Inhomogeneous matrix product ansatz and exact steady states of boundary driven spin chains at large dissipation
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We find novel site-dependent Lax operators in terms of which we demonstrate exact solvability of a dissipatively driven $XYZ$ spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain in the Zeno limit of strong dissipation, with jump operators polarizing the boundary spins in arbitrary directions. We write the corresponding nonequilibrium steady state using an inhomogeneous matrix product ansatz, where the constituent matrices satisfy a simple set of linear recurrence relations. Although these matrices can be embedded into an infinite-dimensional auxiliary space, we have verified that they cannot be simultaneously put into a tridiagonal form, not even in the case of axially symmetric ($XXZ$) bulk interactions and general nonlongitudinal boundary dissipation. We expect our results to have further fundamental applications for the construction of nonlocal integrals of motion for the open $XYZ$ model with arbitrary boundary fields, or the eight-vertex model.

Introduction.− One of the main current efforts of the condensed matter physics society is to understand quantum states of matter far from equilibrium [1, 2]. Understandably, simple models with tractable but non-trivial exact solutions are of key importance in this game. The realm of driven dissipative quantum many-body systems [3] provides nice and rich examples of such models, capable of displaying genuinely out-of-equilibrium phenomena, for example, novel types of non-equilibrium phase transitions [4−7]. While exact treatment of the aforementioned class of models is essentially limited to quasi-free situations, it is remarkable that some exact solutions have been found even in the case of strong interactions, in particular, in quantum integrable spin chains with dissipation and incoherent driving localized at the chain’s boundaries [8]. Despite the fact, that the exact matrix product form of these solutions has been found only for a very specific choice of the boundary jump operators [9, 10], this provided a fresh perspective on the effect of local and quasilocal conservation laws on quantum transport and relaxation [11]. It has, however, remained an open question of how these exact steady state solutions fit into the general framework of integrability. For example, the solvable dissipatively driven boundaries cannot be generated using the solutions of the ubiquitous reflection equations [12], which constitute the standard framework for generating integrable boundaries in the coherent (nondissipative, Hamiltonian) setting.

In this letter we propose a new direction for a general construction of integrable incoherent boundaries of interacting quantum chains. We construct local Lax operators that appear not to be related to the standard solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation for the eight-vertex model and have an auxiliary dimension which, unlike in the usual scenario, differs from site to site. They generate a conserved transfer matrix with a manifestly inhomogeneous matrix product structure. As a straightforward application of our result we use this mechanism to solve the problem of a boundary driven anisotropic $XYZ$ spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain in the limit of strong dissipation (the so-called Zeno regime), where the driving mechanism polarises the boundary-localised degrees of freedom in a fixed direction of an arbitrary choice.

The paper is organized in three parts. In the first part we introduce the model and the novel Lax operators and show, how they can be used to construct operators that commute with the model’s Hamiltonian. Our main technical tool is to show the validity of a generalized divergence condition for the Lax operators that guarantees cancellation of unwanted terms in the bulk. The divergence condition appears as an infinite set of recurrence relations that can be solved once the initial seed is provided. Their solutions are discussed in the second part. Complete analytical ansatz is established rigorously for a special case of the $XXZ$ spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain. In the more general case of the $XYZ$ model, we only explicitly provide the seed for the recurrence. The complexity of these equations currently only allows us to treat this second case as a numerical scheme. The third part of the letter deals with applications. Here, we introduce the dissipative boundary processes with arbitrary polarization and, in the limit of strong dissipation, treat them using solutions of the recurrence relations. In the $XXZ$ case we provide the explicit inhomogeneous matrix product form of the non-equilibrium steady state and in the $XYZ$ case a thoroughly checked recipe for its construction.

Inhomogeneous cancellation mechanism.− Consider an $XYZ$ spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain of length $N$, described by Pauli matrices $\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}$, $n \in \{1,2,\ldots,N\}$, $\alpha \in \{x,y,z\}$, whose dynamics is generated by a quantum Hamiltonian over the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = (\mathbb{C}^{2})^{\otimes N}$

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} h_{n,n+1}, \quad h_{n,n+1} = \vec{\sigma}_{n} \cdot J\vec{\sigma}_{n+1}. \quad (1)$$

Here $J = \text{diag}(J_{x},J_{y},J_{z})$ denotes the anisotropy tensor and $\vec{\sigma}_{n} = (\sigma_{n}^{x},\sigma_{n}^{y},\sigma_{n}^{z})$. Let $\{A_{n}\}_{n=0}^{N}$ be a sequence of auxiliary vector spaces of dimensions $\dim(A_{n}) = n + 1$.
and $L_n^\alpha, I_n \in \text{Lin}(A_{n-1}, A_n)$, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, linear maps between them. Denoting $\tilde{L}_n = (L_n^\alpha, L_n^y, L_n^z)$, let us define Lax operators $\mathbf{L}_n := \tilde{\sigma} \cdot L_n$, where $\tilde{\sigma} \cdot L_n := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} \sigma_\alpha L_n^\alpha$ as elements of $\text{Lin} (H \otimes A_{n-1}, H \otimes A_n)$. We wish to consider an inhomogeneous Sutherland equation

$$[h_{n,n+1}, \mathbf{L}_n \mathbf{L}_{n+1}] = i (I_n \mathbf{L}_{n+1} - \mathbf{L}_n I_{n+1}),$$

(2)

where the commutator on the left-hand side should be read as $\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{J}} [h_{n,n+1} + \sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta + \sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha] L_n^\beta L_{n+1}^\alpha$, with $I_n$ acting trivially over $H$. A straightforward calculation shows that the equation (2) is equivalent to a pair of discrete Landau-Lifshitz equations

$$\tilde{L}_n \times J \tilde{L}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{L}_n I_{n+1}, \quad J \tilde{L}_n \times \tilde{L}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} I_n \tilde{L}_{n+1},$$

(3)

Fixing $\tilde{L}_n$, this is an overdetermined set of linear equations for $L_{n+1}$. In this letter we will demonstrate (partly prove) and use the following:

**Proposition.** For a fixed initial datum $\tilde{L}_1$ (seed), which depends on two free complex parameters, there exist a solution to recurrence relations (3), unique up to a choice of basis in $A_n$.

To specify the bases of auxiliary spaces, we assume that $I_n$ is non-degenerate, choose basis $\{ |k\rangle \}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ of $A_{n-1}$, and then define $\{ |k\rangle |l\rangle \}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ as the first $n$ elements of the basis of $A_n$. Next, assuming non-degeneracy of some Lax component, say $L_n^z$, we can define an additional vector $|n\rangle := (n - 1) |L_n^z\rangle$, to have $A_0 = \mathbb{C} |0\rangle$, $A_n = A_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C} |n\rangle$, where the dual basis $\{ \langle k| \}$ has been introduced by $\langle k|l\rangle = \delta_{k,l}$. The operators $L_n^\alpha$ and $I_n$ can now be represented as rectangular $n \times (n + 1)$ matrices $L_n^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n} L_{n,k,l}^\alpha |k\rangle \langle l|$ and $I_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |k\rangle \langle k|$, respectively.

This proposition leads to an intriguing possibility. Consider a hermitian, positive semi-definite operator

$$R = \Omega \Omega^\dagger, \quad \Omega = \langle 0| \mathbf{L}_1 \cdots \mathbf{L}_N |\psi\rangle,$$

(4)

with operators $\mathbf{L}_n$ satisfying the condition (2), while $|0\rangle \in A_0$ and $\langle \psi| \in A_N$. Let $\otimes$ denote a (partial) tensor product of two copies of the auxiliary space corresponding to $\Omega$ and $\Omega^\dagger$, respectively, that acts as a multiplication over the physical (quantum) space $H$. Introducing $L_n = \mathbf{L}_n \otimes \mathbf{L}_n^*: = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{J}} \sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta L_n^\alpha \otimes (L_n^\beta)^*$, we can write

$$R = \langle 0, 0| \mathbf{L}_1 \cdots \mathbf{L}_N |\psi, \tilde{\psi}\rangle = \langle 0, \tilde{\psi}, | \mathbf{L}_1 \cdots \mathbf{L}_N |\psi, \tilde{\psi}\rangle,$$

where $|\psi, \tilde{\psi}\rangle := |\psi\rangle \otimes |\tilde{\psi}\rangle$. Here, $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the complex conjugation over the auxiliary space, i.e., $L_n^* = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{J}} \sigma_\alpha (L_n^\beta)^*$. Choosing arbitrary boundary fields $\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_N \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have (see Appendix A of the supplemental material (SM) [20])

$$[H + \tilde{h}_1 \cdot \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{h}_N \cdot \tilde{\sigma}, R] = (0, 0| \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{L}_2 \cdots \mathbf{L}_N |\psi, \tilde{\psi}\rangle = \langle 0, \tilde{\psi}, | \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{L}_2 \cdots \mathbf{L}_N |\psi, \tilde{\psi}\rangle,$$

$$F_1 = [2 \tilde{h}_1 \cdot \mathbf{L}_1 + i I_1] \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 - \mathbf{L}_1 \otimes [2 \tilde{h}_1 \cdot \mathbf{L}_1^* - i I_1], \quad F_1 = [2 \tilde{h}_N \cdot \mathbf{L}_N - i I_N] \otimes \mathbf{L}_N - \mathbf{L}_N \otimes [2 \tilde{h}_N \cdot \mathbf{L}_N^* + i I_N].$$

(5)

We see that the operator $R$ (4) commutes with the Hamiltonian with boundary magnetic fields, i.e.,

$$[H + \tilde{h}_1 \cdot \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{h}_N \cdot \tilde{\sigma}, R] = 0,$$

(6)

if equations

$$\langle 0| [2 \tilde{h}_1 \cdot \mathbf{L}_1 + i I_1]|\psi\rangle = 0, \quad [2 \tilde{h}_N \cdot \mathbf{L}_N - i I_N] |\psi\rangle = 0$$

(7)

are satisfied. Below we will (i) provide unique solutions to the Sutherland equation (3) and (ii) show an example of interesting physical application, where the boundary equations (7) can be solved.

Solving the nonlinear coupled equation (3) for $L_1$, at $n = 1$, we obtain – up to either trivial or equivalent solutions – the following two-parametric solution for the seed $(\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C})$:

$$L_1^z = \left( \frac{\xi + \eta}{(\xi + \eta) \sqrt{\sigma_+} + 1} \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\sigma_+}} \right),$$

$$L_1^\alpha = \left( \frac{\eta}{(\xi + \eta) \sqrt{\sigma_+}} \right), \quad L_1^z = (0, 1),$$

$$r = (\xi^2 + \eta^2)(\omega_{xy} \eta^2 - 1)(\omega_{xy} \xi^2 + 1)(\omega_{xz} \xi^2 + \omega_{yz} \eta^2 + 1),$$

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\sum_{x,y,z} (\xi^2 + \eta^2)(\omega_{xy} \eta^2 - 1)(\omega_{xy} \xi^2 + 1)(\omega_{xz} \xi^2 + \omega_{yz} \eta^2 + 1)}.$$

(8)

where $\omega_{\alpha\beta} := (J_\alpha^2 - J_\beta^2)$. Using a symbolic computer algebra we have checked, that the overdetermined linear equations (2) now generate unique $\tilde{L}_n$ for $n = 2, 3 \ldots N$. Each matrix element is of the form $\rho(\xi, \eta) + q(\xi, \eta) \sqrt{\tau}$ with some rational functions $p, q$. The complexity of the solution, however, quickly increases with $n$ and we were unable to determine its explicit analytic structure, hence, for $n > 5$ one can only efficiently solve (3) numerically.

Nevertheless, for the special case of $XXZ$ model, where $J_x = J_y = 1, J_z = \cos \gamma, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ or $\gamma \in i \mathbb{R}$, the recurrence (3) can in fact be explicitly solved (see Appendix B of SM [20]). Writing $L_n^\pm = \frac{1}{2} (L_n^+ + L_n^-)$ and $L_n^0 = \frac{1}{2} (L_n^+ - L_n^-)$, the solution reads

$$L_n^z = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |k\rangle \langle k + 1|,$$

$$L_n^\pm = \mp \eta \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \left( \frac{\pm i}{2 \cos \gamma} \right)^{k-l+1} M_{n,k,l} |k\rangle \langle l|,$$

(9)
where
\[ M_{n,k,l} = \frac{(\xi - \xi^{-1}) P_{n,k+1,l}(\cos \gamma)}{(\xi + \xi^{-1}) \sin \gamma} - 2 \frac{P_{n,k+1,l-1}(\cos \gamma)}{(\xi + \xi^{-1}) \cos \gamma}, \]
\[ P_{n,k,l}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{l} (-1)^m \binom{n-k}{m} \binom{n-m-1}{l-m} x^{n-2m}. \quad (10) \]

Here, free variables $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ provide a different parametrization than those in Eqs. (8).

For general $\xi, \eta$ we have checked that this solution of the Sutherland equation (2) cannot be reduced to any known solution of the Yang-Baxter equation by means of the Sutherland equation (2).

The problem of constructing the NESS in the limit of strong dissipation $\Gamma \to \infty$, where $\mathcal{D}_\mu[\rho], \mu \in \{l, r\}$, denote the dissipators at the left and right ends of the chain of $N+2$ sites, which we label by 0 and $N+1$, respectively. They are of the form $\mathcal{D}_\mu[\rho] = 2k_\mu \rho k_\mu^\dagger - \{k_\mu^\dagger k_\mu, \rho\}$ with jump operators $k_\mu = (\vec{n}_\mu, \vec{n}_\mu') \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{0,N+1}$ targeting polarizations $\vec{n}_\mu = \vec{n}(\theta_\mu, \varphi_\mu)$, where $\vec{n}(\theta, \varphi) = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$.

The targeted states of the dissipators are single-site pure states $\rho_\mu$, such that $\mathcal{D}_\mu[\rho_\mu] = 0$. The Hamiltonian is now provided by Eq. (1) extended by two sites $H' = H + h_{0,1} + h_{N,N+1}$.

The problem of constructing the NESS in the limit of strong dissipation (Zeno limit) has been rigorously examined, but not solved in [13]. In the limit $\Gamma \to \infty$ the NESS should obviously be of the form $\rho^{(0)} = \rho_0 \otimes R \otimes \rho_\Gamma$, where $R$ is some operator acting on sites 1, 2, 3, ..., $N$, i.e. on $H$. For large and finite $\Gamma$, we can proceed perturbatively with $\rho_\infty = \sum_{k \geq 0} \Gamma^{-k} \rho^{(k)}$. Plugging it into (11), demanding $d\rho_\infty/dt = 0$ and comparing the orders of $\Gamma^{-1}$, we get $\mathcal{D}_l[\rho^{(0)}] + \mathcal{D}_r[\rho^{(0)}] = 0$, which is automatically satisfied, and a sequence of equations
\[ \mathcal{D}_l[\rho^{(k+1)}] + \mathcal{D}_r[\rho^{(k+1)}] = i[H', \rho^{(k)}], \quad k \geq 0. \quad (12) \]

Additionally, we must require $[H', \rho^{(k)}]$ to be in the image of the dissipator $\mathcal{D}_l + \mathcal{D}_r$, yielding $\text{tr}_{1, N}[H', \rho^{(k)}] = 0$ [18].

For $k = 0$, this equation explicitly reads
\[ [H_D, R] = 0, \quad (13) \]
where $H_D$ is the dissipation-projected Hamiltonian that acts on sites 1, 2, ..., $N$ and takes the following form:
\[ H_D = H + (J\vec{n}_l) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1 + (J\vec{n}_r) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N. \quad (14) \]

We have arrived at the problem defined in the first section and solved by our ansatz (4), if equations (7) are satisfied for $\vec{h}_l = J\vec{n}_l$ and $\vec{h}_r = J\vec{n}_r$. Indeed, the left boundary equation, $\langle 0 | [2 (J\vec{n}_l) \cdot \vec{L}_1 + i I_1] = 0$, in reality a set of two equations for two variables, completely fixes the parameters $\eta$ and $\xi$ in $L_1^\eta$. In the XXZ case, the solution reads
\[ \eta = -e^{i\phi_0} \tan \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right), \quad \xi = \cos \frac{\gamma}{\sin \gamma - 1}. \quad (15) \]

In the general XYZ model, the solution to the left boundary equation (7) exists as well and is unique for our choice of bases in $A_{0,1}$. Choosing a gauge, different than in (8), it can be written explicitly in terms of left polarization axes,
\[ L_1^\eta = \frac{1}{J_{\alpha}} (-i\eta_{1}^{\alpha} n_{1}^{\alpha} - i\eta_{2}^{\alpha}). \quad (16) \]

We satisfy the left boundary equation by construction.

Having specified the parameters and thus fixed the ansatz in the bulk of the system by solving the recurrence (3) for $L_n^\eta$, we now turn to the right boundary equation $[2 (J\vec{n}_r) \cdot \vec{L}_N - i I_N] \psi = 0$ fixing $|\psi\rangle$. Writing $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \psi_n |n\rangle$, with $\psi_0 = 1$, this is a set of $N$ linear equations for $N$ unknowns $\psi_n$. One solution always exists and seems to be unique for generic values of the boundary angles $\theta_\mu$ and $\varphi_\mu$. In particular cases, for example, for XXZ chain with $\theta_r = \varphi_r = 0$, it can easily be computed analytically, $\psi_n = [i/(2 \cos \gamma)]^n$. In general, we compute it numerically.

When unique, the resulting operator $\rho^{(0)} = \rho_0 \otimes R \otimes \rho_\Gamma$ indeed reproduces the NESS of the Lindblad equation (11) in the Zeno limit: (i) In special cases, where the latter is known analytically [15], we find it in complete agreement with our ansatz. (ii) In generic cases, we resort to comparison with numerically exact NESS, computed via a method proposed in [13], which yields equivalence up to preset numerical precision. (iii) For finite values of the dissipation strength $\Gamma$, the ansatz $\rho^{(0)}$ converges toward the solution of $i[H', \rho(\Gamma)] = \Gamma \mathcal{D}_l[\rho(\Gamma)] + \Gamma \mathcal{D}_r[\rho(\Gamma)]$, as shown in Fig. 1, again indicating that the ansatz is correct. The right plot on Fig. 1 also shows, that operators $H$ and $\mathcal{H}_D$ are functionally independent, i.e. $R \neq f(H\mathcal{D})$ for at least piece-wise smooth function $f$, in turn implying nontriviality of our ansatz.

Note, that there are also cases, in which the right boundary vector $|\psi\rangle$ of the matrix product ansatz is not unique. We hypothesize this to happen in the subset of the phase space of measure zero. Even in this case, however, we find that the Zeno NESS is correctly reproduced by our ansatz, for a specific choice of the right boundary vector. Resolving this issue analytically requires considering higher orders $\rho^{(k)}$ of the perturbative expansion, which is out of our present scope.

The matrix product ansatz expression for $\rho^{(0)}$ allows for an efficient computation of local observables, such as
magnetization profiles and spin current, for previously inaccessible system sizes – see Fig. 2. In Fig. 3 we plot the phase diagram of the spin current exhibiting high sensitivity with resonance spiking as a function of anisotropy parameter.

FIG. 1. The left plot shows the difference $||\rho(0) - \rho(\Gamma)||_\infty$ between our ansatz for NESS in the Zeno limit and the solution to $\{H', \rho(\Gamma)\} = \Gamma D[\rho(\Gamma)] + \Gamma D_0[\rho(\Gamma)]$, respectively. $\|\|$ represents the operator norm and $N$ the number of internal sites, i.e., sites, not acted upon by the dissipation. The right panel shows the scatter plot of eigenvalues of $R$ versus eigenvalues of the dissipation projected Hamiltonian $H_D$ in a generic point where the spectrum of $H_D$ is nondegenerate, for $N = 8$, indicating functional independence of operators $R$ and $H_D$. 

FIG. 2. Profiles of magnetization in XXZ spin chain (left) and XYZ spin chain (right). The inset on the left graph shows exponential decay of the current with system size in the XXZ case. This is a generic example of our problem, parameters being $\phi_1 = \sqrt{3}\pi$, $\theta_1 = (1 - \sqrt{5}/4)\pi$, $\phi_2 = \sqrt{5}\pi/7$ and $\theta_2 = (7 - \sqrt{5})\pi/6$. In the XXZ case $\gamma = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2\pi$ and in XYZ case $J_x = 13/10$, $J_y = 6/5$, $J_z = 1$. System sizes (without the sites on which the jump operators act) are $N = 53$ and $N = 35$, respectively.

Discussion. – The Sutherland equation – divergence condition (2) and the boundary equations (7) are two crucial ingredients in the construction of conservation laws and nonequilibrium steady states of boundary driven spin chains. Here we have proposed a generalized, inhomogeneous Sutherland equation, in which the Lax matrices of the matrix product ansatz explicitly depend on the lattice site. We have demonstrated the applicability of the resulting matrix product ansatz by generating the nonequilibrium steady state of a boundary driven XYZ spin-1/2 chain with strong dissipative spin-polarizing boundary baths. Generically, our ansatz (4) can be also used as a tool to construct nontrivial conservation laws for the open spin chain with arbitrary nondiagonal boundary fields (6).

The structure of constituent matrices of our ansatz (4) is very different from that of previously treated Lax operators, which satisfy the celebrated Yang-Baxter equation. Besides having a site-dependent auxiliary structure, our Lax operators cannot be put into a tridiagonal form, even after all of the nonisomorphic local auxiliary spaces $A_n$ are embedded into a joint infinite dimensional auxiliary vector space. For example, it can be checked that our explicit representation (9,10) cannot be reduced to the highest weight representation of the $U_q(sl_2)$ quantum group symmetry of the $XXZ$ model, which has been used to solve Lindblad equation for the longitudinal [9] or transverse [10] dissipative boundaries. In other words, the Lax structure proposed here, seems to correspond to a new representation of the underlying symmetry alge-
bra, in which the auxiliary space is not fixed to some $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$ module, but rather corresponds to a ladder of linear vector spaces, transitions between which are represented by matrices of our ansatz. Similarly, we expect that for the anisotropic $XYZ$ model our inhomogeneous Lax operators and nonequilibrium dissipative solutions go beyond the off-diagonal Bethe ansatz which diagonalizes the closed Hamiltonian [21, 22]. It is left as an open future problem to find explicit analytic expression of the inhomogeneous Lax operators in the general $XYZ$ case, presumably in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions.
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[20] See supplemental material for the derivation of the boundary equations and the proof, that the inhomogeneous $XXZ$ ansatz satisfies the Sutherland relation.
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This note consists of two appendices. In the first one, we elaborate on the cancellation mechanism and explain, how it leads to boundary equations, that need to be solved in order for the ansatz (equation (4) of the main text) to work. In the second appendix we provide a proof of the explicit solution to the discrete Landau-Lifshitz equations (formula (3) in the main text) in the case of XXZ spin-1/2 chain.

Appendix A: Cancellation mechanism and the boundary equations

In this appendix we elaborate on the boundary equations, that need to be satisfied in order for the commutation relation

$$[H + \vec{h} \cdot \vec{\sigma} + \vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, R] = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (S-1)

to hold for an operator \( R = \Omega \Omega^\dagger \), with \( \Omega = (0| L_1 L_2 \ldots L_N | \psi) \). The Hamiltonian is given by Eq. (1) in the main text, while the inhomogeneous Lax operators \( L_n = \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{L}_n \) satisfy the so-called divergence condition in Eq. (2) in the main text. Straightforward application of the latter gives

$$[H, R] = i \left( \langle 0| L_2 \ldots L_N | \psi \rangle - \langle 0| L_1 \ldots L_{N-1} | \psi \rangle \right) \Omega^\dagger + i \Omega \left( \langle 0| L_2^* \ldots L_N^* | \psi \rangle - \langle 0| L_1^* \ldots L_{N-1}^* | \psi \rangle \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (S-2)

where \((\bullet)^*\) denotes the complex conjugation over the auxiliary space and \(| \tilde{\psi} \rangle := (|\psi\rangle)^*\). Using \( L = L \otimes L^* = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega} \sigma_\alpha^\gamma \sigma_\beta^\omega L_\alpha \otimes (L_\beta^*)^\omega\), where \( \otimes \) denotes the tensor product over auxiliary spaces and ordinary multiplication over the physical space \( \mathcal{H} \), we can rewrite this as

$$[H, R] = i \langle 0, \tilde{0}| (I_N \otimes L_1^* + L_1 \otimes I_1) L_2 \ldots L_N | \psi, \tilde{\psi} \rangle - i \langle 0, \tilde{0}| L_1 \ldots L_{N-1} (I_N \otimes L_N^* + L_N \otimes I_N) | \psi, \tilde{\psi} \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S-3)

On the other hand we have

$$[\vec{h}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1, R] = (0, \tilde{0}) [\vec{h}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1, L_1] L_2 \ldots L_N | \psi, \tilde{\psi} \rangle, \quad [\vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, R] = (0, \tilde{0}) L_1 \ldots L_{N-1} [\vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, L_N] | \psi, \tilde{\psi} \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S-4)

where the commutators can be explicitly rewritten as

$$[\vec{h}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1, L_1] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega} \sigma_\alpha^\gamma L_1^\beta \otimes (L_1^*)^\omega [\sigma_\alpha^\gamma, \sigma_\beta^\omega] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega} \sigma_\alpha^\gamma L_1^\beta \otimes (L_1^*)^\omega \varepsilon_{\beta, \gamma, \delta} [\sigma_\alpha^\gamma, \sigma_\delta^\omega] =$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \omega} 2 h_1^\alpha \sigma_\alpha^\gamma L_1^\beta \otimes (L_1^*)^\omega \varepsilon_{\beta, \gamma, \delta, \omega} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega} 2 h_1^\alpha \sigma_\alpha^\gamma L_1^\beta \otimes (L_1^*)^\omega$$

and similarly \( [\vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, L_N] = 2 [\vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, L_N] L_N^* - 2 L_N \otimes (\vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{L}_N^*) \). Putting everything together, we have

$$[H + \vec{h}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1 + \vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, R] = \langle 0, \tilde{0}| \left( [2 \vec{h}_1 \cdot \vec{L}_1 + i I_1] L_2 \ldots L_N | \psi, \tilde{\psi} \rangle +$$

$$+ \langle 0, \tilde{0}| L_1 \ldots L_{N-1} \left( [2 \vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{L}_N - i I_N] L_N^* - L_N \otimes [2 \vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{L}_N^* + i I_N] \right) \right) | \psi, \tilde{\psi} \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S-6)

If the boundary equations \( \langle 0| [2 \vec{h}_1 \cdot \vec{L}_1 + i I_1] = 0 \) and \( [2 \vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{L}_N - i I_N] | \psi \rangle = 0 \) are satisfied, the operator \( R \) commutes with the Hamiltonian \( H + \vec{h}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1 + \vec{h}_r \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N \).
Appendix B: Proof of the ansatz in the XXZ case

In the XXZ case, the tensor of anisotropic spin-spin interactions becomes \( J = \text{diag}(1,1,\cos \gamma) \). Writing \( L^z_n = \frac{1}{2}(L^+_n + L^-_n) \) and \( L^y_n = \frac{1}{2i}(L^+_n - L^-_n) \), the discrete spatial Landau-Lifshitz equations (3) hold, if

\[
L_n^+ L^-_{n+1} - L_n^- L^+_{n+1} = i L_n^z I_{n+1}, \quad L_n^+ L^+_{n+1} - L_n^- L^-_{n+1} = i L_n^z I_n.
\]

\[\text{(S-7)}\]

Our goal in this appendix is, to show, that the ansatz

\[
L^z_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |k\rangle \langle k+1|, \quad L^y_n = \pm \eta \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pm i}{2 \cos \gamma} \right)^{k-l+1} M_{n;k,l} |k\rangle \langle l|,
\]

\[\text{(S-8)}\]

satisfies algebraic relations (S-7). This will be done in two parts. Firstly, we will discuss three lemmas which will facilitate the proof of the relations themselves. The latter will be presented in the second part.

B1: Lemmas

**Lemma 1.** Polynomials given in (S-8), satisfy the following recurrence relations

\[
P_{n,k,l}(x) = x^t [P_{n-1,k-1,l-1}(x) + P_{n-1,k-1,l}(x)],
\]

\[
P_{n,k,l}(x) = x^t [P_{n+1,k+1,l+1}(x) - P_{n+1,k+1,l}(x)].
\]

**Proof.** This is a simple consequence of the Pascal rule for the binomial coefficients. \(\square\)

**Remark.** Note, that the recurrence relations hold irrespective of what integer \(l\) is. For example, we have \(P_{n,k,0}(x) = x^n\) and \(P_{n,k,l} = 0\) for \(l < 0\), which is consistent with the relations.

**Lemma 2.** Binomial coefficients satisfy the relations

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n-t-1} (-1)^s \binom{n-t-1}{s-t} \binom{n-s}{t'} = (-1)^t (\delta_{t',n-t} + \delta_{t',n-t-1}),
\]

for \(0 \leq t \leq n-1, \ t' \in \mathbb{Z}\) and

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s \binom{n-t-1}{s-t-1} \binom{n-s}{t'} = (-1)^{t+1} \delta_{t',n-t-1},
\]

for \(-1 \leq t \leq n-1, \ t' \in \mathbb{Z}\).

**Proof.** The first relation is

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n-t-1} (-1)^s \binom{n-t-1}{s-t} \binom{n-s}{t'} = \sum_{s=0}^{n-t-1} (-1)^s \left\{ \binom{n-t-1}{n-s-1} \binom{n-s-1}{t'-1} + \binom{n-t-1}{n-s-1} \binom{n-s-1}{t'} \right\} =
\]

\[
= \sum_{s'=0}^{n-t-1} (-1)^{n-s'-1} \binom{n-t-1}{s'} \binom{s'}{t'-1} + \sum_{s'=0}^{n-t-1} (-1)^{n-s'-1} \binom{n-t-1}{s'-1} \binom{s'}{t'} =
\]

\[
= \sum_{s'=t'-1}^{n-t-1} (-1)^{n-s'-1} \binom{n-t-1}{s'} \binom{s'}{t'-1} + \sum_{s'=t'-1}^{n-t-1} (-1)^{n-s'-1} \binom{n-t-1}{s'-1} \binom{s'}{t'} =
\]

\[
= (-1)^t (\delta_{t',n-t} + \delta_{t',n-t-1}).
\]
In the last equality we have used one of the standard binomial sum identities: \( \sum_{s=m}^{n} (-1)^{n-s} \binom{s}{m} = \delta_{n,m} \). To do so, we have truncated the sums, \( \sum_{s'=0}^{n-1} \to \sum_{s'=t'-1}^{n-1} \) and \( \sum_{s'=0}^{n-1} \to \sum_{s'=t'}^{n-1} \), respectively. This is possible even for \( t' \leq 0 \). In this case, the first sum will vanish, since \( \binom{b}{a} = 0 \) if \( b < 0 \). On the other hand, we can only change the upper bound from \( n-1 \) to \( n-t-1 \) if \( t \geq 0 \).

The second relation is

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{s} \binom{n-t-1}{s} \binom{n-s}{t'} = \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{s} \binom{n-t-1}{n-s} \binom{n-s}{t'} = \sum_{s'=0}^{n-t-1} (-1)^{n-s'} \binom{n-t-1}{s'} \binom{n-s'}{t'} = (-1)^{t+1} \delta_{t', n-t-1}.
\]

Again, we have used the identity \( \sum_{s=m}^{n} (-1)^{n-s} \binom{n}{s} = \delta_{n,m} \), after truncating the sum according to \( \sum_{s'=0}^{n} \to \sum_{s'=t'}^{n} \). This is possible even for \( t = -1 \) and \( t' \leq 0 \).

**Lemma 3.** For \( 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \) polynomials given by (S-8), satisfy the relations

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{s} P_{n,k+1,s}(x)P_{n+1,s+1,l}(x) = (-1)^{k} \left( \delta_{k,l} x^{3} + \delta_{k,l-1} (x^{3} - x) \right),
\]

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{s} P_{n,k+1,s-1}(x)P_{n+1,s+1,l}(x) = (-1)^{k+1} x^{3} \left( \delta_{k,l} + \delta_{k,l-1} \right).
\]

**Proof.** We start by proving the first relation. We write out the left hand side:

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{s} P_{n,k+1,s}(x)P_{n+1,s+1,l}(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{s} \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{n-t-1}{s} x^{n-2t} \sum_{t'=0}^{l} (-1)^{t'} \binom{n-s}{t'} \binom{n-t'}{l-t'} x^{n-2t'+1}.
\]

Since \( \binom{a}{b} = 0 \) for \( a < b \) or \( b < 0 \), we can truncate the sum over \( s \) at \( n-1 \) and extend sums over \( t \) and \( t' \) up to \( n-1 \) and \( n \), respectively. We get

\[
= \sum_{t'=0}^{n} (-1)^{t+t'} x^{2n-2t-2t'+1} \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{n-t-1}{n-l} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{s} \binom{n-s}{s} \binom{n-t}{t'} \binom{n-t'}{l-t'} \binom{n-t}{n-t-1} \binom{n-t}{n-t-1} - \delta_{t', n-t} + \delta_{t', n-t-1},
\]

which, after using Lemma 2, becomes

\[
= \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-t} \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{t}{n-l} x + \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-t-1} \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{t+1}{n-l} x^{3} = \sum_{t=0}^{n-k-1} (-1)^{n-t} \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{t}{n-l} x + \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-t-1} \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{t}{n-l} + \binom{t}{n-l-1} \binom{t}{n-l} x^{3} = (-1)^{k+1} \delta_{k,l-1} x + \sum_{t=n-l}^{n-k-1} (-1)^{n-t-1} \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{t}{n-l} x^{3} = (-1)^{k+1} \delta_{k,l-1} x + (-1)^{k} \delta_{k,l-1} x^{3} + (-1)^{k} \delta_{k,l} x^{3} = (-1)^{k} \left( \delta_{k,l} x^{3} - \delta_{k,l-1} (x^{3} - x) \right).
\]

To produce the Kronecker deltas via identity \( \sum_{s=m}^{n} (-1)^{n-s} \binom{n}{s} = \delta_{n,m} \), we had to truncate the sum over \( t \) at \( n-k-1 \). This is allowed by the assumption \( k \geq 0 \).
The second relation is even simpler to prove, again starting by writing out the left hand side:

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s P_{n,k+1,s-1}(x) P_{n+1,s+1,t}(x) = \\
= \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{s-1} \sum_{t=0}^{s-1} (-1)^t \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{n-t-1}{s-t-1} x^{n-2t} \sum_{t'=0}^{l} (-1)^{t'} \binom{n-s}{t'} (n-t') x^{n-2t'+1}.
\]

Since \(\binom{n}{n} = 0\) for \(b > a\) or \(b < 0\), we can extend the sum over \(t\) up to the maximum \(s-1 = n-1\). The sum over \(t'\) can be extended up to \(n\). We then get

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n} \sum_{t'=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{t'+t} x^{n-2t-2t'+1} \binom{n-k-1}{t} \binom{n-t-1}{n-l} \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s \binom{n-t-1}{s-t-1} \binom{n-s}{t'} x^{n-2t'+1} = \\
=(-1)^{k+1} x^3 (\delta_{k,l-1} + \delta_{k,l}).
\]

This completes the proof of the three lemmas.

Remark. The polynomial relations from Lemma 3 are trivially satisfied even for \(l < 0\), since then \(P_{n,k,l} = 0\).

B2: Proof of algebraic relations

We will now finally prove, that the ansatz (S-8) satisfies the algebraic relations (S-7), using the Lemmas 1 and 3 from the previous subsection.

**Corollary 1.** Relations \(L_n^+ L_{n+1}^- - L_n^- L_{n+1}^+ = i L_n^z L_{n+1}^-\) and \(L_n^+ L_{n+1}^- - L_n^- L_{n+1}^+ = i L_n^z L_{n+1}^-\) are satisfied.

**Proof.** Since they are equivalent, we will only prove the first one. Explicitly, the first relation reads

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} (-1)^k - (-1)^l \frac{i}{2 \cos \gamma} \binom{k-l}{A_{k,l}} |k\rangle \langle l| = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} (i \delta_{k,l-1}) |k\rangle \langle l|,
\]

where

\[
A_{k,l} = \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s M_{n,k,s} M_{n+1,s+1,l} = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma} \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s P_{n,k+1,s-1}(\cos \gamma) P_{n+1,s+1,l}(\cos \gamma) + \frac{4}{(\xi + \xi^{-1})^2} \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s \frac{1}{\cos^2 \gamma} P_{n,k+1,s-1}(\cos \gamma) P_{n+1,s+1,l}(\cos \gamma) \frac{1}{\sin \gamma} P_{n,k+1,s-1}(\cos \gamma) P_{n+1,s+1,l}(\cos \gamma) - \frac{\xi - \xi^{-1}}{2 \cos \gamma \sin \gamma} [P_{n,k+1,s-1}(\cos \gamma) P_{n+1,s+1,l-1}(\cos \gamma) + P_{n,k+1,s-1}(\cos \gamma) P_{n+1,s+1,l}(\cos \gamma)].
\]

Because of the prefactor \((-1)^k - (-1)^l\), only the cases where \(k - l\) is an odd integer need to be checked. Since there is no \(\xi\)-dependence on the right hand side of the relation (S-9), the second sum in \(A_{k,l}\) should be zero. Note, that we
can use Lemma 3 in all of the terms of the matrix element $A_{k,l}$. This gives

$$A_{k,l} = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \gamma} (-1)^k (\delta_{k,l-1} (\cos^3 \gamma - \cos \gamma) + \delta_{k,l} \cos^3 \gamma) +$$

$$+ \frac{4}{(\xi + \xi^{-1})^2} \left( (-1)^{k+1} \cos \gamma (\delta_{k,l-1} + \delta_{k,l-2}) + (-1)^k \cos \gamma \delta_{k,l-1} - (-1)^k \delta_{k,l} \cos^2 \gamma - \frac{\xi - \xi^{-1}}{2 \cos \gamma \sin \gamma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1} \right) \delta_{k,l-2} (\cos^3 \gamma - \cos \gamma) + \delta_{k,l-1} \cos^3 \gamma \right) + (-1)^{k+1} \cos^3 \gamma (\delta_{k,l} + \delta_{k,l-1}) \right).$$

For odd $k - l$ it becomes $A_{k,l} = (-1)^{k+1} \cos \gamma \delta_{k,l-1}$. Using this result we see that (S.9) is indeed satisfied. □

**Corollary 2.** Relations $L_n^z L_{n+1}^z - \cos \gamma L_n^x L_{n+1}^x = \pm \frac{i}{2} L_n^x I_{n+1}^y$ are satisfied.

**Proof.** Explicitly, they are both equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \left( \frac{\pm i}{2 \cos \gamma} \right)^{k-l+2} \left( M_{n+1,k+1,l} - \cos \gamma M_{n,k,l-1} \right) |k \rangle \langle l | = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \left( \frac{\pm i}{2 \cos \gamma} \right)^{k-l+2} \left( \cos \gamma M_{n,k,l} \right) |k \rangle \langle l |,$

where we note $M_{n,k,l-1} = 0$, for $l = 0$. They are obviously satisfied by courtesy of the first polynomial recurrence in Lemma 1. □

**Corollary 3.** Relations $\cos \gamma L_n^z L_{n+1}^z - L_n^x L_{n+1}^x = \pm \frac{i}{2} I_n L_{n+1}^y$ are satisfied.

**Proof.** Explicitly, they read

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \left( \frac{\pm i}{2 \cos \gamma} \right)^{k-l+2} \left( \cos \gamma M_{n+1,k+1,l} - M_{n,k,l-1} \right) |k \rangle \langle l | = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \left( \frac{\pm i}{2 \cos \gamma} \right)^{k-l+2} \left( \cos \gamma M_{n+1,k,l} \right) |k \rangle \langle l |.$$

Again, note $M_{n,k,l-1} = 0$, for $l = 0$. These relations are satisfied due to the second polynomial recurrence in Lemma 1. □