arXiv:1905.10228v4 [quant-ph] 8 Mar 2020

Error correction schemes for fully correlated quantum channels protecting both quantum and classical information

Chi-Kwong Li, Seth Lyles, Yiu-Tung Poon

Abstract

We study efficient quantum error correction schemes for the fully correlated channel on an n-qubit system with error operators that assume the form $\sigma_x^{\otimes n}$, $\sigma_y^{\otimes n}$, $\sigma_z^{\otimes n}$. Previous schemes are improved to facilitate implementation. In particular, when n is odd and equals $2k+1$, we describe a quantum error correction scheme using one arbitrary qubit σ to protect the data state ρ in a $2k$-qubit system. The encoding operation $\sigma \otimes \rho \mapsto \Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho)$ only requires $3k$ CNOT gates (each with one control bit and one target bit). After the encoded state $\Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho)$ goes through the channel, we can apply the inverse operation Φ^{-1} to produce $\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho$ so that a partial trace operation can recover ρ. When n is even and equals $2k+2$, we describe a hybrid quantum error correction scheme using any one of the two classical bits $\sigma \in \{|ij\rangle\langle ij| : i, j \in \{0,1\}\}$ to protect a $2k$-qubit state ρ and 2 classical bits. The encoding operation $\sigma \otimes \rho \mapsto \Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho)$ can be done by $3k+2$ CNOT gates and a single quibt Hadamard gate. After the encoded state $\Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho)$ goes through the channel, we can apply the inverse operation Φ^{-1} to produce $\sigma \otimes \rho$ so that a perfect protection of the two classical bits σ and the $2k$-qubit state is achieved. If one uses an arbitrary 2-qubit state σ, the same scheme will protect $2k$-qubit states. The scheme was implemented using Matlab, Mathematica, Python, and the IBM's quantum computing framework `qiskit`.

1 Introduction

In quantum information processing, information is stored and processed with a quantum system. In the mathematical setting, quantum states are represented as density matrices, i.e., complex positive semi-definite matrices with trace one. Denote by D_N the set of density matrices in the set M_N of $N \times N$ complex matrices. A qubit (quantum bit) is a matrix, and a quantum state of an n-qubit system will be a matrix in D_N with $N = 2^n$. A quantum channel on an n-qubit system is a trace preserving completely positive linear map $\mathcal{E} : M_N \to M_N$ that admits the operator sum representation [1]

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} F_j \rho F_j^\dagger \qquad \text{for all } \rho \in M_N,$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_j^\dagger F_j = I_N$. The matrices $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ are called the error operators of the quantum channel $\mathcal{E}$, which is the source of the corruption of the quantum states corresponding to decoherence and other quantum effect on the quantum state ρ. To protect the information stored in the quantum state ρ, one can use quantum error correction schemes to encode the quantum state ρ with some

auxiliary qubits σ so that one can recover the quantum state ρ after the encoded quantum state goes through a noisy quantum channel.

Early quantum error correction scheme was designed in analogy with classical error correction; see [12, 13] and the references there in. By adding extra ancillary qubits, one can encode a k-qubit state (data bits) to an n-qubit codeword. After the codeword goes through the noisy channel, more ancillary qubits are added and a syndrome measurement is performed to diagnose the type of error which occurred. Based on the result of the error syndrome, a recovery operation is performed to recover the original state. The concept of Hamming distance in classical coding theory was also extended to determine the minimum number n so that n-qubit codewords are needed to protect k-qubit states.

In contrast to the scheme outlined in the previous paragraph, researchers have developed new quantum error correction scheme in which syndrom measurement is not required; e.g., see [8, 9] and their references. In particular, it was shown in [9] that for the given channel $\mathcal{E} : M_N \to M_N$, one can identify a maximum nonnegative integer $k \leq n$, a state $\sigma \in M_{2^{n-k}}$ and two unitary matrices $U, V \in M_n$ so that the encoding and decoding can be done as follows:

For any $\rho \in D_K$ with $K = 2^k$, it can be encoded as $\tilde{\rho} = U^\dagger(\sigma \otimes \rho)U$ so that $\Phi(\tilde{\rho})$ has the form $V(\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho)V^\dagger$. Then the ρ can be recovered as by taking a partial trace of $\mathrm{tr}_1(V^\dagger\Phi(\tilde{\rho})V) = \rho$.

The maximum number k can be determined by the algebraic property of the set $\{F_i^\dagger F_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq r\}$ using the Knill-Laflamme condiion; see [7, 9, 10].

While the new quantum error correction scheme is more efficient than the previous ones, several issues needed to be addressed, especially, concerning its implementation.

First, by the Knill-Laflamme condition, the given channel $\mathcal{E}$ has a quantum error correction of dimension K if and only if there is $P \in M_N$ satisfying $P^\dagger = P = P^2$ with trace K such that $PE_i^\dagger E_j P$ is a multiple of P for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$; e.g., see [6, 8, 13]. However, checking the existence of such P is highly non-trivial. Of course, the error channel may be very "noisy" so that there is no high dimensional quantum error correction code. Second, the encoding and decoding processes can be difficult to implement. In particular, it is challenging to find elementary quantum gates to perform the operations $\rho \mapsto U^\dagger(\sigma \otimes \rho)U$ and $\Phi(\tilde{\rho}) \mapsto V^\dagger\Phi(\tilde{\rho})V$ followed by the partial trace operation. Although it is known one may use a small collection of quantum gates to generate all other quantum gates; e.g., see [12, Chapters 4 and 6], it is tricky to decompose specific unitary matrices U and V into elementary quantum gates which can be implemented easily.

A somewhat "successful example" was considered in [10]. In the paper, the authors considered the fully correlated channel $\mathcal{E}$ on an n-qubit system with error operators that assume the form $X_n = \sigma_x^{\otimes n}$, $Y_n = \sigma_y^{\otimes n}$, $Z_n = \sigma_z^{\otimes n}$, where

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

are the Pauli matrices. So, the quantum channel $\mathcal{E} : M_N \to M_N$ with $N = 2^n$ has the form

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = p_0\rho + p_1X_n\rho X_n^\dagger + p_2Y_n\rho Y_n^\dagger + p_3Z_n\rho Z_n^\dagger \qquad \text{for all } \rho \in M_N, \tag{1}$$

where p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 are nonnegative numbers summing up to one. It was shown that if n is odd, one can use a single qubit $\sigma \in D_2$ to protect an $(n-1)$-qubit state; if n is even, one can use a two-qubit σ to protect an $(n-2)$-qubit state. Encoding and decoding can be performed without measurement. Moreover, a simple recurrent encoding and decoding scheme was presented. As mentioned in [10], although the channel (1) is somewhat artificial, the error correction scheme may apply in the following situation. Suppose Alice wants to send qubits to Bob. Their qubit bases differ by unitary operations X_n, Y_n or Z_n. Even when they do not know which basis the other party employs, Alice can correctly send qubits by adding one extra qubit (when n is odd) or two extra qubits (when n is even).

In [7], the authors pointed out that the encoding scheme for the fully correlation channel in [10] utilizes a pure state σ to get $\tilde{\rho} = U^\dagger(\sigma \otimes \rho)U$, and it can be difficult and expensive to get a pure state in practice. To address this issue, they showed that one can use 2 arbitrary qubits to protect 1 data qubit in a fully correlated channel on 3-qubits.

In this paper, we improve the theoretical result and implementation schemes in several directions.

1. We improve the result in [10] and [7] by showing that one can use 1 arbitrary qubit to protect $n-1$ data qubits if n is odd, and use 2 arbitrary qubits to protect $n-2$ data qubits if n is even.

2. When n is odd and equals $2k+1$, we describe a recursive quantum error correction scheme using one arbitrary qubit σ to protect the data state ρ in a $2k$-qubit system. The encoding operation $\sigma \otimes \rho \mapsto \Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho)$ only requires $3k$ CNOT gates (each with one control bit and one target bit). After the encoded state $\Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho)$ goes through the channel, we can apply the inverse operation Φ^{-1} to produce $\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho$ so that a partial trace operation can recover $2k$-qubit state ρ.

3. When n is even and equals $2k+2$, we describe a recursive scheme using 2-qubit state σ to protect a $2k$-qubit state ρ. The encoding operation $\sigma \otimes \rho \mapsto \Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho)$ can be done by $3k+2$ CNOT gates and a single quibt Hadamard gate. After the encoded state $\Phi(\sigma \otimes \sigma)$ goes through the channel, we can apply the inverse operation Φ^{-1} to produce $\hat{\sigma} \otimes \rho$ so that a a partial trace operation can recover ρ.

4. When n is even, we actually have a hybrid quantum error correction scheme that also protect σ if $\sigma \in \{|ij\rangle\langle ij| : i, j \in \{0,1\}\}$. In other words, if $\hat{\rho} = \sigma \otimes \rho$, where $\sigma \in \{|ij\rangle\langle ij| : i, j \in \{0,1\}\}$ and $\rho \in M_{2^{n-2}}$, and Φ corresponds to our encoding scheme, then $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{E}(\Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho))) = \sigma \otimes \rho$.

   Here we note that the study of simultaneous transmission of both quantum and classical information over a quantum channel was initiated in [2] and followed up by other researchers, [3, 4, 5].

5. By the result in [10], our error correction schemes work for any quantum channels on n-qubit systems with error operators in the linear span of the set $\{I_{2^n}, X_n, Y_n, Z_n\}$. In fact, given a

collection $\mathcal{E}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_k$ of such channels, we can apply our encoding scheme Φ to $\sigma \otimes \rho \in D_{2^n}$ where $\sigma \in D_2$ or D_4, depending on n is odd or even, and let the encoded states $\Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho)$ go through all these channels a number of times. If we apply Φ^{-1} to the resulting state, we always get $\hat{\sigma} \otimes \rho$. If n is even, and $\sigma \in \{|ij\rangle\langle ij| : i, j \in \{0, 1\}\}$, then $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma$.

We will state the theoretical results and prove them in the next section. Then we illustrate our schemes and depict the circuit diagrams. In Section 3, we implement and demonstrate our schemes using Matlab, Mathematica, and IBM's quantum computing framework `qiskit` [16]. In particular, experiments were done using the online quantum computers IBM Q 5 Yorktown and IBM Q Tenerife. The last section is devoted to summary and discussions.

2 The quantum error and hybrid error correction schemes

In this section, we provide the theoretical framework to construct the recurrence quantum error correction schemes mentioned in the introduction. The results are described in matrix theoretic setting.

For the fully correlation channel $\mathcal{E} : M_{2^n} \to M_{2^n}$ defined in (1), we construct an encoding scheme of the form $\rho \mapsto \Phi_n(\rho)$ such that

$$\Phi_n(\rho) = P_n \rho P_n^\dagger \qquad \text{for all } \tau \in M_{2^n},$$

where $P_n \in M_{2^n}$. One can then encode $\rho \in D_{2^k}$ as $P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger$, where $\sigma \in D_2$ or D_4 depending on $n = 2k+1$ or $2k+2$, so that $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{E}(\Phi(\sigma \otimes \rho))) = \hat{\sigma} \otimes \rho$. Moreover, we have $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma$ if $n = 2k+2$ and $\sigma \in \{|q_1 q_0\rangle\langle q_1 q_0| : |q_0\rangle, |q_1\rangle \in \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}\}$. We will show that the matrix P_n have the properties described in Section 1, namely,

- P_n can be constructed recursively;
- if n is odd and equals $2k+1$, then P_n is a product of $3k$ CNOT gates (with one control bit and one target bit);
- if n is even and equals $2k+2$, then P_n is a product of $3k+2$ CNOT gates (with one control bit and one target bit) and a single qubit Hamadmard gate.

We will give detailed descriptions of the construction and properties of P_n for $n = 2, 3$ in subsections 2.1. Then we describe the recurrence scheme in subsections 2.2. The general encoding / decoding procedures is summarized in Section 2.3, and the circuit diagrams for encoding and decoding will be shown.

In our discussion, let $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2$ be the Hadamard gate. Denote an n-qubit vector state by $|q_{n-1} \ldots q_0\rangle$. Let C_{ij} be the CNOT gate where the ith qubit controls the target jth qubit. For example, for $(q_2, q_1, q_0) \in \{(0,0,0), (0,0,1), \ldots, (1,1,1)\}$,

$$C_{02}|q_2 q_1 q_0\rangle = \begin{cases} |q_2 \oplus 1, q_1, q_0\rangle & \text{if } q_0 = 1, \\ |q_2 q_1 q_0\rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In matrix form, the identity matrix in M_8 has columns $|000\rangle, |001\rangle, |010\rangle, |011\rangle, |100\rangle, |101\rangle, |110\rangle, |111\rangle$, the CNOT gate $C_{02} \in M_8$ has columns $|000\rangle, |101\rangle, |010\rangle, |111\rangle, |100\rangle, |001\rangle, |110\rangle, |011\rangle$.

2.1 Two-qubit and three-qubit encoding/decoding operators

When $n = 2$, there is no quantum error correction code for the fully correlation channel (1); see [10]. We will show that there is an error correction scheme protecting 2 classical bits, and the scheme will provide the basic case for the recursive quantum error correction scheme for the fully correlation channel (1) on n-qubit systems when n is even.

Let $P_2 = C_{01}(I_2 \otimes H)C_{01}^\dagger \in M_4$, where C_{01} is the CNOT gate using the q_0-bit to control the q_1-bit for the two qubit state $|q_1q_0\rangle$. In the matrix form, C_{01} has columns $|00\rangle, |11\rangle, |10\rangle, |01\rangle$. One readily verifies the following by direct calculation or any of the computer programs described in Section 3.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $P_2 = C_{01}(I_2 \otimes H)C_{01}^\dagger \in M_4$. Then*

$$(P_2^\dagger X_2 P_2, P_2^\dagger Y_2 P_2, P_2^\dagger Z_2 P_2) = (D_X, D_Y, D_Z) \tag{2}$$

with $D_X = \mathrm{diag}\,(1, -1, 1, -1), D_Y = (-1, -1, 1, 1), D_Z = (1, -1, -1, 1)$. Consequently,

$$P_2^\dagger(\mathcal{E}(P_2(\sigma)P_2^\dagger))P_2 = \sigma$$

whenever $\sigma = |q_1q_0\rangle\langle q_1q_0|$ with $|q_1q_0\rangle \in \{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$.

The next result concerns the encoding operator of our error correction scheme for the fully correlation channel (1) when $n = 3$. It shows that the decomposition of the encoding operator as the product of three CNOT gates is optimal. Also, it provides the basic case for the recurrence scheme. We give the short theoretical proof in the following. The result can also be verified by the computer programs in Section 3.

Proposition 2.2 *Let $P_3 = C_{10}C_{02}C_{21} \in M_8$, where C_{ij} uses the $|q_i\rangle$ to control the $|q_j\rangle$ in $|q_2q_1q_0\rangle$. Then*

$$(P_3^\dagger X_3 P_3, P_3^\dagger Y_3 P_3, P_3^\dagger Z_3 P_3) = (X_1 \otimes I_4, -Y_1 \otimes I_4, Z_1 \otimes I_4).$$

Consequently, if $\sigma \in D_2$ and $\rho \in D_4$, then

$$P_3^\dagger(\mathcal{E}(P_3(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_3^\dagger))P_3 = \tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho,$$

where

$$\tilde{\sigma} = p_0\sigma + p_1X_1\sigma X_1^\dagger + p_2Y_1\sigma Y_1^\dagger + p_3Z_1\sigma Z_1^\dagger.$$

Moreover, P_3 cannot be decomposed as a product of fewer than 3 CNOT gates.

Proof. One readily verifies the first two statements. For the last assertion, if we list the columns of I_8 and P_3 in binary form, we have

$$I_8 = [|000\rangle\ |001\rangle\ |010\rangle\ |011\rangle\ |100\rangle\ |101\rangle\ |110\rangle\ |111\rangle],$$

$$P_3 = [|000\rangle\ |101\rangle\ |011\rangle\ |110\rangle\ |111\rangle\ |010\rangle\ |100\rangle\ |001\rangle].$$

Since there are collectively 12 mismatched positions out of the 24 positions in the binary form of the 8 columns of the matrices I_8 to P_3, and every CNOT gate will change 4 out of the 24 positions, we see that expressing P_3 as the product of 3 CNOT gates is optimal. □

2.2 n-qubit encoding/decoding operator for $n \geq 4$

We first present the encoding operators for the fully correlation channel (1) when $n \geq 5$ is odd.

Proposition 2.3 *Let n be an odd integer with $n \geq 3$. Define P_3 as in Proposition 2.2, and let $P_n = (I_4 \otimes P_{n-2})(P_3 \otimes I_{2^{n-3}})$ for $n \geq 5$. Then P_n is a product of $3k$ CNOT gates (each has 1 control bit and 1 target bit) with $k = (n-1)/2$, and*

$$(P_n^\dagger X_n P_n, P_n^\dagger Y_n P_n, P_n^\dagger Z_n P_n) = (X_1 \otimes I_{2^{n-1}}, (-1)^k Y_1 \otimes I_{2^{n-1}}, Z_1 \otimes I_{2^{n-1}}).$$

Consequently, if $\sigma \in D_2$ and $\rho \in D_{2^{n-1}}$, then

$$P_n^\dagger(\mathcal{E}(P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger))P_n = \tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho,$$

where

$$\tilde{\sigma} = p_0\sigma + p_1 X_1 \sigma X_1^\dagger + p_2 Y_1 \sigma Y_1^\dagger + p_3 Z_1 \sigma Z_1^\dagger.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, P_3 is a product of 3 CNOT gates. By the recursive construction, when k increases by 1, we need 3 more CNOT gates. So, P_n can be written as a product of $3k$ CNOT gates.

The other assertions can be verified readily. □

Next, we consider the case when n is even.

Proposition 2.4 *Suppose n is an even integer with $n \geq 4$, P_{n-1} is defined as in Proposition 2.3. Then $P_n = (I_2 \otimes P_{n-1})(P_2 \otimes I_{2^{n-,2}})$ is a product of $3k+2$ CNOT gates (each has 1 control bit and 1 target bit), and 1 Hadamard gate (on one qubit), where $k = (n-2)/2$, and satisfies*

$$(P_n^\dagger X_n P_n, P_n^\dagger Y_n P_n, P_n^\dagger Z_n P_n) = (D_X \otimes I_{2^{n-2}}, (-1)^k D_Y \otimes I_{2^{n-2}}, D_Z \otimes I_{2^{n-2}})$$

$D_X = \mathrm{diag}\,(1,-1,1,-1), D_Y = (-1,-1,1,1), D_Z = (1,-1,-1,1)$. *Consequently, if $\sigma \in D_4$ and $\rho \in D_{2^{n-2}}$, then*

$$P_n^\dagger(\mathcal{E}(P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger)P_n = \hat{\sigma} \otimes \rho,$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma} = p_0\sigma + p_1 D_X \sigma D_X + p_2 D_Y \sigma D_Y + + p_3 D_Z \sigma D_Z.$$

In particular, if $\sigma = |q_1 q_0\rangle\langle q_1 q_0|$ with $|q_1 q_0\rangle \in \{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$, then $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, P_2 is a product of 2 CNOT gates and 1 Hadamard gate. By Proposition 2.3, P_{n-1} is a product of $3k$ CNOT gates. So, $P_n = (I_2 \otimes P_{n-1})(P_2 \otimes I_{2^{n-2}})$ is the product of $3k+2$ CNOT gates and a Hadamard gate.

The rest of the proposition can be verified readily. □

2.3 The encoding/decoding schemes and circuit diagrams

Using Propositions 2.1 - 2.4, we can describe our encoding/decoding schemes as follows.

Theorem 2.5 *Let $\mathcal{E} : M_{2^n} \to M_{2^n}$ be the fully correlated quantum channel defined by*

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = p_0\rho + p_1 X_n \rho X_n^\dagger + p_2 Y_n \rho Y_n^\dagger + p_2 Z_n \rho Z_n^\dagger \qquad \text{for all } \rho \in M_{2^n}.$$

Suppose D_X, D_Y, D_Z, P_2, P_3 and P_n are defined as in Propositions 2.1-2.4.

(a) *Suppose n is an odd integer and equals $2k+1 \geq 3$. Then P_n is a product of $3k$ CNOT gates (each has 1 control and 1 target bit). One can encode an $(n-1)$-qubit data state ρ using an arbitrary qubit σ by the encoding operator P_n so that*

$$\rho \mapsto P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger.$$

After the encoded state goes through the fully correlated channel $\mathcal{E}$, one can apply the operation $\tau \mapsto P_n^\dagger \tau P_n$. Then the encoded state $P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger$ becomes

$$P_n^\dagger \mathcal{E}(P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger)P_n = \tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho,$$

where

$$\tilde{\sigma} = p_0\sigma + p_1 X_1 \sigma X_1^\dagger + p_2 Y_1 \sigma Y_1^\dagger + p_3 Z_1 \sigma Z_1^\dagger.$$

Applying a partial trace $\mathrm{tr}_1(\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho)$, one can recover the data state ρ.

(b) *Suppose n is an even integer and equals $2k+2 \geq 4$. Then P_n is a product of $3k+2$ CNOT gates (each has 1 control and 1 target bit) and a Hadamard gate (on 1 qubit). One can encode an $(n-2)$-qubit data state ρ by an arbitrary $\sigma \in D_4$ using the encoding operator P_n so that*

$$\rho \mapsto P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger.$$

After the encoded state goes through the fully correlated channel $\mathcal{E}$, one can apply the operation $\tau \mapsto P_n^\dagger \tau P_n$. Then the encoded state becomes

$$P_n^\dagger \mathcal{E}(P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger)P_n = \hat{\sigma} \otimes \rho,$$


where

$$\hat{\sigma} = p_0\sigma + p_1 D_X \sigma D_X + p_2 D_Y \sigma D_Y + p_3 D_Z \sigma D_Z \in D_4.$$


Applying a partial trace $\mathrm{tr}_1(\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho)$, one can recover the data state ρ. Furthermore, if $\sigma \in \{|ij\rangle\langle ij| : i, j \in \{0,1\}\}$, then $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma$ and the whole product state $\sigma \otimes \rho$ is protected through the fully correlated channel $\mathcal{E}$.

In the following, we depict the circuit diagram of the quantum error correction scheme described in Theorem 2.5.


For $n = 2$, if $|q_1 q_0\rangle \in \{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$, then circuit diagram will be:




For $n = 3$,



For odd n, the circuit diagram will be:



For even n, if $|q_{n-1}q_{n-2}\rangle \in \{|00\rangle, |10\rangle, |01\rangle, |11\rangle\}$, then the circuit diagram will be:



3 Implementation

3.1 Matlab

A Matlab program is written to generate the matrices X_n, Y_n, Z_n, P_n, etc., and implement the error correction scheme described in Section 2.

For an integer $n > 1$, the following commands will generate the encoding matrix P_n:

```
if mod(n,2) == 1
       P = eye(8); P3 = P(:,[1,6,4,7,8,3,5,2]); Pn = P3;
          k = (n-1)/2;
       for j = 2:k
```

```
            Pn = kron(eye(4),Pn)*kron(P3,eye(2^(2*j-2)));
   end
else
     H = [1 1; 1 -1]/sqrt(2); C01 = [1 0 0 0; 0 0 0 1; 0 0 1 0; 0 1 0 0];
                   P2 = C01*kron(eye(2),H)*C01;
   if n == 2
              Pn = P2;
   else
       P = eye(8); P3 = P(:,[1,6,4,7,8,3,5,2]); Pn = P3; k = (n-2)/2;
     for j = 2:k
           Pn = kron(eye(4),Pn)*kron(P3,eye(2^(2*j-2)));
     end
           Pn = kron(eye(2),Pn)*kron(P2,eye(2^n/4));
   end
end
```

Then one can test the encoding and decoding schemes. First, set up the error operators X_n, Y_n, Z_n.

```
%%%   Set up the error operators for the channel
     X = [0 1; 1 0];  Y = [0 -i; i 0];   Z = [1 0; 0 -1];
        Xn=X; Yn = Y; Zn = Z;
   for j = 2:n
        Xn = kron(X,Xn); Yn = kron(Y,Yn); Zn = kron(Z,Zn);
   end
```

Suppose $n = 2k + 1$ is odd. The following commands check

$$(P_n^\dagger X_n P_n, P_n^\dagger Y_n P_n, P_n^\dagger Z_n P_n) = (X \otimes I, (-1)^k Y \otimes I, Z \otimes I). \tag{3}$$

The output 0,0,0 will confirm the equality.

```
%%%  Check (Pn'XnPn, Pn'YnPn, Pn'ZnPn)
    II = eye(2^(n-1));  norm(Pn'*Xn*Pn -kron(X,II)),
    norm(Pn'*Yn*Pn - (-1)^k*kron(Y,II)), norm(Pn'*Zn*Pn -kron(Z,II))
```

Next, we verify the error correction scheme for random input $\sigma \otimes \rho$ with $\sigma \in D_2$ and $\rho \in D_4$. The output 0,0,0 will confirm the scheme works.

```
%%% Generate random S in D_2, S in D_{2k}
   S = rand(2,2) + i*rand(2,2) - rand(1,1)*(1+i)*eye(2); S = S*S'; S = S/trace(S);
   K = 2^(n-1);
   R = rand(K,K) + i*rand(K,K) - rand(1,1)*(1+i)*eye(K); R = R*R'; R = R/trace(R);
%  Encode kron(S,R) and compared with the decoded state for each error operator.
```

```
A = kron(S,R); AA = Pn*A*Pn';
norm( Pn'*Xn*AA*Xn*Pn - kron(X*S*X',R)), norm(Pn'*Yn*AA*Yn*Pn - kron(Y*S*Y',R))
norm( Pn'*Zn*AA*Zn*Pn - kron(Z*S*Z',R))
```

Suppose n is even. The following commands check

$$(P_n^\dagger X_n P_n, P_n^\dagger Y_n P_n, P_n^\dagger Z_n P_n) = (D_Z \otimes I_{2^{n-2}}, D_Y \otimes I_{2^{n-2}}, D_Z \otimes I_{2^{n-2}}). \tag{4}$$

The output 0,0,0 will confirm the equality.

```
Dx = diag([1 -1 1 -1]); Dy = diag([-1 -1 1 1]); Dz = diag([1 -1 -1 1]);
II = eye(2^n/4);  norm(Pn'*Xn*Pn - kron(Dx,II));
 norm(Pn'*Yn*Pn - (-1)^k*kron(Dy,II)), norm(Pn'*Zn*Pn - kron(Dz,II))
```

Then we verify our error correction scheme that for any $\sigma \in \{|00\rangle\langle 00|, |01\rangle\langle 01|, |10\rangle\langle 10|, |11\rangle\langle 11|\}$ and $\rho \in D_{2^{n-2}}$, the encoding and decoding yield $\sigma \otimes \rho$. Again, the output 0,0,0 will confirm the scheme works.

```
%%%  Set up the classical bits in D_4, and arbitrary qubits in D_{2k}
   K = 2^(n-2);
   R = rand(K,K) + i*rand(K,K) - rand(1,1)*(1+i)*eye(K); R = R*R'; R = R/trace(R);
   b0 = [1 0; 0 0]; b1 = [0 0; 0 1];
   b00 = kron(b0,b0); b01 = kron(b0,b1); b10 = kron(b1,b0); b11 = kron(b1,b1);
%
   S = b00; A = kron(S,R); AA = Pn*A*Pn';  norm(Pn'*Xn*AA*Xn*Pn - kron(S,R)),
       norm(Pn'*Yn*AA*Yn*Pn - kron(S,R)), norm(Pn'*Zn*AA*Zn*Pn - kron(S,R))
%
   S = b01; A = kron(S,R); AA = Pn*A*Pn'; norm(Pn'*Xn*AA*Xn*Pn - kron(S,R)),
       norm(Pn'*Yn*AA*Yn*Pn - kron(S,R)), norm(Pn'*Zn*AA*Zn*Pn - kron(S,R))
%
   S = b10; A = kron(S,R); AA = Pn*A*Pn'; norm(Pn'*Xn*AA*Xn*Pn - kron(S,R)),
       norm(Pn'*Yn*AA*Yn*Pn - kron(S,R)), norm(Pn'*Zn*AA*Zn*Pn - kron(S,R))
%
   S = b11; A = kron(S,R); AA = Pn*A*Pn'; norm(Pn'*Xn*AA*Xn*Pn - kron(S,R)),
       norm(Pn'*Yn*AA*Yn*Pn - kron(S,R)), norm(Pn'*Zn*AA*Zn*Pn - kron(S,R))
```

3.2 Mathematica

We write a Mathematica program to generate the matrices X_n, Y_n, Z_n, P_n, etc., and demonstrate our quantum error correction scheme described in Section 2.

We briefly describe our program in the following. We begin by setting up the CNOT gates, the Hadamard matrix, the Pauli matrices, D_X, D_Y, D_Z and P_2 by the following commands:

```
CNOT[n0_,h0_,k0_]:=Module[{n=n0,h=h0,k=k0},U=IdentityMatrix[2^n];
Do[cindex=IntegerDigits[i-1,2,n];
If[cindex[[n-h]]==1,cindex[[n-k]]=Mod[cindex[[n-k]]+1,2]];
s=Sum[cindex[[r]]*2^(n-r),{r,1,n}]+1;
U[[i]]=Table[KroneckerDelta[s,j],{j,1,2^n}],{i,1,2^n}];U]

H={{1,1},{1,-1}}/Sqrt[2];
x={{0,1},{1,0}};
y={{0,-I},{I,0}};
z={{1,0},{0,-1}};
DX = DiagonalMatrix[{1, -1, 1, -1}];
DY = DiagonalMatrix[{-1, -1, 1, 1}];
DZ = DiagonalMatrix[{1, -1, -1, 1}];
P2=CNOT[2,0,1].KroneckerProduct[IdentityMatrix[2],H].Transpose[CNOT[2,0,1]];
```

Then we define X_n, Y_n and Z_n recursively:

```
X[n_]:=X[n]=KroneckerProduct[X[n-1],x]; X[1]=x;
Y[n_]:=Y[n]=KroneckerProduct[Y[n-1],y]; Y[1]=y;
Z[n_]:=Z[n]=KroneckerProduct[Z[n-1],z]; Z[1]=z;
```

Then we define P_n recursively by setting $P_{2k+1} = Q[k]$ and $P_{2k} = R[k]$.

```
Q[k_]:=Q[k]=KroneckerProduct[IdentityMatrix[4],Q[k-1]].
KroneckerProduct[Q[1],IdentityMatrix[2^(2k-2)]];
Q[1]=CNOT[3,1,0].CNOT[3,0,2].CNOT[3,2,1];

R[k_]:=KroneckerProduct[IdentityMatrix[2],Q[k-1]].
KroneckerProduct[P2,IdentityMatrix[2^(2k-2)]];
```

Then the validity of the formula

$$(P_{2k+1}^t X_{2k+1} P_{2k+1}, P_{2k+1}^t Y_{2k+1} P_{2k+1}, P_{2k+1}^t Z_{2k+1} P_{2k+1}) = (X_1 \otimes I_{2^{2k}}, (-1)^k Y_1 \otimes I_{2^{2k}}, Z_1 \otimes I_{2^{2k}}).$$

can be checked by calculating $\|P_n^t X_n P_n - X_1 \otimes I_{2^{2k}}\|$, $\|P_n^t Y_n P_n - (-1)^k Y_1 \otimes I_{2^{2k}}\|$, $\|P_n^t Z_n P_n - Z_1 \otimes I_{2^{2k}}\|$ with the corresponding functions:

```
Norm[Transpose[Q[3]].X[7].Q[3] - KroneckerProduct[x,IdentityMatrix[2^6]]]
Norm[Transpose[Q[3]].Y[7].Q[3] - (-1)^3*KroneckerProduct[y,IdentityMatrix[2^6]]]
Norm[Transpose[Q[3]].Z[7].Q[3] - KroneckerProduct[z,IdentityMatrix[2^6]]]
```

Similarly, we can check the formula

$$(P_{2k}^t X_{2k} P_{2k}, P_{2k}^t Y_{2k} P_{2k}, P_{2k}^t Z_{2k} P_{2k}) = (D_X \otimes I_{2^{2k-2}}, (-1)^{k-1} D_Y \otimes I_{2^{2k-2}}, D_Z \otimes I_{2^{2k-2}}$$

by calculating (for $k = 3$) respectively as follows:

```
Norm[Transpose[R[3]].X[6].R[3] - KroneckerProduct[DX,IdentityMatrix[2^4]]]
Norm[Transpose[R[3]].Y[6].R[3] - (-1)^2*KroneckerProduct[DY,IdentityMatrix[2^4]]]
Norm[Transpose[R[3]].Z[6].R[3] - KroneckerProduct[DZ,IdentityMatrix[2^4]]]
```

We can also check that for all $n \geq 3$, $\|P_n^\dagger \mathcal{E}(P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger)P_n - \tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho\| = 0$.

3.3 Python

Similar to the other methods, we can verify the results in Python. This is convenient because IBM has provided the `qiskit` framework, and the encoding matrices can be extracted from a built-in circuit using the unitary backend [16].

In contrast to the matrix operations of sections 3.1 and 3.2, the recursive scheme operates on the quantum circuit itself. For convenience, the imports have been omitted, but can be found in the full code.

To initialize a quantum circuit, we first create qubits using `qr = QuantumRegister(n)` and classical bits for measurement with `cr = ClassicalRegister(n)`. The quantum circuit is constructed by the `qc = QuantumCircuit(qr, qc)`. To verify functionality, we can apply arbitrary unitary operations to initialize the state to a given vector. Then, the encoding scheme is defined recursively:

```
def build_circ(qc, q, E):
    n = q[-1][1] + 1 # number of qubits

    def err(e, base=False):
        d = {'X':qc.x, 'Y':qc.y, 'Z':qc.z, 'I':lambda x: None} # apply errors
        d[e](q[n-1])
        if base: # if we're at q3
            d[e](q[0])
            d[e](q[1])

    if n % 2 == 0: # qn is even
        qc.cx(q[n-2], q[n-1]) # encode with P_2
        qc.h(q[n-2])
        qc.cx(q[n-2], q[n-1])
        build_circ(qc, q[:-1], E) #recurse
        err(E)
        qc.cx(q[n-2], q[n-1]) # decode with P_2^T
        qc.h(q[n-2])
        qc.cx(q[n-2], q[n-1])
    else: # it's odd
        qc.cx(q[n-1], q[n-2]) # encode with P_3
```

```
        qc.cx(q[n-3], q[n-1])
        qc.cx(q[n-2], q[n-3])
        if n == 3: # base case
            err(E, True)
        else:
            build_circ(qc, q[:-1], E) #recurse
            err(E)
        qc.cx(q[n-2], q[n-3]) # decode with P_3^T
        qc.cx(q[n-3], q[n-1])
        qc.cx(q[n-1], q[n-2])
    return()
```

We can use the IBM's `qasm` quantum computer simulator to validate our scheme. The results are identical to the above two sections, so we omit them here. The `qasm` computer is deterministic, so an input $|q_2\rangle = U|0\rangle$ and $|q_1 q_0\rangle = V|00\rangle$ for unitary $U \in M_2, V \in M_4$ returns a perfect decoding. Similar statistics may be obtained for $n = 4, 5, 6$, etc.

3.4 IBM Quantum Computer

We use IBM's online quantum computers to implement our scheme. We compare two different machines: Tenerife (`ibmqx4`, pink in graphs) and Yorktown (`ibmqx2`, blue) to show the discrepancy of prediction quality between the two. Yorktown has more than 9 times the gate error of Tenerife, and 2.4 times the readout error according to the documentation at [17]. Table 1 mostly supports these facts; however, there are some interesting results when the input is 10 (on Z and I error), where Yorktown outperforms.

We have three experimental results: $\sigma = 0$ in Table 1, $\sigma = 1$ in Table 2, and σ is a randomly generated state in Table 3.

For $\sigma = 0$, it is interesting to observe that for inputs $00, 01$ Tenerife is significantly better at preserving states than Yorktown. For both of the computers, most of the error seems to take the form of q_1 flipping. In the case of 01 on Yorktown, the output is evenly split between the correct state and the bit flipped state 11.

Also of interest is the fact that, empirically, the accuracy is dependent on σ. In the case of random σ, Yorktown is essentially ineffective at maintaining the state with the given encoding.

We can test the encoding scheme on $|0000\rangle$ and $|00000\rangle$, as shown in Figure 1. In the case of 4 qubits, Tenerife finds the correct output, but neither computer could produce useful results in the 5 qubit case.

4 Concluding remarks and future work

We obtain an efficient error correction scheme for fully correlated quantum channels protecting both quantum and classical information. The scheme was implemented using Matlab, Mathematica,

Pythons, and the IBM's quantum computing framework `qiskit`. The codes are available at:

`https://github.com/slyles1001/QECC`

Computational results were described in Section 3.4 and summarized in Tables 1-3 and Figure 1.

Several remarks are in order concerning the implementation.

In Matlab, we generate the encoding operation P_n for $n \geq 2$, and check the properties described in Propositions 2.1 – 2.4. Note that when the dimension is high, the matrices P_n, X_n, etc. are too big to display, and there are too many entries to check (though most of them are zeros). The command `norm(Pn'*Zn*AA*Zn*Pn - kron(S,R))` computes the norm of the matrix $P_n^\dagger Z_n P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger Z_n P_n - \sigma \otimes \rho$ to confirm that it gives zero (up to machine error). In fact, in the odd case, the Hadamard gate is not used to do encoding and decoding, the norm values of the relevant matrices are exactly 0; in the even case, the Hadamard gate is used (once in encoding and once in decoding), the norm value of matrices yields a number at the order of the machine error.

In Mathematica, the norm of the matrix $P_n^\dagger \mathcal{E}(P_n(\sigma \otimes \rho)P_n^\dagger)P_n - \tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho$ is always exactly 0 even if the Hadamard gate is used in the even case. Here $\tilde{\sigma} = p_0\sigma + p_1 X\sigma X^\dagger + p_2 Y\sigma Y^\dagger + p_3 Z\sigma Z^\dagger \in D_2$ if n is odd and $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma} \in D_4$ is one of the 4 classical binary bits if n is even. This is due to Mathematica being an algebraic solver versus Matlab and Python.

In the IBM quantum computer setting, it is interesting to note that for $U \in \{I_2, X, Y, Z\}$, when we apply the encoding scheme to 3-qubit $P_3|q_2q_1q_0\rangle$, then the error operator $U^{\otimes 3}P_3|q_2q_1q_0\rangle$, and then the operator $P_n^\dagger U^{\otimes 3}P_3|q_2q_1q_0\rangle$, the second qubit always attracts more error compared with the expected output $|Uq_2q_1q_0\rangle$, even for the case when $U = I_2$. (See Tables 1 and 2.) We are curious to know why such a noise pattern is observed when implemented, but cannot speculate at this time.

For future research, we plan to extend the techniques to more general quantum channels such as the fully correlated quantum channels on n-qubits with general noise of the form $U^{\otimes n}$, where $U \in M_2$ is unitary, or a non-classical bit. We also plan to further investigate the cause of the systemic errors in flipping the most significant bit of our systems.

Miguel A. Martin-Delgado pointed out that there were study and experiments on quantum error correction code in a NISQ quantum computer; see [11, 14]. We would like to implement our scheme to quantum channels on more qubits using other quantum computers which are not as noisy as the IBM quantum computers.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for some helpful comments. Li is an affiliate member of the Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo. His research was supported by USA NSF grant DMS 1331021, Simons Foundation Grant 351047.

References

[1] M.D. Choi, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, Linear Algebra and Appl. 10 (1975), 285-290.

[2] I. Devetak and P. W. Shor, The capacity of a quantum channel for simultaneous transmission of classical and quantum information, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 256, no. 2, pp. 287303, 2005.

[3] M. Grassl, S. Lu, and B. Zeng, Codes for simultaneous transmission of quantum and classical information, 2017 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), 1718–1722, 2017.

[4] M.H. Hsieh and M.M. Wilde, Entanglement- assisted communication of classical and quantum information, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 56, no. 9, 4682–4704, 2010.

[5] M.H. Hsieh and M.M. Wilde, Trading classical communication, quantum communication, and entanglement in quantum Shannon theory, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 56, no. 9, 4705–4730, 2010.

[6] E. Knill, R. Laflamme, and L. Viola, Theory of Quantum Error Correction for General Noise, Physical Review Letters 84, 2525, 2000.

[7] Y. Kondo, C. Bagnasco, and M. Nakahara, Implementation of a simple operator-quantum-error-correction scheme, Phys. Rev. A 88, 022314, 2013.

[8] D.W. Kribs, R. Laflamme, D. Poulin, M. Lesosky, Operator quantum error correction, Quant. Inf. & Comp., 6, 383-399 (2006)

[9] C.K. Li, M. Nakahara, Y.T. Poon, N.S. Sze and H. Tomita, Recovery in quantum error correction for general noise without measurement, Quantum Information & Computation 12 (2012), 149-158.

[10] C.K. Li, M. Nakahara, Y.T. Poon, N.S. Sze and H. Tomita, Efficient Quantum Error Correction for Fully Correlated Noise, Phys. Lett. A, 375:3255-3258, 2011.

[11] M. Müller, A. Rivas, E.A. Martínez, D. Nigg, P. Schindler, T. Mnz, R. Blatt, and M.A. Martin-Delgado, Iterative Phase Optimization of Elementary Quantum Error Correcting Codes, Phys. Rev. X 6, 031030, 2016.

[12] M. Nakahara and T. Ohmi, Quantum Computing: From Linear Algebra to Physical Realizations, CRC Press, New York, 2008.

[13] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[14] D. Nigg, M. Müller, E. A. Martinez, P. Schindler, M. Hennrich, T. Monz, M. A. Martin-Delgado, R. Blatt, Quantum computations on a topologically encoded qubit, SCIENCE Vol. 345 no. 6194 pp. 302-305, 2014. DOI: 10.1126/science.1253742

[15] J. Yard, Simultaneous classical-quantum capacities of quantum multiple access channels, Ph.D. dissertation, Electr. Eng. Dept., Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA, 2005.

[16] G. Aleksandrowicz, T. Alexander, P. Barkoutsos, L. Bello, Y. BenHaim, D. Bucher, F. J. Cabrera-Hernadez, J. Carballo-Franquis, A. Chen, C.-F. Chen, J. M. Chow, A. D. Corcoles-Gonzales, A. J. Cross, A. Cross, J. Cruz-Benito, C. Culver, S. D. L. P. Gonzalez, E. D. L. Torre, D. Ding, E. Dumitrescu, I. Duran, P. Eendebak, M. Everitt, I. F. Sertage, A. Frisch, A. Fuhrer, J. Gambetta, B. G. Gago, J. Gomez-Mosquera, D. Greenberg, I. Hamamura, V. Havlicek, J. Hellmers, . Herok, H. Horii, S. Hu, T. Imamichi, T. Itoko, A. Javadi- Abhari, N. Kanazawa, A. Karazeev, K. Krsulich, P. Liu, Y. Luh, Y. Maeng, M. Marques, F. J. Martin-Fernandez, D. T. McClure, D. McKay, S. Meesala, A. Mezzacapo, N. Moll, D. M. Rodriguez, G. Nannicini, P. Nation, P. Ollitrault, L. J. ORiordan, H. Paik, J. Perez, A. Phan, M. Pistoia, V. Prutyanov, M. Reuter, J. Rice, A. R. Davila, R. H. P. Rudy, M. Ryu, N. Sathaye, C. Schnabel, E. Schoute, K. Setia, Y. Shi, A. Silva, Y. Siraichi, S. Sivarajah, J. A. Smolin, M. Soeken, H. Takahashi, I. Tavernelli, C. Taylor, P. Taylour, K. Trabing, M. Treinish, W. Turner, D. Vogt-Lee, C. Vuillot, J. A. Wildstrom, J. Wilson, E. Winston, C. Wood, S. Wood, S. Worner, I. Y. Akhalwaya, and C. Zoufal, Qiskit: An open-source framework for quantum computing, 2019.

[17] `https://www.research.ibm.com/ibm-q/technology/devices/`

(C.K. Li) Department of Mathematics, College of William & Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23185, USA.
Email: ckli@math.wm.edu
(S. Lyles) Department of Mathematics, College of William & Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23185, USA.
Email: smlyles@email.wm.edu
(Y.T. Poon) Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, U.S.A.
Center for Quantum Computing, Peng Cheng Laboratory, Shenzhen, 518055, China
Email: ytpoon@iastate.edu




Table 1: Inputs and Errors on sigma = 0, Legend: Tenerife (pink) and Yorktown (blue)




Table 2: Inputs and Errors on sigma = 1, Legend: Tenerife (pink) and Yorktown (blue)




Table 3: Inputs and Errors on random sigma, Legend: Tenerife (pink) and Yorktown (blue)




Figure 1: QECC on 4 and 5 qubits