Single photons emitted by nano-crystals optically trapped in a deep parabolic mirror
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We investigate the emission of single photons from CdSe/CdS dot-in-rods which are optically trapped in the focus of a deep parabolic mirror. Thanks to this mirror, we are able to image almost the full 4π emission pattern of nanometer-sized elementary dipoles and verify the alignment of the rods within the optical trap. From the motional dynamics of the emitters in the trap we infer that the single-photon emission occurs from clusters comprising several emitters. We demonstrate the optical trapping of rod-shaped quantum emitters in a configuration suitable for efficiently coupling an ensemble of linear dipoles with the electromagnetic field in free space.

Introduction

Nano-scale light sources are used in many areas of research and applications. Examples range from the use of fluorescent nano-beads in microscopy to the role of various nanometer-sized solid-state systems as sources of single photons in future quantum technology applications. One approach to efficiently collect the emitted photons is to place the source at the focus of a parabolic mirror (PM) spanning a huge fraction of the full solid angle. Such a mirror has been used to study a laser cooled atomic ion held in a radio-frequency trap in vacuum [1]. More recently, the optical trapping of CdSe/CdS dot-in-rod particles in a deep PM in air has been demonstrated [2], and lately also the fabrication of a microscopic diamond PM around a nitrogen vacancy centre [3].

CdSe/CdS dot-in-rod (DR) particles have the remarkable properties of being single-photon emitters at room temperature as well as emitting light with a high degree of linear polarization [4]. The latter property stems from the fact that their emission is dominated by a linear-dipole transition [5]. In order to maximize the collection efficiency when using a PM, the axis of the linear dipole has to be aligned with the mirror’s optical axis [6, 7]. The same requirement has to be fulfilled when reversing this scenario, i.e. when focusing a linear-dipole mode with the purpose of exciting a single quantum emitter with high efficiency [6]. Any tilt of the quantization axis off the PM’s axis will lead to a reduced field amplitude along the transition dipole moment of the target.

For CdSe/CdS DR particles, the dipole-moment of the excitonic transition is found to be aligned along the axis of the rod [5, 8, 9]. Hence, the rod has to be aligned along the optical axis of the PM for maximizing the collection efficiency. This should occur in a natural way in an optical trap that is built by focusing a radially polarized beam as in Ref. [2]: The electric field in the focus of the PM is purely longitudinal, i.e. parallel to the PM axis. Since the polarizability of a rod is largest along its symmetry axis [10], the DR should thus be aligned along the optical axis of the PM. However, when trapping the particle under ambient conditions, this alignment is counteracted by random collisions with air molecules which exert a random torque on the DR (see, e.g. Refs. [11, 12]). Thus, the torque induced by the trapping laser onto the rod has to be larger than this random torque in order to achieve a good alignment of the rods.

In this work, the orientation of the DRs with respect to the optical axis of the PM is checked by analyzing the spatially resolved pattern of the photons emitted by CdSe/CdS DR-particles. Since the rods’ alignment is governed by their geometry and independent of the small CdSe core, our results should be applicable for many types of rod-shaped quantum emitters.

Furthermore, we will show that clusters of DRs can act as a source of single photons. As a matter of fact, it will turn out that indeed all single-photon sources observed in our experiment are constituted by clusters of emitters. The emission of single photons from clusters of DRs demonstrated here is in good agreement with recent observations of the same phenomenon for CdSe/CdS core-shell dots [13].

Experimental procedure

The CdSe/CdS DR-particles investigated here consist of a spherical CdSe core with 2.7 nm diameter. The core is embedded in a CdS rod with a nominal length of 35 nm and diameter of 7 nm. Fluorescent photons are emitted at wavelengths about λDR = 605 nm. The DRs are trapped at ambient conditions in air at the focus of a deep parabolic mirror in an optical dipole-trap (see
Ref. 2 and supplementary for details). The electric field at wavelength $\lambda_{\text{trap}} = 1064 \text{ nm}$ in the focal region is polarized along the optical axis of the PM, which is here defined as the $z$-direction.

At the beginning of each experiment the power of the trapping laser is set to 360 mW and the pulsed excitation beam is switched off. Successful trapping of DR particles is witnessed by the onset of a fluorescence signal and from scattered trap light. The fluorescence is detected with a pair of avalanche photo-diodes (APDs). At this stage, fluorescence photons stem from two-photon excitation at $\lambda_{\text{trap}}$. To determine the intensity auto-correlation function $g^{(2)}(t = 0)$, the power of the trapping laser is reduced such that the count rate of photons on the APDs is hidden in the background noise. Then, more efficient pulsed excitation at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 405 \text{ nm}$ is switched on and the value of $g^{(2)}(t = 0)$ is measured.

In case $g^{(2)} < 0.5$ is observed, i.e. the signature of single-photon emission, the experiment is continued as follows: Images of the spatial intensity distributions of fluorescence photons as found in the output aperture of the PM (see example in Fig. 3) are acquired with an electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) camera at several trapping beam powers. For each power setting we have averaged over several images, with an exposure time of 2 s per image. Vertically and horizontally polarized components are recorded simultaneously on different parts of the camera chip.

Next, the trapping beam power is set again to 360 mW and the motional dynamics of the particles in the trap is analyzed. The light scattered and Doppler-shifted by the particle interferes with the trapping light 14. The corresponding interference signal is measured with a balanced detector (cf. Ref. 2). From the time series of this signal we compute the power-spectral density which contains several Lorentzian-shaped features, each of which corresponds to the motion of the particle in the trap along a certain direction. The width $\Gamma_i$ ($i = x, y, z$) of each of these peaks is proportional to the ratio $r_i/m$, where $r_i$ is the radius of the trapped object and $m$ its mass 15. Since the particles used here are non-spherical, we interpret $r_i$ as the radius of a disc that has the same area as the particle’s surface when viewed from the direction of motion (see sketch in Fig. 2). With $m$ being proportional to the volume of the trapped object, $\Gamma_i$ shrinks with increasing object size. Fitting a Lorentzian function to the power spectral density yields the axial damping rate $\Gamma_z$ of the particles’ motion 2. The motion in radial direction is damped such strong that a corresponding spectral feature cannot be discerned.

Finally, the trapping beam power is gradually decreased to determine the power $P_{\text{min}}$ at which the particle is lost from the trap. The loss of the trapped particles was monitored via the signal of the balanced detector.

Single-photon emission from clusters In our experiments, we observed $g^{(2)} < 0.5$ for 33 different loads of the trap, see Fig. 4. The observed values $0.15 \leq g^{(2)} \leq 0.44$ agree well with the ones observed for single DRs with comparable geometry in literature 16 17. Therefore, one could conclude that the trapped objects are indeed single DRs. But this conclusion is contradicted by the observation that all trapped particles which appear as single-photon emitters are lost at powers in the range $0.5 \text{ mW} < P_{\text{min}} < 22 \text{ mW}$. As derived in the supplementary, the power at which the trapping potential for a single DR equals $k_B T$ at ambient temperature is $P_{\text{min}} \approx 41 \text{ mW}$. Therefore, a single DR that thermalizes to room temperature should be lost at about this power value. The volume and hence the polarizability of a trapped cluster consisting of several rods scales with the number of rods. The magnitude of $P_{\text{min}}$ consequently reduces by the same factor. Thus, one can infer that the smallest cluster displayed in Fig. 4 must contain at least four DRs.

The trapping of clusters is furthermore confirmed by analyzing the damping rate of the particles’ motion inside the trap. Figure 2 shows the axial damping rate $\Gamma_z$ as a function of $P_{\text{min}}$. One observes a clear correlation of the two quantities with $\Gamma_z \propto \sqrt{P_{\text{min}}}$. Since $\Gamma_z$ increases with a decreasing size of the trapped object, we conclude that the variation in $P_{\text{min}}$ is due to the variation of the size and therefore the polarizability of the trapped object. Furthermore, all observed damping rates are smaller than the one estimated for a single DR ($\Gamma_{1\text{DR}}/2\pi \approx 2 \text{ MHz}$, see supplementary). Hence, the trapped emitters of different size must be clusters consisting of differing numbers of DRs.

Surprisingly, the trapping of DRs in clusters occurs despite the use of toluene as working solvent that should suppress the formation of clusters in the aerosol sprayed in the trapping region. Possibly, clusters might form inside the optical trap as soon as sev-
Figure 2. Damping rate of axial motion $\Gamma_z$ of trapped nano-particles against power $P_{\text{min}}$ at which the particles escaped the trap. Error bars denote the 95% confidence interval of the fit from which $\Gamma_z$ was obtained. The dashed line denotes the function $\Gamma_z \propto P_{\text{min}}^{0.48 \pm 0.03}$, with the power scaling obtained from a fit. The meaning of different symbols is the same as in Fig. 1. The inset is a pictorial view of a cluster of rods along the optical axis of the PM ($z$-axis). The yellow disc with radius $r_z$ denotes the effective area of the cluster relevant for damping the motion along the $z$-axis.

Figure 3. (a) Example of a spatial intensity pattern with rotational symmetry as observed in the aperture of the PM. The corresponding sample is the one with $P_{\text{min}} = 2.9\text{mW}$ and $g^{(2)} = 0.31$ in Fig. 1. From left to right the panels show the intensity when projected onto a vertical state of polarization, the projection onto a horizontal state of polarization, and the sum of the two, respectively. (b) Fraction of linear-dipole radiation in the fluorescence of small clusters of DRs as a function of trapping beam power. Values obtained in the same experimental run are connected by lines. The data points correspond to the ones depicted by circles in Figs. 1 and 2.

Analysis of the fluorescence pattern We now investigate the spatial intensity pattern of the photons emitted by the DRs. Since the polarizability of a rod is larger along its symmetry axis than perpendicular to it, one expects that a single rod or a small enough cluster of parallel rods is oriented along the longitudinal electric field in the focus of the PM. Under this assumption and with the dipole moment of the excitor aligned along the rod, the intensity distribution of the photons collimated by the PM has to be symmetric under rotation around the optical axis of the PM. In the majority of loads (26 out of 33) the measured distribution of the total intensity exhibits this symmetry.

While a rotationally symmetric intensity pattern could in principle also be observed for an isotropic emitter, more insight can be gained from the polarization resolved emission pattern. For a linear dipole aligned parallel to the axis of the PM, the collimated emission is radially polarized [6]. After projection onto a linear state of polarization, the intensity distribution of a radially polarized state must exhibit extinction lines perpendicular to the projected polarization. This property is illustrated in the example displayed in Fig. 3(a). The observed extinction is not perfect, which is compatible with the existence of circular-dipole components in the DR emission.

The clusters emitting photons with a rotationally symmetric spatial distribution can be analyzed further. We compute the azimuthal average of the total intensity distribution, resulting in a radial intensity profile [1]. Fitting a sum of the intensity distributions of linear and circular dipoles [21] to these profiles reveals the measured fraction of linear-dipole radiation. This measured fraction will be lower than the one predicted by the properties of the excitonic transitions of the emitters due to the residual thermal vibration of the emitters due to the residual thermal vibration.

The clusters emitting photons with a rotationally symmetric spatial distribution can be analyzed further. We compute the azimuthal average of the total intensity distribution, resulting in a radial intensity profile [1]. Fitting a sum of the intensity distributions of linear and circular dipoles [21] to these profiles reveals the measured fraction of linear-dipole radiation. This measured fraction will be lower than the one predicted by the properties of the excitonic transitions of the emitters due to the residual thermal vibration.
of the rods. The latter results in randomly fluctuating tilts of the rod off the PM’s axis. With tilts into all directions being equally likely, a linear dipole appears not as a pure linear dipole but is masked by a circular-dipole component in the long-time average. However, the thermal vibrations are counteracted by the longitudinal electric field of the trap. This field exerts a torque onto non-aligned rods and re-orient them along the PM axis. Therefore, a stronger suppression of random tilts should occur at larger field amplitudes, i.e. at larger trapping beam powers. One thus expects to observe a larger linear-dipole fraction at larger trapping beam powers.

Indeed, 16 samples exhibit such a behavior, as is evident from Fig. 3(b). This confirms the alignment of the rods along the PM axis on average. Besides one exception, all samples (marked with circles in Figs. 1 and 2) showing axial alignment were lost from the trap at \( P_{\text{min}} > 1.5 \text{ mW} \). These samples also constitute the group with the largest damping rates in Fig. 2. Therefore, we conclude that the samples lost at \( P_{\text{min}} > 1.5 \text{ mW} \) are relatively small clusters of DRs which are aligned along the axial trapping field.

Alignment along the field of an optical trapping beam was previously observed also for silicon nanorods [12] and nano-diamonds [11, 22] as well as for nanorods trapped in a liquid [23].

Most of the samples lost at lower powers show no uniquely classifiable behavior in terms of emission pattern. This hints at emission from a comparatively large cluster without a pronounced structural order. Some of these larger clusters show an emission pattern without rotational symmetry, i.e. with the dipole axis tilted off the PM’s optical axis. We conjecture that these cases correspond to emission from DRs that are attached to a large main cluster under a non-zero angle. However, once released from the trap the clusters are inevitably lost, hindering a posteriori investigations of the clusters’ structure.

Discussion We have analyzed the fluorescence photons emitted by CdSe/CdS DRs which are optically trapped at the focus of a deep PM. The observation of single-photon emission from clusters of sources will be advantageous for applications, since clusters can be kept in the trap at trapping beam powers that are lower than necessary for single emitters. Moreover, for low trapping beam powers the excitation of the DRs via two-photon absorption can be strongly suppressed, which promises a better timing accuracy for the emission of photons in a pulsed excitation scheme.

Measurements of the spatial mode of the emitted photons and of the emitters’ dynamics in the optical trap lead to insights into the structure and size of the clusters. An apparent change from the alignment of clusters along the PM axis to no preferential alignment appears for more than approximately 15 to 20 cluster constituents. A transition occurring at these numbers can be inferred from a simple geometric argument: If the rods are aligned parallel to each other in closest packing, the width of the cluster equals the length of a single rod for a number of about 20 rods, resulting in an object of approximately equal size along all spatial dimensions and leading to the loss of directionality.

Finally, one might wonder whether trapping of clusters of DRs, i.e. the fact that so far not a single isolated DR was trapped, might prove to be detrimental to the efficient coupling of light to these emitters. But one could rephrase this question, asking whether it might be possible to enhance the coupling due to collective effects when trapping a cluster of quantum targets. Also in cavity quantum-electrodynamics collective effects have been used advantageously [24,25]. There, large fractions of the ensemble can be hosted in the cavity mode [26,27]. When coupling light and ensembles in free-space by tight focusing, the ensemble has to be located within the focal spot, which is of dimensions of the order of half a wavelength under optimum conditions [29,30]. This is very difficult to achieve with ions due to Coulomb repulsion. Therefore, locating small clusters of optically trapped DRs in the focus of a linear-dipole wave is a feasible path towards combining tight focusing and ensemble physics.
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Experimental set-up

Figure 4 shows a schematic of the experimental set-up. Nano-particles are trapped at ambient conditions in air at the focus of a deep parabolic mirror. The PM has a focal length of 2.1 mm and an aperture radius of 10 mm, which corresponds to a half-opening angle of 134°. The trapping beam is radially polarized and has the intensity pattern of a Laguerre-Gaussian mode of first radial order (‘doughnut mode’). The electric field at wavelength $\lambda_{\text{trap}} = 1064$ nm in the focal region is polarized along the optical axis of the PM, which is here defined as the z-direction.

The CdS/CdSe dot-in-rod (DR) particles are surrounded by alkyl chains stored in toluene, hindering the clustering of particles. We use a home-built, toluene-resistant nebulizer for delivering DR particles to the trapping region through an opening at the vertex of the PM. The nebulizer comprises a piezo-electric transducer that is driven with an alternating voltage oscillating at about 360 – 390 kHz. For these frequencies one expects an aerosol of toluene droplets with a mean diameter of 6 $\mu$m [31, 32]. The nebulizer is filled with toluene containing DR particles in concentrations ranging from $6 \times 10^4$ to $6 \times 10^8$ DRs/l. We thus expect less than $10^{-4}$ DRs in a single droplet of about 100 fl volume on average.

Light scattered and Doppler-shifted by the particle interferes with the trapping light [14]. The corresponding interference signal is measured with a balanced detector (cf. Fig. 4 and Ref. [2]). The balancing is achieved with light that is tapped off the trap laser beam and adjusted in amplitude by a neutral-density filter (NDF).

Trapped DR particles are optically excited at a wavelength $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 405$ nm with pulses of 82 ns duration and 2 $\mu$W average power. The pulse repetition rate is $10^6$ s$^{-1}$. The excitation pulse power is chosen such that the DRs are approximately saturated, i.e. on average one exciton is created per excitation pulse [16]. The DRs emit photons at wavelengths about $\lambda_{\text{DR}} = 605$ nm, which are picked off the excitation and trapping beam path by a reflective short-pass filter that attenuates light at $\lambda_{\text{trap}}$ in reflection. The fluorescence photons are analyzed by either a pair of APDs for determining the second-order intensity correlation function $g^{(2)}(t)$ or by an EMCCD camera for measuring the spatial intensity distribution as found in the output aperture of the PM. This aperture is imaged onto the camera by a demagnifying telescope. Vertically and horizontally polarized components are split by a polarizing beam splitter (PBS) and recorded simultaneously on different parts of the camera chip. The APDs as well as the camera are equipped with band-pass filters which reject light at $\lambda_{\text{exc}}$ and at $\lambda_{\text{trap}}$.

![Figure 4. Scheme of the experimental set-up. CdSe/CdS dot-in-rod (DR) particles are trapped in an optical tweezers by focusing a radially polarized infrared laser beam (‘doughnut beam’) with a parabolic mirror (PM). The DRs are optically excited by a blue pulsed laser (‘pump’). Fluorescent photons are collimated by the PM and either detected by two avalanche photo-diodes (APDs), which are separated by a beam splitter (BS), or an electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) camera. A polarizing beam splitter (PBS) in front of the EMCCD camera projects the photons onto horizontally and vertically polarized states measured by different sections of the camera chip. The motion of the DRs is characterized by detection of the light of the trap laser that is scattered by the DRs and interferes with trap light back-reflected by the PM. This signal is balanced with light that is tapped off the trap laser beam and adjusted in amplitude by a neutral-density filter (NDF).](image-url)
Minimum trapping beam power

The depth of the trapping potential of a dielectric particle in the Rayleigh approximation is given by \( U_0 = \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot E_{\text{max}}^2 \), where \( \alpha \) is the polarizability of the particle and \( E_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum amplitude of the electric field in the focal region. \( E_{\text{max}}^2 \) is proportional to the power of the focused trapping beam. The derivation of \( E_{\text{max}} \) for our particular set-up can be found in Ref. [2]. Taking the polarizability \( \alpha \) to be the one of a CdS rod aligned to the electric field, one has \[ \alpha = \epsilon_0 V_{\text{rod}} (n_{\text{CdS}}^2 - 1) \] (1)

with \( n_{\text{CdS}} = 2.34 \) the refractive index of CdS at \( \lambda_{\text{trap}} \) and \( V_{\text{rod}} \) the volume of the rod. Setting \( U_0 = k_B T \) with \( T = 296 \, \text{K} \) yields \( P_{\text{min}} = 41 \, \text{mW} \).

Damping of axial motion

For a spherical particle in air, the damping of its oscillatory motion is given by \[ \Gamma = \frac{6\pi \eta r}{m} \cdot \frac{0.619}{0.619 + Kn} (1 + c_K) \] (2)

with \( \eta \) the viscosity of air, \( r \) the sphere’s radius and \( m \) its mass. \( Kn = \Lambda/r \) is the Knudsen number with \( \Lambda \) the mean free-path length of an air molecule and \( c_K = 0.31Kn/(0.785 + 1.152Kn + Kn^2) \).

Here, we interpret \( r \) as the radius of the area of the particle’s surface as seen along the direction of motion. Hence, for a rod aligned parallel to the optical axis of the PM \( r \) is identified with the radius of the rod. Due to the attached alkyl chains, which have a length of about 1.6 nm, the effective radius of the rod is increased, resulting in \( \Gamma_{1\text{DR}}/2\pi \approx 2 \, \text{MHz} \). Furthermore, due to residual vibration the trapped particles’ effective radius is enlarged when averaging over the time constants of translational motion.

Clusters

The trapping of clusters of rods is compatible with the observation that \( \Gamma_z \propto \sqrt{P_{\text{min}}} \) (cf. Fig. 2). Via Eq. 2 the number of rods in a cluster affects the axial damping rate \( \Gamma_z \). The end facets of the rods are oriented towards the axis of the PM. This motivates \( r \rightarrow r \cdot \sqrt{N} \) with \( N \) the number of rods in the cluster. With the mass of the cluster given by \( m \cdot N \) and neglecting correction terms involving \( Kn \) in Eq. 2 one finds \( \Gamma_z \approx \Gamma_{z,1\text{DR}}/\sqrt{N} \).

We assume that the shape of the cluster still justifies the usage of the polarizability \( \alpha \) given by Eq. 1. Under this condition \( \alpha \) is influenced only via the cluster’s volume \( V_{\text{rod}} \) which scales with \( N \). Hence the power of the trapping beam at which a cluster is lost from the trap is proportional to \( 1/N \).

Since all other quantities determining the damping rate and minimum power are independent of \( N \), one has a correlation of damping rate and minimum power described by \( \Gamma_z \propto \sqrt{P_{\text{min}}} \).