Fluid pumping and active flexoelectricity can promote lumen nucleation in cell assemblies
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Abstract

We discuss the physical mechanisms that promote or suppress the nucleation of a fluid-filled lumen inside a cell assembly or a tissue. We discuss lumen formation in a continuum theory of tissue material properties in which the tissue is described as a two-fluid system to account for its permeation by the interstitial fluid, and we include fluid pumping as well as active electric effects. Considering a spherical geometry and a polarized tissue, our work shows that fluid pumping and tissue flexoelectricity play a crucial role in lumen formation. We furthermore explore the large variety of long-time states that are accessible for the cell aggregate and its lumen. Our work reveals a role of the coupling of mechanical, electrical and hydraulic phenomena in tissue lumen formation.
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INTRODUCTION

A fundamental problem in biology is to understand the collective organization of many cells that can give rise to complex structures and morphologies. Such phenomena can be studied either in living embryos or developing organisms, but also in vitro for example in organoid systems that recapitulate morphogenetic processes [1, 2] or by studying even simpler cell assemblies. In these systems, it is often observed that liquid-filled cavities or lumens appear within cell assemblies [3]: in respiratory, circulatory, and secretory organs, it is typically an interconnected network of tubular lumens that forms [4]. Alternatively, spherical lumens can also form, such as cysts, acini, alveoli or follicles in mammalian epithelial organs [5, 6]. Strikingly, this ability of cell assemblies to self-organize and form internal fluid cavities is maintained in simpler model systems such as organoids and even in multicellular spheroids formed by a single cell type. Examples include Madin–Darby canine kidney cells and mammary epithelial cells (MCF-10A) that are observed to form polarized spherical aggregates with liquid-filled lumen [7, 8].

The formation of a well-delimited cavity surrounded by a cohesive cellular structure has been observed to rely on various mechanisms [4, 7–9]. Programmed cell death induced at the structure center for instance leads to lumen formation by a process known as cavitation [3, 9]. In addition, lumenogenesis must also rely on cells ability to transport water and ions in a collective fashion to open fluid-filled cavities. This capacity of cells to pump fluid has for instance been quantified in experiments on rabbit corneal epithelia [10, 11]. The ion pumps that are necessary to generate fluid flows also produce ion flows that can lead to the build up of an electric field across the tissue. Strong experimental evidence indeed supports the presence of a voltage difference across many tissues [12–14]. More generally, control of cell proliferation by electric mechanisms has received an increasing attention [15–17], and is for instance suspected to play a role in zebrafish fin growth control [18].

In this paper, we use a continuum theory of radially polarized cell spheroids to reveal key physical mechanisms underlying lumen formation. This coarse-grained approach is especially suited to study the combined effects of fluid permeation, electric fields and currents, as well as mechanical stresses stemming from cell division and death [19, 20]. We show that lumen formation is an active nucleation problem, governed by tissue growth, fluid pumping and active electric effects. In particular, we discover a surprising role of tissue flexoelectricity in lumen nucleation. Flexoelectricity was first observed as a bending of the nematic order of liquid crystals when an external electric field is applied [21, 22]. This also implies that electric fields are generated when liquid crystal orientational order is bent. In tissues, flexoelectricity describes the emergence of electric fields when cell polarity orientation is bent or deformed. We also discuss the state diagram of lumen formation as a function of key parameters and explore the interplay of lumen and spheroid growth at long time.

The structure of this manuscript is as follows. We first present the geometry, boundary conditions and material properties of a permeated tissue in the presence of electric fields. Having derived the dynamical equations for the inner and outer radii of the spheroid, we then focus on lumen nucleation and we show how lumen formation at early time is influenced by pumping and active electric effects. Finally, we explore in Sec. III the long-time states of the spheroid and its lumen.
FIG. 1. Sketch of the model used for a spheroid enclosing a lumen: the spherical cell aggregate of radius $R_2$ encloses a spherical cavity of radius $R_1$ filled with fluid, the lumen. In the lumen (resp. outside the spheroid), we denote the fluid pressure as $P_{1}^{\text{ext}}$ (resp. $P_{2}^{\text{ext}}$) and the osmotic pressure of ions that cannot enter the tissue as $\Pi_{1}^{\text{ext}}$ (resp. $\Pi_{2}^{\text{ext}}$). The inner cyan (resp. outer red) shell indicates a small volume of the tissue close to the lumen (resp. close to the outside) with a cell division rate $\delta k_1$ (resp. $\delta k_2$) different from the bulk division rate. Fluid exchange driven by osmotic conditions is also happening at the inner and outer boundaries, see main text for details on the boundary conditions.

I. CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS OF A PERMEATED TISSUE IN THE PRESENCE OF ELECTRIC FIELDS

A. Tissue geometry and notation

Following Ref. [20], we adopt in this paper a coarse-grained, hydrodynamic description of tissues to study the formation of lumen in a spherical aggregate of cells. In the simplified model we consider here, the tissue is permeated by the interstitial fluid and described in a two-fluid framework. The cell active pumping as well as the electric field and current are introduced in the constitutive equations that describe the tissue properties.

Although spheroids and lumens are found with a large variety of shapes, we consider here a simplified geometry with a spherical symmetry, such that the dynamical quantities only vary along the radial direction $e_r$. The spheroid has an outer radius $R_2$ and encloses a fluid-filled lumen of radius $R_1 < R_2$, as illustrated on Fig. 1. The spherical aggregate is surrounded on the outside (for $r > R_2$) by a fluid with a hydrostatic pressure $P_{2}^{\text{ext}}$, and containing osmolites that enter neither the tissue nor the interstitial fluid, such that there exists an osmotic pressure $\Pi_{2}^{\text{ext}}$. We define similarly the hydrostatic and osmotic pressures $P_{1}^{\text{ext}}$ and $\Pi_{1}^{\text{ext}}$ inside the lumen ($r < R_1$). We also allow a thin layer on the outer shells of the spheroid (both close to the outside and close to the lumen) to have a growth rate that differs from the bulk [23–25]. The precise boundary conditions involving in particular tissue permeation at the inner and outer surfaces as well as these boundary growth rates will be specified later, and we now focus on the bulk equations describing the cell aggregate.
B. Permeation of the spheroid by the interstitial fluid

To account for fluid transport and the formation of a fluid-filled cavity inside the spheroid, we decompose the total tissue stress as \( \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sigma^c_{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^f_{\alpha\beta} \), where \( \sigma^c_{\alpha\beta} \) is the stress associated with the cells and \( \sigma^f_{\alpha\beta} \) is the stress associated with the interstitial fluid. For simplicity, we moreover consider that the anisotropic stress in the interstitial fluid vanishes over length scales large compared to that of the cells. In this case the interstitial fluid flow is driven by pressure gradient and the fluid stress is simply \( \sigma^f_{\alpha\beta} = -P_f \delta_{\alpha\beta} \) [19]. Neglecting inertia and in the absence of external forces, the force balance in spherical coordinates reads:

\[
\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \sigma^c_{rr} \right) - \frac{\partial \sigma^c_{\theta\theta}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \sigma^c_{\phi\phi}}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial r},
\]

(1)

where we have decomposed the cell stress as the sum of an isotropic and an anisotropic part:

\( \sigma^c_{\alpha\beta} = \sigma^c \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{\sigma}^c_{\alpha\beta} \), and where \( \tilde{\sigma}^c_{\theta\theta} = \tilde{\sigma}^c_{\phi\phi} = -\tilde{\sigma}^c_{rr}/2 \) because of the spherical symmetry of the cell aggregate.

C. Isotropic and anisotropic cell stress in a permeated cell aggregate

We now introduce the constitutive equations for a polar tissue permeated by a fluid and subject to an electric field due to ion transport. Cells are considered polar, i.e., they exhibit a structural anisotropy that can be characterized by a unit polarity vector \( \mathbf{p} \). This introduces a nematic order parameter for the cells given by \( q_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{p}_\alpha \mathbf{p}_\beta - \delta_{\alpha\beta}/3 \). Notice that we consider in the following that cells are polarized along the radial direction, such that \( \mathbf{p} = e_r \).

Even though tissues are elastic at shorter time scales, cell division and apoptosis enable them to release stress at longer time scales and to become effectively fluid-like [19, 26]. This fluidization of the cells is made possible because cells can probe and sense the local stress and react to it, either by dividing or starting apoptosis, or by generating active stress (for instance in the cytoskeleton) as a result of energy consumption at the molecular level.

An expansion based on symmetry near the homeostatic pressure \( P^c_h \) – defined as the pressure at which cell death exactly compensates cell division in the isotropic state [27] – allows us to derive a constitutive equation for the isotropic cell stress \( \sigma^c \) (see Ref. [20] for more details). In the quasistatic limit, the elastic stress has relaxed due to cell division and death, and the constitutive equation for the isotropic part reads[28]:

\[
\sigma^c + P^c_h = \bar{\eta} \nu^c_\gamma \nu^c_\gamma - \nu_0 \bar{\sigma}^c_{\alpha\beta} q_{\alpha\beta} - \nu_1 p_\alpha \bar{E}_\alpha - \nu_2 p_\alpha (v^c_\alpha - v^f_\alpha),
\]

(2)

where we have introduced the cell and fluid velocities, \( v^c_\alpha \) and \( v^f_\alpha \). We have also defined the cell strain rate tensor \( v^c_{\alpha\beta} = (\partial_\alpha v^c_\beta + \partial_\beta v^c_\alpha)/2 \) and the summation over repeated indices is implied. We have introduced \( \bar{\eta} \) the effective bulk viscosity of the tissue due to the response of cell growth to stress, \( \nu_0 \) is a dimensionless coefficient that takes into account the possible dependence of the homeostatic pressure on the anisotropic part of the stress, \( \nu_1 \) characterizes the influence of the electric field on the homeostatic pressure, and \( \nu_2 \) is a coefficient accounting for the effects of the relative motion of the cells and the interstitial fluid to homeostatic pressure [20].

A similar expansion for the traceless anisotropic part of the stress tensor reads:

\[
\tilde{\sigma}^c_{\alpha\beta} = 2\bar{\eta} \bar{v}^c_{\alpha\beta} + \zeta q_{\alpha\beta} - \nu_3 [\bar{E}_\alpha p_\beta]_{st} - \nu_4 [v_\alpha p_\beta]_{st}.
\]

(3)
where $\tilde{v}\alpha\beta\gamma$ is the traceless part of the cell strain rate tensor, and we have moreover defined the symmetric traceless part of the projection of the cell polarity on the electric field: $[E\alpha p\beta]_{st} \equiv p\alpha E\beta p\beta + p\beta E\alpha + (2/3)p\gamma E\gamma\delta\alpha\beta$ and similarly for the projection of the cell polarity on the velocity difference: $[\tilde{v}\alpha p\beta]_{st} \equiv p\alpha(\tilde{v}\beta - \tilde{v}\beta) + p\beta(\tilde{v}\alpha - \tilde{v}\alpha) - (2/3)p\gamma(\tilde{v}\gamma - \tilde{v}\gamma)\delta\alpha\beta$. We introduce $\eta$ the isotropic shear viscosity of the tissue, and we ignore the fourth rank tensor nature of the viscosity. The coefficient $\nu_3$ describes the coupling of the electric field to the anisotropic cell stress and $\nu_4$ represents the magnitude of the coupling induced by the interstitial fluid flow through the anisotropic cells. The magnitude of the active anisotropic cell stress $\zeta$ can include both a collective component arising from cell division and death, and a contribution from each cell due to the activity of their cytoskeleton [23]. This active stress can be regulated by the cells and we therefore consider that it depends on the local pressure at linear order as:

$$\zeta = \zeta_0 - \zeta_1(\sigma^c + P_h), \quad (4)$$

where $\zeta_{0,1}$ are assumed to be constant. Notice that $\zeta_1$ is a dimensionless parameter.

D. Fluid permeation and electric currents

To close our system of equations, we moreover write a constitutive equation for the momentum exchange $f\alpha = \partial\beta\sigma^f\alpha\beta$ between interstitial fluid and cells [19]. This term, which is balanced by the interstitial fluid pressure gradient $-\partial_r P_f$ in our geometry, can be expressed as:

$$f\alpha = -\kappa(\tilde{v}\alpha - \tilde{v}\alpha_f) + \lambda_1 p\alpha + \lambda_2 E\alpha + \lambda_3 q_{\alpha\beta} E\beta + \lambda_4 \partial\beta q_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (5)$$

The coefficient $\kappa$ describes the friction due to the (relative) flow of the interstitial fluid in the nanometric cleft between cells, and corresponds to Darcy’s law [29] in the description of porous media. The second term on the right-hand side represents the active pumping of fluid by the cells, with $\lambda_1$ the active pumping coefficient. The third and fourth terms, proportional to $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3$ respectively, represent the isotropic and anisotropic parts of the force density generated by the electric field. The last term proportional to $\lambda_4$ characterizes the sensitivity of the pumping to the bending of the tissue [30].

Similarly, we can also write a constitutive equation for the electric current density $j\alpha$:

$$j\alpha = -\tilde{\kappa}(\tilde{v}\alpha - \tilde{v}\alpha_f) + \Lambda_1 p\alpha + \Lambda_2 E\alpha + \Lambda_3 q_{\alpha\beta} E\beta + \Lambda_4 \partial\beta q_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (6)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}$ is the coefficient that characterizes the current due to the (relative) flow of ions between cells as a consequence of a reverse electroosmotic effect [31]. The coefficient $\Lambda_1$ characterizes the contribution of ion pumping to the electric current, while $\Lambda_2$ and $\Lambda_3$ are the isotropic and anisotropic part of the electric conductivity tensor. The coefficient $\Lambda_4$ is an active flexoelectric coefficient, indicating that a spatially nonuniform cell polarity orientation is obtained in response to an electric field [32]. This term plays in particular a crucial role in lumen nucleation as we explain in the following.

Finally, assuming that cells and interstitial fluid have the same mass density, and in the limit of an incompressible tissue, that we consider in the following, mass conservation can be rewritten in such a way that the total volume flux is divergence-free [19]. Considering that there is no fluid flow inside the lumen, the incompressibility yields a relation between the
cell velocity and the fluid velocity inside the tissue: \( v^f = -\frac{\omega}{1-\phi} v^c \) where we have introduced the cell volume fraction \( \phi \), that we assume to be constant in our model. Similarly, the charge conservation in the quasistatic limit \( \partial_\alpha j_\alpha = 0 \) can be integrated directly in the absence of external current and yields \( j_r = 0 \) throughout the tissue.

E. Boundary conditions

The spheroid is surrounded by an external fluid both inside (in the lumen), and outside. This fluid exerts a hydrostatic pressure on the tissue that must be balanced by the tissue surface tension and by the total normal stress at the boundaries:

\[
-\sigma^c_{rr}(R_1) + P^f(R_1) = P^{\text{ext}}_1 - 2\gamma_1/R_1, \tag{7}
\]

\[
-\sigma^c_{rr}(R_2) + P^f(R_2) = P^{\text{ext}}_2 + 2\gamma_2/R_2, \tag{8}
\]

where we have introduced the inner and outer tissue surface tensions \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \). Fluid exchange between the spheroid and the outside is driven by osmotic conditions:

\[
v^{f,\text{ext}}_1 \frac{dR_1}{dt} = +\Lambda^f_1 [(P^{\text{ext}}_1 - P^f(R_1)) - \Pi^{\text{ext}}_1] + J_{p,1}, \tag{9}
\]

\[
v^{f,\text{ext}}_2 \frac{dR_2}{dt} = -\Lambda^f_2 [(P^{\text{ext}}_2 - P^f(R_2)) - \Pi^{\text{ext}}_2] - J_{p,2}. \tag{10}
\]

Here, \( \Lambda^f_1 \) is the permeability of the interface to water flow. The fluxes \( J_{p,i} = -\Lambda^f_i (\Pi^\text{ext,0}_i - \Pi^\text{int,0}_i) \), with \( \Pi^\text{ext,0}_i \) and \( \Pi^\text{int,0}_i \) denoting respectively the outside and inside osmotic pressures of osmolites that can be exchanged between external fluid and tissue, can be nonzero as a result of active pumps and transporters that maintain an osmotic pressure difference, and act effectively as water pumps. We have also defined \( v^{f,\text{ext}}_1,2 \), the external flows imposed at the inner and outer boundaries. Consistently with the assumption made earlier that there is no flow inside the lumen, we consider in the following that \( v^{f,\text{ext}}_1 = v^{f,\text{ext}}_2 = 0 \).

Moreover, the normal velocity of the cells at the interface has to match with the growth of the spheroid radii, that is \( v^c_i(R_i) = dR_i/dt \). However, we allow a thin layer of cells, both close to outside and close to the lumen, to have a growth rate that differs from the bulk, such that the cell velocity conditions become:

\[
v^c_i(R_1) = dR_1/dt + v_1, \tag{11}
\]

\[
v^c_i(R_2) = dR_2/dt - v_2, \tag{12}
\]

where \( v_i = \delta k_i n^c_i e/n^c \) with \( e \) the thickness of this small layer, \( n^c_i \) the cell number density and \( \delta k_i \) the cell growth rate of the thin layer.

II. LUMEN NUCLEATION IN A SPHERICAL CELL AGGREGATE

A. Equations for the dynamics of the spheroid and its lumen

In the previous section we have introduced the bulk equations that describe the properties of the tissue and can be integrated to obtain the cell velocity profile. The values of the different phenomenological parameters that we have defined can be obtained using experimental data and order-of-magnitude estimates (see Ref. [20] and App. C). In particular, for a spheroid which typical radius is of the order of the hundred of micrometers
$10^{-6} \lesssim r \lesssim 10^{-3}$ m, our estimates indicate that effects that are relevant at length scales larger than experimentally accessible ones can be neglected to obtain a simpler velocity profile (see App. A for details).

The bulk cell velocity profile together with the boundary conditions introduced in the previous section then allow us to obtain the dynamics of the inner $R_1(t)$ and outer $R_2(t)$ radii of the spheroid in the quasistatic limit. We obtain two coupled nonlinear differential equations for the spheroid radii, Eqs. (A14) and (A15) (see App. A). In these equations, six effective parameters are introduced. Two effective pressures ($i = 1$ or 2):

$$P_{i}^{\text{eff}} = \Pi_{i}^{\text{ext}} - P_{i}^{c} - \frac{J_{p,i}}{\Lambda_{i}} - \frac{2}{3} \left( \zeta_0 \nu_0 + \frac{\Lambda_4 \lambda + (3\nu_1/2 - 2\nu_0\nu_3)\Lambda_1}{\Lambda} \right),$$

(13)

where $\Lambda = \Lambda_2 + 2\Lambda_3/3$ is an effective conductivity and where $\lambda = \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3/3$. The effective pressure $P_{1}^{\text{eff}}$ (resp. $P_{2}^{\text{eff}}$) can be seen as a renormalization of the homeostatic pressure $P_{i}^{c}$ by the external osmotic pressure $\Pi_{i}^{\text{ext}}$ (resp. $\Pi_{i}^{\text{ext}}$), the pumping flux $J_{p,1}$ (resp. $J_{p,2}$) and electric and active contributions. In particular, if all other quantities are kept constant, we observe that a positive effective pressure $P_{1}^{\text{eff}} > 0$ indicates a stress on the spheroid inner boundary leading to a shrinkage of the tissue, similar to the increase in cell apoptosis due to a pressure larger than the homeostatic pressure in simpler settings [19, 26]. Three effective tension parameters are also introduced:

$$\gamma_{i}^{\text{eff}} = \gamma_i \mp 4\nu_3\Lambda_4/\Lambda,$$

(14)

where $\gamma_i$ is the surface tension of the inner (resp. outer) surface. The effective tension $\gamma_{1}^{\text{eff}}$ (resp. $\gamma_{2}^{\text{eff}}$) of the inner (resp. outer) surface is a renormalization of the tissue surface tension $\gamma_1$ (resp. $\gamma_2$) stemming from the flexoelectric term proportional to $\Lambda_4$ – which generates an electric field as a result of curvature – and the field-induced anisotropic stress characterized by $\nu_3$. This renormalization can in principle lead to a negative effective surface tension for the inner or outer part of the spheroid. A negative inner effective surface tension ($\gamma_{1}^{\text{eff}} < 0$) will be shown in the following to enhance lumen formation and allow for spontaneous nucleation. The effective tension parameter $\gamma_{0}^{\text{eff}}$ is also due to flexoelectricity. It enters the dynamical equations of the inner and outer radii of the spheroid in a similar way as the effective surface tensions (see Eqs. (A14) and (A15) in App. A). Finally, an effective pumping coefficient is introduced:

$$\lambda^{\text{eff}} = \lambda_1 - \Lambda_1 (\lambda/\Lambda),$$

(15)

which is a combination of the active pumping term $\lambda_1$ and of an electric contribution $\Lambda_1 \lambda/\Lambda$ to the pumping due to electroosmotic effects. This term comes as a prefactor of the spheroid thickness $R_2 - R_1$, indicating that the whole tissue acts as a pump.

B. Lumen nucleation: a competition between pumping and electric effects

We now discuss lumen formation in spherical cell aggregates. Assuming that the radius of the lumen is small at the early stage of its formation, we can cast the lumen early dynamics into the form of a nucleation problem. In particular, we highlight in the following the crucial role in lumen formation of pumping and of the active flexoelectricity which contributes to the effective surface tension $\gamma_{1}^{\text{eff}}$. In the small lumen limit $R_1 \ll R_2$, the equations describing
the radii dynamics partially decouple and we obtain the following dimensionless equations:

\[
\frac{dr_1}{dt} = \delta_1 - \frac{2\dot{\gamma}_1}{r_1} - \frac{a}{r_2} + \frac{b - \delta_2 r_2 + \dot{\lambda} r_2^2/4}{r_2(3 + \chi r_2)} + \frac{3\dot{\lambda} r_2}{4},
\]  

(16)

\[
\frac{dr_2}{dt} = \frac{b - \delta_2 r_2 + \dot{\lambda} r_2^2/4}{3 + \chi r_2},
\]  

(17)

where we have introduced dimensionless radii: \(r_i(\hat{t}) = R_i(t)/R_0\) with \(R_0 = \Lambda_1^f \bar{\eta}\) and a dimensionless time \(\hat{t} = t/\tau_0\) with \(\tau_0 = \bar{\eta}/|P_1^{\text{eff}}|\). We have also introduced the dimensionless parameters:

\[
\hat{\gamma}_i = \frac{\gamma_i^{\text{eff}}}{\bar{\eta} \Lambda_1^f |P_1^{\text{eff}}|}, \quad \hat{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda^{\text{eff}} \bar{\eta} \Lambda_1^f}{|P_1^{\text{eff}}|}, \quad \delta_i = \frac{P_i^{\text{eff}}}{|P_1^{\text{eff}}|}, \quad \chi = \frac{\Lambda_1^f}{\Lambda_2^f},
\]

\[
a = 3\dot{\nu}_2 - 2\hat{\gamma}_0, \quad b = 3\dot{\nu}_2 - 2(\hat{\gamma}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_0), \quad \dot{\nu}_2 = \frac{\nu_2}{\Lambda_1^f |P_1^{\text{eff}}|}.
\]

(18)

To allow for an analogy with nucleation of a droplet in a fluid, the equation for the dynamics of \(r_1\) can be rewritten as:

\[
\frac{dr_1}{d\hat{t}} = f(r_2) - 2\hat{\gamma}_1/r_1,
\]

(19)

with \(f(r_2) = \delta_1 - a/r_2 + (b - \delta_2 r_2 + \dot{\lambda} r_2^2/4)/(r_2(3 + \chi r_2)) + 3\dot{\lambda} r_2/4\). In this form, we can make an analogy with the nucleation of a droplet in a fluid: lumen nucleation is driven by a competition between the bulk contribution (first term in Eq. (19)) and the surface term (second term in Eq. (19)). To continue the analogy with droplet nucleation, we also introduce a lumen critical radius:

\[
r_1^c = 2\hat{\gamma}_1/f(r_2),
\]

(20)

which is the radius above which a lumen starts growing. Notice that in this nonequilibrium, active system, the lumen critical radius depends on the value of the outer radius \(r_2\), and therefore on time. Moreover, the (dimensionless) effective surface tension \(\hat{\gamma}_1\) can be negative as the result of active flexoelectricity, and in this case a lumen can open spontaneously starting even from a vanishingly small radius.

Let us now be more specific and consider the case where \(r_2\) is kept fixed, and the volume contribution \(f(r_2)\) is positive. The phase portrait of the system for this case is plotted on the left panel of Fig. 2. Let us first emphasize that the condition \(f(r_2) > 0\) can always be satisfied if the effective pumping term \(\dot{\lambda}\) is positive (inward pumping) and if \(r_2\) is sufficiently large: a signature that the aggregate acts collectively for providing fluid to the lumen. Because of this pumping, the larger the spheroid (that is, \(r_2\)), the more cells contribute to the inward flow, and therefore the smaller the critical radius \(r_1^c\). This effect is so dramatic that for an arbitrarily small early lumen \(r_1(t = 0) = \varepsilon\), one can always find a large enough spheroid such that \(\varepsilon > r_1^c\) and thus such that the lumen starts growing. Notice also that a positive effective pressure \((P_1^{\text{eff}} > 0\), which implies \(\delta_1 > 0\), which is a renormalization of the homeostatic pressure, indicates an unfavorable environment for cells in the center and is therefore favorable for lumen formation.

Moreover, when the effective surface tension is positive \((\gamma_1^{\text{eff}} > 0\), which implies \(\hat{\gamma}_1 > 0\), the usual droplet nucleation picture is preserved in the sense that the system must first
FIG. 2. Phase portrait \((r_1, dr_1/d\hat{t})\) for different values of the effective surface tension \(\gamma_1\). **Left:** The lumen state is favored \((f(r_2) > 0)\), and lumens with radius larger than the critical radius \(r_1^c\) (black dots) grow, while lumens with smaller radius shrink, as indicated by the arrows. However, as the effective surface tension is lowered (from lower brown to upper blue curve), the surface cost for creating a lumen is lowered, and eventually vanishes: a lumen spontaneously forms (uppermost yellow and blue curves without fixed point). **Right:** The lumen state is not favored \((f(r_2) < 0)\), and a lumen with a large radius is always prohibited. As long as the surface tension is positive (lower curves), a lumen is always unstable and shrinks (no fixed point). However, as the surface tension is lowered (from lower brown to upper blue curve) and becomes negative, an attractive fixed point can be found at a finite radius (black dots) which means that a lumen of finite size spontaneously grows. Plots were obtained by setting \(\gamma_1 = \{0.15, 0.1, 0.05, 0.01, -0.05, -0.1\}\) (from lower brown to upper blue curve), and \(f(r_2) = 1\) (left panel) and \(f(r_2) = -1\) (right panel).

perform work against the effective surface tension (nucleation barrier) to effectively form a growing lumen. However, the value of \(\gamma_1^{\text{eff}} = \gamma_1 - 4\nu_3\Lambda_4/L\) is a competition between the tissue surface tension \(\gamma_1\) and the active flexoelectric contribution \(4\nu_3\Lambda_4/L\), which effectively lowers the tissue surface tension. As \(\gamma_1\) is decreased, the cost for nucleation is thus lowered (black dots on the left panel of Fig. 2) until it eventually vanishes for \(\gamma_1^{\text{eff}} \leq 0\) (which implies \(\gamma_1 \leq 0\)). The nucleation barrier vanishes as the effective surface tension changes sign.

The case \(f(r_2) < 0\) is also illuminating: in this case, the usual droplet nucleation picture would indicate that the droplet phase is not stable since it does not lower the system energy. The phase portrait for this scenario is plotted on the right panel of Fig. 2: when the effective surface tension is positive \((\gamma_1^{\text{eff}} > 0)\), which implies \(\gamma_1 > 0\), there is no fixed point and the system is always driven to the lumenless state, as one would expect in the droplet nucleation picture. However, as soon as the effective surface tension becomes negative, a new attractive fixed point exists at a finite radius, meaning that a small lumen forms spontaneously. In this case, the negative surface tension term drives lumen formation even if a lumen is disfavored by the volume term.

Whether the volume term favors a lumen state or not, we find that a lumen spontaneously nucleates whenever its effective surface tension becomes negative \((\gamma_1^{\text{eff}} < 0)\), that is when

\[
4\nu_3\Lambda_4/L > \gamma_1.
\]  

This happens when the flexoelectric effects (proportional to \(\Lambda_4\)) overcome the usual tissue surface tension. Note that negative surface tension usually signals shape instability. Whether such an instability indeed occurs in our model will be explored in future work.
FIG. 3. Inner ($R_1$, lower blue curve) and outer ($R_2$, upper red curve) spheroid radii as a function of time for different values of the parameters, illustrating the long-time states of the system. The plots are obtained by solving numerically equations (A14) and (A15). (a) Spheroid and lumen growth. (b) Spheroid growth and lumen steady state. (c) Spheroid and lumen steady state. (d) Thickness oscillations of the spheroid. (e) Lumen closure and spheroid growth. Notice that our model is not valid anymore when $R_1 = 0$, but the fate of a spheroid without lumen has already been treated in [23]. (f) Spheroid and lumen growth leading to a growing quasi-monolayer. (g) Spheroid and lumen decay leading to a steady-state quasi-monolayer. (h) Spheroid decay is faster than that of the lumen, leading to a collapse of the whole structure. We have rescaled time and length units using $\tau_0 = \bar{\eta} / |P_1^{\text{eff}}|$ and $R_0 = \bar{\eta} \Lambda_1^{\text{f}}$. Refer to Table II in App. C for the values of the parameters used to obtain these plots.

For fixed values of $r_2$, we have seen that lumen formation can be cast in the form of a nucleation problem. In fact, one can even introduce the (dimensionless) volume $V_1 = 4\pi r_1^3 / 3$ and the function $\Psi(r_1) = 4\pi r_1^2 \hat{\gamma}_1 - (4/3)\pi r_1^3 f(r_2)$ such that one has $dV_1 / dt = -\partial_{r_1} \Psi(r_1)$ and the lumen radius is obtained by minimizing $\Psi$ at fixed $r_2$. The final picture is however more subtle because the outer radius $r_2$ is time dependent and evolves according to Eq. (17). The curl of $(dr_1 / dt, dr_2 / dt)$ does not vanish and the dynamics given by equations (16) and (17) thus does not result from the gradient of an effective potential. Indeed, the nonpotential nature of the dynamics allows for thickness oscillations of the spheroid, as we discuss in the next section.

III. SPHEROID AND LUMEN DYNAMICS AT LONG TIME

A. Spheroid long-time states

After lumen nucleation, the spheroid and its lumen follow a dynamics that depends on the parameters of the model. The spheroid and its lumen keep evolving according to Eqs. (A14) and (A15), and the diversity of the long-time scenarii is illustrated on Fig. 3, where the time evolution of the spheroid radius $R_2$ and the lumen radius $R_1$ are plotted for a selection of parameter values.
In the case where lumen nucleation is favored, several long-time fates are possible for the cell aggregate: the spheroid and its lumen grow (Fig. 3(a)), the lumen reaches a steady state while the spheroid grows (Fig. 3(b)), both lumen and spheroid reach a steady-state (Fig. 3(c)), or the spheroid can even undergo thickness oscillations (Fig. 3(d)). As will be discussed in the following section, these oscillations happen when the lumen formation is favored by flexoelectricity while the pumping is directed outwards. When the lumen state is not favored, it decays and eventually closes (Fig. 3(e)). Notice that our model assumes a nonvanishing lumen radius. When the lumen closes ($R_1 = 0$), the state of the spheroid has already been studied in Ref. [23], and whether the spheroid grows, shrinks or reaches a steady state after lumen closure should be studied separately. The case of a growing, oscillating or steady-state monolayer cannot be fully tackled by our model, since its coarse-grained approach breaks down when the spheroid thickness becomes too thin. Our model however captures under which conditions and how a spheroid with a lumen may evolve towards a monolayer (see for instance Figs. 3(f) and 3(g)), at which point a different description of it would then be needed[33]. Finally, notice that Fig. 3(h) provides an example of the spheroid collapse as the spheroid thickness vanishes ($R_1 = R_2$), which happens when the outer layer decays faster than the lumen, but also when the lumen grows faster than the outer layer.

B. State diagram of the spheroid dynamics

We now discuss the state diagram of the model to illustrate in which parameter regions the different behaviors summarized in Fig. 3 can be obtained. Because the parameter space is multidimensional, we focus on a partial state diagram for which we vary the dimensionless effective surface tension $\hat{\gamma}_1$ and the dimensionless effective pumping term $\hat{\lambda}$, while the other parameters are held fixed. The importance of these two parameters in lumen nucleation has already been highlighted above, and we now discuss their influence on the long-time states of the system.

This state diagram is plotted on Fig. 4. Before describing the five different regions characterizing five different long-time states, let us emphasize that the upper half-plane (for which $\hat{\lambda} > 0$) is the region in which lumen nucleation is driven by an active inwards pumping. In particular and as discussed earlier, sufficiently large spheroid will always form a lumen, as the critical radius introduced in Eq. (20) is smaller and smaller as $R_2$ is increased. Furthermore, the left half-plane (for which $\hat{\gamma}_1 < 0$) is the region in which flexoelectricity enhances lumen formation and a fluid-filled cavity can be formed without penalty (in this half-plane, the value of the critical radius vanishes, see discussion in the previous section).

As a consequence of these first considerations, only lumenless spheroids can be obtained in the lower right quadrant (blue region), where the outwards effective pumping as well as the positive effective surface tension both act against the formation of a lumen. After the closure of the lumen, the fate of the spheroid (growth, collapse or steady state) is governed by the lumenless description previously carried out in Ref. [23]. In the upper right quadrant (brown region), both lumen growth or lumen closure can be obtained, and the fate of the spheroid and its lumen depends on the initial conditions. If the initial radius of the lumen is smaller than the critical radius $R_{1c}$ (which is a function of $R_2$), the lumen shrinks and eventually closes, while if this initial radius is larger than this critical value, the spheroid and the lumen will grow indefinitely. In the upper left quadrant (green region), lumen nucleation is enhanced by both active flexoelectricity ($\hat{\gamma}_1 < 0$) and active pumping ($\hat{\lambda} > 0$). A lumen therefore always nucleates and then grows along with the spheroid. This growth
may lead to the formation of a monolayer if the lumen grows faster than the outer radius, and may possibly lead to the whole structure collapse if $R_1 = R_2$.

Finally, the lower left quadrant (red and yellow regions) display especially interesting long-time states. In these regions, pumping drives flow outwards, therefore inhibiting large lumen growth, while active electric effects guarantee the spontaneous nucleation of a small cavity. As a consequence, both effects can balance each other and long-time steady states for the whole structure (both the inner and outer radii reach a steady-state radius $R_1^*$ and $R_2^*$) can be obtained in this quadrant (yellow region). However, because the lumen and outer radius dynamics are not perfectly synchronized, the two competing effects may never reach a steady compromise and the spheroid undergoes thickness oscillations: this is the case when the parameters lie in the red region. Notice that spheroid oscillations have been observed both in vitro [34] and in vivo [35] in the case of monolayered spheroids, but to the best of our knowledge this phenomenon has not been observed in thick spheroids. For monolayered spheroids, oscillations are usually explained by a cycle of growth of the spheroid that builds a stress on the shell, which finally burst open and therefore shrinks due to the outward fluid flow. This is followed by a healing of the hole and the whole process repeats [34, 35]. For the
thick spheroids we consider here, such a bursting process cannot take place, but interestingly oscillatory regimes can still be predicted.

The appearance of the stable limit cycles (spheroid oscillations) is delimited by the solid black curve between the yellow and red regions in the lower left quadrant. As discussed in App. B, the system undergoes a Hopf bifurcation when going from the steady-state region to the oscillation region. As one goes from the lower left quadrant to the upper left quadrant, the fixed point existing in the lower quadrant moves progressively to larger values and is eventually sent to infinity as one crosses the $\lambda = 0$ axis. Going from the lower left quadrant to the lower right quadrant on the other hand is achieved by the system by sending progressively the fixed point $(R_1^*, R_2^*)$ to 0 (more precisely, $R_1^* \to 0$) as $\dot{\gamma}_1$ increases. The fixed point eventually goes to negative $R_1^*$ values when the $\dot{\gamma}_1 = 0$ axis is crossed.

Notice that one scenario displayed on Fig. 3 is not accessible on this partial state diagram: it is the case of a lumen steady state but spheroid growth (Fig. 3(b)). Indeed, one can show that this specific behavior is only accessible when $\delta_1 < 0$ (as well as $\lambda = 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$ and $\dot{\gamma}_1 < 0$) and can therefore not be probed on this partial state diagram. Moreover, this state diagram displays only regions with either one or no fixed point, but there also exist parameter regions with more fixed points. In these regions, a competition between a steady-state spheroid and a growing one may exist, depending on the initial radii of the spheroid and its lumen. Notice that we have not found parameter regions where two stable fixed points are in competition. Despite its incompleteness, the state diagram depicted here captures the most important features of the spheroid model, that is a large variety of accessible long-time states, and in particular the oscillatory solutions, whose mechanism is completely different from that of monolayer spheroids.

CONCLUSION

Our theoretical work has shown that the formation of a fluid-filled lumen in cell assemblies is governed by nucleation equations that resemble those describing the nucleation of a droplet in a fluid. The nucleation of a lumen however depends on additional effects that are fundamentally active: tissue response to mechanical stress, tissue fluid pumping and tissue active flexoelectricity – the ability of a tissue to generate an electric field when bent. In addition to exhibiting the role of the coupling between mechanical, hydraulic and electrical mechanisms in lumen formation, we have also used our model to explore the role of this coupling in the long-time dynamics of the aggregate and its lumen.

In particular, tissue active flexoelectricity, associated with the mechano-electric response of the tissue, is revealed to play a crucial role in early lumen formation as it renormalizes the tissue surface tension, as shown in Eq. (14). Our order-of-magnitude estimations (see Table I) indicate that this effect should not be marginal, and the flexoelectric contribution could even overcome the tissue surface tension, possibly leading to a negative effective surface tension. Whether this regime exhibits additional shape instability remains to be studied in future work. Similarly, we observe that the fluid pumping is also influenced by electric effects: the effective pumping term that is defined in Eq. (15) contains a contribution that stems directly from active cell pumping, but also an additional one which can be understood as an electroosmotic contribution to the active pumping. Electroosmotic flows are generated when an electric field is applied to a fluid close to a charged surface [36] and recent studies suggest that these electroosmotic flows could be for instance dominant in the corneal fluid transport [11, 37]. We have moreover shown that active tissue flexoelectricity and pumping
can work in concert, in which case lumen formation is facilitated (or prohibited if both
effects tend to close the lumen). On the other hand, if fluid pumping is directed outwards
while flexoelectric effects tend to open a lumen, steady states of lumen and spheroid are
observed. This antagonism between flexoelectric and pumping effects can also give rise to
electrohydraulic oscillations, which are radically different from the oscillations observed for
spherical cell monolayers that rely on a burst and healing mechanism \[34, 35\].

Because our framework relies on symmetry considerations and not on specific cell-based
mechanisms, we expect our results to give a robust qualitative picture of lumen formation
in cell assemblies. Nonetheless, experimental results also suggest that this coarse-grained
approach probably needs to be refined at least in the earlier stages of lumen formation.
Lumen nucleation in the mouse embryo has for instance been observed to rely on multiple
micrometer-sized lumens nucleation and coarsening \[38\]. Once these micrometer-sized lu-
mens have fused, our model should capture its further evolution. More generally, a generic
mechanism for the formation of hollow monolayers is the nucleation of a lumen in a dense
cell aggregate that then grows and invades the cell assembly until only a unique layer of cells
remains \[3, 4, 39\]. Although we have not specifically focused in this paper on the formation
of monolayers, our model provides the tools to study in more details such a scenario\[40\].

Finally, the role of mechanical stress on tissue morphogenesis, the importance of electric
effects and fluid transport in cells and tissues are well-known facts. However, we have high-
lighted in this paper the interplay between these effects and how they need to be combined
to understand lumen formation in cell assemblies. The potential importance of such an
interplay is suggested for instance in a recent work on the zebrafish fin regeneration \[18\],
which shows the importance of potassium channels in growth phenotypes. This suggests
that electric effects may couple to growth process and size control of tissues \[15–17\]. Such
observations therefore open the door to studies of tissue morphogenesis where hydraulic,
electric and mechanical effects are brought together.
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Appendix A: Derivation of the dynamics equations for the inner and outer radii

Using the constitutive equations introduced in the main text, we can rewrite the force balance equation (1) as a differential equation on the cell velocity only. The equation we obtain is the starting point of our study and reads:

\[ \eta_1 v(r) \left( \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{L_0^2} + \frac{1}{L_1^2} \right) + \eta_2 v'(r) \left( \frac{1}{L_2} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - \eta_3 v''(r) = \tilde{\lambda}_1 + \frac{2\tilde{\gamma}}{r} + \frac{2\tilde{v}}{r^2}, \tag{A1} \]

where we have introduced the following effective lengths:

\[ L_0^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha \kappa_{\text{eff}}}{\eta_1 (1 - \phi)}}, \quad L_1^{-1} = \frac{2}{\eta_1 (1 - \phi)} \left( \frac{\left( \zeta_1 \nu_1 - 2\nu_3 \right) \kappa}{\Lambda} + \zeta_1 \nu_2 - 2\nu_4 \right), \tag{A2} \]

\[ L_2^{-1} = \frac{1}{\eta_2 (1 - \phi)} \left[ (1 - 2\zeta_1/3) \left( \nu_1 \kappa \Lambda + \nu_2 \right) + \frac{4}{3} (1 - \nu_0) \left( \nu_3 \kappa \Lambda + \nu_4 \right) \right], \tag{A3} \]

we have also defined effective viscosities:

\[ \eta_1 = (2 + 8\zeta_1/3) \bar{\eta} + 4\eta(2 + \nu_0)/3, \tag{A4} \]

\[ \eta_2 = (2 - 10\zeta_1/3) \bar{\eta} + 4\eta(2 + \nu_0)/3, \tag{A5} \]

\[ \eta_3 = (1 - 2\zeta_1/3) \bar{\eta} + 4\eta(1 - \nu_0)/3, \tag{A6} \]

and effective parameters:

\[ \lambda = \lambda_2 + \frac{2}{3} \lambda_3, \quad \Lambda = \Lambda_2 + \frac{2}{3} \Lambda_3, \quad \kappa_{\text{eff}} = \kappa - \kappa \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda}, \tag{A7} \]

\[ \tilde{\gamma} = \left( \frac{4}{3} (2 + \nu) \nu_3 - (1 + 4\zeta_1/3) \nu_1 \right) \frac{\Lambda_4}{\Lambda} , \quad \tilde{\zeta} = \frac{\zeta_0 + \bar{\lambda}_4 + (2\nu_3 - \zeta_1 \nu_4) \Lambda_1}{\Lambda}, \tag{A8} \]

\[ \alpha = 1 - \frac{2}{3} \nu_0 \zeta_1, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_1 = \alpha \left( \lambda_1 - \lambda_1 \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} \right), \quad \tilde{\lambda}_4 = \alpha \left( \lambda_4 - \lambda_4 \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} \right), \tag{A9} \]

Let us now discuss the values of the effective lengths \( L_0, L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) that appear naturally in Eq. (A1). We focus in this paper on the dynamics of a spherical cell aggregate whose typical radius is of the order of the hundred of micrometers: \( 10^{-6} \lesssim r \lesssim 10^{-3} \text{ m} \). Using experimental data and order-of-magnitude estimations (see Ref. [20] and App. C), we are able to give estimations for the effective lengths \( L_0, L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) appearing in Eq. (A1). The permeation length \( L_0 \) is of the order of the millimeter and may become relevant for larger spheroids, while \( L_1 \) is of the order of the centimeter and \( L_2 \) of the order of the decimeter. In the following, we take the limit \( r \ll L_i \) and therefore neglect the contribution to the dynamics of the terms involving the effective lengths \( L_i \). In this limit, Eq. (A1) becomes

\[ -\eta_1 v(r)/r^2 + \eta_2 v'(r)/r + \eta_3 v''(r) + \tilde{\lambda}_1 + \frac{2\tilde{\gamma}}{r} + \frac{2\tilde{v}}{r^2} = 0. \tag{A10} \]

This equation can be solved using a power-law ansatz:

\[ v(r) = A_1 r^{\beta_1} + A_2 r^{\beta_2} + k_0 + k_1 r + k_2 r^2, \tag{A11} \]
where $A_1$ and $A_2$ are integration constants to be determined by the boundary conditions at $r = R_1$ and $r = R_2$ (see Eqs. (7)-(12)), while the coefficients $k_i$ are obtained by finding a particular solution to Eq. (A10) and read:

$$k_0 = \frac{2\dot{\gamma}}{\eta_1}, \quad k_1 = \frac{2\dot{\zeta}}{\eta - \eta_2}, \quad k_2 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\eta_1 - 2(\eta_2 + \eta_3)},$$

and where the exponents $\beta_{1,2}$ are obtained by solving the homogeneous equation and read:

$$\beta_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_3} \mp \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_3} \right)^2 + 4 \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_3} - 2 \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_3}} \right).$$

In order to keep the analysis simpler, we have considered in the main text the limit where the active stress does not depend on the cell pressure, that is $\zeta_1 \to 0$. We have moreover considered the case where the tissue shear viscosity is small compared to the tissue bulk viscosity ($\eta \ll \bar{\eta}$), which is justified by experimental values of these parameters, indicating $\eta \simeq 10^4$ Pa·s while $\bar{\eta} \simeq 10^9$ Pa·s. In this limit, we have in particular $\beta_1 = -2$ and $\beta_2 = 1$.

Using the boundary conditions, we finally obtain the following dimensionless equations for the radii dynamics:

$$\frac{dr_1}{dt} + \frac{3(\dot{v}_1 + dr_1/d\dot{t})r_1^2}{r_2^3 - r_1^3} + \frac{3(\dot{v}_2 - dr_2/d\dot{t})r_2^2}{r_2^3 - r_1^3} = \delta_1$$

$$- \frac{2}{r_1} \left( \hat{\gamma}_1 - \hat{\gamma}_0 \frac{r_1(r_1 + r_2)}{r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_1r_2} \right) + \lambda(r_2 - r_1) \frac{r_1^2 + 2r_1r_2 + 3r_2^2}{4(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_1r_2)},$$

$$- \frac{3}{r_2} \left( \hat{\gamma}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_0 \frac{r_2(r_1 + r_2)}{r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_1r_2} \right) + \lambda(r_2 - r_1) \frac{3r_1^2 + 2r_1r_2 + r_2^2}{4(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_1r_2)},$$

where we have introduced dimensionless radii: $r_1(\hat{t}) = R_1(t)/R_0$ and $r_2(\hat{t}) = R_2(t)/R_0$ with $R_0 = \Lambda f \bar{\eta}$ and a dimensionless time $\hat{t} = t/\tau_0$ with $\tau_0 = \bar{\eta}/P_1^{\text{eff}}$. The dimensionless parameters have been defined in Eq. (18) (and $\hat{v}_1 = v_1/\Lambda f |P_1^{\text{eff}}|$).

### Appendix B: Thickness oscillations of the spheroid

We focus our attention on the spheroid thickness oscillations (see Figs. 3(d) and 5 for illustration) and on the characterization of the bifurcation between these oscillating states and the stable steady states. Spontaneous thickness oscillations are especially interesting in our model as they appear as a fine interplay between pumping and active electric effects (see state diagram, Fig. 4). These electrohydraulic oscillations are indeed possible when electric effects spontaneously nucleate a lumen, while an outwards pumping of fluid acts for shrinking the cavity. If these two antagonistic processes are not balanced, which is the case when the system lies inside the red region in Fig. 4, then the dynamics obeys a limit cycle around an unstable fixed point and thickness oscillations are predicted. Notice that the existence of such a limit cycle is not surprising since, as discussed in the main text for a small lumen, the radii dynamical equations have a nonvanishing curl in phase space.
FIG. 5. (a) Eigenvalue $\mu$ (with $\text{Im}(\mu) > 0$) of the Jacobian of Eqs. (A14) and (A15) evaluated at the fixed point, plotted in the complex plane as one varies $\hat{\gamma}_1$ at fixed value of $\hat{\lambda} = -2$ on Fig. 4. As the control parameter $\Gamma = \hat{\gamma}_1$ is varied from $\hat{\gamma}_1 = -0.2$ to $\hat{\gamma}_1 = -2.5$, the eigenvalue follows the colored curve. Labelled dots along the curves indicate the value of the control parameter at these locations. The eigenvalue first crosses the imaginary axis at $\Gamma_{-c} = \hat{\gamma}_{1-} \approx -0.22$, indicating a Hopf bifurcation and the entrance in the oscillating region. The eigenvalue crosses again the imaginary axis at $\Gamma_{+c} = \hat{\gamma}_{1+} \approx -1.5$, which indicates the exit of the oscillating region. For a finite range of the control parameter inside the oscillating region, the eigenvalues are real (and the eigenvalue $\mu$ plotted here is the largest one in this case). For this range of parameters, the system however still reaches a stable limit cycle, indicating a strongly nonlinear behavior. The dynamics of the inner and outer radii ($R_1$ and $R_2$) at three different points in the oscillating regions (red dots) are displayed on the subfigures (b), (c) and (d). (b) Oscillations of the spheroid and the lumen for $\hat{\gamma}_1 = -0.218$. The amplitude of the oscillations is small enough so that the spheroid thickness does not change significantly. (c) $\hat{\gamma}_1 = -0.28$. Oscillations are strongly nonlinear and the spheroid thickness changes dramatically during one cycle: the lumen grows significantly before suddenly shrinking and almost closing. (d) $\hat{\gamma}_1 = -1.43$. The spheroid oscillations are almost sinusoidal. The proximity to the bifurcation point $\hat{\gamma}_{1+}$ induces a slow relaxation to the limit cycle, that is, a slow damping of the initial amplitude of the oscillations to the long-time stationary amplitude.

The bifurcation leading to limit cycles and oscillatory solutions in Fig. 4 is found to be a Hopf bifurcation. Indeed, let us define $\mu$ and $\mu'$ the two eigenvalues of the Jacobian associated to Eqs. (A14) and (A15) evaluated at the fixed point of these equations. We also define the control parameter $\Gamma$ (for instance $\Gamma = \hat{\gamma}_1$ for a fixed value of $\hat{\lambda} < 0$ on Fig. 4), such that the system oscillates for $\Gamma_{-c} \leq \Gamma \leq \Gamma_{+c}$. For $\Gamma < \Gamma_{-c}$ (or $\Gamma > \Gamma_{+c}$), the
TABLE I. Experimental values and references (left columns) and estimated values (right columns) of the phenomenological parameters of the model appearing in the constitutive equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Exp. values</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Estimations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>η [41]</td>
<td>10^4 Pa·s</td>
<td>κ</td>
<td>10^5 A·s/m^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3η [24]</td>
<td>10^9 Pa·s</td>
<td>λ_1</td>
<td>10^8 N/m^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ_{1,2} [41]</td>
<td>10^{-3} N/m</td>
<td>λ_2, λ_3</td>
<td>10^6 N/m^2/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>κ^{-1} [42]</td>
<td>10^{-13} m^2/Pa·s</td>
<td>λ_4</td>
<td>10^3 N/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Π_{ext} [43]</td>
<td>10^3 Pa</td>
<td>Λ_1</td>
<td>10^9 A/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v_{1,2} [23]</td>
<td>10^{-10} m/s</td>
<td>Λ_2, Λ_3</td>
<td>10^{-2} A/V/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_c [24]</td>
<td>10^3 Pa</td>
<td>ν_1</td>
<td>10^1 N/m/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ζ_0 [23]</td>
<td>10^9 Pa</td>
<td>ν_2</td>
<td>10^8 Pa·s/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ζ_1 [23]</td>
<td>-10^{-1}</td>
<td>ν_3</td>
<td>10^9 N/m/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ν_4</td>
<td>10^8 Pa·s/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Λ_{f,1}, Λ_{f,2}</td>
<td>10^{-11} m/Pa·s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J_{p,1}, J_{p,2}</td>
<td>10^{-10} m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - φ</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ζ_0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

eigenvalues of the system have a negative real part, such that the system reaches a stable steady-state at long time. Close to the boundary with the oscillating region, the eigenvalues are complex conjugated and the system spirals towards its fixed point. Precisely at the bifurcation (Γ = Γ_c^±), these eigenvalues cross the imaginary axis – a signature of a Hopf bifurcation – and the fixed point becomes unstable. The system is then driven to a limit cycle and displays periodic oscillations in time. We illustrate the crossing of the imaginary axis by the eigenvalues on Fig. 5(a), for which we have chosen the control parameter to be Γ = γ_1.

To illustrate the behavior of the system when varying γ_1, we have plotted the dynamics of the spheroid and of the lumen radii as a function of time on Fig. 5. Various kind of oscillations can be observed while γ_1 is varied: small amplitude oscillations around the unstable fixed point as displayed on Fig. 5(b), large amplitude oscillations, strongly nonlinear and during which the lumen almost closes (see Fig. 5(c)), or quasi-sinusoidal oscillations with a very thin thickness of the spheroid, as shown in Fig. 5(d).

Appendix C: Estimation of the parameter values

Estimation of the different phenomenological parameters used in this coarse-grained spheroid model is essential in order to study the model in a biologically relevant regime and to simplify analytic computations. Some of the parameters (such as the cell shear and bulk viscosities, the surface tension etc.) have already been estimated in experiments. However, for most of the remaining phenomenological parameters such experimental values are not yet available, and we therefore used order-of-magnitude estimations to obtain them. Experimental and estimated values are gathered in Table I. Most of the parameters of our model were already estimated in a previous work [20], and we detail here only the estimation of
those that were not estimated, namely the coupling of the isotropic and anisotropic stresses to the velocity difference $v_2$ and $v_4$, the coupling of curvature and pumping parameter $\lambda_4$, and the active flexoelectricity parameter $\Lambda_4$.

The coefficient $\nu_2$ is estimated by assuming that $\nu_2 p_\alpha (v_\alpha^c - v_\alpha^f)$ is the stress due to the hydraulic friction force density $\kappa (v_\alpha^c - v_\alpha^f)$, such that we obtain $\nu_2 \sim \ell \kappa \sim 10^8 \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s/m}$ where $\ell \sim 10 \mu m$ is the typical size of a cell. The coefficient $\nu_4$ is estimated by assuming that its contribution to the anisotropic cell stress is of the same order of magnitude as the viscous stress in the cleft between cells, that is $\tilde{\sigma}_{xz} \sim \eta f \delta v_x / w$ where the interstitial fluid channels width is $w \sim 50 \text{ nm}$ and we have introduced the viscosity of the interstitial fluid $\eta_f \sim 100 \text{ mPa} \cdot \text{s}$. We then obtain the estimate $\nu_4 \sim \eta f \ell / w^2 \sim 10^8 \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s/m}$.

The coupling of curvature and pumping parameter $\lambda_4$ is estimated by noticing that for in a flat geometry, a cell produces a flow $v \sim \kappa^{-1} \lambda_1$ due to pumping. Bending this cell implies an extra flow $v' \sim \nu \delta A / A$ where $A$ is the cell area and $\delta A / A \sim \ell C$ (with $C$ the curvature of the bent cell) is the extra area due to bending and which contributes to the extra flow. This extra pumping due to curvature produces a flow which is by definition of the order $v' \sim \kappa^{-1} C \lambda_4$. We therefore obtain $\lambda_4 \sim \lambda_1 \ell \sim 10^3 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$. The flexoelectricity parameter $\Lambda_4$ is obtained using the a similar argument and we obtain $\Lambda_4 \sim \lambda_1 \ell \sim 10^{-5} \text{ A} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_2$</th>
<th>$\chi$</th>
<th>$\hat{\gamma}_0$</th>
<th>$\hat{\gamma}_1$</th>
<th>$\hat{\lambda}$</th>
<th>$\hat{v}_1$</th>
<th>$\hat{v}_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3(a)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(b)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.3$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>9.6$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.3$10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(c)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(d)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(e)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(f)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>6$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(g)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(h)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(b)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(c)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(d)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Dimensionless parameter values used for plotting the figures.
[28] Notice that we have added the term $\nu_2$ in Eq. (2) and the term $\nu_4$ in Eq. (3) that were not present in Ref. [20] although they are allowed by symmetry.
[32] Notice that both $\lambda_4$ and $\Lambda_4$ were already introduced in [20] but they had a vanishing contribution.
[33] A first step to have a better description of the monolayer formation would be to assume that $v_{1,2}$ are functions of $R_2 - R_1$ in such a way that when $R_2 - R_1 \leq \ell_c$ where $\ell_c$ is the cell size, one has $v_1 + v_2 = 0$. This could for instance be implemented using an exponential, but it introduces one extra parameter, $\ell_c$.
[40] See for instance Figs. 3(f), 3(g) and 3(h), which provide examples of thin spheroid formation.
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