Charged (A)dS black hole solutions in conformal teleparallel equivalent of general relativity
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We continue our study in 4-dimension to derive non-charged and charged (Anti)-de Sitter black hole solutions in conformal teleparallel equivalent of general relativity. The non-charged and charged equations of motion are applied to the flat horizon tetrad, so that non-linear differential equations can be derived. It is shown that the output solutions of the two cases are identical to those obtained in teleparallel equivalent theory to general relativity. As a result, it is found that in the conformal teleparallel equivalent theory to general relativity, the scalar field cannot influence on the manifold with the flat horizon.
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I. INTRODUCTION

To establish a basis of the construction of the Einstein’s General Relativity (GR), Deser, Dirac and Utyiama \cite{1–3} has introduced the conformal symmetry. The procedure of the conformal invariance to GR has been constructed by Dirac \cite{2}. This corresponds to a new variation principle to the action for GR by using a scalar field in addition to the components of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ \cite{4}. In fact, the Ogievetsky’s theory \cite{5}, the statement of which is that “GR with diffeomorphism groups can be acquired in the closure of two groups with finite dimension”, supports to deal with the conformal theory of gravity.

For the quantization of gravitation \cite{6}, the conformal symmetry is a significant subject and it is important for the construction of GR with the modifications of the spacetime descriptions on the very small or large scales. To establish the formulation of quantum gravity with its renormalizability and unitarity, the amendments of gravitation are necessary on the small scale. On the other hand, to modify GR on the large scale can solve the so-called dark energy problem, namely, realize the late-time cosmic acceleration.

For the Einstein-Hilbert action, which is not conformally invariant, the operation of a conformal transformation can remove the conformal factor $\Omega(x)$ from the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ due to the fact that $g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega(x)\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Hence, it corresponds to an extra degree of freedom and it can be analyzed as an independent variable \cite{6}. It is known that a scale invariant effective theory can be constructed from $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$, which is a non-tensor quantity because of $det\hat{g} = -1$ \cite{7}. The Weyl theory, which is conformally invariant and quadratic in terms of the curvature tensor, has been investigated in various aspects \cite{8, 9}.
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In addition to GR, the other gravity theory proposed by Einstein exists, so-called “Teleparallel Equivalent of General Relativity (TEGR)” \[10\]. In GR, the gravitational field is expressed with the curvature. On the other hand, for the case of TEGR, it is represented by the torsion \[11–13\]. The important advantage to use TEGR is that for the gravity system, energy, momentum and angular momentum can be described in a consistent manner in the conformal frame \[14–16\] (for recent reviews on various proposals for an alternative gravitational theories to GR in order to account for the issue of dark energy, see, for instance, \[18–24\]). In terms of the conformal transformation, there exist two descriptions of the Lagrangian for the gravitational field. One is to introduce an additional scalar field to the Einstein-Hilbert action. This approach has been taken for the construction of the conformal teleparallel theory in Refs. \[17, 25\]. The other is to make the form of the Lagrangian for the gravitational field composed of the quadratic term of the Weyl tensor.

In the context of the conformal teleparallel equivalent of general relativity (CTEGR), it has been demonstrated that the accelerated expansion can be explained in a flat homogeneous and isotropic universe \[25\]. In this work, we explore the influence of the scalar field on physics and cosmology by applying the gravitational field equation for the CTEGR to the four-dimensional spherically symmetric spacetime.

The organization of the present paper is the following. In Sec. II, we explain the basic formulation of the CTEGR with the definitions of various tensors and the gravitational field equations. In Sec. III, we apply a four-dimensional spherically symmetric vierbein field with diagonal components to the gravitational field equations for the CTEGR, so that we can acquire the asymptotically flat general solution of neutral black holes in four dimensions. In Sec. IV, we explore a vierbein with its non-diagonal spherically symmetric components in the CTEGR and we find the solutions, whose property is resemble to the case for a vierbein with the diagonal components. In Sec. V, we analyze the charged gravitational field equations for the CTEGR for both the diagonal and non-diagonal tetrads. These charged gravitational field equations are applied to the vierbein with the diagonal components for the static case. Furthermore, we derive a general charged solution with a physical meaning. In addition, we investigate the present formalism for the spacetime with its non-diagonal components and spherical symmetry and consider the solutions in the same way as the case of the spacetime with the diagonal components. Finally, we summarize our results in Sec. VI.

II. THE CONFORMAL TELEPARALLEL EQUIVALENT OF GENERAL RELATIVITY (CTEGR)

The teleparallel equivalent of general relativity (TEGR) is represented as \[\{ M, L_i \} \] with \( M \) the four-dimensional spacetime and \( L_i \) \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) the vectors defined on the spacetime \( M \) globally, which are regarded as the parallel ones. In the four-dimensional spacetime, the parallel vectors are considered to the vierbein (or tetrad) fields. The contravariant derivative of the vierbein (tetrad) field read

\[
D_\mu L_i^\nu := \partial_\mu L_i^\nu + \Gamma^\nu_{\lambda \mu} L_\lambda^i = 0. \tag{1}
\]

Here, the differentiation is calculated in terms of the Weitzenböck connection, \( \Gamma^\nu_{\lambda \mu} \), which is the affine connection without symmetry, defined by \[26\]

\[
\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu \nu} := L_\lambda^i \partial_\nu L^i_{\mu}, \tag{2}
\]

where

\[
\partial_\nu := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}.
\]

\[\text{Here, the Latin indices } i, j, \cdots \text{ show the coordinates of the tangent spacetime, while the Greek indices } \alpha, \beta, \cdots \text{ denote the label of the components of the (co-)frame.}\]
The metric is described by
\[ g_{\mu\nu} := \eta_{ij} L_i^\mu L_j^\nu, \] (3)
where \( \eta_{ij} = (+, -, -, -) \) denotes the four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime. Equation (1) leads to the condition of the metricity. We define the torsion tensor \( T^\alpha_{\mu\nu} \) and the contortion one \( K^{\mu\nu}_\alpha \) by the following expressions
\[ T^\alpha_{\mu\nu} := \Gamma^\alpha_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = L_i^\alpha (\partial_\mu L_i^\nu - \partial_\nu L_i^\mu), \]
\[ K^{\mu\nu}_\alpha := - \frac{1}{2} (T^{\mu\nu}_\alpha - T^{\mu\nu}_\alpha - T^{\alpha\mu}_\nu). \] (4)
By contracting the torsion, we have its vector
\[ T_\nu := T^\mu_{\mu\nu}. \] (5)
Moreover, the torsion scalar in the TEGR is represented as
\[ T := T^\alpha_{\mu\nu} S_\alpha^{\mu\nu}. \] (6)
Here, \( S_\alpha^{\mu\nu} \) is the tensor of the superpotenial. For the first pair, this tensor has its skew symmetry and described as
\[ S_\alpha^{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{2} (K^{\mu\nu}_\alpha + \delta^\mu_\alpha T^\beta_\nu - \delta^\nu_\alpha T^\beta_\mu). \] (7)
The Lagrangian for the TEGR is written as
\[ L(L_i^\mu) = \frac{|L|T}{2\kappa}, \quad \text{where} \quad L = \sqrt{-g}, \] (8)
where \( \kappa = 8\pi \) is the coupling of the gravitational constant.

The Lagrangian in Eq. (8) will be changed through the conformal transformation
\[ \tilde{L}_{\alpha\mu} = e^{\omega(x)} L_{\alpha\mu}, \] (9)
with \( \omega(x) \) an arbitrary function \([17]\). Hence, Eq. (8) should be modifed so that the conformal transformation in Eq. (9) cannot change the form of Eq. (8). The form of Lagrangian that is not changed by the conformal transformation is known to be \([17]\)
\[ L(L_i^\mu, \phi) = 2\kappa |L| [-\phi^2 T + 6g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - 4g^{\mu\nu} \phi (\partial_\nu \phi) T_\mu] + L_m. \] (10)
Here, \( \phi \) is a scalar field and \( L_m \) means the matter-field Lagrangian. The Lagrangian in Eq. (10) is invariant under the transformation for the scalar field
\[ \phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi} = e^{-\omega(x)} \phi, \]
. By taking the variation of the Lagrangian (10) in terms of \( \phi \), we have \([17]\)
\[ I \equiv \partial_\mu (L g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi) - \frac{L}{6} \phi R - \frac{\kappa}{6} \frac{\delta L_m}{\delta \phi} = 0, \] (11)
where the scalar curvature \( R \) is represented as
\[ LR = 2\partial_\nu (LT^\nu) - LT. \]

With the same procedure, the variation of the Lagrangian in Eq. (10) in terms of the vierbein \( L_{\alpha\mu} \) leads \([17]\]
\[ Q^{\alpha\nu} \equiv \partial_\alpha (L \phi^2 S^{\alpha\nu}) - L \phi^2 (S^{\alpha\nu} T_{\alpha\mu}) - \frac{3}{4} L \phi^2 T^{\alpha\nu} - \frac{3}{2} L g^{\alpha\nu} \phi^2 \partial_\alpha \phi + 3 L L^\alpha g^{\beta\nu} \partial_\beta \phi \partial_\mu \phi + L L^\alpha g^{\beta\nu} \phi T_{\alpha\mu}^\beta \partial_\nu \phi - L g^{\beta\nu} \phi \partial_\nu \phi T_{\alpha\mu}^\beta \partial_\alpha \phi - \partial_\mu [L g^{\beta\nu} L^\alpha \phi^2 \partial_\beta \phi] + \partial_\mu [L g^{\beta\nu} L^\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi] - \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\delta L_m}{\delta \alpha_\nu} = 0, \] (12)
where
\[
\frac{\delta L_m}{\delta L_{a\nu}} = LL^a_{\mu}\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}.
\]

For \( \phi = 1 \), the gravitational field equation (12) is equivalent to that in the TEGR. In addition, the trace of Eq. (11) reads
\[
\phi \frac{\delta L_m}{\delta \phi} = L \mathcal{T},
\]
where \( \mathcal{T} \) is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor, given by
\[
\mathcal{T} = g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}.
\]
(13)

Thus, in the case of the traceless energy-momentum tensor, \( \frac{\delta L_m}{\delta \phi} \) is equal to zero.

III. SOLUTIONS OF BLACK HOLES WITH SPHERICAL SYMMETRY: FOR DIAGONAL TETRAD

The gravitational field equations (11) and (12) for the CTEGR are applied to the four-dimensional metric with spherical symmetry. The diagonal tetrad described with the polar coordinate \((r, \theta, \phi, t)\) is given by \([27–29]\):
\[
(L_i^\mu) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(r)}}, r, r \sin \theta, \sqrt{K(r)} \right)
\]
with \( N(r) \) and \( K(r) \) arbitrary functions in terms of the radial coordinate \( r \). By combining Eqs. (14) and (4), it is found that the torsion \( T^{abc} \) and contorsion \( K^{abc} \) have the following non-zero components\(^2\)
\[
\begin{align*}
T^{(2)(2)(1)} &= T^{(3)(3)(1)} = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{r}, & T^{(4)(1)(4)} &= \frac{\sqrt{NK'}}{2K}, \\
T^{(3)(3)(2)} &= \frac{\cot \theta}{r}, & T_{(1)} &= -\frac{4K + rK'}{2rK}, & T_2 &= -\cot \theta, \\
K^{(2)(1)(2)} &= K^{(3)(1)(3)} = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{r}, & K^{(1)(4)(4)} &= \frac{\sqrt{NK'}}{2K}, \\
K^{(3)(2)(3)} &= \frac{\cot \theta}{r}.
\end{align*}
\]
(15)

With Eq. (15), it is seen that the superpotential has the following non-zero components:
\[
\begin{align*}
S^{(1)(2)(1)} &= S^{(4)(4)(2)} = \frac{\cot \theta}{2r}, & S^{(4)(4)(1)} &= \frac{\sqrt{N}}{r}, \\
S^{(2)(1)(2)} &= S^{(3)(1)(3)} = \frac{\sqrt{N}(2K + rK')}{4rK}.
\end{align*}
\]
(16)

Substituting Eqs. (15) and (16) into Eq. (6), the torsion scalar is described by
\[
\begin{align*}
T &= \frac{2N(K + rK')}{r^2K}, & R &= \frac{4rK^2N' - r^2NK'^2 + 2r^2NNK'K'' + r^2KN'K' + 4NK^2 - 4K^2 + 4rKNK'}{r^2K^2}.
\end{align*}
\]
(17)

\(^2\) \( T^{abc} \) is represented as
\[
T^{abc} = L^a_{\mu}L^b_{\nu}L^c_{\alpha}T^{\mu\nu\alpha}.
\]

\( K^{\mu\nu\alpha} \) and \( S^{\mu\nu\alpha} \) are defined in the same manner. The last two indices of the torsion and contorsion have their skew symmetry. On the other hand, the first two indices of the contorsion have their skew symmetry.
By using Eqs. (15), (16) and (17) in the field equations (10) and (11) with $\mathcal{L}^{\nu\mu} = 0$, we obtain

$$Q^{(1)}_r \equiv 3r^2 NK\Phi'^2 + 4r NK\Phi\Phi' + r^2 N\Phi K'\Phi' - K\Phi^2 + NK\Phi^2 + rN\Phi^2 K' = 0,$$

$$Q^{(2)}_\phi = Q^{(3)}_\phi \equiv \Phi^2 [(2NK' + 2N'K' + 2r NK'')K - r NK''] + 4K N\Phi[2K + rK'] + 4rK^2[N'\Phi\Phi' - N\Phi'^2 + 2N\Phi\Phi''] = 0,$$

$$Q^{(4)}_t \equiv r^2 N'N^2 + 4r NK\Phi' + r^2 N'\Phi' - r^2 N\Phi'^2 + 2r^2 N\Phi\Phi' - \Phi^2 = 0,$$

$$I \equiv 4rK^2 N'\Phi - r^2 NK'^2\Phi + 2r^2 NK K''\Phi + r^2 KN'K'\Phi + 4NK^2\Phi - 4K^2\Phi + 4rNK K'\Phi + 6r^2 K^2 N'\Phi' + 24rN K^2 \Phi' + 6r^2 NKK'\Phi' + 12r^2 NK^2 \Phi'' = 0. \quad (18)$$

We acquire the general solutions of equations in (18) as

$$\Phi = \Phi, \quad K(r) = \frac{1}{\Phi^2} + \frac{c_1}{r\Phi^3}, \quad N(r) = \frac{(r\Phi + c_1)\Phi(r)}{r(\Phi + r\Phi')^2}, \quad (19)$$

with $c_1$ an integration constant.

We investigate the expressions of the scalar field $\Phi$ and the functions $N(r)$ and $K(r)$. The form of $\Phi(r) = \frac{1}{r}$ is not able to be taken because for it $K(r)$ cannot be defined. If $\Phi(r) = r$, we have

$$K(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{c_1}{r^4}, \quad N(r) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{c_1}{4r^2}. \quad (20)$$

For (20), the metric reads

$$ds^2 = K(r)dt^2 - N^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) = \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{c_1}{r^4}\right) dt^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{c_1}{4r^2}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (21)$$

Indeed, however, we cannot use $\Phi(r) = r$ because the metric in (21) is not asymptotically flat nor (anti-)de Sitter. If we continue in this manner we show that It is finally seen that the solutions with the physical meaning\(^3\) are given by

$$\Phi(r) = 1, \quad N(r) = K(r) = 1 + \frac{c_1}{r}. \quad (22)$$

For (22), the metric is represented as

$$ds^2 = \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (23)$$

When $c_1 = 2m$ with $m$ a constant \(^{30}\), the metric in Eq. (23) is asymptotically flat and becomes the Schwarzschild spacetime. As a result, it has been shown that in the CTEGR, for vacuum, if the metric is diagonal and static and it has spherical symmetry, there exists only the Schwarzschild solution, which is also the solution in the TEGR. We examine whether this consequence comes from the form of the tetrad in Eq. (14) of not in the next section. To do it, we take the non-diagonal metric with its spherical symmetry and explore the possibility for a the scalar field $\Phi$ not to be a constant.

---

\(^3\) Namely, the solutions behave asymptotically flat or (anti-)de Sitter
IV. SOLUTIONS OF BLACK HOLES WITH SPHERICAL SYMMETRY: FOR NON-DIAGONAL TETRAD

The gravitational field equations (10) and (11) in the CTEGR are applied to a static spacetime in 4-dimensions with its non-diagonal components and spherical symmetry. This spacetime is described with the polar coordinate \((r, \theta, \phi, t)\) [31, 32]

\[
(L'_{\mu}) = \begin{pmatrix}
\sin\theta \cos\phi & \sqrt{N(r)} & 0 & 0 \\
\sin\theta \sin\phi & \sqrt{N(r)} & 0 & 0 \\
\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
r \cos\theta \cos\phi & -r \sin\theta \sin\phi & 0 & 0 \\
r \cos\theta \sin\phi & r \sin\theta \cos\phi & 0 & 0 \\
-r \sin\theta & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{K(r)} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(24)

Similarly to the investigations to derive Eq. (15) in Sec. III for the case of the diagonal tetrad, with Eqs. (24) and (4), it is seen that the torsion \(T^{abc}\) and contorsion \(K^{abc}\) have the following non-zero components\(^4\)

\[
T^{(1)(2)(1)} = T^{(3)(2)(3)} = \frac{\sin\theta \sin\phi (1 - \sqrt{N})}{r}, \quad T^{(1)(3)(1)} = T^{(2)(3)(2)} = \frac{\cos\theta (1 - \sqrt{N})}{r},
\]

\[
T^{(2)(1)(2)} = T^{(3)(1)(3)} = \frac{\sin\theta \cos\phi (1 - \sqrt{N})}{r}, \quad T^{(4)(1)(1)} = T^{(2)(3)(2)} = \frac{\sin\theta \cos\phi \sqrt{N K'}}{2K},
\]

\[
T^{(4)(2)(4)} = \frac{\sin\theta \sin\phi \sqrt{N K'}}{2K}, \quad T^{(4)(3)(4)} = \frac{\cos\theta \sqrt{N K'}}{2K}, \quad T_{(1)} = \frac{4K (1 - \sqrt{N}) - r \sqrt{N K'}}{2r \sqrt{N K'}},
\]

\[
K^{(2)(1)(1)} = K^{(2)(3)(3)} = \frac{\sin\theta \sin\phi (1 - \sqrt{N})}{r}, \quad K^{(3)(1)(1)} = K^{(3)(2)(2)} = \frac{\cos\theta (1 - \sqrt{N})}{r},
\]

\[
K^{(1)(2)(2)} = K^{(1)(3)(3)} = \frac{\sin\theta \cos\phi (1 - \sqrt{N})}{r}, \quad K^{(1)(4)(4)} = \frac{\sin\theta \cos\phi \sqrt{N K'}}{2K}, \quad K^{(2)(4)(4)} = \frac{\sin\theta \sin\phi \sqrt{N K'}}{2K},
\]

(25)

It follows from Eq. (25) that the superpotential has the non-zero components

\[
S^{(1)(1)(2)} = S^{(3)(3)(2)} = \frac{\sin\theta \sin\phi (2K (1 - \sqrt{N}) + r \sqrt{N K'})}{4r K},
\]

\[
S^{(2)(2)(1)} = S^{(3)(3)(1)} = \frac{\sin\theta \cos\phi (2K (1 - \sqrt{N}) + r \sqrt{N K'})}{4r K},
\]

\[
S^{(2)(2)(3)} = S^{(1)(1)(3)} = \frac{\cos\theta (2K (1 - \sqrt{N}) + r \sqrt{N K'})}{4r K}, \quad S^{(4)(1)(4)} = \frac{\sin\theta \cos\phi (K (1 - \sqrt{N})}{r},
\]

\[
S^{(4)(2)(4)} = \frac{\sin\theta \sin\phi (K (1 - \sqrt{N})}{r}, \quad S^{(4)(3)(4)} = \frac{\cos\theta (1 - \sqrt{N})}{r}.
\]

(26)

By combining Eq. (14) and Eq. (6), the torsion scalar is described as\(^5\)

\[
T = \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{N})(2K (1 - \sqrt{N}) + r \sqrt{N K'})}{r^2 K}.
\]

(27)

By applying Eq. (24) to Eq. (11) for \(T_{\mu \nu}^m = 0\), the non-zero components, which are equivalent to those for the diagonal tetrad in Sec. III, are obtained. Thus, the same procedure developed for the diagonal tetrad can be used to the non-diagonal one.

---

\(^4\) \(T^{abc}\), \(K^{\mu \nu \alpha}\) and \(S^{\mu \nu \alpha}\) are written in the same manner as the one in in Sec. III for the diagonal tetrad.

\(^5\) The scalar curvature for (24) is equivalent to the second expression in (17).
As a consequence from the above investigations, the scalar field \( \Phi \) does not influence of the physics in vacuum and the spacetime with its spherical symmetry for both diagonal and non-diagonal tetrads. Hence, in the next sections, we explore the non-vacuum spacetime.

V. SOLUTIONS OF THE CHARGED BLACK HOLE WITH ITS SPHERICAL SYMMETRY

In this section, we study the solutions of the charged black hole with its spherical symmetry.

A. For diagonal tetrad

In the CTEGR, the Lagrangian, from which the charged gravitational field equation is derived, is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}(L_μ^*T_μ)|_2 = 2\kappa|L| \left[ -\phi^2 T + 6g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - 4g^{\mu\nu} \phi \partial_\nu \phi T_\mu \right] + \mathcal{L}_{em}.
\]  

(28)

Here, \( \mathcal{L}_{em} = -\frac{1}{2} F \wedge^* F \) with \( F = dA \) is the part for the Maxwell fields and \( A = A_\mu dx^\mu \) is the 1-form of the gauge (electromagnetic) potential, and \( \phi \) is a scalar filed. Based on the variation principle, by varying this Lagrangian (28) in terms of \( \phi \), we acquire

\[
I \equiv \partial_\mu (L g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi) - \frac{L}{6} \phi R = 0.
\]  

(29)

This field equation is equivalent to Eq. (11) without charge, which is derived from \( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{em}}{\delta \phi} = 0 \), because the trace of the energy-momentum tensor for the Maxwell fields becomes zero. Moreover, by taking the variation principle for the Lagrangian (28) in terms of the vierbein \( L_\mu \), we have [17]

\[
Q^{\alpha\nu} \equiv \partial_\alpha (L \phi^2 S^{\alpha\nu}) - L \phi^2 (S^{\beta\alpha} T_{\beta a} - \frac{1}{4} L^{\alpha\nu} T) - \frac{3}{2} LL^{\alpha\nu} g^{\beta \mu} \partial_\beta \phi \partial_\mu \phi + 3LL^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta \nu} \partial_\beta \phi \partial_\mu \phi + LL^{\alpha\nu} g^{\beta \mu} T_{\mu} \phi \partial_\beta \phi
\]

\[ - L \phi L_{\alpha} g^{\nu \mu} (T_{\mu} \partial_\beta \phi + T_{\beta} \partial_\mu \phi) - L g^{\beta \mu} \phi T_{\alpha \mu} \partial_\beta \phi - \partial_\mu [L g^{\beta \nu} L_{\alpha} \phi \partial_\beta \phi] + \partial_\mu [L g^{\beta \nu} L_{\alpha} \phi \partial_\beta \phi] - \frac{\kappa \phi^2}{2} (L_{\alpha} F_{\rho\alpha} F^{\rho \alpha} - \frac{1}{4} L^{\alpha \nu} F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta}) = 0.
\]  

(30)

Furthermore, from the variation the Lagrangian (28) in terms of the gauge potential \( A \), we find

\[
E^{\mu} \equiv \partial_\nu \left( \sqrt{-g} \Phi F^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0.
\]  

(31)

It is noted that the derived field equations (29), (30) and (31) are equivalent to those for the Maxwell-TEGR theory with \( \Phi = 1 \) [30, 32].

We apply Eqs. (29), (30) and (31) for the spacetime (14) in 4 dimensions with Eqs. (15), (16) and (17). In this case, we also use the representation of the gauge potential, given by

\[
A = h(r) dt.
\]  

(32)

Consequently, we obtain the non-zero components

\[
Q^{(1)r} = 3r^2 NK' \Phi'^2 + 4rNK' \Phi \Phi' + r^2 N \Phi' K' \Phi' - K^2 + rN \Phi^2 + rN \Phi^2 K' - 4\pi r^2 Nk'^2 \Phi^2 = 0,
\]

\[
Q^{(2)\theta} = Q^{(3)\phi} = \Phi^2 \left[ \{2KN' + 2N'K + rN'K' + 2N'KN\} K - rNK'^2 \right] + 4KN \Phi \Phi' [2K + rK']
\]

\[ +4rK^2 [N' \Phi' \Phi' - N \Phi'^2 + 2N \Phi \Phi'] + 16\pi rNk'^2 \Phi^2 = 0,
\]
\[ Q^{(4)}_t = rK\Phi^2 N' + NK\Phi^2 + 4rNK\Phi\Phi' + r^2KN'\Phi\Phi' - r^2KN\Phi\Phi'' - K\Phi^2 - 4\pi r^2Nh'^2\Phi^2 = 0, \]

\[ I = 4rK^2N\Phi - r^2NK'^2\Phi + 2r^2NK\Phi'\Phi + 2r^2KN'K'\Phi + 4NK^2\Phi - 4K^2\Phi + 4rNKK'\Phi + 6r^2K^2N'\Phi' \]

\[ + 24rNK^2\Phi' + 6r^2NKK'\Phi' + 12r^2NK^2\Phi'' = 0, \]

\[ E^t \equiv 2rNKh'\Phi' - rNh'K'\Phi + rKN'h'\Phi + 2rNKhh'\Phi + 4NKh'\Phi = 0, \quad (33) \]

with \( h' = \frac{dh}{dr} \). This equation system in (33) is equivalent to that in (18) for \( h(r) = 1 \). In addition, the system in (33) becomes the one for the Maxwell-TEGR theory with \( \Phi = 1 \) [30].

The general solution of the equation system in (33) is described as

\[ \Phi(r) = \Phi(r), \quad h(r) = c_2 + c_3 \int \frac{(r\Phi' + \Phi)}{r^2\Phi^3} dr, \]

\[ N(r) = \frac{c_4}{r^4\Phi'^2 + 2r^3\Phi\Phi' + r^2\Phi^2} \int \frac{r^4\Phi'^2 + 2r^3\Phi\Phi' + r^2\Phi^2}{r^2(r\Phi' + \Phi)} dr + \frac{c_5}{r^4\Phi'^2 + 2r^3\Phi\Phi' + r^2\Phi^2} \int \frac{2(r\Phi' + \Phi)(\int r\Phi' dr + \int \Phi dr) | dr - 2 \int r\Phi' dr + 2 \int \Phi dr + c_3 \int (r\Phi' + \Phi) dr + c_5}{r^2(\Phi' + \Phi)^2} \]

\[ K(r) = - \frac{\int 2(r\Phi' + \Phi)(\int r\Phi' dr + \int \Phi dr) | dr - 2 \int r\Phi' dr + 2 \int \Phi dr + c_3 \int (r\Phi' + \Phi) dr + c_5}{r^2(\Phi' + \Phi)^2} \int (r\Phi' + \Phi) dr + \Phi^2r^2 - r\Phi c_3 + c_5 \]

\[ (34) \]

Here, the explicit form of the functions \( \Phi, K(r), N(r) \) and \( h(r) \) cannot be determined by this solution (34) itself. Hence, we consider the form of \( \Phi \) with a physical meaning.

We take \( \Phi \) as the representation

\[ \Phi(r) = \frac{1}{r}. \quad (35) \]

By substituting Eq. (35) into (34), we have

\[ h(r) = c_1, \quad N(r) = \text{undefined}, \quad K(r) = \frac{c_4r^2}{1 - c_3 + c_4}. \quad (36) \]

Since \( N(r) \) cannot be defined, the representation of \( \Phi(r) \) in Eq. (35) has no physical meaning and should be excluded.

As another form, we assume

\[ \Phi(r) = r. \quad (37) \]

By combining Eq. (37) and (34), we find

\[ h(r) = c_2 - \frac{2c_2}{3r^3}, \quad N(r) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4r^2} + \frac{c_4}{4r^4}, \quad K(r) = \frac{1}{c_3r^2} + \frac{c_5}{c_3r^4} + \frac{1}{r^6}. \quad (38) \]

The metric for the solution in (38) reads

\[ ds^2 = \left( \frac{1}{c_3r^2} + \frac{c_5}{c_3r^4} + \frac{1}{r^6} \right) dt^2 - \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4r^2} + \frac{c_4}{4r^4} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \quad (39) \]
It follows from the preceding considerations in Sec. III that the metric in Eq. (39) does not have any asymptotic representation. Thus, the form \( \Phi = r \) cannot be adopted.

As one more form, for \( \Phi = 1 \), we acquire

\[
\Phi(r) = 1, \quad q(r) = c_2 - \frac{c_3}{r}, \quad N(r) = K(r) = 1 + \frac{c_4}{r} + \frac{c_5}{r^2}. \tag{40}
\]

In this case, the metric for the solution (40) is written as

\[
ds^2 = \left(1 + \frac{c_4}{r} + \frac{c_3}{r^2}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 + \frac{c_4}{r} + \frac{c_3}{r^2}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \tag{41}
\]

With the discussions in Sec. III, it can be seen that the metric in Eq. (41) is asymptotically flat, and that it is reduced to the Reissner-Nordström spacetime if the constant \( c_4 = 2m \) and \( c_3 = q [30] \).

B. For non-diagonal tetrad

Next, we examine the case of the non-diagonal tetrad. Similarly to the previous subsection, we combine the field equations. (29), (30) and (31) and the spacetime of Eq. (24) in 4 dimensions. We also Eqs. (25), (26), (27) and the expression of the gauge potential in Eq. (32). As a result, we obtain the same equations in (33) as the case of the diagonal tetrad. Thus, the same investigations and consequences as those for the diagonal tetrad can be found in the case of the non-diagonal tetrad.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, we have explored the issue to analyze the solutions of the black hole in the framework of the Teleparallel Equivalent of General Relativity (TEGR) with the conformal nature, which we call as the “CTEGR”. We have applied the gravitational field equations in the CTEGR for a diagonal spacetime with the spherical symmetry. We have described the resultant equation system and found the set of the solution for this equation system. Consequently, it has been shown that the solution with a physical meaning, namely, the explicit representation of the specific solution can be acquired for the scalar field is equal to unity as \( \Phi(r) = 1 \). That is, for the spacetime with the spherical symmetry, the CTEGR is equivalent to the TEGR.

In addition, we have investigated the case of the non-diagonal tetrad for the spacetime with the spherical symmetry in vacuum. Based on the same procedures as in the case of the diagonal tetrad, we have written the gravitational field equations. We have found the set of the solutions for this equation system, which is equivalent to that in the case of the diagonal tetrad. As a result, it has been understood that in the spacetime with the spherical symmetry for both the diagonal and non-diagonal tetrads, the vacuum solution of the black hole in the CTEGR is equivalent to that in the TEGR.

Furthermore, we have studied the black hole solution in the non-vacuum case by deriving the charged gravitational field equations in the CTEGR. We have adopted these equations to the 4-dimensional spacetime with the spherical symmetry in the case of the diagonal tetrad. We have obtained the equation system and derived the set of the solutions for this equation system. Similarly to the case in vacuum, it has been demonstrated that the solution with a physical meaning, i.e., the explicit expression of the specific solution is only the Ressner-Nordström spacetime for \( \Phi(r) = 1 \). It has also been confirmed that with the same procedures to the case of the non-diagonal tetrad as those for that of the diagonal one, if the charged gravitational field equations in the CTEGR are applied to the non-diagonal tetrad, the same consequences as those in the case of the diagonal tetrad are found.
Thus, it has been concluded that the only solution of the black hole with its spherical symmetry in the CTEGR with or without the charge, i.e., the Maxwell field, is equivalent to the solution of the black hole for $\Phi(r) = 1$ in the TTEGR. From this result, it can be seen that a scalar field in the CTEGR does not influence on the physics in the spacetime with the spherical symmetry.
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