Modeling cell migration regulated by cell-ECM micromechanical coupling
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Cell migration in fibrous extracellular matrix (ECM) is crucial to many physiological and pathological processes such as tissue regeneration, immune response and cancer progression. During migration, individual cells can generate active pulling forces via actin filament contraction, which are transmitted to the ECM fibers through focal adhesion complexes, remodel the ECM, and eventually propagate to and can be sensed by other cells in the system. The microstructure and physical properties of the ECM can also significantly influence cell migration, e.g., via durotaxis and contact guidance. Here, we develop a computational model for cell migration regulated by cell-ECM micro-mechanical coupling. Our model explicitly takes into account a variety of cellular level processes including focal adhesion formation and disassembly, active traction force generation and cell locomotion due to actin filament contraction, transmission and propagation of tensile forces in the ECM, as well as the resulting ECM remodeling. We validate our model by accurately reproducing single-cell dynamics of MCF-10A breast cancer cells migrating on collagen gels and show that the durotaxis and contact guidance effects naturally arise as a consequence of the cell-ECM micro-mechanical interactions considered in the model. Moreover, our model predicts strongly correlated multi-cellular migration dynamics, which are resulted from the ECM-mediated mechanical coupling among the migrating cell and are subsequently verified in in vitro experiments using MCF-10A cells. Our computational model provides a robust tool to investigate emergent collective dynamics of multi-cellular systems in complex in vivo micro-environment and can be utilized to design in vitro micro-environments to guide collective behaviors and self-organization of cells.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cell migration in fibrous extracellular matrix (ECM) is a complex dynamic process involving a series of intra-cellular and extra-cellular activities including the development of filopodia, formation of focal adhesion sites, locomotion due to actin filament contraction, and detachment of the rear end [5, 6]. Collective cell migration in a complex micro-environment is crucial to many physiological and pathological processes including tissue regeneration, immune response and cancer progression [1–4]. Besides the well-established chemotaxis [7], the microstructure and physical properties of the ECM can also significantly influence cell migration via durotaxis [8–10], haptotaxis [11], and contact guidance [12–14]. For example, in durotaxis, a cell can sense and respond to the rigidity gradient in the local micro-environment, which in turn guides its migration [10].

A migrating cell also generates active pulling forces [15], which are transmitted to the ECM fibers via focal adhesion complexes [16–18]. Such active forces remodel the local ECM, e.g., by re-orienting the collagen fibers, forming fiber bundles and increasing the local stiffness of ECM [19–25]. Recent studies have indicated that a delicate balance among the magnitude of the pulling forces, the cell-ECM adhesion strength, and the ECM rigidity is required to achieve an optimal mode of single cell migration [26]. In a multi-cell system, the pulling forces generated by individual cells can give rise to a dynamically evolving force network (carried by the ECM fibers) in the system [27, 31, 32, 34]. In other words, the active pulling forces generated by individual cells can propagate in the ECM and can be sensed by distant cells. This ECM-mediated mechanical coupling among the cells could further influence the migration of the individual cells, which in turn alters the ECM structure and properties, and thus the tensile force network. This feedback loop between the force network and cell migration could lead to a rich spectrum of collective migratory behaviors.

A variety of computational models have been developed to investigate the migration dynamics of both single cell and multi-cellular systems [35–37] as well as various sub-cellular processes involved in cell migration [38–43]. For example, a migrating cell can be modelled as an "active particle" whose dynamics is mainly determined by an active self-propelling force, a random drift and various effective particle-particle and/or particle-environment interactions [44–45]. A wide spectrum of collective dynam-
ics have been observed and investigated in active-particle systems [45]. On the other hand, vertex-based models [10] and multi-state cellular Potts models [17] are usually employed to investigate the collective dynamics of densely packed sheets of cells, including the spontaneous cell sorting driven by differential adhesion and the epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). Recently, cellular automaton models which explicitly consider the migration of invasive tumor cells following least-resistance paths have been devised to study the emergence of invasive dendritic structures composed of highly malignant tumor cells emanating from the primary tumor mass [48–51].

In the preponderance of existing cell migration models, the influence of the cell-ECM interactions and/or ECM-mediated indirect cell-cell interactions on collective migration dynamics either is not considered or is incorporated in an effective phenomenological manner. Recently, a computational model based on continuum mechanics has been developed that explicitly considers the micro-mechanical coupling of a migrating cell and the 2D substrate [52]. Durotaxis effects have been successfully reproduced from this model. Moreover, a novel model for investigating cell migration in model 2D ECM network guided by mechanical cues has been developed by considering coarse-grained cytoskeleton of a migrating cell as a part of the ECM network and the cells can hop between neighboring nodes of the network [53]. Even in these novel models which explicitly take into account cell-ECM micro-mechanical couplings, a number of processes crucial to cell migratory behaviors such as focal adhesion formation and disassembly, actin filament contraction and the resulting continuous cell locomotion, the remodeling of ECM network and the influence of the complex microstructure and topology of ECM network have not been explicitly considered and incorporated into the models.

Here, we develop a computational model for cell migration regulated by cell-ECM micro-mechanical coupling, which could be employed to investigate collective migratory behaviors and emergent self-organizing multicellular patterns resulted from ECM-mediated mechanical signaling among the cells. Our model takes into account a variety of cellular level processes including focal adhesion formation and disassembly, active traction force generation and cell locomotion due to actin filament contraction, transmission and propagation of tensile forces in the ECM. We employ a node-bond (i.e., graph) representation to model the complex 3D ECM network microstructure, which is reconstructed based on confocal imaging data. In addition, we use a nonlinear mechanical model for the ECM networks, which incorporates buckling of collagen fibers upon compression and strain-hardening upon stretching. We validate our model by accurately reproducing single-cell dynamics of MCF-10A breast cancer cells migrating on collagen gels and show that the durotaxis and contact guidance effects naturally arise as a consequence of the cell-ECM micro-mechanical interactions considered in the model. Moreover, our model predicts strongly correlated multicellular migration dynamics, which are resulted from the ECM-mediated mechanical coupling among the migrating cells and are subsequently verified in in vitro experiments using MCF-10A cells.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we describe the microstructural and mechanical model of the 3D ECM (mainly collagen I) networks. In Sec. III, we introduce our cell migration model and discuss the associated assumptions and limitations. In Sec. IV, we validate our model by producing single-cell migration dynamics of MCF-10A breast cancer cells on isotropic collagen network and investigate the cell migration dynamics on heterogeneous networks with stiffness gradient and aligned fibers. In Sec. V, we investigate collective multicellular dynamics resulted from ECM-mediated mechanical coupling among the migrating cells, and validate our results via in vitro experiments. In Sec. VI, we provide concluding remarks.

II. MICROSTRUCTURE AND MECHANICAL MODEL OF 3D ECM NETWORK

A. Modeling ECM Network via Statistical Descriptors and Stochastic Reconstruction

In this section, we briefly describe the microstructural and micro-mechanical models for the ECM networks. The detailed descriptions of these models are provided in Refs. [54] and [34], respectively. The 3D ECM, mainly composed of collagen type I gel, is modeled as a discrete network with a “graph” (i.e., node-bond) representation in a cubic simulation domain with linear size \( L \sim 300\mu m \), which is composed of \( M_n \) nodes and \( M_b \) bonds, depending on the collagen concentration. The average coordination number \( Z \), i.e., the average number of bonds connected to each node, is given by \( Z = 2M_b/M_n \). We mainly use fixed boundary (FB) conditions (i.e., the nodes within a certain distance \( \delta L \sim 5\mu m \) from the boundaries of the simulation domain are fixed) in our simulations, but also confirm that using periodic boundary (PB) conditions does not affect the results for the large \( L \) values used in our simulations.

We employ a set of statistical descriptors for quantifying the network geometry and topology [54], which include the node density \( \rho \) (corresponding to the collagen concentration), the fiber (or bond) length distribution function \( P_f \), the distribution of coordination number (i.e., the number of neighbors of a node) \( P_Z \), and the average fiber orientation \( \Omega \) (measured as the average cosine value associated with the acute angle of a fiber made with respect to a prescribed direction). These statistical descriptors can be computed from 3D ECM network extracted from confocal images via skeletonization techniques [20]. Fig. 1(c) and (d) respectively shows the coordination distribution \( P_Z \) and fiber length dis-
tribution $P_f$ for homogeneous collagen networks with a collagen concentration of 2 mg/ml, which will be used in our subsequent investigations. The average fiber length is 1.96 μm and the average coordination number $Z = 3.4$. Since the fibers are randomly oriented in homogeneous networks, the average fiber orientation metric $\Omega \approx 0.5$. The node number density $\rho \approx 0.185/\mu m^3$.

Next, the initial network is perturbed by randomly displacing a node and/or removing/adding a bond to randomly selected pairs of nodes. A new energy for the new network is computed. If the new energy $E_{\text{new}}$ is lower than the old energy $E_{\text{old}}$, the new network replaces the old one. Otherwise, the new network configuration replaces the old network with the probability $e^{(E_{\text{old}} - E_{\text{new}})/T}$, where $T$ is a virtual temperature, which possesses an initial large value and is gradually decreased. The network is continuously evolved in this way (more precisely, via simulated annealing method) to allow even energy-increasing network during the initial stages) until $E \approx 0$, i.e., the computed descriptors match the prescribed ones within a prescribed small tolerance. The detailed of this technique is provided in Ref. [54].

We note that one can either use experimentally obtained network statistics as the target descriptors in the reconstruction or can construct a set of feasible hypothetical statistical descriptors in order to control the geometry and topology of the constructed random network. Fig. 1(a) shows a reconstructed network based on the experimentally obtained statistics of the 2 mg/ml collagen gels, in which the fibers are randomly oriented. In order to investigate the effects of fiber alignment on cell migration dynamics, we also generate realizations of networks with horizontally aligned fibers (see Fig. 1(b)). This is achieved by setting $\Omega^* = 1$ with respect to the $x$-direction, and using the same $P_{Z^*}^*$, $P_{f}^*$ and $\rho^*$ of the homogeneous network. We note that the optimized $\Omega$ of the reconstructed network is in fact a little smaller than unity ($\Omega \sim 0.88$), due to additional topological and geometrical constraints specified by $P_{Z^*}^*$ and $P_{f}^*$. Nevertheless, the fiber alignment is already very significant in the reconstructed networks.

For a given set of network statistics (e.g., $P_{Z^*}^*$, $P_{f}^*$, $\Omega^*$ and $\rho^*$), we can generate realizations of the networks associated with the prescribed descriptors using stochastic reconstruction [54]. In particular, we start from a randomly generated initial network with the prescribed node number density $\rho^*$. From this initial network, the descriptors $P_f$, $P_Z$ and $\Omega$ are computed and compared to the corresponding prescribed descriptors. An energy functional $E$ is defined as the sum of the squared differences between the computed and corresponding prescribed the descriptors [54], i.e.,

$$E = \sum_r |P_Z(r) - P_{Z^*}^*(r)|^2 + \sum_r |P_f(r) - P_{f}^*(r)|^2 + |\Omega - \Omega^*|^2.$$  

(1)

Next, the initial network is perturbed by randomly displacing a node and/or removing/adding a bond to randomly selected pairs of nodes. A new energy for the new network is computed. If the new energy $E_{\text{new}}$ is lower than the old energy $E_{\text{old}}$, the new network replaces the old one. Otherwise, the new network configuration replaces the old network with the probability $e^{(E_{\text{old}} - E_{\text{new}})/T}$, where $T$ is a virtual temperature, which possesses an initial large value and is gradually decreased. The network is continuously evolved in this way (more precisely, via simulated annealing method) to allow even energy-increasing network during the initial stages) until $E \approx 0$, i.e., the computed descriptors match the prescribed ones within a prescribed small tolerance. The detailed of this technique is provided in Ref. [54].

We note that one can either use experimentally obtained network statistics as the target descriptors in the reconstruction or can construct a set of feasible hypothetical statistical descriptors in order to control the geometry and topology of the constructed random network. Fig. 1(a) shows a reconstructed network based on the experimentally obtained statistics of the 2 mg/ml collagen gels, in which the fibers are randomly oriented. In order to investigate the effects of fiber alignment on cell migration dynamics, we also generate realizations of networks with horizontally aligned fibers (see Fig. 1(b)). This is achieved by setting $\Omega^* = 1$ with respect to the $x$-direction, and using the same $P_{Z^*}^*$, $P_{f}^*$ and $\rho^*$ of the homogeneous network. We note that the optimized $\Omega$ of the reconstructed network is in fact a little smaller than unity ($\Omega \sim 0.88$), due to additional topological and geometrical constraints specified by $P_{Z^*}^*$ and $P_{f}^*$. Nevertheless, the fiber alignment is already very significant in the reconstructed networks.

### B. Micro-mechanical Model of ECM Networks

The ECM (collagen) fibers are highly non-linear, typically exhibiting buckling, strain-hardening and plastic behaviors [26], which can significantly affect the propagation of the active forces in the system. The non-linearity of the ECM fibers also induces a nontrivial coupling with the cell contractility, i.e., for small contraction, the fibers may be in the linear elastic regime, while for large contraction, the fibers may be in the strain-hardening or plastic regime [54]. This in turns can affect the overall cell migration dynamics [26].

In this work, we will use a nonlinear micromechanical model for the ECM fiber, which is schematically illustrated in Fig. 2 [34, 58]. In particular, upon stretching, a fiber first enters a linear elastic regime, which is followed by a strong strain-hardening regime once the elongation is larger than a prescribed threshold. Upon compression, we consider the fiber immediately buckles and thus, possesses a much smaller compression modulus. The elongation stiffness $k$ of the fiber is thus given by

$$k = \begin{cases} \rho EA, & \lambda < 0 \\ EA, & 0 < \lambda < \lambda_s \\ EA \exp[(\lambda - \lambda_s)/\lambda_0], & \lambda > \lambda_s \end{cases}$$  

(2)

where $E$ and $A$ are respectively the Young’s modulus
III. MODELING CELL MIGRATION REGULATED BY CELL-ECM MICRO-MECHANICAL COUPLING

In this section, we present in detail the cell migration model, which is coupled with the ECM network model. We note that the current model is targeted for highly motile non-invasive cancer cells, such as the MCF-10A breast cancer cells, moving on 3D collagen gel (see Fig. 3 for illustration). In this case, the migrating cells are strongly coupled with the ECM via their micro-mechanical interactions without any ECM degradation, which is very challenging to accurately model. We will briefly discuss the generalization of the current model to incorporate ECM degradation in Sec. VI.

As illustrated in Fig. 3, our cell model consists of an elastic sphere representing the exclusion volume associated with cytoplasm and a set of cytoskeleton filaments connecting the cytoplasm sphere to the plasma membrane. As a starting point, we will not distinguish various types of actin assemblies and microtubules for simplicity, and only consider the contractility of the filaments. The plasma membrane is then modeled as the minimal hull associated with the end points of the filaments (see Fig. 3(A)). In the beginning of the simulation, a cell (i.e., a cytoplasm sphere and the associated cytoskeleton filaments) is introduced in the collagen network, and a random persistent direction \( \mathbf{n}_0 \) is selected. The migration process is decomposed into cycles of successive events including (i) development of protrusion (due to active actin filaments polymerization) and formation of new adhesion sites, (ii) contraction of actin filaments and the resulting locomotion of the cell, and (iii) breaking of old adhesion sites. These events are modeled and simulated as described below:

- (i) Protrusions are generated by the elongation (polymerization) of the actin filaments, which can be attached to the ECM fibers via focal adhesion. This process is modeled by adding new filaments connecting the center of mass of the cell (i.e., the center of the cytoplasm sphere) to a randomly selected node of the ECM network within \( \delta R_s \sim 5 \mu m \) (effective protrusion length) from the cell surface (see Fig. 3(a) and (b)), with the probability \( p_a \) given by

\[
p_a = c_1 (\mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{d}) + c_2 \sigma_f
\]  

where \( \mathbf{n}_0 \) is the persistent direction of the cell, \( \mathbf{d} \) is vector connecting the cell center and the network node, \( \sigma_f \) is the largest stress on the fibers connected to the node, \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are proportionality constants. This model implies that actin polymerization is more likely to occur in the polarized region of the cell \( [59] \); and that is more likely to form an adhesion site on highly stressed fibers \( [60, 61] \). Each adhesion site has a finite life span \( T_a \) and breaks once \( T_a \) is reached.

- (ii) The contraction of an actin filament connecting the cytoplasm sphere and ECM network can generate a traction force \( \mathbf{f}_t \) \((\sim 1nN)\) along the filament \( [62, 63] \).
and a shrinkage of the filament length $\delta l$ ($\sim 10\%$ of the original length); see Fig. 3(c). This active force is transmitted to the ECM network through the "focal adhesion" node. Force boundary condition is imposed to this node (and other nodes connected to contracting filaments) and the deformed force-balanced network configuration is obtained as described in Sec. II.B. The length $d'$ of the filament connecting the center cell and the displaced node is then computed. We then consider the contraction of this filament generates a displacement component for the cell enter, i.e.,

$$
\delta x = \max\{\delta l - (d - d'), 0\} \cdot d'_0
$$

where $\delta l$ is intrinsic contraction of the filament, $d$ and $d'$ are respectively the distance between the cell center and the adhesion node before and after ECM deformation due to filament contraction, and $d'_0$ is the unitary direction vector along the filament direction after ECM deformation.

- (iii) Once the displacement components associated with all filaments are computed, the center of mass position of the cell is updated as follows (see Fig. 3(d)):

$$
x_{t+1} = x_t + \sum_i \delta x_i
$$

where the sum is taken for all filaments, and $\delta x_i$ is the displacement component associated with the $i$th filament. The persistent direction $n_0$ is updated as the direction of the cell displacement (i.e., $\sum_i \delta x_i$). We note that Eq. 4 and Eq. 5 imply that cell locomotion is due to actin filament contraction and depends on the stiffness of the local ECM.

- (iv) All of the current adhesion sites are checked and those reach their life span $T_a$ are consider to break, leading to the detachment of the cell surface from the collagen fibers.

In the simulation, time is discretized such that a migration cycle is completed during the elapsing of one time step $dt$. The life time of focal adhesion sites $T_a = 2dt$, which is calibrated based on the experimental data (see Sec. IV for details). Once an entire migration cycle is completed, the position of cytoplasm sphere (and thus, the center of mass of the cell) is updated and the cell starts the next migration cycle, by repeating the steps (i) to (iv).

We also note that the cell-cell contact adhesion is not explicitly considered in this model, since our focus here is highly motile breast cancer cells with very weak cell-cell adhesion. In addition, we employ a minimal model for the contact inhibition effect for multi-cellular systems. In particular, we consider that if a pair of cells with radius $R_s$ ($\sim 10 \mu m$) overlap, they feel a mutual repulsive force proportional to the linear overlap distance, i.e., $F_r = \kappa \delta R$, where $\kappa$ is an effective elastic constant depending on the modulus of the cell. In the subsequent sections, we will validate our model using single-cell migration experiments and employ the model to predict multi-cell migration dynamics.

IV. SINGLE-CELL MIGRATION DYNAMICS

In this section, we employ our model to investigate single cell migration dynamics and its regulation by the microstructure and mechanical properties of the microenvironment (i.e., the ECM network). We mainly focus on MCF-10A breast cancer cells in our simulations. The MCF-10A cell are highly motile non-metastatic cancer cells which exhibit strong micro-mechanical coupling of ECM networks when moving on collagen gels and do not degrade the collagen fibers. Therefore, this system provides an ideal system for testing our model. In the fol-
lowing discussions, we will directly use the experimental results to validate our model predictions. The experimental details are provided in Ref. [65].

A. Migration dynamics of MCF-10A cells on isotropic collagen gel

We first employ our model to study the migration dynamics of individual MCF-10A breast cancer cells on isotropic collagen gels with randomly oriented fibers. It is well established that in the case, the overall cell dynamics can be captured by the active-particle model [67], i.e.,

\[ \gamma \frac{dr}{dt} = F\hat{e} + \xi \]

where \( r \) is the particle center of mass, \( \gamma \) is an effective friction coefficient, \( F \) is an effective constant self-propelling force, \( \hat{e} \) is the persistent direction which is subject to a random rotational diffusion and \( \xi \) is a white-noise random vector [67]. The associated theoretical mean square displacement (MSD) \( \sigma^2 \) is given by [67]

\[ \sigma^2(t) = [4D + 2v^2\tau_R]t + 2v^2\tau_R^2[e^{-t/\tau_R} - 1] \]

where \( D \) is the diffusivity of the particle, \( v \) is the persistent velocity and \( \tau_R \) is the relaxation time for rotation diffusion of the persistent direction. It can be seen from Eq. (7) that for small \( t \), the particle exhibit ballistic dynamics with \( \sigma^2 \propto t^2 \). At large \( t \), the system is diffusive, with \( \sigma^2 \propto t \).

Figure 4 shows the 3D visualization of a single MCF-10A cell migrating on isotropic collagen gel with randomly oriented fibers. The contraction of the actin filaments generates active tensile forces, which are transmitted to the collagen fibers and propagate in the ECM network. The fibers carrying large tensile forces are highlighted in red color. The right panel of the figure shows a confocal microscopy image of a migrating MCF-10A cell (bright blue) on isotropic collagen gel. It can be seen that the collagen fibers in the vicinity of the cell surface tend to orient perpendicularly to the cell surface, implying that the cell generates traction forces and pulls the fibers, consistent with the simulation results.

B. Migration dynamics of MCF-10A cells on collagen gel with aligned fibers

With our model validated by experiments, we now employ it to study cell migration in complex micro-environment, such as collagen gels with aligned fibers, which are difficult to fabricate experimentally. The 3D virtual ECM networks are stochastic constructed by maximizing the fiber orientation metric \( \Omega \) along the x-direction (see Sec. II A for details). This leads to model networks with fibers mainly aligned along the x-direction (see Fig. 6).

Figure 5 shows the 3D visualization of a single MCF-10A cell migrating on isotropic collagen gel with randomly oriented fibers, respectively obtained from computer simulation (left panel) and \textit{in vitro} experiment (right panel). The insets show the trajectories of the cells.

Figure 6 shows a typical trajectory of a MCF-10A cell migrating on isotropic collagen gel with randomly oriented fibers, respectively obtained from computer simulation (left panel) and \textit{in vitro} experiment (right panel). The initial ballistic dynamics (i.e., \( \sigma^2 \propto t^2 \)) can be clearly seen, which is followed by the diffusive dynamics (i.e., \( \sigma^2 \propto Dt \)). The cell diffusivity obtained from the simulations and experiments are respectively \( D \approx 94\mu m^2/min \) and \( D \approx 103\mu m^2/min \), which agree well with one another. The insets of Fig. 5 show the trajectories of an ensemble cells respectively obtained from the simulations and experiments. It can be clearly seen that the cell migration is isotropic, as expected for a cell in a homogeneous micro-environment without any externally applied cues. These results clearly indicate the validity of our model.
FIG. 6: A typical trajectory of MCF-10A cell migrating on 3D collagen gel with horizontally aligned fibers obtained from simulations.

FIG. 7: Mean squared displacement (MSD) of MCF-10A cell migrating on 3D collagen gel with horizontally aligned fibers (along the x-direction) respectively along the x-direction (left panel) and y-direction (right panel). Anisotropy in migration can be clearly observed, i.e., the cell tends to move along the direction of fiber aligned, a phenomenon known as contact guidance.

FIG. 8: A typical trajectory of MCF-10A cell migrating on 3D collagen gel with a stiffness gradient along the x-direction obtained from simulations.

FIG. 9: Mean squared displacement (MSD) of MCF-10A cell migrating on 3D collagen gel with a stiffness gradient (along the x-direction), respectively along the x-direction (left panel) and y-direction (right panel). Anisotropy in migration can be clearly observed, i.e., the cell tends to move up against the stiffness gradient, a phenomenon known as durotaxis.

C. Migration dynamics of MCF-10A cells on collagen gel with a stiffness gradient

We now employ our model to study cell migration dynamics on collagen gels with a stiffness gradient. As described in Sec. IIA, the structural model of the 3D ECM is constructed based on the experimentally obtained statistics of a 2 mg/ml collagen gel with randomly oriented fibers. Once the 3D structural model is obtained, a linear stiffness distribution along x-direction with a constant gradient is built. This is achieved by re-scaling the Young’s modulus of the fiber according to $E(x) = E \cdot (1 + x/L)$, where $x$ is the x-coordinate of the center of the fiber.

fibers obtained from simulations. It can be clearly seen that the cell tends to migrate along the direction consistent with the fiber alignment direction (e.g., in this case, x-direction). This can also been seen quantitatively seen from the MSD analysis. Figure 7 shows the MSD of the migrating cell respectively along the x-direction (left panel) and y-direction (right panel). Anisotropy in the migration can be clearly observed, i.e., the cell moves much faster long the fiber alignment direction than the perpendicular direction.

We note that the phenomenon that cells tend to migrate along the fiber alignment direction is well known and termed as “contact guidance” [12, 13]. In our simulations, as the migrating cell pulls the ECM fibers, the large tensile forces are mainly carried by chains of aligned fibers, which are typically referred to as “force chains” [34, 54]. The high-stress fibers on the force chains are effective stiffer (e.g., due to strain hardening) and thus, can support large-magnitude locomotion steps along chain directions, and in this case, the fiber alignment direction.

Figure 8 shows a typical trajectory of a MCF-10A cell migrating on 3D collagen gel with a stiffness gradient along the x-direction. Similar to the case of contact guidance, it can be clearly seen that the cell tends to migrate along the direction against the stiffness gradient along the positive x-direction. This can also been seen quantitatively seen from the MSD analysis. Figure 9 shows the MSD of the migrating cell respectively along the x-direction (left panel) and y-direction (right panel).
Anisotropy in the migration can be clearly observed, i.e., the cell moves much faster long the stiffness gradient direction than the perpendicular direction. We note that an important distinction between migration anisotropy in this case and the contact guidance case is that here the cell migration is uni-directional, i.e., up the stiffness gradient; while in the contact guidance case, the migration is bi-directional, i.e., along the fiber alignment direction but the cells can go in both ways.

The phenomenon that cells migrate against stiffness gradient of the ECM is well known and termed as “ durotaxis” [8–10]. In our simulations, as the migrating cell pulls the ECM fibers, the stiffer fibers will possess smaller deformation, which in turn leads to larger locomotion components towards these fibers (c.f. Eq. (4)). The accumulated effect of many local migration steps is the overall biased migration up the stiffness gradient as observed in the experiments.

V. STRONGLY CORRELATED MULTI-CELLULAR DYNAMICS

In Sec. IV, we show that our computational model can capture the salient features of single-cell migration dynamics in both homogeneous and complex micro-environment. In this section, we employ the model to investigate multi-cellular migration dynamics. As mentioned in Sec. III, we do not explicitly model cell-cell adhesion here (due to the weak adhesion between the cancer cells) and use a minimal model for cell-cell repulsion due to contact inhibition (see Sec. III for details). In addition, in this study, we focus on relatively small system, containing ∼ 20 cells.

![Image of twoMCF-10A cells migrating](image1)

**FIG. 10:** Left panel: 3D visualization of two closely spaced MCF-10A cells migrating on isotropic collagen gel with randomly oriented fibers. The collagen fibers carrying large tensile forces generated by the active tensile forces of the cells are highlighted in red. Right panel: Confocal microscopy image of a pair of migrating MCF-10A cells on collagen gel. The collagen fibers are shown in dark blue (or dark gray in print version).

Figure 10(a) shows 3D visualization of a small portion (with a linear size ∼ 50µm) of the simulation box which contains two closely spaced MCF-10A cells migrating on isotropic collagen gel with randomly oriented fibers. The active tensile forces generated by the cells (due to actin filament contraction) are transmitted to the collagen fibers. The collagen fibers carrying large tensile forces are highlighted in red. Figure 10(a) shows the confocal microscopy image of a pair of migrating MCF-10A cells (bright blue) on collagen gel. It can be clearly seen that the collagen fibers (dark blue) between the two cells form a mesoscopic scale structure, which is clearly distinguished from original homogeneous ECM network and is consistent with the meso-scale structure formed by the high-stress fibers in our simulations.

To quantify the correlations in the collective migration dynamics of multiple MCF-10A cells, we employ the velocity correlation function $S(r)$, i.e.,

$$S(r) = \langle v_i(x) \cdot v_j(x + r) \rangle / ||v_i(x)|| ||v_j(x + r)||$$  

(8)

where $r = |r|$, $i$, $j$ denote a pair of cells connected by the remodeled meso-scale ECM structures and $\langle \rangle$ denotes ensemble average over all different cell pairs. We note that in computing $S(r)$, we only consider a subsets of cell pairs, i.e., those between which the meso-scale structures are formed. This allows us to clearly obtain the effects of such meso-strucutre on the collective dynamics of the cells, if any. Due to cell’s mutual exclusion effects, $S(r) = 0$ for $r < D^*$ and $D^*$ is roughly the diameter of a cell. In addition, two cells separated by very large distances are not correlated, i.e., $S(r) \approx 0$ for large $r$ values. A positive $S(r)$ indicates that the cells tend to move in the same direction, implying a net “flow” of cells in the system. On the other hand, a negative $S(r)$ indicates that the cells move towards one another, implying the formation of aggregation or clusters.

**FIG. 11:** Comparison of the velocity correlation function $S(r)$ (see the text for definition) of MCF-10A cells migrating on isotropic collagen gel with randomly oriented fibers, respectively obtained from computer simulation (solid curve) and in vitro experiment (dashed curve).
with randomly oriented fibers, respectively obtained from computer simulation (solid curve) and \textit{in vitro} experiment (dashed curve). It can be seen that the simulation results agree very well with experimental data. Interestingly, the $S(r)$ functions (beyond the trivial exclusion region) start from a very negative value (close to the minimal value -1) around $D^* \approx 25 \mu m$, slowly increase to zero and then fluctuate around zero. This indicates the cells tend to move towards one another, facilitated by the mesoscopic structures of the remodeled ECM, which is also confirmed by time-lapse confocal data \cite{69}.

Our results indicate that strongly correlated cell migration dynamics is correlated with the meso-scale ECM structures due to cell remodeling. One possible reason is that the meso-structures are composed of many force chains (or a “force network”), which are in turn composed of fibers carrying large tensile forces. Therefore, the fibers in the meso-structures (at least in our simulations) are stiffer than the remaining stress-free fibers, which indicates that the meso-structures themselves are stiffer than the surrounding ECM. These stiffer mesostructures can then facilitate correlated cell migration via durotaxis, and also facilitate indirect mechanical coupling between the migrating cells.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we develop a computational model for cell migration in complex micro-environment, which explicitly takes into account a variety of cellular level processes including focal adhesion formation and disassembly, active traction force generation and cell locomotion due to actin filament contraction, transmission and propagation of tensile forces in the ECM. We employ statistical descriptors obtainable from confocal imagining to quantify and control the 3D ECM network microstructure and use a nonlinear mechanical model for the ECM networks, which incorporates buckling of collagen fibers upon compression and strain-hardening upon stretching. We validate our model by accurately reproducing single-cell dynamics of MCF-10A breast cancer cells migrating on collagen gels and show that the durotaxis and contact guidance effects naturally arise as a consequence of the cell-ECM micro-mechanical interactions considered in the model. Moreover, our model predicts strongly correlated multi-cellular migration dynamics, which are resulted from the ECM-mediated mechanical coupling among the migrating cell and are subsequently verified in \textit{in vitro} experiments using MCF-10A cells.

Although focusing on the non-metastatic MCF-10A breast cancer cells migrating on 3D collagen gels, our model can be generalize to investigate the migration of mesenchymal cells (e.g., invasive MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells) in 3D ECM. The key modification is to explicitly model ECM degradation by the cells, which can be achieved using the following rule: A migrating cell degrades collagen fibers with a probability $p_b \propto \exp(-r)$, with $r$ the distance from the fiber to the cell membrane. A degraded fiber is removed from the network in subsequent simulation steps. In addition, cell-cell adhesion can also be easily incorporated into the model to investigate a wide range of cell lines with different phenotypes. With proper modifications and generalizations, as well as efficient parallel implementation, it is expected that the model could be employed to investigate collective migratory behaviors and emergent self-organizing multicellular patterns resulted from ECM-mediated mechanical signaling among the cells.
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