Coexistence of ferromagnetic fluctuations and superconductivity in the actinide superconductor UTe$_2$
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We report low-temperature muon spin relaxation/rotation (μSR) measurements on single crystals of the actinide superconductor UTe$_2$. Below 5 K we observe a continuous slowing down of magnetic fluctuations, which persists through the superconducting transition temperature ($T_c = 1.6$ K). The temperature dependence of the dynamic relaxation rate down to 0.4 K agrees with the self-consistent renormalization theory of spin fluctuations for a three-dimensional weak itinerant ferromagnetic metal. However, we find no evidence of long-range or local magnetic order down to 0.025 K. Weak transverse-field μSR measurements indicate that the superconductivity coexists with the magnetic fluctuations.

The unusual physical properties of intermetallic uranium-based superconductors are primarily due to the U-5f electrons having both localized and itinerant character. In a subclass of these compounds, superconductivity coexists with ferromagnetism. In URhGe and UCoGe$^{[1,2]}$, this occurs at ambient pressure, whereas superconductivity appears over a limited pressure range in UGe$_2$ and UIr$^{[3,4]}$. With the exception of UIr, the Curie temperature of these ferromagnetic (FM) superconductors significantly exceeds $T_c$, and the upper critical field $H_{c2}$ at low temperatures greatly exceeds the Pauli paramagnetic limiting field. These observations indicate that the superconducting phases in these materials are associated with spin-triplet Cooper pairing, and likely mediated by low-lying magnetic fluctuations in the FM phase$^{[5,6]}$. The triplet state is specifically non-unitary, characterized by a non-zero spin-triplet Cooper pair magnetic moment due to alignment of the Cooper pair spins with the internal field generated by the pre-existing FM order.

Very recently, superconductivity has been observed in UTe$_2$ at ambient pressure below $T_c \sim 1.6$ K$^{[2]}$. The superconductivity in UTe$_2$ also seems to involve spin-triplet pairing, as evidenced by a strongly anisotropic critical field $H_{c2}$ that exceeds the Pauli limit, and by the lack of any temperature dependence of the $^{129}$Te nuclear magnetic resonance Knight shift through and below $T_c$. However, in contrast to URhGe, UCoGe and UGe$_2$, magnetization and resistivity measurements on UTe$_2$ do not show any signatures of a magnetically-ordered phase. Furthermore, a large residual value of the Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma$ is observed in the superconducting state, which is nearly 50% of the value of $\gamma$ above $T_c$.$^{[2,10]}$ This suggests that only half of the electrons occupying states near the Fermi surface participate in spin-triplet pairing, while the remainder continue to form a Fermi liquid. While this is compatible with UTe$_2$ being a non-unitary spin-triplet superconductor, in which the spin of the Cooper pairs are aligned in a particular direction, unlike other uranium-based FM superconductors, there is no experimental evidence for ordering of the U-5f electron spins prior to the onset of superconductivity.

To confirm the absence of FM order and gain further insight into the nature of the magnetism in the superconducting state, we have performed μSR experiments on UTe$_2$ single crystals grown by a chemical vapor transport method. Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD), neutron scattering and Laue XRD measurements indicate that the single crystals are of high quality. The details of the sample growth and characterization are given in Ref.$^9$. Zero-field (ZF), longitudinal-field (LF), transverse-field (TF), and weak transverse-field (wTF) μSR measurements were performed on a mosaic of 21 single crystals. Measurements over the temperature range 0.02 K $\lesssim T \lesssim 5$ K were achieved using an Oxford Instruments top-loading dilution refrigerator on the M15 surface muon beam line at TRIUMF. The UTe$_2$ single crystals covered $\sim 70\%$ of a 12.5 mm $\times$ 14 mm silver (Ag) sample holder. For the ZF-μSR experiments, stray external magnetic fields at the sample position were reduced to $\lesssim 20$ mG using the precession signal due to muonium ($\mu^+ e^-$) in intrinsic Si as a sensitive magnetometer$^{[11]}$. The TF and LF measurements were performed with a magnetic field applied parallel to the linear momentum of the muon beam (which we define to be in the z-direction). The wTF experiments were done with the field applied perpendicular to the beam (defined to be the x-direction). The initial muon spin polarization $P(0)$ was directed parallel to the z-axis for the ZF, LF...
The temperature dependence of the ZF exponential relaxation rates obtained from fits of the ZF-µSR asymmetry spectra to Eq. (1). Inset: Representative ZF signals for \( T = 4.9 \) K and \( T = 0.04 \) K. The solid curves are the resultant fits to Eq. (1).

A difference in the dipolar and hyperfine couplings of the U-5\( f \) electrons to the muon at the two stopping sites.

To confirm the dynamic nature of the magnetism, LF-µSR measurements were performed for various longitudinal applied fields \( H_{LF} \). Representative LF-µSR asymmetry spectra for \( T = 2.5 \) K and 0.25 K are shown in Fig. 2. The LF signals are reasonably described by Eq. (1). Figure 2 shows the dependence of the fitted relaxation rates \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) on \( H_{LF} \). Also shown in Fig. 2 are fits of the field dependence of the larger relaxation rate \( \lambda_1 \) to the Redfield equation (2)

\[
\lambda_1(H_{LF}) = \frac{\lambda_1(H_{LF} = 0)}{1 + (\gamma_H H_{LF})^2},
\]

where \( \lambda_1(H_{LF} = 0) = 2\gamma_B^2 \langle B_{loc}^2 \rangle \tau, \langle B_{loc}^2 \rangle \) is the mean of the square of the transverse components of the time-varying local magnetic field at the muon site, and \( \tau \) is the characteristic fluctuation time. The fit for 2.5 K yields \( \lambda_1(H_{LF} = 0) = 0.065(5) \mu s^{-1}, \tau = 8(3) \times 10^{-10} \) s and \( B_{loc} = 76(22) \) G, whereas the fit for 0.25K yields \( \lambda_1(H_{LF} = 0) = 0.70(9) \mu s^{-1}, \tau = 9(2) \times 10^{-8} \) s and \( B_{loc} = 23(4) \) G. We could not confirm similar fluctua-
tion rates at the second muon site, because \( \lambda_2 \) is much smaller and not well resolved for most fields.

Above \( \sim 150 \text{ K} \), the magnetic susceptibility \( \chi(T) \) of UTe\(_2\) is described by a Curie-Weiss law with an effective magnetic moment \( \mu_{\text{eff}} \) close to the expected value (3.4\( \mu_B / \text{U} \)) for localized U-5\( f \) electrons and a Weiss temperature \( \theta \sim -100 \text{ K} \). Near \( \sim 35 \text{ K} \), \( \chi(T) \) for \( H \parallel b \)-axis exhibits a maximum that suggests the U-5\( f \) electrons may become more itinerant at lower temperatures. Figure 4 shows the temperature dependence of \( \lambda_1/T \), where \( \lambda_1 \) (\( \equiv 1/T_1 \)) is the larger of the two dynamic ZF exponential relaxation rates. The phenomenological self-consistent renormalization (SCR) theory for itinerant ferromagnetism [13], predicts that \( 1/T_1 T \propto T^{-4/3} \) near a FM quantum critical point (QCP) in a three-dimensional metal [14]. As shown in Fig. 4 this behavior is observed down to \( T = 0.4 \text{ K} \). The deviation below \( \sim 0.3 \text{ K} \) suggests a breakdown in SCR theory close to the presumed FM QCP in UTe\(_2\).

Figure 5 shows weak transverse-field (wTF) \( \mu \)SR asymmetry spectra, recorded at temperatures well above and far below \( T_c \). The data were fit to the following sum of two exponentially-damped precessing terms due to the sample and an undamped temperature-independent precessing component due to muons that missed the sample

\[
A(t) = A(0) P_z(t) = \cos(2\pi\nu t + \phi) \sum_{i=1}^{2} A_i e^{-\Delta_i t} + A_B e^{-\Delta^2 t^2} \cos(2\pi\nu_B t + \phi),
\]

where \( \phi \) is the initial phase of the muon spin polarization \( P(0) \) relative to the \( x \)-direction. The fits yield \( A_1 + A_2 = 0.176(4) \) and 0.165(4) for \( T = 2.5 \text{ K} \) and 0.025 K, respectively. The lower-critical field \( H_{c1} \) of UTe\(_2\) is unknown, but presumably quite small. The smaller value of \( A_2 \) at 0.025 K may be due to partial flux expulsion, if \( H_{c1}(T = 0.025 \text{ K}) \) is somewhat larger than the applied 23 Oe local field. Regardless, the small difference between \( A_2 \) at the two temperatures indicates that the magnetic volume sensed by the muon above and below \( T_c \) is essentially the same. Consequently, superconductivity must reside in spatial regions where there are magnetic (presumably FM) fluctuations.

Figure 6(a) shows representative TF-\( \mu \)SR asymmetry spectra recorded for \( H = 1 \text{ kOe} \). Once again the TF signals were fit to the sum

\[
A(t) = A(0) P_z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} A_i e^{-\Lambda_i t} \cos(2\pi\nu t + \psi) + A_B e^{-\Delta^2 t^2} \cos(2\pi\nu_B t + \psi),
\]

where \( \psi \) is the initial phase of the muon spin polarization \( P(0) \) relative to the \( z \)-direction. The exponentially-damped terms account for muons stopping at the two sites in the sample, and the Gaussian-damped term accounts for muons that missed the sample.
sensed by the muon at the two stopping sites, where $\nu_i = (\gamma_\mu/2\pi)B_{\mu,i}$ and $\nu_2$ is the muon gyromagnetic ratio. The applied 1 kOe field induces a polarization of the U-5f moments and a corresponding relative muon frequency shift (Knight shift), which is different for the two muon sites. Fits of the TF asymmetry spectra to Eq. (4) were performed assuming the background term is independent of temperature, and the ratio of the asymmetries $A_1$, $A_2$, and $A_B$ are the same as determined from

\[ A(t) = A_0 \cos(\omega t + \phi) \]

the analysis of the ZF asymmetry spectra. The temperature dependence of $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ are shown in Fig. 4(b). Below $T \sim 1.6$ K there is a decrease in $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ due to the diamagnetic shift associated with flux expulsion in the superconducting state. However, the temperature dependence of the TF relaxation rates $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$ [see Fig. 4(c)] does not exhibit a significant change in behavior at $T_c$. This indicates that $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$ are dominated by the internal magnetic field distribution associated with the U-5f moments and that the London penetration depth $\lambda_L$ is quite long, as is the case for other uranium-based superconductors in which $\lambda_L \gtrsim 10,000 \, \AA$.

In conclusion, we observe a gradual slowing down of magnetic fluctuations with decreasing temperature below 5 K, consistent with weak FM fluctuations approaching a magnetic instability. However, we find no evidence for magnetic order down to 0.025 K. Hence there is no phase transition to FM order in UTe$_2$ preceding or coinciding with the onset of superconductivity. The magnetic volume fraction is not significantly reduced below $T_c$, indicating that the superconductivity coexists with the fluctuating magnetism. Lastly, we note that because the relaxation rate of the ZF-$\mu$SR signal below 5 K is dominated by dynamic local fields, it is not possible to determine whether spontaneous static magnetic fields occur below $T_c$ due to time-reversal symmetry breaking in the superconducting state.
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