Realization of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric and $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry broken states in static optical lattice potentials
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R. Labouvie et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 235302 (2016)] have described an experiment with a weakly interacting Bose-Einstein condensate trapped in a one-dimensional optical lattice with localized loss created by a focused electron beam. We show that by setting suitable initial currents between neighboring sites it is possible to create $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quasi-stationary and $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry broken decaying states in an embedded two-mode subsystem. This subsystem exhibits gain provided by the coupling to the reservoir sites and localized loss due to the electron beam, and shows the same dynamics as a non-Hermitian two-mode system with symmetric real and antisymmetric imaginary time-independent potentials, except for a proportionality factor in the chemical potential. We also show that there are three other equivalent scenarios, and that the presence of a localized loss term significantly reduces the size of the condensate required for the realization.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1998 Bender and Boettcher [1] introduced a new class of Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}$, which are invariant with respect to the combined effect of the parity operator $\mathcal{P}$ and the time-reversal operator $\mathcal{T}$, that is $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}] = 0$, without being necessarily invariant with respect to either of them. This allows for non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with entirely real spectra within a so-called $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric regime, and otherwise an eigenvalue structure with complex conjugate pairs called $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry broken.

There is a wide range of applications for $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems, as non-Hermitian Hamiltonians are particularly suited to effectively describe open quantum systems (e.g. see [2]). They can, for example, be used to describe delocalization transitions in condensed matter [3], or for the investigation of population biology [4] and exceptional points [5,8]. Furthermore, the concept of $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry can be applied to the fields of laser modes [2][11], electronic circuits [12,14], microwave cavities [15], or for the realization of unidirectional invisibility [16,18].

A direct observation of $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is possible in optical systems [19,20] due to the mathematical equivalence of the wave equation of electrodynamics in paraxial approximation and the Schrödinger equation. By considering light propagation in two wave guides the transition between the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric and the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry broken regime can be investigated. However, up to date an experimental observation of $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry in a quantum mechanical system is still lacking.

A promising experimental procedure for the realization of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum systems was proposed by considering a Bose-Einstein condensate in an optical double-well potential [21]. As shown in Refs. [22,24] the formalism of $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry can be applied to such a nonlinear quantum system, which can develop stable $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric states [25]. In more recent works the use of bounded and unbounded states [24] or coupling to another Bose-Einstein condensate [27] were suggested to provide a coherent in- and out-coupling of particles. However, both methods are difficult to realize experimentally.

Kreibich et al. [28,30] proposed an experiment based on time-dependent optical lattices [31], in which the wells are loaded with Bose-Einstein condensates [32]. In this way a two-mode system embedded into a larger multi-well system is created, which shows $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric dynamics in the mean-field approximation and beyond [33,34]. Although this approach effectively allows for the realization of $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, the experimental setup is quite demanding and currently hardly realizable due to the time-dependent optical potentials.

In the present paper we will focus on an experiment with a time-independent optical lattice and localized Bose-Einstein condensates of $^{87}$Rb atoms [35]. An electron beam can be used to create local losses at specific lattice sites [36]. In the following we will propose a modification of this experiment to realize $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric and $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry broken states in the mean-field approximation. It is sufficient to describe the system in the mean field, as effects beyond the mean-field theory do not play a role due to the large number of atoms present in the experiment.

II. THEORY

A. Two-mode system

To demonstrate the characteristics of $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry a two-well system filled with ultracold Bose-Einstein condensates is considered where the imaginary part of a complex potential describes the in- and out-coupling of particles. If the potential barrier separating the two wells is high enough, the respective wave functions can be assumed to be localized, so that the system is discrete. By using dimensionless units ($\hbar = m = 1$), the theoretical
Characteristic values of the TMS representation of the two-mode system (TMS) in order to describe important properties of the dynamics of these eigenstates are purely real for even parity states. The corresponding characteristic values of the TMS are time-independent and depend only on the phase difference of the two components of the wave function (2).

### B. Complex-extended wave functions

Beyond the \(PT\)-symmetric regime with \(|\gamma| > J\), the resulting complex eigenvalues and time-dependent norm cause a time dependence of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1). Nevertheless, for a time-independent Hamiltonian with a vanishing nonlinearity \(g = 0\), the wave functions (2) with a complex phase present solutions of the Schrödinger equation (1). By using the general relation

\[
\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} \right)
\]

for \(|\alpha| > 1\), the phase (3) turns into

\[
\varphi = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} \right)
\]

and has the effect of shifting the initial occupations of each well so that the wave function reads

\[
\phi = \begin{pmatrix}
\sqrt{n_0 (\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1})} e^{-\mu t / 4}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(\alpha = \gamma / J\). The two possible solutions in Eq. (9) correspond to the two solutions (4). For every such solution there are either exponentially increasing, or exponentially decreasing particle numbers

\[
n_i(t) = n_i(0) e^{2 Im(\mu)t},
\]

which correspond to the \(PT\)-symmetry broken states.

### C. Open few-mode model

An experimental realization of the \(PT\)-symmetric and \(PT\)-symmetry broken states of the TMS requires a coherent in- and out-coupling of particles. It was shown that an out-coupling can be easily obtained with a focused electron beam. An injection of particles can be realized by embedding the TMS into an optical lattice filled with Bose-Einstein condensates, which acts as a particle reservoir and allows for a steady current of particles into the system.

The mean-field description of a general open few-mode model is again given by the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (1),

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_k = -J \psi_{k-1} - J \psi_{k+1} + g |\psi_k|^2 \psi_k + \mu_k \psi_k - \frac{\gamma}{2} \psi_k,
\]

where \(\psi_k\) represents a Bose-Einstein condensate localized in the lattice site \(k\) of a one-dimensional optical lattice.
with the corresponding onsite energy $\mu_k$. The parameters $\gamma_k$ describe local in- or out-couplings of particles depending on their signs. The strength of the interaction $g$ is assumed to be equal to the strength of the nonlinearity $g$ of the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric TMS at each lattice site. In order to ensure a simple realization, the tunneling coupling $J$ between neighboring lattice sites is assumed to be equal at all sites.

The differential equations (11) describe the experimental setup in [35]. In this experiment, roughly 45,000 $^{87}$Rb atoms were placed in a one-dimensional optical lattice, so that around 700 particles are located at each lattice site in the center of the potential. It was shown that the use of a strong loss term leads to the creation of a stationary state in the corresponding lattice site due to the quantum Zeno effect [39, 40]. However these stationary states do not have the characteristics of the solutions in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric TMS. As the onsite energy leads to a shift in the energy of the system and has no characteristic values of the TMS. As the onsite energy, are desired to be realized as the constant observable parameters, that is the particle number and correlations in all lattice sites it can be shown by using Eq. (11) that all currents must have the same absolute values

$$ |j_L| = \frac{1}{2} j = |j_R|, $$

assuming there is a positive current $j$ in the subsystem, i.e. from left to right (cf. Fig. 1). Moreover, all initial occupations have to be equally distributed throughout the lattice with $n_k(t = 0) = n_0$ to produce the desired dynamics. As a result, there exist four different possibilities for the orientations of the currents $j_L$ and $j_R$ as shown in Table I. According to Eq. (12b), the derived initial values for the currents and particle numbers yield the phase differences

$$ \varphi_{k+1} - \varphi_k = \arcsin \left( \frac{j_{k,k+1}}{2J\sqrt{n_k n_{k+1}}} \right), $$

which have to be prepared initially. Consequently, all initial phases in Eq. (16) have to exhibit the same phase differences $\pm 2\phi$ of the TMS in Eq. (3) to ensure the stationary dynamics.

**TABLE I.** The different possibilities for the values of the currents $j_L$ and $j_R$ with the local gain and loss terms $\gamma_{k_S}$ and $\gamma_{k_{S}+1}$ assuming that the current $j$ in the subsystem is positive, $j > 0$. Positive values of $\gamma_k$ and $\gamma_{k+1}$ correspond to the loss of particles, while negative values correspond to particle gain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$j_L$</th>
<th>$j_R$</th>
<th>$n_0 \gamma_{k_S}$</th>
<th>$n_0 \gamma_{k_{S}+1}$</th>
<th>orientations of $j_L$, $j_R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$+j$</td>
<td>$+j$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\longrightarrow \longrightarrow \longrightarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$+j$</td>
<td>$-j$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\longrightarrow \longrightarrow \longrightarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-j$</td>
<td>$+j$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\longrightarrow \longrightarrow \longrightarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-j$</td>
<td>$-j$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\longrightarrow \longrightarrow \longrightarrow$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 1.** The inner lattice sites with the currents $j_L$, $j$ and $j_R$ between them and two local loss terms $-i\gamma_{k_S}$ and $-i\gamma_{k_{S}+1}$ in the subsystem which is bounded by the dashed rectangle.
2. \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetry broken regime

In a manner similar to Sec. II B we apply the approach of using complex phases to realize the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetry broken states of the TMS. It is expected that the characteristic dynamics for \(\gamma > J\) can also be created by a preparation of the initial phases and occupations. To obtain analytic solutions in the following, the nonlinearity is set to zero, i.e. \(g = 0\). Since \(g\) is freely adjustable by Feshbach resonances\[11\], this is no restriction with respect to experimental realizability.

The ansatz\[16\] with the current \(j\) of the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric states in Eq. (6b) yields the phase differences

\[
\varphi_{k+1} - \varphi_k = \begin{cases} 
\arcsin \left( \frac{s_1 \gamma}{J} \right) & \text{for } k < k_S, \\
\arcsin \left( \frac{\gamma}{J} \right) & \text{for } k = k_S, \\
\arcsin \left( \frac{s_2 \gamma}{J} \right) & \text{for } k > k_S,
\end{cases}
\] (17)

where the signs \(s_1\) and \(s_2\) can be selected independently, which corresponds to the four possibilities for the currents shown in Table I. Since \(\gamma > J\), the initial complex phases have an impact on the initial occupations (see Eq. (7)), so that the time-dependent particle numbers of the subsystem follow as

\[
n_{k_S}(t) = n_0 \left( \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} \right) e^{2i\text{Im}(\mu)t}, \quad (18a) \\
n_{k_S+1}(t) = n_0 \left( \alpha \mp \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} \right) e^{2i\text{Im}(\mu)t} \quad (18b)
\]

with \(\mu = \pm \sqrt{J^2 - \gamma^2}\). If this is transferred to all lattice sites, all neighboring occupations obey the relation

\[
n_k(t) = n_{k+1}(t) \left( \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} \right)^2. \quad (19)
\]

Thus, the effective initial preparation consists of exponentially distributed particle numbers and the same absolute phase differences of \(\pi/2\) between all lattice sites.

\section{III. RESULTS}

\subsection{A. Realizing \(\mathcal{PT}\) symmetry}

Using the results of Sec. II a one-dimensional lattice with 50 sites is considered. The subsystem \(\{k_S, k_S+1\}\) with \(k_S = 25\) is located in the middle of this lattice with uniformly distributed optical characteristics. Without loss of generality we choose units such that \(J = 1\). Further, the nonlinearity \(g\) is now set to zero both in the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric and the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetry broken regimes. As the mean-field dynamics is independent of the particle number, the initial occupations can be set to \(n_k(t = 0) = 0.5\). According to the four possibilities for the currents and their respective gain and loss terms \(\gamma_{k_S}\) and \(\gamma_{k_S+1}\) in Table I the phase differences must be equal to the values given in Eq. (19). Since the absolute phase shift is arbitrary, the phases of the subsystem are set to the values of the TMS given in Eq. (3), \(\varphi_{k_S} = -\varphi_{k_S+1} = \varphi\).

To begin with, the first case for the currents in Table I is considered: The directions of all currents are aligned towards the subsystem in which, only in the right-hand site, a loss term with \(\gamma_{k_S+1} = 4\gamma\) exists. The resulting dynamics of the occupations and the correlations as well as the currents of all lattice sites is shown in Fig. 2 for the gain and loss factor \(\gamma = 0.8\).

It is noticeable that the occupation of the inner lattice sites in Fig. 2(a) remains approximately constant while the outer sites decrease one after another. Furthermore, all curves develop a local maximum. This is due to the fact that the tunneling currents exceed the initially set currents. The constant particle numbers of the subsystem remain at the constant value \(n_0\) of the TMS until \(t \approx 8\) when the adjoining sites can no longer maintain the appropriate current and thus the \(\mathcal{PT}\) symmetry breaks down.

The currents and correlations in the Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) exhibit a similar behavior because they are directly correlated to the occupations. With the gain and loss factor that is used in Fig. 2 the characteristic values of the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric regime are given by \(c_{k_S, k_S+1} = 0.6\) and \(j_{k_S, k_S+1} = 0.8\) according to Eqs. (6a) and (6b). These theoretical expectations of the TMS are depicted by the dash-dotted straight lines.
since the nonlinearity does not lead to qualitative differences with respect to the realizability or the dynamics, we will focus on the linear case.

Due to the difficult realization of a gain term with $\gamma_{k_0} < 0$ in an actual experiment (e.g., see [42]), we consider the first two cases in Table I with the respective dynamics in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) as experimentally accessible situations with $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric characteristics.

1. Discussion of the phases

Although the physical observables match the theoretically expected values, we will now consider the wave functions of the subsystem directly. To observe the oscillations of the phases it has to be ensured that the chemical potential does not vanish as for $\gamma = 1$. Therefore, in the following we use $\gamma = 0.8$.

The real and imaginary parts of these wave functions shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) are qualitatively similar. They start at the correct values but evolve differently in time. Therefore, since the norms $|\psi_k(t)|^2 = n_k(t)$ coincide with those of the TMS, the time-dependent phases $\varphi_k(t)$ of the wave functions $\psi_k(t) = \sqrt{n_k(t)} \exp(i\varphi_k(t))$, which are shown in Fig. 4(c), have to be analyzed. While the phase differences of the subsystem and TMS are identical, their time derivatives $\dot{\varphi}_k(t)$ shown in Fig. 4(d) are different.

Since the wave functions of the TMS evolve in time with the factor $\exp(-i\mu t)$, the derivatives of their phases $\dot{\varphi}_i(t) = -\mu = 0.6$ are half as large as those of the subsystem with the value $\dot{\varphi}_k(t < 8) = 1.2$. In Appendix A it is shown that the chemical potentials indeed differ from

FIG. 3. The particle numbers $n_k(t)$ of the inner 6 lattice sites for $\gamma = 1$ corresponding to the four possibilities of the currents $j_R$ and $j_L$ in Table I. The nonlinearity is set to zero, $g = 0$.

FIG. 4. The real and imaginary parts of the wave functions of the subsystem, as well as their phases and the derivatives of these phases are compared with the expected values of the TMS. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

A comparison of the particle numbers $n_k(t)$ of the inner 6 lattice sites with $\gamma = 1$ is illustrated in Fig. 3 for all four possibilities of the currents $j_R$ and $j_L$ in Table I. The local maxima in Fig. 3(a) do not appear here because the coupling constant $\gamma = 1$ makes the tunneling current and the initial current equally large. For all four cases in Fig. 3 the occupations of the subsystem deviate from the constant value $n_0$ at $t \approx 8$, which is followed by chaotic dynamics. The second case in Fig. 3(b) represents the trivial case with identical initial phases at all lattice sites and vanishing loss and gain terms because the currents of the reservoir sites effectively supply a balanced gain and loss term for the subsystem. In Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) the particle numbers of the inner 6 lattice sites diverge after the quasi-stationarity breaks down at $t \approx 8$. As in Eq. (13) the gain term $\gamma_{k_S} < 0$ increases the occupation $n_{k_S}$ exponentially. The gain and loss terms $\gamma_{k_S} = -\gamma_{k_S+1}$ in the fourth case look similar to those of the TMS in Eq. (1), but due to the interaction $J \neq 0$ with the adjoining sites, the dynamics of the subsystem finally collapses due to the finite reservoir. The time where the quasi-stationarity breaks down due to the emptying of the outer wells increases with the number of wells used as reservoirs. This time scale decreases for nonlinear interactions $g \neq 0$ as our investigations showed. However,
one another by a factor of 2.

For the general case of a non-vanishing nonlinearity \( g \neq 0 \) the wave functions of the subsystem have the form \( \psi_k(t) = \psi_k(0) \exp(-i\tilde{\mu} t) \) with the chemical potentials

\[
\tilde{\mu} = gn_0 \pm 2 \sqrt{J^2 - \gamma^2},
\]

whereas the wave functions of the TMS evolve in time with the chemical potentials \( \tilde{\mu} \). Since the currents and correlations in Eqs. (5a) and (5b) only depend on the phase differences, and the particle numbers are independent of any phases, the wave functions exhibit the same physical dynamics as those of the TMS. Due to no additional degrees of freedom in Sec. II C 1, the chemical potentials \( \tilde{\mu} \) of the subsystem are not adjustable.

## B. Realizing broken \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetry

The aim of this subsection is to realize the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetry broken states of the TMS using the same setup as in the previous section. As derived in Sec. II C 2, the approach of complex phases leads to exponentially distributed initial occupations (see Eq. (19)), which are illustrated in Fig. 5 for the four cases in Table I with \( \gamma = 1.01 \). All four initial particle numbers of the subsystem are set to the same values given by Eq. (18) and is thus consistent with the wave functions of the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric regime.

FIG. 5. The initial occupations \( |\psi_k(t = 0)|^2 \) of the four possible decaying states with \( \mu < 0 \) for the values of the currents from Table II with \( \gamma = 1.01 \). The nonlinearity is set to zero, \( g = 0 \).

FIG. 6. The particle numbers \( n_k(t) \) of the inner 6 lattice sites for \( \gamma = 1.01 \) correspond to the four possible decaying states with the initial occupations shown in Fig. 5. The dash-dotted lines mark the expected particle numbers of the TMS.

A comparison of the particle numbers \( n_k(t) \) of the inner 6 lattice sites with \( \gamma = 1.01 \) is illustrated in Fig. 6 for all four possibilities of the currents \( j_R \) and \( j_L \) in Table I. It is noticeable that the occupations of the inner lattice sites evolve purely exponentially in time, but with a different factor compared to the TMS in Eq. (10). This stable behavior collapses roughly at the same time \( t \approx 8 \) as the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric states in Fig. 3.

A closer examination reveals that the exponentially decaying rates of these curves are identical in all subplots, and also twice as large as the ones of the TMS. As a consequence, the wave functions exhibit the chemical potential \( \tilde{\mu} \) as the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric wave functions in Eq. (20) with \( g = 0 \). This complex eigenvalue results in time-dependent occupations

\[
n_k(t) = n_k(0)e^{2\text{Im}(\tilde{\mu})t},
\]

and is thus consistent with the wave functions of the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric regime.
IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we proposed an experimental setup for the realization of a two-mode quantum system consisting of Bose-Einstein condensates coupled to a reservoir, which leads to localized particle gain and loss. With a suitable choice of the particle numbers and phases, respectively, in each well the system exhibits either $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}$ symmetry or broken $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}$ symmetry for a finite time interval. In contrast to previous work, the theoretical treatment of the experiment is based on an open few-mode model with time-independent uniform optical characteristics.

All suitable initial phases allow for four types of environments, some with additional gain and loss in the inner wells, in which quasi-stationary states can be produced in a subsystem having almost the same dynamics as the $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}$-symmetric TMS. However, a closer inspection shows that the chemical potentials of both systems in the linear case are proportional by a factor of two. Thus, real and imaginary parts of the wave functions in the inner wells oscillate with different frequency. By continuing the phases of the $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}$-symmetric wave functions into the complex plane we find states with a time-dependent norm leaving out the indices due to clarity, is split into its real and imaginary parts. Thus the time derivative of the phase $\phi = \arctan(\psi_1/\psi_R)$ can be calculated,

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{1}{1 + (\psi_1/\psi_R)^2} \frac{\psi_1 \dot{\psi}_R - \psi_R \dot{\psi}_1}{\psi_R^2} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \dot{\psi}_1 \psi_R - \psi_1 \dot{\psi}_R \right),$$

(A2)

with the particle number $\psi_R^2 + \psi_1^2 = n$. By using Eqs. (1) and (3), the derivatives of the phases of the TMS yield

$$\dot{\phi}_1 = \dot{\phi}_2 = J \cos(\phi_2 - \phi_1) = J \cos \left( \arcsin \left( \frac{\psi_1}{\sqrt{J^2 - \gamma^2}} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sqrt{J^2 - \gamma^2} = -\mu,$$

(A3)

which are equivalent to the expected value in Eq. (4) for $g = 0$. In the same manner the derivatives of the phases at the initial time $t = 0$, where all phase differences between neighboring sites have the same absolute value, can be calculated for a lattice described by

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_k = -J \psi_{k-1} - J \psi_{k+1} - i \frac{\gamma_k}{2} \psi_k.$$ (A4)

Using the loss term $\gamma_{k+1} = 4\gamma$, for example, one finds

$$\dot{\phi}_k = \dot{\phi}_{k+1} = 2J \cos(\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k) = -2\mu = -\tilde{\mu},$$

(A5)

which explains the different behavior of the phases in the lattice system and in the TMS shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d).

Appendix A: Comparison of the chemical potential of the TMS and the subsystem in the lattice

Here we show that the chemical potential of the subsystem in the lattice is twice as large as the one of the TMS assuming that the nonlinearity vanishes, $g = 0$. The wave functions of the lattice site $k$

$$\psi_k = \psi_R + i\psi_I,$$

(A1)

imprint the phases via far-off resonant lasers [44,47].
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