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By studying the angular spectrum of helical sources, an extraordinary structure of field, spin and Poynting vectors of helical evanescent electromagnetic waves is revealed. It is shown that the orientation of each of the three vectors is the same for every helical evanescent wave on the helicity-dependent half tangent line in momentum space starting from a point on the \(k_0 = k_0\) circle that defines the boundary of free-propagating and evanescent waves. Applying the helicity angular spectrum analysis to dipolar sources that exhibit near-field directionality, we show that the zeros in the angular spectrum are also helicity singularities where two half tangent lines of opposite helicity intersect. Based on this unique half tangent line feature, we further devise a powerful method for the synthetic design of near-field directional sources using structured helical illumination as excitation to gain full control of the near-field directionality. This general and universal property of helical evanescent waves has great implications in chiral light-matter interaction and topological photonics among others.

I. INTRODUCTION

Chiral light-matter interaction [1–3] is becoming an increasingly important topic in recent years as evidenced on at least two fronts: its application in distinguishing chiral molecules from their mirror images (enantiomers) [4–7] and its potential in building so-called chiral interfaces for quantum optics [3, 8, 10].

Enantiomers, a pair of organic or biological molecules with same chemical composition but opposite chirality, can have completely different chemical properties and biological functions. Being able to distinguish and separate them is critical in chemical, biological and pharmaceutical research and industry. Such structural information can be measured by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy, a method that uses chiral light to probe the molecular chirality based on the different absorption of the enantiomers in response to different handedness of the circularly polarised probing light. However, due to weak interactions between molecules and the probing light, the CD measurement is often challenging. To tackle this challenge, various concepts involving interference [5] and resonant nanostructures [4, 7] have been investigated in recent years to enhance the local optical field strength while maintaining chirality. This motivates the study on the design of chiral nanostructures [11–13] and structured light [14–16] aimed at more effective chiral light-matter interaction in order to reach desired functionalities. More fundamentally, the conservation laws discovered in various versions since the 1960s regarding the helicity/chirality of electromagnetic waves [17–22] are given physical interpretations in the context of chiral light-matter interaction, and have been expanded to include sources [23–24], nanostructures [25–27] as well as general dispersive [28] and lossy media [29].

The spin of a free-propagating plane wave is aligned to the Poynting vector and the direction of phase propagation, i.e. it is longitudinal. In recent years, another type of spin—the transverse spin which arises in evanescent waves and in the fields of interfering plane waves [30–33] has drawn lots of attention. Different from the longitudinal counterpart, the transverse spin exhibits a special spin-momentum locking property, widely considered as a manifestation of the spin-orbital interaction in optics [10, 34]. The handedness of the spin is locked to the direction of phase propagation [9, 10]. Due to the general existence of transverse spin in evanescent waves at the interface of surfaces [30–36], waveguides [8] and photonic crystals [37], access to the spin-momentum locking property unlocks new regimes in various fields like chiral quantum optics [3], topological photonics [37–40], optical lateral forces [41] as well as optical metrology [42–44].

While optical helicity strongly relates to electric and magnetic duality [12, 21, 25, 45], most spin-momentum locking research [8, 30, 36, 37] investigate the coupling through electric spin only. That’s understandable due to the scarcity of magnetic optical materials in nature. However in recent years, various works have shown that artificial optical magnetism can be induced by resonances in nanostructures [46]. For instance, high-index dielectric nanoparticles are known to support electric and magnetic modes and have been used to demonstrate induced electric and magnetic dipolar sources [47–49]. The induced magnetic dipole enables the observation of magnetic transverse spin [50] and the magnetic spin orbital interaction of light [51]. More interestingly, the construction of combined electric and magnetic dipolar sources [52–55] shows ways to achieve nearfield directionality that goes beyond spin-momentum locking [56, 57]. The generalized Huygens and Janus dipoles complement the spinning dipoles and can separately be related to the real and imaginary part of the complex Poynting vector and the spin of the local electromagnetic
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Its radiation field components \( k \) and \( m \) of a dipole moment \( p \) and magnetic dipole moment \( m \) fulfill \( p = \pm i m / c_0 \) with \( c_0 \) being the speed of light in vacuum. However, one should note that not all spinning dipoles are necessarily helical dipoles, neither a source needs to contain any spinning electric or magnetic dipole to be helical. As a special case, a helical source composed of collinear electric and magnetic dipoles has been demonstrated experimentally \[58\] where \( p \times m^* \), \( p \times p^* \) and \( m \times m^* \) are all zero. In the context of helicity conservation, it is shown that for any helical dipolar source that fulfills \( p = \pm i m / c_0 \) including the collinear case, the radiation EM field of every angular spectrum component is circularly polarised and shares the same helicity as the source. This property brings new physical insights in understanding various optical phenomena, such as the Kerker’s condition for zero backscattering \[59\]. A dual dipolar Mie particle that fulfills Kerker’s condition naturally induces a helical source when excited by a circularly polarised beam. To keep the same helicity as the incident light, circular polarization of the scattering field along the backward direction would need to be orthogonal to the incident one, and as a result, light coupling into the backscattering direction is not possible.

In this work, we study the helicity angular spectrum of helical dipoles as well as sources that exhibit near-field directionality like Janus dipole, general Huygens dipole and elliptical dipole. Studying the helicity of the near-field components of the angular spectrum, we will show the extraordinary spin structure of helical evanescent waves and how this will enable an approach on synthetic design of near-field directional dipolar sources. Eventually we apply this to construct structured helical illumination to induce dipolar sources inside nanoparticles that enable angular tuning of near-field directionality.

**II. PHYSICAL MODEL**

For a source with electric dipole \( p \) and magnetic dipole \( m \), we can define the normalized source helicity to be

\[
\mathcal{H}_{pm} = \frac{2 \Im[p \cdot (m^*/c_0)]}{|p|^2 + |m/c_0|^2},
\]

Its radiation field components \( \mathbf{E}_k \) and \( \mathbf{H}_k \) with well-defined wavevector \( \mathbf{k} \) = \((k_x, 0, k_z)\) (the vector notation \( (k_x, k_y, k_z) \) refers to components in the orthonormal cylindrical basis throughout the text and \( k_z = \pm \sqrt{k_0^2 - k_p^2} \), “+” for \( z > 0 \), “-” for \( z < 0 \), \( k_0 = 2 \pi / \lambda \) and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength in vacuum) can be represented with the circular polarization basis:

\[
\mathbf{E}_k = E_+ \hat{\mathbf{E}}_k^+ + E_- \hat{\mathbf{E}}_k^-,
\]
\[
\mathbf{H}_k = E_+ \hat{\mathbf{H}}_k^+ + E_- \hat{\mathbf{H}}_k^-,
\]

where \( \hat{\mathbf{E}}_k^\pm = \hat{e}_+ = 1 / \sqrt{2} (\hat{e}_p \pm i \hat{e}_s) \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{H}}_k^\pm = 1 / Z_0 (\mp i) \hat{e}_s \) are the circularly polarised field vectors, \( Z_0 \) is the impedance of free space and \( \hat{e}_p = (k_y/k_0, 0, -k_p/k_0) \) and \( \hat{e}_s = (0, 1, 0) \) are the spherical coordinate unit vectors, associated to TM and TE polarizations. It is important to note that the circularly polarised unit vectors have the relations \( \hat{e}_+ \cdot \hat{e}_+ = \hat{e}_- \cdot \hat{e}_- = 0 \) and \( \hat{e}_+ \cdot \hat{e}_- = 1 \). The angular spectrum of field coefficients \[60\] of a dipolar source in the circular polarization basis \( \mathbf{E}^\pm \) can be calculated as:

\[
\mathbf{E}^\pm = (p \pm i \frac{m}{c_0}) \cdot \hat{e}_s,
\]

The normalized helicity of the radiation field component \( \mathbf{E}_k \) and \( \mathbf{H}_k \) can be defined as

\[
\mathcal{H}_k = \frac{2 \Im[\mathbf{E}_k \cdot (Z_0 \mathbf{H}_k^*)]}{|\mathbf{E}_k|^2 + |Z_0 \mathbf{H}_k|^2},
\]

Dipolar sources that satisfy \( p = \pm m/c_0 \) with source helicity \( \mathcal{H}_{pm} = \pm 1 \) have been proposed by Fernandez-Corbaton et al. \[12\] to generate field of well-defined helicity in all scattering directions. For such sources, it follows from the above formalism that the field vectors of every component of the angular spectrum \( \mathbf{k} \) are:

\[
\mathbf{E}_k = E_\pm \hat{e}_\pm,
\]
\[
\mathbf{H}_k = \mp i \frac{1}{Z_0} \mathbf{E}_k \hat{e}_\pm,
\]

which means that every component of the angular spectrum, including the evanescent part, is circularly polarised and has exactly the same helicity as the source:

\[
\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{H}_{pm} = \pm 1.
\]

Such sources composed of comparable electric and magnetic responses are rare in Nature, but induced chiral/helical dipoles inside dielectric nanoantennas as demonstrated by Eismann et al. \[58\] serve as unique physical systems to study the properties of circularly polarised waves along every wavevector simultaneously in \( k \)-space. Specifically, it shall be shown that helical evanescent waves possess an extraordinary structure of Poynting vector and spin in the momentum space, which forms the basis for the study of near-field directional sources and its construction using structural helical illumination as discussed in this work.

Take a helical evanescent wave with wavevector \( \mathbf{k} = (k_x, 0, i \gamma_z) \) in the upper half space \( (z > 0) \) as an example, the polarization vector can be expressed as

\[
\hat{e}_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{e}_p \pm i \hat{e}_s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( i \frac{\gamma_z}{k_0}, \pm i, -\frac{k_p}{k_0} \right).
\]
where \( \gamma_z = \sqrt{k^2 - k_0^2} \) and \( k_\rho \geq k_0 \). Following Eq. (5), the spin and Poynting vectors of the circularly \( \hat{e}_\pm \) polarised evanescent waves are oriented respectively in the directions \( \hat{s}_k \) and \( \hat{P}_k \):

\[
\hat{s}_k = \frac{\mathbb{I} \{ \mathbf{E}_k \times \mathbf{E}_k \} - \mathbb{I} \{ \mathbf{H}_k \times \mathbf{H}_k \} \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{E}_k|^2} = \left( \frac{\pm k_0}{k_\rho}, -k_\rho, 0 \right),
\]

\[
\hat{P}_k = \frac{\mathbb{I} \{ \mathbf{E}_k \times \mathbf{H}_k \} - \mathbb{I} \{ \mathbf{E}_k \times \mathbf{H}_k \} \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{E}_k||\mathbf{H}_k|} = \left( \frac{k_0}{k_\rho} + \frac{\gamma_z}{k_\rho}, 0, 0 \right).
\]

The spin and Poynting vectors of helical evanescent waves described in Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) are depicted over the \( k \)-space in Fig. 1(a).

Studying the helical evanescent waves with the same direction of phase propagation \( \hat{e}_\rho \), the spin and Poynting vector orientation change from a free propagating wave with \( k_\rho = k_0 \) to a strongly evanescent wave in the limit of \( k_\rho \to \infty \), one can find that helical evanescent waves share some similar properties to circularly polarised free propagating waves and TE/TM polarised evanescent waves, but also have some unique properties that the other two do not possess.

Like TE/TM polarised evanescent waves, helical evanescent waves have a transverse spin component whose orientation is polarization-independent, locked and orthogonal to the direction of phase propagation. But different from TE/TM polarised evanescent waves where only one of the electric and magnetic spins exists and the spin field polarization is ideally circularly polarised only in the large \( k_\rho \) limit, a helical evanescent wave has circularly polarized electric and magnetic fields at every \( k_\rho \) and its total spin vector orientation depends both on \( k_\rho \) and on helicity. Just like a circularly polarised EM wave in free space, the spin and Poynting vectors of a helical evanescent wave follow the relation:

\[
\hat{s}_k = \mathcal{H}_k \hat{P}_k,
\]

so that depending on the helicity, the spin vector is either parallel or anti-parallel to the Poynting vector. However, unlike both free propagating and TE/TM evanescent waves whose real Poynting vector components are independent of polarization and parallel to the wavevector of phase propagation, the Poynting vector of a helical evanescent wave has an additional helicity-dependent transverse component as shown in Eq. (5). We may look at two limiting cases: for EM waves with \( k_\rho = k_0 \), both the spin and Poynting vectors are oriented radially with the spin vector being helicity-dependent and the Poynting vector being helicity-independent, while for helical evanescent waves with \( k_\rho \to \infty \), the spin and Poynting vectors are becoming purely azimuthal/transversal with the spin vector being helicity-independent and the Poynting vector flipping orientation with opposite helicity. For any general helical evanescent wave with \( k_\rho \) in between, both the spin and Poynting vectors depend on helicity and neither is collinear with its counterparts on an EM wave with opposite helicity.

The existence of this rather “unusual” transverse Poynting vector has actually been predicted alongside the discovery of Fedorov-Imbert transverse shift of elliptically polarised beams under total internal reflection [61, 62], yet it is only recently that the transverse Poynting vector or transverse momentum has been claimed not to be responsible for the transverse beam shift but rather a virtual Belinfante spin momentum [63], experimentally detected [64] shortly afterwards.

Apart from the helicity-dependent spin and Poynting vectors of single evanescent waves, it is the extraordinary structure of field, spin and Poynting vectors of helical EM waves in the momentum space that is of specific interest in the current work. The circle \( k_\rho = k_0 \) in \( k \)-space defines the boundary between free-propagating and evanescent waves. Following Eq. (7)-(8) and illustrated in Fig. 1, we arrive at a key property of helical evanescent waves: any helical evanescent waves whose wavevectors lie on the half tangent line starting from a point on the \( k_\rho = k_0 \) circle, and directed along \( +\hat{\phi} \) for \( \hat{e}_+ \) polarised EM waves and along \( -\hat{\phi} \) for \( \hat{e}_- \) polarised waves.
EM waves, share exactly the same unit vectors of field \( \mathbf{e}_\pm \), spin \( \mathbf{sk} \) and Poynting vector \( \mathbf{P}_\pm \) as the circularly polarised free propagating EM wave of same helicity at the point of tangency. This is in stark contrast to the helical EM waves within the light cone where none of these three unit vectors corresponding to any two different wavevectors is the same. The extraordinary spin structure of helical evanescent waves is clearly illustrated in Fig. 1(b) and (c) corresponding to helical dipolar sources with \( \mathcal{H}_\text{pm} = +1 \) and \( \mathcal{H}_\text{pm} = -1 \). The field vector at every local \( k \) point is circularly polarised with varying orientations, such that globally over the momentum space, the helicity-dependent half tangent line gives rise to a chiral structure of polarization ellipse and spin vectors in the evanescent angular spectrum of helical sources. A source with opposite helicity \( \mathcal{H}_\text{pm} = \pm 1 \) will lead to a structure of opposite chirality in \( k \) space as illustrated in Fig. 1(b) and (c). This tangent line feature appears in various Fourier polarimetry measurements of Si nanospheres [58], plasmonic spiral nanoantennas [60] and topological photonic metamaterials [39]. Our formalism above actually shows that it is a general universal property of helical evanescent waves.

III. HELICITY ANGULAR SPECTRUM OF NEAR-FIELD DIRECTIONAL DIPOLAR SOURCES

An important result follows from the field angular spectrum described in Eq. (3) and the fact that the evanescent waves of wavevectors on the helicity-dependent half tangent line share the same circularly polarised field vectors with the point of tangency on the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle. If either field amplitude coefficient of the circularly polarised field components \( E_\pm \) for a wavevector on the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle is zero, the same null coefficient will apply to all field components with the same helicity whose wavevector lies along the corresponding half tangent line. If \( E_+ = 0 \) on the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle then it follows that \( E_+ = 0 \) must be zero on every wavevector in the upper half \( k \)-space on the half tangent line starting from the point of tangency along \( +\hat{\varphi} \). Alternatively, \( E_- = 0 \) on the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle will also apply to any wavevector in the upper half \( k \)-space on the half tangent line starting from the point of tangency along \( -\hat{\varphi} \).

Take the source composed of a magnetic dipole \( \mathbf{m} = c_0(i\mathbf{\hat{x}} - \mathbf{\hat{z}}) \) and an electric dipole \( \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{\hat{x}} + i\mathbf{\hat{z}} = -i\mathbf{m}/c_0 \) for example. Such source is helical with \( \mathcal{H}_\text{pm} = -1 \). It has been shown from Eq. (5) that its field is purely \( \mathbf{e}_- \) polarised with its amplitude determined by \( E_- \propto (\mathbf{p} - i\mathbf{m}/c_0) \cdot \mathbf{e}_+ \). On the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle, this corresponds to \( E_- \propto (1 - \mathbf{\hat{x}} \cdot \hat{\varphi}) \). From the discussion above, \( E_- = 0 \) at \( \varphi = 270^\circ \) on the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle and this null amplitude will extend to any wavevector on the half tangent line along \( \hat{\varphi} \) starting from this \( k \) point. As the radiation field is solely determined by \( E_- \), the total radiation into these wavevectors are completely suppressed as shown in Fig. 2(a).

The same analysis can be applied to characterize any general dipolar sources that exhibit near-field directional-ity. Three types of known directional dipolar sources are examined in Fig. 2(b)-(d): generalized Huygens dipole in Fig. 2(b) with an electric dipole \( \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{\hat{z}} \) and a magnetic dipole \( \mathbf{m} = c_0(\sqrt{2}\mathbf{\hat{y}}) \), elliptical electric dipole in Fig. 2(c) with only an electric dipole \( \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{\hat{x}} + i/\sqrt{2}\mathbf{\hat{z}} \) and Janus dipole in Fig. 2(d) with an electric dipole \( \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{\hat{x}} \) and a magnetic dipole \( \mathbf{m} = c_0(i\mathbf{\hat{y}}) \). For the generalized Huygens dipole shown in Fig. 2(b), at \( k \) points with \( \varphi = 45^\circ \) and \( \varphi = 315^\circ \) on the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle, \( E_+ \) and \( E_- \) are zero. Starting from each point, the evanescent waves on the half tangent line along \( \hat{\varphi} \) are helical with \( \mathcal{H}_k = +1 \) as \( E_- = 0 \), while the evanescent waves on the half tangent line along \( -\hat{\varphi} \) are helical with \( \mathcal{H}_k = -1 \) as \( E_+ = 0 \). At the point of \( k_p = \sqrt{2}k_0 \) and \( \varphi = 0^\circ \), the two half tangent lines with opposite helicity intersect. As a result, at this point both \( E_+ \) and \( E_- \) are zero, leading to zero total field at this wavevector. For the elliptical electric dipole shown in Fig. 2(c), there are four wavevectors on the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle whose fields are circularly polarized (and therefore have either \( E_+ \) or \( E_- \) equals to zero): two at \( \varphi = 45^\circ \) and \( \varphi = 135^\circ \) with \( \mathcal{H}_k = +1 \) and the other two at \( \varphi = 225^\circ \) and \( \varphi = 315^\circ \) with \( \mathcal{H}_k = -1 \). Two of the corresponding half tangent lines of opposite helicity intersect at the point of \( k_p = \sqrt{2}k_0 \) and \( \varphi = 0^\circ \), leading to zero total field at this wavevector. The Janus dipole in Fig. 2(d) also exhibits four wavevectors on the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle whose fields are circularly polarized, but in a different angular ordering: two at \( \varphi = 45^\circ \) and \( \varphi = 225^\circ \) with \( \mathcal{H}_k = +1 \) and the other two at \( \varphi = 135^\circ \) and \( \varphi = 315^\circ \) with \( \mathcal{H}_k = -1 \). The corresponding half tangent lines of opposite helicity lead to two crossing points and thus zero total field: one at \( k_p = \sqrt{2}k_0 \) and \( \varphi = 90^\circ \) and the other at \( k_p = \sqrt{2}k_0 \) and \( \varphi = 270^\circ \).

The directional dipolar sources in Fig. 2(b)-(d) show that the near-field zero radiation wavevectors are also \( k \)-space helicity singularities. Knowing the wavevectors on the \( k_p = k_0 \) circle whose coefficient \( E_+ \) or \( E_- \) is zero, the wavevectors of null radiation in the near-field angular spectrum can be determined thanks to the unique tangent line property of helical evanescent waves.

IV. STRUCTURED HELICAL ILLUMINATION

This knowledge is not only useful to characterize known near-field directional dipolar sources, but can also be used to devise a powerful method to find unknown dipolar sources for designated null radiation wavevectors.
Angular spectrum (in the upper half space $z \geq 0$) of energy and helicity for the source composed of an electric dipole $\mathbf{p} = \hat{x} + i\hat{z}$ and a magnetic dipole $\mathbf{m} = \alpha_0 (i \hat{x} - \hat{z})$; angular spectrum (in the upper half space $z \geq 0$) of the field coefficients $\log |E_+|$, $\log |E_-|$ and helicity $\mathcal{H}_k$ of the sources: (b) $\mathbf{p} = \hat{x}$ and $\mathbf{m} = \alpha_0 (\sqrt{2} \hat{y})$; (c) $\mathbf{p} = \hat{x} + i\sqrt{2} \hat{z}$ and $\mathbf{m} = 0$; (d) $\mathbf{p} = \hat{x}$ and $\mathbf{m} = \alpha_0 (i\hat{y})$.

and further design structured helical illumination to excite such sources. Consider the case in Fig. 3 where we want the radiation into the wavevector $k_\rho = \sqrt{2}k_0$ at $\varphi = 135^\circ$ in the upper half space to be zero. This desired wavevector is the crossing point of the half tangent line starting from the k point on the $k_\rho = k_0$ circle at $\varphi = 90^\circ$ along $\hat{\varphi}$ and the half tangent line starting from the k point on the $k_\rho = k_0$ circle at $\varphi = 180^\circ$ along $-\hat{\varphi}$. Based on the properties of helical evanescent waves, we know that the desired dipole source must have $E_- = 0$ on the k point at $\varphi = 90^\circ$ of the $k_\rho = k_0$ circle and $E_+ = 0$ on the k point at $\varphi = 180^\circ$ of the $k_\rho = k_0$ circle. Both conditions are sufficient for the field amplitude at the designated wavevector to be zero. For the proposed method to work, one will need to involve two key elements as exemplified by the system in Fig. 3(a): one is helical beam illumination and the other is a dual dipolar nanoparticle. A nanoparticle with electric and magnetic dipole polarizabilities $\alpha_0 = \frac{i\varepsilon_\infty}{\varepsilon_0} \alpha_1$ and $\alpha_m = \frac{\varepsilon_\infty}{\varepsilon_0} b_1$ is dual when the ED and MD Mie coefficients are equal $\alpha_1 = b_1$. Such nanoparticles have some unique properties to serve our purpose. First of all, dual dipolar nanoparticles naturally fulfill Kerker’s condition for zero scattering into the backward direction of the incident beam. Secondly, these nanoparticles illuminated by circularly polarised light preserve the helicity of the incident beam $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \pm 1$. The induced electric dipole $\mathbf{p} = \alpha_0 E_\infty$ and magnetic dipole $\mathbf{m} = \alpha_m H_\infty$ inside such nanoparticles form a helical source that scatters light throughout the entire angular spectrum with the same helicity as the incident helical beam: $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{H}_{pm} = \mathcal{H}_\infty$.

Illustrated in Fig. 3(a), beam 1 along the direction $\mathbf{k} = -k_0 \hat{y}$ has a helicity of $\mathcal{H}_\infty = -1$ which induces a helical dipole source $\mathbf{p}_1 = -i\hat{x} - \hat{z}$ and $\mathbf{m}_1 = ic_0 \mathbf{p}_1$ that generates purely $\hat{e}_-\perp$ polarised scattering field on the entire angular spectrum. Beam 2 along the direction $\mathbf{k} = k_0 \hat{x}$ has a helicity of $\mathcal{H}_\infty = +1$ which induces a helical dipolar source $\mathbf{p}_2 = i\hat{y} - \hat{z}$ and $\mathbf{m}_2 = -ic_0 \mathbf{p}_2$ that generates purely $\hat{e}_+\perp$ polarised scattered field on the entire angular spectrum. As a result, in the angular spectrum of the nanoparticle illuminated by these two beams, the coefficient $E_-$ is purely determined by beam 1 and the coefficient $E_+$ is purely determined by beam 2. Further taking into account the Kerker’s condition for zero backscattering, $E_- = 0$ along $\mathbf{k} = k_0 \hat{y}$, the backward direction to beam 1 and $E_+ = 0$ along $\mathbf{k} = -k_0 \hat{x}$, the backward direction to beam 2. Since beam 1 and beam 2 independently determine $E_- = 0$ and $E_+ = 0$, any combinations $u_1 \mathbf{p}_1 + u_2 \mathbf{p}_2$ and $u_1 \mathbf{m}_1 + u_2 \mathbf{m}_2$ ($u_1$ and $u_2$ can be any complex value) will meet the aforementioned requirements and have zero scattering at the designated wavevector. One can see from Fig. 3(b) that the scattering of the induced dipole $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2$ and $\mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2$ into wavevector $k_\rho = \sqrt{2}k_0$ at $\varphi = 135^\circ$ in the upper half space is zero, while in the lower half space, there is no helicity singularity and no zero scattering wavevectors exist. In this way, a new type of near-field directional dipole is found which is different from the three known near-field directional dipolar sources in Fig. 2(b)-(d).

Angular tuning of directional scattering has been proposed with a pair of spinning magnetic dipole $\mathbf{m} = c_0 (\hat{x} + i\hat{y})$ and axial electric dipole $\mathbf{p} = e^{i\Delta \phi} \hat{z}$. A helical version of such dipolar source with $\mathbf{p} = \hat{x} + i\hat{y} - e^{i\Delta \phi} \hat{z}$ and $\mathbf{m} = -ic_0 \mathbf{p}$ extends the zero scattering at a single k point on the $k_\rho = k_0$ circle to all the evanescent wavevectors on the corresponding half tangent line. This helical source can be induced inside a dual dipolar nanoparticle by a three beam excitation configuration as illustrated in Fig. 3(a). All three beams have the same hel-
licity \( \mathcal{H}_{in} = -1 \). Beam II along \( k_0 \hat{z} \) induces a helical dipole \( \mathbf{p}_II = \hat{x} + i \hat{y} \) and \( \mathbf{m}_II = -ic_0 \mathbf{p}_I \). The oblique beam I+ and beam I− with identical amplitude and phase along wavevectors \( \mathbf{k} = \pm k_x \hat{x} + k_z \hat{z} \) \((0 < k_z \leq k_0)\) and \( k_z = \sqrt{k_0^2 - k_x^2} \) induce a collinear helical dipole \( \mathbf{p}_I = e^{i \Delta \phi} \hat{z} \) and \( \mathbf{m}_I = -ic_0 \mathbf{p}_I \), where the phase factor \( e^{i \Delta \phi} \) is introduced by a phase difference \( \Delta \phi \) between beam II and beams I±. The coefficient \( E_+ \) on the \( k_\rho = k_0 \) circle of the total induced dipole can be calculated from Eq. (3): \( E_+ \propto (\mathbf{p}_I + \mathbf{p}_II) \cdot \mathbf{e}_+ = e^{i \phi} + e^{i \Delta \phi} \), showing that scattering into the wavevector of \( \phi = \pi + \Delta \phi \) on the \( k_\rho = k_0 \) circle is zero. As the scattering field preserves the helicity of the incident beams \( \mathcal{H}_{in} = -1 \), the evanescent fields of wavevectors on the tangent line starting from this wavevector along \(-\phi\) are also zero. As shown in Fig. [4] by sweeping the phase difference of the excitation beams \( \Delta \phi \) from 0 to \( 2\pi \), the helicity of the scattering wave remains constant, while the zero scattering wavevectors sweep over the \( k_\rho = k_0 \) circle and the entire evanescent angular spectrum in momentum space.

FIG. 4. (a) A dual dipolar nanoparticle illuminated by three helical beams. The oblique beam I+ and beam I− combined induce a collinear helical dipole \( \mathbf{p}_I = e^{i \Delta \phi} \hat{z} \) and \( \mathbf{m}_I = -ic_0 \mathbf{p}_I \) while beam II induces a helical dipole \( \mathbf{p}_II = \hat{x} + i \hat{y} \) and \( \mathbf{m}_II = -ic_0 \mathbf{p}_I \). The scattering energy and helicity angular spectrum in the upper half space for the total induced dipole \( \mathbf{p}_I + \mathbf{p}_II \) and \( \mathbf{m}_I + \mathbf{m}_II \) for (b) \( \Delta \phi = 0 \) rad, (c) \( \Delta \phi = 2\pi/3 \) rad and (d) \( \Delta \phi = 4\pi/3 \) rad.

VI. CONCLUSION

We show that the extraordinary helicity-dependent tangent line feature of aligned field, spin and Poynting vectors in the momentum space is a general universal property of helical evanescent waves. This feature plays a key role in the helicity angular spectrum of dipolar sources that exhibit near-field directionality, where the null radiation wavevectors are shown to be \( k \) point of helicity singularities. A simple method consisting of structured helical beams and helicity preserving dual nanoparticles is devised from the extraordinary property of helical evanescent waves and shown by examples in Fig. [3] and Fig. [4] as a powerful tool to design, excite and gain full control of near-field directional sources. Due to the generality of this property of helical evanescent waves, the result discussed in the current work is expected to have great impact on research involving chiral light matter interaction, directional light coupling and topological photonic structures among many others.
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