 implies that the sequence of sectors \((S_n(r_n))\) with radii \(r_n = \frac{n}{2\cos(\pi/(2n))}\), and opening angles \(\pi/n\) localises as \(n \to \infty\). Indeed, for \(n \geq 2\), a translation and rotation of \(S_n(r_n)\) is contained in the rhombus \(R_n\), and contains the triangle \(T_n\).

**Example 9.** If \(1 \leq \alpha < \infty\), and if

\[
\Omega_{n,\alpha} = \{(x_1, x_2) : (2n^{-1}|x_1|)^\alpha + (2|x_2|)^\alpha < 1\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

then \((\Omega_{n,\alpha})\) is localising, and

\[
E(\Omega_{n,\alpha}) = O\left(n^{-2/(\alpha+2)}(\log n)^{\max\{1/\alpha, 1/2\}}\right), \quad n \to \infty.
\] (26)

**Theorem 10.** If \(R > 0\), \(\varepsilon > 0\), and

\[
\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : R < |x| < R + \varepsilon\},
\]

then

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \lambda(\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon}) = \pi^2,
\] (27)

and

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E(\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon}) = \frac{2}{\pi}.
\] (28)

If \(\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2\) is an equilateral triangle, then

\[
E(\Delta) = \frac{2}{\pi \sqrt{3}}.
\] (29)

If \(\Box \subset \mathbb{R}^2\) is a rectangle, then

\[
E(\Box) = \frac{4}{\pi^2}.
\] (30)

If \(B \subset \mathbb{R}^2\) is a disc, then

\[
E(B) \approx 0.6782 \frac{2}{\pi}.
\] (31)

This paper is organised as follows. The proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 are deferred to Section 2 below. The proof of Theorem 5, Corollary 6, and Examples 7, 8, and 9 will be given in Section 3. The proof of Theorem 10 will be given in Section 4.

## 2 Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

**Proof of Theorem 2 (i).** It is well known that \(u_{\Omega_n} \in L^\infty(\Omega_n)\) whenever the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian acting in \(L^2(\Omega_n)\) is discrete. The latter is certainly the case since
$|\Omega_n| < \infty$. Hence by (14), and Cauchy-Schwarz’s inequality we have

$$E(\Omega_n) \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega_n|} \left( \int_{\Omega_n} u_{\Omega_n} \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\Omega_n|} \left( \int_{A_{n,\varepsilon}} u_{\Omega_n} + \int_{\Omega_n \setminus A_{n,\varepsilon}} u_{\Omega_n} \right)^2$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{|\Omega_n|} \left( \left( \int_{A_{n,\varepsilon}} u_{\Omega_n} \right)^2 + \left( \int_{\Omega_n \setminus A_{n,\varepsilon}} u_{\Omega_n} \right)^2 \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{|\Omega_n|} \left( |A_{n,\varepsilon}| + |\Omega_n \setminus A_{n,\varepsilon}| \int_{\Omega_n \setminus A_{n,\varepsilon}} u_{\Omega_n}^2 \right)$$

$$\leq 2 \left( \frac{|A_{n,\varepsilon}| + \varepsilon}{|\Omega_n|} \right)$$

$$\leq 4\varepsilon,$$

where we have used that $(\Omega_n)$ is $\varepsilon$-localising with (9) and (10). Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ was arbitrary we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E(\Omega_n) = 0. \quad (32)$$

Proof of Theorem 2(ii). Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. There exists $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n \geq N_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega_n} u_{\Omega_n} \leq \varepsilon^{1/2}|\Omega_n|^{1/2}. \quad (33)$$

Let $\alpha > 0$, and define

$$B_{n,\alpha} = \{ x \in \Omega_n : u_{\Omega_n}(x) > \alpha \}.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega_n \setminus B_{n,\alpha}} u_{\Omega_n}^2 \leq \alpha^2 |\Omega_n \setminus B_{n,\alpha}|,$$

and

$$\int_{B_{n,\alpha}} u_{\Omega_n}^2 \geq 1 - \alpha^2 |\Omega_n \setminus B_{n,\alpha}| \geq 1 - \alpha^2 |\Omega_n|.$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_{B_{n,\alpha}} u_{\Omega_n} \geq \alpha |B_{n,\alpha}|. \quad (34)$$

It follows by (33) and (34) that

$$n \geq N_\varepsilon \Rightarrow |B_{n,\alpha}| \leq \alpha^{-1} \int_{B_{n,\alpha}} u_{\Omega_n} \leq \alpha^{-1} \int_{\Omega_n} u_{\Omega_n} \leq \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon^{1/2}|\Omega_n|^{1/2}.$$

We now choose

$$\alpha = \varepsilon^{1/4}|\Omega_n|^{-1/2},$$

and conclude that for $n \geq N_\varepsilon$,

$$\int_{B_{n,\varepsilon^{1/4}|\Omega_n|^{-1/2}}} u_{\Omega_n}^2 \geq 1 - \varepsilon^{1/2}, \quad \frac{|B_{n,\varepsilon^{1/4}|\Omega_n|^{-1/2}}|}{|\Omega_n|} \leq \varepsilon^{1/4} \leq \varepsilon^{1/2}.$$
Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ was arbitrary, $(\Omega_n)$ is localising. $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3**. By Lemma 3.1 in [8] we have, taking into account that the estimates there are for the Dirichlet Laplacian with an extra factor $\frac{1}{2}$, that

$$\|u_\Omega\|_\infty^2 \leq \left(\frac{e}{2\pi m}\right)^{m/2} \lambda(\Omega)^{m/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

Since $\Omega$ contains a ball with inradius $\rho(\Omega)$, we have by domain monotonicity

$$\lambda(\Omega) \leq \frac{j^{(m-2)/2}}{\rho(\Omega)^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

By (35), and (36),

$$\|u_\Omega\|_\infty^{-2} \geq \left(\frac{2\pi m}{e j^{(m-2)/2}}\right)^{m/2} \rho(\Omega)^m,$$

and (17) follows by (13). By [15] we have that for planar convex sets, $|\Omega| \leq 2 \text{diam}(\Omega) \rho(\Omega)$. This, together with (17), implies (18). $\square$

**3 Proof of Theorem 5, Corollary 6, and Examples 7, 8, 9**

In order to prove localisation it suffices to show that (16) holds. We proceed via a number of lemmas.

**Lemma 11.** If $\Omega$ is an open set with $|\Omega| < \infty$ and if $\|u_\Omega\|_2 = 1$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left( \int_\Omega u_\Omega \right)^2 \leq 2\varepsilon^2 |\Omega| + \frac{2}{|\Omega|} |\{x \in \Omega : u_\Omega(x) > \varepsilon\}|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

**Proof.** Let

$$\Omega^\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega : u_\Omega(x) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

We have by Cauchy-Schwarz’s inequality that

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left( \int_\Omega u_\Omega \right)^2 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left( \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} u_\Omega + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega^\varepsilon} u_\Omega \right)^2 \leq \frac{2}{|\Omega|} \left( \left( \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} u_\Omega \right)^2 + \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega^\varepsilon} u_\Omega \right)^2 \right) \leq \frac{2}{|\Omega|} \left( \varepsilon^2 |\Omega^\varepsilon|^2 + |\Omega \setminus \Omega^\varepsilon| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega^\varepsilon} u_\Omega^2 \right) \leq 2\varepsilon^2 |\Omega| + \frac{2}{|\Omega|} |\{x \in \Omega : u_\Omega(x) > \varepsilon\}|.$$  \hspace{1cm} $\square$

**Lemma 12.** If $\Omega$ is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $|\Omega| < \infty$, then

$$p_\Omega(x, x; t) \leq \left(\frac{e}{2\pi m}\right)^{m/2} \lambda(\Omega)^{m/2} e^{-t\lambda(\Omega)}, \quad t \geq \frac{m}{2\lambda(\Omega)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)
Proof. Since $|\Omega| < \infty$, the Dirichlet heat kernel $p_{\Omega}(x,y;t)$, $x \in \Omega, y \in \Omega$, $t > 0$ for $\Omega$ has an $L^2(\Omega)$ eigenfunction expansion given by

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j(\Omega)} u_{j,\Omega}^2(x) = p_{\Omega}(x,x;t).
$$

(39)

It follows from (39) that for $\alpha \in [0,1),$

$$
p_{\Omega}(x,x;t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha+1-\alpha)t\lambda_j(\Omega)} u_{j,\Omega}^2(x),
$$

$$
\leq e^{-\alpha t \lambda(\Omega)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-(1-\alpha)t\lambda_j(\Omega)} u_{j,\Omega}^2(x)
$$

$$
= e^{-\alpha t \lambda(\Omega)} p_{\Omega}(x,x;(1-\alpha)t)
$$

$$
\leq e^{-\alpha t \lambda(\Omega)} p_{\Omega}(x,x;'(1-\alpha)t)
$$

$$
= e^{-\alpha t \lambda(\Omega)} (4\pi(1-\alpha)t)^{-m/2},
$$

where we have used monotonicity of the Dirichlet heat kernel. For $t \geq m/(2\lambda(\Omega))$ we choose $\alpha$ as to optimise the right-hand side of (39). This yields,

$$
\alpha = 1 - \frac{m}{2t \lambda(\Omega)}.
$$

This in turn gives (39).

The main idea in the proof of Theorem 5 is to use Brownian motion techniques to achieve an efficient way of separation of variables for horn-shaped domains. These have been used extensively elsewhere. See for example [3], and Lemma 7 in [4].

Lemma 13. Let $\Omega$ be a horn-shaped set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. If $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, x' \in \Omega(x_1)$, then

$$
p_{\Omega}(x,x';t) \leq (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \pi_{\Omega(x_1/2)}(x',x';t) + (4\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{-x_1^2/(4t)} \pi_{\Omega'}(x',x';t).
$$

(40)

Proof. The proof relies on the Feynman-Kac formula (20). We have that for any non-empty open set $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$,

$$
p_{\Omega}(x,y;t) = (4\pi t)^{-m/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/(4t)} P(\cup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x(\tau) \subset \Omega | x(0) = x, x(t) = y),
$$

(41)

where $x(\tau), 0 \leq \tau \leq t$ is a Brownian bridge on $\mathbb{R}^m$. The term $P(\cup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x(\tau) \subset \Omega | x(0) = x, x(t) = y)$ in (41) is the conditional probability that the Brownian bridge stays in $\Omega$, conditioned with $x(0) = x$, $x(t) = y$. We write $x(\tau) = (x_1(\tau),x'(\tau))$ with $x_1(0) = x_1, x_1(t) = y_1, x'(0) = x'$, $x'(t) = y'$, where $x_1(\tau), 0 \leq \tau \leq t$, and $x'(\tau), 0 \leq \tau \leq t$ are independent Brownian bridges. Recall that if $x_1(\tau), 0 \leq \tau \leq t$ is a one-dimensional Brownian bridge with $x_1(0) = x_1(t) = 0$, then

$$
P(\max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x_1(\tau) \leq \xi | x_1(0) = x_1(t) = 0) = \frac{2\xi}{t} e^{-\xi^2/2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(\xi).
$$

(42)
We first consider the case $x_1 > 0$. By (41), and (42),
\begin{align*}
p_{\Omega}(x, x; t) &= (4\pi t)^{-m/2}\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x(\tau) \cap \Omega | x(0) = x(t) = x\right) \\
& \leq (4\pi t)^{-m/2} \int_0^{x_1/2} d\xi \frac{2\xi}{t} e^{-\xi^2/t}\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x'(\tau) \cap \Omega(x_1 - \xi)| x'(0) = x'(t) = x'\right) \\
& \quad + (4\pi t)^{-m/2} \int_{x_1/2}^{\infty} d\xi \frac{2\xi}{t} e^{-\xi^2/t}\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x'(\tau) \cap \Omega(x_1 - \xi)| x'(0) = x'(t) = x'\right) \\
& \leq (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_0^{x_1/2} d\xi \frac{2\xi}{t} e^{-\xi^2/t/\pi_{\Omega(x_1/2)}}(x', x'; t) \\
& \quad + (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_{x_1/2}^{\infty} d\xi \frac{2\xi}{t} e^{-\xi^2/t/\pi_{\Omega}}(x', x'; t) \\
& \leq (4\pi t)^{-1/2}\pi_{\Omega(x_1/2)}(x', x'; t) + (4\pi t)^{-1/2}e^{-x_1^2/4t}\pi_{\Omega'}(x', x'; t),
\end{align*}
where we have used that $\Omega(x_1 - \xi) \subset \Omega(x_1/2)$ for $\xi \in [0, x_1/2)$, and $\Omega(x_1 - \xi) \subset \Omega'$ for $\xi \geq x_1/2$. We next consider the case $x_1 < 0$. By (41), and (42),
\begin{align*}
p_{\Omega}(x, x; t) &= (4\pi t)^{-m/2}\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x(\tau) \cap \Omega | x(0) = x(t) = x\right) \\
& \leq (4\pi t)^{-m/2} \int_0^{x_1/2} d\xi \frac{2\xi}{t} e^{-\xi^2/t}\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x'(\tau) \cap \Omega(x_1 + \xi)| x'(0) = x'(t) = x'\right) \\
& \quad + (4\pi t)^{-m/2} \int_{x_1/2}^{\infty} d\xi \frac{2\xi}{t} e^{-\xi^2/t}\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} x'(\tau) \cap \Omega(x_1 + \xi)| x'(0) = x'(t) = x'\right) \\
& \leq (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_0^{x_1/2} d\xi \frac{2\xi}{t} e^{-\xi^2/t/\pi_{\Omega(x_1/2)}}(x', x'; t) \\
& \quad + (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_{x_1/2}^{\infty} d\xi \frac{2\xi}{t} e^{-\xi^2/t/\pi_{\Omega}}(x', x'; t) \\
& \leq (4\pi t)^{-1/2}\pi_{\Omega(x_1/2)}(x', x'; t) + (4\pi t)^{-1/2}e^{-x_1^2/4t}\pi_{\Omega'}(x', x'; t),
\end{align*}
where we have used that $\Omega(x_1 + \xi) \subset \Omega(x_1/2)$ for $\xi \in [0, |x_1|/2)$, and $\Omega(x_1 + \xi) \subset \Omega'$ for $\xi \geq |x_1|/2$. In both cases we arrive at (41).

**Proof of Theorem 5** We now apply Lemma 12 to the $(m-1)$-dimensional heat kernels $\pi_{\Omega(x_1/2)}$, and $\pi_{\Omega'}$ respectively, and obtain that for
\begin{equation}
t \geq \frac{m - 1}{2\mu(\Omega')},
\end{equation}
both
\begin{equation}
\pi_{\Omega(x_1/2)}(x', x'; t) \leq \left(\frac{e}{2\pi(m - 1)}\right)^{(m-1)/2} \mu(\Omega(x_1/2))^{(m-1)/2} e^{-t\mu(\Omega(x_1/2))},
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\pi_{\Omega'}(x', x'; t) \leq \left(\frac{e}{2\pi(m - 1)}\right)^{(m-1)/2} \mu(\Omega')^{(m-1)/2} e^{-t\mu(\Omega')},
\end{equation}
Indeed, (43) implies $t \geq \frac{m - 1}{2\mu(\Omega(x_1/2))}$ by domain monotonicity. For $t$ satisfying (43),
\begin{equation}
(4\pi t)^{-1/2} \leq (\mu(\Omega')/(2\pi(m - 1)))^{1/2},
\end{equation}
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and we obtain by Lemma 14, 15, and 16 that for \( t \) satisfying (43),

\[
p_{\Omega}(x, x; t) \leq e^{-1/2} \left( \frac{e}{2\pi(m-1)} \right)^{m/2} \left( \mu(\Omega(x_1/2))^{m/2}e^{-t\mu(\Omega(x_1/2))} + \mu(\Omega')^{m/2}e^{-x_1^2/(4t)-t\mu(\Omega')} \right).
\]

Bounding the left-hand side of (39) from below by \( e^{-t\lambda(\Omega)}u_{\Omega}(x) \) we find by (47) that if (43), then

\[
u_{\Omega}(x)^2 \leq e^{-1/2} \left( \frac{e}{2\pi(m-1)} \right)^{m/2} \left( \mu(\Omega(x_1/2))^{m/2}e^{-t(\mu(\Omega(x_1/2)) - \lambda(\Omega))} + \mu(\Omega')^{m/2}e^{-x_1^2/(4t)-t(\mu(\Omega') - \lambda(\Omega))} \right).
\]

It follows that if (43), then

\[
\{ u_{\Omega}^2(x) \geq \varepsilon^2 \} \subset \{ x \in \Omega : e^{-1/2} \left( \frac{e}{2\pi(m-1)} \right)^{m/2} \mu(\Omega(x_1/2))^{m/2}e^{-t(\mu(\Omega(x_1/2)) - \lambda(\Omega))} \geq \varepsilon^2/2 \}
\]

\[
\cup \left\{ x \in \Omega : e^{-1/2} \left( \frac{e}{2\pi(m-1)} \right)^{m/2} \mu(\Omega')^{m/2}e^{-x_1^2/(4t)-t(\mu(\Omega') - \lambda(\Omega))} \geq \varepsilon^2/2 \right\}
\]

\[
= \left\{ x \in \Omega : 2^{1/2}e^{-1/4} \left( \frac{e}{2\pi(m-1)} \right)^{m/4} \mu(\Omega(x_1/2))^{m/4}e^{-t(\mu(\Omega(x_1/2)) - \lambda(\Omega))}/2 \geq \varepsilon \right\}
\]

\[
\cup \left\{ x \in \Omega : 2^{1/2}e^{-1/4} \left( \frac{e}{2\pi(m-1)} \right)^{m/4} \mu(\Omega')^{m/4}e^{-x_1^2/(8t)-t(\mu(\Omega') - \lambda(\Omega))}/2 \geq \varepsilon \right\}
\]

\[:= A_1 \cup A_2,
\]

with obvious notation. We choose

\[t = (2(\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega')))^{-1},
\]

and let

\[\varepsilon \in (0, \mu(\Omega')^{m/4}].
\]

Then the constraint on \( t \) in (43) is satisfied for all \( \Omega \) satisfying (19). For the above choice of \( t \) we have

\[A_1 \subset \{ x \in \Omega : \frac{\mu(\Omega(x_1/2)) - \mu(\Omega')}{4(\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega'))} < \log \left( \varepsilon^{-1}\mu(\Omega(x_1/2))^{m/4} \right) \},
\]

\[|A_1| \leq |\Omega'|_{m-1} \left| \left\{ x_1 \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{\mu(\Omega(x_1/2)) - \mu(\Omega')}{4(\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega'))} < \log \left( \varepsilon^{-1}\mu(\Omega(x_1/2))^{m/4} \right) \right\} \right|_1,
\]

\[A_2 \subset \{ x \in \Omega : x_1^2(\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega')) < 4 \log \left( \varepsilon^{-1}\mu(\Omega')^{m/4} \right) \},
\]

and

\[|A_2| \leq 4|\Omega'|_{m-1}(\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega'))^{-1/2}(\varepsilon^{-1}\mu(\Omega')^{m/4})^{1/2}.
\]

By (37), (48), (49), and (50) we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_{\Omega} \right)^2 \leq 2\varepsilon^2|\Omega|
\]

\[
+ 2|\Omega'|_{m-1} \left| \left\{ x_1 \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{\mu(\Omega(x_1/2)) - \mu(\Omega')}{4(\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega'))} < \log \left( \varepsilon^{-1}\mu(\Omega(x_1/2))^{m/4} \right) \right\} \right|_1
\]

\[
+ 8|\Omega'|_{m-1}(\lambda(\Omega) - \mu(\Omega'))^{-1/2}(\varepsilon^{-1}\mu(\Omega')^{m/4})^{1/2}.
\]

\[\text{(51)}
\]
Substitution of \( \varepsilon^2|\Omega| = \varepsilon' \), and deleting the \( \prime \) yields (21) for all \( \varepsilon \) satisfying (20).

**Proof of Corollary 6** Since \( \Omega' \) is a line segment with length \( w(\Omega) \), we have

\[
\mu(\Omega') = \frac{\pi^2}{w(\Omega)^2}.
\]

(52)

Moreover, since \( \Omega \) is planar, bounded, and convex,

\[
\frac{1}{2}w(\Omega)\text{diam}(\Omega) \leq |\Omega| \leq w(\Omega)\text{diam}(\Omega).
\]

(53)

See for example [17], and [21]. In particular

\[
x_1(\Omega)^+ := \sup\{x_1 : \Omega(x_1) \neq \emptyset\} < \infty, \quad x_1(\Omega)^- = \inf\{x_1 : \Omega(x_1) \neq \emptyset\} > -\infty.
\]

Let \( x^+_\Omega, x^-_\Omega \) be points of \( \partial \Omega \) with \( x_1 \) coordinates \( x_1(\Omega)^+ \) and \( x_1(\Omega)^- \) respectively. By convexity \( \Omega \) contains either a triangle with vertices \((0, \frac{1}{2}), (0, -\frac{1}{2}), (x^+_\Omega, 0) \) or a triangle with vertices \((0, \frac{1}{2}), (0, -\frac{1}{2}), (x^-_\Omega, 0) \) (or both). Hence for any \( x = (x_1, x') \in \Omega, \frac{1}{2}x_1(\Omega)^- \leq x_1/2 \leq \frac{1}{2}x_1(\Omega)^+ \), and \( \Omega(x_1/2) \) contains a line segment with length at least \( \frac{1}{2}w(\Omega) \). So

\[
\mu(\Omega(x_1/2)) \leq \frac{4\pi^2}{(w(\Omega))^2}.
\]

(54)

First note that (22) and (53) imply (19), and that (52) and (23) and (53) imply (20). Inequality (24) follows from (21), (52), and (53).

**Proof of Example 7** P. Kröger observed that one can get upper bounds for the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the circular sector \( S_n(r) \) with radius \( r \) and opening angle \( \pi/n \), which have the correct leading term by choosing an optimal rectangle inside the sector [16]. Similar observations were used in the proof of Theorem 1.5 in [5], and also in the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [14]. In the case at hand Theorem 1.5 in [5] implies the existence of a constant \( c_1 < \infty \) such that

\[
\lambda(\Omega_n) \leq \pi^2 + c_1n^{-2/3}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

(55)

We note that \( \Omega_n \) is horn-shaped with respect to the coordinate system which defines it in Example 7. This is not necessarily the one used to prove Corollary 6. Since \( \Omega_n' \) is an interval of length 1, \( \mu(\Omega_n') = \pi^2 \). Straightforward computations show,

\[
\mu(\Omega_n(x_1)) = \pi^2 \left(1 - \frac{x_1}{b_n}\right)^2, \quad 0 < x_1 < b_n,
\]

(56)

\[
\mu(\Omega_n(x_1)) = \pi^2 \left(1 - \frac{|x_1|}{n-b_n}\right)^2, \quad b_n - n < x_1 < 0,
\]

(57)

\[
\mu(\Omega_n(x_1/2)) \geq \pi^2 \left(1 + \frac{x_1}{b_n}\right), \quad 0 < x_1 < b_n,
\]

(58)

\[
\mu(\Omega_n(x_1/2)) \geq \pi^2 \left(1 + \frac{|x_1|}{n-b_n}\right), \quad b_n - n < x_1 < 0,
\]

(59)

\[
\mu(\Omega_n(x_1/2)) \leq 4\pi^2,
\]

(60)
and

$$|\Omega_n| = \frac{n}{2}$$

(61)

By \(\text{(55)}\) we see that \((19)\) holds for all

$$n \geq N_\Omega := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n^{2/3} \geq \pi^{-2}c_1\}.$$  

We obtain by \((55)-(61)\) that the second term in the right-hand side of \((21)\) is bounded from above by

$$4n^{-1}|\{x_1 \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{\mu(\Omega_n(x_1/2)) - \pi^2}{2(\lambda(\Omega_n) - \pi^2)} < \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}|\Omega_n|\mu(\Omega_n(x_1/2))\right)\}|_1$$

$$\leq 8\pi^{-2}c_1n^{-2/3}\log\left(2^{-1}\pi^2\varepsilon^{-1}n\right), \, 0 < \varepsilon \leq 2^{-1}\pi^2n. \quad (62)$$

To obtain an upper bound on the third term in the right-hand side of \((21)\) we require a lower bound for \(\lambda(\Omega_n)\). We first use Steiner symmetrisation of \(\Omega_n\) with respect to the \(x_1\)-direction, followed by Steiner symmetrisation with respect to the \(x_2\)-direction. This doubly Steiner-symmetrised region is a rhombus with vertices at \((0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}), (0, -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}), (\frac{\pi}{2}, 0), (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)\). This rhombus has first Dirichlet eigenvalue less or equal than \(\lambda(\Omega_n)\). It follows from Theorem 6.2 in \([12]\) there exists \(c_2 > 0\) such that

$$\lambda(\Omega_n) \geq \pi^2 + c_2n^{-2/3}, \, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$ \quad (63)

By \((63)\) we find that the third term in the right-hand side of \((21)\) is for \(0 < \varepsilon \leq 2^{-1}\pi^2n\) and \(n \geq N_\Omega\) bounded from above by

$$2^{7/2}n^{-1}(\lambda(\Omega_n) - \pi^2)^{-1/2}\left(\log\left(2^{-1}\pi^2\varepsilon^{-1}n\right)\right)^{1/2} \leq 2^{7/2}c_2^{-1/2}n^{-2/3}\left(\log\left(2^{-1}\pi^2\varepsilon^{-1}n\right)\right)^{1/2}. \quad (64)$$

We find for \(n \geq N_\Omega\), and \(\varepsilon \in (0, 2^{-1}\pi^2n]\) by \((62), (64),\) and \((21),\)

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega_n|}\left(\int_{\Omega_n} u_{\Omega_n} \right)^2 \leq 2\varepsilon + 8\pi^{-2}c_1n^{-2/3}\log\left(2^{-1}\pi^2\varepsilon^{-1}n\right) + 2^{7/2}c_2^{-1/2}n^{-2/3}\left(\log\left(2^{-1}\pi^2\varepsilon^{-1}n\right)\right)^{1/2}. \quad (65)$$

Choosing \(\varepsilon = n^{-2/3}\) gives that the right-hand side of \((65)\) is \(O(n^{-2/3}\log n)\). This implies localisation by Theorem \(3\)(ii), and \((23)\) follows by \((14)\) and \((65)\) for that choice of \(\varepsilon\). \(\square\)

**Proof of Example** \(8\). By choosing an optimal rectangle in \(T_n\) one shows, similarly to \((55)\), the existence of \(c_3 < \infty\) such that \(\lambda(T_n) \leq \pi^2 + c_3n^{-2/3}\). By domain monotonicity of the Dirichlet eigenvalues, and \((63),\)

$$\pi^2 + c_2n^{-2/3} \leq \lambda(R_n) \leq \lambda(\Omega_n) \leq \lambda(T_n) \leq \pi^2 + c_3n^{-2/3}.$$  

By domain monotonicity of Dirichlet heat kernels,

$$e^{-t\lambda(\Omega)}u_{\Omega_n}(x)^2 \leq p_{\Omega_n}(x, x; t)$$

$$\leq p_{R_n}(x, x; t)$$

$$\leq \left(4\pi t\right)^{-1/2}\pi_{R_n(x_1/2)}(x', x'; t) + \left(4\pi t\right)^{-1/2}e^{-x_1^2/(4t)}\pi_{R_n'}(x', x'; t).$$
Since $\mu(R_n) = \pi^2$, $|R_n'|_1 = 1$, we have for $t \geq (2\pi^2)^{-1}$, following the proof of Theorem 5 from (44) onwards,

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega_n|} \left( \int_{\Omega_n} u_{\Omega_n} \right)^2 \leq 2\varepsilon$$

$$+ \frac{2}{|\Omega_n|} \left\{ \frac{\mu(R_n(x_1/2)) - \pi^2}{2(\lambda(\Omega_n) - \pi^2)} \leq \log \left( \varepsilon^{-1}|\Omega_n|\mu(R_n(x_1/2)^{m/2}) \right) \right\}_1$$

$$+ \frac{2^{5/2}}{|\Omega_n|} (\lambda(\Omega_n) - \pi^2)^{-1/2} (\log (\varepsilon^{-1}|\Omega_n|\pi^2))^{1/2}. \quad(66)$$

Furthermore we have,

$$\frac{n}{4} = |T_n| \leq |\Omega_n| \leq |R_n| = \frac{n}{2}.$$

We now proceed as in Example 7 and find that for $\varepsilon \in (0, 4^{-1} \pi^2 n]$, and all $n$ sufficiently large,

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega_n|} \left( \int_{\Omega_n} u_{\Omega_n} \right)^2 \leq 2\varepsilon + 16c_3 n^{-2/3} \log \left( 2^{-1} \pi^2 \varepsilon^{-1} n \right) + 2^{9/2} c_2^{-1/2} n^{-2/3} \left( \log \left( 2^{-1} \pi^2 \varepsilon^{-1} n \right) \right)^{1/2}. \quad(67)$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = n^{-2/3}$ gives that the right-hand side of (67) is $O(n^{-2/3} \log n)$. This implies localisation by Theorem 3(ii), and (25) follows by (14) and (67) for that choice of $\varepsilon$. \qed

**Proof of Example 5.** By the results of Theorem 1 in [6] we have the existence of $c(\alpha) \in (1, \infty)$ such that

$$\pi^2 + c(\alpha)^{-1} n^{-2\alpha/(\alpha+2)} \leq \lambda(\Omega_{n,\alpha}) \leq \pi^2 + c(\alpha) n^{-2\alpha/(\alpha+2)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

For $-\frac{n}{2} < x_1 < \frac{n}{2}$, $\Omega(x_1)$ is a line segment of length $(1 - (2|x_1|/n)^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$. Hence,

$$\mu(\Omega(x_1/2)) = \pi^2 \left( 1 - (n^{-1}|x_1|^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} \right),$$

and

$$\mu(\Omega_{n,\alpha}') = \pi^2, \quad \mu(\Omega_{n,\alpha}(x_1/2)) \leq 4\pi^2. \quad(68)$$

We have for all $\alpha > 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{n}{2} \leq |\Omega_{n,\alpha}| \leq n. \quad(69)$$

For $\varepsilon \in (0, 2^{-1} \pi^2 n]$, and all $n$ sufficiently large, we have that the second term in the right-hand side of (21) is bounded by

$$4n^{-1} \left\{ x_1 \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{\mu(\Omega_{n,\alpha}(x_1/2)) - \pi^2}{2(\lambda(\Omega_{n,\alpha}) - \pi^2)} \leq \log \left( 4\pi^2 \varepsilon^{-1} n \right) \right\}_1 \leq 8 (\alpha c(\alpha) / \pi^2)^{1/\alpha} n^{-2/(\alpha+2)} \left( \log \left( 4\pi^2 \varepsilon^{-1} n \right) \right)^{1/\alpha}, \quad(70)$$

Similarly we find that the third term in the right-hand side of (21) is, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 2^{-1} \pi^2 n]$, and all $n$ sufficiently large, bounded by

$$2^{7/2} n^{-1} (\lambda(\Omega_{n,\alpha}) - \pi^2)^{-1/2} \left( \log \left( \pi^2 \varepsilon^{-1} n \right) \right)^{1/2} \leq 2^{7/2} c(\alpha)^{1/2} n^{-2/(\alpha+2)} \left( \log \left( \pi^2 \varepsilon^{-1} n \right) \right)^{1/2}. \quad (71)$$
Choosing $\epsilon = n^{-2/(\alpha+2)}$ implies by Theorem 5, (70), and (71),

$$
\frac{1}{|\Omega_n,\alpha|} \left( \int_{\Omega_n,\alpha} u_{\Omega_n,\alpha} \right)^2 = O(n^{-2/(\alpha+2)}(\log n)^{\max\{1/\alpha,1/2\}}), \ n \to \infty.
$$

(72)

This implies localisation by Theorem 3(ii), and (26) follows by (14) and (72) for that choice of $\epsilon$.

\[ \square \]

4 Proof of Theorem 10

**Proof of Theorem 10** Choosing $\varphi(x) = \sin(\pi(|x| - R)/\epsilon)$ as a test function in (1) we have that

\[
\lambda(\Omega_{R,R+\epsilon}) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{R+\epsilon}{R} \right)^{m-1} \int_R^{R+\epsilon} \frac{\cos^2 \left( \pi(r-R)/\epsilon \right) r^{m-1} dr}{\sin^2 \left( \pi(r-R)/\epsilon \right) r^{m-1} dr} \int_R^{R+\epsilon} \frac{\cos^2 \left( \pi(r-R)/\epsilon \right) dr}{\sin^2 \left( \pi(r-R)/\epsilon \right) dr} \]

(73)

On the other hand, since the first Dirichlet eigenfunction of $\Omega_{R,R+\epsilon}$ is radial, $u_{\Omega_{R,R+\epsilon}}(x) := u(r)$, we have that

\[
\lambda(\Omega_{R,R+\epsilon}) = \int_R^{R+\epsilon} \frac{u(r)^2 r^{m-1} dr}{\int_R^{R+\epsilon} u(r)^2 r^{m-1} dr} \]

\[
\geq \left( \frac{R}{R+\epsilon} \right)^{m-1} \int_R^{R+\epsilon} \frac{u'(r)^2 dr}{\int_R^{R+\epsilon} u(r)^2 dr} \]

\[
\geq \left( \frac{R}{R+\epsilon} \right)^{m-1} \min_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega_{R,R+\epsilon}) \setminus \{0\}} \int_R^{R+\epsilon} \frac{v'(r)^2 dr}{\int_R^{R+\epsilon} v(r)^2 dr} \]

(74)

and (27) follows from (73) and (74).

To prove (28) we consider the radial solution $\psi_\epsilon(|x|) = u_\epsilon(x)$ of

$$
-\Delta u_{\Omega_{R,R+\epsilon}} = \lambda(\Omega_{R,R+\epsilon}) u_{\Omega_{R,R+\epsilon}},
$$
with zero boundary condition, and \( \| \psi_\varepsilon \|_\infty = 1 \). The function \( \psi_\varepsilon \) satisfies

\[
\psi''_\varepsilon + \frac{m-1}{r} \psi'_\varepsilon + \lambda_\varepsilon \psi_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{in } (R, R + \varepsilon),
\]

with boundary condition \( \psi_\varepsilon(R) = \psi_\varepsilon(R + \varepsilon) = 0 \), and normalisation \( \| \psi_\varepsilon \|_\infty = 1 \), where \( \lambda_\varepsilon = \lambda(\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon}) \). Define

\[
\phi_\varepsilon(t) = \psi_\varepsilon(R + \varepsilon t), \quad t \in (0, 1).
\]

Then \( \phi_\varepsilon \) satisfies

\[
\begin{cases}
\phi''_\varepsilon + \frac{(m-1)\varepsilon}{R + \varepsilon t} \phi'_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \lambda_\varepsilon \phi_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{in } ]0,1[, \\
\phi_\varepsilon(0) = \phi_\varepsilon(1) = 0, \\
\| \phi_\varepsilon \|_\infty = 1.
\end{cases}
\] (75)

Integrating between the maximum point \( t_m \) of \( \phi \) and \( t \in (0, 1) \), we get that

\[
|\phi'_\varepsilon(t)| = \left| \int_{t_m}^{t} \left( \frac{(m-1)\varepsilon \phi'_\varepsilon(t)}{R + \varepsilon t} + \varepsilon^2 \lambda_\varepsilon \phi_\varepsilon(t) \right) \, dt \right| 
\leq (m-1) \left( \frac{2\varepsilon}{R} + \varepsilon^2 \lambda_\varepsilon \right). \tag{76}
\]

Hence \( \phi_\varepsilon, \phi'_\varepsilon \) are equibounded in \((0, 1)\) and, by the Ascoli-Arzela Theorem, \( \phi_\varepsilon \) converges uniformly, as \( \varepsilon \to 0^+ \), to a continuous function \( \phi(t) \) in \( (0, 1) \). From (75), (76), we also obtain equiboundedness of the second derivatives \( \phi''_\varepsilon \). Hence \( \phi_\varepsilon \) converges uniformly to \( \phi \) in \( C^1 \).

Moreover we obtain uniform convergence of the second derivatives \( \phi''_\varepsilon \). Passing to the limit in the equation, we infer that \( \phi \) satisfies

\[
\begin{cases}
\phi'' + \pi^2 \phi = 0 & \text{in } ]0,1[, \\
\phi(0) = \phi(1) = 0, \\
\| \phi \|_\infty = 1.
\end{cases}
\] (77)

Hence \( \phi(t) = \sin(\pi t) \), and

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{[0,1]} \phi_\varepsilon(t) \, dt = \int_{[0,1]} \phi(t) \, dt = \frac{2}{\pi}. \tag{78}
\]

So we obtain

\[
E(\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon}) = |\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon}|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon}} \psi_\varepsilon 
\geq \left( \frac{R}{R + \varepsilon} \right)^{m-1} \int_{[0,1]} \phi_\varepsilon(t) \, dt,
\]

and, by (78),

\[
\liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E(\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon}) \geq \frac{2}{\pi}.
\]

Similarly we have

\[
E(\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon}) \leq \left( \frac{R + \varepsilon}{R} \right)^{m-1} \int_{[0,1]} \phi_\varepsilon(t) \, dt,
\]
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and, by (78),

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E(\Omega_{R,R+\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{2}{\pi}.$$  

To prove (29) we consider an equilateral triangle $\Delta$ with vertices at $(0,0), (1,0), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3})$. The first Dirichlet eigenfunction is given by (formula (2.1) in [22]),

$$u_\Delta(x_1,x_2) = \sin\left(\frac{4\pi x_2}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - \sin\left(2\pi\left(x_1 + \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{3}}\right)\right) + \sin\left(2\pi\left(x_1 - \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{3}}\right)\right).$$

We find that $|\Delta| = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$,

$$\|u_\Delta\|_{\infty} = u(1/2, \sqrt{3}/6) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2},$$

and

$$\|u_\Delta\|_1 = \int_{\Delta} u(x_1,x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \frac{9}{4\pi\sqrt{3}}.$$  

This proves (29).

The efficiency of an interval is given by $\frac{2}{\pi}$. Formula (30) follows by (12).

To prove (31) we let $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < 1\}$. Then

$$u_B(r,\theta) = J_0(j_0 r), 0 \leq r < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 2\pi,$$

and

$$\|u_B\|_1 = \int_{[0,1]} dr \int_{[0,2\pi]} d\theta J_0(j_0 r) \approx 0.215882(2\pi).$$ \hspace{1cm} (79)

Since $\|u_B\|_{\infty} = J_0(0) = 1$, we have that

$$E(B) \approx 0.6782 \frac{2}{\pi}. \hspace{1cm} (80)$$

\[\Box\]
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