

# THE SPACE OF PERSISTENCE DIAGRAMS ON $n$ POINTS COARSELY EMBEDS INTO HILBERT SPACE

ATISH MITRA AND ŽIGA VIRK

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that the space of persistence diagrams on  $n$  points (with the bottleneck or a Wasserstein distance) coarsely embeds into Hilbert space by showing it is of asymptotic dimension  $2n$ . Such an embedding enables utilisation of Hilbert space techniques on the space of persistence diagrams. We also prove that when the number of points is not bounded, the corresponding spaces of persistence diagrams do not have finite asymptotic dimension. Furthermore, in the case of the bottleneck distance, the corresponding space does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Persistent homology is a version of homology encompassing multiscale information about the underlying space. In the classical setting it produces a representation called the persistence diagram. This presentation has two important properties: it is planar (and hence visually easy to analyze) and stable with respect to the input, when an appropriate metric (the Bottleneck or the Wasserstein metrics) is used on the space of persistence diagrams. (See [8] for details) These two properties have played an important role in recent development of persistence in both applied and theoretical setting. However, the tools of statistics and machine learning usually rely on the structure of a Hilbert space, so a question about the embedding of spaces of persistence diagrams arises naturally from applied perspective. Any embedding of this sort would provide an important link between topological data analysis and statistical tools.

Such embeddings have been considered before with mostly negative results. Roughly speaking, for certain spaces of persistence diagrams there are no isometric [14], bilipshitz [2] or coarse [6] (see Remark 4.4) embeddings into a Hilbert space (for a precise statements consult the mentioned papers). Basic properties of spaces of persistence diagrams (and why they are not a Hilbert space) have been established in a number of papers including [11], [5].

In this paper we consider coarse embeddings (i.e., approximate embeddings with a bound on the size of discontinuities) of certain spaces of persistence diagrams into the Hilbert space. The above-mentioned results suggest that positive embedding results are to be expected for coarse embeddings above all. The ideas of coarse geometry (also called asymptotic topology) were originally motivated by geometric group theory and works of Gromov [9]. The field itself became even more active after Yu [16] showed that finite asymptotic dimension, and more generally coarse embeddings into Hilbert space, provide sufficient conditions in the context of the Novikov conjecture. Consequently, a broad study of coarse embeddings and their connection with coarse properties was initiated.

---

*Date:* February 6, 2024.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54F45; Secondary 55M10.

This research was partially supported by a bilateral grant BI-US/18-20-060 of ARRS. The first named author wishes to thank the Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, and the University of Ljubljana, for their hospitality during his visit.

The main results of this paper are the following:

**Theorem 3.2:** The space of persistence diagrams on  $n$  points (with any of the mentioned metrics) is of asymptotic dimension  $2n$  and hence coarsely embeds into Hilbert space.

This is the first positive result about embeddings of persistence diagrams.

**Theorem 4.3:** The space of persistence diagrams on finitely many points equipped with the bottleneck distance does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space.

A notable technical contribution of this paper is a reformulation of metrics on the spaces of persistence diagrams in Section 2. While somehow deviating from the Euclidean intuition, the reformulation provides a shorter definition of metrics and an efficient use of tools of coarse geometry, often leading to shorter proofs as (for example) in Section 3. See Remark 2.5 for details. When the number of points in persistence diagrams is not bounded, it is easy to see that the underlying space of persistence diagrams is not of finite asymptotic dimension (Corollary 2.9).

**Related work:** There are many maps (sometimes called kernels) from a space of persistence diagrams to a Hilbert space that are in use today. Some of them are listed in [2], where an analysis of bilipschitz embedding is performed. [4] shows that the space of persistent diagrams on finitely many points fails to have property A (hence is not of finite asymptotic dimension) in the Wasserstein metrics. A result of [6] is closely related to Theorem 4.3 (see Remark 4.4 for details) and shows that the space of persistent diagrams on countably many points in the bottleneck metric does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space. Computing the asymptotic dimension we rely on a result of [10] (Theorem 2.11) stating that finite group actions preserve asymptotic dimension. This action is a particular example of coarsely  $n$ -to-1 maps, for which the asymptotic dimension can be controlled [1]. It seems that our computation of asymptotic dimension can be adjusted to simplify the computation in hyperspaces and provide a short proof of [13].

**Structure of the paper:** In Section 2 we introduce basics on persistence diagrams (including an unorthodox definition) and coarse geometry. In Section 3 we compute the asymptotic dimension of persistence diagrams on  $n$  points. In Section 4 we consider embeddings of arbitrary finite metric spaces into the space of persistence diagrams on finitely many points (with the bottleneck distance) and prove that the later does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce notation and technical preliminaries required for our results.

**2.1. Persistence Diagrams.** Persistence diagrams appear as planar visualisations of persistence modules and persistent homology. We will first build up a notation that encompasses most of the interesting cases of spaces of persistent diagrams.

**Definition 2.1.** Introducing preliminary setting we define:

- (1) metric  $d_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by  $d_\infty((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \max\{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\}$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{D}^1 = T \cup \{\Delta\}$  where  $\Delta \notin T = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_2 > x_1 \geq 0\}$ ;
- (3) metric  $\delta$  on  $\mathcal{D}^1$  as an extension of  $d_\infty|_T$  on  $T$  by defining  $\delta((x_1, x_2), \Delta) = (x_2 - x_1)/2$ .

**Remark 2.2.** In Definition 2.1 we could replace  $T$  by  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_2 > x_1\}$ . We choose to opt for the current definition as it seems more standard. All results and arguments mentioned in this paper hold for the other cases as well.

Point  $\Delta$  represents the diagonal  $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_2 = x_1\}$  in the usual description of persistence diagrams and  $\delta((x_1, x_2), \Delta)$  is actually the  $d_\infty$  distance from  $(x_1, x_2)$  to the diagonal. We find it technically easier to do analysis of the spaces of persistence diagrams by considering the whole

diagonal as one point rather than a collection of infinitely many points, as is usually done in the literature.

**Definition 2.3.** Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Introducing spaces of persistence diagrams we define:

- (1) matching (pairing) to be a bijection between sets. If the sets are the same then the matching is a permutation;
- (2) **the space of persistence diagrams** on at most  $n$  points as  $\mathcal{D}^n = (\mathcal{D}^1)^n / \mathcal{S}_n$ , where the group of symmetries  $\mathcal{S}_n$  acts on the coordinates by permutation, i.e., we identify diagrams  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), z' = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n) \in (\mathcal{D}^1)^n$  iff there exists a matching  $\varphi$  on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  so that  $z_i = z'_{\varphi(i)}$ ;
- (3) a natural inclusion  $\mathcal{D}^n \subset \mathcal{D}^{n+1}$  by appending point  $\Delta$ . We will frequently use this inclusion implicitly, for example by identifying diagrams  $(a)$  and  $(a, \Delta)$ . Consequently we can define  $\mathcal{D}^{<\infty} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}^n$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p > 1$ . Introducing metrics on the spaces of persistence diagrams we define:

- (1) **bottleneck distance**  $d_{\mathcal{B}}$  on  $\mathcal{D}^n$ : for points  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), z' = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n)$  in  $\mathcal{D}^n$  define

$$A(z) = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, \Delta, \dots, \Delta) \in (\mathcal{D}^1)^{2n}$$

$$A(z') = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n, \Delta, \dots, \Delta) \in (\mathcal{D}^1)^{2n}$$

i.e., we append  $n$  copies of  $\Delta$  to each of the diagrams by defining  $z_i = z'_i = \Delta, \forall i \in \{n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n\}$ . The bottleneck distance is defined as

$$d_{\mathcal{B}}(z, z') = \min_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{2n}} \max_i \delta(z_i, z'_{\varphi(i)}).$$

Matching  $\varphi$ , for which the minimum above is obtained, is called optimal. See Remark 2.5 for technical clarifications.

- (2)  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^n = (\mathcal{D}^n, d_{\mathcal{B}})$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{<\infty} = (\mathcal{D}^{<\infty}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ . Note that  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^1$  is not isometric to  $(\mathcal{D}^1, \delta)$ .
- (3)  **$p$ -Wasserstein distance**  $d_{\mathcal{W},p}$  on  $\mathcal{D}^n$ : for points  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), z' = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n)$  in  $\mathcal{D}^n$  use the notation of (2) to define

$$d_{\mathcal{W},p}(z, z') = \min_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{2n}} \left( \sum_i \delta(z_i, z'_{\varphi(i)})^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Matching  $\varphi$ , for which the infimum above is obtained, is called optimal.

- (4)  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{W},p}^n = (\mathcal{D}^n, d_{\mathcal{W},p})$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{W},p}^{<\infty} = (\mathcal{D}^{<\infty}, d_{\mathcal{W},p})$ .

Note that the convention of Definition 2.3(3) implies that metrics  $d_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $d_{\mathcal{W},p}$  are well defined even if  $z \in \mathcal{D}^n$  and  $z' \in \mathcal{D}^m$  for  $n \neq m$ . For example, if  $n > m$  we append  $m - n$  copies of  $\Delta$  to  $z'$  to compute the mentioned distances.

Matching on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is perfect for  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), z' = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n)$  in  $\mathcal{D}^n$  if the following holds:  $z_i = \Delta$  iff  $z'_{\varphi(i)} = \Delta$ .

**Remark 2.5.** In this remark we provide clarifications to Definition 2.4. The distances in (1) and (3) are defined in a non-standard way. Rather than adding infinitely many points on the diagonal, we append to each diagram with  $n$  points only  $n$  copies of the diagonal point, which suffice to accommodate the usual matching with diagonal points. This has two advantages. First, the definitions of the distances simplifies: there is only one term instead of the usual sum of three cases or the inclusion of infinitely many diagonal points. Second, this definition allows us to express

...  ...

FIGURE 1.  $\text{asdim} \mathbb{R} \leq 1$

the space of persistence diagrams as a natural quotient of appropriate spaces in Section 3, which is crucial in our computation of asymptotic dimension.

The importance of the mentioned distances on spaces of persistence diagrams arises from Stability results (see [8] for an overview of those), which state that in a certain sense, the persistent diagrams vary continuously with respect to the underlying filtrations or datasets.

**2.2. Coarse Geometry.** We will now introduce the basic terms and definitions of coarse geometry that are used in this paper. The first concepts we introduce are the notions of coarse embedding and coarse equivalence.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function between metric spaces.

- (1)  $f$  is said to be a coarse embedding if for  $i = 1, 2$  there are non-decreasing functions  $\rho_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\rho_1(d(x_1, x_2)) \leq d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq \rho_2(d(x_1, x_2))$  and with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_1(t) = \infty$ .
- (2) If, in addition,  $f$  is coarsely onto then  $f$  is said to be a coarse equivalence. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be coarsely onto if there is  $D > 0$  such that the  $D$ -neighborhood of  $f(X)$  is all of  $Y$  (for every  $y \in Y$  there is  $x \in X$  such that  $d(f(x), y) \leq D$ ).

Next we introduce the concept of asymptotic dimension, which turns out to be the appropriate concept of dimension in coarse geometry.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. We say that the asymptotic dimension of a metric space  $X$  is less than or equal to  $n$  ( $\text{asdim} X \leq n$ ) iff for every  $R > 0$  the space  $X$  can be expressed as the union of  $n + 1$  subsets  $X_i$ , with each  $X_i$  being an union of uniformly bounded  $R$ -disjoint sets.

Asymptotic dimension is a coarse invariant, i.e., coarsely equivalent spaces have the same asymptotic dimension. For a self contained survey of asymptotic dimension see [3].

As an example, to see that  $\text{asdim}(\mathbb{R}) \leq 1$  we need to (for each  $R > 0$ ) express  $\mathbb{R}$  as the union of two (2) families of uniformly bounded  $R$ -disjoint sets. See Figure 1 for a decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}$  into two such families. One can use similar "brick decompositions" to get upper bounds of asymptotic dimension of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (for any  $n$ ). Getting lower bounds usually need special techniques. We will use Lemma 2.8 as a direct way of getting lower bounds on asymptotic dimension of a space.

Throughout the paper we will use various metrics on the product of spaces: if  $(X, d)$  is a metric space,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $p \geq 1$ , we can define metrics on  $X^n$  by

$$d_\infty(z, z') = \max_i d(z_i, z'_i), \quad d_p(z, z') = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n d(z_i, z'_i)^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for for points  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), z' = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n) \in X^n$ . We will often refer to the  $d_\infty$  metric as the max metric.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $p > 1$ . If for every  $R > 0$  there is an isometric embedding of  $([0, R]^m, d_\infty)$  or  $([0, R]^m, d_p)$  in  $X$ , then  $\text{asdim} X \geq m$ .*

*Proof.* Assuming (towards a contradiction) that  $\text{asdim} X \leq m - 1$ , we can get (for any  $r > 0$ ) a cover of  $X$  into  $m$  families of uniformly bounded  $r$ -disjoint sets. Thus, given any  $1 > \epsilon > 0$  we can

construct by suitable scaling an  $\epsilon$ -small open cover of  $[0, 1]^m$  of multiplicity less than or equal to  $m$ , contradicting the fact that the topological dimension of  $[0, 1]^m$  is  $m$  in  $d_p$  and  $d_\infty$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *For each  $p > 1$  spaces  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{W},p}^{\infty}$  are not of finite asymptotic dimension.*

*Proof.* For each  $R > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we can isometrically embed  $([0, R]^n, d_\infty)$  or  $([0, R]^n, d_p)$  into  $\mathcal{D}_{<\infty}^n$  or  $\mathcal{D}_p^n$  respectively by mapping  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (2R, 4R + x_1, 4R, 6R + x_2, \dots, 2nR, 2nR + 2 + x_n)$ . The conclusion follows by Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

Finiteness of asymptotic dimension is closely related to embeddability questions - as the following well known result shows.

**Theorem 2.10.** *[Roe, [12] Example 11.5] A metric space of finite asymptotic dimension coarsely embeds in a Hilbert space.*

As an example of the importance of Hilbert space embeddability in coarse geometry, see [16]. One of our interests in the current paper is questions of embeddability: whether there exist embeddings of interesting spaces in the spaces of persistence diagrams, and whether the spaces of persistence diagrams themselves can be embedded in interesting spaces.

In Section 3 we will use the following result about behavior of asymptotic dimension under finite group actions, to get an exact value of  $\text{asdim} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^n$ . When a finite group  $F$  acts by isometries on a metric space  $X$ , we will define the metric on  $X/F$  by  $d_F(Fx, Fx') = \min_{f \in F} d(x, fx')$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *[Kasprowski, [10] Theorem 1.1] Let  $X$  be a proper metric space and  $F$  be a finite group acting on  $X$  by isometries. Then  $X/F$  has the same asymptotic dimension as that of  $X$ .*

Given a sequence of bounded metric spaces  $(X_n, d_n)$  we can define a metric  $d$  on their disjoint union  $\sqcup_n X_n$  such that  $d$  restricted to  $X_n$  is  $d_n$ , and for  $i \neq j$  and  $x_i \in X_i$ ,  $x_j \in X_j$ ,  $d(x_i, x_j) > \max\{\text{diam}(X_i), \text{diam}(X_j)\}$ . Any two such metrics on  $\sqcup_n X_n$  are coarsely equivalent.

In Theorem 2.12 below we will consider  $\mathbb{Z}_k = \{[0], \dots, [k-1]\}$ , the set of integers modulo  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , as a metric space. The metric is defined as  $d([i], [j]) = \min\{|i' - j'| : [i' - j'] = [i - j]\}$ . This is the usual word metric on the finitely generated group  $\mathbb{Z}_k$ .

As mentioned above, the question of coarse embeddability (and non-embeddability) of metric spaces into Hilbert space have been studied extensively. In Section 4 we will use the following result to show that  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}$  does not coarsely embed in a Hilbert space.


**Theorem 2.12.** *[Dranishnikov et al, [7] Proposition 6.3]*

*Consider  $(\mathbb{Z}_n)^m$  as a metric space, where the integers mod  $n$  has the word metric and the  $m$ -fold product has the max metric  $d_\infty$ . Let  $S$  be the disjoint union of  $(\mathbb{Z}_n)^m$  (for all  $m, n \geq 1$ ). We define a metric  $d$  on  $S$  whose restriction to each  $(\mathbb{Z}_n)^m$  coincides with its existing metric, and such that  $d(x, y) > m + n + m' + n'$  for  $x \in (\mathbb{Z}_n)^m$  and  $y \in (\mathbb{Z}_{n'})^{m'}$ . Then  $S$  does not coarsely embed in a Hilbert space.*

### 3. ASYMPTOTIC DIMENSION OF SPACES OF PERSISTENCE DIAGRAMS WITH AT MOST $n$ POINTS

In this section we compute the exact asymptotic dimension of the space of persistence diagrams with at most  $n$  points with either the bottleneck distance ( $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^n$ ) or the  $p$ -Wasserstein distances ( $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{W},p}^n$ ). Due to the following Proposition 3.1, it suffices to prove the result just for the case of the bottleneck distance. By 2.10, the finiteness of asymptotic dimension of these spaces imply that they admit coarse embeddings into a Hilbert space.

**Proposition 3.1.** *for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^n$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{W},p}^n$  are coarsely equivalent.*

FIGURE 2.  $\text{asdim}\mathcal{D}_1^\infty \leq 2$ 

*Proof.* This can be checked by direct comparison of the definitions of these metrics.  $\square$

The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 3.2.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\text{asdim}\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{W},p}^n = \text{asdim}\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^n = 2n$ .

The following lemma deals with the case  $n = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.3.**  $\text{asdim}\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^1 = 2$

*Proof.* The inequality  $\text{asdim}\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \leq 2$  is obtained by adapting the usual 3-colored brick decomposition of the plane (see [3]) to our case (in Figure 2, note that the single monochromatic set neighboring the diagonal has finite diameter, due to the definition of the bottleneck metric). We will argue that (for arbitrary  $R$ ) we can isometrically embed the  $R$ -square  $[0, R]^2$  in  $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}^1$ , from which we will have the lower bound. Given the nature of the bottleneck metric, (for any scale  $R$ ) such an embedding can be obtained by considering a  $R$ -square whose points are sufficiently far away (compared to  $R$ ) from the diagonal point in the usual  $d_{\infty}$  metric on the plane. The proof now follows by Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

For the rest of the section, we will continue looking at  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^n$  in a slightly nonstandard way, as in Definition 2.4. Considering the set of all  $2n$ -tuples in any set, we note that there are  $\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}$  ways of selecting  $n$  specific predetermined locations in a  $2n$ -tuple. For each such selection (i.e. for each  $i = 1, \dots, \frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}$ ) define  $S_i$  to be the set of all  $2n$ -tuples with  $\Delta$  in each of those  $n$  predetermined locations, and with the rest of the places filled with elements from  $\mathcal{D}^1$ . In particular, if  $i$  corresponds to  $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  with  $|A| = n$ , then

$$S_i = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n}) \in (\mathcal{D}^1)^{2n} \mid z_j = \Delta, \forall j \in A\}.$$

Finally, let  $S = \bigcup_i S_i \subset ((\mathcal{D}^1)^{2n}, d_{\infty})$  denote the union of all the  $S_i$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**  $\text{asdim}S = 2n$

*Proof.* Identifying each  $S_i$  isometrically with  $((\mathcal{D}^1, \delta)^n, d_{\infty})$ , we use the usual product theorem for asymptotic dimension (see [3]) to get  $\text{asdim}S_i \leq 2n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}$ . Thereafter we note that  $\text{asdim}S \leq 2n$  by using the union theorem for asymptotic dimension (see [3]). The lower bound on  $\text{asdim}S$  is obtained using Lemma 2.8 by noting that (for arbitrary  $R$ ) we can isometrically embed  $[0, R]^{2n}$  in  $S$ , by considering the product of the embeddings from the proof of Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

We now prove Theorem 3.2.

*Proof.* We notice that  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^n$  is obtained by the action (by isometries) of the symmetric group  $\mathcal{S}_{2n}$  on  $(S, d_\infty|_S)$ . Theorem 3.2 then follows from Theorem 2.11 (see [10]) that states that if a finite group acts by isometries on a proper metric space then the asymptotic dimension of the quotient space is same as the asymptotic dimension of the original space. Note that the resultant metric on  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^n$  from Definition 2.4 coincides with the quotient metric used in Theorem 2.11.  $\square$

#### 4. NON-EMBEDDABILITY RESULTS

In this section we consider embeddings of finite metric spaces into  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^n$  and prove that  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\leq \infty}$  does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space. For embeddings of separable bounded metric spaces see [6, Theorem 3.1].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Every finite metric space  $(X, d)$  embeds isometrically into  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{|X|}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $R = \text{diam}(X)$ . For each  $k$  define

$$f(x_k) = \left\{ (3Ri, 3Ri + 3R + d(x_k, x_i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Note that  $f: X \rightarrow f(X) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{|X|}$  is an isometry due to the following facts:

- (1) for each  $k$  the subset  $f(x_k)$  consists of precisely one point at each  $x$ -coordinate of the form  $3Ri, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .
- (2) for each  $j$  and  $k$ , the optimal pairing between  $f(x_j)$  and  $f(x_k)$  is perfect (no point is paired to the diagonal point) and always pairs points of the same  $x$ -coordinate.
- (3) for each  $j, k, i$ ,

$$d_\infty \left( (3Ri, 3Ri + 3R + d(x_j, x_i)), (3Ri, 3Ri + 3R + d(x_k, x_i)) \right) = |d(x_j, x_i) - d(x_k, x_i)| \leq d(x_j, x_k)$$

with the equality attained at  $i = j$  and  $i = k$ .

We conclude that for each  $j, k$ ,  $d(x_k, x_j) = d_\infty(f(x_j), f(x_k))$ , hence  $f$  is an isometry.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *A coarse disjoint union of any collection of finite metric spaces  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}}$  embeds isometrically into  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\leq \infty}$ . If for some  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $|A_i| \leq M, \forall i$ , then the embedded space lies within  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^M$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4.1 we can isometrically embed each  $A_i$  into  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{|A_i|}$ . It is apparent the the images of these embeddings can be constructed arbitrarily ever further from each other, hence the coarse disjoint union embeds isometrically as well.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.**  *$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\leq \infty}$  is does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space.*

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 2.12 and Corollary 4.2 for the coarse disjoint union of  $((\mathbb{Z}/m)^n, d_\infty)$ .  $\square$

In particular, the asymptotic dimension of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\leq \infty}$  is not finite.

**Remark 4.4.** During the completion of this manuscript a preprint [6] appeared which independently presented similar arguments and proved that a space of persistence diagrams on countably many points equipped with the corresponding version of the bottleneck distance does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space. Since the space in [6] naturally contains  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\leq \infty}$ , the result of [6] follows from Theorem 4.3. A similar argument is also being considered in the context of hyperspaces [15].

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Austin and Ž. Virk, *Higson Compactification and Dimension Raising*, Topology and its Applications 215(2017), 45–57.
- [2] U. Bauer and M. Carriere, *On the Metric Distortion of Embedding Persistence Diagrams into separable Hilbert spaces*, arXiv:1806.06924.
- [3] G. Bell and A. Dranishnikov, *Asymptotic dimension*, Topology Appl. 155 (2008), no. 12, 1265–1296.
- [4] G. Bell, A. Lawson, C.N. Pritchard, and D. Yasaki, *The space of persistence diagrams fails to have Yu’s property A*, arXiv:1902.02288v2.
- [5] P. Bubenik and T. Vergili, *Topological spaces of persistence modules and their properties*, arXiv:1802.08117.
- [6] P. Bubenik and A. Wagner, *Embeddings of Persistence Diagrams into Hilbert Spaces*, arXiv:1905.05604.
- [7] A.N. Dranishnikov, G. Gong, V. Lafforgue, G. Yu *Uniform Embeddings into Hilbert Spaces and a Question of Gromov*, Canad. Math. Bull., 45(1):60–70, 2002.
- [8] H. Edelsbrunner and J. L. Harer, *Computational topology, an introduction.*, American Mathematical Society, Providence.
- [9] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic Invariants of Infinite Groups*, , in: Geometric Group Theory, Vol 2., Camb. Univ. Press, 1993.
- [10] D. Kasprowski, *The Asymptotic Dimension of Quotients of Finite Groups*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 2016.
- [11] Y. Mileyko, S. Mukherjee, and J. Harer, *Probability measures on the space of persistence diagrams*, Inverse Problems, 27(12), 22, 2011.
- [12] J. Roe, *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*, University lecture Series, Am. Math. Soc., 2003
- [13] O. Shukel’ and M. Zarichnyi, *Asymptotic dimension of symmetric powers*, Math. Bulletin of NTSh. 5 (2008) 304–311.
- [14] K. Turner and G. Spreemann, *Same but Different: distance correlations between topological summaries*, arXiv:1903.01051
- [15] T. Yamauchi, T. Weighill, and N. Zava, *Coarse infinite-dimensionality of hyperspaces of finite subsets*, in preparation.
- [16] G. Yu, *The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space*, Invent. Math. 139 (2000), no. 1, 201–240.

MONTANA TECH

*E-mail address:* atish.mitra@gmail.com

UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA

*E-mail address:* zigavirk@gmail.com