Properties of bright squeezed vacuum at increasing brightness
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I. INTRODUCTION

At high parametric gain, parametric down-conversion (PDC) and four-wave mixing (FWM) generate bright squeezed vacuum (BSV). BSV is a macroscopic non-classical state of light with strong photon-number correlations (twin-beam squeezing) [1–4], quadrature squeezing [5] multimode structure [6–8] and polarization entanglement [9]. BSV is a promising tool for a lot of applications involving the intermodal photon-number correlations. In this work, we present a new generalized theoretical approach to describe the spatial properties of BSV. This approach is based on exchanging the \((k, t)\) and \((\omega, z)\) representations and solving a system of integro-differential equations. Our approach predicts correctly the dynamics of the Schmidt modes and the broadening of the spectrum with the increase in the BSV mean photon number due to a stronger pumping. Moreover, the model successfully describes various properties of a widely used experimental configuration with two crystals and an air gap between them, namely an SU(1,1) interferometer. In particular, it predicts the narrowing of the intensity distribution, the reduction and shift of the side lobes, and the decline in the interference visibility as the mean photon number increases due to stronger pumping. The presented experimental results confirm the validity of the new approach. The model can be easily extended to the case of frequency spectrum, frequency Schmidt modes and other experimental configurations.

Theoretical and experimental results in Ref. [17] and [30] also predict the narrowing of the interference visibility as the mean photon number increases due to stronger pumping. Moreover, the behaviour of BSV properties with the increase of the parametric gain can be completely different depending on the geometry of experiment. For PDC in a single crystal, the spatial intensity distribution has a typical ‘sinc-squared’ shape at low parametric gain, and it broadens as the parametric gain increases (see the results below). Similar behavior was observed for the frequency spectrum in Ref. [31]. In contrast, a two-crystal configuration with an air gap in between leads to a complicated interference pattern of intensity with side lobes, which gets narrower with increasing parametric gain [17, 30, 32].

Moreover, the behaviour of BSV properties with the increase of the parametric gain can be completely different depending on the geometry of experiment. For PDC in a single crystal, the spatial intensity distribution has a typical ‘sinc-squared’ shape at low parametric gain, and it broadens as the parametric gain increases (see the results below). Similar behavior was observed for the frequency spectrum in Ref. [31]. In contrast, a two-crystal configuration with an air gap in between leads to a complicated interference pattern of intensity with side lobes, which gets narrower with increasing parametric gain [17, 30, 32]. This configuration is known as the SU(1,1) interferometer [18] and it has recently attracted a lot of attention due to its metrological applications [21, 33–35].

In this work, we present a new theoretical approach to the description of the spatial properties of BSV. Our approach is based on exchanging the \((k, t)\) and \((\omega, z)\) repre-
sentations and solving the system of integro-differential equations for plane-wave operators. This approach describes various features of BSV, such as the intensity distribution and the shapes of the Schmidt modes, as well as their evolution with increasing parametric gain, both in the case of a single crystal and in the case of a two-crystal configurations. In full agreement with the experiment, the theory predicts the broadening of both the intensity distribution and the Schmidt-mode shapes with increasing gain in the case of a single crystal and the reduction of the side lobes in the two-crystal configuration. The suggested approach does not include any limitations on the pump waist width and the number of modes, as it was the case in the previous considerations, and it does not assume that the Hamiltonian commutes with itself at different moments of time.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the theoretical approach and applies it to the case of high-gain PDC in a single crystal. The Schmidt modes at variable parametric gain are considered in Section III. Section IV deals with the two-crystal configuration. In all sections, experimental results are also presented and compared with the theory. Finally, Section V is the conclusion.

II. HIGH-GAIN PDC IN A SINGLE CRYSTAL

The Hamiltonian of PDC in a crystal with a quadratic susceptibility \( \chi^{(2)}(r) \) is given by [23]

\[
H \sim \int d^{3}r \chi^{(2)}(r) E_{p}^{(+)}(r,t) \hat{E}_{s}^{(-)}(r,t) + h.c.,
\]

where \( \hat{E}_{s,i} \) are electromagnetic field operators for signal/ idler photons, the pump is assumed to be a classical beam with a Gaussian envelope, propagating along the z axis, \( E_{p}^{(+)}(r,t) = E_{0} e^{-\frac{r^{2}+z^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} e^{i(k_{0}z-\omega_{0}t)} \), with the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the intensity distribution being \( 2\sqrt{\ln 2} \sigma \). By using the quantization of the electromagnetic field, \( \hat{E}_{s,i}^{(-)}(r,t) = \int d\mathbf{k}_{s,i} C_{\mathbf{k}_{s,i}} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}_{s,i} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_{s,i} t)} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_{s,i}}^{\dagger} \), where \( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_{s,i}}^{\dagger} \) are the creation plane-wave operators, \( C_{\mathbf{k}_{s,i}} \) are the coefficients of the decomposition, the Hamiltonian becomes

\[
H = \frac{i\hbar\Gamma}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{k}_{s,i} d^{3}r e^{-\frac{r^{2}+z^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} e^{i(k_{0}z-\omega_{0}t)} \times e^{i(\omega_{s,i} t - \omega_{p} t)} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_{s,i}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_{s,i}} + h.c.. \tag{2}
\]

Here we neglect the dependence of the coefficients \( C_{\mathbf{k}_{s,i}} \) on \( \mathbf{k}_{s,i} \) and suppose that the interaction strength \( \Gamma \), involving \( \chi^{(2)}(r) \), the pump field amplitude, and other parameters is a constant. For simplicity we consider a 2D model, using only one transverse coordinate \( x \). After integration over \( x \) and substituting \( d\mathbf{k}_{s,i} = dq_{s,i} dk_{z,s,i} \), where \( q_{s,i} \) are the transverse wavevectors and \( k_{z,s,i} \) are the longitudinal wavevectors of signal (idler) radiation, the Hamiltonian can be represented in the form

\[
H = \frac{i\hbar\Gamma}{2\pi} \int dq_{s,i} dk_{z,s,i} d\mathbf{z} \int dz e^{-\frac{(q_{s,i}^{2}+q_{z,s,i}^{2})}{2}} e^{i(k_{p}z-k_{s,i}z)} \times e^{i(\omega_{s,i} t - \omega_{p} t)} \hat{a}_{s,i}^{\dagger}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}) \hat{a}_{s,i}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}) + h.c. \tag{3}
\]

This Hamiltonian is written in the momentum-time \( (\mathbf{k}, t) \) representation. In this picture, the Heisenberg equation of motion for the signal plane-wave operators takes the form

\[
\frac{d\hat{a}_{s}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t)}{dt} = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \int dq_{s,i} dk_{z,s,i} dz e^{-\frac{(q_{s,i}^{2}+q_{z,s,i}^{2})}{2}} \times \\
\times e^{i(k_{p}z-k_{s,i}z)} e^{i(\omega_{s,i} t - \omega_{p} t)} \hat{a}_{s,i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{s,i}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t), \tag{4}
\]

and similarly for the idler operators. The operators \( \hat{a}_{s,i}^{\dagger}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t) \) and \( a_{s,i}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t) \) defined before are the slowly varying parts of creation and annihilation operators. The fast varying components are connected with the slowly varying parts as

\[
\pi^{\dagger}_{s,i}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t) = e^{i\omega_{s,i} t} \hat{a}_{s,i}^{\dagger}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t), \\
\pi_{s,i}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t) = a_{s,i}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t) e^{-ik_{z,s,i}(\omega_{s,i} t - \omega_{p} t)}. \tag{5}
\]

The Fourier transformation allows one to pass from \( (q, k_{z}, t) \) to \( (q, z, \omega) \) representation. For example, for the fast varying part of the idler creation operator the Fourier transformation is

\[
\pi^{\dagger}_{i}(q_{i}, k_{i}, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \pi^{\dagger}_{i}(q_{i}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}) e^{i\tilde{\omega}_{i} \tilde{z}} e^{i k_{i} \tilde{z}} d\tilde{\omega}_{i} d\tilde{z}. \tag{6}
\]

After substituting (6) and (5) in (4) and integrating its left and right parts over the interaction time, which is connected with the length of the non-linear medium, equation (4) takes the form

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt \int dq_{s,i} dk_{z,s,i} d\mathbf{z} \int dz \int d\mathbf{z} \int dz \int d\mathbf{z} e^{-\frac{(q_{s,i}^{2}+q_{z,s,i}^{2})}{2}} e^{i(k_{p}z-k_{s,i}z)} \times \\
e^{-i(\omega_{s,i} t - \omega_{p} t)} \hat{a}_{s,i}^{\dagger}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t) e^{-ik_{z,s,i}(\omega_{s,i} t - \omega_{p} t)} \hat{a}_{s,i}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t), \tag{7}
\]

where time \( \tau \) corresponds to the end of the interaction. The operators at the final time \( \hat{a}_{s}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t = \tau) \) and initial \( \hat{a}_{s}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t = 0) \) moments of time describe the boundary conditions. Outside the nonlinear medium, \( \mathbf{k} \) and \( \omega \) are connected by the dispersion law \( \omega = \omega(k) \) such that \( \omega_{s,i}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t) \) does not depend on \( t \) and \( \omega_{s,i}(q_{s,i}, z, \omega_{s,i}) \) does not depend on \( z \). This is the reason for which the boundary conditions in different representations are connected: \( a_{s}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t = \tau) \sim a_{s}(q_{s,i}, L, \omega_{s}) \), \( a_{s}(q_{s,i}, k_{z,s,i}, t = 0) \sim a_{s}(q_{s,i}, 0, \omega_{s}) \) [23], where \( L \) is the length of the nonlinear medium.

In the right-hand part of Eq. (7), integration over time and longitudinal momentum leads to the \( \delta - \) functions,

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt e^{-i(\omega_{i} \tilde{\omega}_{i} - \omega_{p} \tilde{\omega}_{i}) t} = \delta(\tilde{\omega}_{i} - \omega_{p} + \omega_{s}), \\
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk_{z,i} e^{i k_{z,i}(z - \tilde{z})} = \delta(z - \tilde{z}), \tag{8}
\]
the first of them defines the idler frequency through the signal and pump frequencies.

The $\delta-$ functions allow one to take integrals over $d\omega$ and $dz$ and simplify Eq. (7):

$$a_s(q_s, L, \omega_s) - a_s(q_s, 0, \omega_s) = \Gamma \int dq_\parallel \int L \, d\omega \, e^{-\frac{(q_\parallel + q_s)^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \times e^{i(k_p - k_{z2} - k_{i2} - k_{i3} - k_{p3})/2} a_s(q_i, z, \omega_p - \omega_s). \tag{9}$$

Taking a derivative of the left and the right parts of Eq. (9) with respect to the length of the nonlinear medium $L$ leads to the coupled integro-differential equations for the signal/idler annihilation/creation operators,

$$\frac{da_s(q_s, L, \omega_s)}{dL} = \Gamma \int dq_\parallel \int L \, d\omega \, e^{-\frac{(q_\parallel + q_s)^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \times e^{i\Delta k L} a_s(q_i, L, \omega_p - \omega_s), \tag{10}$$

where $\Delta k = k_p - k_{z2} - k_{i2} - k_{p3}$. A similar equation can be written for the idler frequency,

$$\frac{da_i(q_i, L, \omega_p - \omega_s)}{dL} = \Gamma \int dq_\parallel e^{-\frac{(q_\parallel + q_i)^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \times e^{-i\Delta k L} a_s(q_s, L, \omega_s). \tag{11}$$

The solution to the system of coupled integro-differential equations (10) [11] can be found in the form

$$a_s(q_s, L, \omega_s) = a_s(q_s) + \int dq_{s,i}' \eta(q_s, q_{s,i}', L, \Gamma) a_s(q_{s,i}') + \int dq_{s,j}' \beta(q_s, q_{s,j}', L, \Gamma) a_i(q_{s,j}'),$$

$$a_i(q_i, L, \omega_i) = a_i(q_i) + \int dq_{i,j}' \eta^*(q_i, q_{i,j}', L, \Gamma) a_j(q_{i,j}') + \int dq_{i,j}' \beta^*(q_i, q_i, L, \Gamma) a_s(q_{i,j}'), \tag{12}$$

where $\eta(q_s, q_{s,i}, L, \Gamma)$, $\beta(q_s, q_{s,i}, L, \Gamma)$ are functions of the transverse wavevectors, crystal length and the interaction strength, and $a_s(q_s) = a_s(q_s, L = 0, \omega_s)$, $a_i(q_i) = a_i(q_i, L = 0, \omega_i)$ are the initial plane-wave operators.

Finally, by solving the system of integro-differential equations Eq. (10) in the form of Eq. (12), various characteristics of BSV can be found. For example, the mean photon number distribution over transverse wavevectors is

$$N_s(q_s) = \langle a_s^\dagger(q_s, L, \omega_s) a_s(q_s, L, \omega_s) \rangle = \int dq_{s,i}' |\beta(q_s, q_{s,i}', L, \Gamma)|^2. \tag{13}$$

In what follows, we assume small angles of emission $\theta_{s,i}$, so that $q_s \approx k_s \theta_{s,i}$, and consider angular intensity distributions.

To find the connection between the theoretical parameter $\Gamma$ and the measured experimental gain, we have calculated the total photon number in the collinear direction ($q_s = q_i = 0$) from Eq. (13) as a function of $\Gamma$ and fitted this dependence by the $y = B \sinh^2(\Lambda \Gamma)$ function, where $A$ and $B$ are the fitting parameters. Then the parametric gain is defined as $G = A \Gamma$. A similar procedure was performed in the experiment. Using a pinhole, the dependence of the total intensity in the collinear direction on the parametric gain was measured and fitted by the function $y = B_1 \sinh^2(A_1 \sqrt{P})$, where $A_1$ and $B_1$ are the fitting parameters, $P$ is the pump power. In this case, the experimental gain is defined as $G_1 = A_1 \sqrt{P}$, and the theoretical and experimental gains have to coincide.

To compare the predictions of the described theory with the experiment, we considered a 2 mm thick BBO crystal and a pump laser with the wavelength 354.7 nm and with a beam waist of FWHM 170 nm. The transverse wavevector intensity distributions of type-I PDC were calculated using Eq. 13 for different values of the parametric gain $G$, as shown in Fig. 1.

For ideal experimental conditions the phase mismatch $\delta k = k_p - 2k_{s,i} - 2(k_{i2} - k_{i3} - k_{p3})/2$, with $\theta_{s,i}$ being the angle between the pump wavevector and the optic axis of the crystal. Due to imperfect crystal alignment, the phase mismatch can be slightly non-zero. Such deviation is hard to fix in the experiment but is very important for the shape of the intensity distribution, which is shown in two panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 1.

![FIG. 1.](image)

It is clearly seen that with increasing parametric gain the angular intensity distribution broadens. The broadening is directly connected with the fact that each signal
plane-wave operator is coupled with idler plane-wave operators in integro-differential equations through the functions $\beta$ and $\eta$ dependent on $\Gamma$.

In experiment, BSV was obtained through PDC pumped by the third harmonic radiation (wavelength 354.7 nm) of a pulsed Nd:YAG laser. The pulsed radiation (pulse width 18 ps, repetition rate 1 kHz) is essential to reach the high-gain regime. The intensity distributions were recorded with a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera in the Fourier plane of a lens with the focal length of 100 mm. The spectral filtering was performed using a band-pass filter with the transmission centered around the wavelength 710 nm and with a bandwidth of 10 nm.

The dependence of the FWHM of the spatial intensity distribution of BSV on the parametric gain for $\delta k = 4530$ m$^{-1}$ (we fixed this value in further calculations according to the small mismatch in the experiment) was calculated and compared with the experimental data, see Fig. 2. In the low-gain regime, the FWHM of the BSV intensity distribution coincides with the value calculated using the first-order perturbation theory [37]. As the parametric gain increases, the FWHM monotonically grows. The same tendency is observed in the experiment (red points) and is in good agreement with the theoretical dependence (cyan line). The blue dashed line, calculated for the case of a plane-wave pump, predicts a slower broadening of the spectrum than the one with a focused pump.

FIG. 2. The theoretical FWHM of the BSV intensity distribution vs parametric gain for a 170 $\mu$m FWHM pump (cyan solid line) and plane-wave pump (blue dashed line) calculated according to the approach of Refs. [23][24], and the experimental data (red dots).

### III. SCHMIDT MODES

The BSV radiation is strongly multimode. This multimode structure is important for a lot of applications [11] but, at the same time, is difficult to analyze. The most useful way to describe the multimode BSV radiation is by introducing a system of normalized orthogonal Schmidt modes. Within the Schmidt mode basis, each signal mode is only correlated with a single matching idler mode, which greatly facilitates the analysis.

In a simplified Schmidt-mode approach [30], the shapes of the BSV Schmidt modes do not depend on the parametric gain. In addition, the natural mode competition mechanism, i.e. low-order modes acquiring larger weights at larger gain, leads to the reduction in the number of Schmidt modes with increasing the gain. These two statements lead to the spatial (and frequency) narrowing of the intensity distribution, which is in contradiction with the observed broadening. The broadening can be only understood in the framework of the new approach considered here, and, as shown below, is connected with the mode widths changing with the gain.

The complex function $\beta$ in Eq. (12) can be written using the Schmidt decomposition with respect to the transverse wavevectors,

$$\beta(q_s, q'_s, L, \Gamma) = \sum_n \sqrt{\Lambda_n} e^{i\phi_n} u_n(q_s, \Gamma) \psi_n(q'_s, \Gamma),$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where gain-dependent eigenfunctions $u_n(q_s, \Gamma)$ and $\psi_n(q'_s, \Gamma)$ for the signal and idler beams, respectively, are labeled with the index $n$, while $\Lambda_n$ represent gain-dependent weights of the decomposition, and $\phi_n$ are constant phases. Similarly, the function $\eta$ can be decomposed with eigenvalues $\Lambda_n$, constant phases $\varphi_n$, and eigenfunctions $v_n(q_s, \Gamma)$ and $\xi_n(q'_s, \Gamma)$:

$$\eta(q_s, q'_s, L, \Gamma) = \sum_n \sqrt{\Lambda_n} e^{i\varphi_n} v_n(q_s, \Gamma) \xi_n(q'_s, \Gamma).$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

From direct numerical decomposition of $\beta(q_s, q'_s, L, \Gamma)$ and $\eta(q_s, q'_s, L, \Gamma)$ the following relations between eigenfunctions are ensued: $v_n(q_s, \Gamma) = u_n(q_s, \Gamma)$, $\xi_n(q'_s, \Gamma) = \psi_n(q'_s, \Gamma)$, and the absolute values of all functions are equal: $|\psi_n| = |u_n| = |\xi_n| = |v_n|$. The gain-dependent weights $\Lambda_n$ and $\Lambda_n$ are different in the low-gain regime ($\Lambda_n > \Lambda_n$) but are getting closer with increasing the gain and become equal in the high-gain regime. Using the Schmidt decompositions in Eqs. (14) and (15), we can introduce new photon creation/annihilation operators for the collective spatial Schmidt modes (the Schmidt-mode operators) of the radiation as a result of the nonlinear interaction,

$$A_n^\dagger = \int dq_s \xi_n^*(q_s, \Gamma) a_s^\dagger(q_s),$$

$$B_n^\dagger = \int dq'_s \psi_n(q'_s, \Gamma) a_i^\dagger(q_i).$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The operators $A_n^\dagger$ and $B_n^\dagger$ have the same form but they are related with the signal and idler plane-wave creation operators, respectively. Equations (12) can be written in
terms of the Schmidt operators,
\[
a_s(q_s, L, \omega_s) = a_s(q_s) + \sum_n u_n(q_s, \Gamma)(\sqrt{\Lambda_n} e^{i\phi_n} A_n + \sqrt{\Lambda_n} e^{i\phi_n} B_n^\dagger),
\]
\[
a_i^\dagger(q_i, L, \omega_i) = a_i^\dagger(q_i) + \sum_n v_n^*(q_i, \Gamma)(\sqrt{\Lambda_n} e^{-i\phi_n} B_n + \sqrt{\Lambda_n} e^{-i\phi_n} A_n^\dagger),
\]
Equations (17) clearly show that the output signal and idler plane-wave operators are connected with the same functions \(u_n(q, \Gamma) = v_n(q, \Gamma)\), which is because we assumed frequency degeneracy.

The input/output relations (17) are similar to the ones of Ref. [30]. However, in Eqs. (17) not only the weights \(\Lambda_n\) but also the functions \(u_n(q_s, \Gamma), v_n(q_i, \Gamma)\) depend on the parametric gain \(\Gamma\). Thereby, the output operators are now defined by the Schmidt modes whose shapes are gain-dependent. Using Eqs. (17), the intensity distribution Eq. (13) can be written in a simple form as a sum of the squared absolute values of the Schmidt modes with the corresponding weights:
\[
N_s(q_s) = \sum_n \Lambda_n |u_n(q_s, \Gamma)|^2. \quad (18)
\]

The Schmidt eigenmodes and eigenvalues of BSV can be reconstructed from the covariance of its intensity distribution [39]. Indeed, consider the sum of the contributions of the signal and idler radiation for a fixed gain, i.e. \(I_\Sigma(q) = I_s(q) + I_i(q)\), the covariance of intensities measured at positions \(q\) and \(q'\) is defined as:
\[
\text{Cov}(q, q') = \langle I_\Sigma(q) I_\Sigma(q') \rangle - \langle I_\Sigma(q) \rangle \langle I_\Sigma(q') \rangle. \quad (19)
\]
Calculation of the covariance distribution in terms of the Schmidt modes, using the input/output relations of Eqs. (17), leads to
\[
\text{Cov}(q, q') \propto \left[ \sum_n \Lambda_n u_n(q) u_n^*(q') \right]^2 \quad + \left[ \sum_n \Lambda_n v_n(q) v_n^*(q') \right]^2 \quad + 2 \left[ \sum_n \Lambda_n u_n(q) v_n(q') \right]^2,
\]
where we suppose that \(\Lambda_n = \tilde{\Lambda}_n\) for high gain. The first two terms of Eq. (20) are related to the auto-correlation of intensity fluctuations, respectively, of the signal and idler beams, while the third one represents the cross-correlation between the signal and idler radiation.

For simplifying the reconstruction of the Schmidt modes, in experiment we eliminated the cross-correlations between the signal and idler radiation by filtering a wavelength slightly shifted from the degeneracy point, so that the detected signal photons did not have idler matches. In this case, in the covariance Eq. (20) only the first term should remain, containing the signal Schmidt modes \(u_n(q)\). Simultaneously, if the filtered wavelengths are still rather close to degeneracy, one can assume \(u_n(q) = v_n(q)\). The Schmidt modes and weights were found by performing the singular value decomposition (SVD) of the square root of the covariance distribution [40].

In experiment, we filtered the signal radiation using a band-pass filter with the central wavelength 700 nm and a bandwith of 10 nm. Since the length of the nonlinear crystal was small enough (2 mm), we could neglect the effect of spatial walk-off. Accordingly, the BSV radiation was axially symmetric and one could assume the factorability of the \(x\) and \(y\) degrees of freedom. Therefore, the analysis was restricted to the intensity profiles along the \(x\) direction. For a better signal to noise ratio we integrated the intensity distributions in the \(y\) direction within the range from \(-2\) to \(2\) mrad of the angle \(q_y/|q|\), where \(q = (q_x, q_y)\). Around 2000 single-shot intensity profiles \(I(\theta)\) with \(\theta = \theta'/q\) were measured, and the 2D covariance distribution \(\text{Cov}(\theta, \theta')\) was calculated.

Figure 3 shows the experimental distribution of the covariance for \(G_e = 6\) in normalized units. The auto-correlation part is distributed along the main diagonal, where \(\theta = \theta'\). Conversely, the cross-correlation, which would lead to nonzero covariance values along the complementary diagonal \(\theta = -\theta'\), is absent due to spectral filtering. In order to get rid of the background noise, a 2D fit was performed on the covariance distribution. Given the high-gain version of the intensity profile of the
PDC radiation \[24\] and the fact that the covariance distribution along the main diagonal behaves as the squared intensity profile, the function used for the fit was

\[
\text{Cov}(\theta,\theta') = A + B e^{-C(\theta-\theta')^2} \times \frac{\sinh^2 \sqrt{G_e^2 - \left(D(\theta+\theta')^2 + E\right)^2}}{G_e^2 - \left(D(\theta+\theta')^2 + E\right)^2},
\]

with \(A, B, C, D\) being fitting parameters and \(E\) being an experimentally determined quantity dependent on the phase mismatch. The inset of Fig. 3 demonstrates the fitted distribution for \(G_e = 6\), which is indeed equivalent to the experimental one. The white dashed line in the main figure represents the half-maximum level of the fitted covariance distribution and shows a good agreement with the half-maximum level (in cyan) for the experiment.

The broadening of the covariance distribution with the increase of the parametric gain is shown in Fig. 4. The experimental FWHM follows the predicted trend from the theory for both the main and the complementary diagonals. For low gain, the theoretical dependence coincides with the covariance obtained through the first-order perturbation theory. The dependence of the main diagonal on the gain (blue solid curve in Fig. 4) has a minimum. This minimum is also observed in the case of a plane-wave pump (blue dashed line in Fig. 4) and qualitatively separates the low- and high-gain regimes.

The shapes and the weights of the Schmidt modes can be obtained through the SVD of the function \(\sqrt{\text{Cov}(\theta,\theta')}\). This procedure has been performed for both the theoretical, Eq. (20), and the fitted experimental covariance distributions. The results of the reconstruction for different gain values are shown in Fig. 5 for the first and the second Schmidt modes. The shapes of the modes are close to the Hermite functions and their widths strictly depend on the gain. The general tendency is the broadening of the Schmidt modes with increasing gain, this broadening being more pronounced for higher-order modes. The theoretical results show a good agreement with the experimental data.

IV. TWO-CRYSTALS CONFIGURATION

The method described above can be extended to the two-crystals configuration, with the two crystals separated by an air gap of length \(d\), known in the literature as the SU(1,1) interferometer \[7, 18, 33-35\]. In this case, one should take into account that during the free propagation in the air gap the signal, idler and pump photons acquire an additional phase. This phase creates a factor of \(e^{i\Delta k'd}\) standing in front of the integral over the second crystal, where \(\Delta k' = k'_p - k'_s - k'_i\) is the wavevector
between the crystals was varied by changing the position of the crystals with a calibrated energy meter. The distance 200 ms. The pump energy per pulse was measured before the nonlinear interaction. A band-pass filter selected a 10 nm bandwidth around the wavelength 710 nm. A dichroic mirror and a color-glass filter suppressed the pump after the nonlinear interaction. A ring pattern was obtained with the camera, the background was subtracted and the data was acquired for 200 ms.

V. CONCLUSION

We have presented a new theoretical approach to describe the spatial properties of BSV generated through high-gain PDC. In this approach, we derived and solved the integro-differential equations for plane-wave operators without limitations on the pump waist width, number of modes, commutation of the Hamiltonian at different moments of time. The developed approach successfully captures a lot of features of BSV. On the one hand, it is compatible with the Schmidt-mode representation. On the other hand, it properly describes the broadening of the spectrum with increasing parametric gain. As a result, the new treatment predicts correctly the dependence of the Schmidt mode widths on the parametric gain. The model describes different experimental
configurations: the single crystal case and the configuration of two crystals with an air gap between them. For the verification of our theoretical model, we have performed several experiments, both with a single crystal and with a two-crystals PDC source (SU(1,1) interferometer). The presented experimental results are in good agreement with performed theoretical calculations. Our model gives a deep insight into the properties of high-gain
PDC, its mode structure, the origin of nonclassical correlations and opens a broad way for profound investigation and thorough understanding of BSV.
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