COVERS, ORIENTATIONS AND FACTORS

PÉTER CSIKVÁRI AND ANDRÁS IMOLAY

Abstract. Given a graph $G$ with only even degrees let $\varepsilon(G)$ be the number of Eulerian orientations and let $h(G)$ denote the number of half graphs, that is, subgraphs $F$ such that $d_F(v) = d_G(v)/2$ for each vertex $v$. Recently, M. Borbényi and P. Csikvári proved that $\varepsilon(G) \geq h(G)$ holds true for all Eulerian graphs with equality if and only if $G$ is bipartite. In this paper we give a simple new proof of this fact, and we give identities and inequalities for the number of Eulerian orientations and half graphs of a 2-cover of a graph $G$.

1. Introduction

Given an Eulerian graph $G$. We call a graph Eulerian if each vertex has even degree. In the literature it is often assumed that an Eulerian graph is also connected, but we will not require connectedness in this paper. Let $\varepsilon(G)$ be the number of Eulerian orientations, that is, the orientations where each vertex has in-degree equal to the out-degree. Counting Eulerian orientations has triggered considerable interest both in combinatorics, computer science and statistical physics. Probably, the best known result is due to Lieb [10] who determined the asymptotic number of Eulerian orientations of large grid graphs. Schrijver [14] gave a lower bound on the number of Eulerian orientations in terms of the degree sequence. Welsh [17] observed that for a 4-regular graph the Tutte-polynomial evaluation $|T_G(0, −2)|$ is exactly the number of Eulerian orientations since nowhere-zero $\mathbb{Z}_3$-flows and Eulerian orientations are in one-to-one correspondence for 4-regular graphs. Mihail and Winkler [12] gave an efficient randomized algorithm to sample and approximately count Eulerian orientations.

Let $h(G)$ denote the number of half graphs, that is, subgraphs $F$ such that $d_F(v) = d_G(v)/2$ for each vertex $v$. Note that $h(G) > 0$ if $G$ is not only Eulerian, but each of its connected component has an even number of edges. This condition is clearly necessary to have a half graph, and also sufficient: every second edge of an Eulerian tour will determine a half graph.

Recently, M. Borbényi and P. Csikvári [4] proved that $\varepsilon(G) \geq h(G)$ holds true for all Eulerian graphs with equality if and only if $G$ is bipartite. In this paper we give a simple new proof of this fact, and we give identities and inequalities for the number of Eulerian orientations and half graphs of a 2-cover of a graph $G$. In fact, we study the number of orientations and factors of 2-covers with prescribed in-degree and degree, respectively.

1.1. Results. For an edge set $A \subseteq E(G)$ let $\varepsilon(A)$ denote the number of Eulerian orientations of the graph $(V, A)$. Similarly, let $h(A)$ denote the number of half graphs of the graph $(V, A)$. Our first result is an identity for $\varepsilon(G)$ and $h(G)$.
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**Theorem 1.1.** Let $G$ be an Eulerian graph with edge set $E$. Then
\[ \varepsilon(G)^2 = \sum_{A \subseteq E} \varepsilon(A) \varepsilon(E \setminus A) \]
and
\[ h(G)^2 = \sum_{A \subseteq E} h(A) h(E \setminus A). \]

Using the identities of Theorem 1.1 we can easily give a new proof of the following theorem of M. Borbényi and P. Csikvári [4].

**Theorem 1.2 (M. Borbényi and P. Csikvári [4]).** Let $G$ be an Eulerian graph. Then $\varepsilon(G) \geq h(G)$ with equality if and only if $G$ is bipartite.

As we will see it is natural to consider the number of Eulerian orientations and half graphs of 2-covers of an Eulerian graph $G$.

**Definition 1.3.** A $k$-cover (or $k$-lift) $H$ of a graph $G$ is defined as follows. The vertex set of $H$ is $V(H) = V(G) \times \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$, and if $(u, v) \in E(G)$, then we choose a perfect matching between the vertices $(u, i)$ and $(v, j)$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq k-1$. If $(u, v) \notin E(G)$, then there are no edges between $(u, i)$ and $(v, j)$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq k-1$.

![Figure 1. A 2-lift.](image)

When $k = 2$ one can encode the 2-lift $H$ by putting signs on the edges of the graph $G$: the $+$ sign means that we use the matching $((u, 0), (v, 0)), ((u, 1), (v, 1))$ at the edge $(u, v)$, the $-$ sign means that we use the matching $((u, 0), (v, 1)), ((u, 1), (v, 0))$ at the edge $(u, v)$. For instance, if we put $+$ signs to every edge, then we simply get $G \cup G$ as $H$, and if we put $-$ signs everywhere, then the obtained 2-cover $H$ is simply $G \times K_2$. Observe that if $G$ is bipartite, then $G \cup G = G \times K_2$, but other 2-covers might differ from $G \cup G$.

Conjecture of S. Friedland, E. Krop and K. Markström [7]. The properties of random lifts are also widely studied, see for instance the papers [4] and [5].

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $G$ be an Eulerian graph with edge set $E$. Then

$$
\varepsilon(G \times K_2) = h(G \times K_2) = \sum_{A \subseteq E} \varepsilon(A)h(E \setminus A).
$$

Combining Theorem 1.4 with Theorem 1.2 we get that

$$
\varepsilon(G \cup G) \geq \varepsilon(G \times K_2) = h(G \times K_2) \geq h(G \cup G).
$$

These inequalities can be generalized as follows. Let $H$ be an arbitrary 2-cover of an Eulerian graph $G$. Then

$$
\varepsilon(G \cup G) \geq \varepsilon(H) \quad \text{and} \quad h(G \times K_2) \geq h(H).
$$

In fact, even more general statement is true. To spell out this generalization we need the concepts $\varepsilon_\mathbf{G}(G)$ and $h_\mathbf{G}(G)$.

**Definition 1.5.** Let $\mathbf{G} = (r_v)_{v \in V(G)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{V(G)}$. Let $\varepsilon_\mathbf{G}(G)$ denote the number of orientations of $G$ with in-degree $r_v$ at vertex $v$. We will call such an orientation an $\mathbf{G}$-orientation.

Similarly, let $h_\mathbf{G}(G)$ be the number of subgraphs $F$ of $G$ with degree $d_F(v) = r_v$ for each vertex $v$. We will call such a subgraph an $\mathbf{G}$-factor.

Clearly, if $r_v = d_G(v)/2$ for each vertex $v$, then $\varepsilon_\mathbf{G}(G) = \varepsilon(G)$ and $h_\mathbf{G}(G) = h(G)$. Other notable case is when $r_v = r$ for all $v$, then $h_\mathbf{G}(G)$ counts the number of $r$-factors.

**Definition 1.6.** Let $\mathbf{G} = (r_v)_{v \in V(G)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{V(G)}$ and let $H$ be a $k$-cover of $G$. We say that $\mathbf{H} = (r_v)_{v \in V(H)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{V(H)}$ is induced by $\mathbf{G}$ if $r_u = r_v$ for all lifts $u \in V(H)$ of $u \in V(G)$.

In the following statements we can even drop the condition of $G$ being Eulerian.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let $\mathbf{G} = (r_v)_{v \in V(G)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{V(G)}$. Let $H$ be an arbitrary 2-cover of the graph $G$. Let us denote by $\mathbf{G}$ the induced vector of $\mathbf{G}$ on $H$ and $G \cup G$. Then

$$
\varepsilon_\mathbf{G}(G \cup G) \geq \varepsilon_\mathbf{G}(H).
$$

**Theorem 1.8.** Let $\mathbf{G} = (r_v)_{v \in V(G)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{V(G)}$. Let $H$ be an arbitrary 2-cover of a graph $G$. Let us denote by $\mathbf{G}$ the induced vector of $\mathbf{G}$ on $H$ and $G \times K_2$. Then

$$
h_\mathbf{G}(G \times K_2) \geq h_\mathbf{G}(H).
$$

Note that Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 provide yet another proof of Theorem 1.2 by

$$
\varepsilon(G)^2 = \varepsilon(G \cup G) \geq \varepsilon(G \times K_2) = h(G \times K_2) \geq h(G \cup G) = h(G)^2.
$$

Next we generalize the concept of Eulerian orientations and half graphs. To every edge of $G$ assign either $o$ or $s$, that is, orientation or subgraph. Then for all edge we have two choices: if we assigned $o$ to the edge, then we need to orient it, so we choose one of the end points and add 1 to it, and add 0 to the other endpoint. (More precisely, we consider the in-degree.) If we assigned $s$ for the edge, then we need to decide whether we put this edge into subgraph or not, so we either add 1 to both endpoints, or add 0 to both endpoints. We will call such a configuration a factorientation. We will call the contribution of the edges to the vertex $v$ the mixed degree of $v$, that is, it is the sum of the in-degree from oriented edges and the degree coming from the subgraph. After we choose $o$ or $s$ for every edge, we say that a factorientation is balanced, if for every vertex $v$ the mixed degree is $d_G(v)/2$. Let $g(G)$ be the number of balanced factorientations. We can see that this is a generalization of both Eulerian orientations and half graphs, because if we assign $o$ to each edge, then $g(G) = \varepsilon(G)$ and if we assign $s$ to each edge, then $g(G) = h(G)$. 


Theorem 1.9. Let $H$ be a 2-cover of $G = (V,E)$ encoded with + and − signs, such that for each edge the 2-lifts of this edge get the same letter (o or s). For an edge subset $B \subseteq E$ let $\overline{B}$ denote the graph with vertex set $V$ and edge set $B$, just for each edge with a minus in it we swap o to s and vice versa. Then

$$g(H) = \sum_{A \subseteq E} g(A)g(E \setminus A).$$

Clearly, Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 are corollaries of this theorem. We can also generalize Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Theorem 1.10. Let $G$ be an Eulerian graph with $o$ and $s$ assignments on the edges. Let $g(G)$ be the number of corresponding balanced factororientations. Then $g(G) \leq \varepsilon(G)$.

1.2. Organization of this paper and notations. In the next section we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.8. In Section 4 we prove Theorems 1.9 and 1.10. We end the paper with an open problem.

Notations. For a graph $G$ and a vertex $v$ let $E_v$ denote the edges incident to $v$ in the graph $G$ and let $d_G(v) = |E_v|$. Furthermore, $N_G(v)$ denotes the set of neighbors of $v$. For a graph $G = (V,E)$ let $pm(G)$ denote the number of perfect matchings. If $H$ is a 2-cover of $G$, then $V_0$ and $V_1$ will denote the two copies of the vertex set. In particular, $G \times K_2$ is a bipartite graph with bipartite classes $V_0$ and $V_1$. For a vertex $u \in V(G)$ let $u_0$ and $u_1$ denote the two copies of $u$ in the 2-cover $H$.

2. Proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only prove the identity $h(G)^2 = \sum_{A \subseteq E} h(A)h(E \setminus A)$. The proof of the other identity is very similar. Consider $S,T \subseteq E(G)$ determining two half graphs. Let $A = S\Delta T$ be the symmetric difference of the half graphs $S$ and $T$. Let $B = E \setminus A$, then $B = (S \cap T) \cup (E \setminus (S \cup T))$. Recall that $E_v$ denotes the edges incident to the vertex $v$. Since $|S \cap E_v| = |T \cap E_v| = d_G(v)/2$ we have $|E_v \cap (A \cap S)| = |E_v \cap (A \cap T)|$ and $|E_v \cap (S \cap T)| = |E_v \cap (E \setminus (S \cup T))|$. In other words, $A \cap S$ is a half graph of $A$, and $B \cap (S \cap T)$ is a half graph of $B$. Clearly, $S$ and $T$ determine $A, A \cap S, B, B \cap (S \cap T)$. But it is also true that $A, A \cap S, B, B \cap (S \cap T)$ determine $S$ and $T$ since $S = (A \cap S) \cup (S \cap T)$ and $T = (A \cap T) \cup (S \cap T)$. The number of quadruple $A, A \cap S, B, B \cap (S \cap T)$ is clearly $\sum_{A \subseteq E} h(A)h(E \setminus A)$. Hence

$$h(G)^2 = \sum_{A \subseteq E} h(A)h(E \setminus A).$$

In case of Eulerian orientations let $S$ and $T$ be two Eulerian orientations, and let $A$ be the set of edges where the orientations coincide and $B = E \setminus A$ be the remaining edges. Similarly to the previous discussion $S$ restricted to $A$ and $B$ gives an Eulerian orientation. The rest of the proof is the same. \[\square\]

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $G \times K_2 = (V_0, V_1, E)$ is bipartite we have $\varepsilon(G \times K_2) = h(G \times K_2)$. Consider a half graph $S$ of $G \times K_2$. Let $\pi : G \times K_2 \to G$ be the natural projection. For $k = 0, 1, 2$ let $A_k = \{e \in E \mid |\pi^{-1}(e) \cap S| = k\}$. Since $S$ was a half graph of $G \times K_2$ we get that for each vertex $v$ we have $|E_v \cap A_0| = |E_v \cap A_2|$. In other words, $A_0$ is a half graph of $A_0 \cup A_2$. Let us orient an edge $(u,v) \in A_1$ from $u$ to $v$ if $(u_0, v_1) \in S$. Since $S$ was a half graph of
G × K₂ this gives an Eulerian orientation of the edges of A₁. Clearly, from the Eulerian orientation of A₁ and the half graph A₀ of A₀ ∪ A₂ we can immediately reconstruct S. Hence
\[ h(G × K₂) = \sum_{A₁ ∈ E} ε(A₁)h(A₀ ∪ A₂) = \sum_{A ⊆ E} ε(A)h(E \setminus A). \]

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We prove the statement by induction on the number of edges of G. If the graph has no edge, then the statement is trivial. If it consists of a single cycle of length k together with isolated vertices, then ε(G) = 2 and h(G) = 0 or 2 depending on k being odd or even. So in this case the theorem is true. If G is different from a single cycle together with isolated vertices, then we use induction:
\[ ε(G)^2 - 2ε(G) = \sum_{A ⊆ E} ε(A)ε(E \setminus A) ≥ \sum_{A ⊆ E} h(A)h(E \setminus A) = h(G)^2 - 2h(G). \]
Since ε(G) ≥ 1 and the function x² - 2x is a monotone increasing function for x ≥ 1 we get that ε(G) ≥ h(G).

3. Proof of Theorems 1.7 and 1.8

In this section we prove Theorems 1.7 and 1.8. The proofs rely on three observations, one of them is due to A. Schrijver relating the number of r-orientations of a graph G to the number of perfect matchings of a certain bipartite graph G* constructed from G. (In fact, we will slightly modify it, but still attribute it to A. Schrijver.) A similar observation connects the number of r-factors of a graph G to the number of perfect matchings of another graph G** constructed from G. The last observation is due to P. Csikvári and gives an inequality between the number of perfect matchings of certain 2-covers of a graph G.

Lemma 3.1 (A. Schrijver [14]). Let G be a graph, and let G* be the following bipartite graph. On one side of the bipartite graph every vertex corresponds to an edge e ∈ E(G). On the other side of the bipartite graph we take r,v copies of each vertex v. Finally, an edge e = (u, v) is adjacent to all copies of u and v. Then
\[ \text{pm}(G*) = ε_{r,v}(G) \prod_{v ∈ V(G)} r_v!. \]

Proof. Let R = \prod_{v ∈ V(G)} r_v!. There is an 1 to R map from the set of r-orientations to the set of perfect matchings of G*. Namely, if the edges (u, v₁) ∈ E(G) for i = 1, . . . r_u are oriented towards u, then we take the union of perfect matchings between e_uvᵢ and the r_u copies of u to get a perfect matching of G*.

A similar lemma enables us to encode h_r via perfect matchings. A qualitative version of this lemma appeared in [15].

Lemma 3.2 (W. Tutte [15]). Let G be a graph, and let G** be the following graph. For each edge e = (u, v) we introduce two vertices e_uv and e_vu, and for each vertex v we introduce r_v copies of v. Then we connect e_uv with e_vu, and we also connect the r_u copies of u with e_vu for each v ∈ N_G(u). Then
\[ \text{pm}(G**) = h_{r,v}(G) \prod_{v ∈ V(G)} r_v!. \]
Proof. Let \( R = \prod_{v \in V(G)} r_v! \). Again there is an 1 to \( R \) map from the set of \( r \)-factors to the set of perfect matchings of \( G^{**} \). Namely, if the edges \( (u, v_i) \in E(G) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r_u \) are in the \( r \)-factor, then to get a perfect matching of \( G^{**} \), we take the union of perfect matchings between \( e_{v_iu} \) and the \( r_u \) copies of \( u \) together with those edges \( (e_{xy}, e_{yx}) \) for which \( (x, y) \in E(G) \), but is not in the \( r \)-factor. □

Next we need a lemma that relates perfect matchings with covers.

Lemma 3.3 (P. Csikvári [6, 5]). Let \( G \) be a graph, and let \( H \) be an arbitrary 2-cover of \( G \). Then

\[ \text{pm}(H) \leq \text{pm}(G \times K_2). \]

In particular, if \( G \) is bipartite, then \( \text{pm}(H) \leq \text{pm}(G)^2 \).

Sketch of the proof. Let us project the edges of a perfect matching of a 2-cover \( H \) to the graph \( G \). The obtained configuration consists of cycles and double-edges, that is, two edges projected to the same edge. (Every degree must be 2 in the obtained configuration.) For such a configuration we can count the number of preimages. Each cycle can be lifted in exactly two ways since the preimage of one edge determines the preimage of the subsequent edges in the cycle. It may occur though that we cannot close the cycle. (This happens for instance if try to lift a 3-cycle in the union of two 3-cycles.) On the other hand, it is easy to see that on \( G \times K_2 \) every cycle can be lifted in exactly two ways. This means that every configuration has at least as many preimages on \( G \times K_2 \) than on another 2-cover \( H \).

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let \( H \) be an arbitrary cover of the graph \( G \). Let us construct the bipartite graphs \( G^{**} \) and \( H^{**} \) of Lemma 3.1. Observe that \( H^{**} \) is also a 2-cover of \( G^{**} \), and so by Lemma 3.3 we have \( \text{pm}(G^{**})^2 \geq \text{pm}(H^{**}) \). Using Lemma 3.1 we have

\[ \text{pm}(G^{**})^2 = \varepsilon(G)^2 \left( \prod_{v \in V(G)} r_v! \right)^2 \]

and

\[ \text{pm}(H^{**}) = \varepsilon(H) \prod_{v \in V(H)} r_v! = \varepsilon(H)^2 \left( \prod_{v \in V(G)} r_v! \right)^2. \]

Hence \( \varepsilon(G)^2 \geq \varepsilon(H) \).

Remark 3.4. An interesting application of Theorem 1.7 is the following. Let \( T_{n,m} \) be the toroidal grid of size \( n \times m \), that is, a grid of size \( n \times m \) closed in a toroidal way to make it 4-regular. Then \( \varepsilon(T_{n,m}) \geq \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^{3nm/2} \). This can be seen as follows. E. Lieb [10] showed that \( \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \varepsilon(T_{n,m})^{1/nm} = \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^{3/2} \). On the other hand, \( T_{2n,m} \) and \( T_{n,2m} \) are 2-covers of \( T_{n,m} \), so \( \varepsilon(T_{n,m})^2 \geq \varepsilon(T_{2n,m}) \) and \( \varepsilon(T_{n,2m}) \) so it is necessary that \( \varepsilon(T_{n,m})^{1/nm} \geq \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^{3/2} \) for every \( n, m \).

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let \( H \) be an arbitrary cover of the graph \( G \). Let us construct the bipartite graphs \( G^{**} \) and \( H^{**} \) of Lemma 3.2. Observe that \( H^{**} \) is also a 2-cover of \( G^{**} \), and \( (G \times K_2)^{**} = G^{**} \times K_2 \) and so by Lemma 3.3 we have \( \text{pm}((G \times K_2)^{**}) \geq \text{pm}(H^{**}) \). Using Lemma 3.2 we have

\[ \text{pm}((G \times K_2)^{**}) = h_e(G \times K_2) \prod_{v \in V(G \times K_2)} r_v! = h_e(G \times K_2) \left( \prod_{v \in V(G)} r_v! \right)^2. \]
and
\[ \text{pm}(H^{**}) = h_v(H) \prod_{v \in V(H)} r_v! = h_v(H) \left( \prod_{v \in V(G)} r_v! \right)^2. \]
Hence \( h_v(G \times K_2) \geq h_v(H). \]

4. GENERAL 2-COVER

In this section we prove Theorems 1.9 and 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Consider a balanced factorization \( S \) of the graph \( H \). Take the natural projection from \( H \) to \( G \), and let \( A \) be the set of edges for which the projected edges coincide, that is, both edges are oriented in the same way if there is an \( o \) on that edge, or they are both or neither in the subgraph if there is an \( s \) on that edge.

Let \( B = E \setminus A \). The factorization \( S \) was balanced so for all vertex \( v \) the mixed degrees of \( v_0 \) and \( v_1 \) are both \( d_G(v)/2 \). This means that after the natural projection – and the doubling of the original edges of the graph – the mixed degree of vertex \( v \) is \( d_G(v) \).

If an edge is in \( A \), then it contributes either 0 or 2 to the mixed degree of \( v \), otherwise it contributes 1. Thus there must be equal number of 0’s and 2’s contributions, which means that if we restrict the graph to \( A \), then we also get a balanced factorization.

For an edge \((u, v) \in B \) if \((u, v) \) has a plus sign on it, then it contributes the same amount to the mixed degree of \( u \) and \( v \) as it contributed to the mixed degrees of \( u_0 \) and \( v_0 \) with the edge \((u_0, v_0) \) in \( S \).

If \((u, v) \) has a minus sign on it, then in \((u, v) \) change \( s \) to \( o \) and vice verse. If it was \( o \) before and the orientations were \( u_0 \to v_1 \) and \( v_0 \to u_1 \), then we do not put the edge \((u, v) \) into the subgraph. If it was \( o \) before and the orientations were \( u_1 \to v_0 \) and \( v_1 \to u_0 \), then we do put the edge \((u, v) \) into the subgraph. Note that the contribution of these edges to the mixed degree of a vertex \( u \) is the same as the contribution of the original edges to the vertex \( u_0 \).

Similarly, if it was a \( s \) before, and \((u_0, v_1) \) was in the subgraph, but \((u_1, v_0) \) was not, then orient the edge \( u \) from \( v_0 \) to \( u_0 \). This way it is again true that the contribution of these edges to the mixed degree of a vertex \( u \) is the same as the contribution of the original edges to the vertex \( u_0 \).

So for all \((u, v) \in B \) we made sure that the contribution of the orientation or factor to the mixed degree of a vertex \( u \) is the same contribution as the original edges to the mixed degree of the vertex \( u_0 \). Finally, observe that since the mixed degree of \( u_0 \) and \( u_1 \) was the same, and the edges of \( A \) contributed the same to the mixed degrees, it is necessary that the mixed degree contributed by the edges of \( B \) to the vertex \( u \) is exactly \( d_B(u)/2 \). This means that the constructed factorization is balanced if we restrict to the edges of \( B \).

Finally, observe that if we get a balanced factorization of \( A \) and \( \overline{E \setminus A} \) then we can easily get back the balanced factorization of \( H \). This finishes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. The proof is practically the same as the proof of Theorem 1.2.

We use induction to the number of edges. We have
\[ g(G)^2 = g(G \cup G) = \sum_{A \subseteq E} g(A)g(\overline{E \setminus A}) = \sum_{A \subseteq E} g(A)g(E \setminus A) \]
since \( G \cup G \) corresponds to the 2-cover with only + signs. By induction we have
\[ g(A) \leq \varepsilon(A) \text{ if } A \neq E. \]
Hence \( g(G)^2 - 2g(G) \leq \varepsilon(G)^2 - 2\varepsilon(G) \) which implies that \( g(G) \leq \varepsilon(G). \)
5. Open problem

We end this paper with an open problem.

**Conjecture 5.1.** Let $G$ be an Eulerian graph, and let $H$ be a $k$-cover of $G$. Then $\varepsilon(G)^k \geq e(H)$.
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