Abstract

A novel non-homogeneous or graded thermal conductivity along the radial direction in a single graphene disk structure has been predicted in previous study by using classical non-equilibrium molecular dynamics method. However, the size of the simulated system was only up to 25 nm due to the limitation of computational method. So whether the graded thermal conductivity phenomena can be observed over hundreds of nanometers is an open question need to be answered. In this work, the thermal conductivity of graphene disk is studied from nano scale up to micro scale based on the phonon Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) under Callaway’s dual relaxation model. The results show that the graded thermal conductivity phenomena exist along the radial direction as the disk size increases from hundreds of nanometers to tens of microns. In the ballistic regime, the numerical results are in excellent agreement with our derived analytical solutions. As the system size is much larger than the phonon mean free path, the momentum conservation normal (N) scattering leads to a diverging thermal conductivity and generates a drift velocity along the radial direction nearly inversely proportional to the radius. It is different from the momentum destroying resistive (R) scattering which recovers the traditional Fourier’s law of thermal conduction. Furthermore, as the system size increases, the graded rate of the thermal conductivity increases for N scattering but decreases for R scattering.
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1. Introduction

For the heat transfer in three dimensional bulk homogeneous materials, the traditional Fourier’s law of thermal conduction [1] is widely used. However, as the size or dimension of the thermal system decreases, the Fourier’s law of thermal conduction is not capable of describing the multiscale thermal transport phenomena.
correctly [2, 3, 4, 5]. The main reason is that the predominant heat carrier in solid materials [6], namely, phonon, no longer transports diffusively in these regimes. On the one hand, as the system size is smaller than or comparable to the phonon mean free path, the phonon boundary scattering becomes strong and impedes the phonon transport so that the thermal conductivity decreases significantly, [2, 3] which has been validated by both experimental measurements and numerical simulations [7, 8, 9]. The thermal conductivity can be decreased by adjusting the geometrical shape or arrangement of the nano-porous structures [10, 11, 12]. Since the phonon boundary scattering is influenced significantly by the surface structure and roughness, especially in the ballistic regime. Furthermore, as the system size is close or comparable to the phonon wave length, the phonon dispersion may be modified [8, 9] and the phonon wave nature is non-negligible [13] so that the physical parameters in the bulk regime are invalid. On the other hand, for some low dimensional materials [14, 15, 16, 17], the phonon scattering mechanisms are more complicated than that in bulk materials. For example, in graphene [18, 19], the momentum conservation normal (N) scattering is non-negligible compared to the momentum destroying resistive (R) scattering [20, 21]. Different from the R scattering which recovers the Fourier’s law of thermal conduction and leads to a converged thermal conductivity in the diffusive regime, the N scattering causes no thermal resistance. Furthermore, as the N scattering is much stronger than the R scattering, the heat transfer is in the phonon hydrodynamic regime and some novel heat transfer phenomena may happen including second sound and phonon poiseuille flow [21, 22, 23]. Some studies also show that the thermal conductivity in the low-dimensional system diverges with the system size $L$ [24, 4, 25, 26], which obviously breaks the traditional Fourier’s law of thermal conduction.

Many previous work focuses on studying length-dependent thermal conductivity of the materials, however, the spatial dependent thermal conductivity for a given length scale also affects phonon transport significantly. As we all known, the bulk thermal conductivity is generally dependent of the temperature [2, 3]. Actually, if the temperature in the domain is over a large range, the thermal conductivity will not be a constant and the temperature distribution will be nonlinear [27, 28].

Apart from the large temperature difference, the material anisotropy can also lead to spatial dependent thermal conductivity. One of these is the functionally graded material (FGM) [29, 30] consisting of two or more phases. The thermal properties can be adjusted by taking advantage of desirable features of different constituent phases. For example, the spatial dependent thermal conductivity can be achieved by inhomogeneous doping [30, 31], which influences both the phonon dispersion and scattering. Another approach is the single homogeneous materials but with asymmetric geometries, which are widely used in thermal rectification [32, 33, 34]. Previous studies based on the molecular dynamics simulations show that in asymmetric graphene ribbons the heat flux runs preferentially along the direction of decreasing width [35]. Other work based on the Monte Carlo method also shows that thermal conduction can be influenced by changing the cross-section of the silicon nanowires [36]. The tapered cross-section nanowires can decelerate thermal flux.

Recently, the non-homogeneous thermal transport has also been found in single symmetry graphene disk
Figure 1: Geometry of the graphene disk. O is the center of the concentric circles.

Based on molecular dynamics [37]. Numerical results show that at steady state the thermal conductivity along the radial direction is approximately linear to the power of the radius, i.e., \( r^a \), where \( a \) is a coefficient and \( r \) is the distance to the center of the circle. The closer the atoms to the disk center, the smaller the thermal conductivity is. Similar graded thermal conductivity phenomena are also found in carbon nanocone [38]. However, due to the limitation of computational resources, previous studies about the graded thermal conductivity are limited in tens of nanometers. In addition, nonuniform local thermal conductivity along the radial direction is also predicted from the ballistic to diffusive regime [39] based on monte carlo method. However, in previous work, the phonon dispersion and polarization are not accounted and the single-mode relaxation time approximation is used, in which the N scattering is ignored.

In this work, we focus on the thermal transport along the radial direction at steady state in graphene disk from hundreds of nanometers to tens of microns. Instead of the molecular dynamics simulations [37, 38, 40], the stationary phonon Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) is used, which is a powerful tool to study the multiscale heat transfer problems [6]. The Callaway model [20, 21, 41] is used, which contains both the N scattering and R scattering and predicts the thermal conductivity of graphene well.

2. Structure and phonon BTE

Giving an isotropic graphene disk [37], as shown in Fig. 1, the radii of the inner and outer circles are \( l \) and \( L \), respectively. The inner circle is the hot area with a fixed temperature \( T_h = T_0 + \Delta T/2 \), and the temperature of the outer circle is \( T_c = T_0 - \Delta T/2 \), where \( \Delta T > 0 \). In order to avoid the thermal rectification [40, 32, 33], we control the temperature difference in the domain be very small, i.e., \( \Delta T/T_0 = 0.01 \). Due to symmetry,
the thermal conductivity along the radial direction $k(r)$ can be calculated by

$$k(r) = \frac{-q \cdot n}{dT/dr}$$

$$= \frac{-Q(r)}{2\pi r (dT/dr)}$$

$$= \frac{-Q(r)}{2\pi (dT/d(ln r))},$$

(1)

where $r$ is the distance to the center of the graphene disk, $l \leq r \leq L$, $q$ is the heat flux, $Q(r) = 2\pi r q \cdot n$ is the total heat flux across the circle with radius $r$, $n$ is the normal unit vector along the radial direction from the inner circle to the outer circle. At steady state, $Q$ is a constant due to the energy conservation. In what follows, we set $T_0 = 300K$ and $l/L = 0.2$.

To study the heat transfer phenomena at the micro/nano scale at steady state in graphene disk, the stationary phonon BTE under Callaway’s dual relaxation model [42, 21, 20] is used, which contains the N scattering and the R scattering, i.e.,

$$v \cdot \nabla f = \frac{f_{eq}^R - f}{\tau_R} + \frac{f_{eq}^N - f}{\tau_N},$$

(2)

$$f_{eq}^R(T) = C(\omega, p, T_0) (T - T_0)/2\pi,$$

(3)

$$f_{eq}^N(T, u) = C(\omega, p, T_0) (T - T_0)/2\pi + C(\omega, p, T_0) T K \cdot u / 2\pi \omega,$$

(4)

where $f$ is the phonon distribution function of energy density, related to the spatial, frequency and solid angle spaces, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $v$ is the group velocity, $p$ is the phonon polarization, $K$ is the wave vector and assumed to be isotropic, $T$ is the temperature, $u$ is the drift velocity and $C$ is the specific heat. $f_{eq}^R$, $\tau_R$ and $f_{eq}^N$, $\tau_N$ are the associated phonon equilibrium distribution function and relaxation time for R and N scattering [42], respectively. The detailed introductions of the Callaway model are discussed in Appendix A. The phonon wave nature can be ignored because the system sizes in present simulations are much larger than the phonon wave length [15]. The model was validated to predict the thermal conductivity of graphene well in a wide range [21, 20, 43]. The implicit discrete ordinate method (DOM) [44, 45] is used to solve the model equation, which can refer to Ref [41]. To ensure the numerical accuracy, $30164 \times 100 \times 30$ cells are used to discrete the physical space, solid angle space and frequency space, respectively. The thermalizing boundary condition [39] is used to deal with the isothermal boundaries with a fixed wall temperature $T_w$, i.e.,

$$f(x_b, s) = f_{eq}^R(T_w), \quad s \cdot n_b > 0,$$

(5)

where $n_b$ is the normal unit vector of the boundary $x_b$ pointing to the computational domain.
3. Results and discussions

3.1. Heat transfer in graphene disk

The thermal transport in graphene disk is simulated based on Eq. (2) accounting for the phonon dispersion and polarization [46, 47, 48, 49, 50] (Appendix B), i.e.,

\[ \mathbf{v}(\omega, p) \cdot \nabla f = \frac{f^q_R - f}{\tau_R(\omega, p, T_0)} + \frac{f^q_N - f}{\tau_N(\omega, p, T_0)}, \]

which will be the combined results of all different phonon scattering mechanisms. The effective phonon mean free path \( \lambda \) is about 380nm [41], where

\[ \lambda = \left( \sum_p \int (|v|\tau C) \, d\omega \right) \left( \sum_p \int C \, d\omega \right)^{-1}, \]

\[ \tau^{-1} = \tau_N^{-1} + \tau_R^{-1}, \]

where \( d\omega \) is the integral over the whole frequency space.

Let’s first look at the heat transfer in the ballistic regime, in which there is rare phonon-phonon scattering and the phonon boundary scattering dominates the heat transfer. In other words, for arbitrary phonon coming from the boundaries, it directly incidents into the other boundaries without energy loss and momentum change. The temperature and thermal conductivity along the radial direction can be obtained analytically [39, 51] (Appendix C), i.e.,

\[ T(r) = \frac{\beta}{2\pi} T_h + \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \right) T_c, \]

\[ k(r) = \sqrt{(r^2 - l^2)} \sum_p \int (|v|C) \, d\omega. \]

Figure 2 shows the temperature and thermal conductivity distributions along the radial direction in graphene disk with different system sizes \( 2L \), where \( r^* = \log(r/l) / \log(L/l), T^* = (T - T_c) / \Delta T, k^* = k/k_0, k_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_p \int (C|v|^2\tau) \, d\omega. \) As the system size is 100nm, it is smaller than the phonon mean free path in graphene and the heat transfer can be regarded as in the ballistic regime. From Fig. 2a it can be observed that the temperature profiles come close to the analytical solutions in the ballistic regime, i.e., Eq. (9). The distribution of the thermal conductivity (Fig. 2b) is also consistent with the analytical solutions, i.e., Eq. (10). Furthermore, it can also be found that in the ballistic regime, the thermal conductivity along the radial direction is dependent of the radius. Because in the ballistic regime, phonon transports without energy loss and direction change. However, the radius of the inner circle is smaller than that of the outer circle, which leads to the asymmetry of the phonon advection along the radial direction. On the one hand, for phonons coming from the inner circle, all phonons directly hit into the outer circle but for the phonons coming from the outer circle, a part of them transport through the original outer circle without across the inner circle. These phonons transporting from the outer circle to the outer circle contribute no heat flux along the radial direction but influence the temperature along the radial direction. On the other hand, as the radius is small,
Figure 2: Macroscopic distributions in graphene disk along radial direction. The normalized coordination is $r^* = \log (r/l_{\text{in}})/\log (L/l_{\text{in}})$. (a) Temperature distribution, where $T^* = (T - T_c)/\Delta T$. In the ballistic regime, analytical solutions of temperature are derived, i.e., Eq. (9). In the diffusive regime, the Fourier’s law of thermal conduction is valid with linear temperature distributions. (b) Normalized graded thermal conductivity $k^*$, where $k^* = k/k_0$, $k_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_p \int (rC|v|^2 r) \, d\omega$. 'Ballistic' is the thermal conductivity calculated by the analytical solutions derived in Eq. (10) with $2L = 100$ nm.

for phonons going through a given position in the domain, most come from the inner circle with higher energy and the other come from the outer circle with lower energy. As the radius increases, the proportion of the phonons coming from the inner circle decreases, which can also be reflected from the analytical solutions, i.e., Eq. (9). Furthermore, the temperature variation along the radial direction is nonlinear to $\ln r$ so that the thermal conductivity along the radial direction is not a constant.

Above phenomena are similar to the results predicted at several nanometers by molecular dynamics \cite{37} in previous studies. What’s different is that for a given system size the graded rate of thermal conductivity $\alpha$ is dependent of the radius if ignoring the numerical fluctuations, as shown in Fig. 3a, where

$$\alpha = \frac{\partial (\log k^*)}{\partial r^*}. \tag{11}$$

It can be observed that the graded rate decreases as the radius increases from $r^* = 0.2$ to $r^* = 0.8$, which is consistent to that predicted by the analytical solutions in the ballistic regime (Appendix C), i.e.,

$$\alpha = \log \left( \frac{L}{l_{\text{in}}} \right) \times \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(\frac{L}{l_{\text{in}}})^{2r^*} - 1} \right). \tag{12}$$

Based on Eq. (12), the radius dependent graded rate is related to the ratio between the radius of the inner and outer circles, i.e., $L/l_{\text{in}}$. In other words, the phonon boundary scattering and the spatial asymmetry along the radial direction affect the distributions of the graded rate to some extent. As $L/l_{\text{in}}$ is enough large, $(\frac{L}{l_{\text{in}}})^{2r^*} \gg 1$ so that $\alpha \approx \log \left( \frac{L}{l_{\text{in}}} \right)$, namely, the thermal conductivity $k^*$ is approximately linear to $r^*$.

As the system size increases, the phonon transport becomes more complicated. First, the boundary scattering becomes weak and the non-equilibrium effects decreases near the boundaries so that the temperature slip decreases. Besides, the N and R scattering controls the heat transfer, which may result in that
Figure 3: The macroscopic distribution along the radial direction at different system size \(2L\). The normalized coordination is \(r^* = \frac{\lg (r/L)}{\lg (L/l)}\). (a) \(\alpha\). In the ballistic regime, the analytical solutions are derived in Eq. (12). (b) Normalized drift velocity \(u^* = \frac{|u| T_0}{\Delta TV_a}\), \(V_a = \left(\sum_p \int C|v|d\omega\right) \left(\sum_p \int C d\omega\right)^{-1}\).

the momentum change or heat dissipations as phonon transport from one boundary to other boundaries. Furthermore, as the phonon mean free path is comparable to the radii of the inner and outer circle so that the thermal conductivity is related to the R, N and boundary scattering. In this regime, it is very hard to derive simple analytical solutions like those in the ballistic regime. Fortunately, from the predicted numerical results shown in Fig. 2b, it can be observed that the thermal conductivity along the radial direction is still dependent of the radius from hundreds of nanometers to tens of microns. The smaller the \(r\) is, the smaller the thermal conductivity is. Actually, in this regime, it is the competition results among the phonon boundary scattering, R scattering and N scattering. For the phonon boundary scattering, it mainly attributes to that the radius of the inner circle is smaller than that of the outer circle, which leads to that the boundary resistance near the inner circle is larger than that near the outer circle for phonons with the same mean free path. Hence, the thermal conductivity near the inner circle is smaller than that near the outer circle. For the N and R scattering, it may results in that arbitrary phonon coming from one boundary does not incident directly into other boundaries without momentum change or heat dissipation. For R scattering, it leads to the momentum loss or heat dissipation during the phonon transport so that the temperature distributions along the radial direction come to linear. However, as the system size is larger than the phonon mean free path, namely, 20\(\mu\)m, it can still be observed that the temperature distributions deviate a little from the conventional Fourier’s law of thermal conduction [17]. Besides, the thermal conductivity is not converged, either. That’s because that in graphene, except the R scattering, the N scattering is non-negligible. Different from the R scattering which recovers the traditional Fourier’s law of thermal conduction, the N scattering satisfies the momentum conservation and causes no thermal resistance, which theoretically leads to an infinite thermal conductivity [21]. As the system size increases from hundreds of nanometers to tens of microns, it
can be observed that the graded rate of the thermal conductivity $\alpha$ decreases gradually and tends to zero for a given $r^*$, as shown in Fig. 3a. In other words, the boundary scattering and R scattering contribute more thermal conduction than that of the N scattering in room temperature. As the system size increases till 100$\mu$m, the dominated momentum destroying scattering finally leads to an approximately converged thermal conductivity with $\alpha \approx 0$.

Figure 3b shows the normalized drift velocity along the radial direction, where $u^* = \frac{\langle |u|T_0 \rangle}{\Delta T V_a}$, $V_a = \left( \frac{1}{\sum_p \int C |v| \omega \, d\omega} \right)^{-1}$ is the average group velocity. Different from previous studies [52, 53, 22] in which the drift velocity is a constant, our results show that the drift velocity along the radial direction is dependent of the radius. It can be observed that the drift velocity increases first and then decreases gradually as the size increases from 100 nm to 20$\mu$m, which is the combined results of the N, R and boundary scattering. Actually, both the phonon boundary scattering and the R scattering are momentum destroying and lead to a zero drift velocity. Only the N scattering results in a non-zero drift velocity. However, the R scattering will affect the N scattering through the distribution function, as shown in Eq. (6). As $2L = 20\mu$m, the drift velocity is very small, close to zero. It indicates that in this regime the momentum destroying scattering dominates the phonon transport and restores the phonon distribution close to $f^0_R$, which is consistent with the results observed in the distribution of thermal conductivity. In order to deeply understand the separate thermal effects of the R scattering and N scattering in the thermal transport in graphene disk, we have to separate them, which will be discussed in the next subsection.

3.2. Thermal effects of $N$ or $R$ scattering

The above results show that in graphene, both the N scattering and R scattering play an important role on heat transfer in different regimes [54, 20, 55]. In order to understand their separate effects on the
thermal transport in graphene disk, two following cases are simulated:

1. all phonon-phonon scattering is R scattering, i.e., \( v \cdot \nabla f = \frac{f_{eq}^R}{\tau_R} - f \);
2. all phonon-phonon scattering is N scattering, i.e., \( v \cdot \nabla f = \frac{f_{eq}^N}{\tau_N} - f \).

In this subsection the phonon dispersion is not included and we set \(|v| = \omega/|K|\) for simplicity. Then the heat transfer is mainly decided by the Knudsen number, which is the ratio of the phonon mean free path to the system size and defined as \( Kn = \lambda/2L \).

3.2.1. Only R scattering

Figures 4a and 4b show the distributions of the temperature and normalized thermal conductivity along the radial direction. As \( Kn = 10.0 \), R scattering rarely happens and the heat transfer is in the ballistic regime. The boundary scattering dominates the thermal transport and the numerical profiles are consistent with the analytical solutions derived in Eq. (9) \([39]\) (Appendix C). Similarly, as shown in Fig. 4b, the simulated thermal conductivity is consistent with the analytical solutions, i.e.,

\[
k(r) = C|v|\sqrt{(r^2 - l^2)}.
\] (13)

As \( Kn = 0.01 \), the temperature profiles come to linear, which are consistent with the analytical solutions with \( Kn \to 0 \) (Appendix C), i.e.,

\[
dT \propto d(\ln r).
\] (14)

Because in this regime, the R scattering dominates the heat transfer and recovers the traditional Fourier’s law of thermal conduction correctly with a converged thermal conductivity. As \( 0.01 < Kn < 10.0 \), both the phonon boundary scattering and R scattering play an important role and the non-diffusive phonon transport happens. It can be observed that as Kn increases from 0.01 to 10.0, the thermal conductivity decreases...
for a given $r$. Furthermore, the thermal conductivity along the radial direction is no longer a constant as $Kn \geq 0.1$. The smaller the $r$ is, the smaller the thermal conductivity is, which is consistent with the results predicted in Ref. [39]. Because near the boundaries, the phonon boundary scattering leads to highly non-equilibrium phonon transport and increases the thermal resistance. Compared to the outer circle, the radius of the inner circle is smaller so that there is stronger phonon boundary scattering. In other words, the local Knudsen number close to the inner circle is larger. As $r$ increases from 0.2 to 0.8, the chance of local phonon scattering with inner boundaries decreases so that the local Knudsen number increases. Actually, as the $R$ scattering dominates the heat transfer, previous studies [2, 3, 39] show that the thermal conductivity increases gradually as the system size increases till converged. Hence, the thermal conductivity along the radial direction increases as the radius increases if ignoring the boundary effects.

Figure 5 shows the distributions of the graded rate $\alpha$ along the radial direction at different Knudsen numbers. It can be found that generally, as $r^*$ increases from 0.2 to 0.8, $\alpha$ decreases for a given Knudsen number if ignoring the fluctuations. As $Kn = 10.0$, the numerical results are in good agreement with our analytical solutions derived in Eq. (12). As $Kn$ decreases from 10.0 to 0.01, the $R$ scattering dominates the heat transfer and $\alpha$ decreases gradually and goes to 0.

### 3.2.2. Only $N$ scattering

When all phonon-phonon scattering is $N$ scattering, the heat transfer is something different. As the system size increases, different from the $R$ scattering which recovers the diffusion equation, the $N$ scattering recovers the wave equation [20, 21, 23] and the heat transfer goes to that in the phonon hydrodynamic
Figure 7: Macroscopic distributions along the radial direction with different Knudsen numbers when all phonon-phonon scattering is N scattering, i.e., $\tau_R^{-1} = 0$. The normalized coordination is $r^* = \lg(r/l)/\lg(L/l)$. (a) $\alpha$. In the ballistic regime, the analytical solutions are derived in Eq. (12). (b) Normalized drift velocity $u^* = \frac{|u|T_0}{|v|\Delta T}$ is the normalized drift velocity along the radius. Analytical solutions with Kn → 0 are given in Eq. (18).

As Kn → 0, analytically temperature along the radial direction is a constant (Appendix C), i.e.,

$$T = \frac{lT_h + LT_c}{l + L}. \quad (15)$$

Because the moment conservation N scattering causes no thermal resistance.

The temperature and thermal conductivity distributions along the radial direction are investigated firstly. From Fig. 6b it can be observed that as Kn increases, the thermal conductivity increases significantly for a given $r^*$. On the one hand, with the increasing of the system size, the boundary scattering impedes little thermal transport. On the other hand, the N scattering happens frequently but contributes no heat dissipations. As Kn = 0.01, as shown in Fig. 6a our predicted temperature distributions tend to constant, which are almost overlapping with the analytical solutions with Kn → 0, i.e., Eq. (15). Furthermore, from Fig. 7a it can be observed that the graded rate $\alpha$ increases as Kn decreases from 10.0 to 0.1, which is different from that observed in Fig. 5 mentioned in the last subsection. Because the N scattering leads to an infinite thermal conductivity if ignoring the boundary effects. Actually, some previous work [24, 26] also show that the thermal conductivity may be length divergent for some low-dimensional momentum conservation thermal system.

Another difference between the N scattering and R scattering is that the former will generate a nonzero drift velocity. As shown in Fig. 7b the numerical profiles of the drift velocity along the radial direction are nearly linear and parallel to each other at different Knudsen numbers, where $u^* = \frac{|u|T_0}{|v|\Delta T}$ is the normalized drift velocity along the radius. Based on the momentum conservation of the N scattering (Eq. 28) and
frequency-independent assumption, if ignoring the boundary scattering, we have

\[
Q(r) = 2\pi r n \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} v f d\Omega = 2\pi r n \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} v f^{eq}_N d\Omega = r\pi CT(r)|u(r)| \approx r\pi CT_0|u(r)| = \text{constant},
\]

\[
\Rightarrow |u(r)| \propto r^{-1},
\]

where \(d\Omega\) is the integral over the whole solid angle space. Actually as \(Kn \to 0\), we mathematically prove that the drift velocity is inversely proportional to the radius (Appendix C), i.e.,

\[
|u(r)| = \frac{4|v| L (T_h - T_c)}{r\pi (T_h + LT_c)}.
\]

From the profiles, it can also be observed that our analytical solutions keep well with the numerical results with \(Kn = 0.01\). As the Knudsen number increases, the boundary scattering becomes stronger and stronger which restores the distribution function to \(f^{eq}_R\) with zero drift velocity so that the drift velocity decreases.

4. Conclusion

In this work, the thermal transport in graphene disk is studied at micro/nano scale by using the stationary phonon Boltzmann transport equation under Callaway’s dual relaxation model. Numerical results are in good agreement with our derived analytical solutions in the ballistic regime. As the disk size increases from hundreds of nanometers to tens of microns, the radius dependent thermal conductivity phenomena still exist and the graded rate decreases gradually till 0. The smaller the distance to the circle center is, the smaller the thermal conductivity is. Furthermore, if look at the overall trend, the graded rate of the thermal conductivity decreases as the radius increases for a given system size. It attributes to the spatial asymmetry along the radial direction and the competition results of the phonon boundary scattering, N scattering and R scattering. In the ballistic regime, analytical solutions show that due to the spatial asymmetry along the radial direction, a part of phonon coming from the outer circle transport through the original outer circle without across the inner circle. These phonons contribute no heat flux along the radial direction but influence the temperature along the radial direction. As the system size increases, for R scattering, the graded rate \(\alpha\) decreases till 0 and the heat transfer recovers the traditional Fourier’s law of thermal conduction. But for N scattering, the graded rate \(\alpha\) increases and the thermal conductivity goes to infinite with the increasing of the system size. In addition, the N scattering generates a drift velocity along the radial direction nearly inversely proportional to the radius. The present results show that even far beyond the molecular dynamics simulation scale \([37, 38]\), the heat transfer in the graphene disk is still non-homogeneous.
APPENDIX A: Callaway model

The mathematical formula of stationary phonon BTE under Callaway’s dual relaxation model is

\[ \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f = \frac{f^{eq}_R - f}{\tau_R} + \frac{f^{eq}_N - f}{\tau_N}, \]  

(19)

where \( f = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{K}, \omega, p) \) is the phonon distribution function of energy density, \( \mathbf{x} \) is the physical position, \( \mathbf{K} \) is the wave vector in 2D space and assumed to be isotropic, i.e., \( \mathbf{K} = |\mathbf{K}|s \), \( s \) is the unit direction vector, \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla_{\mathbf{K}} \omega \) is the group velocity, \( p \) is the phonon polarization (see Appendix B). The left term of Eq. 19 represents the phonon advection and the right is the phonon scattering term, which is composed of two parts: the first part is the momentum destroying \( R \) scattering and the second part is the momentum conservation \( N \) scattering. The former relaxes the distribution function to the equilibrium state \( f^{eq}_R \) with relaxation time \( \tau_R \) and the latter relaxes to the displaced equilibrium distribution \( f^{eq}_N \) with relaxation time \( \tau_N \). The energy \( E \), temperature \( T \) and heat flux \( q \) can be updated by taking the moment of the distribution function, i.e.,

\[ E = \sum_p \int \int_{2\pi} f d\Omega d\omega, \]  

(23)

\[ T = T_0 + \frac{\sum_p \int_2 f d\Omega d\omega}{\sum_p \int_C d\omega}, \]  

(24)

\[ q = \sum_p \int \int_{2\pi} v f d\Omega d\omega, \]  

(25)

where \( d\Omega \) and \( d\omega \) are the integral over the whole 2D solid angle space and frequency space. Based on the energy conservation of \( N \) and \( R \) scattering, we have

\[ 0 = \sum_p \int \int_{2\pi} \frac{f^{eq}_N - f}{\tau_N} d\Omega d\omega, \]  

(26)

\[ 0 = \sum_p \int \int_{2\pi} \frac{f^{eq}_R - f}{\tau_R} d\Omega d\omega. \]  

(27)

In addition, the \( N \) scattering satisfies the momentum conservation, i.e.,

\[ 0 = \sum_p \int \int_{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\omega} \frac{f^{eq}_N - f}{\tau_N} d\Omega d\omega. \]  

(28)
APPENDIX B: Phonon dispersion and scattering in graphene

In graphene, there are six phonon polarizations including three acoustic ones and three optical ones [58, 49, 50, 59]. We only consider the phonon acoustic polarizations and assume that the wave vector space is isotropic. The phonon dispersion curves [49, 50] for each phonon branch are shown as follows: for LA, $\omega = c_{LA}|\mathbf{K}|$, $c_{LA} = 2.13 \times 10^4 \text{m/s}$, for TA, $\omega = c_{TA}|\mathbf{K}|$, $c_{TA} = 1.36 \times 10^4 \text{m/s}$, for ZA, $\omega = c_{ZA}|\mathbf{K}|^2$, $c_{ZA} = 6.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$, where $0 \leq |\mathbf{K}| \leq 1.5 \times 10^{10} \text{m}^{-1}$.

The experimental formulas are used to calculate the relaxation time [46, 47, 48]. The phonon relaxation time for phonon-phonon umklapp scattering [60, 47] is

$$\tau_{U}^{-1} = B_U \omega^2 T \exp\left(-\frac{\Theta_p}{3T}\right),$$

where $B_U = \frac{h^2 \gamma^2_p}{\Theta_p |v|^2}$. The Gruneisen parameters $\gamma_p$ and Debye temperature $\Theta_p$ are $\gamma_{LA} = 2$, $\gamma_{TA} = 2/3$, $\gamma_{ZA} = -1.5$, $\Theta_{LA} = 1826.39 \text{K}$, $\Theta_{TA} = 1126.18 \text{K}$, $\Theta_{ZA} = 623.62 \text{K}$, respectively. $\bar{M} = 12 + c$ is the average mass per carbon atom, $c$ is the abundance of $^{13}\text{C}$ and we set $c = 1.1\%$. The phonon relaxation time for phonon-phonon normal scattering [46, 47, 48] is

$$\tau_{N}^{-1} = B_N \omega^2 T^{k_N},$$

where $B_N = \left(\frac{k_B}{\hbar}\right)^k_N \frac{h^2 \gamma^2_p V_0}{\bar{M} |w|^2 |v|^{N+N^{-2}}}$, $V_0 = 8.769634 \times 10^{-30} \text{m}^3$ is the average volume per atom in graphene. In this study, we set $a_N = 1$, $b_N = 3$ [48]. The phonon isotope scattering [61] is

$$\tau_{I}^{-1} = B_p \Gamma S_0 \omega^2 D(\omega, p),$$

where $B_{ZA} = \pi/2$, $B_{LA} = B_{TA} = \pi/4$, $\Gamma = c(1 - c)/(12 + c)^2$ and $S_0 = 2.62 \times 10^{-20} \text{m}^2$ is the average area per carbon atom in graphene. Based on the Mathiessen’s rule [56], we can calculate the resistive (R) scattering $\tau_{R}^{-1} = \tau_{U}^{-1} + \tau_{I}^{-1}$. In addition, we set $\tau^{-1} = \tau_{N}^{-1} + \tau_{R}^{-1}$.

APPENDIX C: Analytical solutions of the phonon BTE as Kn → 0 or in the ballistic regime

The thermal transport in graphene disk as Kn → 0 or in the ballistic regime is derived analytically based on Eq. [19]. Without special statements, the phonon dispersion is not included [56, 54, 55] and we set $|v| = \omega/|\mathbf{K}|$ for simplicity.

As the system size is much smaller than the phonon mean free path, the heat transfer is in the ballistic regime [39, 51, 62] and there is rare phonon-phonon intrinsic scattering. Equation [19] can be written as follows approximately

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f = 0,$$

which indicates that the phonon distribution function is independent of the physical position. Given a position $P$ ($OP = r$, $l < r < L$), as shown in Fig. 8 it can be found that when all phonons with arbitrary
Figure 8: Geometry of the graphene disk in the ballistic limit. $O$ is the center of the concentric circles. Lines $PP_1$ and $PP_2$ are tangent to the inner circle. $\beta = 2 \arcsin(l/r)$.

directions transporting through $P$, only a portion of them come from the inner hot areas with $f^q_R(T_h)$ (between the angle formed by line $PP_1$ and $PP_2$), while the other come from the outer circle with $f^q_R(T_c)$. Based on Eqs. (24) and (23), taking an integral of the distribution function in position $P$ over the whole frequency and solid angle spaces leads to the energy and temperature at $P(r)$ [39, 51], i.e.,

$$E(T(r)) = \sum_p \int (\beta f^q_R(T_h) + (2\pi - \beta) f^q_R(T_c)) d\omega,$$

$$\Rightarrow T(r) = \frac{\beta}{2\pi} T_h + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right) T_c,$$

where $\beta = 2 \arcsin(l/r)$. Similarly, the heat flux along the radial direction is

$$q(r) \cdot n = \int_0^\beta |v| \cos \zeta (f^q_R(T_h) - f^q_R(T_c)) d\zeta$$

$$= \frac{2l}{r} |v| (f^q_R(T_h) - f^q_R(T_c))$$

$$= \frac{l}{\pi r} |v| C (T_h - T_c)$$

Then the thermal conductivity along the radial direction in the ballistic limit can be obtained by

$$k(r) = - \frac{q(r) \cdot n}{dT/dr}$$

$$= C |v| \sqrt{(r^2 - l^2)}.$$

At the same time, we have

$$\alpha = \frac{\partial (\log k^*)}{\partial r^*}$$

$$= \log \left(\frac{L}{T}\right) \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\frac{l}{T})^{2r^*} - 1}\right).$$
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Actually, if considering the phonon dispersion [58, 57, 59] (Appendix B), the analytical solutions of Eq. (29) in the ballistic limit are similar, i.e.,

\[ T(r) = \frac{\beta}{2\pi} T_h + \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \right) T_c \]  \hspace{1cm} (35)

\[ q(r) \cdot n = \frac{l}{\pi r} (T_h - T_c) \sum_p \int (|v|C) \, d\omega \]  \hspace{1cm} (36)

\[ k(r) = \sqrt{(r^2 - l^2)} \sum_p \int (|v|C) \, d\omega \]  \hspace{1cm} (37)

As the system size is much larger than the phonon mean free path, i.e., Kn \to 0, two situations are considered:

When all phonon-phonon scattering is R scattering, i.e.,

\[ v \cdot \nabla f = \frac{f_{eq}^R}{\tau_R} - f. \]  \hspace{1cm} (38)

A first-order approximation is made

\[ f \approx f_{eq}^R - \tau_R v \cdot \nabla f_{eq}^R. \]  \hspace{1cm} (39)

Then we have

\[ q = \int_{2\pi} v f d\Omega \]

\[ = - \int_{2\pi} v \tau_R v \cdot \nabla f_{eq}^R d\Omega \]

\[ = - \frac{\tau_R C|v|^2}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} ss \cdot \nabla T d\Omega \]

\[ = - \frac{1}{2} \tau_R C|v|^2 \nabla T d\Omega \]

\[ = - k_{bulk} \nabla T, \]  \hspace{1cm} (40)

where \( k_{bulk} = \frac{1}{2} \tau_R C|v|^2 \) is the bulk thermal conductivity. According to Eq. (1), we have

\[ \text{constant} = - \frac{1}{dT/d(ln r)} \]

\[ \implies dT \propto d(ln r). \]  \hspace{1cm} (41)

When all phonon-phonon scattering is N scattering, i.e.,

\[ v \cdot \nabla f = \frac{f_{eq}^N}{\tau_N} - f. \]  \hspace{1cm} (42)

A zero-order approximation is made, i.e.,

\[ f \approx f_{eq}^N. \]  \hspace{1cm} (43)
Considering the symmetry, the direction of the drift velocity $u$ is along the radial direction, i.e., $|u| = u \cdot n$. When $l < r < L$, the total heat flux $Q(r)$ across the circle with radius $r$ is

$$Q(r) = 2\pi r n \cdot \left( \int_{2\pi} v f_{R}^{eq}(r) d\Omega \right)$$

$$= 2\pi r n \cdot \left( \int_{2\pi} v \frac{CT}{2\pi} \frac{|s \cdot u|}{\omega} d\Omega \right)$$

$$= CT(r) r n \cdot \int_{2\pi} ss \cdot ud\Omega,$$

$$= \pi CrT(r)|u(r)|.$$

(44)

Combining the boundary conditions, i.e., Eq. (5), when $r \to l$,

$$Q(r) = 2\pi l n \cdot \left( \int_{s_n > 0} v f_{R}^{eq}(T_h) d\Omega + \int_{s_n < 0} v f_{N}^{eq} d\Omega \right)$$

$$= 2LC|v|T_h - 2LCT(r)|v| + \frac{\pi}{2} CT(r) l |u(r)|,$$

(45)

when $r \to L$,

$$Q(r) = 2\pi r n \cdot \left( \int_{s_n < 0} v f_{R}^{eq}(T_c) d\Omega + \int_{s_n > 0} v f_{N}^{eq} d\Omega \right)$$

$$= -2LC|v|T_c + 2LC|v|T(r) + \frac{\pi}{2} CT(r) L |u(r)|.$$

(46)

As we known, the N scattering causes no thermal resistance [20, 21, 55], which indicates that $T(r)$ is a constant along the radial direction as $Kn \to 0$. In addition, based on the energy conservation, $Q(r)$ is a constant at steady state. Combining Eqs. (44), (45) and (46), we have

$$T = \frac{l T_h + LT_c}{l + L},$$

(47)

$$|u(r)| = \frac{4|v| L (T_h - T_c)}{r \pi (l T_h + L T_c)}.$$

(48)
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