Network geometry is currently a topic of growing scientific interest, as it opens the possibility to explore and interpret the interplay between structure and dynamics of complex networks using geometrical arguments. However, the field is still in its infancy. In this work we investigate the role of network geometry in determining the nature of the percolation transition in planar hyperbolic manifolds. In Ref. [1], S. Boettcher, V. Singh, R. M. Ziff have shown that a special type of two-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds, the Farey graphs, display a discontinuous transition for ordinary link percolation. Here we investigate using the renormalization group the critical properties of link percolation on a wider class of two-dimensional hyperbolic deterministic and random manifolds constituting the skeletons of two-dimensional cell complexes. These hyperbolic manifolds are built iteratively by subsequently gluing \( m \)-polygons to single edges. We show that when the size \( m \) of the polygons is drawn from a distribution \( q_m \) with asymptotic power-law scaling \( q_m \sim Cm^{-\gamma} \) for \( m \gg 1 \), different universality classes can be observed depending on the value of the power-law exponent \( \gamma \). Interestingly, the percolation transition is hybrid for \( \gamma \in (3, 4) \) and becomes continuous for \( \gamma \in (2, 3) \).
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I. INTRODUCTION

The field of network topology and geometry is gaining increasing attention [2, 3]. When modelling network geometry, higher-order networks such as simplicial and cell complexes are a natural choice [4–9]. Simplicial complexes are formed by simplices that describe the interaction between one, two or more than two nodes and they include nodes, links, triangles, and tetrahedra. Cell complexes are also formed by high-dimensional building blocks but these building blocks can be any polytopes and do not need to be formed by a set of fully connected nodes. Models of simplicial and cell complexes growing by the subsequent addition of simplices and polytopes include Farey graphs [8], Apollonian networks [9] and the recently introduced Network Geometry with Flavor [4, 5] which displays emergent hyperbolic network geometry [6]. The investigation of the relation between network geometry of simplicial complexes and dynamics is still in its infancy, and only recently a few works have tackled problems in percolation [10], synchronization [11, 12] and epidemic spreading [13]. These results significantly enrich the active debate on the role that hyperbolic networks have on navigability [14–16].

The interplay between hyperbolic network geometry and percolation [1, 10, 17, 20] appears to be very profound. A classical result [17] of percolation states that in hyperbolic networks as well as in non-amenable graphs percolation exhibits two phase transitions: at the lower percolation threshold an infinite cluster emerges but remains sub-extensive, and at the upper percolation threshold the infinite cluster becomes extensive. Even more interesting is the result of S. Boettcher, V. Singh, R. M. Ziff [1] which shows that on the two-dimensional Farey graph, link (or bond) percolation is discontinuous. Finally, it has been shown in Ref. [10] that on simplicial complexes of dimension \( d \) one can define up to \( 2d \) topological percolation problems that can display a critical behavior that cannot be predicted by exclusively studying node and link percolation problems on the same network geometries.

Percolation is among the most widely studied critical phenomena on networks [24–29] and for many years it has been argued that percolation could only lead to second-order phase transitions. However, in recent years, there has been an increasing interest in unveiling the basic mechanisms responsible for abrupt percolation transitions in complex networks. In multiplex networks [30] it has been shown that interdependent percolation leads to hybrid phase transitions [30, 33]. In simple networks it has been shown that network processes that are responsible for growing network structure can lead to abrupt but continuous phase transitions (explosive percolation) [34–37] as well as truly discontinuous phase transitions [38, 39]. Moreover, it has been shown [40] that in the large deviation theory of percolation, discontinuous phase transitions can be observed if aggravating configurations of the initial damage are considered. In this
context the result of Ref. [1] is revealing of the important interplay between network geometry and the emergence of discontinuous critical behavior of percolation. Interestingly, the discontinuous nature of this link percolation transition is likely to be related to previous work on critical phenomena on one-dimensional systems with long-range interactions [41, 42]. However this relation has so far not been clearly established.

In this work we address the question of the interplay between hyperbolic network geometry and percolation in dimension $d = 2$. In particular our goal is to explore how robust is the discontinuity of link percolation observed in Farey graphs with respect to modifications of the geometry of the building blocks of the planar hyperbolic manifold. Percolation on hierarchical lattices has been widely explored [43-47] in the literature and advanced renormalization group (RG) techniques [48-52] have been developed for studying percolation and critical phenomena on hierarchical networks. Here we build on this literature to perform a comprehensive RG study of link percolation in deterministic and random hyperbolic manifolds in two dimensions. We show that when the size $m$ of the polygons forming the random hyperbolic manifold is drawn from a distribution $q_m$ with asymptotic power-law scaling $q_m \sim C m^{-\gamma}$ for $m \gg 1$, a rich scenario is observed with the occurrence of different universality classes depending on the power-law exponent $\gamma$.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. II we introduce the deterministic and the random hyperbolic manifolds studied in this paper; in Sec. III we introduce the main properties of percolation in hyperbolic networks including the upper and lower percolation thresholds and the fractal exponent; in Sec. III we determine the equation of the percolation probability and we characterize its critical behavior; in Sec. IV we derive the expression for the generating function of the largest component; in Sec. V we determine the equations for the fractal exponent and we characterize its critical behavior; in Sec. VI we determine the equations for the order parameter of percolation and we establish the universality class of percolation at the upper critical threshold; finally in Sec. VII we provide the conclusions.

II. DETERMINISTIC AND RANDOM HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

A. Deterministic hyperbolic manifolds

The deterministic manifolds considered in this paper are infinite hyperbolic simplicial complexes constructed deterministically and iteratively starting from a single link. At iteration $n = 0$ we attach an $m$-polygon (polygon with $m$ links) to the initial link. At each iteration $n > 0$ we attach an $m$-polygon to every link introduced at iteration $n - 1$. At iteration $n$ the number of nodes $N_n$ and links $L_n$ are given by

$$N_n = 1 + (m - 1)^n,$$

$$L_n = \frac{1}{m - 2} [(m - 1)^{n+1} - 1].$$

The resulting networks constitute the 1-skeleton of $d = 2$ hyperbolic cell complexes and describe hyperbolic manifolds. In fact they are 2-connected and every link is incident to at most to two polygons. These hyperbolic manifolds generalize the Farey graphs studied in Ref. [1] which correspond to the case $m = 3$, i.e., to the case in which the network is constructed by gluing subsequent triangles to links. In Figure 1 we show the outcome of the first iterations generating the deterministic hyperbolic manifolds with $m = 3$ and $m = 4$ respectively.

B. Random hyperbolic manifolds

The random hyperbolic manifolds considered in this paper are constructed using an iterative procedure similar to the one used for constructing the deterministic hyperbolic manifolds considered above. However the difference in their construction is that we allow the cell complexes to include polygons of different sizes $m$. To this end we consider an ensemble of random hyperbolic manifolds growing iteratively from a single link. At iteration $n = 0$ we draw a value of $m > 2$ from a distribution $q_m$ and we attach an $m$-polygon to the initial link. At iteration $n > 0$, for each link added at the previous iteration, we draw a value of $m > 2$ from a distribution $q_m$ and we attach an $m$-polygon to it.

In order to have a well-defined model of random hyperbolic manifolds we will assume here and in the following that the distribution $q_m$ has a well-defined first moment $\langle m \rangle$. Under this hypothesis the expected number of nodes $\bar{N}_n$ and links $\bar{L}_n$ in the manifold at iteration $n$ are given by

$$\bar{N}_n = 1 + \langle (m) - 1 \rangle^n,$$

$$\bar{L}_n = \frac{1}{\langle m \rangle - 2} \langle (m) - 1 \rangle^{n+1} - 1 \rangle.$$
I. PERCOLATION IN HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

In link percolation (also called bond percolation) links are removed with probability \( p = 1 - q \) and the fraction \( P_\infty \) of nodes belonging to the giant component is studied as a function of \( p \). However in the considered hyperbolic manifolds with \( m \geq 3 \) or \( m = 4 \) and in the case in which the hyperbolic manifold is random with uniform \( q_m = 0.5 \delta_{m,3} + 0.5 \delta_{m,4} \).

II. PERCOLATION PROBABILITY

A. Recursive equation for the percolation probability

Let us indicate with \( T_n \) the probability that the two nodes present at iteration \( n = 0 \) are connected at generation \( n \). Given the iterative process that defines the hyperbolic manifold, it is easy to show that for the random-\( m \) case \( T_n \) satisfies the RG equation

\[
T_{n+1} = p + (1 - p) \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} q_m T_n^{m-1}
\]

with initial condition \( T_0 = p \). If the link that directly connects the two initial nodes is not damaged, the two nodes are clearly connected. This event occurs with probability \( p \). If the link that directly connects the initial two nodes is instead damaged (events that occur with probability \( 1-p \)), the two nodes are connected only if they are connected by a path that passes through each other node belonging to the polygon added at generation \( n = 1 \). Since the size the polygon added at iteration \( n = 1 \) has size \( m \) with probability \( q_m \), the latter event occurs with probability \( \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} q_m T_n^{m-1} \). For further calculations it is useful to express Eq. (6) as

\[
T_{n+1} = F(p, T_n),
\]

where

\[
F(p, T_n) = p + (1-p)Q(T_n).
\]

and where \( Q(T) \) indicates the function

\[
Q(T) = \sum_{m>2} q_m T_n^{m-1}.
\]

When the number of iterations \( n \) diverges \( n \to \infty \) we observe that \( T_n \) converges to its limiting value \( T \), i.e.,

\[
R_n \sim \bar{N}_n^{\psi_n}.
\]
The percolation probability $T$ is plotted versus $p$ for deterministic hyperbolic manifolds with $m = 3, 4, 5$ (panel a) and random hyperbolic manifolds with scale-free distribution $q_m = Cm^{-\gamma}$ with $m \geq 3$ and $\gamma = 4.5, 3.5, 2.5$.

$T_n \to T$, expressing the probability that the initial two nodes are connected in an infinite random hyperbolic manifold. Therefore, starting from the recursive Eq. (6) we obtain the implicit equation for $T$ given by

$$T = p + (1 - p)Q(T)$$

In Figure 2 we show the linking probability $T$ as a function of $p$ for deterministic hyperbolic manifolds and random hyperbolic manifolds with scale-free distribution $q_m$. By studying the stability of the solutions $T^* = 0$ and $T_c = 1$ we observe that Eq. (10) identifies the lower percolation threshold $p^*$ and the upper percolation threshold $p_c$ as

$$p^* = 0, \quad T^* = 0;$$

$$p_c = 1 - \frac{1}{\langle m \rangle - 1}, \quad T_c = 1.$$

For a deterministic hyperbolic manifold with $q_{m'} = \delta_{m,m'}$ we obtain then

$$p^* = 0, \quad T^* = 0;$$

$$p_c = 1 - \frac{1}{m - 1}, \quad T_c = 1.$$

It is interesting to compare these results to percolation on a Cayley tree with $m - 1$ descendants, which is the dual lattice to the manifolds considered here, as shown in Figure 3. The probability of a bond presence on a dual lattice is $\tilde{p} = 1 - p$, and indeed, the lower percolation threshold for the Cayley tree is known to be $1/(m - 1) = 1 - p_c$, where $p_c$ is given by Eq. (11). In this case $T = 1 - S$, where $S$ is the probability that a randomly chosen link in the dual tree leads to the giant component in the direction away from the root. However, there are no known connections between $P_\infty$ and the properties of the dual lattice.

As a side note, we observe that the existence of the $T = T_c = 1$ solution of Eq. (10) is the necessary condition for the presence of an extensive component in the network, i.e. the presence of an upper percolation threshold $p_c$. Interestingly the presence of this phase at a non-trivial critical point $p = p_c < 1$ is not guaranteed in any modification of the hyperbolic manifold construction. In particular if we modify the network construction by replacing the chain of $m - 1$ iterable links by one that has $m - 1 - k$ iterable links and $k$ non-iterable links we will construct a Cantor set structure. For this structure the linking probability would satisfy

$$T = p + (1 - p)p^kT^{m - 1 - k}$$

and the $T = T_c = 1$ solution would not be allowed unless $p = p_c = 1$.

### B. Mapping to percolation of random networks

In this section we reveal that the critical behavior of $T$ for $0 < p_c - p < 1$ can be non-trivial by considering a mapping between the Eq. (10) for $T$ and percolation on random networks. In fact equation (10) determining the percolation probability $T$ can be mapped to the equation for the probability $S'$ that a random link reaches a node in the giant component of a random uncorrelated network with degree distribution $P(k)$ and minimum degree $K_{\text{min}} \geq 3$. When nodes are damaged with probability $1 - \tilde{p}$ the equation for $S'$ reads

$$S' = \tilde{p} \sum_k \frac{k}{\langle k \rangle_p} P(k)[1 - (1 - S')^{k-1}],$$

where $\langle k \rangle_p = \sum kP(k)$. Therefore at the mathematical level the equation determining $T$ in random hyperbolic manifolds and the equation determining $S'$ in a random network can be mapped to each other.

In this mapping the size of the polygon $m$ corresponds to the degree of a node $k$, the probability distribution $q_m$ corresponds to the distribution of excess degree $kP(k)/\langle k \rangle$, $\langle 1/m \rangle = \sum m q_m/m$ corresponds to $\langle k \rangle_p$ and $p$ corresponds to $1 - \tilde{p}$ (see Table I).

Note that finite random uncorrelated networks have the structural cutoff, i.e. the maximum degree $k_{\text{max}}$ scales like the square root of the number of nodes in the network. However in our mathematical mapping between Eq. (10) and Eq. (12) there is no prescribed mapping between the number of nodes in the random uncorrelated
FIG. 3: (Color online) The results of the first \( n \) iterations generating the regular hyperbolic manifolds with \( m = 3 \) (panel a), \( m = 4 \) (panel b) are shown in blue (thick line), together with the results of the first \( n \) iteration generating a random hyperbolic manifold with \( q_m = 1/2 \delta(m, 3) + 1/2 \delta(m, 4) \) (panel c). The initial link is indicated in black and the dual network (the tree) is indicated in red (thin line). The number of iterations \( n \) is \( n = 5 \) for panel (a) and \( n = 4 \) for panels (b) and (c).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hyperbolic Random Manifold</th>
<th>Random Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( 1 - S' )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>( 1 - \tilde{p} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m )</td>
<td>( k )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_m )</td>
<td>( \frac{k}{\langle k \rangle_p} P(k) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \langle 1/m \rangle )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: Mathematical mapping between the quantities determining the percolation probability \( T \) in the hyperbolic random manifold and the mathematical quantities determining the probability \( S' \) that by following a random link of a random network with degree distribution \( P(k) \) we reach a node in the giant component.

In this mapping the upper percolation threshold \( p_c \) maps to the well-known percolation threshold \( \tilde{p}_c \) for the random network. In fact we have that \( p_c \) is determined by the equation

\[
(1 - p_c) \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q_m (m - 1) \right] = 1 \tag{13}
\]

By substituting

\[
1 - p_c \rightarrow \tilde{p}_c \quad m \rightarrow k \quad q_m \rightarrow \frac{k}{\langle k \rangle_p} P(k). \tag{14}
\]

we obtain

\[
\tilde{p}_c \left[ \sum_{k>2} \frac{k(k-1)}{\langle k \rangle} P(k) \right] = 1. \tag{15}
\]

or

\[
\tilde{p}_c = \frac{\langle k \rangle_P}{\langle k^2 \rangle_p - \langle k \rangle_p} \tag{16}
\]

where the averages \( \langle \ldots \rangle_p \) are over the distribution \( P(k) \). It is well-known that the percolation threshold and the critical behavior of percolation are strongly affected by power-law degree distributions for random networks, which display anomalous critical exponents \( 21^\text{23–25,31} \). For example, for the distribution \( q_m \sim C m^{-\gamma} \) for \( m \gg 1 \) and \( \gamma \rightarrow 2^{+} \), the percolation threshold \( p_c \rightarrow 1 \). Therefore in this limit the network displays an extensive giant component only when the fraction of damaged nodes \( f = 1 - p = 0 \). This regime corresponds to the regime in which random networks have a degree distribution decaying as \( P(k) \sim C k^{-\tilde{\gamma}} \) with \( \tilde{\gamma} \rightarrow 3^{+} \) and percolation threshold \( \tilde{p}_c \rightarrow 0 \).

C. Critical behavior of the linking probability

Using the mapping between random hyperbolic manifolds and random networks we can also determine the different critical behaviors for \( T \) in the deterministic and in the random hyperbolic manifolds. To this end we will consider different scenarios.
First, we consider the case of a generic distribution \( q_m \) displaying finite average moments \( \langle m \rangle \) and \( \langle m^2 \rangle \). This case clearly includes the deterministic hyperbolic manifold. For \( 0 < p_c - p \ll 1 \) the function \( Q(T) \) can be expanded in powers of \( \Delta T = T - T_c \) as we have \( |\Delta T| \ll 1 \), yielding

\[
Q(T) = 1 + ((m) - 1)(\Delta T) + \frac{1}{2}((m - 1)(m - 2))(\Delta T)^2 + o((\Delta T)^2).
\]

(17)

Using this expansion in Eq. (10), we get

\[
T = 1 - A(p_c - p)^\beta + o((p_c - p)^3),
\]

(18)

where the critical exponent \( \beta \) is given by

\[
\beta = 1,
\]

(19)

and where \( A \) is a constant given by

\[
A = \frac{2((m) - 1)^2}{((m - 2)(m - 1))}.
\]

(20)

In particular in the case of a deterministic hyperbolic manifold \( A = A_m \) where \( A_m \) is given by

\[
A_m = \frac{2m - 1}{m - 2}.
\]

(21)

Secondly we consider the case of distributions \( q_m \) with power-law asymptotic behavior

\[
q_m \approx Cm^{-\gamma},
\]

(22)

for \( m \gg 1 \) where the range of possible exponents is \( \gamma \in [2, \infty] \) as we need to guarantee that \( \langle m \rangle \) is finite as mentioned above. Special attention is devoted in particular to power-law exponents \( \gamma \leq 3 \) corresponding to a diverging moment \( \langle m^2 \rangle \). In particular in this regime, by following techniques already developed for random networks [21][23][25][31], we found a series of anomalous critical exponents.

(a) Case \( \gamma > 3 \)

For \( \gamma > 3 \) both moments \( \langle m \rangle \) and \( \langle m^2 \rangle \) converges so this case follow in the universality class of the case we have studied previously. Specifically in this case we can expand \( Q(T) \) for \( |\Delta T| \ll 1 \) getting

\[
Q(T) = 1 + ((m) - 1)\Delta T + a_\gamma(\Delta T)^2 + o((\Delta T)^2)).
\]

(23)

with \( a_\gamma = \langle (m) - 1(m - 2)/2 \) (see Table IV for its expression in the case of a pure power-law distribution \( q_m \)). By inserting this asymptotic expansion in Eq. (10) we obtain

\[
T = 1 - A_\gamma(p_c - p)^\beta + o((p_c - p)^3),
\]

(24)

with \( A_\gamma = \langle m - 1 \rangle/a_\gamma \) and

\[
\beta = 1.
\]

(25)

(b) Case \( \gamma = 3 \)

For \( \gamma = 3 \) we can expand \( Q(T) \) for \( |\Delta T| \ll 1 \) getting

\[
Q(T) = 1 + ((m) - 1)\Delta T + a_\gamma(\Delta T)^2 \ln|\Delta T| + O((\Delta T)^2).
\]

(26)

with \( a_\gamma \) indicating a constant (see Table IV for its expression in the case of a pure power-law distribution \( q_m \)). By inserting this asymptotic expansion in Eq. (10) we obtain

\[
T = 1 - A_\gamma(p_c - p)[-\ln(p_c - p)]^{-1} + O(p_c - p),
\]

(27)

with \( A_\gamma = -((m) - 1)^2/a_\gamma \).

(c) Case \( \gamma \in (2, 3) \)

For \( \gamma \in (2, 3) \) we can expand \( Q(T) \) for \( |\Delta T| \ll 1 \) getting

\[
Q(T) = 1 + ((m) - 1)\Delta T + a_\gamma(\Delta T)^\gamma^{-1} + o(|\Delta T|^{\gamma^{-1}}).
\]

(28)

with \( a_\gamma \) indicating a constant (see Table IV for its expression in the case of a pure power-law distribution \( q_m \)). By inserting this asymptotic expansion in Eq. (10) we obtain

\[
T = 1 - A_\gamma(p_c - p)^\beta + o((p_c - p)^3),
\]

(29)

with \( A_\gamma = ((m) - 1)^2/a_\gamma \) and

\[
\beta = \frac{1}{\gamma - 2}.
\]

(30)

In Table II we summarize the different scaling behaviors observed for different values of \( \gamma \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
<th>Expansion of ( T_c - T )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma &gt; 3 )</td>
<td>( A_\gamma(p_c - p) )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma = 3 )</td>
<td>( A_\gamma(p_c - p)[-\ln(p_c - p)]^{-1} )</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2 &lt; \gamma &lt; 3 )</td>
<td>( A_\gamma(p_c - p)^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 2}} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{\gamma - 2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: Expansions of \( T_c - T \) for \( p = p_c - \epsilon \) and \( 0 < \epsilon \ll 1 \). Here, \( \gamma > 2 \) denotes the exponent of the asymptotic power-law scaling of the \( q_m \) distribution, i.e., \( q_m \sim Cm^{-\gamma} \) for \( m \gg 1 \). For the case \( \gamma = 3 \) the dynamical critical exponent \( \beta \) is not defined (N/A) since the scaling of \( T_c - T \) is linear with logarithmic corrections.

V. GENERATING FUNCTIONS FOR FINITE COMPONENTS

A. General framework

In order to fully characterize the percolation transition in the considered hyperbolic manifolds we follow the theoretical approach proposed by Boettcher, Singh and Ziff
in Ref. [1] and we investigate the properties of the generating functions $\hat{T}_n(x)$ and $\hat{S}_n(x, y)$. In the hyperbolic manifolds obtained at iteration $n$ the function $\hat{T}_n(x)$ is the generating function of the number of nodes in the connected component linked to both initial nodes. The function $\hat{S}_n(x, y)$ is the generating function for the sizes of the two connected components linked exclusively to one of the two initial nodes. These generating functions can be expressed as

$$\hat{T}_n(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} t_n(\ell)x^\ell,$$

$$\hat{S}_n(x, y) = \sum_{\ell, \tilde{\ell}} s_n(\ell, \tilde{\ell})x^\ell y^{\tilde{\ell}}, \quad (31)$$

where $t_n(\ell)$ indicates the distribution of the number of nodes $\ell$ connected to the two initial nodes and $s_n(\ell, \tilde{\ell})$ indicates the joint distribution of the number of nodes $\ell$ connected exclusively to a given initial node and the number of nodes $\tilde{\ell}$ connected exclusively to the other initial node.

The size $R_n$ of the connected component linked to the initial two nodes at iteration $n$ is given by

$$R_n = \left. \frac{d\hat{T}_n(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=1}. \quad (32)$$

By explicitly deriving $R_n$ it can be shown that for $n \gg 1$, $R_n$ scales like

$$R_n \sim \hat{N}_n^\psi,$$  \quad (33)

with $\psi \in (0, 1]$ for $p > p^*$. In the section below we will consider the recursive equation that can be used to determine the generating functions $\hat{T}_n(x)$ and $\hat{S}_n(x, y)$ for the deterministic and the random hyperbolic manifolds while the next section will be devoted to the evaluation of the fractal exponent $\psi$.

### B. Deterministic hyperbolic manifold

Here we establish the equations determining the generating functions $\hat{T}_n(x)$ and $\hat{S}_n(x, y)$ for the deterministic hyperbolic manifold formed by gluing $m$-polygons together. These recursive equations for $\hat{T}_n(x)$ and $\hat{S}_n(x, y)$ start from the initial condition $T_0(x) = 1 - \hat{S}_0(x, y) = p$ and read

$$\hat{T}_{n+1}(x) = p \left[ x^{m-2}\hat{T}_{n-1}^m(x) + (m-1)x^{m-2}\hat{T}_{n-2}(x)\hat{S}_n(x, x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-3} (i+1)x^i\hat{T}_n(x)\hat{S}_n^2(x, 1) \right] + (1-p)x^{m-2}\hat{T}_{n-1}^m(x),$$

$$\hat{S}_{n+1}(x, y) = (1-p) \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} x^iy^{m-2-i}\hat{T}_{n}^i(x)\hat{T}_{n-2}(y)\hat{S}_n(x, y) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-3} \sum_{j=0}^{m-3-i} x^iy^j\hat{T}_n^i(x)\hat{T}_n^j(y)\hat{S}_n(x, 1)\hat{S}(1, y) \right]. \quad (34)$$

The generating function $\hat{T}_{n+1}(x)$ of the size of the connected component joining the two initial nodes should consider only contributions from configurations in which the link that connects the two initial nodes is not damaged, or the cases in which the initial link is damaged but the two initial nodes are connected through paths that pass through each other node of the $m$-polygon added at iteration $n = 1$. The generating function $\hat{S}_{n+1}(x, y)$ should instead take into account only contributions from configurations in which the initial link between the two initial nodes is damaged and there exist no alternative path connecting the two initial nodes.

In order to derive these equations it is possible to consider the simple cases in which $m = 3$ and $m = 4$ and by induction prove the general formula for a generic value of $m$. The recursive equations for $m = 3$ and $4$ can be easily derived diagrammatically using the diagram for $\hat{T}_n(x)$ and $\hat{S}_n(x, y)$ shown in Figure [4]. For the case $m = 3$ these equations reduce to the equations derived in Ref. [1] for the Farey graph, which read

$$\hat{T}_{n+1}(x) = p \left\{ x\hat{T}_n^2(x) + 2x\hat{T}_n(x)\hat{S}_n(x, x) + \hat{S}_n(1, x)\hat{S}_n(1, x) \right\} + (1-p)x\hat{T}_n^2(x),$$

$$\hat{S}_{n+1}(x, y) = (1-p) \left\{ x\hat{T}_n(x)\hat{S}_n(x, y) + y\hat{S}_n(x, y)\hat{T}_n(x) + \hat{S}_n(x, 1)\hat{S}(y, 1) \right\}. \quad (35)$$
In the case \( m = 4 \) instead the equations can be derived by using a diagramatic representation of the configurations that contribute to \( \hat{T}_{n+1}(x) \) and \( \hat{S}_{n+1}(x, y) \) (see Figures 5 and 6) obtaining

\[
\hat{T}_{n+1}(x) = p[2x^2\hat{T}_n^3(x) + 3x^2\hat{T}_n^2(x)\hat{S}_n(x, x) + 2x\hat{T}_n(x)\hat{S}_n(x, 1)\hat{S}_n(x, 1) + \hat{S}_n(x, 1)\hat{S}_n(x, 1)] + (1 - p)x^2\hat{T}_n^3(x)
\]

\[
\hat{S}_{n+1}(x, y) = (1 - p) \left[ x^2\hat{T}_n^2(x)\hat{S}_n(x, y) + y^2\hat{T}_n^2(y)\hat{S}_n(x, y) + xy\hat{T}_n(x)\hat{S}_n(x, y)\hat{T}_n(y) + x\hat{T}_n(x)\hat{S}_n(x, 1)\hat{S}_n(y, 1) + y\hat{T}_n(y)\hat{S}_n(y, 1)\hat{S}_n(x, 1) + \hat{S}_n(x, 1)\hat{S}_n(y, 1) \right]
\]

C. Random hyperbolic manifolds

The recursive equations for the generating functions \( \hat{T}_n(x) \) and \( \hat{S}_n(x, y) \) of the random hyperbolic manifold can be easily derived from the Eqs. (34) for the same generating function in a deterministic \( m \)-polygon hyperbolic manifolds by averaging over the distribution \( q_m \). In this way we obtain

\[
\hat{T}_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} q_m \left( x^{m-2}\hat{T}_{n-1}^{m-1}(x) + p(m-1)x^{m-2}\hat{T}_{n-1}^{m-2}(x)\hat{S}_n(x, x) + p \sum_{i=0}^{m-3} (i+1)x^i\hat{T}_n^i(x)\hat{S}_n(x, 1) \right),
\]

\[
\hat{S}_{n+1}(x, y) = (1 - p) \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} q_m \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} x^i y^{m-2-i}\hat{T}_n^i(x)\hat{T}_n^{m-2-i}(y)\hat{S}_n(x, y) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-3} \sum_{i=0}^{m-3-i} x^i y^j\hat{T}_n^i(x)\hat{T}_n^j(y)\hat{S}_n(x, 1)\hat{S}_n(1, y) \right)
\]

VI. FRACTAL EXPONENT

A. General derivation

In this section we investigate how the expected size \( R_n \) of the giant component connected to the two initial nodes grows with the number of generations \( n \) and from this expression we will derive the fractal exponent \( \psi \). The expected size of the giant component \( R_n \) can be derived from the generating function \( \hat{T}_n(x) \) by differenti-
where \( \lambda_n \) and \( u_n \) are the largest eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector of the Jacobian matrix \( J_n \) defined as

\[
[J_n]_{ij} = \left. \frac{\partial F^i(x)}{\partial V^j(x)} \right|_{V(x) = V_n(x); x = 1},
\]

and \( D_n \) is given by

\[
D_n = \left( \prod_{n' = 2}^{n} \langle u_n'|u_{n'-1} \rangle \right) \langle u_1|V_0 \rangle,
\]

with \( V_0 = \partial F_0/\partial x \). Assuming that for \( p \approx p_c \), \( D_n \) is in first approximation independent of \( n \), it follows that \( R_n = V_0^1 \) scales like

\[
R_n \sim \prod_{n'=1}^{n} \lambda_{n'} = \exp \left[ \sum_{n'=0}^{n} \ln \lambda_n \right].
\]

By defining \( \psi_n \) as

\[
\psi_n = \frac{\ln \lambda_n}{\ln((m) - 1)},
\]

and using the expression for \( \tilde{N}_n \) given by Eq. (2) we get for \( n \gg 1 \)

\[
R_n \sim \tilde{N}_n^\psi
\]

\[
\psi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi_n.
\]

**B. Deterministic and random hyperbolic manifolds**

Here we will perform the explicit calculation outlined in the previous subsection by treating explicitly the random hyperbolic manifolds. In fact the results for the deterministic hyperbolic manifolds can be deduced from these calculations by considering a distribution \( q_m \) equal to a Kronecker delta. The recursive equations for \( T_n(x) \), \( \Sigma_n(x) \) and \( S_n(x) \) can be directly deduced from Eqs. (48) by setting \( y = x \) and \( y = 1 \) and using \( T_n(1) = 1 - \Sigma_n(1,1) = 1 - S_n(1) = T_n \) and read
\[ \dot{T}_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} q_m \left[ x^{m-2} \dot{T}_{n-1}(x) + p(m-1)x^{m-2} \dot{T}_{n-2}(x) \Sigma_n(x) + p \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-3} (i+1)x^i \dot{T}_n^i(x) \right) S_n^2(x) \right], \]

\[ \Sigma_{n+1}(x) = (1-p) \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} q_m \left[ (m-1)x^{m-2} \dot{T}_{n-2}(x) \Sigma_n(x) + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-3} (i+1)x^i \dot{T}_n^i(x) \right) S_n^2(x) \right], \]

\[ S_{n+1}(x) = (1-p) \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} q_m \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} x^i \dot{T}_n^i(x) \right) S_n(x). \]  

(49)

By differentiating Eqs. (49) with respect to \( V_n \) and putting \( x = 1 \), and using \( \dot{T}_n(1) = 1 - \Sigma_n(1,1) \), \( 1 - S_n(1) = T_n \), we get the Jacobian \( J_n \). In particular by using the mathematical relation

\[ (1 - T_n) \sum_{i=0}^{m-3} i(i+1)T_n^{i-2} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-3} (i+1)T_n^i - (m-1)(m-2)T_n^{m-3} \]  

(50)

one can show that the Jacobian \( J_n \) can be expressed as

\[
J_n = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
Q'(T_n) + 2p [H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)] & 2pQ'(T_n) & 2p[H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)] \\
2(1-p) [H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)] & (1-p)Q'(T_n) & 2(1-p) [H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)] \\
(1-p) [H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)] & 0 & (1-p) [H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)] \\
\end{array} \right),
\]

(51)

where \( Q(T) \) is defined in Eq. (9) and \( H(T) \) is defined as

\[ H(T) = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} q_m \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} T^i, \]  

(52)

which admits for \( T < 1 \) the expression

\[ H(T) = \frac{1 - Q(T)}{1 - T}. \]  

(53)

Similarly it can be shown that \( \partial F_n / \partial x \) is given by

\[
\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial x} = \left( \frac{pT_n^2[H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)] + [T_nQ'(T_n) - Q(T_n)]}{2(1-p)T_n[H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)]} \right) \left( \frac{2(1-p)T_n[H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)]}{(1-p)T_n[H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)]} \right)
\]

For \( T_n < 1 \) the Jacobian \( J_n \) has the largest eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \) given by

\[ \lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sqrt{\hat{\Delta}(T_n)} + \hat{K}(T_n) \right], \]  

(54)

where \( \hat{\Delta}(T_n) \) and \( \hat{K}(T_n) \) are given by

\[ \hat{\Delta}(T_n) = \left[ \hat{K}(T_n) \right]^2 - 4(1-p)H(T_n)Q'(T_n), \]

\[ \hat{K}(T_n) = (p+1)H(T_n) + (1-2p)Q'(T_n). \]  

(55)

For \( T_n = 1 \), instead, the largest eigenvalue is given by

\[ \lambda_n = (m) - 1. \]

The eigenvector \( u_n \) corresponding to the largest eigenvalue is

\[ u_n = C \left[ \hat{K}(T_n) - 2(1-p)H(T_n) + \sqrt{\hat{\Delta}(T_n)} \right] \left( \frac{4(1-p)H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)}{2(1-p)H(T_n) - Q'(T_n)} \right), \]  

(56)

where \( C \) is the normalization constant. For \( T_n = 1 \) and \( p = p_c \), the eigenvector \( u_n \) is given by

\[ u_n = (1, 0, 0)^T. \]

In Figure 7 we show the fractal exponent \( \psi_n \) as a function of \( p \) for deterministic hyperbolic manifolds with \( m = 3, 4, 5 \) and for \( n = 1000 \).

C. Scaling of the fractal exponent

The fractal exponent \( \psi \) is defined as the limit for \( n \to \infty \) of \( \psi_n \) related to the maximum eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \) of the
We use the fact that for \( p_c - p \ll 1 \) we can derive the critical behavior of \( \psi_n \) that can be expressed as a function of \( \Delta T_n = T_n - 1 \), i.e.,

\[
\psi_n = f(\Delta T_n).
\] (57)

From this scaling, by performing the limit \( n \to \infty \), we can derive the critical scaling of the fractal exponent. In the following we will analyze the critical behavior of \( \psi \) for distributions \( q_m \) with convergent first, second and third moments \( \langle m \rangle, \langle m^2 \rangle \) and \( \langle m^3 \rangle \) and with asymptotic power-law scaling \( q_m \propto \gamma^{-\gamma} \) with \( \gamma > 2 \). In particular we will show that for hyperbolic manifolds with scale-free distribution \( q_m \) the critical behavior depends on the exponent \( \gamma \) as summarized in Table III.

1. Case with converging \( \langle m \rangle, \langle m^2 \rangle \) and \( \langle m^3 \rangle \)

The first case we consider is the case of a generic distribution \( q_m \) having convergent first, second and third moments \( \langle m \rangle, \langle m^2 \rangle \) and \( \langle m^3 \rangle \). By expanding \( Q'(T_n) \) and \( H(T_n) \) close to the critical point, i.e., for \( p = p_c + \Delta p \), and \( T_n = T_c + \Delta T_n \) for \( \Delta p < 0 \) and \( \Delta T_n < 0 \) but small in absolute values, i.e., \( |\Delta T_n| \ll 1 \) and \( |\Delta p| \ll 1 \), we obtain

\[
Q'(T_n) = \langle (m) - 1 \rangle + \langle (m - 1)(m - 2) \rangle \Delta T_n + \frac{1}{2} \langle (m - 1)(m - 2)(m - 3) \rangle \Delta T_n^2 + o((\Delta T_n)^2)
\]

\[
H(T_n) = \langle (m) - 1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle (m - 1)(m - 2) \rangle \Delta T_n + \frac{1}{6} \langle (m - 1)(m - 2)(m - 3) \rangle \Delta T_n^2 + o((\Delta T_n)^2)
\]

Therefore by using the definition of \( \psi_n \) (Eq. [46]) and the explicit expression of \( \lambda_n \) (Eq. [54]) we can derive the scaling of \( \psi_n \), as a function of \( \Delta T_n \)

\[
\psi_n = 1 - \hat{A}(\Delta T_n)^2 + o((\Delta T_n)^2)
\]

where the constant \( \hat{A} \) is given by

\[
\hat{A} = 3 \frac{\langle (m - 1)(m - 2) \rangle + \langle (m) - 1 \rangle \langle (m - 2) \rangle \langle (m - 1)(m - 2)(m - 3) \rangle}{6 \langle m \rangle \langle m - 1 \rangle \langle m - 2 \rangle \ln \langle m \rangle}
\] (58)

Specifically in the case in of a deterministic hyperbolic manifold, when \( q_m' = \delta_{m', m} \), we have \( \hat{A} = A_m \) given by

\[
\hat{A}_m = \frac{m(m - 2)}{6 \ln(m - 1)}.
\] (59)

In order to derive the scaling of the fractal exponent \( \psi \) with \( \Delta p \) we use the fact that for \( p_c - p \ll 1 \) and \( n \to \infty \) we have that \( \Delta T = T - T_c \) is proportional to \( \Delta p \) with proportionality constant \( A \) (see Eq. [18]). Therefore we predict that close to the critical point, for \( 0 < \Delta p \ll 1 \), \( \psi \) is given by

\[
\psi = 1 - \hat{A}(p_c - p)^2 + o((p_c - p)^2)
\] (60)

where
Interestingly, \( \hat{A}_m \) gains its minimum value at \( m = 4 \), which indicates that among this class of hyperbolic manifolds, the square hyperbolic manifold features the slowest conversion convergence of \( \psi \) to 1.

2. Case with power-law distribution \( q_m \) with power-law exponent \( \gamma \)

Here we consider the scaling of \( \psi_n \) as a function of \( \Delta T_n \) and the scaling of the fractal exponent \( \psi \) as a function of \( \Delta p \) for random hyperbolic manifolds with asymptotic power-law distribution \( q_m \sim Cm^{-\gamma} \) as a function of the the value of the power-law exponent \( \gamma \).

(i) Case \( \gamma > 4 \)

This case reduces to the case of the generic distribution \( q_m \) having finite first, second, and third moments \( \langle m \rangle, \langle m^2 \rangle \) and \( \langle m^3 \rangle \) convergent, studied in the precedent subsection. In fact in this case we can expand the functions \( Q'(T_n) \) and \( H(T_n) \) for \( \Delta p < 0 \) and \( \Delta T_n < 0 \), with \( |\Delta T_n| \ll 1 \) and \( |\Delta p| \ll 1 \), getting

\[
Q'(T_n) = \langle (m) - 1 \rangle + \langle (m - 1)(m - 2) \rangle \Delta T_n + c_\gamma (\Delta T_n)^2 + O((\Delta T_n)^2)
\]

\[
H(T_n) = \langle (m) - 1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle (m - 1)(m - 2) \rangle \Delta T_n + d_\gamma (\Delta T_n)^2 + O((\Delta T_n)^2),
\]

where \( c_\gamma = \langle (m - 1)(m - 2)(m - 3) \rangle / 2 \) and \( d_\gamma = \langle (m - 1)(m - 2)(m - 3) \rangle / 6 \) (see Table IV for their expression in the case of a pure power-law distribution \( q_m \)). Using these expressions in Eq. (54) for \( \lambda_n \) we derive the critical scaling of \( \psi_n \) given by

\[
\psi_n = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma (\Delta T_n)^2 + O((\Delta T_n)^2),
\]

with \( \hat{A}_\gamma = \hat{A} \) given by Eq. (56). Moreover in the limit \( n \to \infty \) we predict that the fractal exponent \( \psi \) has critical behavior

\[
\psi = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma \Delta p)^2 + O((\Delta p)^2),
\]

with \( \hat{A}_\gamma = \hat{A} \) given by Eq. (61).

(ii) Case \( \gamma = 4 \)

In this case we can perform the asymptotic expansion of \( Q'(T_n) \) and \( H(T_n) \) for \( |\Delta T_n| \ll 1 \) for \( \Delta p < 0 \) and \( \Delta T_n < 0 \) with \( |\Delta T_n| \ll 1 \) and \( |\Delta p| \ll 1 \) obtaining

\[
Q'(T_n) = \langle (m) - 1 \rangle + \langle (m - 1)(m - 2) \rangle \Delta T_n + c_\gamma (\Delta T_n)^2 \ln |\Delta T_n| + O((\Delta T_n)^2),
\]

\[
H(T_n) = \langle (m) - 1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle (m - 1)(m - 2) \rangle \Delta T_n + d_\gamma (\Delta T_n)^2 \ln |\Delta T_n| + O((\Delta T_n)^2),
\]

where \( c_\gamma \) and \( d_\gamma \) are constants (see Table IV for their expression in the case of a pure power-law distribution \( q_m \)). By inserting these asymptotic expansions in \( \lambda_n \) (given by Eq. (54)) we can derive the asymptotic expansion of \( \psi_n \)

\[
\psi_n = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma (\Delta T_n)^2 \ln |\Delta T_n| + O((\Delta T_n)^2)
\]

where

\[
\hat{A}_\gamma = \frac{c_\gamma - 2d_\gamma}{\langle (m) - 1 \rangle \ln|(m)| - 1}.
\]

By performing the limit \( n \to \infty \) and using the fact that close to the upper percolation threshold, Eq. (24) holds we can easily show that the fractal exponent \( \psi \) scales like

\[
\psi = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma (\Delta p)^2 \ln |\Delta p| + O((\Delta p)^2),
\]

where \( \hat{A}_\gamma = \hat{A}_\gamma A_2^2 \).

(iii) Case \( \gamma \in (3,4) \)

In this case we consider the asymptotic expansion of \( Q'(T_n) \) and \( H(T_n) \) for \( \Delta p < 0 \) and \( \Delta T_n < 0 \) with \( |\Delta T_n| \ll 1 \) and \( |\Delta p| \ll 1 \) given by

\[
Q'(T_n) = \langle (m) - 1 \rangle + \langle (m - 1)(m - 2) \rangle \Delta T_n + c_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} + O(|\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2}),
\]

\[
H(T_n) = \langle (m) - 1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle (m - 1)(m - 2) \rangle \Delta T_n + d_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} + O(|\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2}),
\]

where \( c_\gamma \) and \( d_\gamma \) are constants (see Table IV for their expression in the case of a pure power-law distribution \( q_m \)). By following the same procedure applied to previous case we obtain the asymptotic scaling for \( \psi_n \) given by

\[
\psi_n = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} + O(|\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2}),
\]
Thus, for a distribution $q$ we derive the following asymptotic expansion for the fractal exponent $\psi$,

$$\psi = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma |\Delta p|^{\gamma - 2} + o(|\Delta p|^{\gamma - 2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (70)$$

where $\hat{A}_\gamma = \hat{A}_\gamma A_{\gamma}^{(\gamma - 2)}$.

(iv) Case $\gamma = 3$

For $\gamma = 3$ we consider the asymptotic expansion of $Q'(T)$ and $H(T)$ for $\Delta p < 0$ and $\Delta T < 0$ with $|\Delta T_n| \ll 1$ and $|\Delta p| \ll 1$, which is given by

$$Q'(T_n) = ((m - 1) + c_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} + O(\Delta T_n),$$

$$H(T) = ((m - 1) + d_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} + O(\Delta T_n),$$

where $c_\gamma$ and $d_\gamma$ are constants (see Table [V] for their expression in the case of a pure power-law distribution $q_m$). Using this expressions in the definition of $\lambda_n$ given by Eq. (54) we derive the critical behavior of the order parameter $\psi_n$ given by

$$\psi_n = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} + O(\Delta T_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (71)$$

where $\hat{A}_\gamma$ is given by Eq. (67).

By performing the limit of $\psi_n$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the scaling relation determined in Eq. (27) we obtain

$$\psi = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma |\Delta p|^{\gamma - 2} + o(|\Delta p|^{\gamma - 2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (72)$$

(v) Case $2 < \gamma < 3$

Finally in this case the asymptotic expansion of $Q'(T_n)$ and $H(T_n)$ for $\Delta p < 0$ and $\Delta T < 0$, $|\Delta T_n| \ll 1$ and $|\Delta p| \ll 1$ is given by

$$Q'(T_n) = ((m - 1) + c_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} + o(|\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2}),$$

$$H(T_n) = ((m - 1) + d_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} + o(|\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2}),$$

where $c_\gamma$ and $d_\gamma$ are constants (see Table [V] for their expression in the case of a pure power-law distribution $q_m$). These expression leads to the critical scaling of $\psi_n$ given by

$$\psi_n = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} + o(|\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (73)$$

where $\hat{A}_\gamma$ is given by Eq. (67). By performing the limit of $\psi_n$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the scaling relation determined in Eq. (29) with $\beta = 1/(\gamma - 2)$, we obtain the critical behavior of the fractal exponent $\psi$, i.e.,

$$\psi = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma |\Delta p| + o(|\Delta p|)$$  \hspace{1cm} (74)$$

where $\hat{A}_\gamma = \hat{A}_\gamma A_{\gamma}^{(\gamma - 2)}$.

Thus, for a distribution $q_m$ that scales asymptotically as a power-law, we find an anomalous exponent $\psi$ that depends upon the scaling exponent $\gamma$ as described in Table [IV].

VII. FRACTION OF NODES IN THE GIANT COMPONENT $P_\infty$

A. General framework

In this section our goal is to investigate the nature of the percolation phase transition at the upper critical threshold $p_c$ using RG arguments already introduced in Ref. [48]. For this transition the order parameter is given by the fraction $P_\infty$ of nodes in the giant component in an infinite network, i.e.,

$$P_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_n}{N_n},$$  \hspace{1cm} (75)$$

By using Eq. (45) for approximating $R_n$ when $n \gg 1$ we obtain

$$P_\infty \simeq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\lambda_n}} \prod_{n=1}^{n} \lambda_n$$

$$\simeq \exp \left[ -\ln[(m - 1)] \int_0^\infty dn(1 - \psi_n) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (76)$$

where the last expression is derived by using a continuous approximation for $n$. Therefore in order to evaluate the critical behavior $P_\infty$ we need to know the dependence of $\psi_n$ on $n$. Close to the percolation threshold, for $0 < p_c - p \ll 1$ we can use Eq. (57) and its explicit expression derived in Sec. VII C in order to express $\psi_n$ as a function of $\Delta T_n$. Finally the dependence of $\Delta T_n$ on $n$ can be derived by expanding the RG Eq. (7) close to the upper percolation threshold $p_c$. In the following we will derived the critical behavior of the order parameter $P_\infty$ by following the inverse order. Firstly we will derive the functional behavior of $\Delta T_n$ on $n$, then we will use the scaling of $\psi_n$ as a function of $\Delta T_n$ to predict the nature of the percolation transition at $p = p_c$ in the continuous approximation. We will consider first the cases in which the second moment $\langle m^2 \rangle$ is convergent, finding that the percolation transition is always discontinuous. Subsequently we will investigate the cases for which $q_m$ has an asymptotic power-law decay $q_m \simeq C m^{-\gamma}$ for $m \gg 1$. In this case we observe as a function of $\gamma$ different universality classes summarized in Table [V]. As long as both $\langle m \rangle$ and $\langle m^2 \rangle$ are convergent we find always discontinuous transitions although the universality classes as a function of $\gamma$ varies determining different critical scalings. When $\langle m^2 \rangle$ is divergent we find instead that the percolation transition becomes continuous. Our approach uses the continuous approximation, and to validate this approach our analytical results are compared with exact numerical results performed for very large number of iterations $n$ in the case of deterministic hyperbolic manifolds. The case of a power-law distribution $q_m$ is however only studied analytically due to the very slow convergence of the numerical calculations in particular close to the critical point.
TABLE IV: Values of $a_γ, c_γ$ and $d_γ$ determining respectively the asymptotic expansion of $Q(T), Q'(T)$ and $H(T)$ for a pure power-law $q_m$ distribution given by $q_m = C m^{-γ}$, for $m ≥ 3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$γ$</th>
<th>$\langle m^2 \rangle$ Distribution given by $m$ distributions with $\langle m^2 \rangle$ on $n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$γ ≥ 4$</td>
<td>$\frac{(γ - 2 - 3γ + 2(γ - 2) - 3(γ - 1) + 2(γ - 2))}{1 - 1.2γ + 2γ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$γ ∈ (3, 4)$</td>
<td>$\frac{(γ - 2 - 3γ + 2(γ - 2) - 3(γ - 1) + 2(γ - 2))}{1 - 1.2γ + 2γ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$γ = 3$</td>
<td>$\frac{4}{9 - 8γ(3)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$γ ∈ (2, 3)$</td>
<td>$\frac{4}{9 - 8γ(3)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE V: Critical behavior of the fraction of nodes $P_{∞}(p_c + Δp)$ in the giant component for $p = p_c + Δp$ with $0 < Δp < 1$, and the nature of the phase transition (continuous/discontinuous) for random hyperbolic manifolds with $q_m$ having power-law asymptotic scaling $q_m ≍ C m^{-γ}$, for $m ≫ 1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$γ$</th>
<th>Expansion of $P_{∞}(p_c + Δp)$</th>
<th>Nature of Transition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$γ &gt; 4$</td>
<td>$P_{∞}(p_c) - α_γ Δp \ln Δp$</td>
<td>Discontinuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$γ = 4$</td>
<td>$P_{∞}(p_c) + α_γ Δp [\ln Δp]^2$</td>
<td>Discontinuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$γ ∈ (3, 4)$</td>
<td>$P_{∞}(p_c) + α_γ Δp^{γ - 3}$</td>
<td>Discontinuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$γ = 3$</td>
<td>$α_γ e^{-Δp/γ}$</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$γ ∈ (2, 3)$</td>
<td>$α_γ Δp^β$</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Case of arbitrary $q_m$ distribution with convergent second moment $\langle m^2 \rangle$

1. RG flow

Here we consider arbitrary $q_m$ distributions with convergent second moment $\langle m^2 \rangle$ and we derive in the continuous approximation the dependence of $ΔT_n$ on $n$. Our starting point is the RG Eq. (77) that we rewrite here for convenience

$$T_{n+1} = F(p_c, T_c) = p + (1 - p) \sum m q_m T_n^{m-1}. \quad (77)$$

By developing this equation close to the critical point $(p, T) = (p_c, T_c)$ and indicating with $Δp = p - p_c > 0$ and $ΔT_n = T - T_c$ we get

$$T_{n+1} = F(p_c, T_c) + \frac{∂F}{∂p}\bigg|_{p=p_c,T=T_c} Δp + \frac{∂F}{∂T}\bigg|_{p=p_c,T=T_c} ΔT_n + \frac{∂^2 F}{∂p∂T}\bigg|_{p=p_c,T=T_c} Δp ΔT_n + \frac{1}{2} \frac{∂^2 F}{∂T^2}(ΔT_n)^2\bigg|_{p=p_c,T=T_c} + \ldots \quad (78)$$

with

$$F(p_c, T_c) = T_c = 1,$$

$$\frac{∂F}{∂p}\bigg|_{p=p_c,T=T_c} = 0, \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{∂F}{∂T}\bigg|_{p=p_c,T=T_c} = 1, \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{∂^2 F}{∂p∂T}\bigg|_{p=p_c,T=T_c} = -(m - 1), \quad (81)$$

$$\frac{∂^2 F}{∂T^2}\bigg|_{p=p_c,T=T_c} = \frac{(m - 1)(m - 2)}{⟨m - 1⟩}. \quad (82)$$

Therefore by truncating the expansion to the leading terms in $ΔT_n$ and $Δp$ we can write

$$ΔT_{n+1} - ΔT_n = \hat{C} ΔT_n \left[ ΔT_n - \hat{B} Δp \right] \quad (84)$$

with the constants $\hat{B}$ and $\hat{C}$ given by

$$\hat{B} = \frac{2}{⟨m - 1⟩^2}, \quad (85)$$

$$\hat{C} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(m - 1)(m - 2)}{⟨m - 1⟩} \right). \quad (86)$$

For $n → ∞$ we approximate the above equation (84) in the continuous limit and we use $x$ to indicate the continuous approximation of $−ΔT_n ≪ 1$, i.e., $x ≃ −ΔT_n$. In this way we get the differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dn} = -\hat{C} x [x + \hat{B} Δp], \quad (87)$$
with initial condition \( x(0) = 1 - p \), whose solution is
\[
x(n) = \frac{B\Delta p}{1 - p} \left( 1 + \frac{B\Delta p}{1 - p} \right)^{n-1} e^{\frac{B\Delta p}{1 - p}} - 1.
\] (88)

2. Case in which both \( \langle m^2 \rangle \) and \( \langle m^3 \rangle \) are convergent

This case includes all distributions \( q_m \) which have a convergent first, second and third moment \( \langle m \rangle \), \( \langle m^2 \rangle \) and \( \langle m^3 \rangle \). Consequently it includes the deterministic hyperbolic manifold with \( q_{m'} = \delta_{m,m'} \) and the random hyperbolic manifold with asymptotic power-law scaling of \( q_m \simeq Cm^{-\gamma} \) with \( \gamma > 4 \). For this case \( \psi_n \) obeys the scaling relation Eq. (58) that we rewrite here for convenience
\[
\psi_n = 1 - \hat{A}(T_c - T_n)^2 = 1 - \hat{A}[x(n)]^2.
\] (89)

Therefore using Eq. (76) we can express \( P_\infty \) in the continuous approximation as
\[
P_\infty(p) \simeq \exp \left[ -\ln(\langle m \rangle - 1) \hat{A} \int dx(n)^2 \right].
\] (90)

By inserting the expression of \( x(n) \) derived in Eq. (88) we obtain for \( 0 < p - p_c \ll 1 \)
\[
P_\infty(p) \simeq \exp \left[ -\ln(\langle m \rangle - 1) \hat{A}m \left( \frac{1 - p}{C} \right) + \frac{B\Delta p}{C} \ln \left( \frac{B\Delta p}{C} \right) \right]
\] (91)

which can be also written as
\[
P_\infty(p) \simeq P_\infty(p_c) \left( \frac{\Delta p}{r} \right)^{-h\Delta p}
\] (92)

where \( P_\infty(p_c) \), \( h \), and \( r \) are given by
\[
P_\infty(p_c) = \exp \left[ -\ln(\langle m \rangle - 1) \hat{A} \frac{2}{\langle m - 1 \rangle(m - 2)} \right],
\] \[ h = \ln(\langle m \rangle - 1) \hat{A} \frac{\hat{B}}{C}, \]
\[ r = \frac{\hat{C}}{\hat{B}(m - 1)}. \] (93)

Eq. (92) can be further expanded for \( 0 < \Delta p \ll 1 \), obtaining the critical behavior
\[
P_\infty(p) \simeq P_\infty(p_c) + \alpha \Delta p \left[ -\ln(\Delta p) \right],
\] (94)

where \( \alpha = P_\infty(p_c)h \). This expression clearly shows that in this case the transition is discontinuous and the fraction of nodes in the giant component has a discontinuity of \( P_\infty(p_c) > 0 \) at the critical point. However this is not an ordinary first-order transition as \( dP_\infty/\Delta p \) diverges logarithmically as \( \ln(\Delta p) \).

The deterministic hyperbolic manifolds having \( q_{m'} = \delta_{m',m} \) deserves some special attention. In this case we have that \( \hat{A} \) is expressed by Eq. (59) that we rewrite

![FIG. 8: The discontinuity \( P_\infty(p_c) \) of the order parameter \( P_{\text{int}} \) at the critical point \( p = p_c \) is here numerically evaluated for deterministic hyperbolic manifolds with \( 3 \leq m \leq 20 \) evolved up to \( n = 1000 \) iterations. These numerical results agree well with the analytical expression in Eq. (95) up to a multiplicative constant \( C = 1.021 \ldots \).](image)

![FIG. 9: The critical scaling of \( P_\infty(p_c) \) for \( 0 < \Delta p = p - p_c \ll 1 \) is numerically evaluated for deterministic hyperbolic manifolds with \( m = 3 \) (panel a) and \( m = 4 \) (panel b). By investigating the finite-size effects by considering a number of iterations \( n = 500, 1000, 2000 \) we validate our analytical expression Eq. (92). Here the constant indicates \( c = 4 \ln 9 \) for both panels (a) and (b).](image)
here for convenience
\[ \hat{A} = \hat{A}_m = \frac{1}{6} \frac{m(m-2)}{\ln(m-1)} \]
and the size of the discontinuity \( P_\infty(p_c) \) in the size of
the giant component at the upper percolation threshold is given by
\[ P_\infty(p_c) \simeq \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{3} \frac{m}{\ln(m-1)} \right]. \tag{95} \]
Moreover the constants \( h \) and \( r \) take the simple form
\[ h = \frac{2}{3} \frac{m(m-1)}{(m-2)}, \tag{96} \]
\[ r = \left( \frac{m-2}{2(m-1)} \right)^2. \tag{97} \]

These predictions can be validated by exact numerical
integrations of the equations which provides the fraction
\( P_\infty \) of nodes in the giant component when the mani-
fold includes \( n \) iteration of its recursive construction. In
Figure 8 we show the numerical results obtained for the
discontinuity \( P_\infty(p_c) \) at the critical point \( p = p_c \) for
different values of \( m \) obtained after \( n = 1000 \) iterations and
we compare these results with the expression provided
in Eq. (95) obtained by neglecting the non-homogeneous
terms in Eq. (41) and performing the continuous approxi-
mation for solving the RG flow equations. The analytical
expression differs from the exact numerical results only
by a multiplicative constant \( C = 1.021 \ldots \). In Figure 9
we validate Eq. (92) characterizing the scaling of the or-
der parameter \( P_\infty(p) \) for \( 0 < \Delta p = p - p_c \ll 1 \) in
the case of the deterministic manifolds with \( m = 3, 4 \). The
predicted scaling is confirmed and the expression of
the constant \( h \) given by Eq. (96) is a valid approximation,
however for \( m = 3 \) the value of the constant \( r \) deviates from
the predicted value given by Eq. (97).

3. Case in which \( \gamma = 4 \)

When the distribution \( q_m \) has a power-law asymptotic
scaling for \( m \gg 1 \) given by \( q_m \simeq Cm^{-\gamma} \) and \( \gamma = 4 \) we have shown in Sec. IVIC that \( \psi_n \) obeys the scaling
\[ \psi_n \simeq 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma (\Delta T_n)^2 \ln |\Delta T_n|. \tag{98} \]
Therefore using the continuous approximation expression
for \( P_\infty(p) \) given by Eq. (96) we obtain
\[ P_\infty(p) \simeq \exp \left\{ -\ln((m) - 1) \hat{A}_\gamma \int_0^\infty dn[x(n)]^2 \ln[x(n)] \right\}. \]
By inserting the expression of \( x(n) \) given by Eq. (88) in
the integral appearing in this exponent we obtain
\[ \int_0^\infty dn[x(n)]^2 \ln[x(n)] = \]
\[ = \hat{B} \Delta p \int_0^\infty dw \frac{1}{w} (w-1)^{-2} \ln[\hat{B} \Delta p (w-1)^{-1}] \]
\[ = \left[ (1 - p) \ln \left( \frac{1 - p}{e} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{B} \Delta p \left( \ln \hat{B} \Delta p \right)^2 \right] \tag{99} \]
Therefore for \( 0 < \Delta p \ll 1 \) we obtain the critical behavior
\[ P_\infty(p) \simeq P_\infty(p_c) + \alpha_\gamma (\Delta p)^{\gamma - 3} \tag{100} \]
where \( P_\infty(p_c) \) and \( \alpha_\gamma \) are given by
\[ P_\infty(p_c) = \left[ (\langle m \rangle - 1) \frac{1}{e} \right]^{-(1-p)\hat{A}_\gamma}, \]
\[ \alpha_\gamma = -\ln(\langle m \rangle - 1) =}P_\infty(p_c)\hat{A}_\gamma \hat{B}. \tag{101} \]

Therefore in this case \( dP_\infty / dp \) diverges as \((\Delta p)^2\).

4. Case in which \( \gamma \in (3, 4) \)

When the distribution \( q_m \) has power-law asymptotic
scaling \( q_m \simeq Cm^{-\gamma} \) for \( m \gg 1 \) and \( \gamma \in (3, 4) \) we have shown in Sec. IVIC that \( \psi_n \) scales like
\[ \psi_n = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma |\Delta T_n|^{\gamma - 2} = 1 - \hat{A}_\gamma [x(n)]^{\gamma - 2} \tag{102} \]
Therefore the fraction \( P_\infty(p) \) of nodes in the giant
component can be evaluated in the continuous approxima-
tion as
\[ P_\infty(p) \simeq \exp \left\{ -\ln(\langle m \rangle - 1) \hat{A}_\gamma \int_0^\infty dn[x(n)]^{\gamma - 2} \right\}. \]
The integral in the exponent of this expression can be
performed by using the explicit expression of \( x(n) \) given
by Eq. (88) obtaining
\[ \int_0^\infty dn[x(n)]^{\gamma - 2} = \]
\[ = \int_0^\infty dn[\hat{B} \Delta p)^{\gamma - 3} \left[ 1 + \frac{B \Delta p}{1 - p} \right] e^{\hat{B} \Delta p (w-1)^{-1}} \]  \tag{98} \]
\[ = \frac{(\hat{B} \Delta p)^{\gamma - 3}}{\hat{C}} \int_0^\infty dw \frac{1}{w} (w-1)^{-(\gamma - 2)} \]
\[ \simeq \frac{(1 - p)^{\gamma - 3}}{\hat{C}(\gamma - 3)} - D_\gamma (\Delta p)^{\gamma - 3}, \tag{103} \]
where \( D_\gamma \) is a constant. Therefore for \( 0 < \Delta p \ll 1 \) we
obtain the critical behavior
\[ P_\infty(p) \simeq P_\infty(p_c) + \alpha_\gamma (\Delta p)^{\gamma - 3} \tag{104} \]
characteristic of hybrid phase transitions where \( P_\infty(p_c) \) and \( \alpha_\gamma \) are given by

\[
P_\infty(p_c) = \exp \left[ -\ln((m) - 1) \hat{A}, \frac{(1-p)^{\gamma-3}}{C(\gamma - 3)} \right],
\]

\[
\alpha_\gamma = P_\infty(p_c) \ln((m) - 1) \hat{A}, D_\gamma
\]

(105)

Therefore in this case a discontinuous phase transition is expected. However \( dP_\infty/dp \) diverges as \((\Delta p)^{\gamma-4}\).

C. Convergent \((m)\), divergent \(\langle m^2 \rangle\)

1. Case in which \(\gamma = 3\)

Here we consider random hyperbolic manifolds with a \(q_m\) distribution having an asymptotic power-law scaling \(q_m \simeq C m^{-\gamma} \) for \(m \gg 1\) with \(\gamma = 3\). In this case, by expanding the RG Eq. (7) close to the upper percolation threshold for small \(\Delta T_n\) and \(\Delta p\) we obtain

\[
\Delta T_{n+1} - \Delta T_n = \Delta T_n [-(m-1) \Delta p + d_\gamma(\Delta T_n) \ln |\Delta T_n|],
\]

where we have truncated the expansion by taking only the leading terms in \(\Delta p\) and \(\Delta T_n\). In the continuous approximation we get the differential equation

\[
\frac{dx}{dn} = -\tilde{C} x [x \ln x + \tilde{B} \Delta p]
\]

(106)

with initial condition \(x(0) = 1 - p\). This differential equation does not have an explicit analytical solution. In order to find an approximate solution we consider two different ranges of values of \(n\). The first range of values of \(n\) is \(n < n^*\) where \(n^*\) satisfies \(x(n^*) \ln x(n^*) = B \Delta p\). In this regime we observe \(x(n) \ln x(n) > B \Delta p\). The second range is \(n > n^*\) where we observe \(x(n) \ln x(n) < B \Delta p\). Therefore we can integrate Eq. (106) from \(x(0)\) to \(x(n^*)\) and consider the first term of the expansion for \(x(n) \ln x(n)/(B \Delta p)\ll 1\). Subsequently we can integrate Eq. (106) from \(x(n^*)\) to a generic \(x(n) < x(n^*)\) and consider only the first term of the expansion for \(B \Delta p/[x(n) \ln x(n)]\ll 1\). We note that \(n^*\) is determined by

\[
x(n^*) = \frac{B \Delta p}{\ln[B \Delta p]}.
\]

(107)

For \(n < n^*\) we obtain that the leading term of the solution of Eq. (106) reads

\[
\text{Ei} (\ln(x(n))) - \text{Ei} (\ln(1 - p)) = -\tilde{C} n,
\]

(108)

where \(\text{Ei}(z)\) indicates the exponential integral function. We note that as expected this solution obtained by considering the leading term in \(x(n) \ln(x)/(B \Delta p)\) is independent of \(\Delta p\) as expected. For \(n > n^*\) we obtain instead

\[
x(n) = x(n^*) e^{-\tilde{B} \Delta p(n-n^*)}.
\]

(109)

As we have shown in Sec. [VLC] the asymptotic scaling of \(\psi_n\) for \(\gamma = 3\) is given by

\[
\psi_n \simeq 1 - \tilde{A}_\gamma [x(n)]^{\gamma-2},
\]

(110)

Therefore, by inserting this scaling in Eq. (70) we get

\[
P_\infty \simeq \exp \left\{ -\ln((m) - 1) \hat{A}, \int_0^\infty dn x(n) \ln x(n) \right\}
\]

\[
\exp \left\{ \phi - \ln((m) - 1) \hat{A}, \int_n^\infty dn x(n) \ln x(n) \right\}
\]

(111)

where in the second expression the constant \(\phi\) is given by

\[
\phi = \int_0^{n^*} dn x(n) \ln x(n).
\]

(112)

By using Eq. (113) to express \(x(n)\) for \(n > n^*\) in the equation for \(P_\infty(p)\) we can determine the critical scaling of \(P_\infty(p)\) close to the upper percolation threshold, given by

\[
P_\infty(p) \simeq \alpha_\gamma e^{-\delta/\Delta p}
\]

where \(\delta = x(n^*)/(\hat{B} \tilde{C})\) and \(\alpha_\gamma = e^{\phi}\). Therefore in this case the transition is continuous and displays a critical behavior with an effective ‘infinite dynamical exponent’ which has been observed also for percolation in random scale-free networks [25].

2. Case \(\gamma \in (2,3)\)

Here we consider random hyperbolic manifolds with a \(q_m\) distribution having an asymptotic power-law scaling \(q_m \simeq C m^{-\gamma} \) for \(m \gg 1\) with \(\gamma \in (2,3)\). In this case, proceeding as in the previous cases and expanding the RG Eq. (7) close to the upper percolation threshold for small \(\Delta T_n\) and \(\Delta p\) we obtain

\[
\Delta T_{n+1} - \Delta T_n = \Delta T_n [-(m-1) \Delta p + d_\gamma(\Delta T_n)^{\gamma-2}].
\]

In the continuous approximation we get the differential equation

\[
\frac{dx}{dn} = -d_\gamma x [x^{\gamma-2} + \tilde{B} \Delta p],
\]

(113)

with initial condition \(x(0) = 1 - p\) and with \(\tilde{B}\) given by

\[
\tilde{B} = \frac{(m) - 1}{d_\gamma}.
\]

(114)

Equation (113) has solution

\[
x(n) = \left[ \left( (1-p)^{2-\gamma} + \frac{1}{B \Delta p} \right) e^{d_\gamma \tilde{B} \Delta p(n - 1)} - \frac{1}{B \Delta p} \right]^{-1/(\gamma-2)}.
\]

Since, as we have shown in Sec. [VLC] for this range of \(\gamma\) values, \(\psi_n\) obeys the scaling

\[
\psi_n \simeq 1 - \tilde{A}_\gamma [x(n)]^{\gamma-2}.
\]

(115)
by using Eq. \cite{70} we can express the fraction $P_\infty$ of nodes in the giant component as

$$\ln P_\infty(p) = \exp\left\{-\hat{A}_{\gamma}\ln(\langle m \rangle - 1) \int_0^\infty dn[x(n)]^{-2}\right\}$$

Finally by inserting the expression for $x(n)$ given by Eq. \cite{115} we get for $0 < \Delta p \ll 1$

$$\int_0^\infty dn[x(n)]^{-2} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln[\hat{B}\Delta p(1-p)^{-2}] - 1}{d_{\gamma}[1 + \hat{B}\Delta p(1-p)^{-2}]} \simeq -\frac{1}{d_{\gamma}} \ln \Delta p + D_{\gamma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (116)

where $D_{\gamma}$ is a constant. Therefore the transition is continuous with the critical behavior

$$P_\infty(p) \simeq \alpha_{\gamma}(\Delta p)\hat{\beta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (117)

with $\alpha_{\gamma} = \exp[-\hat{A}_{\gamma}\ln(\langle m \rangle - 1)D_{\gamma}]$ and the dynamical exponent $\hat{\beta}$ given by

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\hat{A}_{\gamma}\ln(\langle m \rangle - 1)}{d_{\gamma}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (118)

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have presented a comprehensive renormalization group study of link percolation in two-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds. The considered manifolds are the skeletons of two-dimensional cell complexes and are iteratively constructed by gluing polygons to links. In particular we have considered the deterministic hyperbolic manifolds formed by identical polygons of size $m$ and random hyperbolic manifolds constructed by gluing polygons of size $m$ drawn from a distribution $q_m$. Link percolation on deterministic hyperbolic manifolds with $m = 3$ (Farey graphs) has been previously shown \cite{1} to display a discontinuous phase transition at the upper percolation threshold. Here we extend these results by predicting that for any fixed value of $m$ the transition remains discontinuous and we analytically estimate the discontinuity, finding very good agreement with exact numerical results. For deterministic hyperbolic manifolds, the critical behavior deviates from the one expected for a first-order phase transition as the derivative of the order parameter diverges logarithmically close to the upper percolation threshold $p_c$. The study of random hyperbolic manifolds with power-law distribution $q_m$ shows a rich set of different universality classes depending on the value of $\gamma$. In particular for $\gamma \in (3,4)$ we predict a hybrid phase transition while for $\gamma \in (2,3]$ the transition is predicted to be continuous. Therefore this work reveals that in hyperbolic manifolds a power-law $q_m$ distribution can have profound effect on the universality class of the link percolation transition at the upper percolation threshold. In this work this phenomena is compared with the well-known effect that power-law degree distributions have on the percolation properties of random networks. This comparison allows us to propose a mathematical mapping between the equation for the percolation probability and the equation determining the probability that by following a link we reach a node in the giant component of a random network. However the equations determining the order parameter in the hyperbolic manifold do not appear to have an equivalent counterpart in the percolation of random networks.

We hope that this work will stimulate further research in the interplay between network geometry and dynamics and in particular in the properties of percolation in hyperbolic and non-amenable networks.