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Given a positive integer $n$ and a set $S$ of size $n$, a latin square of order $n$ with symbol set $S$ is an $n \times n$ array in which each row and each column is a permutation of $S$. Let $L$ be a latin square of order $n$. We say that $L$ is made up of $n^2$ cells and write $L_{i,j}$ for the symbol in the cell with row index $i$ and column index $j$.

A partial transversal of $L$ is a collection of cells which intersects each row, each column, and each symbol class at most once. A transversal array in which each row and each column is a permutation of $S$ is a partial transversal of size $n$.

A partial transversal of $L$ is a collection of cells which intersects each row, each column, and each symbol class at most once. A transversal of $L$ is a partial transversal of size $n$ and a near transversal is a partial transversal of size $n - 1$. Observe that every transversal contains a near transversal, so that possessing a transversal is a sufficient condition for a latin square to possess a near transversal. The following longstanding conjecture is commonly attributed to Brualdi [7, p. 24].

**Conjecture 1** (Brualdi’s conjecture). Every latin square possesses a near transversal.

Let $G$ be a finite group. The Cayley table $L(G)$ is the latin square with rows and columns indexed by the elements of $G$ and entries defined by $L(G)_{g,h} = gh$. Such group-based latin squares have received significant attention in the literature, and as such are endowed with their own terminology and formalism (see e.g. [3]). Following these conventions, we say that a bijection $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ is a complete mapping of $G$ if $\{L(G)_{g,\sigma(g)} : g \in G\}$ is a transversal of $L(G)$. Similarly, given (not necessarily distinct) $a, b \in G$, we define a near complete mapping of $G$ as a bijection $\theta : G \setminus \{a\} \rightarrow G \setminus \{b\}$ for which $\{L(G)_{g,\theta(g)} : g \in G \setminus \{a\}\}$ is a near transversal. In this note we show that Brualdi’s conjecture is true for group-based latin squares.

**Theorem 2.** Every finite group possesses a near complete mapping.

Let $\text{Syl}_2(G)$ denote the isomorphism class of $G$’s Sylow 2-subgroups. Our proof relies upon a recent, landmark result which resolved a fifty-year-old conjecture due to Hall and Paige [5]. Using the classification of finite simple groups and the partial results of Hall and Paige, Bray, Evans, and Wilcox characterized the groups possessing complete mappings.

**Theorem 3** ([4]). A finite group $G$ possesses a complete mapping if and only if $\text{Syl}_2(G)$ is either trivial or non-cyclic.

This theorem reduces the open cases in the group-based restriction of Conjecture 1 to groups with nontrivial, cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups. Henceforth, we consider only groups which do not possess complete mappings, using the structure implied by Theorem 3 to define a near complete mapping.

Our proof will be phrased not in terms of latin squares as such, but rather in graph-theoretic terms. Associated with every latin square $L$ is a strongly regular graph: supposing the rows and columns of $L$ are indexed by $I$, the latin square graph $\Gamma(L)$ is defined on the vertex set $\{(r, c) : r, c \in I\}$ with $(r, c) \sim (s, d)$ if and only if one of $r = s$, $c = d$, or $L_{r,c} = L_{s,d}$ holds. Observe that our definition for $\Gamma(L)$ yields a natural tripartition of its edges into, respectively, row edges, column edges, and symbol edges.

It is easy to check that there is an exact correspondence between partial transversals of $L$ and independent sets in $\Gamma(L)$. For the sake of simplicity, we refer to the latin square graph $\Gamma(L(G))$ as the latin square graph of $G$, and denote it by $\Gamma(G)$. For any undefined graph-theoretic terms we refer the reader to [2].

Given a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ and a set $W \subseteq V$, the induced subgraph of $\Gamma$ corresponding to $W$ is defined as

$$\Gamma[W] := (W, \{e \in E : e \subseteq W\}).$$

Observe that independent sets in $\Gamma[W]$ are also independent in $\Gamma$. This observation will be crucial to our proof: we will show that, if a group does not have a complete mapping, its latin square graph has an induced subgraph in which it is easy to find an independent set corresponding to a near transversal.
Specifically, we will be looking for induced subgraphs isomorphic to the disjoint union of several copies of a couple of well-known cubic, vertex-transitive graphs. Given two graphs $\Gamma_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $\Gamma_2 = (V_2, E_2)$, we define their \textbf{disjoint union} as the graph

$$\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 := (V_1 \sqcup V_2, E_1 \sqcup E_2).$$

Moreover, we will write $k\Gamma$ for the disjoint union of $k$ copies of the graph $\Gamma$.

The \textbf{Möbius ladder} of order $2n$, denoted $M_n$, is the cubic graph formed from a cycle of length $2n$ by adding $n$ edges, one between each pair of vertices at distance $n$ in the initial cycle. We refer to this initial cycle as the \textit{rim} of $M_n$, and refer to the edges between opposite vertices in the rim as \textit{rungs}. Notice that one can find an independent set of size $n - 1$ in $M_n$ by greedily selecting vertices cyclically around its rim.

The \textbf{prism graph} of order $2n$, denoted $Y_n$, is the graph formed by taking the Cartesian product of the cycle of length $n$ with the graph consisting of a single edge; symbolically $Y_n := C_n \square K_2$. If $n$ is even then $Y_n$ is bipartite, and therefore contains an independent set of size $n$. The bulk of this paper’s mathematical work is towards proving the following result.

\textbf{Theorem 4.} Let $k$ be a power of $2$ satisfying $k \geq 2$, let $l$ be a positive odd integer, and let $G$ be a group of order $kl$. If $\text{Syl}_2(G) = \mathbb{Z}_k$ then there exists a positive integer $m$ dividing $l$ for which $\Gamma(G)$ has an induced subgraph isomorphic to

$$M_{km} + \left(\frac{l - m}{2}\right)Y_{2k}.$$

Combining this theorem with the observations concerning independent sets in the two paragraphs preceding its statement we see that, whenever $\text{Syl}_2(G)$ is cyclic and nontrivial, $\Gamma(G)$ contains an independent set of size $km - 1 + (l - m)k = kl - 1 = |G| - 1$. Thus, Theorem 4 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1 (when combined with Theorem 3).

Before we can prove Theorem 4 we need a few more algebraic preliminaries. For any undefined group-theoretic terms we refer the reader to \cite{Burnside}. It is not difficult to show that, if $H$ is a group of odd order, then the identity map is a complete mapping. Indeed, one may define many complete mappings for a group of odd order. Here, however, we are only interested in the identity mapping and another, nicely structured type of complete mapping whose existence was guaranteed by Beals, Gallian, Headley, and Jungreis.

\textbf{Theorem 5 (\cite{Burnside}).} For every group $H$ of odd order $m$, there exists an ordering $H = \{h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_{m-1}\}$ such that, taking indices modulo $m$, both $h_i \mapsto h_{i+1}$ and $h_i \mapsto h_i$ are complete mappings.

Let $G$ be a finite group with identity element $e$. Given two subsets $X_1, X_2 \subseteq G$, we define the \textbf{product} set

$$X_1X_2 := \{x_1x_2 : x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2\}.$$

Note that it is possible that $|X_1X_2| \neq |X_1||X_2|$, as some elements of $G$ may have multiple representations in the set of products underlying $X_1X_2$. Now, let $F$ be a subgroup of $G$, and let $H$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. We say that $G$ is the \textbf{semidirect product of $F$ and $H$}, written $G = F \ltimes H$, if $H \cap F = \{e\}$, $HF = G$, and $|G| = |H||F|$. The following was noted in the proof of Theorem 5 as following from a result of Burnside.

\textbf{Lemma 6 (Burnside).} Let $G$ be a finite group and let $F$ be a Sylow $2$-subgroup of $G$. If $F$ is cyclic and nontrivial then there is a normal subgroup of odd order $H \triangleleft G$ such that

$$G = F \ltimes H.$$

We are now in a position to prove that, for every group $G$ with nontrivial cyclic Sylow $2$-subgroups, $\Gamma(G)$ contains an induced subgraph which is the disjoint union of one Möbius ladder and several bipartite prisms. To simplify notation, let $[n] := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\}$ for every positive integer $n$.

\textbf{Proof of Theorem 4.} Let $G$ be a group satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4. Thus, $|G| = kl$ for $k \geq 2$ a power of $2$ and $l$ odd. By Lemma 6 there is a normal subgroup $H \triangleleft G$ with $|H| = l$ and an element $b \in G$ of order $k$ for which

$$G = \langle b \rangle \ltimes H.$$
Let \( a := b^{k/2} \). As \( H \triangleleft G \) and \( a \) has order 2, \( H \) has an automorphism
\[
\alpha : h \mapsto aha.
\]

Let
\[
H^* := \{ h \in H : \alpha(h) = h \}
\]
and observe that \( H^* \) is a subgroup of \( H \). Letting \( m := |H^*| \), it follows that \( m \) divides \( l \) and, as \( l \) is odd, \( m \) must be odd. Then, by Theorem 5, there exists an ordering \( H^* = \{ h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_{m-1} \} \) for which the map \( h_i \mapsto h_{i+1} \) (with indices modulo \( m \)) is a complete mapping.

Consider an arbitrary \( h \in H^* \). It follows from the definition of \( a \) that \( ab = ba \). Thus
\[
\alpha(bhb^{-1}) = abhb^{-1}a = bahab^{-1} = bhb^{-1},
\]
so that \( bhb^{-1} \in H^* \). This shows that \( b \) is a normalizer of \( H^* \) in \( G \) and therefore
\[
\langle b \rangle \subseteq N_G(H^*). \tag{1}
\]

Let \( \Gamma := \Gamma(G) \) and \( V := V(\Gamma) \). We now define a set \( W \subseteq V \) which induces \( M_{km} + \left( \frac{l-m}{2} \right) Y_{2k} \) in \( \Gamma \).

Taking indices modulo \( m \), let
\[
T_1 := \{ (b^i h_i, h_i b^i) : i \in [km] \},
T_2 := \{ (b^i h_i, h_i b^{i+1}) : i \in [km] \}, \quad \text{and} \quad T := T_1 \cup T_2.
\]

Furthermore, let \( \overline{T} := H \setminus H^* \) and let
\[
U_1 := \{ (b^i h, b h^i) : h \in \overline{T}, i \in [k] \},
U_2 := \{ (b^i h, b h^{i+1}) : h \in \overline{T}, i \in [k] \}, \quad \text{and} \quad U := U_1 \cup U_2.
\]

Finally, let
\[
W := T \cup U.
\]

We show \( \Gamma[W] \cong M_{km} + \left( \frac{l-m}{2} \right) Y_{2k} \) via the following series of three claims.

**Claim 1.** \( T \cap U = E[T, U] = \emptyset \)

Consider arbitrary vertices \( (g_t, g'_t) \in T \) and \( (g_u, g'_u) \in U \). By definition, we have \( g_t \in \langle b \rangle H^* \) and \( g_u \in \langle b \rangle \overline{T} \). However, it follows from \( G = \langle b \rangle \times H \) that every element of \( G \) has a unique representation of the form \( g = b^i h \) for \( i \in [k] \) and \( h \in H \), and as \( H^* \) and \( \overline{T} \) form a bipartition of \( H \) we have
\[
\langle b \rangle H^* \cap \langle b \rangle \overline{T} = \emptyset.
\]

Thus \( T \cap U = \emptyset \) and there are no row edges with one end in \( T \) and one end in \( U \).

We also have, by definition, \( g'_t \in H^*(b) \), \( g'_u \in \langle b \rangle H^*(b) \), and \( g'_u \in \overline{T} \). As the identity map is a complete mapping of \( H \), the closure of \( H^* \) under multiplication implies
\[
d \mapsto d^2 \text{ is a permutation of } \overline{T} \tag{2}.
\]

Thus, \( g_u g'_u \in \langle b \rangle \overline{T} \). Moreover, \( H \triangleleft G \) implies \( H \) commutes with every subset of \( G \), so that \( \gamma = \frac{l}{l-m} \) implies
\[
\langle b \rangle H^* = H^*(b) = \langle b \rangle H^* \langle b \rangle \text{ and } \langle b \rangle \overline{T} = \overline{T} \langle b \rangle = \langle b \rangle \overline{T} \langle b \rangle. \tag{3}
\]

But then
\[
g'_t, g_t g'_t \in \langle b \rangle H^* \text{ and } g'_u, g_u g'_u \in \langle b \rangle \overline{T}.
\]

So, there are no column edges and no symbol edges with one end in \( T \) and one end in \( U \).
Claim 2: \( \Gamma[U] \cong \left( \frac{m}{2} \right) Y_{2k} \).

Observe that, when enumerating the vertices in \( U \), every element of \( \langle b \rangle \mathrm{H} \) occurs exactly twice as a first coordinate and, by \( \mathbf{H} \), exactly twice as a second coordinate. Thus, each vertex in \( \Gamma[U] \) is incident to exactly one row edge and exactly one column edge, so that the row and column edges in \( \Gamma[U] \) induce a 2-factor (of \( \Gamma[U] \)). Specifically, they induce \( I - m \) disjoint 2k-cycles \( \{ C_h : h \in \mathrm{H}_s \} \), with each \( C_h \) defined by the vertex-sequence

\[(h, h), (h, hh), (hh, hh), (hh, hh^2), \ldots, (h^{k-1}h, hh^{k-1}), (h^{k-1}h, h), (h, h).\]

To establish Claim 2 it suffices to show that \( \Gamma[U] \) is cubic and, for each \( h \in \mathrm{H}_s \), there is a unique \( h' \in \mathrm{H}_s \) for which \( \Gamma[C_h \cup C_{h'}] \cong Y_{2k} \). Indeed, consider an arbitrary \( h \in \mathrm{H}_s \), a fixed arbitrary vertex \( (g, g') \in C_h \), and an arbitrary vertex \((f, f') \in U\) satisfying

\[ff' = gg' \text{ and } (f, f') \neq (g, g'). \tag{4}\]

By definition there exists \( i, j \in [k] \), \( \epsilon, \delta \in \{0, 1\} \), and \( h' \in \mathrm{H}_s \) such that

\[(g, g') = (b^{i}h, h^{i+\epsilon}) \text{ and } (f, f') = (b^{j}h', h'^{j+\delta}).\]

It follows from \( \mathbf{1} \) and \( \mathbf{2} \) that

\[gg' = b^{i}h^{2i+\epsilon} \in b^{2i+\epsilon} \mathrm{H}_s \text{ and } ff' \in b^{2i+\delta} \mathrm{H}_s.\]

Thus, \( \epsilon = \delta \) and \( |i - j| \in \{0, k/2\} \). However, if \( i = j \) then \( b^{i}h^{i+\epsilon} = b^{i}h^{i+\epsilon} \), implying \( h = h' \) and therefore contradicting the fact that \( (g, g') \neq (f, f') \). We conclude that \( j \) is the unique element of \( [k] \) satisfying \( j \equiv i + k/2 \) (mod \( k \)). We then have

\[b^{i}h^{i+\epsilon} = b^{i}h^{i+\epsilon} = b^{j}h^{i+\epsilon} = b^{j}h^{i+\epsilon}\]

and, as \( \alpha \) is an involution, \( \mathbf{1} \) holds if and only if \( \delta = \epsilon, j \equiv i + k/2 \) (mod \( k \)), and \( h' = \alpha(h) \). In particular, \( (g, g') \) is incident to exactly one symbol edge in \( \Gamma[U] \).
As \((g, g') \in C_h\) was arbitrary, we have established that the set of symbol edges in \(\Gamma[U]\) incident to \(C_h\) is
\[
\{ (b^i h, b^{i+\epsilon})(b^{i+\epsilon} h, a(h) b^{i+\epsilon}) : i \in [k], \epsilon \in \{0, 1\} \}.
\]
As these edges match successive vertices around both \(C_h\) and \(C_{\alpha(h)}\), they connect this pair of cycles to form a prism graph.

**Claim 3.** \(\Gamma[T] \cong M_{km}\)

Observe that, when enumerating the vertices in \(T\), every element of \((b) H^*\) occurs exactly twice as a first coordinate and, by [3], exactly twice as a second coordinate. Thus, as above, each vertex in \(\Gamma[T]\) is incident to exactly one row edge and exactly one column edge. Unlike in \(\Gamma[U]\), the row and column edges of \(\Gamma[T]\) form a single cycle of length \(2mk\). Indeed, as the order of \(b\) is a power of 2 while \(m\) is odd,
\[
(h_0, h_0), (h_0, h_1 b), (h_1 b, h_1 b), (h_1 b, h_2 b^2), \ldots, (b^{k-1} h_{m-1}, b^{k-1} h_{m-1}), (b^{k-1} h_{m-1}, h_0), (h_0, h_0)
\]
is a Hamilton cycle in \(\Gamma[T]\) which uses every one of \(\Gamma[T]\)'s row and column edges.

To establish Claim 3 it suffices to show that, for every \(i, j \in [km]\) and every \(\epsilon, \delta \in \{0, 1\}\), taking indices modulo \(m\), the vertices \((g, g') := (b^i h_i, h_{j+\delta} b^{i+\delta})\) and \((f, f') := (b^j h_j, h_{j+\delta} b^{j+\delta})\) are adjacent in \(\Gamma[T]\) via a symbol edge if and only if \(i \equiv j + 2 \frac{\epsilon}{m} (\text{mod } km)\) and \(\epsilon = \delta\). The "if" direction of this statement is straightforward: if \(i \equiv j + 2 \frac{\epsilon}{m} (\text{mod } km)\) then \(i \equiv j \pmod{m}\) and \(i \equiv j + k/2 \pmod{m}\). Together with \(\epsilon = \delta\), this implies
\[
gg' = b^i h_i h_{i+\epsilon} b^{i+\epsilon} = b^i a(h_i h_{j+\delta} b^{i+\delta}) = b^j h_j h_{j+\delta} b^{j+\delta} = ff'.
\]

For the "only if" direction, we begin by noting that (1) implies
\[
gg' \in b^{2i+\epsilon} H^* \text{ and } ff' \in b^{2j+\delta} H^*.
\]
Thus, as \(gg' = ff'\), we must have \(\epsilon = \delta\) and \(i \equiv j \pmod{k/2}\). If \(i \equiv j \pmod{k}\) then \(b^i = b^j\), so that \(h_i h_{i+\epsilon} = h_j h_{j+\epsilon}\). But then Theorem 3 implies \(h_i = h_j\), so \(i \equiv j \pmod{m}\) and, as \(\gcd(k, m) = 1\) and \(i, j \in [km]\), we have \(i = j\). We would then have \((g, g') = (f, f')\), and \((g, g') \sim (f, f')\) would contradict the fact that \(\Gamma\) is loopless.

We may therefore conclude that \(j \equiv i + k/2 \pmod{k}\). Recall that \(H^*\) is the group of elements of \(H\) which are fixed by the automorphism \(\alpha\). Thus, having established \(\epsilon = \delta\), we have
\[
b^i h_i h_{i+\epsilon} b^{i+\epsilon} = gg' = ff' = b^j h_j h_{j+\epsilon} b^{j+\epsilon} = b^{i+k/2} h_j h_{j+\epsilon} b^{i+k/2+\epsilon} = b^i (a(h_j h_{j+\epsilon}) a) b^{i+\epsilon} = b^j h_j h_{j+\epsilon} b^{i+\epsilon},
\]
which implies \(h_i h_{i+\epsilon} = h_j h_{j+\epsilon}\). Since \(h_i \mapsto h_{i+\epsilon}\) is a complete mapping, we have \(i \equiv j \pmod{m}\). Thus, as \(k/2\) and \(m\) are coprime, we conclude \(i \equiv j + 2 \frac{\epsilon}{m} (\text{mod } km)\).

The most obvious extension of the work described in this note is the general case of Brualdi’s conjecture. However, a proof of this fact would likely have to be substantially different from the proof presented above. Indeed, latin square graphs are in general not vertex-transitive, which calls into question whether general latin square graphs can be shown to possess the sort of “nice” induced subgraphs found in Theorem 3.

There is, however, an extension of Theorem 3 to which the above techniques may be applicable. A near complete mapping is called \textbf{proper} if it cannot be extended to a complete mapping. The following conjecture was noted by Evans [3, p. 470] as a special case of a conjecture of Keedwell concerning sequencable groups.

**Conjecture 7** (Keedwell). \textit{Every finite non-Abelian group possess a proper near complete mapping.}

We have established this conjecture for those non-Abelian groups whose Sylow 2-subgroups are nontrivial and cyclic. Perhaps our techniques can be used to find maximal independent sets of size \(n-1\) in latin square graphs based upon non-Abelian groups with cyclic or trivial Sylow 2-subgroups. As far as we know, Conjecture 7 has not been attacked directly. Nonetheless, many partial results are known due to the connection between sequencable groups and proper near complete mappings (see e.g. [8]).
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