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Abstract: In this paper, we give a new integral inequalities which are used to study the asymptotic behavior of solutions of nonlinear dynamic systems with small perturbation. We derive some new results on the stability of nonlinear systems with small perturbation. Explicitly, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for a linear system with small perturbation to be globally uniformly exponentially stable at the origin. We show that the nonlinear system with small perturbation can be globally uniformly practically asymptotically stable provided that the bound of perturbation is small enough. A numerical example is presented to illustrate the validity of the main result.

1 Introduction

In studying the effect of perturbations of various types on the solutions of a nonlinear differential equation, one must assume some stability property for the unperturbed system. A useful kind of stability is one for which the effect of perturbations can be studied. In fact, some types of stability, such as the Lyapunov stability for instance, are defined in terms of the behavior of solutions under perturbations (see \cite{5,11,4,3}). Here we define a new method for stability in terms of the behavior of solutions using the transition matrix of the nominal system. Being formulated in terms of integral inequalities of Gronwall type, it is a type of stability which is easy to verify in practice, and it extends the class of systems for which the effect of perturbations can be measured \cite{1,13,10,9,12}. The usual technical in the literature for the stability analysis of perturbed nonlinear systems is based on the stability of the associated nominal systems \cite{8,5,7}. In this paper we provide some sufficient conditions for exponential stability of perturbed systems under the assumption that the unperturbed linear
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system is exponentially stable. Our approach uses a new integral inequalities which allows us to conclude the global uniform asymptotic stability of the whole system. The effectiveness of the proposed method is shown throughout some numerical examples in the plane.

2 Integral inequalities

First, we give a new integral inequality which will be useful for our study. This result extends the Gronwall-Bellman inequality given in [10].

Lemma 1 Let \( u, v \) and \( w \) nonnegative continuous functions on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \) satisfying the inequality

\[
    u(t, \varepsilon) \leq c(\varepsilon) + \int_a^t (u(\tau, \varepsilon)v(\tau, \varepsilon) + w(\tau, \varepsilon))d\tau, \tag{1}
\]

where \( a, \varepsilon \) and \( c(\varepsilon) \) are nonnegative constants. Then,

\[
    u(t, \varepsilon) \leq c(\varepsilon)e^{\int_a^t v(\tau, \varepsilon)d\tau} + re^{\int_a^t (v(\tau, \varepsilon) + \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r})d\tau} \quad \forall t \geq a, \forall r > 0. \tag{2}
\]

Proof. From (1) and the inequality \( x < e^x \), we have for all \( r > 0 \) and \( t \geq a \)

\[
    0 \leq u(t, \varepsilon) < c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau} + \int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon)v(\tau, \varepsilon)d\tau, \tag{3}
\]

which implies

\[
    \frac{u(t, \varepsilon)}{c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau} + \int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon)v(\tau, \varepsilon)d\tau} \leq 1.
\]

Multiplying the last inequality by \( v \geq 0 \), we obtain

\[
    \frac{u(t, \varepsilon)v(t, \varepsilon) + w(t, \varepsilon)e^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau}}{c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau} + \int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon)v(\tau, \varepsilon)d\tau} \leq v(t, \varepsilon) + \frac{w(t, \varepsilon)e^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau}}{c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau} + \int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon)v(\tau, \varepsilon)d\tau}. \tag{4}
\]

Now, define for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( t \geq a \)

\[
    f_\varepsilon(t) = \int_a^t v(\tau, \varepsilon)d\tau + \log\left(c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau}\right) - \log\left(c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau} + \int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon)v(\tau, \varepsilon)d\tau\right).
\]

The function \( f_\varepsilon \) is defined, continuous and differentiable on \([a, +\infty)\), and

\[
    f'_\varepsilon(t) = v(t, \varepsilon) + \frac{w(t, \varepsilon)e^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau}}{c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau}} - \frac{w(t, \varepsilon)e^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau} + u(t, \varepsilon)v(t, \varepsilon)}{c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t \frac{w(\tau, \varepsilon)}{r}d\tau} + \int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon)v(\tau, \varepsilon)d\tau} \quad \forall t \geq a.
\]
It follows by (4) that \( f_\varepsilon \) is a non increasing function, and hence
\[
f_\varepsilon(t) \geq f_\varepsilon(a), \quad \forall t \geq a.
\]
Then,
\[
\log \left( c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon) v(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau} + \int_{a}^{t} u(\tau, \varepsilon) v(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau \right) \leq \int_{a}^{t} v(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau + \log \left( c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon) v(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau} \right) \quad \forall t \geq a,
\]
hence,
\[
c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon) v(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau} + \int_{a}^{t} u(\tau, \varepsilon) v(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau \leq \left( c(\varepsilon) + re^{\int_a^t u(\tau, \varepsilon) v(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau} \right) e^{\int_a^t v(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau}.
\]
Finally, we have by using the inequality (3)
\[
u(t, \varepsilon) \leq c(\varepsilon)e^{\int_a^t v(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau} + re^{\int_a^t (v(\tau, \varepsilon) + w(\tau, \varepsilon)) d\tau}\]
\[\square\]

3 Stability of perturbed systems

3.1 Definitions and notations

We consider the following nonlinear system described by
\[
\dot{x} = f(t, x, \varepsilon), \quad x(t_0, \varepsilon) = x_{0,\varepsilon}
\]
where \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) is the time, \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the state, \( \varepsilon \) is a real parameter "small enough" and \( f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is continuous in \( (t, x, \varepsilon) \), locally Lipschitz in \( (x, \varepsilon) \) and uniformly in \( t \).

**Definition 1** (i) The equilibrium point \( x^* = 0 \) is said uniformly exponentially stable if there exists \( c(\varepsilon) > 0, \lambda_1(\varepsilon) > 0 \) and \( \lambda_2(\varepsilon) > 0 \) such that \( \forall \ t_0 \geq 0, \forall \|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| \leq c(\varepsilon), \)
\[
\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq \lambda_1(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_2(\varepsilon)(t-t_0)}\|x_{0,\varepsilon}\|.
\]

(ii) The equilibrium point \( x^* = 0 \) is said globally uniformly exponentially stable if there exists \( \lambda_1(\varepsilon) > 0 \) and \( \lambda_2(\varepsilon) > 0 \) such that \( \forall \ t_0 \geq 0, \forall x_{0,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^n \)
\[
\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq \lambda_1(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_2(\varepsilon)(t-t_0)}\|x_{0,\varepsilon}\|, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0.
\]
Definition 2 A solution of (5) is said to be globally uniformly bounded if every \( \eta = \eta(\varepsilon) > 0 \) there exists \( c = c(\eta) \), independent of \( t_0 \), such that for all \( t_0 \geq 0 \),
\[
\|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| < \eta \implies \|x(t, \varepsilon)\| < c, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.
\]

Definition 3 Let \( r = r(\varepsilon) \geq 0 \) and \( B_r = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n / \| x \| \leq r \} \).
(i) \( B_r \) is uniformly stable if for all \( A = A(\varepsilon) > r \), there exist \( \delta = \delta(A) > 0 \) such that for all \( t_0 \geq 0 \),
\[
\|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| < \delta \implies \|x(t, \varepsilon)\| < A, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.
\]
(ii) \( B_r \) is globally uniformly stable if it is uniformly stable and the solutions of system (5) are globally uniformly bounded.

Definition 4 \( B_r \) is globally uniformly exponentially stable if there exists \( \gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon) > 0 \) and \( k = k(\varepsilon) \geq 0 \) such that for all \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) and \( x_{0,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^n \),
\[
\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq k\|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| \exp(-\gamma(t - t_0)) + r, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.
\]
System (5) is globally practically uniformly exponentially stable if there exist \( r = r(\varepsilon) > 0 \) such that \( B_r \) is globally uniformly exponentially stable.

3.2 Main results

We consider the following system :
\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x} = A_\varepsilon(t)x + h(t, x, \varepsilon) \\
x(t_0, \varepsilon) = x_{0,\varepsilon}
\end{cases}
\quad (6)
\]
where \( A_\varepsilon(.) \) is an \( n \times n \) continuous matrix on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \). We will first study the linear problem where \( h(t, x, \varepsilon) = 0 \)
\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x} = A_\varepsilon(t)x \\
x(t_0, \varepsilon) = x_{0,\varepsilon}.
\end{cases}
\quad (7)
\]
We may write \( A_\varepsilon(t) = A_0(t) + \varepsilon F(t) \) where \( A_0(.) \) and \( F(.) \) are an \( n \times n \) continuous matrix on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) and \( \varepsilon \) being a small real parameter. In order to study the global exponential stability of the system (6) we shall assume throughout all the paper that the nominal unperturbed system
\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x} = A_0(t)x \\
x(t_0) = x_0
\end{cases}
\quad (8)
is globally uniformly exponentially stable, that is there exists constants \( c > 0 \) and \( \gamma > 0 \) independent of \( t_0 \) such that \( \forall t_0 \geq 0 \)

\[
\| R_{A_0}(t,t_0) \| \leq c e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} \quad \forall t \geq t_0
\] (9)

where \( R_{A_0}(t,t_0) \) denotes the state transition matrix of the system (8) [11]. We have the following result.

**Theorem 1** Assume the unperturbed system (8) is globally uniformly exponentially stable and suppose \( F(\cdot) \) is a bounded function, then for any \( \varepsilon \in [0, \frac{\gamma}{c}] \) the system (7) is globally uniformly exponentially stable.

**Proof.** Let \( R_{A_\varepsilon}(t,t_0) \) denotes the state transition matrix for the system (7). Since the mapping \( \varepsilon \mapsto R_{A_\varepsilon}(t,t_0) \) is \( C^\infty \), then one may write an asymptotic expansion of \( R_{A_\varepsilon} \) as

\[
R_{A_\varepsilon}(t,t_0) = R_{A_0}(t,t_0) + \varepsilon Y_1(t) + \cdots + \varepsilon^i Y_i(t) + \cdots
\] (10)

where the \( Y_i(\cdot) \), \( i \geq 1 \), are matrices that can be found as follows. First, we plug equation (10) into the system

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R_{A_\varepsilon}(t,t_0) = (A_0(t) + \varepsilon F(t)) R_{A_\varepsilon}(t,t_0) \\
R_{A_\varepsilon}(t_0,t_0) = I.
\end{cases}
\]

It follows

\[
\dot{R}_{A_0}(t,t_0) + \varepsilon \dot{Y}_1(t) + \cdots + \varepsilon^i \dot{Y}_i(t) + \cdots = (A_0(t) + \varepsilon F(t)) \left( R_{A_0}(t,t_0) + \varepsilon Y_1(t) + \cdots + \varepsilon^i Y_i(t) + \cdots \right),
\]

or similarly

\[
\varepsilon \left( \dot{Y}_1(t) - A_0 Y_1(t) - F(t) R_{A_0}(t,t_0) \right) + \varepsilon^2 \left( \dot{Y}_2(t) - A_0 Y_2(t) - F(t) Y_1(t) \right) + \\
\cdots + \varepsilon^i \left( \dot{Y}_i(t) - A_0 Y_i(t) - F(t) Y_{i-1}(t) \right) + \cdots = 0.
\]

The previous identity is verified for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) if and only if

\[
\dot{Y}_1(t) - A_0 Y_1(t) - F(t) R_{A_0}(t,t_0) = 0
\]

and

\[
\dot{Y}_i(t) - A_0 Y_i(t) - F(t) Y_{i-1}(t) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 2.
\] (11)
On another hand, by using the identity
\[ I = R_{A_0}(t_0, t_0) = I + \varepsilon Y_1(t_0) + \cdots + \varepsilon^i Y_i(t_0) + \cdots, \quad \forall \, \varepsilon > 0 \]
we obtain
\[ Y_1(t_0) = \cdots = Y_i(t_0) = 0. \]

To find \( Y_1 \) we solve the following system:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{Y}_1(t) &= A_0 Y_1(t) + F(t)R_{A_0}(t, t_0) \\
Y_1(t_0) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

It follows by the Duhamel’s formula
\[ Y_1(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} R_{A_0}(t, s_1) F(s_1) R_{A_0}(s_1, t_0) ds_1. \]

Now we solve
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{Y}_2(t) &= A_0 Y_2(t) + F(t)Y_1(t) \\
Y_2(t_0) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]
we obtain,
\[ Y_2(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} R_{A_0}(t, s_1) F(s_1) Y_1(s_1) ds_1 \]
\[ = \int_{t_0}^{t} R_{A_0}(t, s_1) F(s_1) \int_{t_0}^{s_1} R_{A_0}(s_1, s_2) F(s_2) R_{A_0}(s_2, t_0) ds_2 ds_1 \]
\[ = \int_{t_0}^{t} \int_{t_0}^{s_1} R_{A_0}(t, s_1) F(s_1) R_{A_0}(s_1, s_2) F(s_2) R_{A_0}(s_2, t_0) ds_2 ds_1. \]

Using \((11)\), we obtain by induction
\[ Y_i(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \int_{t_0}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_0}^{s_{i-1}} R_{A_0}(t, s_1) R_{A_0}(s_1, s_2) F(s_2) \cdots R_{A_0}(s_{i-1}, s_i) F(s_i) R_{A_0}(s_i, t_0) ds_1 \cdots ds_{i-1} ds_i. \]

It follows by \((9)\)
\[ \|Y_i(t)\| \leq \int_{t_0}^{t} \int_{t_0}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_0}^{s_{i-1}} c e^{-\gamma(t-s_1)} \|F(s_1)\| c e^{-\gamma(s_1-s_2)} \|F(s_2)\| \cdots \]
\[ c e^{-\gamma(s_{i-1}-s_i)} \|F(s_i)\| c e^{-\gamma(s_i-t_0)} \|ds_1 ds_2 \cdots ds_i. \]
\[ = c^i e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} \int_{t_0}^{t} \int_{t_0}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_0}^{s_{i-1}} \|F(s_1)\| \|F(s_2)\| \cdots \|F(s_i)\| ds_1 ds_2 \cdots ds_i. \]
Since $F(.)$ is bounded, then $\exists \ k > 0 \ \text{s.t.} \ \|F(t)\| \leq k \ \forall \ t \geq t_0$. It follows by the Cauchy formula for repeated integration

$$\|Y_i(t)\| \leq k^i c^i e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} \frac{(t-t_0)^i}{i!} \ \forall \ t \geq t_0.$$ 

We obtain using (10)

$$\|R_{A_\epsilon}(t,t_0) - R_{A_0}(t,t_0)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \epsilon^i \|Y_i(t)\|$$

$$\leq e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{k^i c^i \epsilon^i (t-t_0)^i}{i!}$$

$$\leq e^{-(\gamma + kc\epsilon)(t-t_0)},$$

yielding by (9)

$$\|R_{A_\epsilon}(t,t_0)\| \leq \|R_{A_0}(t,t_0)\| + \|R_{A_\epsilon}(t,t_0) - R_{A_0}(t,t_0)\|$$

$$\leq ce^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} + e^{-(\gamma - kc\epsilon)(t-t_0)}$$

$$\leq Ke^{-\gamma(t-t_0)}$$

with $K = c + 1$ and $\gamma_\epsilon = \gamma - kc\epsilon$. Thus, choosing $\epsilon < \gamma/(kc)$ we obtain

$$\|x(t,\epsilon)\| = \|R_{A_\epsilon}(t,t_0) \ x(t_0,\epsilon)\|$$

$$\leq \|R_{A_\epsilon}(t,t_0)\| \|x(t_0,\epsilon)\|$$

$$\leq K \|x_0,\epsilon\| e^{-\gamma_\epsilon(t-t_0)}$$

with $\gamma_\epsilon > 0$, and the proof is completed. □

Now we study the problem (6) when $h(t,x,\epsilon) \neq 0$. In this case we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** Consider the system (6) with the following assumptions:

(A$_1$) $A_\epsilon(t) = A_0(t) + \epsilon F(t)$ where $F(.)$ is an $n \times n$ continuous and bounded matrix on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\epsilon$ being a small real parameter.

(A$_2$) The system (8) is globally uniformly exponentially stable.

---
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(\mathcal{A}_3) The nominal system associated to (6) has a unique solution.

(\mathcal{A}_4) The function \( h \) is defined on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^*_+ \), continuous in \((t,x,\varepsilon)\) and locally Lipschitz in \((x,\varepsilon)\), uniformly in \( t \).

(\mathcal{A}_5) There exists continuous positive functions \( \phi \) and \( \lambda_\varepsilon \) verifying

\[
\|h(t,x,\varepsilon)\| \leq \phi(t)\|x\| + \lambda_\varepsilon(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+.
\] (12)

(\mathcal{A}_6) \( \phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathbb{R}^+) \) for some \( p \in [1,+\infty) \).

(\mathcal{A}_7) There exists a constant \( M' > 0 \) such that

\[
\lambda_\varepsilon(t) \leq M'e^{-\gamma\varepsilon t}
\] (13)

with \( \gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma - kc\varepsilon \) where \( k = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|F(t)\| \) and \( \gamma \) and \( c \) are given in (9). Then \( \forall (t_0,x_0,\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \frac{\gamma}{kc}) \), the maximal solution \( x(.,\varepsilon) \) of (6) such that \( x(t_0,\varepsilon) = x_{0,\varepsilon} \), verifies:

(i) The function \( x(.,\varepsilon) \) is defined on \([t_0, +\infty)\).

(ii) for all \( t \geq t_0 \)

\[
\|x(t,\varepsilon)\| \leq L\|x_{0,\varepsilon}\|e^{-\delta(t-t_0)} + Ne^{-\theta t},
\]

where \( N,L \geq 0 \) and \( \delta, \theta \in (0,\gamma_\varepsilon] \).

In order to prove Theorem 2 we need the following lemma 9

**Lemma 2** Let \( \phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathbb{R}^+) \) where \( p \in (1, +\infty) \). We denote by \( \|\phi\|_p \) the \( p \)-norm of \( \phi \). Then, \( \forall t \geq 0 \), \( s \geq 0 \) and \( t \geq t_0 \)

\[
\int_{t_0}^{t} \phi(\tau)d\tau \leq N + L(t - t_0)
\]

where \( N = \int_{0}^{s} \phi(\tau)d\tau + \frac{M_s}{p} \) and \( L = \frac{p-1}{p}M_s \) with \( M_s = \|\phi\|_{[s, +\infty]} \).

**Proof.** (Theorem 2)

(i) The system (6) can be written

\[
\dot{x}(t,\varepsilon) = f(t,x(t,\varepsilon),\varepsilon),
\]

where

\[
f(t,x,\varepsilon) = A_\varepsilon(t)x(t,\varepsilon) + h(t,x(t,\varepsilon),\varepsilon).
\]

The function \( f \) is continuous in \((t,x,\varepsilon)\), locally Lipschitz in \((x,\varepsilon)\) and uniformly in \( t \) then the standard Cauchy-Lipschitz Theorem \( \forall (t_0,x_0,\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^*_+ \) asserts that there exists a
unique maximal solution $x(., \varepsilon)$ of (6) such that $x(t_0, \varepsilon) = x_{0, \varepsilon}$.

Next, we prove that $x(., \varepsilon)$ is defined on $[t_0, +\infty)$. Supposed by contradiction that there exists $T_{\text{max}} > t_0$ such that $x(., \varepsilon)$ is defined on $[t_0, T_{\text{max}})$. Then, for all $t \in [t_0, T_{\text{max}})$

$$\|\dot{x}(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq (M_1 + M_2)\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| + M_3,$$

where

$$M_1 = \sup_{[t_0, T_{\text{max}}]} \|A_\varepsilon(t)\|,$$

$$M_2 = \sup_{[t_0, T_{\text{max}}]} \|\phi(t)\|,$$

$$M_3 = \sup_{[t_0, T_{\text{max}}]} \|\lambda_\varepsilon(t)\|.$$

It follows that

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^{t} \dot{x}(s, \varepsilon) ds \right\| \leq \int_{t_0}^{t} ((M_1 + M_2)\|x(s, \varepsilon)\| + M_3) ds,$$

hence

$$\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq \|x(t_0, \varepsilon)\| + \int_{t_0}^{t} ((M_1 + M_2)\|x(s, \varepsilon)\| + M_3) ds.$$

Using Lemma 1, we obtain for all $t \in [t_0, T_{\text{max}})$

$$\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq \|x(t_0, \varepsilon)\| e^{\int_{t_0}^{t} (M_1 + M_2) ds} + e^{\int_{t_0}^{t} (M_1 + M_2 + M_3) ds} \leq M_4,$$

with

$$M_4 = \|x(t_0, \varepsilon)\| e^{(M_1 + M_2) T_{\text{max}}} + e^{(M_1 + M_2 + M_3) T_{\text{max}}}.$$

Consequently, $x(., \varepsilon)$ remains within the compact $B_{M_4}$, which contradicts that $T_{\text{max}} < +\infty$. We conclude that

$$T_{\text{max}} = +\infty.$$

(ii) We can write the solution $x(t, \varepsilon)$ of (6) as

$$x(t, \varepsilon) = R_{A_\varepsilon}(t, t_0) x(t_0, \varepsilon) + \int_{t_0}^{t} R_{A_\varepsilon}(t, s) h(s, x(s, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) ds,$$
where $R_{A_{\epsilon}}(t,t_0)$ is the transition matrix of the system (7). Then, we have
\[
\|x(t,\epsilon)\| \leq \|R_{A_{\epsilon}}(t,t_0)\|\|x(t_0,\epsilon)\| + \int_{t_0}^{t} \|R_{A_{\epsilon}}(t,s)\|\|h(s,x(s,\epsilon),\epsilon)\|ds.
\]
By using the assumption (\textit{A}$_2$) and Theorem 1, we get
\[
\|x(t,\epsilon)\| \leq K\|x_0,\epsilon\|e^{-\gamma_{t-t_0}} + \int_{t_0}^{t} Ke^{-\gamma_{t-s}} \|h(s,x(s,\epsilon),\epsilon)\|ds.
\]
From the inequality (12) we deduce that
\[
e^{-\gamma_{t-t_0}} \|x(t,\epsilon)\| \leq K\|x_0,\epsilon\| + \int_{t_0}^{t} (Ke^{-\gamma_{t-s}} \|x(s,\epsilon)\| + Ke^{-\gamma_{t-s}} \lambda_{\epsilon}(s))ds.
\]
Denote $u(t,\epsilon) = e^{-\gamma_{t-t_0}} \|x(t,\epsilon)\|$, it follows
\[
u(t,\epsilon) \leq Ku(t_0,\epsilon) + \int_{t_0}^{t} (Ku(s,\epsilon) \lambda_{\epsilon}(s))ds.
\]
Applying Lemma 1, we get
\[
u(t,\epsilon) \leq Ku(t_0,\epsilon) + \int_{t_0}^{t} (Ku(s,\epsilon) \lambda_{\epsilon}(s) + Ke^{-\gamma_{t-s}} \lambda_{\epsilon}(s))ds.
\]
Since $\|x(t,\epsilon)\| = e^{-\gamma_{t-t_0}}u(t,\epsilon)$, we obtain
\[
\|x(t,\epsilon)\| \leq K\|x_0,\epsilon\| + Ke^{-\gamma_{t-t_0}} \lambda_{\epsilon}(s) + Ke^{-\gamma_{t-s}} \lambda_{\epsilon}(s)ds\|h(s,x(s,\epsilon),\epsilon)\|ds.
\]
Let
\[
M' = \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-\gamma_{t-t_0}} \lambda_{\epsilon}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\epsilon} = \left(\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \phi^p(\tau)d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\]
We have by the assumptions (\textit{A}$_6$) and (\textit{A}$_7$), that $M'$ and $M_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{R}_+$. It follows that
\[
\int_{t_0}^{t} Ke^{-\gamma_{t-s}} \lambda_{\epsilon}(s)ds \leq \frac{KM'}{r}t \quad \forall t \geq t_0.
\]
Moreover since $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}_+)$, then
\[
\int_{t}^{+\infty} \phi^p(s)ds \longrightarrow 0.
\]
and hence there exists $s \geq 0$ such that

$$M_s < \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{K} \frac{p}{p-1}.$$  

By using Lemma 2, we obtain for all $t \geq 0$

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} \phi(s) ds \leq \int_{0}^{s} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \frac{M_s}{p} + M_s \frac{p-1}{p} (t-t_0). \quad (16)$$

From (15) and (16), we get

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} K \phi(s) ds - \gamma_\varepsilon (t-t_0) \leq K \left( \int_{0}^{s} \phi(s) ds + \frac{M_s}{p} \right) + \left( KM_s \frac{p-1}{p} - \gamma_\varepsilon \right) (t-t_0),$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} K \phi(s) ds + \frac{K \lambda_\varepsilon(s)}{r} e^{\gamma_\varepsilon s} ds - \gamma_\varepsilon t \leq \left( -\gamma_\varepsilon + KM_s \frac{p-1}{p} + K \frac{M'}{r} \right) t + K \left( \int_{0}^{s} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \frac{M_s}{p} \right),$$

hence

$$\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq Ke^{K\int_{0}^{t} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \frac{M_s}{p}} \|x_{0, \varepsilon}\| e^{-\left( \gamma_\varepsilon - KM_s \frac{p-1}{p} \right) (t-t_0)} + re^{-\left( \gamma_\varepsilon - KM_s \frac{p-1}{p} - \frac{KM'}{r} \right) t + K\int_{0}^{t} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \frac{M_s}{p}}.$$

Taking

$$r > \frac{M'}{K} \frac{p-1}{p} M_s;$$

$$L = Ke^{K\int_{0}^{t} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \frac{M_s}{p}};$$

$$N = re^{K\int_{0}^{t} \phi(\tau) d\tau} = \frac{rL}{K};$$

$$\delta = \gamma_\varepsilon - KM_s \frac{p-1}{p} M_s \in (0, \gamma_\varepsilon],$$

$$\theta = \gamma_\varepsilon - KM_s \frac{p-1}{p} M_s - \frac{KM'}{r} \in (0, \delta),$$

we finally deduce

$$\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq L \|x_{0, \varepsilon}\| e^{-\delta (t-t_0)} + Ne^{-\theta t} \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$  

□
Corollary 1. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 2, we have
\[ \forall r > \frac{M'}{K} - \frac{1}{p} M_s, \forall t \geq t_0, \forall x_{0,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r: \]
\[ \|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq P \|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| e^{-\theta(t-t_0)}, \]
where \( P > 0 \) and \( \theta \in (0, \gamma_{\varepsilon}) \).

Proof. Theorem 2 implies
\[ \|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq L \|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| e^{-\delta(t-t_0)} + Ne^{-\theta t} \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \]
Let \( r > 0 \), then for all \( x_{0,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r \)
\[ \|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq L \|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| e^{-\delta(t-t_0)} + \frac{N}{r} e^{-\theta(t-t_0)} \]
\[ \leq (L + \frac{N}{r}) \|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| e^{-\theta(t-t_0)}. \]
Take \( P = L + \frac{N}{r} > 0 \), we obtain
\[ \|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq P \|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| e^{-\theta(t-t_0)}. \]

Remark 1. Take the limit when \( r \to \frac{M'}{K} - \frac{1}{p} M_s \) in Theorem 2, we obtain
\[ \|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq L \|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| e^{-\delta(t-t_0)} + N \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0, \quad (17) \]
with
\[ N = \frac{M'}{K} - \frac{1}{p} M_s e^{K \left( M_s + \int_{0}^{t} \phi(\tau) d\tau \right)}. \]
In particular, if we choose \( p = 1 \), we find
\[ \|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq L \|x_{0,\varepsilon}\| e^{-\theta(t-t_0)} + N \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0, \quad (18) \]
with
\[ L = Ke^{K \|\phi\|_1} \]
and
\[ N = \frac{KM}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} e^{K \|\phi\|_1}. \]
The estimates (17) and (18) imply that the system (6) is globally uniformly practically asymptotically stable in the sense that the ball \( B_N \) is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.
4 Numerical results

In what follows, we give some numerical examples to illustrate our theoretical study. The first example deals with the system (7) and the second example is in concern with the system (6). All the illustrations have been performed with the software Matlab.

Example 1 Consider the following system

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_1 &= -x_1 - tx_2 + \epsilon (x_1 - x_2) \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -x_2 + tx_1 + \epsilon (x_1 + x_2) \\
x_{0,e} &= (1, 2). \\
\end{aligned}
\] (19)

The system (19) can be written as

\[
\dot{x} = A_\epsilon(t)x,
\]

where

\[
x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_\epsilon(t) = A_0 + \epsilon F(t)
\]

with

\[
A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -t \\ t & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

A straightforward computation shows that the transition matrix \(R_{A_0}\) is given by

\[
R_{A_0}(t, t_0) = e^{-(t-t_0)} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \left( \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - t_0^2) \right) & -\sin \left( \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - t_0^2) \right) \\ \sin \left( \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - t_0^2) \right) & \cos \left( \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - t_0^2) \right) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Hence, we have

\[
\|R_{A_0}(t, t_0)\| = ce^{-\gamma(t-t_0)}
\]

with \(\gamma = c = 1\) and \(\| \cdot \|\) denotes the euclidean norm. Since \(F(\cdot)\) is bounded, then we deduce using Theorem 1 that for any \(\epsilon \in [0, 1)\) the system (19) is globally uniformly exponentially stable. Figure 1 shows the time evolution of the states \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) of system (19) with \(t_0 = 0\) and for various values of \(\epsilon\). One can notice that the solutions are stable if \(\epsilon \in [0, 1)\) as predicted by theory.
Figure 1: Time evolution of the states $x_1$ and $x_2$ of the system (19) with $t_0 = 0$ and various values of $\epsilon$. From left to right and top to bottom: $\epsilon = 0.01$, $\epsilon = 0.5$, $\epsilon = 1.1$ and $\epsilon = 2$. 
Example 2 Consider the following system

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= -x_1 + \varepsilon x_2 + \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^2} \frac{x_1^2}{1 + \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}} + \frac{\varepsilon e^{-2t}}{1 + x_1^2} \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -x_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{1+t} x_1 + \frac{t}{(1+t^2)^2} \frac{x_2^3}{1 + \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}} \\
x_{0,\varepsilon} &= (1, 2),
\end{align*}
\]

which can be written as

\[
\dot{x} = A_\varepsilon(t)x + h(t, x, \varepsilon),
\]

where

\[
x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_\varepsilon(t) = A_0 + \varepsilon F(t)
\]

with

\[
A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{1+t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
h(t, x, \varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1(t, x, \varepsilon) \\ h_2(t, x, \varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
h_1(t, x, \varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^2} \frac{x_1^2}{1 + \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}} + \frac{\varepsilon e^{-2t}}{1 + x_1^2} \\
h_2(t, x, \varepsilon) &= \frac{t}{(1+t^2)^2} \frac{x_2^3}{1 + \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}.
\end{align*}
\]

The system

\[
\dot{x} = A_\varepsilon(t)x
\]

is globally uniformly asymptotically stable. Indeed, \(F(\cdot)\) is bounded and the transition matrix \(R_{A_0}\) satisfies:

\[
R_{A_0}(t, t_0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-(t-t_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-(t-t_0)} \end{pmatrix},
\]
thus
\[ \|R_{\Lambda_0}(t, t_0)\| = e^{-(t-t_0)}. \]

On the other hand,
\[ \|h(t, x, \varepsilon)\|^2 = h_1^2(t, x, \varepsilon) + h_2^2(t, x, \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \varepsilon (2 + \varepsilon) e^{-2t}. \]

By using the classic inequality
\[ \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \leq a + b, \]
we get
\[ \|h(t, x, \varepsilon)\|^2 \leq \phi(t) \|x(t)\| + \lambda(t, \varepsilon), \]
where
\[ \phi(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \]
and
\[ \lambda(t, \varepsilon) = \sqrt{\varepsilon (2 + \varepsilon)} e^{-t}. \]

The functions \( \phi \) and \( \lambda \) are continuous, positive and bounded on \([0, +\infty)\). Moreover
\[ \phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+) \quad \forall \, p \in [1, +\infty). \]

To estimate \( \|\phi\|_p \), we use the inequality :
\[ \phi^p(t) \leq \phi(t) \quad \forall \, t \geq 0, \]
since \( \|\phi\|_\infty = 1 \), then
\[ \int_0^{+\infty} \phi^p(t) dt \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \phi(t) dt = 1, \]
hence
\[ \|\phi\|_p \leq 1 \quad \forall \, p \geq 1. \]

Consequently, one can apply Theorem 2 to prove the following results:

(i) there exist a unique maximal solution \( x(., \varepsilon) \) of (20) defined on \([0, +\infty)\).
Figure 2: Time evolution of the states $x_1$ and $x_2$ of system (20) with $t_0 = 0$ and $\varepsilon = 0.1$.

(ii) $\forall \ p \geq 1, \ \forall \ \varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{p}), \ \forall \ t \geq t_0$

$$\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq e^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x_0, \varepsilon\| e^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \varepsilon)(t - t_0)} + r \ e^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \varepsilon - \frac{1}{r}\right)t + \frac{1}{p}}$$

where $r > 1/(1/p - \varepsilon)$ is any arbitrary real number. In particular, we have for $p = 1$ and $r \rightarrow 1/(1 - \varepsilon)$

$$\|x(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq e \|x_0, \varepsilon\| e^{-(1-\varepsilon)(t-t_0)} + \frac{e}{1-\varepsilon}.$$ (21)

The estimate (21) implies that the system (20) is globally uniformly practically asymptotically stable in the sense that the ball $B_{\frac{e}{1-\varepsilon}}$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable. Figure 2 shows the time evolution of the states $x_1$ and $x_2$ of the system (20) for $t_0 = 0$ and $\varepsilon = 0.1$.
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