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Abstract

For an ordered subset $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k\}$ of vertices in a connected graph $\Gamma$ and a vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$, the metric representation of $v$ with respect to $W$ is the $k$-vector $r(v|W) = (d(v, w_1), d(v, w_2), \ldots, d(v, w_k))$. If every pair of distinct vertices of $\Gamma$ have different metric representations then the ordered set $W$ is called a resolving set of $\Gamma$. Intrinsic metrics on a graph have become of interest. In this paper, we consider the problem of determining the cardinality $\psi(\Gamma)$ of minimal doubly resolving sets of $\Gamma$, and the strong metric dimension for some classes of graphs.
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1. Introduction

We consider graphs $\Gamma = (V, E)$ that are finite, simple and connected, where $V = V(\Gamma)$ is the vertex set and $E = E(\Gamma)$ is the edge set. The size of the largest clique in the graph $\Gamma$ is denoted by $\omega(\Gamma)$ and the size of the largest independent sets of vertices by $\alpha(\Gamma)$. For $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$, the length of a shortest path from $u$ to $v$ is called the distance between $u$ and $v$, and is denoted by $d_{\Gamma}(u, v)$, or simply $d(u, v)$. A vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$ is said to resolve a pair $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$ if $d_{\Gamma}(u, x) \neq d_{\Gamma}(v, x)$. For an ordered subset $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k\}$ of vertices in a connected graph $\Gamma$ and a vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$, the metric representation of $v$ with respect to $W$ is the $k$-vector $r(v|W) = (d(v, w_1), d(v, w_2), \ldots, d(v, w_k))$. If every pair of distinct vertices of $\Gamma$ have different metric representations then the ordered set $W$ is called a resolving set of $\Gamma$. Indeed, the set $W$ is called a resolving set for $\Gamma$ if $r(u|W) \neq r(v|W)$ implies that $u = v$ for all pairs $u, v$ of vertices of $\Gamma$. If the set $W$ is as small as possible, then it is called a metric basis of the graph $\Gamma$. We recall that the metric dimension of $\Gamma$, denoted by $\beta(\Gamma)$ is defined as the minimum cardinality of a resolving set for $\Gamma$. If $\beta(\Gamma) = k$, then $\Gamma$ is said to be $k$-dimensional. Bounds on $\beta(\Gamma)$ are presented in terms of the order and the diameter of $\Gamma$. All connected graphs of order $n$ having metric dimension 1, $n - 1$, or $n - 2$ are determined. The concepts of resolving sets and metric dimension of a graph were introduced independently by Slater [23] and also independently by Harary and Melter [11]. For more results related to these concepts see [2, 4, 10, 13]. These concepts have different applications in the areas of network discovery and verification [3], robot navigation [13], chemistry [9], and combinatorial optimization [22]. Notice, for each connected graph $\Gamma$ and each ordered set $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k\}$ of vertices of $\Gamma$, that the $i^{th}$ coordinate of $r(w_i|W)$ is 0 and that the $i^{th}$ coordinate of all other vertex representations is positive. Thus, certainly $r(u|W) = r(v|W)$ implies that $u = v$ for $u \in W$. Therefore, when testing whether an ordered subset $W$ of $V(\Gamma)$ is a resolving set for $\Gamma$, we need only be concerned with the vertices of $V(\Gamma) - W$.

Cáceres et al. [6] define the notion of a doubly resolving set as follows. Vertices $x, y$ of the graph $\Gamma$ of order at least 2, are said to doubly resolve vertices $u, v$ of $\Gamma$ if $d_{\Gamma}(u, x) - d_{\Gamma}(u, y) \neq d_{\Gamma}(v, x) - d_{\Gamma}(v, y)$. A set $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_l\}$ of vertices of $\Gamma$ is a doubly resolving set of $\Gamma$ if every two distinct vertices of $\Gamma$ are doubly resolved by some two vertices of $Z$. The minimal doubly resolving set is a doubly resolving set with minimum cardinality. The cardinality of minimal doubly resolving set is denoted by $\psi(\Gamma)$. The minimal doubly resolving sets for Hamming and Prism graphs have been
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obtained in [15] and [8], respectively. Since if $x, y$ doubly resolve $u, v$, then $d(u, x) − d(v, x) ≠ 0$ or $d(u, y) − d(v, y) ≠ 0$, and hence $x$ or $y$ resolve $u, v$. Therefore, a doubly resolving set is also a resolving set and $β(Γ) ≤ ϕ(Γ)$.

The strong metric dimension problem was introduced by A. Sebő and E. Tannier [22] and further investigated by O. R. Oellermann and J. Peters-Fransen [20]. Recently, the strong metric dimension of distance hereditary graphs has been studied by T. May and O. R. Oellermann [16]. A vertex $w$ strongly resolves two vertices $u$ and $v$ if $u$ belongs to a shortest $v − w$ path or $v$ belongs to a shortest $u − w$ path. A set $N = \{n_1, n_2, ..., n_m\}$ of vertices of $Γ$ is a strong resolving set of $Γ$ if every two distinct vertices of $Γ$ are strongly resolved by some vertex of $N$. A strong metric basis of $Γ$ is a strong resolving set of the minimum cardinality. Now, the strong metric dimension of $Γ$, denoted by $sdim(Γ)$ is defined as the cardinality of its strong metric basis. It is easy to see that if a vertex $w$ strongly resolves vertices $u$ and $v$ then $w$ also resolves these vertices. Hence every strong resolving set is a resolving set and $sdim(Γ) ≤ \beta(Γ)$.

All three previously defined problems are NP-hard in general case. The proofs of NP-hardness are given for the minimal doubly resolving set problem in [13], for the minimal doubly resolving set problem in [14] and for the strong metric dimension problem in [20]. Intrinsic metrics on a graph have become of interest, as generally discussed in [1, 7, 12, 15, 18, 19, 21, 24, 25], for some classes of graphs. In this paper, we consider the problem of determining the cardinality $ϕ(Γ)$ of minimal doubly resolving sets of $Γ$, and the strong metric dimension for some classes of graphs.

2. Definitions And Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [5] Let $Γ$ be a graph with automorphism group Aut($Γ$). We say that $Γ$ is vertex transitive graph if, for any vertices $x, y$ of $Γ$ there is some $ϕ$ in Aut($Γ$), such that $ϕ(x) = y$. Also, we say that $Γ$ is symmetric if, for all vertices $u, v, x, y$ of $Γ$ such that $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, also, $x$ and $y$ are adjacent, there is an automorphism $ϕ$ such that $ϕ(u) = x$ and $ϕ(v) = y$. Finally, we say that $Γ$ is distance transitive if, for all vertices $u, v, x, y$ of $Γ$ such that $d(u, v) = d(x, y)$ there is an automorphism $ϕ$ such that $ϕ(u) = x$ and $ϕ(v) = y$.

Definition 2.2. Let $G$ be a finite group and $Ω$ a subset of $G$ that is closed under taking inverses and does not contain the identity. A Cayley graph $Γ = Cay(G, Ω)$ is a graph whose vertex set and edge set are defined as follows:

$$V(Γ) = G; \quad E(Γ) = \{(x, y) | x^{-1} y ∈ Ω\}.$$ 

Remark 2.1. [5] Let $Γ$ be a graph. It is clear that we have a hierarchy of the conditions is distance transitive ⇒ symmetric ⇒ vertex transitive

Proposition 2.1. [17] Let $Γ = Cay(Z_m, S_k)$ be the Cayley graph on the cyclic group $Z_m (n ≥ 4)$, where $S_1 = \{1, n − 1\}, ... , S_k = S_{k−1} ∪ (k, n − k)$ are the inverse closed subsets of $Z_m \− \{0\}$ for any $k ∈ \mathbb{N}, 1 ≤ k ≤ \left[\frac{m}{2}\right] − 1$. Then $χ(Γ) = ω(Γ) = k + 1$ if and only if $k + 1 | n$, where the chromatic number $χ(Γ)$ of $Γ$ is the minimum number $k$ such that $Γ$ is $k$ colorable.

Proposition 2.2. [17] Let $Γ = Cay(Z_m, S_k)$ be the Cayley graph on the cyclic group $Z_m (n ≥ 4)$, where $S_1 = \{1, n − 1\}, ... , S_k = S_{k−1} ∪ (k, n − k)$ are the inverse closed subsets of $Z_m \− \{0\}$ for any $k ∈ \mathbb{N}, 1 ≤ k ≤ \left[\frac{m}{2}\right] − 1$. If $n$ is an even integer and $k = \frac{n}{2} − 1$, then $Γ$ is a distance transitive graph.

Theorem 2.1. [26] Let $Λ = Cay(D_{2n}, Ψ)$ be the Cayley graph on the dihedral group $D_{2n}$, where

$$D_{2n} = \langle a, b | a^n = b^2 = 1, ba = a^{n−1}b >,$$

is the dihedral group of order $2n$ and $Ψ = \{ab, a^2b, ..., a^{n−1}b, b\} \cup \{a^n\}$ is an inverse closed subset of $D_{2n} \− \{1\}$. If $n$ is an even integer, then the adjacency matrix spectrum of $Λ$ is $n + 1, 1 − n, 1^{(n−2)}, −1^{(n)}$.

3. Main results

Metric dimension, minimal doubly resolving sets and the strong metric dimension of $Λ = Cay(D_{2n}, Ψ)$

Suppose $Γ = Cay(D_{2n}, Φ)$ be the Cayley graph on dihedral group $D_{2n}$, where

$$D_{2n} = \langle a, b | a^n = b^2 = 1, ba = a^{n−1}b >,$$
is the dihedral group of order $2n$ and $\Phi = \{ab, a^2b, ..., a^{n-1}b, b\}$ is an inverse closed subset of $\mathbb{D}_{2n} = \{1\}$. It is not hard to see that $\Psi$ is isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$. An interesting family of Cayley graphs on dihedral group of order $2n$ is defined as follows. Let $\Lambda = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{D}_{2n}, \Psi)$ be the Cayley graph on dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_{2n}$, where $\mathbb{D}_{2n}$ is the dihedral group of order $2n$, and $\Psi = \Phi \cup \{a^2\}$ is the inverse closed subset of $\mathbb{D}_{2n} = \{1\}$. In this section, we consider the problem of determining the cardinality $\phi(\Lambda)$ of minimal doubly resolving sets of $\Lambda$. First, we show that if $n$ is an even integer and $n \geq 4$, then the metric dimension of $\Lambda$ is $n$. Also, we prove that if $n$ is an even integer and $n \geq 4$, then every minimal resolving set of $\Lambda$ is also a doubly resolving set, and, consequently, $\phi(\Lambda)$ is equal to the metric dimension of $\beta(\Lambda)$, which is known from the literature. Moreover, we find an explicit expression for the strong metric dimension of $\Lambda$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $\Lambda = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{D}_{2n}, \Psi)$ be the Cayley graph on dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_{2n}$, where $\Psi$ is the inverse closed subset of $\mathbb{D}_{2n} = \{1\}$ which is defined already. If $n$ is an even integer and $n \geq 4$, then the metric dimension of $\Lambda$ is $n$.

Proof. Let $V(\Lambda) = V_1 \cup V_2$, where $V_1 = \{a^1, a^2, ..., a^n\}$ and $V_2 = \{ab, a^2b, ..., a^n b\}$. It is not hard to see that, $\Lambda$ is not a bipartite graph, because $a^2 \in \Psi$. Also, we can show that the diameter of $\Lambda$ is $2$. Hence, for every vertices $x, y \in V(\Lambda)$, the length of a shortest path from $x$ to $y$ is $d(x, y) = 1$ or $2$. In the following cases, we show that the metric dimension of $\Lambda$ is $n$.

Case 1. Let $W$ be an ordered subset of $V_1$ in the graph $\Lambda$ such that $|W| \leq n$. It is easy to prove that if $|W| < n$, then $W$ is not a resolving set of $\Lambda$. In particular, if $|W| = n$, then we show that $W$ is not a resolving set of $\Lambda$. We may assume without loss of generality that an ordered subset of vertices in $\Lambda$ is $W = \{a, a^2, ..., a^n\}$. Hence, $V(\Lambda) - W = \{ab, a^2b, ..., a^n b\}$. Therefore, the metric representation of the vertices $ab, a^2b, ..., a^n b$ in $V(\Lambda) - W$ with respect to $W$ is the $n$-vector $r(ab|W) = r(a^2b|W) = ... = r(a^n b|W) = (1, 1, 1, ..., 1)$. Thus, $W$ is not a resolving set of $\Lambda$.

Case 2. Let $W$ be an ordered subset of $V_2$ in the graph $\Lambda$ such that $|W| \leq n$. In a similar way which is done in Case 1, we can show that $W$ is not a resolving set of $\Lambda$.

Case 3. Let $W$ be an ordered subset in $V(\Lambda)$ such that $W = U_1 \cup U_2$, where $U_1$ is a subset of $V_1$ and $U_2$ is a subset of $V_2$ such that $|U_1 \cup U_2| = n$, and $|U_1| \neq |U_2|$. We may assume that $|U_1| < |U_2|$. Hence, there is $u_1, u_2 \in V(\Lambda) - W$, such that $u_1, u_2 \in V_1 - U_1$, and $d(u_1, u_2) = 1$. Therefore, the metric representation of the vertices $u_1, u_2 \in V(\Lambda) - W$ with respect to $W$ is the same as $n$-vector. Thus, $W$ is not a resolving set of $\Lambda$.

Case 4. Let $W$ be an ordered subset in $V(\Lambda)$ such that $W = U_1 \cup U_2$, where $U_1$ is a subset of $V_1$ and $U_2$ is a subset of $V_2$ such that $|U_1 \cup U_2| = n$, and $|U_1| = |U_2|$. If there are vertices $x, y \in U_1$, such that $x$ is adjacent to $y$ in $\Lambda$, then there are vertices $u, v \in V_1 - U_1$ such that $u$ is adjacent to $v$ in $\Lambda$. Therefore, the metric representation of the vertices $u, v \in V(\Lambda) - W$ with respect to $W$ is the same as $n$-vector. Thus, $W$ is not a resolving set of $\Lambda$.

Case 5. Finally, let $W$ be an ordered subset in $V(\Lambda)$ such that $W = U_1 \cup U_2$, where $U_1$ is a subset of $V_1$ and $U_2$ is a subset of $V_2$ such that $|U_1 \cup U_2| = n$, and $|U_1| = |U_2|$. Suppose that for all the vertices $x, y \in U_1$, we have $x$ is not adjacent to $y$ in $\Lambda$, that is $d(x, y) = 2$. Also for all the vertices $u, v \in U_2$, we have $u$ is not adjacent to $v$ in $\Lambda$, that is $d(u, v) = 2$. We may assume that $U_1 = \{a, a^2, ..., a^n\}$ and $U_2 = \{ab, a^2b, ..., a^n b\}$. So, we can assume that an ordered subset $W$ of vertices in $\Lambda$ is $W = \{a, a^2, ..., a^n; ab, a^2b, ..., a^n b\}$. Hence, $V(\Lambda) - W = \{a^{2i}, ..., a^n; a^{2i}b, ..., a^n b\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Therefore, the metric representations of the vertices $a^{2i}, a^{2i}b, ..., a^n b \in V(\Lambda) - W$ with respect to $W$ are the $n$-vectors $r(a^{2i}|W) = (1, 1, 2, ..., 2; 1, ..., 1), r(a^{2i}b|W) = (2, 1, 2, ..., 2; 1, ..., 1), r(a^n|W) = (2, 2, ..., 1; 1, 1, ..., 1)$, and $r(a^n b|W) = (1, 1, 1; 1, 2, 2, ..., 2), ...$, $r(a^n b|W) = (1, 1, 1; 1, 2, 2, ..., 1)$. Thus, all the vertices in $V(\Lambda) - W$ have different representations with respect to $W$. This implies that $W$ is a resolving set of $\Lambda$.

From the above cases, we conclude that the minimum cardinality of a resolving set of $\Lambda$ is $n$. Also, it is well known that every Cayley graph is vertex transitive. Hence, the cardinality of every minimal resolving set in $\Lambda$ is $n$.

**Example 3.1.** Let $\Lambda = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{D}_{12}, \Psi)$ be the Cayley graph on the dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_{12}$, where

$$\Psi = \{ab, a^3 b, a^4 b, a^5 b, a^6 b\} \cup \{a^1\}$$
is the inverse closed subset of $\mathbb{D}_{12} - \{1\}$. Also, let an ordered subset $W$ of vertices in the graph $\Lambda$ is

$$W = \{a, a^2; ab, a^3b, a^4b, a^5b\}.$$ 

Then $W$ is not a resolving set of $\Lambda$.

**Proof.** We know that $V(\Lambda) - W = \{a^3, a^4, a^5, a^6, a^7b, a^8b\}$. Therefore, the metric representation of the vertices $a^3, a^6 \in V(\Lambda) - W$ with respect to $W$ is the 6-vector $r(a^3|W) = r(a^6|W) = (2, 2; 1, 1, 1, 1)$. Thus, $W$ is not a resolving set of $\Lambda$.

### Example 3.2.

Let $\Lambda = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{D}_{12}, \Psi)$ be the Cayley graph on the dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_{12}$, where

$$\Psi = \{ab, a^2b, a^3b, a^4b, a^5b, a^6b\} \cup \{a^3\}$$

is the inverse closed subset of $\mathbb{D}_{12} - \{1\}$. Also, let an ordered subset $W$ of vertices in the graph $\Lambda$ is

$$W = \{a, a^2, a^3; ab, a^2b, a^3b\}.$$ 

Then $W$ is a resolving set of $\Lambda$.

**Proof.** We know that $V(\Lambda) - W = \{a^4, a^5, a^6, a^7b, a^8b\}$. Therefore, the metric representation of all the vertices

$$a^4, a^5, a^6, a^7b, a^8b \in V(\Lambda) - W$$

with respect to $W$ are the 6-vectors $r(a^4|W) = (1, 2, 2; 1, 1, 1), r(a^5|W) = (2, 1, 2; 1, 1, 1), r(a^6|W) = (2, 2; 1, 1, 1, 1), r(a^7|W) = (1, 1, 1; 2, 2, 2), r(a^8|W) = (1, 1; 2, 2, 1)$. Thus, all the vertices of $V(\Lambda) - W$ have different representations with respect to $W$. This implies that $W$ is a resolving set of $\Lambda$.

### Theorem 3.2.

Let $\Lambda = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{D}_{2n}, \Psi)$ be the Cayley graph on dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_{2n}$, where $\Psi$ is the inverse closed subset of $\mathbb{D}_{2n} - \{1\}$ which is defined already. If $n$ is an even integer and $n \geq 4$, then the cardinality of minimum doubly resolving set of $\Lambda$ is $n$.

**Proof.** We know that the ordered subset $Z = \{a, a^2, ..., a^2; ab, a^2b, ..., a^2b\}$ of vertices in the graph $\Lambda$ is a resolving set for $\Lambda$ of size $n$. Also by Theorem 3.1, the metric dimension of $\Lambda$ is $\beta(\Lambda) = n$. Moreover, $B(\Lambda) \leq \psi(\Lambda)$. We show that the subset $Z = \{a, a^2, ..., a^2; ab, a^2b, ..., a^2b\}$ of vertices in $\Lambda$ is a doubly resolving set of $\Lambda$. It is sufficient to show that for two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $\Lambda$ there are vertices $x, y \in Z$ such that $d(u, x) - d(u, y) = d(v, x) - d(v, y)$. Consider two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $\Lambda$. In the following cases, we show that the cardinality of minimum doubly resolving set of $\Lambda$ is $n$.

**Case 1.** Suppose $u, v \in Z$, thus $d(u, v) = 1$ or 2. Let $d(u, v) = 1$. We may assume that $u = a$ and $v = ab$. Hence, by taking $x = a \in Z$ and $y = a^2 \in Z$, we have $-2 = 0 - 2 = d(u, x) - d(u, y) = d(v, x) - d(v, y) = 1 - 1 = 0$. Therefore, vertices $x$ and $y$ of $Z$ doubly resolve $u, v$. Now, let $d(u, v) = 2$. We may assume that $u = a$ and $v = a^2$. Hence, by taking $x = a \in Z$ and $y = ab \in Z$ we have $-1 = 0 - 1 = d(u, x) - d(u, y) = d(v, x) - d(v, y) = 2 - 1 = 1$. Therefore, vertices $x$ and $y$ of $Z$ doubly resolve $u, v$.

**Case 2.** Now, let $u \in Z$ and $v \notin Z$, thus $d(u, v) = 1$ or 2. Let $d(u, v) = 1$. We may assume that $u = a$ and $v = a^2$. Hence, by taking $x = a \in Z$ and $y = ab \in Z$, we have $-1 = 0 - 1 = d(u, x) - d(u, y) = d(v, x) - d(v, y) = 1 - 1 = 0$. Therefore, vertices $x$ and $y$ of $Z$ doubly resolve $u, v$. Now, let $d(u, v) = 2$. We may assume that $u = a$ and $v = a^2$. Hence, by taking $x = a \in Z$ and $y = ab \in Z$ we have $-1 = 0 - 1 = d(u, x) - d(u, y) = d(v, x) - d(v, y) = 2 - 1 = 1$. Therefore, vertices $x$ and $y$ of $Z$ doubly resolve $u, v$.

**Case 3.** Finally, let $u \notin Z$ and $v \notin Z$, thus $d(u, v) = 1$ or 2. We can show that the subset $Z$ of vertices in the graph $\Lambda$ is a doubly resolving set of $\Lambda$, where $Z$ is the set which is defined already. Because by Theorem 3.1, we can conclude that $V(\Lambda) - Z$ is also resolving set of $\Lambda$.

From the above cases, we conclude that the minimum cardinality of a doubly resolving set of $\Lambda$ is $n$. 

\[ \square \]
Lemma 3.1. Let \( \Lambda = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{D}_{2n}, \Psi) \) be the Cayley graph on dihedral group \( \mathbb{D}_{2n} \), where \( \Psi \) is the inverse closed subset of \( \mathbb{D}_{2n} - \{1\} \) which is defined already. If \( n \) is an even integer and \( n \geq 4 \), then the subset \( N = \{a, a^2, ..., a^n, ab, a^2b, ..., a^{n-1}b\} \) of vertices in the graph \( \Lambda \) is not a strong resolving set of \( \Lambda \).

Proof. Let \( V(\Lambda) = V_1 \cup V_2 \), where \( V_1 = \{a, a^2, ..., a^n\} \) and \( V_2 = \{ab, a^2b, ..., a^{n-1}b\} \). We may assume that \( N = U_1 \cup U_2 \), where \( U_1 = \{a, a^2, ..., a^n\} \) and \( U_2 = \{ab, a^2b, ..., a^{n-1}b\} \). We know that the ordered subset \( N = \{a, a^2, ..., a^n; ab, a^2b, ..., a^{n-1}b\} \) of vertices in the graph \( \Lambda \) is a resolving set for \( \Lambda \) of size \( n \). Also, by Theorem 3.1, the metric dimension of \( \Lambda \) is \( \beta(\Lambda) = n \). Moreover, \( B(\Lambda) \leq \text{sdim}(\Lambda) \). On the other hand, we know that there are vertices \( u, v \in V_1 - U_1 \), such that \( u \) is not adjacent to \( v \) in \( \Lambda \), and hence \( d(u, v) = 2 \). Without loss of generality, we consider two vertices \( u, v \) n \( \Lambda \) such that \( u, v \in V_1 - U_1 \) and \( d(u, v) = 2 \). Also for every vertex \( w \in \mathbb{N} \), we have \( w \in U_1 \) or \( w \in U_2 \). In the following cases, we show that the subset \( N \) of vertices in \( \Lambda \) is not a strong resolving set of \( \Lambda \).

Case 1. For every \( w \in U_2 \), we have \( d(u, w) = 1 \) and \( d(v, w) = 1 \). Therefore, \( w \) is not strongly resolves vertices \( u \) and \( v \).

Case 2. For every \( w \in U_1 \), we have \( d(u, w) = 1 \) or \( 2 \), and \( d(v, w) = 1 \) or \( 2 \). Note that, if \( d(u, w) = 1 \) then \( d(v, w) = 2 \). Therefore, \( w \) is not strongly resolves vertices \( u \) and \( v \). In particular, if \( d(u, w) = 2 \) then \( d(v, w) = 1 \) or \( 2 \), and hence \( w \) is not strongly resolves vertices \( u \) and \( v \), because \( d(u, v) = 2 \).

From the above cases, we conclude that the subset \( N = \{a, a^2, ..., a^n; ab, a^2b, ..., a^{n-1}b\} \) of vertices in the graph \( \Lambda \) is not a strong resolving set of \( \Lambda \).

Theorem 3.3. Let \( \Lambda = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{D}_{2n}, \Psi) \) be the Cayley graph on dihedral group \( \mathbb{D}_{2n} \), where \( \Psi \) is the inverse closed subset of \( \mathbb{D}_{2n} - \{1\} \) which is defined already. If \( n \) is an even integer and \( n \geq 4 \), then the strong metric dimension of \( \Lambda \) is \( 2n - 2 \).

Proof. Let \( V(\Lambda) = V_1 \cup V_2 \), where \( V_1 = \{a, a^2, ..., a^n\} \) and \( V_2 = \{ab, a^2b, ..., a^{n-1}b\} \). It is not hard to see that if \( n \geq 4 \), then the size of largest clique in the graph \( \Lambda \) is \( 4 \). Moreover, we know that the subset \( S = \{a^n, a^2; a^2b, a^{n-1}b\} \) of vertices in \( \Lambda \) is a clique in the graph \( \Lambda \). Now, let the subset \( N \) of vertices in \( \Lambda \) is \( N = V(\Lambda) - S \). In the following cases, we show that the subset \( N \) of vertices in \( \Lambda \) is not a strong resolving set of \( \Lambda \).

Case 1. Let \( u = a^6, v = a^2 \). We know that \( d(u, v) = 1 \), \( u, v \in V_1 \), and hence for every \( w \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( w \in V_2 \) we have \( d(u, w) = 1 \) and \( d(v, w) = 1 \). Thus, \( w \) is not strongly resolves vertices \( u \) and \( v \).

Case 2. Now, let \( u = a^6, v = a^2 \). We know that \( d(u, v) = 1 \), \( u, v \in V_1 \), and hence for every \( w \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( w \in V_1 \) we have \( d(u, w) = 2 \) and \( d(v, w) = 2 \). Thus, \( w \) is not strongly resolves vertices \( u \) and \( v \).

Therefore, the subset \( N \) of the vertices in graph \( \Lambda \) is not a strong resolving set of \( \Lambda \). From the above cases, we can conclude that the minimum cardinality of a strong resolving set for \( \Lambda \) must be \( 2n - 2 \).

Metric dimension, minimal doubly resolving sets and the strong metric dimension of \( \Gamma = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S_k) \)

Let \( \Gamma = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S_k) \) be the Cayley graph on the cyclic additive group \( \mathbb{Z}_n \), where \( S_1 = \{1, n-1\}, ..., S_k = S_{k-1} \cup \{k, n-k\} \) are the inverse closed subsets of \( \mathbb{Z}_n - \{0\} \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1 \). If \( n \) is an even integer and \( k = \frac{n}{2} - 1 \), then we can show that \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S_k) \) is isomorphic to the cocktail party graph \( CP(k + 1) \). Moreover, we can show that \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{D}_{2n}, \Omega) \), where \( \mathbb{D}_{2n} \) is the dihedral group of order \( 2n \) and \( \Omega = \{a, a^2, ..., a^{n-1}; ab, a^2b, ..., a^{n-1}b\} \) is the inverse closed subset of \( \mathbb{D}_{2n} - \{1\} \), is isomorphic to the cocktail party graph \( CP(n) \). In this section, we consider the problem of determining the cardinality \( \psi(\Gamma) \) of minimal doubly resolving sets of \( \Gamma \). First, we show that if \( n \) is an even integer and \( k = \frac{n}{2} - 1 \), then every minimal resolving set of \( \Gamma \) is also a doubly resolving set, and, consequently, \( \psi(\Gamma) \) is equal to the metric dimension of
\( \beta(\Gamma) \), which is known from the literature. Moreover, we find an explicit expression for the strong metric dimension of \( \Gamma \).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( \Gamma = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S_k) \) be the Cayley graph on the cyclic group \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) \( (n \geq 8) \), where \( S_1 = \{1, n-1\}, \ldots, S_k = S_{k-1} \cup \{k,n-k\} \) are the inverse closed subsets of \( \mathbb{Z}_n = \{0\} \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \). If \( n \) is an even integer and \( k = \frac{n}{2} - 1 \), then the metric dimension of \( \Gamma \) is \( k + 1 \).

**Proof.** Let \( V(\Gamma) = \{1, \ldots, n\} \) be the vertex set of \( \Gamma \). By proof of Proposition 3.4 in [17], we know that the diameter of \( \Gamma \) is 2. Hence, for all the vertices \( x, y \in V(\Gamma) \), the length of a shortest path from \( x \) to \( y \) is \( d(x, y) = 1 \). Therefore, the metric representations of the vertices \( x, y \in V(\Gamma) \) with respect to \( \Gamma \) have different representations with respect to \( \Gamma \) is a resolving set of \( \Gamma \). Hence, we know that there is exactly one \( y \) in \( \Gamma \) such that \( x^{-1}y = k + 1 \), that is \( d(x, y) = 2 \).

Case 1. It is easy to see that if \( |W| \leq k \), then \( W \) is not a resolving set of \( \Gamma \). In particular, if \( |W| = k \), then we show that \( W \) is not a resolving set of \( \Gamma \). We may assume without loss of generality that an ordered subset of vertices in the graph \( \Gamma \) is \( W = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, k\} \). Hence, \( V(\Gamma) \setminus W = \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, n\} \). On the other hand, by proof of Proposition 3.2 in [17], we know that for every vertex \( x \in \Gamma \), there is exactly one \( y \) in \( \Gamma \) such that \( x^{-1}y = k + 1 \), that is \( d(x, y) = 2 \).

Case 2. Let \( W \) be a clique in the graph \( \Gamma \) such that \( x \in W \), and \( |W| = k \). We know that there is exactly one \( y \) in \( V(\Gamma) \setminus W \) such that \( x^{-1}y = k + 1 \), that is \( d(x, y) = 2 \). In the following, we show an ordered subset \( (W \cup U) \) of vertices in \( \Gamma \) is a resolving set of \( \Gamma \). In this case, we may assume without loss of generality that an ordered subset of vertices in the graph \( \Gamma \) is \( W = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \), and let \( x = 1, y = k + 2 \). Therefore, the metric representations of the vertices \( k + 1, n \in V(\Gamma) \) with respect to \( W \) is the \( k + 1 \)-vector \( r(k + 1) = r(n) = (1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \). Thus, \( (W \cup U) \) is not a resolving set of \( \Gamma \).

Case 3. Now, let \( W \) be a clique in the graph \( \Gamma \) such that \( |W| = k + 1 \). We show that \( W \) is a resolving set of \( \Gamma \). We may assume without loss of generality that an ordered subset of vertices in the graph \( \Gamma \) is \( W = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, k+1\} \). Hence \( V(\Gamma) \setminus W = \{k+2, k+3, \ldots, n\} \). Therefore, the metric representations of the vertices \( k + 2, k + 3, \ldots, n \in V(\Gamma) \) with respect to \( W \) are the \( k + 1 \)-vectors \( r(k + 2) = (2, 1, 1, \ldots, 1), r(k + 3) = (1, 2, 1, \ldots, 1), \ldots, r(n) = (1, 1, 1, \ldots, 2) \). Thus, all the vertices of \( V(\Gamma) \) have different representations with respect to \( W \). This implies that \( W \) is a resolving set of \( \Gamma \). Moreover, the metric dimension of \( \beta(\Gamma) \leq 1 + k \), because \( \Gamma \) is a vertex transitive graph.

Case 4. In particular, let \( W \) be a clique in the graph \( \Gamma \) such that \( |W| = k + 1 \). We show that for each \( x \in V(\Gamma) \setminus W \), an ordered subset \( (W \cup x) \) of vertices in the graph \( \Gamma \) is also a resolving set of \( \Gamma \). We may assume without loss of generality that an ordered subset of vertices in the graph \( \Gamma \) is \( W = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, k+1\} \), and let \( x = k + 2 \). So, \( (W \cup x) = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, k+1, k+2\} \). Hence \( V(\Gamma) \setminus (W \cup x) = \{k+3, k+4, \ldots, n\} \). Therefore, the metric representations of the vertices \( k+3, k+4, \ldots, n \in V(\Gamma) \) with respect to \( (W \cup x) \) are the \( k+2 \)-vectors \( r(k+3) = (1, 2, 1, \ldots, 1), \ldots, r(n) = (1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \). Thus, \( (W \cup x) \) is also a resolving set of \( \Gamma \).

From the above cases, we conclude that the minimum cardinality of a resolving set of \( \Gamma \) is \( k + 1 \). Moreover, it is well known that every Cayley graph is vertex transitive. Hence, the cardinality of every minimal resolving set in \( \Gamma \) is \( k + 1 \).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( \Gamma = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S_k) \) be the Cayley graph on the cyclic group \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) \( (n \geq 8) \), where \( S_1 = \{1, n-1\}, \ldots, S_k = S_{k-1} \cup \{k,n-k\} \) are the inverse closed subsets of \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) \( (0) \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \). If \( n \) is an even integer and \( k = \frac{n}{2} - 1 \), then the cardinality of minimum doubly resolving set of \( \Gamma \) is \( k + 1 \).

**Proof.** In this Theorem, let \( V(\Gamma) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\} \), where \( v_i = i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). We know that the ordered subset \( Z = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, k+1\} \) of vertices in the graph \( \Gamma \) is a resolving set for \( \Gamma \) of size \( k + 1 \). Also, by Theorem 3.4, the
metric dimension of $\Gamma$ is $\beta(\Gamma) = k + 1$. Moreover, $B(\Gamma) \leq \psi(\Gamma)$. We show that the subset $Z = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, k, k + 1\}$ of vertices in the graph $\Gamma$ is a doubly resolving set of $\Gamma$. It is sufficient to show that for two vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ of $\Gamma$ there are vertices $x, y \in Z$ such that $d(v_i, x) - d(v_j, y) \neq d(v_j, x) - d(v_j, y)$. Consider two vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ of $\Gamma$. We may assume that $i < j$. In the following cases, we show that the cardinality of minimum doubly resolving set of $\Gamma$ is $k + 1$.

**Case 1.** If $1 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$, then $v_i, v_j \in Z$. So $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$. We can assume that $x = v_i \in Z$, and $y = v_j \in Z$. Hence, we have $d(v_i, x) - d(v_j, y) \neq d(v_j, x) - d(v_j, y)$, because $d(v_i, x) - d(v_j, y) < 0$ and $d(v_j, x) - d(v_j, y) > 0$.

**Case 2.** Let $1 \leq i < k + 1 < j \leq n$. Hence, $v_i \in Z$ and $v_j \notin Z$. Moreover, we know that $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$ or $2$. In the following, let $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$. We may assume that $v_i = 1$ and $v_j = n$. Hence, by taking $x = v_i \in Z$ and $y = k + 1 \in Z$, we have $0 = 0 = d(v_i, x) - d(v_j, y) \neq d(v_j, x) - d(v_j, y) = 1 - 2 = -1$. Thus, the vertices $x$ and $y$ of $Z$ doubly resolve $v_i, v_j$. Now, let $d(v_i, v_j) = 2$. We may assume that $v_i = 1$ and $v_j = k + 2$. Hence, by taking $x = 1 \in Z$ and $y = 2 \in Z$, we have $-1 = 0 = d(v_i, x) - d(v_j, y) \neq d(v_j, x) - d(v_j, y) = 2 - 1 = 1$. Thus, the vertices $x$ and $y$ of $Z$ doubly resolve $v_i, v_j$.

**Case 3.** Finally, let $1 < k + 1 < i < j \leq n$. Hence, $v_i \notin Z$ and $v_j \notin Z$. Also, we know that $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$. On the other hand, by proof of Proposition 3.2 in [17], we know that for every vertex $v_i \in V(\Gamma) - Z$, there is exactly one $x \in Z$ such that $d(v_i, x) = 2$. Also for every vertex $v_j \in V(\Gamma) - Z$, there is exactly one $y \in Z$ such that $d(v_j, y) = 2$. Hence, $1 = 2 - 1 = d(v_i, x) - d(v_j, y) \neq d(v_j, x) - d(v_j, y) = 2 - 1 = 1$. Thus, the vertices $x$ and $y$ of $Z$ doubly resolve $v_i, v_j$.

From the above cases, we conclude that the minimum cardinality of a doubly resolving set of $\Gamma$ is $k + 1$.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $\Gamma = Cay(Z_n, S_k)$ be the Cayley graph on the cyclic group $Z_n$ ($n \geq 8$), where $S_1 = \{1, n - 1\}$, ..., $S_k = S_{k-1} \cup \{k, n-k\}$ are the inverse closed subsets of $Z_n - \{0\}$. If $n$ is an even integer and $k = \frac{n}{2} - 1$, then the strong metric dimension of $\Gamma$ is $k + 1$.

**Proof.** In this Theorem, let $V(\Gamma) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$, where $v_i = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We know that the ordered subset $N = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, k, k + 1\}$ of vertices in the graph $\Gamma$ is a resolving set for $\Gamma$ of size $k + 1$. Also, by Theorem 3.4, the metric dimension of $\Gamma$ is $\beta(\Gamma) = k + 1$. Moreover, $B(\Gamma) \leq sdim(\Gamma)$. We show that the subset $N = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, k, k + 1\}$ of vertices in the graph $\Gamma$ is a strong resolving set of $\Gamma$. Consider two vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ of $\Gamma$. Assume that $i < j$. It is sufficient to prove that there exists a vertex $w \in N$ such that $v_i$ belongs to a shortest $v_j - w$ path or $v_j$ belongs to a shortest $v_i - w$ path. Let $1 < k + 1 < i < j \leq n$. Hence $v_i \notin N$ and $v_j \notin N$. Moreover, we have $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$. On the other hand, by proof of Proposition 3.2 in [17], we know that for every vertex $v_i \in V(\Gamma) - N$, there is exactly one $w \in N$ such that $v_i^{-1}w = k + 1$, indeed $d(v_i, w) = 2$. Hence, $d(v_j, w) = 1$. So, $d(v_i, w) = d(v_i, v_j) + d(v_j, w)$, that is, vertex $v_j$ belongs to a shortest $v_i - w$ path, and hence $w$ strongly resolves vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$. Also for $v_i \in N$ or $v_j \in N$, vertex $v_i$ or vertex $v_j$ obviously strongly resolves pair $v_i, v_j$. Therefore, $N$ is a strong resolving set. Thus, the minimum cardinality of a strong resolving set of $\Gamma$ is $k + 1$.

**Conclusion 3.1.** Let $CP(n) = Cay(D_{2n}, \Omega)$ be the Cayley graph on dihedral group $D_{2n}$, where $\Omega$ is the inverse closed subset of $D_{2n} - \{1\}$ which is defined already. Then the minimum cardinality of resolving set, doubly resolving set and strong resolving set of $CP(n)$ is $n$.
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