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Abstract: Andrei et al. have shown in 2000 that the graph of the Collatz function \( \hat{C} \) starting with root 8 after the initial loop is an infinite binary tree \( A_{C}(8) \). According to their result they gave a reformulated Version of the Collatz conjecture: the vertex set \( V(A_{C}(8)) = \mathbb{Z}^+ \). In this paper an inverse Collatz function \( \hat{C} \) with eliminated initial loop is used as generating function of a Collatz graph \( C_{\hat{C}} \). This graph can be considered as the union of one forest that stems from sequences of powers of 2 with odd start values and a second forest that is based on the branch values \( 6k + 4 \) where Collatz sequences meet. The proof that the graph \( C_{\hat{C}} \) is equal to the infinite binary tree \( A_{C}(1) \) with vertex set \( V(A_{C}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}^+ \) completes the paper.
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1. Collatz function and conjecture

Let \( \mathbb{N} \) be the set of nonnegative integers and \( \mathbb{Z}^+ \) be the positive integers. The Collatz problem relates to the Collatz map \( \hat{C} : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+ \):

\[
\hat{C}(n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad \hat{C}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\
3n + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad \hat{C}(n) \equiv 4 \pmod{6}.
\end{cases}
\]

The famous 3\( x+1 \) or Collatz conjecture now states that for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) there exists a \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \hat{C}^{(k)}(n) = 1 \). [\( \hat{C}^{(k)}(n) = n \) and \( \hat{C}^{(k+1)}(n) = \hat{C} \circ \hat{C}^{(k)}(n) \)]. This excludes the existence of other loops than the trivial terminal cycle \((4, 2, 1, 4, \ldots)\) and of any divergent sequences.

2. The Collatz graph and a modified Collatz conjecture

Most papers deal with the dynamics of the Collatz function \( \hat{C} \) or modified versions of it while pure graph theoretical aspects have seldom been considered. Some exceptions are Andaloro \( \square \), Andrei et al. \( \square \), Lang \( \square \) and Wirsching \( \square \). Let \( f : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+ \) be an arbitrary function. Generally the Collatz graph of the generating function \( f \) is defined by:

\[
C_{f}(V_f, E_f) \equiv (E_f = \{(n, f(n)) ; \ n, f(n) \in V_f\} \text{ the set of directed edges}.
\]

Andrei et al.\( \square \)examined the Collatz Graph \( C_{f}(V_f, E_f) \) and showed that a subgraph of \( C_{f} \) with the vertex set \( V_f \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+ - \{1, 2, 4\} \) and the value 8 as root is an infinite binary tree \( A_{C}(8) \). According to this result they reformulated the Collatz conjecture to be:

The vertex set of the tree \( V(A_{C}(8)) = \mathbb{Z}^+ - \{1, 2, 4\} \).

Their conclusions also lead to the obvious fact that every \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ - \{1, 2, 4\} \) could be the root of a tree \( A_{f}(n) \). Then they concentrate on infinite chain subtrees which are characterized by values which are divisible by 3. Graphs without these chain subtrees are called pruned Collatz graphs \( \square \). This approach leads to infinite sets of start numbers whose sequences converge at 1.

3. The inverse Collatz function and conjecture

Let the set \( Y = \{n|n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}\} - \{4\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^+ \), then the inverse Collatz map \( \hat{C} : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+ \) is:

\[
\hat{C}(n) = \begin{cases} 
2n & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad \hat{C}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\
(n - 1)/3 & \text{if } n \in Y, \quad \hat{C}(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.
\end{cases}
\]

Although the two operations of the Collatz function \( \hat{C} \) have the above unique inverses in the definition of \( \hat{C} \), the function \( \hat{C} \) itself is not unique. This is because \( Y \) is a proper subset of \( \mathbb{Z}^+ \). So every \( y \in Y \) always has two descendants and is a branch value. It is obvious that the operation \( 2y \) simply continues its current sequence while the operation \( (y - 1)/3 \) results in an odd number and starts a complete new sequence. As 4 is no element of the set \( Y \) we avoid the otherwise inevitable initial loop \((1, 2, 4, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 4, \ldots)\). With this the Collatz conjecture reads now:

The vertex set of the Collatz tree \( A_{\hat{C}}(1) \) is \( V(A_{\hat{C}}) = \mathbb{Z}^+ \).
4. The infinite forests \(F_h\) and \(F_b\)

We will now see what happens if we only apply the operation \(n' = 2n\) of the generating function \(\bar{C}\) of the Collatz graph \(C_0\) to all odd numbers \(o \in \mathbb{N}\) as start value.

Lemma 4.1: Let \(d \in \mathbb{N}\), a fixed \(o \in \mathbb{N}\), the map \(g: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+: g(o,d) = o \cdot 2^d\), then with \(d \rightarrow \infty\) any Collatz graph \(C_g\) is an infinite tree \(A_g(o)\).

Proof: The set of vertices of \(A_g(o)\) is \(V(A_g) = \{v|v = o \cdot 2^d\}\) and the set of edges is \(E(A_g) = \{e|e = (o \cdot 2^d, o \cdot 2^{d+1})\}\).

If we substitute \(o=2k+1\) in \(g(o,d)\) we obtain the function \(h(k,d) = (2k+1) \cdot 2^d\) which is a family of \(k\) sequences of powers of 2.

Theorem 4.1: Let \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(d \in \mathbb{N}\), then with \(k \rightarrow \infty\) and \(d \rightarrow \infty\) the Collatz graph \(C_h\) generated by \(h(k,d)\) is an infinite forest \(F_h\) of distinct infinite trees \(A_h(2k+1)\) with the set of vertices \(V(F_h) = \mathbb{Z}^+\).

Proof: According to Lemma 4.1 all \(A_h(2k+1)\) are distinct trees. Thus this set of unconnected trees is the forest \(F_h\). The set of edges is \(E(F_h) = \{e|e = (2k+1 \cdot 2^d, (2k+1) \cdot 2^{d+1})\}\). The codomain of \(h(k,0)\) is the set \(\mathbb{N}\) and for \(d > 0\) the codomain of \(h(k,d)\) is the set of even numbers \(E = \{n|n \equiv 0 (mod\ 2), n > 0\}\).

Because of \(\mathbb{N} \cup E = \mathbb{Z}^+\) the set of vertices of \(F_h\) is \(V(F_h) = \mathbb{Z}^+\).

Corollary 4.1: All vertices \(o\) as roots of the trees \(A_h(o)\) have the outdegree \(deg^+(o) = 1\) and all nodes \(v \in E\) have one indegree \(deg^-(v) = 1\) and one outdegree \(deg^+(v) = 1\).

Now we exclusively apply the second operation \(n' = (n-1)/3\) of \(\bar{C}\) to all numbers \(y \in \mathbb{N}\) as start values.

Theorem 4.2: Let \(k \in \mathbb{Z}^+,\ y \in \mathbb{N}\), the map \(b: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})\): \(b(k) = ((6k+4) - 1)/3\), then with \(k \rightarrow \infty\) the Collatz graph \(C_b\) is an infinite forest \(F_b\) of distinct trees \(A_b(y)\).

Proof: \(E(C_b) = \{e|e = (6k+4, 2k+1), k > 0\}\) and \(V(C_b) = \mathbb{N} \cup (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}) \subset \mathbb{Z}^+\). Since all edges \(e \in E(C_b)\) are different each edge \(e\) itself is a separate tree \(A_b(6k+4)\). This set of infinitely many unconnected trees \(A_b(y)\) is the forest \(F_b\).

Corollary 4.2: All roots \(y \in \mathbb{N}\) of the trees \(A_b(y)\) only have an outdegree \(deg^+(y) = 1\) and all nodes \(o \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})\) only have an indegree \(deg^-(o) = 1\).

5. Consequences of the union of \(F_h\) and \(F_b\)

The separate application of the operations of the generating function \(\bar{C}\) split the Collatz graph \(C_{\bar{C}}\) into two different forests. The re-union of \(F_h\) and \(F_b\) changes the sets of edges and the in- and outdegrees of the nodes of both forests.

Lemma 5.1: \(E(F_h) \cap E(F_b) = \{0\}\)

Proof: \(E(F_h) = \{e|e = ((2k+1) \cdot 2^d, (2k+1) \cdot 2^{d+1}), k \geq 0, d \geq 0\}\) and \(E(F_b) = \{e|e = (6k+4, 2k+1), k > 0\}\), hence \(E(F_h) \cap E(F_b) = \{0\}\).

Theorem 5.1: \(C_{\bar{C}} = F_h \cup F_b\).

Proof: Because of Lemma 5.1 the union \(E(F_h) \cup E(F_b) = E(C_{\bar{C}})\) introduces no multiple edges. As \(V(F_h) = \mathbb{Z}^+\) and \(V(F_b) \subset \mathbb{Z}^+\) therefore \(V(C_{\bar{C}}) = V(F_h) \cup V(F_b) = \mathbb{Z}^+\).
Theorem 5.2: All nodes \( \nu \in V(C_\nu) \) only have one indegree \( \text{deg}^- (\nu) \) and a maximum outdegree \( \Delta^+ (\nu) = 2 \).

**Proof:** Due to Theorem 5.1 we can add the in- and outdegrees of the set of vertices. Obviously the degree of the root 1 does not change. According to Corollary 4.1 and 4.2 all vertices \( \nu \in \mathbb{N} \) then have one indegree and two outdegrees and all nodes \( \nu \in (\mathbb{N} - \{1\}) \) have one indegree and one outdegree. \( \square \)

6. **Proof that the Collatz conjecture is true**

The detour due to splitting the Collatz graph \( C_\nu \) into separate components leads to the fact that the vertex set is equal to \( \mathbb{N}^+ \). To verify that \( C_\nu \) is an infinite binary tree we have to show that there are no circuits and that it is connected.

**Theorem 6.1:** The Collatz graph \( C_\nu \) is an infinite binary tree \( A_\nu (1) \) and \( V(A_\nu) = \mathbb{N}^+ \).

**Proof:** We assume that \( C_\nu \) up to a level \( h \) is a binary tree. The figure to the right shows that this is true for the level 13.

1. According to corollary 5.1 all vertices \( \nu \neq 1 \) only have one indegree so \( \text{deg}^- (\nu) = 1 \).
2. No node on level \( h \) can have an outgoing edge to a vertex on the levels from 0 up to and including \( h \) since these already have an incoming edge.
3. So all successors of the nodes of the level \( h \) could only be arranged on the next higher level \( h' = h + 1 \).
4. For every new level \( h' \) the constraints 1-3 are valid and so the inductive continuation 4 applies ad infinitum since all nodes obviously have successors.

Summary of arguments:

1. Theorem 5.1 says \( V(C_\nu) = \mathbb{N}^+ \).
2. According to the points 1-4 above there cannot exist any circuit in \( C_\nu \).
3. If we assume that there is a node \( \nu \neq 1 \) which has no edge to a predecessor this is a contradiction to the fact that the root 1 is the only vertex which has no predecessor. Therefore \( C_\nu \) is connected.
4. According to theorem 5.2 all nodes \( \nu \) have a maximum outdegree \( \Delta^+ (\nu) = 2 \). Thus \( C_\nu \) is an infinite binary tree \( A_\nu \) with vertex set \( \mathbb{N}^+ \) and therefore the Collatz conjecture is true. \( \square \)

Furthermore, because of the inverse relationship of the two Collatz functions \( \tilde{x} \) and \( \tilde{C} \) expressed as \( \tilde{C} \), all edges \( E(A_\nu) \) can be assumed to be undirected and so the Collatz tree \( A_\nu (1) \) is weakly connected.
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