Moiré phonons in the twisted bilayer graphene
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We study the in-plane acoustic phonons in twisted bilayer graphenes using the effective continuum approach. We calculate the phonon modes by solving the continuum equation of motion for infinitesimal vibration around the static relaxed state with triangular domain structure. We find that the moiré interlayer potential only affects the in-plane asymmetric modes, where the original linear dispersion is broken down into mini phonon bands separated by gaps, while the in-plane symmetric modes with their linear dispersion are hardly affected. The phonon wave functions of asymmetric modes are regarded as collective vibrations of the domain wall network, and the low-energy phonon band structure can be qualitatively described by an effective moiré-scale lattice model.

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

The twisted bilayer graphene (TBG), or a pair of graphene layers rotationally stacked on top of each other,\textsuperscript{1-6} exhibits a variety of physical properties depending on the twist angle $\theta$. In a small $\theta$, in particular, a long-period moiré pattern due to a slight lattice mismatch folds the Dirac cone of graphene into a superlattice Brillouin zone\textsuperscript{23}, and nearly-flat bands with extremely narrow band width emerge at some particular $\theta$'s called the magic angles. The discovery of the superconductivity and strongly correlated insulating state in the magic-angle TBGs\textsuperscript{20,22}, attract enormous attention of this system.

While the early theoretical studies on TBG simply assumed a stack of rigid graphene layers without deformation, the actual TBG spontaneously relaxes to the energetically favorable lattice structure. There the in-plane distortion maximizes the area of AB stacking (graphite’s Bernal stacking) to form a triangular domain pattern\textsuperscript{22-24}, and at the same time the out-of-plane distortion leads to a corrugation of graphene layer\textsuperscript{27,32,35}. The electronic band structure is also significantly affected by the lattice relaxation\textsuperscript{30,32,34,36-37}. The similar structural relaxation was also observed in moiré superlattice of graphene and hexagonal boron-nitride (h-BN)\textsuperscript{38}, and the effects on the band structure was investigated\textsuperscript{39,41}.

The lattice deformation of the moiré superlattice is expected to significantly influence the phonon properties. In the intrinsic graphene, the low-energy phonon spectrum is composed of transverse acoustic (TA) modes and longitudinal acoustic (LA) modes which have linear dispersions, and the out-of-plane flexural phonons (ZA) with a quadratic dispersion\textsuperscript{39}. In the AB-stacked bilayer graphene, the phonon modes of the top layer and the bottom layer are coupled to form layer-symmetric and asymmetric modes\textsuperscript{44,45}. The phonon spectrum of TBG was calculated by fully taking account of all the atoms in the moiré unit cells\textsuperscript{46-48}, where the phonon density of states is found to be close to that of the regular AB-stacked bilayer graphene insensitively to the twist angle. On the other hand, it is naturally expected that the moiré interlayer potential would cause the superlattice zone-folding and the miniband generation in the phonon spectrum. While so far such the zone-folding effect was argued for graphene h-BN superlattice\textsuperscript{48}, the low frequency phonon mini-bands in the TBGs are not thoroughly understood although they may have important roles for low energy transport and collective phenomena\textsuperscript{48,50,51}.

In this work, we study the in-plane acoustic phonons in TBG and investigate the effects of the moiré superlattice structure on the phonon spectrum. The calculation is based on the continuum approach using the elastic theory and the registry-dependent interlayer potential, which was used to obtain the relaxed lattice structure with a triangular domain pattern\textsuperscript{23}. We derive the phonon modes by solving the continuum equation of motion for infinitesimal vibration around the static relaxed state. We find that the moiré interlayer potential couples only to the in-plane asymmetric modes (i.e., the top and bottom layers slide in the opposite directions parallel to the graphene layers), while the in-plane symmetric modes (slide in the same direction) are hardly affected.

In the in-plane asymmetric modes, the original linear dispersion is broken into mini phonon bands separated by gaps, where the phonon wave functions are regarded as collective vibrations of the nano-scale triangular lattice of AB- and BA-stacking domains. The formation of mini bands and gaps is more pronounced in lower twist angles. We find that the phonon band structure in the low twist angles becomes nearly invariant when renormalized by the energy scale inversely proportional to the moiré superlattice period. The universal behavior of the moiré phonon bands can be understood by an effective moiré-scale lattice model.

\section{II. METHODS}

We consider a TBG lattice with a relatively small twist angle $\theta$ lower than a few degree, as shown in Fig. 1. We define the primitive lattice vectors of layer 1 as $\mathbf{a}_1 = a(1,0)$, $\mathbf{a}_2 = a(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$, and those of layer 2 as $\mathbf{a}_i = R(\theta)\mathbf{a}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), where $a = 0.246$ nm is graphene’s lattice constant and $R(\theta)$ is the two-dimensional rotation matrix. The reciprocal lattice vectors of layer 1 are...
FIG. 1. Non-relaxed atomic structure of TBG with $\theta = 3.89^\circ$. AA spots are located at the crossing points of the grid lines.

given by $a_1^* = 2\pi/a(1, -1/\sqrt{3})$ and $a_2^* = 2\pi/a(0, 2/\sqrt{3})$, and those of layer 2 by $\tilde{a}_2^* = R(\theta)a_1^*$ ($i = 1, 2$). When the twist angle is small, the slight mismatch of the lattice periods of two layers gives rise to a long-period moiré beating pattern. The primitive lattice vector of the moiré superlattice $L_i^M$ is given by

$$L_i^M = (1 - R^{-1})^{-1} a_i \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

The lattice constant $L_M = |L_1^M| = |L_2^M|$ becomes

$$L_M = \frac{a}{2 \sin(\theta/2)}. \quad (2)$$

The corresponding moiré reciprocal lattice vectors satisfying $G_i^M \cdot L_j^M = 2\pi \delta_{ij}$ are written as

$$G_i^M = (1 - R) a_1^* = a_i^* \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (3)$$

The local structure of TBG approximates the non-rotated bilayer graphene with the in-plane translation. It is characterized by the interlayer sliding vector, or the shift of an layer 2’s atom at $r$, measured from its counterpart on layer 1. Without the lattice relaxation, the interlayer sliding vector is given by

$$\delta_0(r) = r - r_0 = (1 - R^{-1})r. \quad (4)$$

Now we introduce the in-plane lattice vibration specified by the time-dependent displacement vector, $u_i^{(j)}(r, t)$ for layer $l = 1, 2$. The interlayer sliding vector under the deformation is

$$\delta(r, t) = \delta_0(r) + u^{(2)}(r, t) - u^{(1)}(r, t). \quad (5)$$

Here we concentrate on the in-plane displacement, while the qualitative argument of out-of-plane phonon modes will be presented in Sec. [11]. The inter-layer binding energy of TBG is written as

$$U_B = \int V[\delta(r, t)]d^2r, \quad (6)$$

where $V[\delta]$ the interlayer binding energy per area of non-rotated bilayer graphene with the sliding vector $\delta_{ii}$. It can be approximately written as

$$V[\delta] = \sum_{j=1}^{3} 2V_0 \cos[a_i^* \cdot \delta], \quad (7)$$

where $a_3^* = -a_1^* - a_2^*$. The function takes the maximum value $6V_0$ at AA stacking ($\delta = 0$) and the minimum value $-3V_0$ at AB and BA stacking. The difference between the binding energies of AA and AB/BA structure is $9V_0$ per area, and this amounts to $\Delta\epsilon = 9V_0S_G/4$ per atom where $S_G$ is the area of graphene’s unit cell. In the following calculation, we use $\Delta\epsilon = 0.0189$ (eV/atom) as a typical value. By using Eqs. [5] and [7], we have

$$V[r_i^{(j)}(r, t)] = \sum_{j=1}^{3} 2V_0 \cos[2\epsilon] \cos[a_i^* \cdot (u^{(2)} - u^{(1)})], \quad (8)$$

where $\epsilon G_3 = -G_1 - G_2$ and we used the relation $\epsilon G_j \cdot \delta_0(r) = G_j \cdot r$.

The elastic energy of strained TBG is expressed by

$$U_E = \sum_{l=1}^{2} \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \lambda + \mu \right) \left( u_{xx}^{(l)} + u_{yy}^{(l)} \right)^2 + \mu \left( u_{xx}^{(l)} - u_{yy}^{(l)} \right)^2 + 4\left(u_{xy}^{(l)}\right)^2 \right\} d^2r, \quad (9)$$

where $\lambda \approx 3.25$ eV/Å$^2$ and $\mu \approx 9.57$ eV/Å$^2$ are graphene’s Lamé factors, and $u_{ij}^{(l)} = (\partial_i u_j^{(l)}) + \partial_j u_i^{(l)}/2$ is strain tensor. Lastly, the kinetic energy due to the motion of the lattice is expressed as

$$T = \sum_{l=1}^{3} \int \frac{\rho}{2} \left[ \dot{u}_{x}^{(l)^2} + \dot{u}_{y}^{(l)^2} \right] d^2r, \quad (10)$$

where $\rho = 7.61 \times 10^{-7}$ kg/m$^2$ is the area density of single-layer graphene, and $\dot{u}_i$ represents the time derivative of $u_i$ ($i = x, y$).

The Lagrangian of the system is given by $L = T - (U_E + U_B)$ as a functional of $u_i^{(j)}(r)$. We define $u^{\pm} = u^{(2)} \pm u^{(1)}$ and rewrite $L$ as a functional of $u^{\pm}$. The Euler-Lagrange equations for $u^-$ read

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \lambda + \mu \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_x^-}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y^-}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_x^-}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y^-}{\partial y^2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{3} 2V_0 \sin[2\epsilon] \cos[a_j^* \cdot u^-]a_j^* = \frac{1}{2} \rho \ddot{u}_x^-, \quad (11)$$
where $a_j^l$ (l = x, y) is l-component of $a_j^*$. The equation of motion for $u^+$ is given by replacing $u^-$ with $u^+$ and removing all the terms including $V_B$ in Eqs. (11) and (12). Here the potential terms with $V_B$ vanishes because $U_B$ is not dependent on $u^+$ and then the force term $\partial U_B / \partial u^+$ is zero. Therefore, the mode $u^+$ is just equivalent to the original acoustic phonon of graphene with the linear band.

For $u^-$, we consider a small vibration around the static equilibrium state, or

$$ u^-(r, t) = u_0^-(r) + \delta u^-(r, t). \tag{13} $$

Here $u_0^-(r)$ is the static solution of Eqs. (11) and (12), i.e., the optimized relaxed state to minimize $U_B + U_E$, and $\delta u^-(r, t)$ is the perturbational excitation around $u_0^-$. We define the Fourier components as

$$ u_0^-(r) = \sum_G u_0^{-G} e^{i G \cdot r}, \tag{14} $$

$$ \delta u^-(r, t) = e^{-i\omega t} \sum_q \delta u_q^- e^{i q \cdot r}, \tag{15} $$

$$ \sin \left[ G^M \cdot r + a_j^* \cdot u_0^-(r) \right] = \sum_G j_G e^{i G \cdot r}, \tag{16} $$

$$ \cos \left[ G^M \cdot r + a_j^* \cdot u_0^-(r) \right] = \sum_G k_G e^{i G \cdot r}, \tag{17} $$

where $G = n G^M_1 + n G^M_2$ are moiré reciprocal vectors, $\omega$ is the phonon frequency.

From Eqs. (11) and (12), the equation for the static solution $u_0^-$ is given by

$$ u_{0G}^- = 4V_0 j_G K_G^{-1} a_j^*, \tag{18} $$

$$ \tilde{K}_G = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda + 2\mu)G_x^2 + \mu G_y^2 & (\lambda + \mu)G_x G_y \\ (\lambda + \mu)G_x G_y & (\lambda + 2\mu)G_y^2 + \mu G_x^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{19} $$

The equation of motion for the dynamical perturbation part is written as

$$ M\omega^2 \delta u_{G+q}^- = \tilde{K}_G + q \delta u_{G+q}^- - 4V_0 \sum_{G'} \tilde{V}_{G-G'} \delta u_{G'+q}^- \tag{20} $$

$$ \tilde{V}_G = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left[ j_G \begin{pmatrix} a_{jx}^* a_{jx} & a_{jx}^* a_{jy} & a_{jx}^* a_{jy} \\ a_{jy}^* a_{jy} & a_{jy}^* a_{jy} & a_{jx}^* a_{jy} \end{pmatrix} \right]. \tag{21} $$

We first derive the static solution $u_0^-$ by solving a set of the self-consistent equations, Eqs. (11), (10) and (13), by numerical iterations. Using the obtained $u_0^-$, we solve the eigenfunction Eq. (19), to obtain eigenvalues $\omega^2$ and the phonon modes $\delta u^-$ as a function of $q$ in the moiré Brillouin zone. Throughout the calculation, we set the $k$-space cut-off for the Fourier components of $u_0^-$ and $\delta u^-$, which is sufficient large for convergence.

### III. PHONON MODES

Figure 2(a) shows the band dispersions of in-plane asymmetric modes ($u^-$) calculated for various twist angle $\theta$'s. Here the horizontal axis is the scaled by the superlattice Brillouin zone size ($\times 2\pi/L_M$) and the vertical axis is scaled by

$$ \omega_l = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\rho L_M}}. \tag{20} $$

Fig. 2(a) is the phonon dispersion when the moiré interlayer coupling is absent. This is equivalent to the folded band structure of intrinsic TA and LA phonons of graphene, which are $\omega(q) = \nu q$, $\omega(q) = \nu L$, respectively, where $\nu = \sqrt{\mu/\rho}$ and $\nu L = (\lambda + 2\mu)/\rho$. The same dispersions are also indicated by red dashed lines in Figs. 2(b)-(d). Note that the band structures of in-plane symmetric modes ($u^+$) remain intact and ungapped. So, they are not drawn in this figure and hereafter also. Figure 3 is a three-dimensional plot of the phonon band in case of TBG with $\theta = 1.05^\circ$ of which phonon dispersion along the high symmetric lines is in Fig. 2(d). It shows that the spectral gaps exist between the second and third modes and between the fifth and sixth ones over the whole moiré BZ.

For $u^-$, we clearly see that the original linear bands of acoustic phonons of graphene are reconstructed into superlattice mini-bands separated by the spectral gaps. The mini-band formation is more pronounced in lower twist angles. In Fig. 4 we plot the phonon wave functions of the lowest five modes at $q = (0, 2\pi/(6L_M))$ ($= (1/4)\pi \bar{K}$), where the y axis is taken as the horizontal axis, and the color code represents the local binding energy $\tilde{V}(\delta(x, t))$ at a certain t. The first mode (a) and second mode (b) are regarded as the longitudinal and transverse acoustic modes of the superlattice, respectively, where the AA spots are ‘sites’ arranged in a triangular lattice, and the AB-BA domain walls are bonds connecting the sites. Here we notice that the longitudinal mode of the superlattice continuously connects to the transverse mode of intrinsic graphene (and vice versa) in switching off the interlayer coupling. This is because the shift of the moiré pattern is actually perpendicular to the atomic movement $u^-$. Importantly, we notice that the low-energy band structure (scaled by $\omega_l$) is almost identical in $\theta = 1.05^\circ$ and $0.817^\circ$ [Fig. 2(d) and (e)], indicating that it is converging to the universal band structure in the low twist angle limit. This is somewhat surprising because the relative energy scale of the interlayer interacting potential $V_0$
FIG. 2. Band dispersions of in-plane asymmetric modes ($u^-$) in various twist angle $\theta$'s. The horizontal axis is scaled by the superlattice Brillouin zone size $\propto 1/L_M$, and the vertical axis is scaled by $\omega_0 \propto 1/L_M$ (see the text). The panel (a) is the phonon dispersion when the moiré interlayer coupling is absent.

(constant) to the reference scale $\hbar \omega_0(\propto 1/L_M)$ is increasing when decreasing $\theta$, so that one may naively expect the phonon band gap should increase relative to $\omega_0$ as well.

The universal feature of the scaled band structure can be understood by considering the domain wall structure in the relaxed TBG, which is schematically illustrated as Fig. 3. Here triangular AB and BA regions are separated by the domain walls (gray regions) with AA stacked spots at vertices. It was shown that the characteristic width of the domain walls is almost independent of the twist angle$^{26}$, and it is given by$^{25}$

$$w_d \approx \frac{a}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda + \mu}{V_0}},$$

(21)

which is about 5 nm. The domain pattern becomes clear in low twist angles such that $L_M \gg w_d$, or $\theta$ lower than about 2 degree. We first qualitatively understand the scale of $w_d$ by the following order estimation. The area of the domain wall per the moiré unit cell is given by $\sim w_d L_M$ with the numerical factor neglected. The total interlayer binding energy (relative to the AB / BA stacking) per a moiré unit cell is then $U_B \sim V_0(w_d L_M)$. The elastic energy is also concentrated to the domain wall regions and it is given by $U_E \sim \lambda (\partial u_i/\partial x_j)^2(w_d L_M)$. Here we took $\lambda$ as a representative scale for elastic constant, since $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are in the same order of magnitude. In the domain wall, the strain tensor $\partial u_i/\partial x_j$ is of the order of $a/w_d$ since the atomic shift $u_i$ changes by about $a$ inside the domain wall. The relaxed state is given by the condition $U_E \sim U_B$, and this gives $w_d \sim a\sqrt{\lambda/V_0}$, which has the same order of magnitude as Eq. (21).

Now let us consider an oscillation of the moiré lattice around the relaxed state. We consider a simple excitation such as the Fig. 4(a) or (b), and assume the in-plane displacement of the AA positions ('lattice points') at $\mathbf{R}$ is $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{R})$. During the oscillation, the binding energy and elastic energy are shown to be approximately
FIG. 3. Three-dimensional band structure of in-plane asymmetric modes (\(u^-\)) in \(\theta = 1.05^\circ\).

proportional to the total length of the domain walls. In Figs. 4(a) and (b), we actually see that the domain walls (‘bonds’) are elongated (or shortened), and here the graphene’s atomic lattice is not stretched in the same direction, but the area of the same local atomic structure is just increased, so that the total energy change is proportional to the domain wall length. The change of the total domain-wall length from the relaxed state is of the order of \(\tilde{u}^2/L_M\) per a moiré unit cell, noting that the linear term in \(\tilde{u}\) can be negative and positive place by place and vanishes in total. Therefore, the changes in the binding energy and the electric energy are given by \(\delta U_B \sim V_0 w_d \tilde{u}^2/L_M\) and \(\delta U_E \sim \lambda (a/w_d)^2 w_d \tilde{u}^2/L_M\), which are of the same order because \(V_0 \sim \lambda (a/w_d)^2\) as argued above. The kinetic energy \(T\) is estimated by considering the atomic motion. Here the change of the moiré lattice \(\tilde{u}\) is actually caused by a change of the interlayer atomic shift \(u^-\) around the domain wall. Here we have a relation \(\tilde{u} \sim (w_d/a)\delta u^-\), because a change of the atomic lattice of the order of \(a\) is magnified to a change of moiré lattice by \(w_d\). Considering the atoms are oscillating only around the domain wall regions, the kinetic energy per a moiré unit cell is given by \(T \sim \rho(\delta u^-)^2\omega^2(w_dL_M) = \rho(a/w_d)^2 \tilde{u}^2\omega^2(w_dL_M)\) where \(\omega\) is the oscillation frequency. By assuming the kinetic energy \(T\) and the potential energy \(U_E\) and \(U_B\) are of the

FIG. 4. Phonon wave functions of the lowest five modes at \(q = (0, 2\pi/(6L_M))\) in TBG of \(\theta = 1.05^\circ\). The \(y\) axis is taken as the horizontal axis, and the color code represents the local binding energy \(V[\delta(r,t)]\) at a certain \(t\). The corresponding band structure is shown in Fig. 2(d).
same order of magnitude, we end up with
\[
\omega \sim \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\rho L_M}},
\]  
which correctly gives the order of \(\omega_0\).

Based on the above argument, we can construct a simple effective model to describe the lowest two modes. We consider a triangular lattice composed of masses (corresponding to the AA spots) and bonds (domain walls) connecting the neighboring masses. We assume that the bonds are always straight and the energy of each bond is proportional to its length. We consider a vibration around the perfect triangular lattice with the lattice constant \(L_M\), assuming that the total area of the system is fixed. If the in-plane displacement of the mass is given by \(\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{R})\), the length change of the bonds summed over the whole system is given by
\[
\Delta L_{\text{bond}} = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{2L_M} \left[ |\Delta \hat{u}^{(i)}(\mathbf{R})|^2 - \left( \Delta \hat{u}^{(i)}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \frac{L_M^M}{L_M} \right)^2 \right]
\]
\(\Delta u^{(i)}(\mathbf{R}) = \hat{u}(\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{L}_i^M) - \hat{u}(\mathbf{R})\), the vector \(\mathbf{R} = n \mathbf{L}_1^M + m \mathbf{L}_2^M\) runs over the lattice points, and we defined \(\mathbf{L}_3^M = \mathbf{L}_2^M - \mathbf{L}_1^M\). The total energy of the bonds is given by \(\hat{U} = \alpha V_0 w_d \Delta L_{\text{bond}}\), where \(\alpha\) is a numerical factor of the order of 1 to match the energy scale with the original model. By the Fourier transformation \(\hat{u}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{u}_\mathbf{q} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}}\), it is written as
\[
\hat{U} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{u}_{\mathbf{q}}^* \hat{D}(\mathbf{q}) \hat{u}_\mathbf{q},
\]
where \(\hat{D}(\mathbf{q})\) is the dynamical matrix defined by
\[
D_{\mu \nu}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\alpha V_0 w_d}{L_M} \left( 2 \sin \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{L}_i^M}{2} \right)^2 \left[ \delta_{\mu \nu} - \frac{(\mathbf{L}_i^M)_{\mu} (\mathbf{L}_i^M)_{\nu}}{L_M^2} \right],
\]
where \(\mu, \nu = x, y\). The kinetic energy is given by \(\hat{T} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{M} \hat{u}_{-\mathbf{q}} \cdot \hat{u}_{\mathbf{q}}\), where \(\mathbf{M} = \rho (a/w_d)^2 w_d L_M\) is the effective mass. Finally the equation of motion is given by \(\mathbf{M} \ddot{\hat{u}}_{\mathbf{q}} = -\hat{D}(\mathbf{q}) \hat{u}_{\mathbf{q}}\). It is straightforward to see that the equation can be transformed to a dimensionless form by scaling the frequency by \(\omega_0\). Figure 6(a) plots the phonon band structure of this model, where the blue dashed line represents the original band structure of \(\theta = 0.817^\circ\). Here we choose \(\alpha = 2\) for the best fitting in the long wave-length region near \(\Gamma\). We see that the effective model qualitatively reproduce the whole dispersion relation of the original model for the first and second low energy modes, and we can also show that the phonon wave functions are also correctly reproduced in the whole Brillouin zone. Figure 6(b) illustrates the wave functions of the first and the second modes at \(\mathbf{q} = (0, 2\pi/(6 L_M))\), which agree with Figs. 4(a) and (b), respectively.
The vibration of the moiré lattice is different from that in an ordinary spring-mass model, in that the potential energy of a bond (a domain wall) is proportional to its length, but not to the squared length. This is directly related to the important property that the longitudinal mode [Fig. 4(a)] has the lower frequency than the transverse mode [Fig. 4(b)] unlike in the usual elastic system. In the ordinary spring-mass model, the strain energy of the longitudinal mode as in Fig. 4(a) is mostly contributed from the bonds parallel to the wave vector (horizontal bonds in this figure), where the length change gives the tensile energy cost. In the present system, however, the horizontal bonds do not change the total energy because elongated bonds and shortened bonds just cancel in the total length, while the diagonal bonds contributes to a relatively small increase in the total length. In the effective lattice model given by Eq. (25), it is straightforward to check that the phonon frequency of the transverse mode 

\[ \frac{\text{d}}{\text{d}t} u^\perp = \frac{\partial V}{\partial u^\perp} \]

\[ V = \frac{1}{2} \sum \kappa_{ij} [u^\perp]^2 \]

is \( \sqrt{3} \) times as large as that of the longitudinal mode in the long wave limit. In the original model, the ratio of the second band frequency to the first is about 1.8 near \( \Gamma \) point, indicating that the effective model is valid.

The linear dependence of energy for elongation of bonds implies that there is a constant force for all stretching modes, while such modes are prohibited in the present calculation because the moiré unit cell size is fixed. In a real TBG, we actually has an instability to expand the moiré unit cell, and it is nothing but decreasing the twist angle \( \theta \) toward the non-rotated AB-stacked bilayer. Here the total energy decreases in elongation of the unit cell, because the area of the AB region increases so that the area of the domain wall to the total area is decreasing. In experiments, a TBG with a finite twist angle can also exist as a meta-stable phase, where certain external constraints caused by local pinning mechanisms should prohibit the global rotation. The calculation fixing moiré unit cell size should be justified in such a situation.

As a final remark, we notice that the third lowest band in Fig. 2 becomes flatter in lowering the twist angle, resulting in a significant enhancement of phonon density of states as \( \theta \) decreases. The corresponding wave function in Fig. 4(c) can be viewed as a ‘domain-breathing’ mode where triangular AB and BA domains expand and shrink in opposite phases. One may also view this mode as an in-plane optical mode of moiré lattice. Here we see that the position of the corner points of triangles are fixed during the oscillation. This means that the oscillation of a triangle does not affect that of neighboring triangles, so the system can be approximately viewed as independent oscillators. We presume that it is the reason for the flat band nature, i.e. the constant frequency independent of the wave number. The fourth and the fifth modes [Fig. 4(d) and (e)] exhibit complicated wave patterns including the bending of the domain walls and also the distortion of AA spots.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

While the current model only considered the in-plane phonons, the actual TBG also has the flexural phonon modes vibrating in the out-of-plane direction. Here we consider the effect of moiré interlayer coupling on the flexural phonons in TBG by the following simple qualitative argument. We consider three-dimensional displacement \( u^{(i)} = (u_x^{(i)}, u_y^{(i)}, u_z^{(i)}) \) from the non-distorted configuration in which two flat graphenes are stacked with interlayer spacing \( d_{AB} \) (the distance for AB-stacked bilayer). It is known that the relaxed TBG is corrugated in such a way that the spacing is minimum at AB/BA stacking regions and maximum at AA stacking regions. We can define the optimized interlayer spacing \( d_{opt} (\delta) \) as a function of the two-dimensional sliding vector \( \delta (\mathbf{r}) \) [Eq. (5)]. The interlayer binding potential for the vertical displacement \( (u^{(1)}_z, u^{(2)}_z) \) can then be written as a quadratic form \( V_\perp = \alpha [u^{(1)}_z + d_{AB} - d_{opt} (\delta)]^2 \), where \( u^{(2)}_z = u^{(2)}_z - u^{(1)}_z \). The total binding energy is a sum of \( V_\parallel \) and the in-plane part, Eq. (7).

The potential curvature \( \alpha \) is shown to be nearly independent of the stacking structure, so we assume \( \alpha \) is constant. The effect of the moiré superlattice comes only from the potential term through \( \delta = \delta (\mathbf{r}) \). The kinetic part and electric part are unchanged from the intrinsic graphene’s and do not depend on \( \delta \). In the equation of motion, we have the force term \( \partial V_\perp / \partial u_z = 2 \alpha [u^{(1)}_z + d_{AB} - d_{opt} (\delta)] \), and the optimized corrugated structure is given by \( u^{(1)}_z = d_{opt} (\delta) - d_{AB} \).

When we consider an excitation from the optimized state \( u^- = u^0 - \delta u^- \), the force term becomes \( \partial V_\perp / \partial u_z = 2 \alpha \delta u^- \), and does not depend on \( \delta \) anymore, i.e., the superlattice effect vanishes in the equation of motion for \( \delta u^- \).

From these arguments, we presume that flexural phonon does not exhibit well-pronounced miniband structure unlike the in-plane asymmetric modes, and the corresponding phonon density of states remains mostly unchanged from the intrinsic graphene. Actually the mini gap formation was not observed in a phonon calculation fully including all the atomic sites in TBGs with the registry-dependent interlayer interaction. It implies that the flexural phonons remain almost intact, considering that the density of states in the low-energy region of graphene is dominated by the flexural phonons. Rigorously speaking, the corrugated structure should cause some finite coupling between the in-plane modes and out-of-plane modes, and we leave the detailed study of full three-dimensional phonon problem for future works.

V. CONCLUSION

We studied the in-plane acoustic phonons of low-angle TBGs using the continuum approach. We found that the moiré superlattice effect only affects the in-plane asymmetric modes where the linear dispersion of acous-
tic phonon is reconstructed into minibands separated by the gaps, while the in-plane symmetric modes remains intact. The phonon wave functions for in-plane asymmetric modes can be regarded as vibrations of the triangular superlattice, and they are qualitatively understood by the effective lattice model of the moiré scale.

Our calculation shows that 50% of the linear acoustic modes of the TBG are completely reconstructed by the moiré superlattice coupling and their phonon velocities are significantly lowered. Moreover, it is also shown that as the twist angle decreases, the characteristic flat phonon mode with diverging density of states is generated. We expect that these effects should affect the thermal conductivity directly and also phonon-related thermal phenomena. The electron-phonon coupling between the flat-band electrons and the moiré phonons, and its effect on the superconducting and correlating states are also important problems. We leave these issues left for future study.
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Note added: After completion of the present study, we have come to notice that a recent preprint which reports the full phonon calculations in TBG and the coupling to the electronic states
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