The role of zero-clusters in exchange-driven growth with and without input
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The exchange-driven growth model describes the mean field kinetics of a population of composite particles (clusters) subject to pairwise exchange interactions. Exchange in this context means that upon interaction of two clusters, one loses a constituent unit (monomer) and the other gains this unit. Two variants of the exchange-driven growth model appear in applications. They differ in whether clusters of zero size are considered active or passive. In the active case, clusters of size zero can acquire a monomer from clusters of positive size. In the passive case they cannot, meaning that clusters reaching size zero are effectively removed from the system. While this distinction may seem minor, the large time behaviour is radically different for the two variants of the model. We first consider an isolated system. In the passive case, the cluster size distribution tends towards a self-similar evolution and the typical cluster size grows as a power of time. In the active case, the cluster size distribution tends towards a time-independent equilibrium in which the typical cluster size is finite. We next consider a non-isolated system in which monomers are input at a constant rate. In the passive case, the cluster size distribution again attains a self-similar profile in which the typical cluster size grows as a power of time. In the active case, a surprising new behavior is found: the cluster size distribution asymptotes to the same equilibrium profile found in the isolated case but with an amplitude that grows linearly in time.

I. INTRODUCTION

The kinetic theory of growth processes like aggregation and coarsening is used to model a diverse range of phenomena in the natural and social sciences [1, 2]. Two of the most common models are the Smoluchowski model of binary aggregation [3] and the Becker-Döring model of diffusion-driven coarsening [4]. In the Smoluchowski model, clusters grow by binary interactions that result in merging of clusters. In the Becker-Döring model, large clusters do not interact directly. Rather, they emit and absorb elementary units of mass (monomers), a mechanism that allows some clusters to grow at the expense of others. The exchange-driven growth (EDG) model [5] is intermediate between these two. It is similar to the Smoluchowski model in the sense that clusters interact directly through binary interactions. Interactions result, not in mergers, but in the exchange of a single monomer. EDG is therefore similar to the Becker-Döring model in the sense that clusters can only grow and shrink one monomer at a time. The EDG model has been used in the socio-physics literature to model the dynamics of asset exchange [6] and migration between cities [7, 8]. More recently, it has received attention from the non-equilibrium statistical mechanics and probability theory communities interested in coarsening dynamics and condensation in interacting particle systems like the zero-range process [9] and its generalisations [10, 11]. The common feature of these models is particles hopping between neighbouring sites on a lattice at rates that depend on the number of particles occupying the origin and destination sites [12, 13]. The EDG model arises as the mean-field limit of such models [14] considering each lattice site to be a cluster and with the exchange interaction corresponding to a single particle hopping from one site to another.

There are two variants of the EDG model that differ in whether clusters of zero size are considered active or passive. In the passive case, clusters reaching size zero cannot grow again by reacquiring a monomer from a cluster of positive size. This means they are effectively removed from the system. In the active case, clusters of size zero can reacquire a monomer from a cluster of positive size. Both variants are reasonable depending on modelling considerations. When considering asset exchange for example [6], clusters correspond to agents’ wealth. Agents reaching zero wealth might be considered bankrupt. In this case, it is reasonable to treat zero clusters as passive. On the other hand, when considering interacting particle systems [14], clusters of size zero simply correspond to empty sites. There is no a-priori reason to exclude models where particles can hop to empty sites. In this case, it is reasonable to treat zero clusters as active. While the distinction between the active and passive variants of the EDG model may seem minor, the large time behaviour is radically different between the two. The main purpose of this paper is to demonstrate these differences.
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We consider isolated and non-isolated situations. By isolated we mean that the total mass in the system is fixed. In the non-isolated system, monomers are added to the system at a constant rate so that the total mass in the system grows linearly in time. The original paper of Ben-Naim and Krapivsky [5] provides an extensive study of the properties of an isolated EDG model with passive zero clusters. This was extended to the non-isolated case with passive zero clusters in [15]. Consequently the original contributions of this work largely concern the case of active zero clusters although we provide some discussion and numerical simulations of the passive case for completeness and comparison.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we write down the mean-field EDG equations and define the scaling parameters of the model. Section 3 considers the isolated case. The evolution of the system when zero clusters are passive is compared against the evolution when zero clusters are active. Very distinct behaviour in the large time is found. In the passive case, the cluster size distribution tends towards a self-similar behaviour in the large time is found. In the active case, a surprising new behavior is found: the cluster size distribution asymptotes to the time-independent equilibrium and the typical cluster size grows as a power of time. By contrast, in the active case, the cluster size distribution tends towards a time-independent equilibrium in which the typical cluster size is finite. Section 4 considers the non-isolated case and contrasts the cases of passive and active zero clusters. Again the two variants of the model behave very differently. In the passive case, the cluster size distribution again attains a self-similar profile in which the typical cluster size grows as a power of time. In the active case, a surprising new behavior is found: the cluster size distribution asymptotes to the same equilibrium profile found in the isolated case but with an amplitude that grows linearly in time. Section 5 concludes the article.

II. DEFINITION OF THE EXCHANGE-DRIVEN GROWTH (EDG) MODEL

At the kinetic level description, one is interested in knowing the evolution of the cluster size distribution \( c_j(t) \) (number of clusters with size \( j \)), where \( t \) is the time. Symbolically, the exchange process can be described as

\[
< j > \oplus < k > \rightarrow < j \pm 1 > \oplus < k \mp 1 >
\]

where inside of the brackets denote the sizes of the clusters. Supposing spatial uniformity, the rate of interaction between clusters of sizes \( < j > \) and \( < k > \) is given by \( K(j, k) c_j c_k \). The product \( c_j c_k \) reflects the assumption that the system is well mixed so that the law of mass action applies. The kernel, \( K(j, k) \), is the rate at which a cluster of size \( j \) gives a monomer to a cluster of size \( k \). The microscopic details of the the nature of the exchange interaction are encoded in this kernel. Unlike the Smoluchowski model of aggregation-driven growth, there is no a-priori reason why \( K(j, k) \) must be symmetric for EDG. \( K \) is frequently assumed to have a product form \( K(j, k) = j^\mu k^\nu \), which is often the case in applications.

The evolution of the isolated exchange system (without any source or sink terms) is given by

\[
\dot{c}_0 = c_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K(1, k)c_k - c_0 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K(k, 0)c_k 
\]

\[
\dot{c}_j = c_{j+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K(j+1, k)c_k - c_j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K(j, k)c_k 
\]

\[
- c_j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K(k, j)c_k + c_{j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K(k, j-1)c_k
\]

for \( j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) with given initial conditions

\[
c_j(0) = c_{0j}.
\]

These equations correspond to the EDG model with active zero clusters. In the passive case, zero clusters are not allowed to reacquire monomers. To arrive at the corresponding equations, we set \( K(j, 0) = 0 \) and disregard the equation for zero clusters (as it would decouple from the rest of the system), starting the sums from \( k = 1 \) in all equations. The first rigorous study of the mathematical existence and uniqueness properties of Eqs. (2.1) - (2.3) was recently provided in [16].

III. EXCHANGE-DRIVEN GROWTH IN AN ISOLATED SYSTEM

This is the simplest scenario where one can observe the differences in the dynamics of EDG with active versus passive zero clusters. In the case of passive zero clusters the growth is unidirectional and arbitrarily large sizes are generated while smaller clusters disappear in time [5]. For the case of active zero clusters, hopping of particles from non-zero clusters to empty sites acts like stabilization mechanism and impedes the growth.

A. Passive zero clusters: Continuous growth and self-similarity

In this subsection we complement the existing theoretical literature by numerical computations. We first numerically compute the cluster densities for kernels in the generalized product form \( K(j, k) = j^\mu k^\nu \). With the exception of the special case \( \mu = 0 \), this form of the kernel does not allow transfer of monomers to zero-clusters. The typical cluster size thus grows continuously and the smaller clusters disappear in time. Figure 1 shows the plot of cluster sizes \( c_1, c_2, c_3 \) versus time for kernels with exponents \( \mu = 1.0, 1.2 \) with monodisperse initial conditions. It is seen that, all \( c_j \) values decay to zero. For
the case of simple product kernel ($\mu = 1$) the difference between the exact solution [5]

$$c_j(t) = \left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)^{j-1} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2}$$

and the numerical solution is virtually zero.

$$\phi\left(\frac{j}{s(t)}\right)$$

FIG. 1: Cluster densities (log-scale) for the isolated EDG system with passive zero clusters: $\mu = 1.0$ (top); $\mu = 1.2$ (bottom)

Secondly, we argue that, for all kernels in the generalized product form $K(j,k) = j^a k^b$, the system is driven towards self-similar form. The argument for this kind of behaviour is the following. Over a period of time, most of the mass in the system accumulates in large clusters and the late time dynamics can be captured by continuum approximation where coupled (infinitely many) discrete equations are approximated by a continuous equation

$$\partial_t c(t,x) = \partial_{xx}(x^a c(t,x))M_\mu(t), \quad (3.4)$$

Since the moment $M_\mu(t)$ introduces nonlocality, this is not quite a partial differential equation except in special cases where $M_\mu(t)$ is conserved. It was shown in [5] that this equation admits scaling solutions where the typical cluster size grows as $t^{3-2\mu}$ in time. Figure 2 demonstrates (for the same set of kernels and the exponents) that the system evolves towards a solution of the form

$$c_j(t) = \frac{1}{s(t)^{j}} \phi\left(\frac{j}{s(t)}\right)$$

with $s(t) = t^{\frac{1}{3-2\mu}}$ as predicted.

FIG. 2: Self similar Profile (log-scale) for the isolated EDG system with passive zero clusters: $\mu = 1.0$ (top); $\mu = 1.2$ (bottom)

B. Active zero-clusters and approach to equilibrium

In the previous subsection, we saw that, for the case of passive zero clusters, the typical cluster size grows indefinitely. Here, we show that, for active zero clusters when monomers can be transferred to zero clusters, the story is quite different. For one, there exist equilibrium solutions with no growth at all. Secondly, even for arbitrary initial distributions, the long time behavior of the system is completely altered and the system approaches to equilibrium. This has recently been shown rigorously in [17] for a large class of kernels and by one of the authors [18] for separable kernels of the forms $K(j,k) = b_j a_k$ and $K(j,k) = j a_k + b_j + \varepsilon \beta_j \alpha_k$ with explicit rate of convergence. Below, we provide an exactly solvable case followed by the moment analysis demonstrating this distinct behaviour for product type kernels.
1. Existence of equilibria

The difference of behaviour with the inclusion of active zero clusters is evident from the existence of non trivial equilibrium which we discuss here. We first show that equilibrium solutions can be constructed explicitly for a range of kernels in the form \( K(j, k) = j^\mu \). We then demonstrate numerically that the time dependent solutions tend to the corresponding equilibrium solutions with the same initial total mass and total number of sites which are both conserved.

We can find the equilibrium distributions with light tail by solving the equations recursively in a self consistent way. Assume that \( M_\mu \) is not infinite. Since there is no time dependence, by equation (2.1), one has

\[
c_1 = \frac{M_\mu}{M_0} c_0.
\]

Using this relation in the equation for \( c_2 \) one gets

\[
c_2 = \frac{1}{2\nu} \left( \frac{M_\mu}{M_0} \right) c_1 = \frac{1}{2\nu} \left( \frac{M_\mu}{M_0} \right)^2 c_0.
\]

By induction, for the \( j^{th} \) term one obtains

\[
c_j = \left( \prod_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{k^\mu} \right) \left( \frac{M_\mu}{M_0} \right)^j c_0. \tag{3.5}
\]

Clearly, for any \( \lambda \) value, \( M_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^\lambda c_j \) is bounded by the ratio test. It follows that, given the total number of clusters \( M_0 \) and the total mass \( M_1 \) the equilibrium distributions can be determined. We show this explicitly for the integer values \( \mu = 0, 1 \).

Assume \( M_1 = M_0 = 1 \). Consider first the case for \( \mu = 0 \). Let \( y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \). Then \( c_0 = 1 - y \). The recursive relation (3.5) takes the form

\[
c_j = y^j c_0
\]

Since \( M_1 = 1 \), one has

\[
1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j y^j c_0.
\]

from which one obtains \( y = \frac{1}{2} \) and hence \( c_0 = \frac{1}{2} \). Therefore one has

\[
c_j = \frac{1}{2^j + 1}.
\]

For the case \( \mu = 1 \), the recursive relation yields \( c_j = \frac{e^{-1}}{j!} \). By normalization \( 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \), one finds \( c_0 = e^{-1} \). Hence, the cluster densities are given by

\[
c_j = \frac{e^{-1}}{j!}.
\]

Next, we discuss the dynamics of the EDG problem.

2. An exact solution for the time-dependent EDG equations

When the exponents in the kernel have integer values, it is possible to construct explicit solutions to the infinite ODE system (2.1)-(2.3). Let the kernel be given by \( K(j, k) = j \). In this case, the rate equations read

\[
\dot{c}_0 = c_1 M_0 - c_0 M_1,
\]

\[
\dot{c}_j = (j + 1) c_{j+1} - j c_j M_0 - (c_j - c_{j-1}) M_1.
\]

where \( M_0, M_1 \) are constant in time (see [16]). Define the generating function

\[
G(t, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(t) z^k.
\]

Using Eqs. (2.1)-(2.3), the generating function satisfies

\[
\frac{dt}{ds} = 1, \quad \frac{dz}{ds} = -(1 - z) M_0, \quad \frac{dG}{ds} = -M_1 (1 - z) G.
\]

From these equations we find \( z(s) \) as

\[
1 - z(s) = (1 - z_0) e^{M_0 s}.
\]

The equation for \( G \) can be solved to give

\[
G(t, z) = G_0(z_0) \exp(- (1 - z)(1 - e^{-M_0 t}) \frac{M_1}{M_0})
\]

\[
= G_0((1 - z) e^{-M_0 t}) \exp(- (1 - z)(1 - e^{-M_0 t}) \frac{M_1}{M_0}).
\]

Let \( g(t) = (1 - e^{-M_0 t}) M_1 / M_0 \). If we assume monodisperse initial condition, i.e., \( G_0(z) = (M_0 - M_1) + M_1 z \) then, for the cluster distributions, we can write \( G(t, z) \) as a series

\[
G(t, z) = (M_0 - M_1) e^{-M_0 t} e^{-g(t) + g(t)} + z M_1 e^{-M_0 t - g(t) + g(t)}
\]

\[
= (M_0 - M_1) e^{-M_0 t} e^{-g(t)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(t)^n}{n!} z^n + M_1 e^{-M_0 t - g(t)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(t)^{n-1}}{(n - 1)!} z^n
\]

and extract the coefficients of the power series to give

\[
c_j(t) = (M_0 - M_1) e^{-M_0 t} e^{-g(t)} \frac{g(t)^j}{j!} + e^{-M_0 t - g(t)} \frac{g(t)^{j-1}}{(j - 1)!}.
\]

We see that as \( t \) goes to infinity cluster size distributions tend to constant values given by

\[
c_j(\infty) = M_0 e^{-M_1 / M_0} \frac{(M_1 / M_0)^j}{j!}, \tag{3.6}
\]

which is in accordance with the equilibrium solution, Eq. (3.5), that we obtained in the previous subsection with \( \mu = 1 \). For more general exponents it is not possible to find explicit solutions and hence one generally resorts to numerical computations. Alternatively, using moment analysis one can also extract important analytic information as done in the next subsection.
3. General dynamics with active zero clusters

We consider kernels of the form \( K(j, k) = j^\mu \) \((\mu \leq 2)\) that allow transfer of monomers to zero clusters. To capture the change in the dynamics we look at the moments. When there is no external flux of particles the total mass of the system is conserved, i.e., \( M_1(t) = M_1(0) \). Hence for any \( 0 \leq \lambda < 1 \) the \( \lambda \)-moment is bounded \( M_{\lambda}(t) < M_1(0) \). It is known from the general theory that for \( \mu \leq 1 \) the EDG system has a global solution and all \( \lambda \)-moments for any finite interval is finite. So, we focus on the case \( \mu > 1 \) which is more interesting. For \( \lambda > \mu > 1 \) we first observe the following

\[
\dot{M}_{\lambda}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j - j^\lambda) j^\mu c_j(t)M_0(t) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j + 1)^\lambda - j^\lambda c_j(t)M_{\lambda}(t).
\]

For the summands we observe, by Taylor expansion

\[
(j \pm 1)^\lambda - j^\lambda = \pm \lambda j^{\lambda - 1} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{2}(j \pm \eta_{\pm})^{\lambda - 2},
\]

where \( \eta_{\pm} \) are positive numbers less than one. Then, for \( \lambda > 1 \), we obtain the inequality

\[
\dot{M}_{\lambda}(t) \leq -\lambda M_{\lambda+1}(t)M_0(t) + CM_{\lambda+1}(t)M_0(t) + CM_{\lambda-1}(t)M_0(t) + CM_{\lambda-2}(t)M_0(t).
\]

Assume that \( 1 < \lambda \leq 2 \). Since \( M_0(t), M_{\lambda-1}(t) \leq C \), using Jensen’s inequality we get

\[
\dot{M}_{\lambda}(t) \leq -\lambda M_{\lambda}^{1+\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(t) + CM_{\lambda}(t)
\]

which implies that \( M_{\lambda}(t) \leq \infty \). Now repeating the arguments for arbitrary \( 2 < \lambda \leq 3 \) and so on, we arrive at an important result that \( M_{\lambda}(t) \) is bounded for any \( \lambda \). This is in stark contrast with the case of passive zero clusters where higher moments blow up in finite time [5]. In fact we find numerically that for the kernel \( K(j, k) = j^\mu \), the dynamics of EDG system with active zero clusters drives the size distribution to the equilibrium distribution.

Figure 3 shows the evolution of size distributions as a function of time for the kernels with exponents \( \mu = 0, 1.0 \) for monodisperse initial conditions. One can easily check that the limit distributions for the monodisperse initial conditions are exactly the self-consistent equilibrium solutions with unit mass. It is remarkable that, even for exponents \( \mu > 1 \), (supplementary figures) the distributions and moments approach to equilibrium values where for the EDG problem with active zero clusters the solutions may not even exist [16].

IV. EXCHANGE-DRIVEN GROWTH IN A NON-ISOLATED SYSTEM WITH SOURCE

In this section we consider EDG in a non-isolated system with an external source that inputs monomers at constant rate. As in the case of the isolated EDG model there is substantial difference in the behavior of solutions with passive and active zero clusters.

A. Passive zero clusters: Continuous growth and self-similarity

As before we first consider the case of passive zero clusters with monomer input which was studied theoretically in [15] under a variety of settings. In the special case of the product kernel \( K(j, k) = jk \) an exact solution was found. However, for more general product kernels \( K(j, k) = j^\mu k^\nu \) analytical solutions are not available and we show the evolution of the cluster densities by numerical computations. Figure 4 shows the plot of cluster size densities versus time for \( c_1, c_2, c_3 \) with exponents \( \mu = 1.0, 1.2 \) and zero mass initial conditions. It is observed that cluster densities approach towards zero in the large time (despite the input of monomers). Once again, for \( \mu = 1 \) (simple product kernel), exact calculations and numerical computations are extremely close.

For non-integer values of \( \mu \), the approach that approximates the infinite discrete system with a continuous equa-
tion is useful for the non-isolated system as well [15]. If
the kernels are separable, one can neatly write an approx-
imate equation for the system replacing the difference
terms in (2.1)-(2.3) with the partial derivatives (keeping
in mind of the monomer input). Then, the solutions of
the EDG after sufficiently long time can be approximated
by the solution of the following equation

\[ \partial_t c(t, x) = \partial_x x^\mu c(t, x) M_\mu(t) + I \delta(x - 1), \]  

(4.7)

where we heuristically inserted \( I \delta(x - 1) \) term to account
for the mass source near \( x = 1 \). On the right hand side of
this equation, at large values of \( x \), the source term drops
out modifying only the nonlinear factor (\( \lambda \)-moment) in
the first term. The \( \lambda \)-moment is given by

\[ M_\lambda(t) = \int_0^\infty x^\lambda c(t, x) dx. \]  

(4.8)

Due to the severe nonlinearity (and nonlocality), it is
very difficult to find an analytic solution to (4.7). We
therefore look for special forms that will reduce the com-
plicity. Consider the solutions in the scaling form

\[ c(t, x) \sim \frac{1}{s(t)^\alpha} \phi \left( \frac{x}{s(t)} \right). \]

Let \( \xi = \frac{x}{s(t)} \). In the new variables (4.8) can be written as

\[ M_\lambda(t) = s(t)^{1+\lambda-\alpha} \int_0^\infty \xi^\lambda f(\xi) d\xi. \]

The PDE (4.7) then reduces to

\[ -a s'(t) \frac{s'(t)}{s(t)^{1+\alpha}} f(\xi) - \frac{s'(t)}{s(t)^{1+\alpha}} \frac{df(\xi)}{d\xi} = \frac{s(t)^{2\mu}}{s(t)^{1+2\alpha}} \frac{d^2 (f(\xi) \xi^\mu)}{d\xi^2} J(\xi), \]

(4.9)

where \( J(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \xi^\mu f(\xi) d\xi \).

We now separate the variables. Balancing the time
dependent terms one gets the relation \( s'(t) \sim s(t)^{2\mu-\alpha} \)
which, upon integrating, gives

\[ s(t) \sim t^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha-2\mu}}. \]
Also, from the mass balance equation one has \( M_1(t) = It \) which can be written as
\[
\left( \int_0^\infty \xi f(\xi) d\xi \right) s(t)^{2-\alpha} = It,
\]
from which we obtain
\[
s(t) \sim t^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}.
\]
Combining the two expressions for the typical mass \( s(t) \) we find \( \alpha = \mu + 1/2 \) and hence
\[
s(t) = t^{\frac{\mu}{2-\alpha}}.
\]

The time dependence obtained for the typical mass \( s(t) \) indicates faster growth which is intuitively clear as the constant monomer input should speed up the growth compared to growth in the isolated case. Once again we can see, in Figure 5, that numerical computations agree very well with the theoretical predictions, that is, the parametric curves \( (\frac{1}{t^\mu}, s(t)^{\mu} c_j(t)) \) collapse into a single curve demonstrating self-similarity.

B. Dynamics with Active Zero Clusters and Linear (in time) Growth

In the last section of this paper we consider the kernels \( K(j,k) = j^\mu \) which allow hopping to zero clusters. For the isolated system it was shown that the system approached to equilibrium. Hence it is interesting know how input of particles affect the dynamics. The coupled EDG equations read
\[
\dot{c}_0 = c_1 M_0 - c_0 M_\mu, \quad (4.10)
\]
\[
\dot{c}_j = (j+1)^\mu c_{j+1} M_0 - j^\mu c_j M_0 - c_j M_\mu + c_{j-1} M_\mu + I \delta_{j,1}. \quad (4.11)
\]

It is difficult to solve this system exactly for arbitrary \( \mu \). But one can obtain approximate analytic solutions in the following way. We first consider the case \( K(j,k) = j \). The coupled system simplifies since \( M_0(t) = It \) and \( M_1(t) = It \). We can then divide all terms in (4.10) - (4.11) equations by \( It \) and absorb the coefficient \( \frac{1}{t} \) by defining a new time variable \( \tau(t) = t^2/2 \). For large \( \tau \) the coefficient of the source term on the right hand side will be insignificant (decaying as \( \sim \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}} \)). Therefore, the approximate solutions of the equation system (4.10) - (4.11) will be nothing but the solutions of the equilibrium problem obtained in Eq. (3.6) in Section 2.2. Going back to the original time variable one finds that the real time approximate solutions of (4.10) - (4.11) are the equilibrium solutions of the problem without a source (isolated system) with modified mass and volume as \( M_1 = M_0 = It \), that is,
\[
c_j(t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}} It}{j!} \quad \{\text{for } \mu = 1\}. \quad (4.12)
\]

Using this ansatz for the general product kernel \( K(j,k) = j^\mu k^\mu \), we infer that, \( c_j(t) = td_j \) is the approximate solution of (4.10) - (4.11) where \( d_j \) is the self-consistent equilibrium solution (3.5) obtained in Section 2.2. The argument is that, for the isolated system, the total mass and total volume (number of clusters) alone determine the eventual equilibrium distribution where the time dependent solutions approach to the equilibrium exponentially fast. For the non-isolated system under consideration, since the external forcing is linear in time, asymptotically, at any given (large) time, the system quickly relaxes to the "equilibrium distribution" given by the current total mass and total volume of the system.

To further test the argument, we find approximate analytic expressions for the exponent \( \mu = 0 \). Extracting the expression for the self-consistent equilibrium solutions for \( \mu = 0 \) one has
\[
c_j(t) = \frac{It}{2j+1} \quad \{\text{for } \mu = 0\}.
\]

The results are in excellent agreement (asymptotically) with the numerical solutions as shown in Figure 6. Another interpretation is that with the input of fresh...
monomers, the system expands both in size and mass in a way that the growth is "felt" by the entire system instantly and distributed proportionally among the clusters.

V. CONCLUSION

In this article, we studied the exchange-driven growth model for two classes of dynamics with (i) the passive zero clusters where interactions take place only between non-zero clusters, (ii) the active zero clusters that allow hopping of particles from non-zero clusters to zero clusters. For each case, we studied the dynamics for the isolated (purely exchange interaction) and non-isolated system (with input of monomers). Our key finding is that the seemingly small change from passive to active zero clusters alters the dynamics fundamentally.

For the isolated system we found that for a system with active zero clusters the classical indefinite growth trend [5] is broken and the cluster densities approach to non zero equilibrium values. We showed this analytically for the simple product kernel and numerically for general product kernels. The numerical computations are in excellent agreement with the theoretical results.

For the non-isolated system, the inclusion of zero clusters into the dynamics again substantially changes the behaviour of solutions. In systems with passive zero clusters, for product type kernels [15], we numerically demonstrated that the cluster densities approach to zero (indefinite growth) and size distribution of clusters take self similar form. On the other hand if interaction with the zero clusters is allowed (active case), then an interesting behaviour occurs and cluster densities grow linearly (asymptotically) in time.
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