Direct visualization of dynamic magnetic coupling in a Co/Py double layer with ps and nm resolution
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We present a combination of ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) with spatially and time-resolved X-ray absorption spectroscopy in a scanning transmission X-ray microscope (STXM). This allows the direct measurement of the high-frequency component of the precessing magnetization using the transverse X-ray detected FMR (XFMR). We analyze the element-specific, dynamic magnetic contrast of a model system consisting of a Permalloy (Py) disk and a Cobalt (Co) stripe on top. These STXM-FMR images are snapshots of the magnetization-precession in the linear excitation regime of FMR with around 35 nm spatial resolution and temporal resolution down to 17 ps. This allows to directly identify different FMR excitations as resonances of the Py disk, the Co stripe and the coupled system by the element-specific dynamic magnetic contrast. In addition, it is possible to assign the Co magnetic absorption contrast observed in the Co-stripe at the Py ferromagnetic resonance to a magnetization precession of the Co driven by the Py FMR excitation underneath. This detection of a spin current, i.e. angular momentum transfer at the interface is possible due to the separation of excitation by microwave and detection by X-ray.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decade the exponential growth of computational performance as described by Moore’s law started to reach its fundamental limits. This has been accompanied by increasing research efforts to find alternative routes to increase computational performance not by further miniaturization but via increased functionality. Many of these concepts involve not only the charge of the electron but in addition or exclusively its spin, see, e.g. [2,5]. In general, the field of spintronics and magnonics demands that even smaller magnetic structures are studied in the gigahertz and terahertz, i.e., nano- to pico-second regime.

Since spin-based devices usually consist of more than one component and spin-dependent interactions occur across interfaces of different materials, their element-specific dynamic magnetic properties must be understood. The combination of ferromagnetic resonance (FMR), which probes the dynamic magnetic properties, with the element specific magnetometry, X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) (see [6] and references therein) — the so-called X-ray detected FMR (XFMR) is a unique tool to approach this challenge. In the past time-resolved [7,9] and time-averaged XFMR experiments [10–15] have been carried out, which corresponds to XFMR in transverse and longitudinal geometry respectively. Recently, XFMR has been combined with scanning transmission X-ray microscopy (STXM) which results in an instrument with temporal resolution of down to 17 ps and around 35 nm lateral resolution for the study of dynamic magnetic properties with element-selectivity based on transverse XFMR [16–18]. Especially, small precession cones in the linear regime [19] far away from Suhl’s instabilities [20,21] are detected and allow to analyze intrinsic dynamic parameters under equilibrium conditions.

In this paper we identify and characterize the FMR excitations of a model system. The laterally structured layered sample consists of a Cobalt (Co) stripe on a Permalloy (Py) disk to show and clarify the processes of interlayer coupling in combination with spin wave excitation and angular momentum transfer. Only our combination of conventional microwave spectroscopy with spatial, time and elemental resolution can clearly identify separate excitations and illustrate local amplitude modulations which are not detectable in conventional FMR spectra.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

We measure FMR excitations in the linear regime with a combination of a field modulated micro resonator and a local, element-specific and time-resolved STXM-FMR setup [17,18]. The sample is a polycrystalline Co stripe (2.0 µm length, 0.6 µm width, 30 nm thickness) deposited on a permalloy (Py)-disk with 2.6 µm diameter and 30 nm thickness (see Fig. 1a). It is fabricated by a three-step lithography and electron-beam deposition of the ferromagnetic material [22]. To obtain the required sensitivity to measure the FMR spectrum of the nano-structure, the
FIG. 1: a) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the sample: a Co stripe on a Py disk grown on a Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane; b) SEM image of the micro resonator (X-band) with the inlet and outlet microwave coupling (top left, bottom right); c) SEM image of the sample area of the micro resonator on the Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane (dark rectangle); d) STXM image (Ni L$_3$-edge: 851.75 eV) of the omega-shaped loop of micro resonator (diameter 20 µm); e) high resolution STXM image (Ni L$_3$-edge: 851.75 eV) of the sample.

Sample is positioned in a micro resonator (see Fig. 1b), providing a homogeneous linearly polarized microwave field on the inside of its omega-shaped loop area [24]. Details are described in [24–26]. For detection in the X-ray transmission geometry, this sample is fabricated on a 200 nm thick Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane (Fig. 1c) [26]. The X-ray absorption contrast images of the sample in the loop taken with the STXM are shown in Fig. 1d and 1e). The image in Fig. 1d) is taken with a step size of 100 nm and a resolution of 35 nm.

Fig. 2 shows the schematic setup of the experiment. On the left side one sees the conventional FMR detection channel consisting of the microwave synthesizer providing the microwaves to excite the sample in the micro resonator loop, a microwave circulator and the diode for the detection of the reflected microwave power. Note that this conventional detection of the microwave absorption is combined with modulation of the external magnetic field and lock-in technique to enhance the measurement sensitivity of conventional FMR measurement [20].

The X-ray detection channel is based on the X-ray magnetic circular dichroism effect (XMCD) and time resolved local STXM. At the SSRL synchrotron, the sample is scanned by a focused X-ray beam (energy tunable between 500 eV and 1200 eV) and the transmitted intensity is detected by an X-ray photodiode (Fig. 2). Details of this detection method can be found in references [17, 18].

The XMCD effect defined as difference in X-ray absorption of circularly polarized X-rays is a measure of the magnetization $\vec{M}$ of the probed material projected onto the $\vec{k}$ vector of the incident X-rays [27, 28]. In this experiment, the external magnetic field ($B_{ext}$) is applied in-plane with respect to the sample (Fig. 1) and is oriented perpendicular to the incident X-rays. The time-dependent transversal component of the magnetization due to the microwave excitation is probed while keeping the polarization of the X-rays constant.

The microwave excitation (9.04 GHz) is synchronized with the X-ray pulses at the (19+1/6)th harmonic of the storage ring operating frequency of 476 MHz. The magnetization oscillation is recorded stroboscopically with 6 consecutive images. Since the quality factor of the resonator is about 200, the resonator frequency and the harmonics of the storage ring frequency have a matching window of at least 40 MHz, which is fulfilled here.

In addition, the orbiting frequency for the electron bunches is 1.28 MHz. Thus, the microwave intensity is switched on and off using a PIN diode to measure with a single particular bunch at each of the six time steps once with microwave excitation on and once with microwave excitation off (the attenuation of the PIN diode is at least -35 db) [17]. To process the signal 12 storage slots of the time synchronization are therefore filled with the X-ray transmission signals of 50 ps length and time separation of 18 ps to record one cycle of the microwave
with six discrete and equidistant points representing 6 subsequent phases of the microwave excitation [17]. The six slots are used to store the transmission signal from the 6 time steps each recorded exactly with the same 6 bunches. In sequence this process is done once for microwave on and microwave off taken simultaneously for each pixel during the scan of the STXM. The time steps of the time synchronization slots (Δt of 18 ps between the bunches) are equidistant and represent the 60° phase steps of the scanned microwave cycle. Taking a higher microwave frequency or more points in time is not advisable, since convoluting a sinusoidal at a frequency of 9 GHz with a 50 ps FWHM gaussian leads to a reduction in amplitude of almost 50%. On the other hand, we are able to measure such high microwave frequencies allowing to separate different FMR excitations.

Fig. 3 shows 12 pictures each representing the STXM image at the Co L\textsubscript{3}-edge (6x MW on (1st column), 6x MW off (2nd column)). All 12 pictures look similar, since the contrast is dominated by the thickness and the chemical contrast. Thus, the transmitted intensity is lowest at the thickest part of the sample where Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}, Py and especially Co absorb at the photon-energy of the Co L\textsubscript{3}-edge. As the FMR excitation is chosen in its linear regime the estimated precession angle is <1°[21]. As a prove of principle previous measurements have shown a detection limit of a magnetic moment precession with an opening angle in the order of 0.1° at a frequency of 9.129 GHz [17]. In order to provide a better signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) the microwave field (and with it the driving high frequency field) is increased to a level of about 1.5 mT to have a precession angle one order of magnitude higher but still in the linear limit of FMR excitation.

In order to extract the effect of the FMR excitation on the X-ray absorption signal the images taken with microwave power on are subtracted from the images with microwave off. Thus, by subtracting the images taken at 0°/0 ps (first row of images in Fig. 3), 60°/18 ps (second row of images in Fig. 3) etc. one gets the response of the X-ray transmission signal corresponding exclusively to the microwave response of the sample. The resulting STXM-FMR signal is given in the third column of Fig. 3. The third column in Fig. 3 represents the STXM-FMR images taken at the Co L\textsubscript{3}-edge with an applied B\textsubscript{ext} corresponding to the third resonance at highest field at 9.04 GHz as shown in Fig. 4. A contrast representing only the area of the Co stripe is clearly visible, thus the microwave response measured at the Co L\textsubscript{3}-edge is limited to the area of the Co stripe of the sample only. Grey contrast corresponds to an average value, after subtraction of a background. A brighter contrast indicates a lower absorption than the average and a darker contrast represents a higher absorption than the average. Comparing the images in the third column (labeled Difference) one can see, that the contrast of the Co stripe area is changing from high positive values to almost the average contrast, then to high negative values and back to almost the average. The red curve in Fig. 3b) shows the oscillating character of the signal at the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>φ[°]</th>
<th>t[ps]</th>
<th>MW on</th>
<th>MW off</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 / 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 / 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 / 37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 / 55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240 / 74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 / 92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 3: a) The columns labeled with MW on and MW off show the 6 STXM images (measured at Co L\textsubscript{3}-edge) taken every 60° (18 ps) with microwave power on and off, respectively. The third column is the difference of the corresponding two images in the first two columns; b) amplitude of the FMR induced X-ray transmission signal as function of time, correlated to the microwave frequency, and relative phase with sinusoidal fits of the data (lines) using A sin(2πt+90°) with A: amplitude.
position of the Co stripe corresponding to one oscillation cycle of the microwave. These data points are fitted with sinusoidal curve adjusting amplitude and relative phase. Further on we will refer to this type of microwave response as STXM-FMR signal. The phase position of the STXM-FMR signal shows the maximum signal at a relative phase of almost 90° for the STXM-FMR signal in resonance. The black curve Fig. 3b) represents the STXM-FMR signal when applying an off resonance field of about 30 mT, lower than the main observed FMR signals (compare Fig. 4) but still high enough to keep the principal magnetization direction perpendicular to the X-rays. Nevertheless the high microwave excitation of 1.5 mT leads to a non-zero FMR-signal. Both signals exhibit a relative phase shift of almost 90° (see figure 3b) (black squares/curve)), complying to the theory of a 90° phase shift between resonant and non resonant excitation, as generally expected for resonant responses, e.g. [29].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The conventional FMR spectrum of the sample detected by microwave absorption and taken at the resonance frequency of the micro resonator in a magnetic field range from 0-200 mT is shown in Fig. 4. Three major resonances can be identified and are labeled by 1, 2 and 3 from low to high $B_{ext,1/2/3}$. These lines show a SNR >20 and are well separated at 9.04 GHz so that the individual resonances can be well distinguished. The first most pronounced resonance ($B_{ext,1}$=58.3 mT) shows a peak to peak line width of 15 mT. Resonance 2 ($B_{ext,2}$=84.9 mT) is visible as the smallest and sharpest resonance with a peak to peak line width of about 5 mT. Resonance 3 ($B_{ext,3}$=112.7 mT) has a peak to peak line width of 10 mT. In the following, we concentrate on these three major resonances. Following standard FMR theory we assume that the low and the high field resonance line (1, 3) represent the FMR excitation of the Py disk and the Co stripe, respectively. This interpretation is based on magnetic material parameters and shapes, more specific the saturation magnetization and magnetic anisotropies. For the Py disk we do not assume any relevant in-plane shape anisotropy and no magneto crystalline anisotropy. For the polycrystalline Co stripe we also do not expect any resulting magneto crystalline anisotropy but a strong shape anisotropy due to the stripe geometry and perpendicular orientation of the stripe to the external magnetic field (Fig. 1). While the saturation magnetization of Co (1420 kA/m [39]) is higher than that of Py (860 kA/m

FIG. 4: Conventional FMR signal of the sample shown in Fig. 1. Marked are the three major resonance positions. 1: Py resonance, 2: coupled resonance, 3: Co resonance.

FIG. 5: STXM-FMR images with highest X-ray absorption taken from top to bottom at $B_{ext}$ position 1, 2 and 3. Images to the left are recorded with a photon energy at the Ni L$_3$-edge (a), b), c)), the image row to the right is measured at the Co L$_3$-edge (d), e), f)). The relative phase is indicated in the lower right corner of each image. The images are normalized for better comparison, a darker value corresponds to a weaker contrast.
which would theoretically result in a higher resonance field for Py in absence of any anisotropy, here we observe the opposite behavior due to the earlier mentioned influence of the shape anisotropy. This effect is visible for example in angular dependent FMR measurements of a Co stripe in [25]. For the intermediate resonance 2 there are at least two possible explanations: i) a k > 0 spin wave (non uniform excitation of the Co stripe) or ii) a coupling mode of the two different ferromagnetic components. With the advances of STXM-FMR we are now able to verify these theoretical explanations, especially clarifying the origin of the intermediate resonance 2. For that the sample was imaged as described before at the different X-ray absorption edges of Co L₃ (755 eV) and Ni L₃ (851 eV). The external magnetic field has been applied at the field values corresponding to the values of resonance 1 (58.3 mT), resonance 2 (84.9 mT) and resonance 3 (112.7 mT). Thus, each of the three resonances is probed locally and element specifically for Co and Ni respectively. As the result we get a set of 6 STXM-FMR measurements each with 6 single images within one microwave cycle separated by a time difference of 18 ps as shown in Fig. 3a) right column.

Fig. 5 shows an overview of these 6 STXM-FMR images, representing each time the first image with phase = 0 and the highest STXM-FMR contrast, taken at the three resonance fields B_{ext,1/2/3} once for Ni (Fig. 5a)-c)) and once for Co L₃-edge (Fig. 5d)-f)). Going through the images of Fig. 5a) to 5c) for the Ni L₃-edge X-ray photon energy one can clearly identify a STXM-FMR contrast due to the microwave absorption in the spherical area of the Py disk. Figure 5a) shows the signal at B_{ext,1}, which originates from the Py being excited. Almost no additional contrast is visible in the area of the Co stripe. The contrast of the disk area in this image is similar to the contrast of the disk visible in Fig. 5b), which shows in addition a more pronounced intensity maximum at the area of the Co stripe in contrast to Fig. 5a). This is an indication that resonance 2 results from the coupling between both materials. Both materials Py and Co are in resonance and contribute to the XMCD signal of the respective STXM-FMR image enhancing each other. For the Py located underneath the Co stripe the precession cone of the magnetization is increased due to the coupling with the Co in this area, as visible in the enhanced contrast. In both figures the contrast of the Py disk is significantly higher than in Fig. 5c), where the contrast is hardly visible. Thus, Fig. 5c), which is taken at B_{ext,3} proves that the Py is not directly excited at this resonance position but driven slightly by the Co, which is in resonance at this field. This substantiates the interpretation that this resonance is only excited in the Co stripe and the Py is at that field already completely pinned by the external field. At the Co L₃-edge one observes in Fig. 5 d)-f) contrast from the Co stripe while the Py disk is almost invisible. Fig. 5d) recorded at B_{ext,1} shows the lowest STXM-FMR contrast of the Co stripe in contrast to Fig. 5c) and 5d), implying that the Co moment is just driven by the Py, which is in resonance at this B_{ext}. This contrast of the driven Co is larger than the one of the driven Py in Fig. 5c). Due to the low B_{ext} the Co moments are far off their own resonance and even not completely saturated at this field. Due to that the Co spins are more agile and easily driven compared to the Py moments of the disk at B_{ext,3}. This excitation between the two constituents across the interface illustrates a transfer of angular momentum between the two magnetic materials. In Fig. 5e) taken at resonance position 2 the contrast area of the Co stripe appears smaller than in Fig. 5d) and 5f), because at this value of B_{ext} the edges of the Co stripe are not still aligned with the external field and thus do not contribute to the excitation since the combination of external and internal fields does not match the resonance condition, even though the Co stripe is coupled to the Py disk. The STXM-FMR image in Fig. 5f) recorded at the Co L₃-edge at B_{ext,3} shows the highest intensity of all images (5 d)-f)) as expected for a resonance excited directly in the Co stripe.

This STXM-FMR observation of the three resonances is in perfect agreement with the line widths observed in Fig. 4. The largest line width is observed at the Py resonance (1), where the Py precesses in resonance together with Co moments driven by the proximity to the Py disk, which leads to additional damping and therefore a broader line width. The coupling mode has the smallest line width since the sample system as a whole is in resonance. In addition an asymmetry in the line shapes of the resonances is visible indicating a superposition of different excitations. At resonance 3 we observe an intermediate line width since the Co stripe resonates while the Py moments in the disk are not agile enough to follow, since they are already pinned due to the external field far above the resonance field of Py.

By fitting the time resolved data at resonance 2 pixel by pixel, further information on the origin of the FMR excitations, not visible in the grayscale plots, can be revealed. The STXM-FMR data as shown in the third column of Fig. 3 is normalized to the average intensity of each image. Then a sine fit is applied to the time
evolution of each pixel. Thus the image can be color coded to represent amplitude and phase of each of those sine functions at each pixel. Further information on this type of evaluation can be found in [31]. The color coding was chosen such that bright pixels represent large amplitudes, the phase is represented as the hue value, pixels with very high saturation indicate a high fit accuracy. As the result we obtain an image where each individual pixel contains information of the relative phase, amplitude and fit accuracy over the microwave cycle by the defined color coding. Fig. 6a-b) depicts the result of the pixel wise fit analysis at the Ni and Co L$_3$-edge at resonance 2, which has been assigned to be a coupling mode, indicating that both the Py disk and the Co stripe are excited. The coloring of the pixels in Fig. 6a) (Ni L$_3$-edge) indicates a relative phase of approx. 90 degrees at the Py disk, with the most saturated and brightest colored pixels at the position of the Co stripe, which is clearly visible in the image. Looking at the Co L$_3$-edge in Fig. 6b) bright and saturated colored pixels are only observable at the position of the Co stripe, the coloring shows a different relative phase of the pixels which differs between the center (approx. 90 degrees as with the Py) and the edges (approx. 60 degrees) of the stripe, depicting an inhomogeneous excitation of the Co stripe. This inhomogeneity is caused by stray-fields at the stripe edges resulting in a locally different resonance field.

IV. CONCLUSION

Ferromagnetic Resonance combined with STXM (STXM-FMR) allows the analysis of magnetization dynamics in the linear response regime with element specificity, spatial, time and phase resolution. By analyzing the three major resonances at two different X-ray absorption edges it can clearly be shown which parts of the sample (Py or Co) are in resonance and one can understand the origin of these excitations. Focusing on the interface region of the sample it is revealed that at the Py resonance field Co moments are driven by the angular momentum transfer of the precessing Py. This driven excitation can be interpreted as an injection of a spin current driving the precession of the magnetization in the adjacent layer which is not in resonance [32, 33]. Using an additional pixel wise fit analyses method an inhomogeneous excitation of the Co stripe at the coupling resonance is revealed, explainable by stray field effects.
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