Understanding the correlation between electronic coupling and energetic stability of molecular crystal polymorphs: The instructive case of quinacridone.
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A crucial factor determining charge transport in organic semiconductors is the electronic coupling between the molecular constituents, which is heavily influenced by the relative arrangement of the molecules. This renders quinacridone, with its multiple, structurally fundamentally different polymorphs and their rich, diverse intermolecular interactions an ideal testcase for analyzing the correlation between the electronic coupling in a specific configuration and its energetic stability. To provide an in-depth analysis of this relation, starting from the α-polymorph of quinacridone, we also construct a coplanar model crystal. This allows us to systematically compare the displacement-dependence of the electronic coupling with that of the total energy. In this way, we identify the combination of exchange repulsion and electrostatic interactions as driving force steering the system towards a structure in which the electronic coupling is minimal, especially for the valence band. Such a situation can be avoided by either increasing the magnitude of the displacement or by displacements along the short molecular axis, where either the correlation between valence-band width and total energy is lifted, or where the minima in total energy become so shallow that even minor structural modifications have the potential to boost the electronic coupling. The general character of these observations is supported by equivalent trends for an analogous pentacene model system. Thus, the presented data can be regarded as the basis for analyzing the interplay between electronic coupling and energetic stability in crystalline organic semiconductors, which makes them a useful starting point for the future design of materials with improved properties.
1. INTRODUCTION

Organic semiconductors (OSC) are increasingly used as active elements in (opto)electronic and photonic devices.\textsuperscript{1–11} For most of these applications, the charge-carrier mobility, $\mu$, of the employed materials is of paramount importance. Unfortunately, carrier mobilities in the majority of OSCs are orders of magnitude smaller than in their inorganic counterparts.\textsuperscript{12} Improving that situation and achieving efficient charge transport is, thus, one of the key challenges for the further success of OSC-based devices. Computational modelling has the potential to significantly contribute to overcoming that challenge by explaining the experimentally observed trends and by helping to understand, whether specific intermolecular interactions exist that drive molecular crystals towards low-mobility configurations. Based on such insights, it should eventually be possible to design new systems with markedly improved properties.

In order to simulate charge-carrier mobilities, many models have been developed over the years, with the limiting cases represented by fully coherent band transport (for weak electron-phonon coupling and low temperatures) and incoherent hopping (for strong electron-phonon coupling at elevated temperatures).\textsuperscript{13–16} The popularity of the various models has varied over time and their suitability for a given system typically depends on the types of molecules, their arrangement, the temperature range of interest, and the degree of disorder present.\textsuperscript{13–16} Essential parameters in all models are the electronic couplings between neighboring molecules, which are typically correlated with the overlap of the associated wavefunctions and are often expressed via so-called transfer integrals, $t$. For hopping-based theories the carrier mobility, $\mu$, is then proportional to $t^2$, while it is proportional to $t$ for band-transport based models at least within a simple tight-binding picture.\textsuperscript{13,16} For more complex cases, elaborate tight-binding fits are advisable, as they, for example, allow a straightforward calculation of the effective mass of the charge carriers in the entire Brillouin zone.\textsuperscript{17}

Besides small inter-molecular electronic couplings, charge transport in organic crystals is also limited by factors like a particularly strong electron-phonon coupling\textsuperscript{13–16} and the associated dynamic\textsuperscript{18–23} as well as static disorder. The focus of the present study, however, is on the materials’ electronic properties (considering their full 3D crystalline structure), in order to determine fundamental factors that limit the electronic coupling.

A key aspect in this context is the relative geometric arrangement of neighboring molecules, where relative displacements by fractions of an Å can easily change transfer integrals by orders of magnitude.\textsuperscript{13,24–28} In other words, the structure of an organic semiconductor crystal crucially
determines quantities derived from its electronic properties, like band widths, transfer integrals and effective masses. This raises the question, whether a related correlation also exists in the opposite direction, i.e., whether the magnitude of the electronic coupling between neighboring molecules in a crystal, in a systematic way defines its (equilibrium) structure. To address this question, in the following we will search for correlations between inter-molecular electronic couplings (i.e., transfer integrals) and the energetic stability of specific structural motifs. The primary goal of this is to understand, whether there are driving forces that steer OSC crystals into equilibrium packing configurations with reduced electronic couplings. The latter is suggested by the observation that the highest mobilities are often found for metastable, high-energy phases.\textsuperscript{29,30} Additionally, we will explore, whether alternative driving forces not immediately related to inter-molecular wavefunction overlaps, such as electrostatic or van der Waals interactions, might help mitigating this dilemma.

As the primary model system for our study, we chose the hydrogen-bonded organic pigment quinacridone, which has been successfully used in several devices, suggesting an avenue towards biocompatible electronics.\textsuperscript{19–21} Considering the presence of polar heteroatomic groups and the prevalence of hydrogen bonds, it also promises particularly rich physics to be explored. Quinacridone exhibits three established, stable polymorphs (called α, β and γ)\textsuperscript{31} with fundamentally different packing motifs. Still, in all polymorphs one observes π-stacking of neighboring molecules, rather than the more common herringbone arrangement.\textsuperscript{31} This is relevant in the present context for several reasons: π-stacking is typically considered to be beneficial for the charge-carrier mobility in organic materials.\textsuperscript{28,32,33} Consequently, in the following, we will focus on analyzing the electronic coupling in the π-stacking direction, as in this direction the largest coupling for a given material can be achieved, provided that the molecules are suitably arranged.

On more technical grounds, in the past the magnitude and sign of transfer integrals in OSCs have typically been rationalized based on calculations on displaced π-stacked molecular dimers together with the symmetries and nodal structures of the relevant dimer orbitals.\textsuperscript{24–28} For quinacridone, such a π-stacked arrangement of molecules is consistent with the actual crystal structure. Consequently, starting from the α-polymorph, one can construct a representative crystalline model system with molecules arranged in parallel planes. For the sake of comparison, we also analyze an analogous model crystal built from pentacene molecules, even though pentacene crystallizes in a herringbone pattern. Displacing the molecular planes in the model system relative to each other allows deriving the dependence of the transfer integrals on
the inter-molecular displacement in a realistic, crystalline environment. Importantly, in contrast to simulating dimers, such calculations on model crystals also provide direct information on how the total energy of the 3D extended system depends on the displacement. This then allows identifying possible correlations between the magnitude of the transfer integral(s) and the stability of a specific crystalline structure, and to assess the impact of electrostatic and van der Waals interactions.

2. Computational Methodology

Computationally, transfer integrals are usually either derived from molecular dimer simulations\textsuperscript{13,26} or from band-structure calculations. Based on the results of the latter (relying on a simple tight-binding ansatz), transfer integrals can be directly obtained from band widths.\textsuperscript{26,34} Consequently, (within certain limitations)\textsuperscript{17} also band widths serve as a measure for the inter-molecular electronic coupling. A more advanced approach is fitting more elaborate analytic expressions derived from more sophisticated tight-binding approaches (see below) for the bands in the entire 1\textsuperscript{st} Brillouin zone. The transfer integrals to all relevant neighbors can then be extracted from that fit.\textsuperscript{14,17} Compared to calculations on molecular dimers, this has the advantage that the crystalline environment of the molecules is accounted for and situations can be identified in which “super exchange”-like next-nearest neighbor couplings become relevant.\textsuperscript{17,35–38} Thus, before correlating electronic couplings and total energies, we will first explore, whether dimer simulations and band widths as well as tight-binding parameters extracted from band structure calculations yield consistent trends.

To calculate quinacridone dimers, their structures were extracted from the relaxed crystal structures (see below). Orbital energies were obtained by performing single point calculations using the Gaussian 09 program package,\textsuperscript{39} in combination with the Perdew-Burke-Enzerhof (PBE)\textsuperscript{40,41} functional and a 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. The transfer integrals $t$ were then obtained from the orbital energies applying the “energy splitting in dimer” (ESD) method.\textsuperscript{13} The sign of the transfer integrals was determined depending on whether the bonding (positive) or antibonding (negative) linear combination of the molecular orbitals was higher in energy.

For the calculations relying on periodic boundary conditions, we used dispersion-corrected density-functional theory (DFT) as implemented in VASP 5.3.3.\textsuperscript{42–45} The exchange-correlation part was treated via the PBE functional in combination with the Tkatchenko-Scheffler (TS) dispersion correction method\textsuperscript{46} to account for long-range van der Waals interactions. Tests on
α-quinacridone employing the hybrid functional HSE06\textsuperscript{47,48} yielded equivalent results for the nature and widths of the frontier bands (as the relevant quantities in the present context).\textsuperscript{17} Only the order of deeper-lying orbitals is affected by admixing exact exchange, consistent with the results of Lüftner et al.\textsuperscript{49} However, since swapping the orbital ordering does not affect the electron density, this has also no effect on the total energy in a DFT calculation, allowing us to rely on the (computationally much less expensive) PBE calculations instead. Even when later considering deeper-lying bands and orbitals, all that counts in the present context is their occupation, not their relative position. The recommended PAW\textsuperscript{50} potentials (details in the Supporting Information) together with a plane-wave cut-off energy of 700 eV were used for all calculations. In the self-consistent-field (SCF) procedure, the Brillouin zone was sampled using a 32 x 20 x 8 \Gamma-centered k-point grid for \(\alpha\)-, a 25 x 36 x 9 grid for \(\beta\)-, a 8 x 32 x 8 grid for \(\gamma\)-quinacridone, and a 25 x 25 x 25 grid for the coplanar model system. To describe the occupation of the electronic states, we used the Methfessel-Paxton\textsuperscript{51} occupation scheme with a width of 0.1 eV. The geometries of the \(\alpha\)-, \(\beta\)-, and \(\gamma\)-polymorphs of quinacridone were obtained using the experimental unit cells,\textsuperscript{31} and relaxing the atomic positions of the molecule(s) until the largest force component on the atoms was smaller than 0.01 eV/Å.

As a first step to construct the model crystal with quinacridone molecules arranged in parallel planes, we constructed an orthorhombic unit cell and then placed a gas-phase optimized quinacridone molecule in this cell such that the long molecular axis was parallel to the unit cell vector \(\mathbf{a}_3\), the short axis parallel to \(\mathbf{a}_2\), and the stacking direction of the molecules parallel to \(\mathbf{a}_1\) (see Figure 1). The length of \(\mathbf{a}_1\) was set to 3.5 Å (the equilibrium distance of the quinacridone stripes in the \(\alpha\)-polymorph). The lengths of \(\mathbf{a}_2\) and \(\mathbf{a}_3\) were chosen such that the van der Waals surfaces of neighboring molecules touched. Next, the lengths of the unit cell vectors \(\mathbf{a}_2\) and \(\mathbf{a}_3\) and the molecular geometry were relaxed, where for technical reasons associated with the partially constrained relaxations we employed the FHI-aims\textsuperscript{52} code (see also Supporting Information). Compared to simply arranging quinacridone molecules in their gas-phase geometry, this has the advantage that geometric changes due to the formation of intermolecular H-bonds (i.e., a more aromatic structure of the molecules) are accounted for. In a second step, the size of the unit cell in \(\mathbf{a}_1\) direction was doubled to 7.0 Å, such that it contained two molecules in the stacking direction (c.f., Figure 1). This setup allows to easily shift consecutive quinacridone layers in an AB fashion, see Figure 1. For constructing the pentacene model crystals we adopted the same strategy with the only exception that for the geometry optimizations we had to set the length of the \(\mathbf{a}_1\) vector to a value large enough to suppress inter-
layer interactions (40 Å), as otherwise unrealistic geometric distortions occurred (for details see Supporting Information).

Figure 1. Unit cell of the coplanar model crystal derived from the α-phase of quinacridone. The two most important transfer integrals for transport in π-stacking direction are sketched for 3 different displacements of the molecular layers.

When calculating the energetics and the band widths upon displacing neighboring quinacridone or pentacene planes in the model crystals, DFT calculations employing the above (comparably expensive) settings are performed for displacements along the long and short molecular axes. Doing such calculations on a sufficiently dense grid for simultaneous displacements along both axes would pose a sizable computational challenge. To derive the dependence of the total energy, the van der Waals energy, and the total band width on the displacement of the quinacridone sheets in a 2D manner within tractable numerical effort, we, resorted to Gaussian process regression. There, the model vector consisted only of the x and y positions of the shifted
layer and as kernel functions we chose linear combinations of gaussian kernels equally distributed in the unit cell, which fulfill the periodicity constraints. To optimize the hyperparameters, the marginal log likelihood was maximized. Gaussian process regression allows to estimate a model error, which is visualized and discussed in the Supplementary Information. The model was first trained with the 1D data along long and short molecular axis. Then 10 additional points were chosen at the coordinates of maximum model uncertainty. During this process all system symmetries (C\textsubscript{2} rotation around \textit{a}_{1} for quinacridone and pentacene, mirroring along \textit{a}_{2} and \textit{a}_{3} for pentacene) were considered and exploited.

The total band width, W, of \(\alpha\)-quinacridone with only a single molecule in the unit cell is defined as the difference between the maximum and the minimum values of the energies of the highest occupied eigenstate at each considered \textit{k}-point. Band widths along specific \textit{k}-paths are determined in an analogous manner. In \(\beta\)-quinacridone, \(\gamma\)-quinacridone, and the coplanar model crystals, the situation is less straightforward, as these systems all contain two molecules per unit cell, which causes a backfolding of the bands. There, to obtain values consistent with the above discussion, W, was determined by subtracting the maximum energy amongst the highest occupied eigenstates at each \textit{k}-points in the entire 1st Brillouin zone from the minimum of the second highest occupied eigenstates. For \textit{k}-paths parallel to directions in which the unit cell contains two molecules (here, along the \textit{a}_{1} direction in the model crystal), the band width is evaluated as the difference between the corresponding eigenstates at the \(\Gamma\)-point analyzing the detailed evolution of the bands. This is again done for the sake of consistency, to account for the backfolding of the band caused by the doubling of the unit cell. More details on the evaluation of the band widths are contained in the SI.

As far as the tight-binding fits are concerned, the functional form of the model function differs, depending on whether there are one or two molecules in the unit cell. For \(\alpha\)-quinacridone with only a single molecule per unit cell it reads:

\[
E(\textit{k}) = \varepsilon + \sum j t_j \cdot e^{i\textit{k} \cdot \textit{R}_j}
\]  

(1)

Here \(\varepsilon\) denotes the on-site energy, \(t_j\) is the transfer integral for neighboring molecules along direction \textit{j} and \(\textit{R}_j\) is the vector connecting the central molecule with the respective neighbor. Due to the inversion symmetry of \(\alpha\)-quinacridone the two neighbors at \(\textit{R}_j\) and -\(\textit{R}_j\) are equivalent, which results in identical transfer integrals \(t_j\).

The equations become more complex, when the unit cell contains two inequivalent molecules,\textsuperscript{14} like in \(\beta\)- and \(\gamma\)-quinacridone and in the coplanar model system. It reads:
\[ E(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{H_{AA} + H_{BB}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(H_{AA} - H_{BB})^2}{4} + |H_{AB}|^2} \]  

(2)

Here the indices A and B denote the inequivalent molecules present in the unit cell, \( H_{AA} \) and \( H_{BB} \) are terms describing the coupling between equivalent molecules in neighboring unit cells and the couplings between inequivalent molecules, either in the same or in different unit cells, are included in the term \( H_{AB} \). All these terms have the same functional form, only the neighbors considered in the sum are different:

\[ H_{AA/BB/AB} = \sum_j t_{R_j,AA/BB/AB} \cdot e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_j,AA/BB/AB} \]  

(3)

In \( \beta \)- and \( \gamma \)-quinacridone, and in the coplanar model system, for symmetry reasons \( H_{AA}, t_{j,AA}, \) and \( \mathbf{R}_{j,AA} \) are the same as \( H_{BB}, t_{j,BB}, \) and \( \mathbf{R}_{j,BB} \). The vectors \( \mathbf{R}_{j,AB} \) differ from the \( \mathbf{R}_{j,AA} \) and \( \mathbf{R}_{j,BB} \) by the displacement vector between the two inequivalent molecules in the unit cell. To obtain the transfer integrals, one has to fit the above expressions to the energy eigenstates of the system within the entire first Brillouin zone. In passing, we note that increasing the number of inter-molecular interactions included in the tight-binding fits does not impact the values of transfer integrals determined already with fewer parameters, which is discussed in more detail in Ref. 17. A list containing all inter-molecular interactions that have been included for the three quinacridone polymorphs and the coplanar model system can be found in the Supporting Information.

For calculating changes in the electrostatic energy upon displacing the molecular rows in the coplanar model crystal, we first determined the ESP charges\(^{53,54} \) for isolated quinacridone molecules again using Gaussian 09\(^{39} \) and the above settings. Then we calculated the field generated by all molecules in rows B (see Figure 1) in a 4×4×4 Cluster and translated a molecule in row A in the center of the cluster (note that the interaction energies between molecules in identical rows can be disregarded, as they do not change as a function of the displacement). Here it has to be noted that when employing other charge partitioning schemes we observed rather significant changes of the magnitude of the calculated energies and for the long axis displacement also in the shape of the obtained curves. We, however, considered the ESP charges to be most suited for the present purpose, as these charges are optimized for reproducing electrostatic fields.

The crystal structures were visualized using Ovito\(^{55} \) and the molecular orbitals by Avogadro.\(^{56} \)
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Crystalline $\alpha$-, $\beta$-, and $\gamma$-quinacridone.

The structures of the three stable polymorphs of quinacridone are shown in Figure 2. The $\alpha$- and $\beta$-phases consist of H-bonded molecular stripes. The fundamental difference between the two polymorphs is that in the $\alpha$-phase all stripes run in the $a_1+a_2$ direction (perpendicular to the plane of projection in the right panel of Figure 2a), while in the $\beta$-phase they run in different directions in consecutive layers ($a_1+a_2$ and $a_1-a_2$). Consequently, $\alpha$-quinacridone contains one and $\beta$-quinacridone two molecules in the unit cell. Nevertheless, in both cases the stripes are $\pi$-stacked in the $a_1$ direction, which corresponds to the shortest vector between two neighboring molecules, whose $\pi$-systems overlap (c.f., grey arrow). For the $\gamma$-phase, the packing motif is fundamentally different (Figure 2c): While for the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-phases each quinacridone molecule forms two H-bonds to each of its two neighbors (causing the formation of the stripes), in $\gamma$-quinacridone every molecule has a single hydrogen bond to four different neighbors. This gives rise to a “hunter fence” structure, as shown in the bottom panel of Figure 2c. Nevertheless, one can still identify a $\pi$-stacking direction (grey arrow).
Figure 2. Crystal structures of the three quinacridone polymorphs. (a) left: view of the unit cell of α-quinacridone with the molecule in the original cell marked by a blue rectangle and the closest H-bonding partner marked by a purple rectangle; right: side view of the crystal structure with the viewing direction chosen such that the alignment of the H-bonded stripes is resolved most clearly. The π-stacking direction is indicated by a gray arrow. (b) left: unit cell of β-quinacridone; again, the blue rectangles mark the (in this case two) molecules in the unit cell and the closest H-bonding partners are highlighted in purple. The central panel again provides a side view, illustrating the alignment of the H-bonded stripes. In the right panel the viewing direction is perpendicular to the \((a_1,a_2)\) plane to illustrate that the π-stacking direction is the same for all layers (with molecules in different layers highlighted by the cyan and brown shading). (c) left: unit cell of γ-quinacridone containing two molecules, which are H-bonded to each other; right: side view illustrating the “hunter fence” arrangement of the molecules.

Of particular interest for the electronic couplings along the π-stacking direction (as the main topic of the present paper) is the displacement of the molecules in consecutive stripes along the
short and long molecular axes. These displacements are summarized in Table 1. Consistent with the rather large inclination of the molecular stripes (Θ, see Figure 2) in the α-phase, this polymorph is characterized by a rather pronounced long-axis displacement (1.4 Å) and a smaller short-axis displacement (0.9 Å). Conversely, for β-quinacridone the long axis displacement is negligible (0.1 Å), while the short axis displacement amounts to 2.0 Å, which is more than twice as large as for the α-phase. For the γ-phase, due to the hunter fence arrangement of the molecules, the relative displacement of neighboring molecules is determined by the distance between the H-bonding sites on each molecule and by the equilibrium distance between the molecular backbones. Amongst the three different polymorphs, this results in the largest value of Θ and, correspondingly, in the largest long-axis displacement of neighboring molecules (1.7 Å see Table 1). Concomitantly, the short axis displacement is the shortest of all polymorphs (0.7 Å).

**Table 1.** Displacements of neighboring molecules in π-stacking direction along their long and short molecular axes and inclination of the molecular planes relative to the quinacridone sheets, Θ, for α-, β-, and γ-quinacridone. Also the energies per molecule relative to the most stable conformation (γ-quinacridone) are reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>long axis displacement / Å</th>
<th>short axis displacement / Å</th>
<th>inclination angle Θ / °</th>
<th>relative energy / meV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energetically, we find that the α-phase is less stable than the β-phase by 85 meV per molecule (see Table 2), while the total energies of β-quinacridone and γ-quinacridone are within 5 meV. This means that (within the numerical accuracy of our simulations) the latter two phases are isoenergetic despite their fundamentally different structures.

Regarding the electronic structure of the quinacridone polymorphs, a comparison of the bands in the high-symmetry directions for α-, β-, and γ-quinacridone is shown in the Supporting Information. Overall, the band dispersions are comparably small, which can also be concluded from the total band widths, W. These vary between 148 meV and 324 meV for the valence and between 226 and 345 meV for the conduction band (see Table 2). Interestingly, in both cases
the band width is largest for the β-polymorph and rather similar for α- and γ-quinacridone. Thus, for the three stable quinacridone polymorphs, there is no apparent correlation between the total widths of the frontier bands and the energetic stability of the materials.

**Table 2.** Total band-widths, \( W \), band-widths for bands running parallel to the \( \pi \)-stacking direction (i.e., parallel to \( \mathbf{a}_1 \)), \( W_{\mathbf{a}_1} \), and transfer integrals in \( \mathbf{a}_1 \) direction calculated from tight-binding fits, \( t_{\mathbf{a}_1} \), and employing molecular dimers, \( t_{\text{ESD}} \) for all 3 quinacridone polymorphs. Note that in spite of the fact that the H-bonded quinacridone stripes run in different directions in consecutive quinacridone layers in the β-polymorph, the \( \pi \)-stacking direction in all layers is given by \( \mathbf{a}_1 \). (PBC) denotes results obtained in DFT calculations employing periodic boundary conditions, (TB) are values extracted from the corresponding tight-binding fits, and (dimer) refers to the results of dimer calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valence Band</td>
<td>( W ) / meV</td>
<td>(PBC)</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( W_{\mathbf{a}_1} ) / meV</td>
<td>(PBC)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(</td>
<td>t_{\mathbf{a}_1}</td>
<td>) / meV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(</td>
<td>t_{\text{ESD}}</td>
<td>) / meV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduction Band</td>
<td>( W ) / meV</td>
<td>(DFT)</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( W_{\mathbf{a}_1} ) / meV</td>
<td>(PBC)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(</td>
<td>t_{\mathbf{a}_1}</td>
<td>) / meV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(</td>
<td>t_{\text{ESD}}</td>
<td>) / meV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As far as the anisotropy of the resulting electronic coupling is concerned, a detailed analysis of the situation in α-quinacridone with a focus on differences between the H-bonding, van der Waals stacking, and \( \pi \)-stacking directions can be found in Ref [17]. Here, we are primarily concerned with transport along the \( \pi \)-stacking direction, \( \mathbf{a}_1 \). Correspondingly, Figure 3 shows the valence and conduction bands of the three quinacridone polymorphs along a \( \mathbf{k} \)-path starting at the \( \Gamma \) point and running parallel to the \( \mathbf{a}_1 \) direction until the boundary of the Brillouin zone (\( \Gamma \rightarrow \text{A}_1 \)). Again, the band widths are rather small, but more importantly, now there are even more significant differences between the three polymorphs, especially for the valence band: It is essentially flat for α-quinacridone parallel to \( \mathbf{a}_1 \). Consequently, \( W_{\mathbf{a}_1} (=15 \text{ meV}) \) is by an order of magnitude smaller than the total band width. This is because in α-quinacridone the valence band along \( \mathbf{a}_1 \) is significantly more narrow than, e.g., the band in the H-bonding direction.
\( \mathbf{a}_1+\mathbf{a}_2 \), i.e., along \( \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{12} \), also shown in Figure 3. \( W_{a_1} \) is intermediate for \( \gamma \)-quinacridone (76 meV) and largest for \( \beta \)-quinacridone (324 meV, see also Table 2). In \( \gamma \)-quinacridone, \( W_{a_1} \) and \( W \) are actually identical, which indicates that in this system both, the maximum and the minimum of the band are found along \( \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_1 \). The same trend as for \( W_{a_1} \) is also found for transfer integrals between molecules displaced by \( \mathbf{a}_1 \). This happens independent of whether one considers the values obtained from the tight binding fits, \( t_{a_1} \), or from dimer calculations, \( t_{\text{ESD}} \) (see Table 2). Minor deviations between the two sets of transfer integrals are primarily attributed to the conceptual differences between the two approaches, especially the fact that the crystalline environment is only accounted for in the tight-binding fits, which is particularly relevant for quinacridone, where a dimer calculation misses the change in conjugation due to the H-bond formation.\(^{57}\)

**Figure 3.** Electronic band structures of the three quinacridone polymorphs shown along \( \mathbf{k} \)-paths originating at \( \Gamma \) and running parallel to the real space \( \mathbf{a}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{a}_1+\mathbf{a}_2 \) directions until the Brillouin zone boundaries. Energies are plotted relative to the valence-band maximum.

The situation is somewhat more involved for the conduction bands, as for the \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-polymorphs they display a distinctly non-cosine shape, which is a clear evidence for “higher-frequency” components (i.e., transfer integrals beyond the nearest neighbors) playing a significant role.\(^{17}\) Indeed, an analysis of the tight-binding fits shows that the corresponding transfer integrals can be associated with next-nearest neighbor couplings (\( t_{2a_1} \)). This we attribute to “superexchange-like” type interactions (for a more in depth discussion of this aspect in \( \alpha \)-quinacridone see Ref [17]). Correspondingly, the direct correlation between band widths and transfer integrals is lost for the conduction bands. For example, \( t_{a_1} \) is nearly twice as large for \( \beta \)-quinacridone as for \( \alpha \)-quinacridone, although the associated band widths are very similar. While this complicates the situation when analyzing electron transport, here we are mostly concerned with occupied bands, as only their properties can have an impact on the total energy of the system.
3.2 Properties of the coplanar model system of quinacridone

Considering that the above-described results for the three quinacridone polymorphs do not show a clear correlation between total energy and transfer integrals or band width, it is useful, as a next step, to analyze a model system, whose properties can be assessed in a more systematic way. Such a system is found in the coplanar model crystal derived from α-quinacridone, which is shown in Figure 1 and whose structure is discussed in detail in the methods section. Based on this model crystal, in this section, we will not only analyze the dependence of the electronic couplings on the inter-molecular displacements, but rather will search for correlations between transfer integrals and band widths, on the one hand, and the total energy of the system, on the other hand. Moreover, we will analyze the various ingredients to the total energy in order to clarify, whether specific interactions exist that try to force molecular crystals towards configurations with low electronic couplings. As a first step in this quest, Figure 4 shows how the total energy, the van der Waals energy, and the total width of the valence band of the coplanar model crystal depend on the displacement of neighboring sheets.
Figure 4. Total energy, van der Waals energy, total width of the valence band, and the electrostatic energy estimated from an ESP-charge model for a coplanar quinacridone crystal as a function of the displacement of neighboring sheets. The position in the graph denotes the position of the center of a molecule in the second layer within the unit cell relative to the first layer, whose structure is indicated in the plot. I.e., a point in the center of a graph corresponds to a cofacial, zero-displacement structure. All energies are given relative to an average value of the respective energy, which is specified below each of the panels. The total energy plot is
additionally offset by $E_0$, which is the value for the lowest-energy structure. As the ESP model does not represent the total electrostatic energy of the system $\bar{E}$ is chosen such that is at half of the energy variation in the middle of the energy spread. As far as the total band width is concerned, the covered range is somewhat larger than indicated by the color bar, as its value varies between 140 meV and 1100 meV.

The data in Figure 4 show that the total energy is maximized for the cofacial, zero displacement situation. Notably, all local maxima of the total energy are found for displacements along the long molecular axis, with the exception of the situation in which the quinacridone sheets are displaced by half the unit cell lengths simultaneously in $a_2$ and $a_3$ directions. This maximum can be associated with a reduced van der Waals attraction between the sheets due to the minimized van der Waals contact area in this configuration (see Figure 4b). Also the energetically best configurations are found in the vicinity of structures displaced either along the long or along the short molecular axis (the global maximum occurs for a long-axis displacement of 1.5 Å and a simultaneous minor short-axis displacement of 0.3 Å). A similar behavior is observed for the most pronounced maxima of the total band widths in Figure 4c (with by far the largest band width for the cofacial, zero-displacement structure). This suggests that for a more in depth and more quantitative discussion, it is useful to primarily analyze displacements either along the long or along the short molecular axis, which will be done in the following.
Displacing consecutive molecular sheets along the long molecular axis in coplanar quinacridone.

The results for the long-axis displacement are shown in Figure 5. Here, as a first step, we compare the trends for the various parameters used in Table 1 to quantify the strength of the electronic coupling in order to determine, whether a single one of them will be sufficient for the further discussion. Such a test is advisable, as when analyzing the anisotropy of coupling parameters in \( \alpha \)-quinacridone, relevant deviations between, for example, band widths and transfer integrals have been observed.\(^{17}\) The results for the (valence-band related) transfer integral between displaced molecular dimers, \( t_{\text{ESD}} \), are shown in Figures 5a. They display an evolution reminiscent of the observations for rubrene,\(^ {28}\) anthradithiophene,\(^ {24}\) or sexithiényl\(^ {26}\):

There is a pronounced maximum for zero displacement, subsequently \( t_{\text{ESD}} \) crosses the zero line, reaches a negative maximum and then crosses the zero line again. Before comparing these trends to those for the corresponding transfer integral(s) obtained via the tight-binding fit, two technical aspects need to be mentioned: First, for symmetry reasons and the functional form of the tight-binding band structure for two molecules in the unit cell, the sign of the transfer integral describing the coupling between the two inequivalent molecules in the unit cell, \( t_{AB} \), (see Figure 1) cannot be extracted unambiguously. All that can be determined is, whether the signs of \( t_{AB} \) and \( t_{AB-a3} \) (see Figure 1) are the same or not. Second, the band dispersion in the \( a_1 \) direction in the coplanar model crystal does not depend on these two transfer integrals individually but is only determined by their sum. Thus, in Figure 5b this sum, \( t_{AB} + t_{AB-a3} \), is shown with the sign chosen such that it is consistent with that of \( t_{\text{ESD}} \). These aspects are discussed in detail in the Supporting Information, where also the absolute values of the individual transfer integrals \( t_{AB} \) and \( t_{AB-a3} \) are plotted. In this context it should also be noted that especially for small displacements the contribution from \( t_{AB-a3} \) is negligible (\( |t_{AB-a3}| \ll 5 \) meV for displacements < 2.6 Å and \( |t_{AB-a3}| \ll 20 \) meV for displacements < 4.7 Å). Thus, the plot in Figure 5b for small and intermediate displacements mostly reflects the evolution of \( t_{AB} \). As far as the overall trends are concerned, there are only minor deviations between \( t_{\text{ESD}} \) and \( t_{AB} + t_{AB-a3} \) concerning the magnitude of the maxima at higher displacements and their exact positions. We attribute these differences mostly to changes in the orbital structure arising from the interaction between the molecules in the actual crystalline environment.

To more easily compare the trends for the transfer integrals to those for the band widths, we also show the evolution for \( |t_{AB} + t_{AB-a3}| \) in Figure 5b as a dashed red line. This reveals a close
to perfect agreement between the evolutions of $|t_{AB} + t_{AB-a_3}|$, the total width of the valence band, $W$, and the width of the valence band along a path starting at the Γ point and running along $a_1$, $W_{a_1}^{VB}$. The latter data are shown in Figure 5c. In passing, we note that $W_{a_1}^{VB}$ is close to zero at the displacements corresponding to zero-crossings of the transfer integrals, while this is not the case for $W$. This is simply due to the fact that for the latter also the band widths along other paths (e.g. parallel to the H-bonding direction) count, which are largely unaffected by the displacement of the quinacridone sheets. Considering the overall agreement between all quantities used to determine the displacement-dependent trends in the electronic coupling, in the following we will restrict the analysis primarily to the band widths as the most straightforwardly accessible parameters for the actual crystals.
Figure 5. Dependence of the electronic coupling, (a)-(c), and the energy per molecule, (d) and (e), on the long-axis displacement for the coplanar quinacridone model crystal, (b)-(e), and a
correlated molecular dimer, (a). (a) contains the transfer integral calculated via the energy splitting in dimer method (b) the sum of the transfer integrals to the two neighboring molecules in the stacking direction (for details see main text), and (c) contains the total band width of the valence band sampled over a tight k-point grid (area shaded in blue) as well as the widths of the valence band (VB, derived from the molecular HOMO) and the next lower band (VB-1, derived from the HOMO-3) for the k-path running from the Γ-point to the Brillouin-zone boundary in a direction parallel to a₁. In (d) the total energies per molecule including and disregarding van der Waals interactions are shown relative to the minimum energy obtained for the long-axis displacement, while (e) contains the van der Waals contribution and an estimate of the electrostatic energy based on ESP charges. The dotted vertical lines are guides to the eye, while the dash-dotted line denotes a shift by half of the unit-cell length. On the vertical axes, the displacements are given in Å as well as in multiples of the corresponding unit-cell length.

An interesting observation is made, when comparing the evolution of the band width with that of the total energy of the system, which is shown in Figure 5d. As already mentioned in the discussion of Figure 4, the largest band width and the highest total energy are found when not displacing consecutive quinacridone sheets. Moreover, the total energy reaches its minimum for a total displacement of ca. 1.5 Å, where also the electronic coupling is minimized. In fact, for small and intermediate displacements the total energy and the parameters characterizing the electronic coupling follow the same trend. This suggests that there is a fundamental driving force steering the crystal towards a structure with a minimized electronic coupling. In this context it is important to stress that the unfavorable situation for zero displacement is not primarily the consequence of arranging the polar carbonyl and amine groups on top of each other, as a similarly pronounced energetic maximum is observed when flipping the molecules in the second layer by 180° placing the amines on top of the ketones.

The origin of the above-mentioned driving force minimizing the band width can rather be traced back to exchange repulsion, as already indicated in Ref [58]: When the orbitals of two molecules overlap, bonding and antibonding linear combinations are formed, where the bonding one is stabilized less than the antibonding one is destabilized. Thus, wave-function overlap involving fully occupied orbitals results in repulsion with the effect being particularly pronounced for large energetic splittings and, correspondingly, strong electronic couplings.
This raises the question, why the parallel evolution of total energy and the band width in Figure 5 does not prevail for larger displacements and why the one-to-one correlation between band width and total energy is also lost for most regions of Figure 4. The origin of that can be traced back to several effects:

The above argument regarding exchange repulsion misses a crucial aspect: The molecular HOMO is by far not the only orbital involved in strong couplings between neighboring molecules. In fact, there is a large number of deeper-lying occupied π-bands, which, for a suitable long-axis displacement, adopt band widths very similar to that of the valence band. This can, for example, be concluded from the rather similar energetic splittings of associated orbitals in calculations on cofacial molecular dimers. The resulting transfer integrals for zero displacement are shown together with isodensity plots of the relevant orbitals in Figure 6.

Figure 6: Transfer integrals calculated for cofacial molecular dimers employing the ESD method for the highest-lying occupied π-orbital pairs derived from the molecular HOMO, the HOMO-3, and the HOMO-4 to HOMO-8. The pairs derived from HOMO-1 and HOMO-2 are not considered here, as the associated transfer integrals are negligibly small due to the σ-character of the orbitals in the PBE calculations. Top-views of the dimer orbitals highlighting the nodal pattern of the parent orbitals are also shown.
Similar trends as for the dimers can, in principle, also be extracted from the band structures. There, one, faces the challenge that especially when the couplings are large, bands cross and an unambiguous identification of a specific band in the entire Brillouin zone becomes difficult. Thus, in Figure 5c we only show the evolution of the band width of the band directly below the valence band (VB-1), which can be traced back to the molecular HOMO-3 (the PBE-calculated HOMO-1 and HOMO-2 are σ-orbitals, which are associated with only very weakly dispersing bands). The comparable band widths of the VB and the VB-1 along the Γ→A1 direction for small displacements are consistent with the similar values of the associated transfer integrals in Figure 6. The evolution of the band width with displacement is, however, fundamentally different, as the VB-1 misses the minimum for the VB at a displacement around 4.3 Å. We attribute that to the observation that the nodal plane including the inversion center of the molecule that is present for the HOMO in Figure 6 does not exist for the HOMO-3. Considering the yet fundamentally different nodal patterns of the other orbitals depicted in Figure 6, we conclude that especially for larger displacements the minima of the widths of the associated bands (and, correspondingly the resulting minima in exchange repulsion) ought to occur for different displacements in each case, which further complicates the situation. 13,24–28

Independent of that, one conclusion prevails, namely that for all orbitals and bands, exchange repulsion will drive the molecular arrangement away from the “ideal” cofacial arrangement.

This, is, however, not the end of the story,59,60 as in addition to exchange repulsion also van der Waals attraction and electrostatic interactions contribute to the total energy of a specific structure. The contribution of long-range van der Waals interactions can be determined in a straightforward manner, as they are added to the total energy after the self-consistent field procedure as an a posterior correction term (see Methods section). The corresponding energies are shown in Figure 5e and in Figure 4. They show that van der Waals interactions favor a close to cofacial arrangement. This can be understood on geometric grounds, as for small displacement the inter-atomic nearest-neighbor distances are reduced. Notably, plotting the total energy excluding van der Waals interactions hardly changes the positions of the minima and maxima of the curve, as shown in Figure 4d.

The electrostatic energy of a molecule in the crystalline environment is more difficult to assess. We have estimated it from the interaction energies of assemblies of atomic charges arranged
according to the structures of the molecules and with the magnitudes of the charges determined via the ESP scheme (see methods section). Although charge-partitioning schemes are by definition ambiguous and do not necessarily reproduce the electrostatic interaction precisely (much less if evaluated on a discretized grid, as we do here), ESP charges are designed with these kinds of interactions in mind. We note that the relative root mean square error for the ESP-fit is ~0.11, indicating a good, but not necessarily excellent fit. Thus, regarding the data in Figures 4 and 5e we expect generally good trends, but by no means authoritative absolute values for the calculated electrostatic energies. As shown in Figure 5e, also the electrostatic energy is maximized for zero displacement (an observation we make independent of the employed partitioning scheme). Additionally, the ESP-derived electrostatic energy displays a pronounced maximum at a long-axis displacement 5.3 Å and a minimum for 8 Å, which well correlated with pronounced features in the total energy. This suggests that electrostatic interactions distinctly contribute to the evolution of the total energy especially at large displacements.

**Short axis displacements in the coplanar quinacridone model crystal.**

As mentioned above (cf., Figure 4), additional minima in the total energy and maxima of the band widths are found for displacing the quinacridone sheets along the short molecular axes. The resulting evolutions of the band widths and energies are shown in Figure 7. Again, the band width is a maximum for zero displacement and then drops sharply reaching a pronounced minimum for a short-axis displacement around 1.8 Å, which is close to the minimum in total energy (around 1.6 Å). The observation that the minimum in total energy is not very deep, however, implies that minor modifications in the interaction could easily change its position, which would at the same time massively change the electronic coupling and the band width.

What is particularly intriguing for the short axis displacement is that the minima in valence-band width and total energy are no longer close to each other when disregarding van der Waals interactions. Rather, the energetic minimum is obtained for displacing consecutive quinacridone layers by half of the distance the molecules have within the layers, where the band width reaches a (local) maximum. This loss of correlation is, on the one hand, attributed to contributions from deeper lying quinacridone orbitals with different nodal patterns relative to the short molecular axis than the HOMO (see Figure 6 and also the discussion of the pentacene-based model system below). On the other hand, also electrostatic interactions favor a short-axis displacement of 3.45 Å (see Figure 7c).
Figure 7. Dependence of the band widths, (a), and the energies per molecule, (b) and (c), on the short-axis displacement for the coplanar quinacridone model crystal. (a) contains the total band width of the valence band sampled over a tight k-point grid (area shaded in blue) as well as the widths of the valence band (VB, derived from the molecular HOMO) and the next lower band (VB-1, derived from the HOMO-3) for the k-path running from the Γ-point to the Brillouin-zone boundary in a direction parallel to a1. In (b) the total energies per molecule including and disregarding van der Waals interactions are shown relative to the minimum energy obtained for the short-axis displacement, while (c) contains the van der Waals contribution and an estimate of the electrostatic energy based on ESP charges. The dotted
vertical line is a guide to the eye, while the dash-dotted line denotes a shift by half of the unit-cell length. On the vertical axes, the displacements are given in Å as well as in multiples of the corresponding unit-cell length.

**Implications for the situation in α-, β-, and γ-quinacridone.**

The structure of the α-polymorph is dominated by a displacement of neighboring quinacridone molecules along the long molecular axis (see Table 1). Intriguingly, this displacement amounts to 1.4 Å, which is very close to the zero-crossing of the transfer integrals for the model crystal upon long-axis displacement (at 1.5 Å). It also coincides with the minimum in total energy for this displacement. I.e., in α-quinacridone one observes a situation, where minimizing the energy also results in a vanishing transfer integral for the valence band. Interestingly, the calculated global energy minimum according to Figure 4 comprises a short-axis displacement of 0.3 Å (vide supra), which is well consistent with the experimental fact that the actual structure of α-quinacridone not only comprises a long- but also a smaller short-axis shift of the molecules.

For β-quinacridone, the displacement along the short molecular axis dominates. For this direction the coplanar crystal displays a very shallow minimum in the total energy and it coincides with the minimum in the electronic coupling for the valence band only when including van der Waals interactions (vide supra). Considering the somewhat artificial structure of the model system, one can, thus, expect that minor structural modifications could easily lift the correlation between total energy and valence band width. That this occurs in β-quinacridone is, for example, consistent with the observation that there the equilibrium structure is characterized by a short-axis displacement of 2.0 Å, while in the coplanar crystal the energy is minimized for a short-axis displacement of approximately 1.6 Å. This rationalizes the sharp increase of the electronic coupling in β-quinacridone compared to the α-phase (see Table 2).

The situation changes fundamentally in γ-quinacridone. Here, the total energy curve in Figure 5 is only of limited relevance due to the fundamentally different bonding motif in this polymorph, where the equilibrium displacement is strongly impacted by the positions the H-bonding sites and the equilibrium distance between two consecutive quinacridone planes (see
section 3.1). This explains the increase of the displacement by 0.3 Å, which in turn results also in a larger band width consistent with the data in Table 2.
3.3 Molecular displacements, band widths, and total energies for a coplanar pentacene model crystal.

In order to highlight the general validity of the above considerations, we performed analogous simulations for a coplanar pentacene model crystal. As shown in Figures 8 and 9, this yields similar trends as in the quinacridone case.

Figure 8. (a): Dependence of the band widths, on the long-axis displacement for the coplanar pentacene model crystal. The total band width of the valence band sampled over a tight k-point
grid (area shaded in blue) as well as the widths of the valence band (VB, derived from the molecular HOMO) and the next two lower bands (VB-1, derived from the HOMO-1 and VB-2, derived from the HOMO-2) for the \( \mathbf{k} \)-path running from the \( \Gamma \)-point to the Brillouin-zone boundary in a direction parallel to \( \mathbf{a}_1 \) are shown. The values of \( W \) following the definition of the quantity in the Methods section underestimate the actual situation for small displacements. The reason for that is that there the band widths become so large that several valence bands overlap and the PBE calculated band gap vanishes (the corresponding band structure is shown in the Supporting Information). In (b) the total energies per molecule including and disregarding van der Waals interactions are plotted relative to the minimum energy obtained for the long-axis displacement. The dotted vertical line is a guide to the eye, while the dash-dotted line denotes a shift by half of the unit-cell length. On the vertical axes, the displacements are given in Å as well as in multiples of the corresponding unit-cell length. Panel (c) contains isodensity plots of the three highest occupied molecular orbitals of pentacene.

For the long-axis displacement, the total valence band width again displays a pronounced succession of maxima and minima, where for short displacements there is again a near perfect agreement with the total energy. This correlation is once more lost for larger displacements, which also here can be rationalized by the electrostatic interaction and by exchange repulsion due to bands derived from orbitals other than the HOMO. As far as the latter aspect is concerned, the situation for the bands derived from the molecular HOMO-1 and the HOMO-2 are particularly instructive: As shown in Figure 8c, the HOMO-1 has a smaller number of nodal planes perpendicular to the long molecular axis than the HOMO. Consequently, the relative displacements between consecutive minima in the band width are larger. Conversely, for the HOMO-2 with an increased number of nodal planes, the number of minima increases.
Figure 9. (a): Dependence of the band widths, on the short-axis displacement for the coplanar pentacene model crystal. The total band width of the valence band sampled over a tight k-point grid (area shaded in blue) as well as the widths of the valence band (VB, derived from the molecular HOMO) and a the VB-2 (derived from the HOMO-2) for the k-path running from the Γ-point to the Brillouin-zone boundary in a direction parallel to a1 are shown. The evolution for the VB-1 is not contained in the plot, as it follows that of the VB. The values of \(W\) following the definition of the quantity in the Methods section underestimate the actual situation for small displacements. The reason for that is that there the band widths become so large that several valence bands overlap and the PBE calculated band gap vanishes (the corresponding band structure is shown in the Supporting Information). In (b) the total energies per molecule including and disregarding van der Waals interactions are plotted relative to the minimum energy obtained for the short-axis displacement. The dotted vertical line is a guide to the eye, while the dash-dotted line denotes a shift by half of the unit-cell length. On the vertical axes, the displacements are given in Å as well as in multiples of the corresponding unit-cell length.
As far as the short axis displacement is concerned, the overall trends are again similar to the situation in the coplanar quinacridone model crystal (see Figure 9). Particularly instructive in the pentacene case is again the evolution of the band widths for deeper-lying bands, in particular the VB-2 (derived from the molecular HOMO-2). There, due to the absence of a nodal plane perpendicular to the short molecular axis. The associated band-width reaches its minimum only, when the sheets are displaced by half the inter-pentacene distance, i.e., at twice the displacement for the minima of the VB and VB-1 (where the evolution latter essentially coincides with that of the valence band and, therefore, is not shown).

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, we have examined the interplay between crystal packing, i.e. the relative stability of certain crystal structures, and transport relevant parameters for organic semiconductor crystals relying mostly on the instructive example of quinacridone. Comparing the electronic coupling in the three established, stable polymorphs of quinacridone, we find pronounced differences for the transfer integrals and band widths, which, however, do not correlate with the relative energies of the three structures. This prompted us to analyze a coplanar quinacridone model crystal, which allows relating various parameters characterizing the inter-molecular electronic coupling (like band widths and transfer integrals) to the relative displacements of the quinacridone sheets. Even more importantly, as these displacements occur in a 3D periodic, crystalline environment, it is possible to correlate the evolution of the electronic coupling in the valence band with the energetic stability of a specific configuration. For the sake of comparison, similar studies are performed for an analogous pentacene-based system. These studies allow a number of conclusions: The largest band widths in both systems are observed for a cofacial, zero-displacement arrangement of the molecules. This configuration is, however, destabilized by electrostatic interactions and due to exchange involving all electrons in the occupied $\pi$-bands. Consequently, there is a general driving force pushing the crystals towards a situation with reduced electronic couplings, which, for example, explains the particularly small transfer integrals in the $\pi$-stacking direction of $\alpha$-quinacridone. For small displacements, one even observes a direct correlation between the total energy of a configuration and the width of the valence band; i.e., the smaller the width of the valence band becomes the more a structure is stabilized. This correlation is, however, lifted for larger
displacements, variations in the electrostatic interactions and due to the different nodal structures of lower-lying π-orbitals, all displaying maximum band widths comparable to that of the valence band (implying similar maximum contributions to the exchange repulsion). This suggests that for materials in which more strongly displaced structures become energetically favorable (e.g. for steric reasons or exploiting van der Waals interactions) markedly increased valence band widths ought to become possible. Even more promising appears the situation for materials in which consecutive molecular sheets are displaced along the short molecular axis. There the minimum in the total energy as a function of the displacement is particularly shallow, which implies that only minor modifications in the inter-molecular interactions should be able to significantly modify the relative arrangement of the molecules. Tuning these interactions, thus has the potential to boost the electronic couplings and, thus, the materials charge-transport properties. This is in part already realized already for β-quinacridone, for which the transfer integral in π-stacking direction is increased by an order of magnitude compared to the α-phase.
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