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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a ( $\sigma$-finite) von Neumann algebra associated with a normal faithful state $\phi$. We prove a complex interpolation result for a couple of two (quasi) Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces $L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ and $L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi), 0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, which has further applications to the sandwiched $p$-Rényi divergence.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal semifinite faithful (n.s.f.) trace $\tau$, and $\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be the tracial noncommutative $L_{p}$-space associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. It is well-known [31] that the complex interpolation space of a couple of two Banach spaces $\left(\Lambda_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \Lambda_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\right)$ is isometric to $\Lambda_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Lambda_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \Lambda_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\right]_{\theta}=\Lambda_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / p_{\theta}=(1-\theta) / p_{0}+\theta / p_{1}, 1 \leq p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$. Through this line of research, the complex interpolation for weighted noncommutative $L_{p^{-}}$ spaces [32], noncommutative Hardy spaces [29, 8] and noncommutative Orlicz spaces [6, 7] have been studied. Because noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces naturally appear in the study of noncommutative analysis, the interpolation of noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces, together with the Riesz-Thorin theorem and Marcinkiewicz theorem, become fundamental and powerful tools in proving noncommutative martingale inequalities [17, 30], maximal ergodic inequalities [18], and the duality properties of noncommutative function spaces [24].

In the early 1990s, Xu [37] showed that the above interpolation result (1.1) holds for the couple of quasi-Banach spaces $\left(\Lambda_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \Lambda_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\right), 0<$ $p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$. However, it didn't draw too much attention to the community, contrary to the Banach space case $\left(1 \leq p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty\right)$. In this paper, we will extend Xu's results to a more general setting. Namely, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a

[^0]$\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal faithful (n.f.) state $\phi$, we would like to pursue a complex interpolation result for a couple of (quasi) noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$.

There are two different but equivalent approaches of constructing noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. One was introduced by Haagerup [15]. We denote by $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ the Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p^{-}}$ space (see Section 2 for definition). However, it is well-known that for two different indices $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, the natural intersection $L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi) \cap L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ is trivial [35], thus the couple $\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)\right)$ is not compatible for interpolation. To overcome this difficulty, Kosaki [22] introduced another kind of noncommutative $L_{p}$-space, denoted by $C_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$. It was defined as the complex interpolation of the couple $\left(h_{\phi}^{1 / 2} \mathcal{M} h_{\phi}^{1 / 2}, L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)\right)$ (see Section 2 for the definition of $h_{\phi}$ ). More precisely, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have

$$
C_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi):=\left[h_{\phi}^{1 / 2} \mathcal{M} h_{\phi}^{1 / 2}, L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)\right]_{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

where $C_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)=h_{\phi}^{1 / 2} \mathcal{M} h_{\phi}^{1 / 2}$. By the reiteration theorem [1, Theorem 4.6.1], one has [22]

$$
\left[C_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi), C_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)\right]_{\theta}=C_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi),
$$

where $\frac{1}{p_{\theta}}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{0}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{1}}$ and $1 \leq p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$. The crucial point of Kosaki's interpolation is that $C_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ naturally embeds into $L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$, which makes $C_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ and $L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ a compatible couple of interpolation. Hence the following Kosaki's embedding $i_{p}(x)=h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p}}, x \in \mathcal{M}$ embeds $\mathcal{M}$ into $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ (see Proposition [2.6). In this way any pair of Haagerup's noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces is compatible.

In this paper, We show that for $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)\right]_{\theta}=L_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / p_{\theta}=(1-\theta) / p_{0}+\theta / p_{1}$ (see Theorem4.1). The key idea of our proof is to use Xu's reversed Hölder's inequality (see Lemma 3.1 and Corollary (3.2) for tracial noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces [37] and a Hadamard three lines theorem for (quasi) Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces (see Proposition (3.6).

Recently, people in the quantum information community introduced a noncommutative $L_{p}$-space, denoted by $L_{p, \sigma}(H)$ [3, 26, 23]. Recall that for a fixed positive operator $\sigma \in B(H)$, the space $L_{p, \sigma}(H)$ is defined as the completion of $\left(B(H),\|\cdot\|_{p, \sigma}\right.$ ), where the (quasi) norm $\|\cdot\|_{p, \sigma}$ is defined as

$$
\|x\|_{p, \sigma}:=\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x \sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right\|_{p}, x \in B(H)
$$

for $0<p<\infty$. Here $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is the Schatten $p$-norm on $B(H)$. In fact, due to the Tomita-Takesaki theory [36], $L_{p, \sigma}(H)$ is nothing but a special case of Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-space. And it was used by Beigi [3] to study the data processing inequality (DPI) of the sandwiched $p$-Rényi divergence $\tilde{D}_{p}$ introduced by Müller Lennert et al. [25]. This motivates us to define the following generalization of $p$-Rényi divergence for $p \in(0,1) \cup(1, \infty)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi):=\frac{1}{p-1} \log \left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi, \psi$ are two normal faithful states on $\mathcal{M}$. This definition fits well with the one by Jenčová [21] (for $1<p<\infty$ ) and the one by Berta et al. [9] (for $1 / 2 \leq p<1$ ), where they firstly generalized the sandwiched $p$-Rényi divergence $\tilde{D}_{p}$ to the general von Neumann algebra setting. As an application of our interpolation result, we can easily cover Beigi's results by adapting his argument to our setting. Moreover, we also derive a simple sufficient condition for the equality in DPI for all $p \in(0,1) \cup(1, \infty)$ (see Theorem (5.8). We end this introduction by the following remark: We have realized that the complex interpolation for noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces has been used in many works about the sandwiched $p$-Rényi divergence, see for instance [3, 9, 19]. However, they all concern with the Banach noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces $(1 \leq p<\infty)$. Our interpolation result for the quasi ones will serve as a great complement to this topic.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we summarize necessary preliminaries on tracial noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces, Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces, Haagerup's reduction theorem and complex interpolation of tracial noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces. Section 3 is devoted to the Hadamard three lines theorem for Haggerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces, which is the key ingredient for proving our main interpolation result. We also present a direct application of our Hadamard three lines theorem to matrix inequalities. In Section 4 we show the main result about the complex interpolation. Section 5 presents some applications to the sandwiched $p$-Rényi divergence.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Tracial noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces. In this subsection we concentrate ourselves to noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces associated with semifinite von Neumann algebras, which were firstly laid out in the early 1950's by Segal [33] and Dixmier [11]. Our reference is 31].

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a n.s.f. trace $\tau$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{+}$the positive cone of $\mathcal{M}$ and by $\mathcal{S}_{+}$the set of all $x \in \mathcal{M}_{+}$
such that $\tau[\operatorname{supp}(x)]<\infty$, where $\operatorname{supp}(x)$ denotes the support projection of $x$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the linear span of $\mathcal{S}_{+}$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is a weak ${ }^{*}$-dense ${ }^{*}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Given $0<p<\infty$, we define

$$
\|x\|_{p}:=\left[\tau\left(|x|^{p}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}
$$

where $|x|=\left(x^{*} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the modulus of $x$. One can show that $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is a norm on $\mathcal{S}$ if $1 \leq p<\infty$, and a quasi-norm if $0<p<1$. In particular, we have for $p \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|_{p} \leq\|x\|_{p}+\|y\|_{p}, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{S} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $0<p<1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|_{p}^{p} \leq\|x\|_{p}^{p}+\|y\|_{p}^{p}, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{S} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The completion of $\left(\mathcal{S},\|\cdot\|_{p}\right)$ is denoted by $\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, or simply $\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M})$. It is called tracial noncommutative $L_{p}$-space associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. As usual, we set $\Lambda_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=\mathcal{M}$ equipped with the operator norm.

The elements of $\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M})$ can be viewed as closed densely defined operators on $H$ ( $H$ being the Hilbert space on which $\mathcal{M}$ acts). A linear closed operator $x$ is said to be affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ if it commutes with all unitary elements in $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$, i.e., $x u=u x$ for any unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}^{\prime}$, where $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ denotes the commutant of $\mathcal{M}$. Note that $x$ can be unbounded on $H$. An operator $x$ affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be measurable with respect to $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, or simply measurable if for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$
e(H) \subset \mathcal{D}(x) \text { and } \tau\left(e^{\perp}\right) \leq \varepsilon
$$

where $e^{\perp}=1-e$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ denotes the domain of $x$. We denote by $L_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, or simply $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ the family of measurable operators. For such an operator $x$, we define the distribution function of $x$ as

$$
\lambda_{s}(x):=\tau\left[\chi_{(s, \infty)}(|x|)\right], \quad s \geq 0
$$

where $\chi_{(s, \infty)}(|x|)$ is the spectral projection of $|x|$ corresponding to the interval $(s, \infty)$, and define the generalized singular numbers of $x$ as

$$
\mu_{t}(x):=\inf \left\{s>0: \lambda_{s}(x)<t\right\}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\|x\|=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \mu_{t}(x)
$$

and for $0<p<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{p}^{p}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{t}(x)^{p} d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces. For Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces we refer to [35]. The Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-space is defined on a general ( $\sigma$-finite) von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ associated with a n.f. state $\phi$. In fact, the Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-space can be defined for any n.s.f. weight, but we will consider only the state case.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the crossed product $\mathcal{M} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\phi}} \mathbb{R}$, where $\left\{\sigma_{t}^{\phi}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the modular automorphism group associated with $\phi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ (for general modular theory see [36]).

Recall that if $\mathcal{M}$ acts on a Hilbert space $H, \mathcal{R}$ is the von Neumann algebra acting on $L_{2}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ generated by the operators $\pi(x), x \in \mathcal{M}$, and $\lambda(s), s \in \mathbb{R}$, such that: for every $\xi \in L_{2}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\pi(x)(\xi)(t)=\sigma_{-t}(x) \xi(t), \quad \lambda(s)(\xi)(t)=\xi(t-s)
$$

$\pi$ is a normal faithful representation of $\mathcal{M}$ on $L_{2}(\mathbb{R} ; H)$, so we can identify $\pi(\mathcal{M})$ with $\mathcal{M}$. There is a dual action $\left\{\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ uniquely given by

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}(x)=x, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}(\lambda(s))=e^{-i s t} \lambda(s)
$$

for $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.
It is known that $\mathcal{R}$ is semifinite and there is a canonical n.s.f. trace $\tau$ on $\mathcal{R}$ satisfying

$$
\tau \circ \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}=e^{-t} \tau, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Any normal positive functional $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$induces a dual normal semifinite weight $\hat{\psi}$ on $\mathcal{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\psi}(x)=\psi\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}(x) d t\right], x \in \mathcal{R}^{+} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual weight $\hat{\psi}$ has a Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to $\tau$, denoted by $h_{\psi}$ :

$$
\tau\left(h_{\psi} x\right)=\hat{\psi}(x), x \in \mathcal{R}^{+} .
$$

Here $\tau\left(h_{\psi} \cdot\right)$ is understood as $\tau\left(h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. In particular, the dual weight $\hat{\phi}$ of our distinguished n.s.f. state $\phi$ has a Radon-Nikodym derivative $h_{\phi}$ with respect to $\tau$. We will call $h_{\phi}$ the density operator of $\phi$.

Let $L_{0}(\mathcal{R}, \tau)$ denote the topological $*$-algebra of all operators on $L_{2}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ which are measurable with respect to $(\mathcal{R}, \tau)$. Then for $0<p \leq \infty$, the Haagerup $L_{p}$-space is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)=\left\{x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{R}, \tau): \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}(x)=e^{-t / p} x, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$, we have $h_{\psi} \in L_{0}(\mathcal{R}, \tau)$ and

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}\left(h_{\psi}\right)=e^{-t} h_{\psi}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Thus $h_{\psi} \in L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)_{+}$. This correspondence between $\mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$and $L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)_{+}$ extends to a bijection between $\mathcal{M}_{*}$ and $L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. More precisely, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}$, if $\psi=u|\psi|$ is its polar decomposition, then we have

$$
h_{\psi}=u h_{|\psi|} .
$$

Thus we may define a norm on $L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ by

$$
\left\|h_{\psi}\right\|_{1}:=\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}_{*}}=|\psi|(1), \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}
$$

Hence $L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)=\mathcal{M}_{*}$ isometrically. Due to this correspondence, there is a linear functional on $L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$, denoted by tr, given through

$$
\operatorname{tr}(x)=\psi_{x}(1), x \in L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi),
$$

where $\psi_{x}$ is the unique normal functional associated with $x$ by the identification between $\mathcal{M}_{*}$ and $L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ we discussed earlier.

Now for $x \in L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi), 0<p<\infty$, we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{p}:=\left\||x|^{p}\right\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(|x|^{p}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is a norm (quasi-norm if $p<1$ ) on $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. For Haagerup $L_{p}$-spaces we have the following Hölder's inequality which will be used frequently: for every $p, q, r>0$ with $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x y\|_{r} \leq\|x\|_{p}\|y\|_{q}, x \in L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi), y \in L_{q}(\mathcal{M}, \phi) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (2.6) it follows directly that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{2 p}^{2}=\left\|x^{*} x\right\|_{p}=\left\|x x^{*}\right\|_{p}, \quad x \in L_{2 p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that from (2.5), for different $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, the intersection of $L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ and $L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ is trivial.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{a}$ be the family of analytic elements in $\mathcal{M}$. Recall that for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, it belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{a}$ iff $t \mapsto \sigma_{t}^{\phi}(x)$ extends to an analytic function from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. We will use the following technical lemma proved by Junge and Xu [17].

Lemma 2.1. [17, Lemma 1.1]. Let $0<p<\infty, 0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. Then:
(i) $h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-\eta}{p}} \mathcal{M}_{a} h_{\phi}^{\frac{\eta}{p}}=\mathcal{M}_{a} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{p}}$;
(ii) $\mathcal{M}_{a} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is dense in $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$.

We note that here $h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-\eta}{p}} \mathcal{M}_{a} h_{\phi}^{\frac{\eta}{p}}=\mathcal{M}_{a} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{p}}=h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-\eta}{p}} \sigma_{\frac{i(1-\eta)}{p}}^{\phi}(x) h_{\phi}^{\frac{\eta}{p}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}_{a} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we mention two basic facts about Haagerup $L_{p}$-spaces. The first is, when $\mathcal{M}$ is a semifinite von Neumann algebra associated with a n.f. tracial
state $\tau$, Haagerup $L_{p}$-space $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and tracial $L_{p}$-space $\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ can be isometrically identified [35, Chapter II]. In fact, in this case, $\mathcal{M} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\tau}}$ $\mathbb{R}=\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and the density operator of $\tau$ is: $h_{\tau}=i d \otimes \exp (\cdot)$. For each $x \in L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ there exists unique $x^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $x=x^{\prime} \otimes$ $\exp (\cdot / p)$. Moreover, $\|x\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}=\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}$. Thus the map $x \mapsto x^{\prime}$ yields an isometry between $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

The second well-known fact is that Haagerup $L_{p}$-spaces $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ are independent of the choice of state $\phi$ up to isometry [35, Chapter II]. Namely, suppose that $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}$ are two n.f. states on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}_{i}:=\mathcal{M} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_{i}}} \mathbb{R}$ is generated by $\pi_{i}(x), x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\lambda(s), s \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
\pi_{i}(x) \xi(t)=\sigma_{-t}^{\varphi_{i}}(x) \xi(t), \lambda(s) \xi(t)=\xi(t-s), \quad \xi \in L_{2}(\mathbb{R}, H), s, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

for $i=0,1$. Then there is an isometry $\kappa: L_{p}\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi_{0}\right) \rightarrow L_{p}\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi_{1}\right)$ such that [35, Theorem 37]

$$
\kappa\left[\pi_{0}(x)\right]=\pi_{1}(x), \quad \kappa[\lambda(t)]=\pi_{0}\left(u_{t}^{*}\right) \lambda(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathcal{M}
$$

where $u_{t}=\left(h_{\varphi_{1}}: h_{\varphi_{0}}\right)_{t}$ is the Radon-Nikodym cocycle of $\varphi_{1}$ relative to $\varphi_{0}$ :

$$
u_{s+t}=u_{s} \sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{0}}\left(u_{t}\right), \quad \sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{1}}(x)=u_{t} \sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{0}}(x) u_{t}^{*}
$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathcal{M}$, and $h_{\varphi_{i}}$ is the density operator of $\varphi_{i}, i=0,1$. In particular, if $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{0}(h \cdot)$, then $u_{t}=h^{i t}$. Thus we have $\kappa\left[\pi_{0}(h) h_{\varphi_{0}}\right]=h_{\varphi_{1}}$.

Then combining the above two facts, we obtain
Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra associated with a n.f. tracial state $\tau$. Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be two n.f. states on $\mathcal{M}$ defined by:

$$
\psi(x):=\tau(\rho x), \phi(x):=\tau(\sigma x), x \in \mathcal{M}
$$

where $\rho, \sigma \geq 0$ and $\tau(\rho)=\tau(\sigma)=1$. Then there exist an isometry $\theta$ : $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ and an isometry $\theta^{\prime}: \Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\psi}=\theta\left[h_{\tau} \pi_{\sigma^{\tau}}(\rho)\right]=\theta^{\prime}(\rho), \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{\psi}$ is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\psi$ with respect to the canonical trace $\tau^{\phi}$ on $\mathcal{M} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\phi}} \mathbb{R}$ and $h_{\tau}$ is the density operator of $\tau$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\phi}=\theta\left[h_{\tau} \pi_{\sigma^{\tau}}(\sigma)\right]=\theta^{\prime}(\sigma) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The equation (2.11) is a direct consequence of two facts as above. Note that $\psi=\phi\left(x^{*} \cdot x\right)$, with $x=\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then (2.10) follows immediately from (2.11) and the fact [35, Chapter II, Proposition 4] that $h_{\phi\left(x^{*} \cdot x\right)}=$ $x h_{\phi} x^{*}$.

Using the above lemma, we can show that the noncommtuative $L_{p}$-space $L_{p, \sigma}(H)$ is a Haagerup $L_{p}$-space.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra equipped with a n.f. state $\phi$. For $0<p<\infty$, define a (quasi) norm $\|\cdot\|_{p, \phi}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$
\|x\|_{p, \phi}:=\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}, x \in \mathcal{M} .
$$

Recall [3] that for a fixed positive operator $\sigma \in B(H)$, the space $L_{p, \sigma}(H)$ is defined as the completion of $\left(B(H),\|\cdot\|_{p, \sigma}\right)$, where the (quasi) norm $\|\cdot\|_{p, \sigma}$ is defined by

$$
\|x\|_{p, \sigma}=\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x \sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right\|_{p}, x \in B(H)
$$

for $0<p<\infty$. Here $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is the Schatten $p$-norm on $B(H)$.
Proposition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a n.f. tracial state $\tau$, and $\phi$ be a n.f. state on $\mathcal{M}$ defined by $\phi=\tau(\sigma \cdot)$, where $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\tau(\sigma)=1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{p, \phi}=\left[\tau\left(\left|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x \sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right|^{p}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, x \in \mathcal{M} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<p<\infty$.
Proof. Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p} & =\left\|\theta^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x \sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right)\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p} \\
& =\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x \sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right\|_{\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}^{p} \\
& =\tau\left(\left|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x \sigma^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right|^{p}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{\prime}$ is the isometry from $\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$.
2.3. Haagerup's reduction theorem. The idea of the Haagerup reduction theorem is to approximate a general von Neumann algebra by finite ones. It was firstly proposed by Haagerup and published in [14] after amending more details and applications to noncommutative martingale inequalities and maximal inequalities. In this paper, we will use the Haagerup reduction theorem for noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces, which briefly states that a Haagerup $L_{p}$-space associated with a general von Neumann algebra can be approximated by a sequence of tracial $L_{p}$-spaces.

Let $G$ denotes the subgroup $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra associated with a normal faithful state $\phi$. Denote $\mathcal{R}:=$ $\mathcal{M} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\phi}} G$. Then we have the following reduction theorem.

Theorem 2.5. [14, Theorem 3.1]. For $0<p<\infty$, let $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ be the Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-space. Then there exist a sequence $\left\{\mathcal{R}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ of finite von Neumann algebras, each equipped with a normal faithful finite trace $\tau_{n}$, and for each $n \geq 1$ an isometric embedding $\theta_{n}: \Lambda_{p}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}, \tau_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $L_{p}(\mathcal{R}, \hat{\phi})$ such that
(i) the sequence $\left\{\theta_{n}\left[\Lambda_{p}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}, \tau_{n}\right)\right]\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing;
(ii) $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \theta_{n}\left[\Lambda_{p}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}, \tau_{n}\right)\right]$ is dense in $L_{p}(\mathcal{R}, \hat{\phi})$;
(iii) $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ is isometric to a subspace $Y_{p}$ of $L_{p}(\mathcal{R}, \hat{\phi})$;
(iv) $Y_{p}$ and all $\theta_{n}\left[\Lambda_{p}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}, \tau_{n}\right)\right]$ are 1-complemented in $L_{p}(\mathcal{R}, \hat{\phi})$ for $1 \leq p<$ $\infty$.

Here $\Lambda_{p}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}, \tau_{n}\right)$ is the tracial noncommutative $L_{p}$-space associated with $\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}, \tau_{n}\right)$.
2.4. Complex interpolation. We refer to 1 for more information in complex interpolation theory. Let $S$ denote the strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0<\operatorname{Rez}<1\}$, and $\bar{S}$ its closure. Let $A(S)$ be the set of all bounded functions which are analytic in $S$ and continuous in $\bar{S}$. Let $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)$ be an interpolation couple of (quasi) Banach spaces. Set

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right):=\left\{f: f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{k}(z) x_{k}, x_{k} \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}, f_{k} \in A(S), m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

Equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)}=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\|f(i t)\|_{X_{0}},\|f(1+i t)\|_{X_{1}}\right\}
$$

$\mathcal{F}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)$ becomes a (quasi) Banach space. For $0<\theta<1$ we define the complex interpolation (quasi) norm $\|\cdot\|_{\theta}$ on $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\theta}=\inf \left\{\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)}: f(\theta)=x, f \in \mathcal{F}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)\right\}, x \in X_{0} \cap X_{1} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the complex interpolation space of $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ is defined as the completion of $\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1},\|\cdot\|_{\theta}\right)$, denoted by $\left[X_{0}, X_{1}\right]_{\theta}$.

For the tracial noncommutative $L_{p}$-space $\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, it is well-known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Lambda_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \Lambda_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\right]_{\theta}=\Lambda_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, and $\frac{1}{p_{\theta}}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{0}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{1}}$ (see [31]). By showing a type of reversed Hölder's inequality (see Lemma [3.1), Xu [37] proved the above complex interpolation result for the quasi-Banach space $\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Lambda_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \Lambda_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\right]_{\theta}=\Lambda_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_{\theta}}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{0}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{1}}$.
2.5. Compatibility of Haagerup $L_{p}$-spaces. As we have mentioned in the introduction, the compatibility of two (quasi) Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces is due to Kosaki's construction. More precisely, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra with a n.f. state $\phi$. For $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, and $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$
\|x\|_{p_{0}, \phi} \leq\|x\|_{p_{1}, \phi} .
$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathcal{M}, h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}}$ can be decomposed as

$$
h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}}=h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{q}} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{1}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{1}}} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{q}}=h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{q}} y h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{q}},
$$

where $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{2 p_{1}}=\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}$ and $y=h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{1}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{1}}}$. By Hölder's inequality (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)} & \leq\|y\|_{L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{L_{q}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{2} \\
& =\|y\|_{L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}\left\|h_{\phi}\right\|_{L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{\frac{2}{q}}=\|y\|_{L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the above proposition, for $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty, L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ can be embedded into $L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ via the map $h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{1}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{1}}} \mapsto h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}}$. With this embedding, $\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)\right)$ forms an interpolation couple.
3. Hadamard three lines theorem for (quasi) noncommutative

$$
L_{p} \text {-SPACES }
$$

3.1. The tracial case. In this subsection, we denote by $\mathcal{M}$ a semifinite von Neumann algebra associated with a n.s.f. trace $\tau$. For $\theta \in[0,1]$, and $0<p_{0} \leq p_{1} \leq \infty$, define $p_{\theta}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{\theta}}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{0}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{1}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\bar{S}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\}$. Denote by $A F(X)$ the set of all bounded functions from $\bar{S}$ to $X$ which are analytic in $S$ and continuous on $\bar{S}$. The following reversed Hölder's inequality is due to Xu.

Lemma 3.1. [37, Lemma 2.2]. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra associated with a n.s.f. trace $\tau$ such that $\tau(1)<\infty$. Fix $0<\lambda<1$. Then for any $f \in A F(\mathcal{M})$ and any $\epsilon>0$, there exist $g, h \in A F(\mathcal{M})$ such that $f=g h$ and for all $z \in \partial S, t \geq 0$ we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{t}(g(z)) \leq \mu_{t}(f(z))^{1-\lambda}+\epsilon, \\
\mu_{t}(h(z)) \leq \mu_{t}(f(z))^{\lambda}+\epsilon
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark here that our statement is slightly different from Xu's original form. Indeed, in 37] $\mathcal{M}$-valued analytic functions in $A F(\mathcal{M})$ are defined on the interval $[0,2 \pi]$. But they are equivalent, since one can identify $[0,2 \pi]$ with the unit circle and then apply Riemann mapping theorem. As a direct consequence of (2.3) and Lemma 3.1 we have

Corollary 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\lambda$ be as above. Then for any $f \in A F(\mathcal{M})$ and any $\epsilon, r>0$, there exist $g, h \in A F(\mathcal{M})$ such that $f=g h$ and for all $z \in \partial S$ we have

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\|g(z)\|_{\frac{r}{1-\lambda}} & \leq\|f(z)\|_{r}^{1-\lambda}+\epsilon, \\
\|h(z)\|_{\frac{r}{\lambda}} & \leq\|f(z)\|_{r}^{\lambda}+\epsilon
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Remark 3.3. The above corollary is a special case of the Riesz factorization theorem, which was proved in the general case of subdiagonal algebras by Blecher-Labuschagne [4] and Bekjan-Xu [5].

Proposition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra associated with a n.s.f. trace $\tau$. Let $G \in A F(\mathcal{M})$. Assume that $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, and for $\theta \in[0,1]$ define $p_{\theta}$ as in the equation (3.1). For $k=0,1$, define

$$
M_{k}:=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|G(k+i t)\|_{p_{k}} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}} \leq M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta} .
$$

Proof. The proof of Banach spaces case $1 \leq p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$ is a standard argument, using Hadamard three lines theorem for analytic functions. We refer to [3, Theorem 2] and [21, Theorem 2.10] for the proof of (not necessarily tracial) state case for $1 \leq p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$. For the proof for quasi-Banach spaces case $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n p_{0} \geq 1$. Then for any $\epsilon>0$, by Corollary 3.2 there exist $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n} \in A F(\mathcal{M})$ such that $G=\prod_{j=1}^{n} G_{j}$ and for $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|G_{j}(k+i t)\right\|_{n p_{k}} \leq \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|G(k+i t)\|_{p_{k}}^{\frac{1}{n}}+\epsilon, k=0,1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by Hölder's inequality and the desired result for Banach spaces case, we have

$$
\|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left\|G_{j}(\theta)\right\|_{n p_{\theta}} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|G_{j}(i t)\right\|_{n p_{0}}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|G_{j}(1+i t)\right\|_{n p_{1}}\right)^{\theta}
$$

Applying (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}} & \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|G(i t)\|_{p_{0}}^{\frac{1}{n}}+\epsilon\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|G(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}}^{\frac{1}{n}}+\epsilon\right)^{\theta} \\
& \leq\left(\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|G(i t)\|_{p_{0}}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|G(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}}\right)^{\theta}+O(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we deduce our desired result.

### 3.2. The Haagerup noncommutative $L_{p}$-spaces case.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra with a n.f. trace $\tau$. Let $G \in A F(\mathcal{M})$. Assume that $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, and for $\theta \in[0,1]$ define $p_{\theta}$ as in the equation (3.1). For $k=0,1$ define

$$
M_{k}:=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|G(k+i t)\|_{p_{k}, \tau} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}, \tau} \leq M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta}
$$

Proof. It is obvious that

$$
\|G(z)\|_{p_{z}, \tau}=\left\|h_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{z}}} G(z) h_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{z}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{z}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}=\|G(z)\|_{\Lambda_{p_{z}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p_{z}}=\frac{1-\operatorname{Re}(z)}{p_{0}}+\frac{\operatorname{Re}(z)}{p_{1}}$. Then our result can be deduced from Proposition 3.4.

The following proposition extends Beigi's result [3, Theorem 2] (see also Jenčová's result [21, Theorem 2.10]) to the quasi-Banach space case.

Proposition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a ( $\sigma$-finite) von Neumann algebra with a n.s.f. state $\phi$. Let $G \in A F(\mathcal{M})$. Assume that $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, and for $\theta \in[0,1]$ define $p_{\theta}$ as in the equation (3.1). For $k=0,1$ define

$$
M_{k}:=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|G(k+i t)\|_{p_{k}, \phi} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi} \leq M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta}
$$

Proof. The key idea is to use the Haagerup reduction theorem. Recall that $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{M} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\phi}} \cup_{n \geq 1} 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}$, and $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ can be isometrically embedded into $L_{p}(\mathcal{R}, \hat{\phi})$ for any $0<p<\infty$. Hence it suffices to show that for $G \in A F(\mathcal{R})$

$$
\|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}, \hat{\phi}} \leq M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta}
$$

where $M_{k}:=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|G(k+i t)\|_{p_{k}, \hat{\phi}}, k=0,1$.

According to Theorem 2.5, $\bigcup_{n} L_{p}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}, \tau_{n}\right)$ is dense in $L_{p}(\mathcal{R}, \hat{\phi})$. Hence without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists an integer $N$, such that $x=G(\theta) \in \mathcal{R}$, and $M_{k} \leq 1$ for $k=0,1$. Suppose that

$$
G(z)=\sum_{m} G_{m}(z) x_{m}
$$

where $G_{m} \in A(S)$ and $x_{m} \in \mathcal{R}_{N}$. Then for $\epsilon>0$, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{m}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{N^{\prime}}$ such that for each $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{m}-y_{m}\right\|_{p_{1}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}}<\frac{\epsilon}{2^{m} K_{m}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N^{\prime}>N$ and $K_{m}:=\sup \left\{\left|G_{m}(z)\right|: z \in \bar{S}\right\}<\infty$. Hence

$$
G=\sum_{m} G_{m} y_{m}+\sum_{m} G_{m}\left(x_{m}-y_{m}\right)=: g+h
$$

where $g(z)=\sum_{m} G_{m}(z) y_{m}$ and $h(z)=\sum_{m} G_{m}(z)\left(x_{m}-y_{m}\right)$. Then if $p_{0} \geq 1$, using the inequality (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|h(i t)\|_{p_{0}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} & \leq \sum_{m}\left|G_{m}(i t)\right|\left\|x_{m}-y_{m}\right\|_{p_{0}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq \sum_{m}\left|G_{m}(i t)\right|\left\|x_{m}-y_{m}\right\|_{p_{1}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq \sum_{m}^{m} \frac{\epsilon}{2^{m}}=O(\epsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0<p_{0}<1$, then we apply the inequality (2.2) instead of (2.1) and obtain also

$$
\|h(i t)\|_{p_{0}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}}^{p_{0}} \leq \sum_{m} \frac{\epsilon^{p_{0}}}{2^{m p_{0}}}=O\left(\epsilon^{p_{0}}\right)
$$

So in any case, we always have $\|h(i t)\|_{p_{0}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \leq O(\epsilon)$. Similarly we have

$$
\|h(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \leq O(\epsilon)
$$

Thus using Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$
\|h(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \leq O(\epsilon)
$$

and moreover

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|g(i t)\|_{p_{0}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \leq 1+O(\epsilon) \\
\|g(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \leq 1+O(\epsilon)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Set $\tilde{G}(z):=g(z)+h(\theta)$. Then it is easy to see that $\tilde{G} \in A F\left(\mathcal{R}_{N^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\tilde{G}(\theta)=G(\theta)$. Moreover,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|\tilde{G}(i t)\|_{p_{0}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \leq 1+O(\epsilon) \\
\|\tilde{G}(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \leq 1+O(\epsilon)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence from Lemma 3.5 we have $\|\tilde{G}(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \leq 1+O(\epsilon)$. Thus

$$
\|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}, \hat{\phi}}=\|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}}=\|\tilde{G}(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}, \tau_{N^{\prime}}} \leq 1+O(\epsilon)
$$

By letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$we deduce our result.
3.3. Applications to some matrix inequalities. In this subsection, let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of all $d \times d$ complex matrices. The following proposition extends Sutter et al.'s result [34, Theorem 3.1] (see also [19, Lemma 3.3]) to the quasi-Banach spaces case, which generalizes Hirschman's strengthening of the Hadamard three lines theorem [13].

Proposition 3.7. Let $G \in A F(\mathcal{M})$. Assume that $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, and for $\theta \in[0,1]$ define $p_{\theta}$ as in the equation (3.1). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t\left(\beta_{1-\theta}(t) \log \|G(i t)\|_{p_{0}}^{1-\theta}+\beta_{\theta}(t) \log \|G(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}}^{\theta}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{\theta}(t)=\frac{\sin (\pi \theta)}{2 \theta(\cosh (\pi t)+\cos (\pi \theta))}$.
Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.4. Choose an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n p_{0} \geq 1, n p_{1} \geq 1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \left\|G_{k}(\theta)\right\|_{n p_{\theta}} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t\left(\beta_{1-\theta}(t) \log \left\|G_{k}(i t)\right\|_{n p_{0}}^{1-\theta}+\beta_{\theta}(t) \log \left\|G_{k}(1+i t)\right\|_{n p_{1}}^{\theta}\right) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t\left(\beta_{1-\theta}(t) \log \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left\|G_{k}(i t)\right\|_{n p_{0}}^{1-\theta}+\beta_{\theta}(t) \log \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left\|G_{k}(1+i t)\right\|_{n p_{1}}^{\theta}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \beta_{1-\theta}(t) \log \left(\|G(i t)\|_{p_{0}}^{1 / n}+\epsilon\right)^{n(1-\theta)} \\
& +\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \beta_{\theta}(t) \log \left(\|G(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}}^{1 / n}+\epsilon\right)^{n \theta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G_{k} \in A F(\mathcal{M}), k=1, \cdots, n$, are functions that are deduced by Corollary 3.2. Note that for the first inequality we have used Hölder's inequality, and for the second one, we have used Theorem 3.1 in [34], which states that the inequality (3.4) is true for the Banach spaces case, i.e., $1 \leq p_{0}, p_{1} \leq \infty$. We complete our proof by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

Corollary 3.8. Let $0<p \leq \infty, r \in(0,1], n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for a family of positive semidefinite matrices $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n} \subset \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left\|\left|\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{r}\right|^{\frac{1}{r}}\right\|_{p} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \beta_{r}(t) \log \left\|\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{1+i t}\right\|_{p} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use the same idea of [34, Theorem 3.2]. Define the function

$$
G(z):=\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{z} .
$$

It satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.7. Furthermore we pick $\theta=r, p_{0}=$ $\infty$ and $p_{1}=p$. Then $p_{\theta}=p / r$ and we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\log \|G(i t)\|_{p_{0}}^{1-\theta}=(1-r) \log \left\|\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{i t}\right\|_{\infty}=0 \\
\log \|G(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}}^{\theta}=r \log \left\|\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{1+i t}\right\|_{p} \\
\text { and } \log \|G(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}}=\left.r \log \| \| \prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{r}\right|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|_{p}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Plugging the above equations into Proposition 3.7deduces the corollary.
Remark 3.9. In [34, Hiai et al. proved the equation (3.5) for any unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{M}$ in an alternative way, which includes the conclusion of Corollary 3.8.

## 4. Interpolation of noncommutative $L_{p}$-Spaces.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a ( $\sigma$-finite) von Neumann algebra with a n.s.f. state $\phi$. In this section, we will use the notation $L_{p}(\mathcal{M})$ for $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ if there is no ambiguity. By embedding $\mathcal{M}_{a}$ into $L_{p}(\mathcal{M})$ via the map $x \mapsto h_{\phi}^{1 / 2 p} x h_{\phi}^{1 / 2 p}$, we can define our interpolation space as follows. For $x \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$ Set
$\mathcal{F}\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right):=\left\{f: f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{k}(z) x_{k}, x_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{a}, f_{k} \in A(S), m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$,
equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right)}=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\|f(i t)\|_{p_{0}, \phi},\|f(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}, \phi}\right\},
$$

For $0<\theta<1$ we define the complex interpolation (quasi) norm $\|\cdot\|_{\theta}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{a}$ as follows: for $x \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$,

$$
\|x\|_{\theta}:=\inf \left\{\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right)}: f(\theta)=x, f \in \mathcal{F}\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right\} .
$$

Then the complex interpolation space $\left[L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right]_{\theta}$ is defined as the completion of $\left(\mathcal{M}_{a},\|\cdot\|_{\theta}\right)$. The following theorem extends Xu' s result [37] to (non-tracial) state case.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a ( $\sigma$-finite) von Neumann algebra with a n.f. state $\phi$. For $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right]_{\theta}=L_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M}) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p_{\theta}}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{0}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{1}}$.
Proof. The case of $1 \leq p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$ is basically Kosaki's interpolation theorem [22].

The proof for the case $0<p_{0}<1$ is an adaption of $\mathrm{Xu}^{\prime}$ result [37]. On one hand, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq\left[L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right]_{\theta} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this it is sufficient to show $\|x\|_{\theta} \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$.
Firstly we consider the case $\frac{1}{2} \leq p_{0}<1$. To this end, using the polar decomposition, $x \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$ can be written as

$$
x=u|x|=u|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}=x_{1} x_{2},
$$

where $x_{1}=u|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $x_{2}=|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{a}$ is $w^{*}$-dense in $\mathcal{M}$, for any $\epsilon>0$ and $k=0,1$, there exists $y_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$ such that

$$
\left\|x_{k}-y_{k}\right\| \leq \epsilon
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{2 p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})} \leq\left\|x_{1}-y_{1}\right\|\left\|_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}\right\|_{L_{2 p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})}=\left\|x_{1}-y_{1}\right\| \leq \epsilon
$$

and similarly

$$
\left\|\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right) h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}\right\|_{L_{2 p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})} \leq\left\|x_{2}-y_{2}\right\| \leq \epsilon
$$

On the other hand, by (2.8), we have

$$
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}} x_{1}\right\|_{L_{2 p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})}=\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}} u|x| u^{*} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

and

$$
\left\|x_{2} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}\right\|_{L_{2 p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})}=\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}|x| h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}} y_{1}\right\|_{L_{2 p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})} \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon,
$$

and

$$
\left\|y_{2} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}\right\|_{L_{2 p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})} \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon .
$$

Let $z_{1}=\sigma_{-\frac{i}{4 p_{\theta}}}^{\phi}\left(y_{1}\right)$ and $z_{2}=\sigma_{\frac{i}{4 p_{\theta}}}^{\phi}\left(y_{2}\right)$, then due to the equality (2.9) we have $h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{4 p_{\theta}}} z_{1} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{4 p_{\theta}}}=h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}} y_{1}$ and $h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{4 p_{\theta}}} z_{2} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{4 p_{\theta}}}=y_{2} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}$. Moreover, $z_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$ and

$$
\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{2 p_{\theta}, \phi} \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon, k=1,2
$$

By the first part, $\left[L_{2 p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{2 p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right]_{\theta}=L_{2 p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})$. Then for any $\epsilon>0$ and $k=1,2$, there exists $f_{k} \in \mathcal{F}\left(L_{2 p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{2 p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right)$, such that $f_{k}(\theta)=z_{k}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}\left(L_{2 p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{2 p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right)} & \leq\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{2 p_{\theta}, \phi}+\epsilon \\
& \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider

$$
f(z):=\sigma_{\frac{i}{4 p_{z}}}^{\phi}\left(f_{1}(z)\right) \sigma_{-\frac{i}{4 p_{z}}}^{\phi}\left(f_{2}(z)\right)-y_{1} y_{2}+x
$$

It is easy to see that $f$ is analytic in $S$, continuous on $\bar{S}$, and $f(\theta)=x$. Moreover, from (2.2) and Hölder's inequality (2.7) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(i t)\|_{p_{0}, \phi}^{p_{0}} & \leq\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}} \sigma_{\frac{i}{4 p_{0}}}^{\phi}\left(f_{1}(i t)\right) \sigma_{-\frac{i}{4 p_{0}}}^{\phi}\left(f_{2}(i t)\right) h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M})}^{p_{0}}+\left\|x-y_{1} y_{2}\right\|_{p_{0}, \phi}^{p_{0}} \\
& \leq\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{4 p_{0}}} f_{1}(i t) h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}} f_{2}(i t) h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{4 p_{0}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M})}^{p_{0}}+\left\|x-y_{1} y_{2}\right\|_{p_{0}, \phi}^{p_{0}} \\
& \leq\left\|f_{1}(i t)\right\|_{2 p_{0}, \phi}^{p_{0}}\left\|f_{2}(i t)\right\|_{2 p_{0}, \phi}^{p_{0}}+\left\|x-y_{1} y_{2}\right\|_{p_{0}, \phi}^{p_{0}} \\
& \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}^{p_{0}}+\left\|x-y_{1} y_{2}\right\|_{p_{0}, \phi}^{p_{0}}+O(\epsilon) \\
& \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}^{p_{0}}+\left\|x-y_{1} y_{2}\right\|^{p_{0}}+O(\epsilon) \\
& \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}^{p_{0}}+O(\epsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we have (replace (2.2) with (2.1) if necessary)

$$
\|f(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}, \phi} \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}+O(\epsilon)
$$

Therefore

$$
\|x\|_{\theta} \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leq\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}+O(\epsilon)
$$

Thus we can conclude the inclusion (4.2) for $\frac{1}{2} \leq p_{0}<1$ by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
By repeating the above arguments we can obtain the inclusion (4.2) for $\frac{1}{4} \leq p_{0}<\frac{1}{2}$. Thus by iterating this procedure, we get the inclusion (4.2) for all $0<p_{0}<1$.

On the other hand, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right]_{\theta} \subseteq L_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M}) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, it suffices to show that $\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi} \leq\|x\|_{\theta}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$. Thus for any $f \in \mathcal{F}\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ such that $f(\theta)=x$, we will show

$$
\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi} \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right)} .
$$

Without loss of generality, suppose $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}\left(L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}), L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leq 1$. Therefore using Proposition 3.6, we have

$$
\|x\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi}=\|f(\theta)\|_{p_{\theta}, \phi} \leq\|f(i t)\|_{p_{0}, \phi}^{1-\theta}\|f(1+i t)\|_{p_{1}, \phi}^{\theta} \leq 1,
$$

which completes our proof.
Remark 4.2. (i) Instead of the symmetric embedding for $\mathcal{M}$ into $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$, our interpolation also holds for any embedding $x \mapsto h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-\eta}{2 p}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{\eta}{2 p}}$ as in Lemma 2.1, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$.
(ii) The n.f. state $\phi$ can be replaced by a weight.

We have the following direct corollaries.
Corollary 4.3. Let $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, and for $\theta \in[0,1]$ define $p_{\theta}$ as in the equation (3.1). For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{\theta}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})} \leq\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{0}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M})}^{1-\theta}\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{1}}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2 p_{1}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M})}^{\theta}
$$

Proof. By taking the map $G(z)=x$ in Proposition 3.6 we can deduce our result.

Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ be two ( $\sigma$-finite) von Neumann algebras, and $\phi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) be a n.f. state on $\mathcal{M}(\operatorname{resp} . \mathcal{N})$. Let $T: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a linear map. Then we define an operator norm $\|T\|_{(p, \phi) \rightarrow(q, \psi)}$ by

$$
\|T\|_{(p, \phi) \rightarrow(q, \psi)}=\sup _{X \neq 0} \frac{\|T(X)\|_{q, \psi}}{\|X\|_{p, \phi}}
$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ be two ( $\sigma$-finite) von Neumann algebras, and $\phi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) be a n.s.f. state on $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}$ ). Let $T: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a linear map. Assume that $0<p_{0}<p_{1} \leq \infty, 0<q_{0}<q_{1} \leq \infty$ and $p_{\theta}, q_{\theta}$ satisfy the equation (3.1). Then we have

$$
\|T\|_{\left(p_{\theta}, \phi\right) \rightarrow\left(q_{\theta}, \psi\right)} \leq\|T\|_{\left(p_{0}, \phi\right) \rightarrow\left(q_{0}, \psi\right)}^{1-\theta} \cdot\|T\|_{\left(p_{1}, \phi\right) \rightarrow\left(q_{1}, \psi\right)}^{\theta} .
$$

Proof. It is a direct corollary of Theorem 4.1 using the standard approach in the interpolation theory [1].

## 5. Applications to the Sandwiched RÉnyi Relative entropy

The history of the generalization of $p$-Rényi divergence can be traced back to Petz's work [27, 28], where he extended the notion of the $p$-Rényi divergence to the general von Neumann algebra context. Regarding to the sandwiched $p$-Rényi divergence, there are mainly two approaches.

One is introduced by Jencová [20, 21] as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{p}^{J}(\psi \| \phi):=\frac{1}{p-1} \log \left\|h_{\psi}\right\|_{C_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p}, p \in(1, \infty) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ is the Kosaki's noncommutative $L_{p}$-space associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. Recall that

$$
C_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi):=\left[h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}, L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)\right]_{1 / p}
$$

where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Note that $C_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)=h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and for any $x \in$ $\mathcal{M},\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{C_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}=\|x\|$. Since the definition (15.1) relies on Kosaki's construction of noncommutative $L_{p}$-space, the index $p$ can not go beyond the interval $(1, \infty)$.

Another definition is derived by Berta, Scholz and Tomamichel [9] via a so-called noncommutative vector valued $L_{p}$-space, denoted by $L_{p}^{B S T}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. The definition of spaces $L_{p}^{B S T}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ relies on the spatial derivative $\triangle(\xi / \phi)$, where $\xi$ is a vector in $L_{2}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. The definition of the space $L_{p}^{B S T}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ is subtle. We omit the details and refer to [9]. They defined the divergence as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{p}^{B S T}(\psi \| \phi):=\frac{2 p}{p-1} \log \left\|\xi_{\psi}\right\|_{L_{2 p}^{B S T}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}, p \in[1 / 2,1) \cup(1, \infty) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{\psi}$ is a vector representation of $\psi$ for a $*$-representation $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow$ $B(H)$.

Now let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra acting on $H$, and $\phi, \psi$ be two normal faithful states on $\mathcal{M}$. For $p \in(0,1) \cup(1, \infty)$ we define

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi): & =\frac{1}{p-1} \log \left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p}  \tag{5.3}\\
& =\frac{1}{p-1} \log \left\|h_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{p, \phi}^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{\psi}$ and $h_{\phi}$ are Randon-Nikodym derivatives of dual weights $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ with respect to the canonical trace $\tau$ on $\mathcal{M} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\phi}} \mathbb{R}$, respectively.

Using Lemma 2.2, we can show that the definition of (5.3) extends the notion of the sandwiched $p$-Rényi divergence to the $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra case. We recall that for two positive operators $\sigma, \rho \in B(H)$, the sandwiched $p$-Rényi divergence is defined as [25]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{p}(\rho \| \sigma)=\frac{1}{p-1} \log \left\|\sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{p}^{p}, p \in(0,1) \cup(1, \infty) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is the Schatten $p$-norm on $B(H)$.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a n.f. tracial state $\tau$, and $\psi, \phi$ be two n.f. states on $\mathcal{M}$ defined by

$$
\psi(x)=\tau(\rho x), \quad \phi(x)=\tau(\sigma x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},
$$

where $\rho$ and $\sigma$ are two positive operators with unit trace. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi)=\frac{1}{p-1} \log \tau\left[\left(\sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right)^{p}\right] \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma [2.2, there exists an isometry $\theta^{\prime}: \Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ such that

$$
\theta^{\prime}(\sigma)=h_{\phi}, \quad \theta^{\prime}(\rho)=h_{\psi} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p} & =\left\|\theta^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right)\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p} \\
& =\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{\Lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}^{p} \\
& =\tau\left[\left(\sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right)^{p}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes our proof.
Proposition 5.2. Our definition $\tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi)$ fits well with the ones defined by Jenčová and Berta et al.. More precisely, we have
(i) $\tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi)=\tilde{D}_{p}^{J}(\psi \| \phi)$ for $1<p<\infty$;
(ii) $\tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi)=\tilde{D}_{p}^{B S T}(\psi \| \phi)$ for $1 / 2 \leq p<1$.

Proof. We note that (ii) has been proved by Jenčová in [20, Theorem 5].
For (i), we will use the following fact proved by Kosaki [22]: For $1 \leq$ $p \leq \infty$ and $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$, the map $i_{p}: x \mapsto h_{\phi}^{1 / 2 p^{\prime}} x h_{\phi}^{1 / 2 p^{\prime}}$ is an isometric isomorphism from $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ onto $C_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. From this it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}=\left\|i_{p}\left(h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right)\right\|_{C_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}=\left\|h_{\psi}\right\|_{C_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence for $1<p<\infty$, the equation (5.3) coincides with Jenčová's definition, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi)=\frac{1}{p-1} \log \left\|h_{\psi}\right\|_{C_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p}=\tilde{D}_{p}^{J}(\psi \| \phi) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5.3. (Monotonicity in p). For all n.f. states $\psi, \phi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, the function $p \mapsto \tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi)$ is increasing for $p \in(0,1) \cup(1, \infty)$.

Proof. For $p \in(1, \infty)$, by a direct modification of the proof in [3, Theorem 7.1] we can deduce our result. It was also proved by Jenčová 21.

Since the logarithm function is non-decreasing, it remains to show that when $0<p_{0}<p_{1}<1$ or $0<p_{0}<1<p_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{22 p_{0}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p_{0}^{\prime}} \leq\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p_{1}^{p_{1}^{\prime}}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we use $s^{\prime}$ to denote the conjugate number of $s$. Note that for $s \in$ $(0,1), s^{\prime}=\frac{s}{s-1}<0$.

If $0<p_{0}<p_{1}<1$, then there exists $0<\theta<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{0}}+\frac{\theta}{1} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Corollary 4.3 (by letting $x=h_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ) we have

$$
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)} \leq\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{1-\theta}\left\|h_{\psi}\right\|_{L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{\theta}
$$

Since $\left\|h_{\psi}\right\|_{L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}=1$ and $p_{1}^{\prime}<0$, we have

$$
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p_{1}^{\prime}} \geq\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{(1-\theta) p_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

By equation (5.9), we have $(1-\theta) p_{1}^{\prime}=p_{0}^{\prime}$, which gives (5.8).
The proof for $0<p_{0}<1<p_{1}$ is similar. In this case there exists $0<\theta<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{0}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{1}} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Corollary 4.3 we have

$$
1=\left\|h_{\psi}\right\|_{L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)} \leq\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{1-\theta}\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{\theta}
$$

Recall that $p_{0}^{\prime}<0$, then

$$
\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{p_{0}^{\prime}} \leq\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p_{1}}{2 p_{1}}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1} p_{0}^{\prime}} .
$$

From (5.10) we have $(\theta-1) p_{1}^{\prime}=\theta p_{0}^{\prime}$ and then obtain (5.8).
We fix some notations that will be used in the remaining part of the paper. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful state $\phi$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and set $\phi_{\mathcal{N}}:=\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let $\tau$ (resp. $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$ ) be the canonical trace on $\mathcal{R}:=\mathcal{M} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\phi}} \mathbb{R}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}:=\mathcal{N} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\phi} \mathcal{N}} \mathbb{R}\right)$. Let $h_{\psi}$ (resp. $h_{\psi_{N}}$ ) be the Randon-Nikodym derivative of dual weight $\hat{\phi}$ (resp. $\widehat{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}$ ) with respect to $\tau$ (resp. $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$ ).

Theorem 5.4. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\psi, \phi$ be n.f. states on $\mathcal{M}$. Then for $p \in(1, \infty)$, we have the following data processing inequality (DPI):

$$
\tilde{D}_{p}\left(\psi_{\mathcal{N}} \| \phi_{\mathcal{N}}\right) \leq \tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi)
$$

Proof. Basically it is an adaption of Beigi's proof [3]. It is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}}} h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}, \phi_{\mathcal{N}}\right)} \leq\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}, \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1<p<\infty$.
Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{*}=L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. Then for any $x=h_{\omega} \in L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ with $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{*}$, define a map $T: L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi) \rightarrow L_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}, \phi_{\mathcal{N}}\right)$ by

$$
T(x):=h_{\omega_{\mathcal{N}}} .
$$

It is easy to see that $T$ is positive and tr-preserving on $L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. Consider the map $S: L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi) \rightarrow L_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}, \phi_{\mathcal{N}}\right)$ given by

$$
S(x)=h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} T\left(h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Clearly $S\left(h_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}}} h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Using Corollary 4.4, to show (5.11) it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\|S\|_{(p, \phi) \rightarrow\left(p, \phi_{\mathcal{N}}\right)} \leq 1
$$

for $p=1$ and $p=\infty$.
For $p=1$, since $T$ is tr-preserving, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S(x)\|_{1, \phi_{\mathcal{N}}}=\left\|T\left(h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right\|_{1, \phi_{\mathcal{N}}}=\left\|h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}} x h_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}=\|x\|_{1, \phi} . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p=\infty$, using the positivity of $T$, we have

$$
\|S\|_{(\infty, \phi) \rightarrow\left(\infty, \phi_{\mathcal{N}}\right)}=\|S(1)\|_{\mathcal{N}}=\left\|h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}} h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{N}}=1
$$

Remark 5.5. It is known that [12] the sandwiched p-Rényi divergence satisfies DPI for $p \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \cup(1, \infty)$. DPI for a more general family of $p$ Rényi divergences, knowns as $\alpha-z$ Rényi relative entropies, was determined by the last author in [38]. See also a survey paper [10] for more information of DPI.

Suppose $\mathcal{N}$ is invariant under the automorphism group $\sigma_{t}^{\phi}$, i.e., $\sigma_{t}^{\phi}(\mathcal{N})=$ $\mathcal{N}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then due to the modular theory [36], there exists a conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that $\phi \circ \mathcal{E}=\phi$. Moreover [14, Theorem 4.1], $\mathcal{E}$ can be naturally extended to a conditional expectation $\hat{\mathcal{E}}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathcal{N}}[\hat{\mathcal{E}}(y)]=\tau(y) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{R}$.
Denote by $\iota: \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ the natural embedding. By (5.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau[\iota(x) y]=\tau_{\mathcal{N}}[\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\iota(x) y)]=\tau_{\mathcal{N}}[\hat{\mathcal{E}}(x y)]=\tau_{\mathcal{N}}[x \hat{\mathcal{E}}(y)] \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}, y \in \mathcal{R}$.
Proposition 5.6. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\psi, \phi$ be n.f. states on $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\sigma_{t}^{\phi}(\mathcal{N})=\mathcal{N}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{N}$ be the $\phi$-preserving conditional expectation. Then we have

$$
\hat{\mathcal{E}}\left(h_{\psi}\right)=h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}}} .
$$

Proof. Since $\phi \circ \mathcal{E}=\phi$, we have $\mathcal{E} \circ \sigma_{t}^{\phi}=\sigma_{t}^{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}} \circ \mathcal{E}$. Thus by a result of Haagerup, Junge and Xu [14, Theorem 4.1], we have $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}=\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}} \circ \hat{\mathcal{E}}$. It follows immediately that

$$
\left.\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}\right|_{\mathcal{N}}=\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}
$$

Therefore for any $x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}, x \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\mathcal{N}}\left[\hat{\mathcal{E}}\left(h_{\psi}\right) x\right] & =\tau\left(h_{\psi} x\right) \\
& =\psi\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}(x) d t\right]=\psi\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}(x) d t\right] \\
& =\psi_{\mathcal{N}}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}(x) d t\right]=\tau_{\mathcal{N}}\left[h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}}} x\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes our proof, since $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$ is faithful.
Proposition 5.7. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\psi, \phi$ be n.f. states on $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\sigma_{t}^{\phi}(\mathcal{N})=\mathcal{N}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{N}$ be the $\phi$-preserving conditional expectation. Then we have

$$
\iota\left(h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}}}\right)=h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N} \circ} \mathcal{E}}
$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{E} \circ \sigma_{t}^{\phi}=\sigma_{t}^{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}} \circ \mathcal{E}$, we have by [14, Theorem 4.1] that

$$
\hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}=\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}} \circ \hat{\mathcal{E}} .
$$

Therefore for any $y \in \mathcal{R}, y \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left[h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathcal{E}} y\right] & =\psi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathcal{E}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}(y) d t\right] \\
& =\psi_{\mathcal{N}}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi}(y) d t\right] \\
& =\psi_{\mathcal{N}}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}} \circ \hat{\mathcal{E}}(y) d t\right] \\
& =\tau_{\mathcal{N}}\left[h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}}} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(y)\right]=\tau\left[\iota\left(h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}}}\right)(y)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality uses (5.14). This completes our proof, since $\tau$ is faithful.

Theorem 5.8. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\psi, \phi$ be n.f. states on $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\sigma_{t}^{\phi}(\mathcal{N})=\mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is the induced conditional expectation. If $\psi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathcal{E}=\psi$, then we have

$$
\tilde{D}_{p}\left(\psi_{\mathcal{N}} \| \phi_{\mathcal{N}}\right)=\tilde{D}_{p}(\psi \| \phi), \quad p \in(0,1) \cup(1, \infty)
$$

Proof. Obviously we have $\phi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathcal{E}=\phi \circ \mathcal{E}=\phi$. Thus using Proposition 5.7 and the fact that $\iota$ naturally embeds $L_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}, \phi_{\mathcal{N}}\right)$ into $L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ for all $0<p<\infty$ [14], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}}} h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}, \phi_{\mathcal{N}}\right)} & =\left\|\iota\left(h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}}} h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right)\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)} \\
& =\left\|h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{E}}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} h_{\psi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathcal{E}} h_{\phi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathcal{E}}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)} \\
& =\| h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{\frac{2 p}{2 p}} h_{\psi} h_{\phi}^{\frac{1-p}{2 p}} \|_{L_{p}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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