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Abstract

The ubiquity of nonlinear oscillatory motion is perhaps best demonstrated with a pendulum which is harmonic only at small angles. Just as the harmonic oscillator provides a natural description of bosons in a quantum field, the Stuart-Landau oscillator provides a universal description of nonlinear oscillators close to a Hopf bifurcation. In the case of coupled nonlinear oscillators, time-delayed interactions increase the complexity of many physical systems ranging from coupled lasers, epidemiology, predator-prey, and road traffic systems to neuronal networks. Here, we explore the phase-space of ballistically coupled polariton condensates and demonstrate that the dynamics of the system are driven by the Lang-Kobayashi equation, and in the limit of fast relaxation of the exciton-reservoir feeding the condensates they can be described by time-delayed coupled Stuart-Landau oscillators. The controllability, complexity and similarity of ballistically coupled polariton condensates to many physical interacting systems establishes a strong motivation to apply polaritonics towards continuous recurrent artificial neural networks and is the first step forward in realizing integrated time delayed polariton networks for high speed optical neuromorphic computation.
Complexity in nature is as widespread as it is diverse, and with the turn of the age there has been a rapid rise in strategies designed to tackle complex problems deemed too cumbersome for conventional Turing-based computers. Such problems range from the NP-hard traveling salesman problem, simulating biological processes involving many-body physics, and pattern recognition tasks. Although highly efficient in parallel form, computers in the Von-Neumann architecture must iteratively store and access memory to complete their computational tasks. This limiting feature is known as the Von-Neumann Bottleneck. A brute force strategy to overcome this problem manifests itself in Moore’s law, where the number of transistors in a processing unit was doubling every two years but now faces saturation due to transistor size pushing the limits of lithographic techniques and approaching quantum effects. A well-known and currently very popular strategy to perform complex computation comes from the field of neural networks [1], where difficult problems are heuristically solved based on machine learning techniques inspired by neuronal behavior rather than employing a fixed set of explicit programming rules. One type of neural architecture is recurrent neural networks that are particularly suited for time varying inputs and have become efficient in solving complex tasks through rapid advancements in learning techniques [2, 3]. Today, implementations of recurrent neural networks based on reservoir computing, also known as echo state networks or liquid state machines, are found in both nonlinear optoelectronics [4, 5] and photonics [6–10]. Reservoir computing relies on a dynamical system (the reservoir) to process input data, while training is performed only at the readout stage, offering a promising strategy that brings in complex equations of motion from physics, such as condensed matter systems and photonics, into the field of neural networks. The ultimate reward is the realization of low-power, ultrafast, and efficient optoelectronic neuromorphic devices.

A promising light-matter candidate are microcavity exciton-polaritons (from here on polaritons) [11] which retain much of the good properties needed to design efficient optically based complex systems. This includes extremely high particle velocities, ultrafast response times, and high nonlinearities. Polaritons are bosonic quasi-particles that can undergo a power-driven quantum phase transition to a macroscopically occupied state with long-range phase coherence [12]. This phase transition is associated with the formation of a polariton condensate, a nonlinear matter-wave fluid of light, which differs from a classical Bose-Einstein condensate due to its non-equilibrium nature. One of the greatest advantages of polariton condensates for optoelectronic applications [13] is the easy implementation of
arbitrary geometries, or graphs, using adaptive optical elements for the excitation beam. Non-resonant pumping of either spatially confined or unconfined condensates were shown to offer a platform for engineering versatile systems of interacting polariton condensates, such as bosonic Josephson junctions [14, 15] and synchronized lattices [16–18]. However, at the current stage, only a handful of theoretical proposals have addressed the potential of polaritons in neuromorphic devices [19–21].

In this Letter, we propose and investigate a polariton-dyad as the building block of all higher complexity arrays in recurrent neural network architecture [3]. We investigate the coupling mechanism between spatially separated condensates and show that it is governed by the physics of time-delayed nonlinear oscillators, linking coupled polariton condensates to many scientific fields including coupled semiconductor lasers [22], chaos [23], traffic models [24], epidemiology models [25], and brain activity [26]. The dynamical complexity of our polariton condensates, described by the Lang-Kobayashi equation, paves the way towards neuromorphic hardware with increased performance [27, 28] and extremely fast computational/operational speeds given the optical character of the system. Indeed, dynamical systems with memory storage in a large state space operating at the edge of chaos, a common feature in time-delayed systems, are found to perform their specialized computational tasks better than traditional supercomputers, in terms of scaling, speed, or power consumption [29].

Unlike the case of trapped polariton condensates, where the tight binding approach becomes suitable [30], polariton condensation on top of the excitation spot leads to ballistic expansion of coherent polaritons over two orders of magnitude beyond the pump beam waist, due to the repulsive potential created by the presence of an uncondensed exciton reservoir. In such configuration, polariton propagation from one condensate centre to another results in a substantial phase accumulation, analogously interpreted as time-delay. To date, ballistically coupled polariton condensates were restricted to distances \( d \lesssim 30 \, \mu m \) [31, 32], wherein the experimental observations were interpreted assuming instantaneous coupling. To unravel the role of time-delay here, we report synchronization between two tightly-pumped condensates up to a macroscopic distance \( d \approx 114 \, \mu m \), as shown in Fig. 1(a), enabling us to demonstrate that the previously unreported time-delayed mechanism plays a crucial role in the dynamics of the system.

We utilise a strain compensated semiconductor microcavity to enable uninhibited ballistic
Figure 1. Emission characteristics of two non-resonantly pumped polariton condensates. (a) Schematic showing the cavity plane with two focusing laser beams (red cones) which are spatially displaced by a distance $d \approx 114 \ \mu m$ and the experimentally observed PL of two synchronized, ballistically expanding and interfering condensate centres. Finite particle transfer time $\tau$ results in time-delayed interaction between condensates $\Psi_1$ and $\Psi_2$. Measured (b,c) real-space PL $|\Psi(x,y)|^2$, (d,e) momentum-space PL $|\Psi(k_x,k_y)|^2$ and (f,g) spectrally-resolved momentum-space PL $F(E,k_x,k_y = 0)$ for two condensates with separation distances (b,d,f) $d = 12.7 \ \mu m$ and (c,e,g) $d = 37.3 \ \mu m$, respectively. For better visibility of low-intensity features all images are illustrated in logarithmic grey-scale saturated below 0.001 as shown in (g). Scale bars in (b,d,f) amount for (10 \ \mu m, 1 \ \mu m^{-1}, 1 \ \mu m^{-1})$. 
expansion of polaritons over macroscopic distances [33]. We inject a polariton dyad with identical Gaussian spatial profiles, with full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) of $\approx 2 \, \mu m$, using non-resonant optical excitation at the first Bragg minima of the reflectivity stop-band, and employ spatial light modulation to continuously vary the separation distance from $6 \, \mu m$ to $94 \, \mu m$, while keeping constant the excitation density at each condensate centre at $P_{1,2} \approx 1.5 \times P_{\text{thr}}^{(1)}$, where $P_{\text{thr}}^{(1)}$ is the condensation threshold power of a single condensate. For each separation distance $d$ (more than 400 positions), we record simultaneously the spatial profile of the photoluminescence (real-space), the dispersion (energy vs in-plane momentum in the direction of propagation), and the photoluminescence in reciprocal (Fourier) space (see Supplemental Animation). We observe that the macroscopically coupled system is characterized by a multitude of accessible modes of even and odd parity (i.e., $0$ and $\pi$ phase difference between condensate centers) that alternate continuously between opposite parity states with increasing dyad separation distance. For a range of separation distances only one resonant mode is present in the gain region of the dyad, wherein the polariton dyad is stationary, occupying a single energy level. Cycling between the separation distances, where only one mode is present, we observe the coexistence of two resonant modes of opposite parity occupying two discernibly different energy levels.

The experimental results are corroborated by numerical simulation of the two-dimensional (2D) generalized Gross-Pitaevskii equation coupled to an exciton reservoir that feeds non-condensed particles to the condensate [34]. In Section S1 in the Supplemental Information (SI) we give numerical results of the spatiotemporal dynamics of the dyad reproducing semi-quantitatively the experimental observations. The numerical simulations indicate that for the range of separation distances wherein two modes of opposite parity are present, these modes co-exist resulting in sustained oscillations in the density of the two condensate centers as opposed to stochastic occurrence of either of the two. The latter interpretation would still show as two modes in our time integrated measurements where each image consists of $\approx 500$ condensation realizations. In Fig. 1(b-g) we present the two different regimes. At a separation distance of $d = 12.7 \, \mu m$ the dyad exhibits two coexisting modes of opposite parity [Fig. 1(b,d,f)], whilst at a separation distance of $d = 37.3 \, \mu m$ the dyad exhibits predominantly one mode [Fig. 1(c,e,g)]. Figures 1(b,c) show real-space photoluminescence, Fig. 1(d,e) show Fourier-space photoluminescence, and Fig. 1(f,g) show the polariton dispersion along the axis of the dyad, where absolute values of the energy levels are given as
a blueshift with respect to the ground-state of the lower polariton branch. Although from Fig. 1(b) one could infer that only one mode of even parity is present, it is through the simultaneous recording of either the Fourier-space or the dispersion that the coexistence of an odd parity mode becomes apparent. Each of the two modes has a well defined but opposite parity to the other. We note that as long as we maintain the mirror symmetry of the system by pumping both of the two condensate centres with the same power \( P_1 = P_2 \), we do not observe formation of non-trivial phase configurations \( \phi_1 - \phi_2 \neq 0, \pi \).

To unravel the dynamics of the coupling on the separation distance between the two condensates, we obtain the spectral position, parity, and spectral weight of both energy-levels for pump spot separation distances from \( d = 5 \) \( \mu m \) to \( d = 66 \) \( \mu m \). We have recorded configurations with more than two occupied energy levels for several distances \( d \), but with the relative spectral weight of the third peak less than a few percent. In the following, we focus our analysis to the two brightest energy levels for each configuration. The measured normalized spectral weights and spectral positions of the two states versus pump spot separation distance \( d \) are illustrated in Fig. 2 using black dots for even parity states and red hollow squares for odd parity states, respectively. The system follows an oscillatory behavior in the spectral weights of the two parity states (top graph) giving rise to continuous transitions between even and odd parity states interleaved by configurations exhibiting both parity states. Interestingly, each period of these oscillations in relative intensity (starting and ending with a vanishing spectral weight) displays an ‘energy branch’ (bottom graph) featuring a notable reduction in energy with increasing pump spot separation distance \( d \). The energy of an isolated condensate is measured in the same sample space and its value \( \approx 2.22 \) meV, above the ground state energy of the lower polariton dispersion, is illustrated with a black dashed horizontal line in Fig. 2. We observe that the phase-coupling of two spatially separated condensate centres is dominated by a spectral red-shift with respect to the energy of an isolated condensate. The spectral size of each ‘energy branch’, i.e., the measurable red-shift, is decaying branch-to-branch with increasing pump spot separation distance \( d \). We point out that the observation of phase-flip transitions, accompanied with changes in oscillation frequency to another mode are well-known and a universal characteristic of time-delay coupled nonlinear systems [35, 36]. Such dynamics can be associated with neuronal systems [37, 38], and coupled semiconductor lasers [22, 39], but have also been demonstrated experimentally for other types of time-delay coupled systems such as non-linear electronic circuits [36, 40],
Figure 2. Spectral weight and measured blueshift of even (black dots) and odd (red hollow squares) parity states formed by the coupling of two spatially separated polariton condensates with distance \(d\). The energy level of a single condensate pumped with the same non-resonant excitation power, i.e. 1.5 times the condensation threshold of a single condensate, is illustrated with a horizontal black dashed line. The two vertically dashed lines indicate the configurations shown in Fig. 1.

In the following we show that our experimental observations can be described as a system of time-delay coupled nonlinear oscillators. For simplicity we consider the 1D Schrödinger equation corresponding to the problem of \(s\)-wave scattering of the condensate wavefunctions with \(\delta\)-shaped complex-valued, pump induced, potentials. We start by characterizing the energies of the time-independent non-hermitian single particle problem:

\[
E\Psi(x) = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \partial_x^2}{2m} + V(x) - i \frac{\hbar \gamma_c}{2}\right) \Psi(x),
\]

(1)

where \(V(x)\) describes complex-valued \(\delta\)-shaped potentials separated by a distance \(d\), \(V(x) = V_0 (\delta(x + d/2) + \delta(x - d/2))\), and \(V_0\) lies in the first quadrant of the complex plane. The eigenfunctions of Eq. (1) describing normalizable solutions of outwards propagating waves
Figure 3. Calculated (a) imaginary part and (b) real part of the eigenvalues $E$ in Eq. (1) for $\Re(V_0) = 1 \text{ meV } \mu\text{m}$, $\Im(V_0) = 2 \text{meV } \mu\text{m}$. The two solutions with largest gain (imaginary part of $E$) are illustrated as lines, other solutions are shown as dotted branches.

from the potential (nonresonant pump) centers are written as,

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} 
Ae^{-ikx}, & x \leq -d/2 \\
Be^{ikx} + Ce^{-ikx}, & |x| < d/2 \\
De^{ikx}, & x \geq d/2 
\end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $k$ also belongs to the first quadrant of the complex plane. This problem is well known for the case $\Re(V_0) < 0$ and $\Im(V_0) = 0$ and is used to study coupling of discrete set of resonant states to an outside continuum such as the radiative decay of atomic states. The resonance condition of the system is written,

$$\left[ \frac{V_0}{\frac{\hbar^2 k}{m} - V_0} e^{ikd} \right]^2 = 1. \quad (3)$$

The solutions of (3) can explicitly be written as,

$$k_{n,\pm} = -i\bar{V} + \frac{i}{d} W_n(\mp d\bar{V} e^{i\bar{V}}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4)$$

with $\bar{V} = mV_0/\hbar^2$. Equation (4) describes infinitely many solutions of the system of even (+) and odd (−) parity, where $W_n$ are the branches of the Lambert $W$ function. The
corresponding complex-valued eigenvalues,
\[ E_{n,\pm} = \frac{\hbar^2 k_{n,\pm}^2}{2m} - \frac{i\hbar\gamma_c}{2}, \]  
are illustrated in Fig. 3 and qualitatively reproduce the experimental findings of the multiple energy branches shown in Fig. 2. We interpret the experimental occurrence of predominantly two lasing modes with the behaviour of the imaginary values of \( E_n \) for the simplistic 1D-toy model. While there are periodically alternating regions of even and odd-parity solutions having largest gain, we expect distances at which two modes (of opposite parity) have equal gain and thus can operate with equal intensity. It is worth noting that the Lambert \( W \) function naturally arises for problems involving time-delay differential equations [44].

The time-dependent problem can be formulated as a superposition of two displaced, normalizable, and ballistically propagating waves \( \psi_{1,2}(x) \), each emerging from one of the condensate centres,
\[ \Psi(x,t) = c_1(t)\psi_1(x) + c_2(t)\psi_2(x). \]  
Here, in analogy with Eq. (2), the normalized ansatz is written as \( \psi_{1,2}(x) = \sqrt{\kappa}e^{ik|x\pm d/2|} \), with a complex-valued wavevector \( k = k_c + i\kappa \). When the coupling between condensates is weak, i.e. small \( \xi = \exp(-\kappa d) \), one can omit all terms of order \( \mathcal{O}(\xi^2) \) and higher. Then plugging Eq. (6) into the time-dependent form of Eq. (1) and integrating out the spatial degrees of freedom, assuming that Eq. (3) is satisfied, one gets (see section S2 in the SI),
\[ i\hbar\dot{c}_i = \left[ \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - \frac{i\hbar\gamma_c}{2} + \kappa \left( V_0 - \frac{i\hbar^2 k}{m} \right) \right] c_i + V_0\kappa e^{ik_d}c_j. \]  
where \( j = 3 - i \) and \( i = 1, 2 \) are the condensate indices. When setting \( c_i = \pm c_j \), and solving for stationary states, the above equation recovers the exact resonant solutions dictated by Eq. (4). Equation (7) then shows that inter-condensate interaction is in the form of a coherent influx of particles from condensate \( j \) onto the condensate centre of condensate \( i \) (and vice versa), with a phase retardation of \( k_c d \). When \( c_i \) and \( c_j \) oscillate at a fixed frequency \( \omega \) we can transform the phase-shifting term \( \exp(i k_c d) \) into an effective time-delay,
\[ e^{ik_d}c_j(t) = e^{-\kappa d}c_j(t - \tau_d). \]  
The time-delay \( \tau_d \) corresponds to an interaction lag between condensate centres \( i \) and \( j \) caused by their spatial separation and is given by \( \tau_d = k_c d/\omega \). In the case of weak coupling, i.e. small changes in oscillation frequency \( \omega \) compared to the frequency \( \omega_0 \) of a single
unperturbed condensate, the time delay is approximately proportional to the dyad separation distance $d$, i.e. $\tau_d \approx k_{c,0} d / \omega_0$ where we also use the notation $k_0 = k_{c,0} + i \kappa_0$ for the wavevector of the single unperturbed condensate. The exponentially decaying term on the right hand side of Eq. (8) accounts for the one-dimensional spatial decay of particles propagating in between the two condensate centres, and $v = \omega_0 / k_{c,0}$ denotes the phase velocity of the polariton wavefunction. Introducing local nonlinear interactions, reservoir gain and blueshift one can write the full nonlinear equation of motion for the two coupled condensates as [34],

$$i \dot{c}_i = \left[ \Omega + \left( g + \frac{i R}{2} \right) n_{A,i} + \alpha |c_i|^2 \right] c_i + J e^{i \beta} c_j (t - d/v), \quad (9a)$$

$$\dot{n}_i = - (\Gamma_A + R |c_i|^2) n_i + P. \quad (9b)$$

Here, $n_i$ correspond to the pump induced exciton reservoirs providing blueshift and gain into their respective condensates, $g$ is the polariton-reservoir interaction strength, $R$ is the rate of stimulated scattering of polaritons into the condensate from the active reservoir, $\alpha$ is the interaction strength of two polaritons in the condensate, and $\Gamma_A$ is the radiative decay rate of the bottleneck reservoir excitons. The parameter $\Omega = \Omega_0 - i \Gamma$ captures the self energy of each condensate which will, in general, have a contribution from a background of optically inactive dark excitons generated at the pump spot, and $\Gamma$ denotes the effective linewidth of the polaritons expanding away from the pump spot. The complex valued coupling is written $J \exp (i \beta) = V_0 \kappa \exp (-\kappa d)$ for brevity. Equation (9) is then in the form of a discretized complex Gross-Pitaevskii equation but with time-delayed interaction between the bosonic particle ensembles; which greatly increases the dimensionality of phase-space and complexity of the coupled system. Our system then has strong similarity with the famous Lang-Kobayashi equation [45, 46] where in our case each condensate acts as a radiating antenna of symmetrically expanding waves. The two spatially separated antennas interfere and maximize their gain by adjusting both their common frequency and their relative phase difference $\phi = \arg (c_i^* c_j)$. Unlike trapped ground state bosonic systems, such as cold atoms, the non-trapped polariton matter-waves necessarily experience time-delayed coupling since $k_{c,0} d \gg 1$ similar to inter-cavity coupling of semiconductor lasers [22].

In order to accurately reproduce experimentally observed spectra using Eq. (9) we fit the distance dependence of the coupling amplitude $J(d)$ to the spatial envelope of a single condensate. It is known that in a 2D system the 0-order Hankel function of the first kind describes the cylindrically symmetric radial outflow of particles in the linear regime [47],
therefore we choose
\[ J(d) = J_0 |H^{(1)}_0(k_0d)|, \]  
where \( J_0 \) is a parameter describing the coupling strength (see section S3 in the SI). Numerical integration of Eq. (9) is computed for separation distances \( d > 10 \mu m \) using Gaussian white noise as initial conditions. In close analogy to the experimental findings the time-delay coupled model, Eq. (9), reproduces periodic parity-flip transitions, which are accompanied by discrete jumps in oscillation frequency (energy branch transition). Fig. 4(a) depicts the experimentally measured and normalized emission spectra of the polariton dyad versus pump spot separation distance \( d \) on a grey-scale colormap, for which the extracted two most dominant spectral peaks are depicted in Fig. 2. The red dots represent the numerically calculated spectral peak from Eq. (9) when in single mode operation, or the two most dominant spectral peaks when multiple spectral components exist; showing excellent agreement with experiment. As an example, we illustrate a continuous transition of the system from an anti-phase to in-phase state described by the time-delay coupled model in Fig. 4(b) and (c) showing the corresponding spectral decomposition and phase-space diagrams of the system for an increasing set of distances \( d \) from 20 \( \mu m \) to 21 \( \mu m \). The numerically simulated phase-space diagrams depict periodic orbits in the transition region, involving periodic oscillations of the phase difference \( \phi = \arg(c_1^*c_2) \) and population imbalance \( z = (|c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2)/(|c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2) \), which is confirmed by 2D-simulations of the generalized Gross-Pitaevskii equation (see Section S1 in the SI).

We note that in the limit of fast active reservoir relaxation, \( \Gamma_A^{-1} \ll \Gamma^{-1} \), one can adiabatically eliminate Eq. (9b) and introduce an effective nonlinear term to Eq. (9a), \( (\alpha_{\text{eff}} - i\sigma)|c_i|^2 \), accounting for polariton-polariton and polariton-reservoir interactions, as well as condensate gain saturation. The dynamical equations of two coupled condensates are then described by time-delay coupled Stuart-Landau oscillators,
\[ i\dot{c}_i = \left( \Omega + (\alpha_{\text{eff}} - i\sigma)|c_i|^2 \right) c_i + J e^{i\beta} c_j(t - d/v). \]  
(11)
Numerical analysis of Eq. (11) is given in section S4 of the SI showing qualitative agreement with experiment.

In conclusion, we have given an extensive experimental and theoretical study of a system of two untrapped interacting polariton condensates, the fundamental building block in higher connectivity polariton condensate systems. Our results demonstrate good control...
Figure 4. Periodic parity-transitions described by time-delay coupled oscillators. (a) Comparison of experimentally measured spectra of two coupled polariton condensates coupled with separation distance $d$ and numerically simulated spectral peaks using Eqs. (9) and (10). Experimentally measured spectra are normalized for each distance $d$ and the greyscale colormap is saturated above 0.5 for better visibility. (b) and (c) illustrate the spectral decomposition and phase-diagram for the anti-phase to in-phase transition of the system by increasing the distance $d$ from 20 µm to 21 µm. Simulation parameters: $\hbar \Omega = (1.22 - i 0.5)$ meV, $\hbar \alpha = 0.1$ µeV, $\hbar R = 0.5$ µeV, $\hbar g = 0.5$ µeV, $v = 1.9$ µm ps$^{-1}$, $P = 100$ ps$^{-1}$, $\Gamma_A = 0.05$ ps$^{-1}$, $\hbar J_0 = 1.1$ meV, $k_0 = (1.7 + i0.014)\mu$m$^{-1}$ and $\beta = -1$.

over the populations in the resonant modes of the coupled system and their energy separation. We have demonstrated theoretically that the polariton dyad can approximately be cast into the description associated with coupled laser systems where the coupling is time-delayed as opposed to conventional bosonic Josephson junctions which are described by evanescent coupling (barrier tunneling/hopping). Given the high nonlinearities of polaritons and the ease of optically imprinting multiple condensates on planar microcavities, the system is a new playground to study synchronization of time-delayed oscillator models which
appear in numerous scientific fields. Most importantly, the study offers a new platform to design neuromorphic devices based on lattices of non-trapped, strongly coupled, polariton condensates with a wide range of coupling strengths, fast optical operations (input), dynamics (processing), and readout. The polariton dyad also offers a new type of a tunable artificial macroscopic two level system due to the reservoir gain sustaining predominantly two distinct energy levels. Here, as a function of pump distance, bosonic populations can be continuously tuned to occupy a single level to a state of all bosons occupying two levels with subsequent nonstationary oscillatory transfer of particle occupation between the connected condensate centers. With the recent advancements in quantum polaritonics [48] the polariton dyad could then potentially offer a new versatile platform for polaritonic quantum operations [49, 50].

METHODS

The microcavity sample used is a strain compensated $2\lambda$ GaAs microcavity with three pairs of 6 nm InGaAs quantum wells embedded at the anti-nodes of the electric field [33]. The intracavity layer contains a wedge and the position on the sample is chosen such that the cavity photonic mode is red-shifted from the excitonic mode at zero inplane wavevector ($|k| = 0$) by $\approx -5.5$ meV. For all experiments the microcavity is held in a cold finger cryostat (temperature $T \approx 6$ K) and is optically pumped with a circularly polarized continuous wave monomode laser blue-detuned above the cavity stopband ($\lambda \approx 785$ nm). To prevent heating of the sample an acousto-optic modulator is used to generate square wave packets at a frequency of 10 kHz and duty cycle of 5%. A liquid crystal spatial light modulator (SLM) imprints a phase pattern such that when the beam is focused through the 0.4 numerical aperture microscope objective lens it excites the sample with the desired spatial geometry. The phase patterns are carefully designed so that when changing the pump separation distance $d$ of the two-spot excitation pattern, the diffraction efficiency of the SLM remains constant and both pump spots retain equal excitation power and width. The photoluminescence is collected in reflection geometry and spectrally resolved using an 1800 grooves/mm grating in a 750 mm spectrometer, which is equipped with a charge-coupled device (CCD). Real-space, Fourier-space and dispersion images are acquired using exposure times in the order of milliseconds.
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Supplemental Information

S1. NUMERICAL SPATIOTEMPORAL SIMULATIONS

The dynamics of polariton condensates can be modelled via the mean field theory approach where the condensate order parameter $\Psi(r, t)$ is described by a 2D semiclassical wave equation often referred as the generalized Gross-Pitaevskii equation coupled with an excitonic reservoir which feeds non-condensed particles to the condensate [34]. The reservoir is divided into two parts: An active reservoir $n_A(r, t)$ belonging to excitons which experience bosonic stimulated scattering into the condensate, and an inactive reservoir $n_I(r, t)$ which sustains the active reservoir [14, 51].

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar \nabla^2}{2m} + \frac{g}{2} (n_A + n_I) + \frac{\alpha}{2} |\Psi|^2 + \frac{i}{2} (Rn_A - \gamma) \right] \Psi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S1a)

$$\frac{\partial n_A}{\partial t} = - (\Gamma_A + R|\Psi|^2) n_A + W n_I,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S1b)

$$\frac{\partial n_I}{\partial t} = - (\Gamma_I + W) n_I + P(r).$$  \hspace{1cm} (S1c)

Here, $m$ is the effective mass of a polariton in the lower dispersion branch, $\alpha$ is the interaction strength of two polaritons in the condensate, $g$ is the polariton-reservoir interaction strength, $R$ is the rate of stimulated scattering of polaritons into the condensate from the active reservoir, $\gamma$ is the polariton decay rate, $\Gamma_{A,I}$ is the decay rate active and inactive reservoir excitons respectively, $W$ is the conversion rate between inactive and active reservoir excitons, and $P(r)$ is the nonresonant CW pump profile.

We perform numerical integration of Eq. (S1) in time using a linear multistep method in time and spectral methods in space. The polariton mass and lifetime are based on the sample properties: $m = 0.28$ meV $\mu$m$^2$ and $\gamma = 1/5.5$ ps$^{-1}$. We choose values of interaction strengths typical of InGaAs based systems: $\hbar \alpha = 3.3$ meV $\mu$m$^2$, $g = 20\alpha$. The choice of $g > \alpha$ stems from negatively detuned cavity where polaritons in the condensate are more photonic and thus interact weakly together than those directly with the incoherent reservoir. The nonradiative recombination rate of inactive reservoir excitons is much smaller than the condensate decay rate $\Gamma_I^{-1} = 500$ ps whereas the active reservoir is more ambiguous. It has been argued that the active reservoir (also referred as bottleneck polaritons) decay rate should be larger than the condensate decay rate due to fast thermalization to the exciton...
background [47] and partly because they can decay radiatively. The choice of this value often depends on the type of experiment and ranges over several orders of magnitude [14, 51]. In the current study we choose an intermediate value of $\Gamma_1^{-1} = 20$ ps and find it produces results in good agreement with experiment. It should be noted that the results are not highly sensitive to the exact value of $\Gamma_1$. The final two parameters are then found by fitting experimental results of a single condensate, i.e., the onset of a sharp ring in $k$-space around $k_c \approx 1.7 \mu m^{-1}$ and a blueshift of $\sim 500$ µeV when raising the pump power from threshold to twice threshold power. The above two measured features of a single condensate are reproduced using the values $\hbar R = 125$ µeV µm$^{-2}$, and $W = 0.1$ ps$^{-1}$. The pump is written $P(r) = P_0 e^{-r^2/2w^2}$ where $P_0$ denotes the pump power and $w$ corresponds to a 2 µm FWHM.

A single numerical scan (i.e., no averaging over many stochastic initial conditions) of the dyad distance dependence is shown in Fig. S1(a-b) and reproduces semi-quantitatively the results of Fig. 2 in the main text. It is worth noting that the current model overestimates the stability of the single energy state in the dyad seen from the plateaus appearing at 0 and 1 in the calculated relative visibility. This can possible stem from the lack of energy relaxation mechanisms, parameter dependence on the polariton Hopfield fraction, and/or overestimation of the active reservoir depletion which can be artificially tuned [14, 52]. Nevertheless, regimes of single-mode and dual-mode operation are clearly visible in simulations. All simulations are performed with some natural weak disorder present in the system to account for realistic nonideal cavity conditions.

In Fig. S1(c-f) we show the condensate wavefunction calculated at $d = 13.65$ µm. Real space dynamics are characterized by periodic beatings in the spatial intensity of the polariton dyad and the spectrally resolved momentum space image of the wavefunction (g) shows occupancy of two dominant energy levels. In Fig. S1(h) we plot the normalized density imbalance $z = (\rho_1 - \rho_2)/(\rho_1 + \rho_2)$ against the condensate relative phase. Here $\rho_{1,2} = |\Psi(r - r_{1,2})|^2$ and $r_{1,2}$ are the location coordinates of the left and the right pump respectively and $\phi_{1,2} = \arg[\Psi(r - r_{1,2})]$. The results show a closed trajectory corresponding to persistent oscillations in both phase and particle density with a period $T \approx 12$ ps.
Figure S1. (a-b) Calculated relative visibility and spectral position of even (red) and odd (blue) parity states formed by the coupling of two spatially separated polariton condensates. The measured energy level of a single condensate pumped with the same non-resonant excitation power is illustrated with a black horizontal dashed line. The vertically dashed line is located at the same position as in Fig. 2 in the main text at \(d = 12.7 \, \mu\)m. (c-f) Spatial density of the synchronized dyad \(|\Psi|^2\) calculated at \(P_0 = 1.1P_{thr}\). (g) Spectrally resolved momentum space image of the dyad wavefunction density. Colorscales are in a logarithmic. (h) Trajectory of the condensates density imbalance \(z\) and relative phase \(\phi_1 - \phi_2\) forming a closed loop indicating persistent oscillations with a period \(T \approx 12\) ps.
S2. DERIVATION OF TIME DELAY EQUATION

Plugging Eq. (6) [main text] into the time-dependent form of Eq. (1) [main text],

\[ i\hbar \frac{d\Psi(x)}{dt} = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2 \partial_x^2}{2m} + V(x) - i\frac{\hbar\gamma_c}{2} \right) \Psi(x), \]  

(S2)

and integrating out the spatial degrees of freedom gives the following algebraic differential equation,

\[ i\hbar \dot{c}_i + i\hbar \mathcal{N}_{12} \dot{c}_j = F(c_i, c_j), \]  

(S3)

where \( j = 3 - i \) \( (i = 1, 2) \). The overlap between the two wavefunctions is written,

\[ \mathcal{N}_{12} = e^{-\kappa d} \left( \cos(k_c d) + \frac{\kappa}{k_c} \sin(k_c d) \right) \simeq e^{-\kappa d} \cos(k_c d), \]  

(S4)

where \( \kappa/k_c \ll 1 \) since the chemical potential of the condensate is much larger than its linewidth. The RHS of Eq. (S3) is written,

\[ F(c_i, c_j) = \left( \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - i\frac{\hbar\gamma_c}{2} \right) (c_i + \mathcal{N}_{12} c_j) + \left( \frac{V_0}{m} - i\frac{\hbar^2 k}{m} \right) c_i + V_0 e^{ikd} c_j \kappa e^{-ik^* d}. \]  

(S5)

We can decouple the above algebraic differential equation by taking into account that the mass matrix,

\[ \hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{N}_{12} \\ \mathcal{N}_{12} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \]  

(S6)

has a well defined inverse since \( \mathcal{N}_{12} < 1 \) for \( d > 0 \). This allows us to write,

\[ i\hbar \begin{pmatrix} \dot{c}_1 \\ \dot{c}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \hat{M}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} F(c_1, c_2) \\ F(c_2, c_1) \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(S7)

Assuming that that the resonance condition is satisfied [Eq. (3) in main text],

\[ \left[ \frac{V_0}{\frac{\hbar^2 k}{m}} - V_0 e^{ikd} \right]^2 = 1, \]  

(S8)

and that coupling between condensates is weak, i.e., small \( \xi = \exp(-\kappa d) \), one can omit all terms of order \( \mathcal{O}(\xi^2) \) and higher. This then gives,

\[ i\hbar \dot{c}_i = \left( \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - i\frac{\hbar\gamma_c}{2} + \kappa \left( \frac{V_0}{m} - i\frac{\hbar^2 k}{m} \right) \right) c_i + V_0 \kappa e^{ikd} c_j. \]  

(S9)
Figure S2. Spatial emission characteristics of a single polariton condensate pumped at 1.5 times the condensation threshold. (a) Radially-resolved photoluminescence in momentum-space visualizes a radial outflow from the condensate centre with well-defined wavevector $k_c = 1.7 \, \mu \text{m}^{-1}$. (b) Measured radial expansion in real-space shows good agreement with a 0-order Hankel-function $H_0^{(1)}(kr)$ for distances $r \geq 5 \, \mu \text{m}$ from the condensate centre.

It is worth noting that without the resonance condition [Eq. (3)], instead of arriving at Eq. (S9) one would get,

$$i\hbar\dot{c}_i = \left[ \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - i\frac{\hbar \gamma_c}{2} + \kappa \left( V_0 - i\frac{\hbar^2 k}{m} \right) \right] c_i + \left( V_0 \cos (k_c d) - \frac{\hbar^2 k}{m} \sin (k_c d) \right) e^{-\kappa d} \kappa c_j. \quad (S10)$$

Where the inter-condensate coupling term in Eq. (S10) is similar to previously established results [17, 32], but with one critical addition, the complex wavevector $k$ is innately related to the potential $V_0$.

S3. SINGLE CONDENSATE

We characterize the luminescence of a single condensate pumped in the same sample area and with the same pump power, i.e. 1.5 times the condensation threshold of a single condensate, as the two condensates forming the polariton dyad presented in Fig. 1(b-g) and Fig. 2 in the main text. The measured and radially-resolved intensity of far-field and near-field emission are illustrated in Fig. S2(a) and (b), respectively. From (a) we can identify a well-defined outflow-wavevector $k_c = 1.7 \, \mu \text{m}^{-1}$. Further, by fitting the radial intensity in (b) for $r > 5 \, \mu \text{m}$ with the squared magnitude of the 0-order Hankel function of the first kind $|H_0^{(1)}(kr)|^2$ we obtain the complex-valued wavevector $k = (1.7 + i0.014) \, \mu \text{m}^{-1}$. 
Figure S3. Comparison of experimentally measured spectra (greyscale image) of two coupled polariton condensates coupled with separation distance $d$ and numerically calculated fixed-point solutions using a system of two time-delay coupled Stuart-Landau oscillators (red dots) [see Eq. (11) in main text]. Parameters: $\hbar \Omega = 2.22$ meV, $\hbar \alpha = -0.0229$ meV, $\hbar \sigma = 0.0115$ meV, $v = 1.9 \mu$m ps$^{-1}$, $\hbar J_0 = 1.1$ meV, $k_0 = (1.7 + i0.014)\mu$m$^{-1}$ and $\beta = -1$.

S4. TIME DELAYED STUART-LANDAU OSCILLATORS

Fig. S3 illustrates the numerically calculated brightest fixed point solutions (red dots) of the time-delay coupled Stuart-Landau oscillators [Eq. (11) in main text] versus condensate separation distance $d$ matching the experimentally measured and normalized spectra, illustrated in greyscale. The observed red-shift of the coupled condensate system with respect to the isolated condensate energy level at 2.22 meV (horizontal dashed line) is the result of an effectively attractive nonlinearity, i.e. $\alpha_{\text{eff}} < 0$ [53, 54]. Its physical origin is the reduction in reservoir population at each condensate centre due to the inter-condensate stimulated scattering leading to an effectively reduced exciton-polariton interaction energy.


