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Abstract

In this article, we study the existence and multiplicity of solutions of the following \((p, q)\)-Laplacian equation with singular nonlinearity:

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_p u - \beta \Delta_q u &= \lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{r-1}, \quad u > 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
 u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \(\Omega\) is a bounded domain in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) with smooth boundary, \(1 < q < p < r \leq p^*\), where \(p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}\), \(0 < \delta < 1\), \(n > p\) and \(\lambda, \beta > 0\) are parameters. We prove existence, multiplicity and regularity of weak solutions of \((P_\lambda)\) for suitable range of \(\lambda\). We also prove the global existence result for problem \((P_\lambda)\).
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1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to study the existence and multiplicity of solutions of the following \((p, q)\)-Laplacian problem

\[
(P_\lambda) \begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u - \beta \Delta_q u &= \lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{r-1}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\
u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\Omega\) is a bounded domain in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) with \(C^2\) boundary, \(1 < q < p < r \leq p^*\), with \(p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}\), \(0 < \delta \leq 1\), \(n > p\) and \(\lambda, \beta > 0\) are real parameters. \(\Delta_p\) is the \(p\)-Laplace operator, defined as \(\Delta_p u = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)\).
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The operator \( A_{p,q} := -\Delta_p - \beta \Delta_q \) is known as \((p,q)\)-Laplacian which arises from a wide range of important applications such as biophysics [8], plasma physics [27], reaction-diffusion [3]. Problems of the type \((P_\lambda)\) are known as double phase equations where the leading operator switches between two nonlocal nonlinear operators. For more details on applications readers are referred to survey article [21].

The study of elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities has drawn the attention of many researchers since the pioneering work of Crandall, Rabinowitz and Tartar [6], where authors studied purely singular problem associated to \(-\Delta\) with Dirichlet boundary condition. More generally, the equation of type

\[
-\Delta u = \lambda a(x)u^{-\delta} + b(x)u^{r-1}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega; \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
\]

has been studied in a large number of papers, for instance Coclitie and Palmieri [4] obtained global existence result for (1.1). Using Nehari manifold method Yi Jing, Shaoping and Yiming [29] proved existence of two solutions of (1.1) when \(0 < \delta < 1\) and \(r < 2^*\). The critical case was dealt by Haitao [15] and Hirano, Saccon and Shioji [17]. In [15], for \(a = 1 = b\) and \(0 < \delta < 1\), Haitao proved global existence of solutions using Perron’s method and saddle point theorem while authors in [17] used Nehari manifold technique to prove the existence of two solutions. In [1] Adimurthi and Giacomoni considered (1.1) for the case \(n = 2, 0 < \delta < 3\) with Trudinger-Moser type critical nonlinearities. For detailed study of semilinear elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities we refer [13].

For general \(p \in (1, n)\), Giacomoni, Schindler and Takáč [10] studied the following singular problem

\[
-\Delta_p u = \lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{r-1}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega; \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
\]

where \(0 < \delta < 1\) and \(1 < p < r \leq p^*\). In this work authors proved the existence of multiple solutions in \(C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\) using variational method developed in [11] and [9]. Here multiplicity result was obtained for all \(p > 1\) in the subcritical case and for \(p \in (\frac{2n}{n+2}, 2) \cup (\frac{3n}{n+3}, 3)\) in the critical case. For more work on singular quasilinear elliptic equations we refer [14, 22].

The \((p,q)\)-Laplace equation with concave-convex type nonlinearities has been studied by many researchers, among them Yin and Yang [30] considered

\[
-\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u = |u|^{q-2}u + \theta V(x)|u|^{r-2}u + \lambda f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
\]

where \(1 < r < q < p < n\) and \(f(x, u)\) is a subcritical perturbation, to prove multiplicity of solutions using Lusternik-Schnirelman theory, while Gasiński and Papageorgiou [12] obtained the existence of two positive solutions of the problem with concave nonlinearity and Carathéodory perturbation having subcritical growth (which need not satisfy Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition) for the case \(2 < q \leq p < \infty\). Subsequently Marano et. al [20] studied this problem with Carathéodory function having critical growth. Using critical point theory with truncation
arguments and comparison principle authors also proved bifurcation type result. Among the recent works on \((p, q)\)-Laplacian problems we refer the reader to \([18, 23, 24]\).

Regarding the regularity results for weak solutions of \((p, q)\)-Laplacian problem we cite the work of He and Li \([16]\) where authors proved that weak solutions of
\[
-\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u = f(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n
\]
belongs to \(L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{1,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) for some \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\) if \(f(x) \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\). Here authors extended their results to equations with general nonlinearity \(f(x, u)\) having critical growth with respect to \(p\). Furthermore, Baroni, Colombo and Mingione \([2]\) proved \(C^{1,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)\) regularity result for minimizers of general double phase equation. For more details on regularity results, interested readers may refer \([5, 19]\).

Inspired from all the above mentioned works, in this article we study \((p, q)\)-Laplacian problem involving singular nonlinearity. Following the approach of \([15]\), which uses Perron’s method to obtain a weak solution of singular problem between a sub and super solution, we prove global (for all \(\lambda, \beta\)) existence result for \((P_\lambda)\). Using Stampacchia’s truncation argument and Moser iteration technique for \((p, q)\)-Laplacian problem we prove weak solutions of \((P_\lambda)\) are in \(L^\infty(\Omega)\) and using results of \([16, \text{Theorem 1}]\) we show the following regularity theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** Each weak solution \(u\) of problem \((P_\lambda)\) belongs to \(L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)\), for some \(s \in (0, 1)\). Moreover, there exists \(\epsilon_\lambda > 0\) such that \(u \geq \epsilon_\lambda \hat{\phi}\) in \(\Omega\).

To prove our existence results, we use Nehari manifold technique to obtain minimizers of the energy functional associated to \((P_\lambda)\) over some subsets of the Nehari manifold. First we prove that these minimizers are in fact weak solutions of \((P_\lambda)\). Furthermore, by analyzing the the energy levels and and identifying the first critical level we prove multiplicity results for the critical case for all \(q < p \leq p^*\) by choosing \(\beta\) small. We also show these results that when \(\beta > 0\) and \(p \in (2n/(n+2), 3)\).

We denote the norm \(\| \cdot \|_{L^m(\Omega)}\) on \(L^m(\Omega)\) by \(\| \cdot \|_m\) for \(1 \leq m \leq \infty\). Let \(W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)\) be the Sobolev space equipped with the norm \(\| u \| = \| \nabla u \|_p\) for all \(u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)\) and \(S\) be the Sobolev constant defined as
\[
S = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\| u \|^p_p}{\| u \|^p_{p^*}}.
\]
We denote \(\lambda_1(q, \beta) > 0\) as the first eigenvalue of \(-\beta \Delta_q\) with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition:
\[
-\beta \Delta_q u = \lambda u^{q-1}, \quad u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad u = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial \Omega.
\]
We say that \(u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)\) is a weak solution of problem \((P_\lambda)\) if \(u > 0\) a.e. in \(\Omega\) and
\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi - \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi - u^{r-1} \phi) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega). \quad (1.2)
\]
The Euler functional associated to the problem \((P_\lambda)\), \(I_\lambda : W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}\) is defined as
\[
I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \beta \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^q - \frac{\lambda}{1-\delta} \int_\Omega |u|^{1-\delta} \, dx - \frac{1}{r} \int_\Omega |u|^r \, dx.
\]
Set \(W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)_+ := \{u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) : u \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}\). We show the following existence and multiplicity results:

**Theorem 1.2** Let \(r < p^*\). Then there exists \(\lambda_* > 0\) such that for all \(\beta > 0\) and \(\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)\), \((P_\lambda)\) has at least two solutions.

**Theorem 1.3** Let \(r = p^*\), then there exists \(\Lambda > 0\) such that for all \(\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)\) and \(\beta > 0\) problem \((P_\lambda)\) admits at least one solution.

In the critical case, we have the following multiplicity results for "small \(\beta\)" and with no further restriction on \(q\):

**Theorem 1.4** Let \(r = p^*\), then there exist positive constants \(\beta_*, \Lambda_0\) and \(\beta_0\) such that problem \((P_\lambda)\) has at least two solutions in each of the following cases:

(i) for all \(\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)\) and \(\beta \in (0, \beta_*)\), when \(\frac{2n}{n+2} < p < 3\),

(ii) for all \(\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)\) and \(\beta \in (0, \beta_0)\), when \(p \in (1, \frac{2n}{n+2}] \cup [3, n)\).

We also show the following multiplicity result for "all \(\beta > 0\)" but with restriction on \(p\) and \(q\):

**Theorem 1.5** Let \(p \in (\frac{2n}{n+2}, 3)\) and \(r = p^*\), then there exists at least two solutions of \((P_\lambda)\) for all \(\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)\) and \(\beta > 0\) in each of the following cases:

1. \(\max\{p - 1, 1\} < q < \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}\),

2. \(\frac{n(p-1)}{n-1} < q < \frac{n(p-1) + p}{n}\).

Finally, we have the following global existence result for \((P_\lambda)\).

**Theorem 1.6** There exists \(\Lambda^* > 0\) such that problem \((P_\lambda)\) has a solution for all \(\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*)\) and no solution if \(\lambda > \Lambda^*\).

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove existence result for purely singular problem associated with \((P_\lambda)\) and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we study the fibering maps and Nehari manifold associated with \((P_\lambda)\). We prove some technical results here. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. In Section 6, we give proof of Theorem 1.6.

2 A regularity result

In this Section we study regularity of weak solutions of problem \((P_\lambda)\) and obtain a weak solution of purely singular problem associated to \((P_\lambda)\).
Lemma 2.1 [23] Let $\psi$ be a function such that

1. $\psi(t) \geq 0$,
2. $\psi$ is non-increasing,
3. if $h > k > k_0$, then $\psi(h) \leq \frac{C}{(h-k)^{\gamma}}(\psi(k))^{\gamma}$, for some $\gamma > 1$.

Then, $\psi(k_0 + d) = 0$, where $d^p := C \frac{\psi(k_0)}{\psi^{\gamma-1}}$.

Lemma 2.2 Each weak solution $u$ of $(P_\lambda)$ belongs to $L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $u$ be a weak solution of $(P_\lambda)$. We follow approach of [10] Lemma A.6 to prove
\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla(u-1)+|^r - 2|\nabla(u-1)+ + \beta|\nabla(u-1)+|^q - 2\nabla(u-1)+) \nabla w \leq C \int_{\Omega} (1 + (u-1)^{p^*_+ - 1}) w, \tag{2.1}
\]
for every $w \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)_+$. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ be a $C^1$ cut-off function such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, $\varphi(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\varphi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 1$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, define $\varphi_\epsilon(t) := \varphi(\frac{t}{\epsilon})$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\varphi_\epsilon(u) \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ with $\nabla(\varphi_\epsilon(u)) = (\varphi'_\epsilon(u)) \nabla u$. Let $w \in C^\infty_\mathbb{R}(\Omega)$ be such that $w \geq 0$, then using $\varphi_\epsilon(u)w$ as test function in (1.2), we obtain
\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^r - 2u \nabla(\varphi_\epsilon(u)w) + \beta|\nabla u|^q - 2\nabla u \nabla(\varphi_\epsilon(u)w)) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{r-1}) \varphi_\epsilon(u) \, w \, dx.
\]
Hence,
\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + \beta|\nabla u|^q) \varphi'_\epsilon(u) \, w \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^r - 2 + \beta|\nabla u|^q - 2) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_\epsilon(u) \, dx
\]
\[
= \int_{\Omega} (\lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{r-1}) \varphi_\epsilon(u) \, w \, dx,
\]
using the fact $\varphi'_\epsilon(u) \geq 0$, above equation yields
\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^r - 2 + \beta|\nabla u|^q - 2) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_\epsilon(u) \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (\lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{r-1}) \varphi_\epsilon(u) \, w \, dx.
\]
Letting $\epsilon \to 0^+$, we see that there exists a constant $C > 0$ which may depend on $\lambda$, such that
\[
\int_{\Omega \cap \{u \geq 1\}} (|\nabla u|^r - 2 + \beta|\nabla u|^q - 2) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_\epsilon(u) \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega \cap \{u \geq 1\}} (\lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{r-1}) \, w \, dx
\]
\[
\leq C \int_{\Omega} (1 + (u-1)^{p^*_+ - 1}) w \, dx,
\]
this gives us
\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla (u-1)| + 2 + \beta|\nabla (u-1)| + q^2|\nabla (u-1)|) \nabla w \leq C \int_{\Omega} (1 + (u-1)^{p^*_+ - 1}) w,
\]
for every $w \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ with $w \geq 0$. Proof of (2.1) can be completed proceeding similar as in the proof of [10] Lemma A.5. By the proof of [16] Theorem 2, we get $(u-1)_+ \in L^{p^*_+ / p}(\Omega)$.
and since $\Omega$ is a bounded domain, we conclude that $(u - 1)_+ \in L^{(p^*)^2/p}(\Omega)$. Repeating the arguments used in proof of [16, Theorem 2] and using interpolation identity for $L^m$ spaces one can show that $(u - 1)_+ \in L^m(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq m < \infty$. Now we will prove that $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Set $\bar{u} = (u - 1)_+ \geq 0$. Consider the truncation function $T_k(s) := (s - k) \chi_{[k, \infty)}$, for $k > 0$, which was introduced in [25]. Let $\Omega_k := \{x \in \Omega : \bar{u}(x) \geq k\}$, then taking $T_k(\bar{u})$ as a test function in (2.1), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} + \beta |\nabla \bar{u}|^{q-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \nabla T_k(\bar{u}) \leq C \int_{\Omega} (1 + \bar{u}^{p^* - 1}) T_k(\bar{u}).
$$

(2.2)

Let $\alpha > 0$ be fixed (to be specified latter). Using the fact $|T_k(\bar{u})| \leq \bar{u}$ and $\bar{u} \in L^\alpha(\Omega)$ together with Hölder inequality and Sobolev embeddings, we deduce that

$$
C \int_{\Omega} (1 + \bar{u}^{p^* - 1}) T_k(\bar{u}) \, dx \leq C \int_{\Omega_k} (|\bar{u}| + |\bar{u}|^p) \, dx \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega_k} (|\bar{u}| + |\bar{u}|^p) \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p}} |\Omega_k|^{1 - \frac{p}{p^*}} \leq C_1 |\Omega_k|^{1 - \frac{p}{p^*}},
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla T_k(\bar{u}) \geq C_2 \int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla T_k(\bar{u})|^p \geq C_3 \left( \int_{\Omega_k} |T_k(\bar{u})|^p \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p}}.
$$

Similarly we can show that

$$
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{q-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla T_k(\bar{u}) \, dx \geq 0,
$$

and for $0 < k < h$

$$
\int_{\Omega_k} |T_k(\bar{u})|^{p^*} \geq (h - k)^{p^*} |\Omega_k|,
$$

due to the fact $\Omega_h \subset \Omega_k$. Using all these informations in (2.2), we obtain

$$
\psi(h) \leq \frac{C_4}{(h - k)^{p^*+\psi(k)}(1 - \frac{p}{p^*})} \left( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p}}
$$

where $\psi(j) = |\Omega_j|$ for $j \geq 0$. We choose $\alpha > 0$ such that $(1 - \frac{p}{p^*}) \frac{p^*}{p} > 1$. Then from Lemma 2.1 for $k_0 = 0$, $p = p^*$, $\gamma = (1 - \frac{p}{p^*}) \frac{p^*}{p} > 1$ and $C = C_4$, we get $\psi(d) = 0$, where $d^{p^*} = C_4 \frac{\gamma^{\gamma/\alpha}}{\alpha} |\Omega|^{-1}$, that is $|\Omega_d| = |\{x \in \Omega : \bar{u} \geq d\}| = 0$. Hence, $\bar{u} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and because $u$ is non-negative we get $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Let us fix $\lambda > \lambda_1(q, \beta)$, then from [26, Theorem 2], we know that the problem

$$
-\Delta_p u - \beta \Delta_q u = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
$$

has a positive solution $\phi \in C^{1,\sigma}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. Now we consider purely singular problem associated to $(P_\lambda)$,

$$(S_\lambda) \quad \left\{ -\Delta_p u - \beta \Delta_q u = \lambda u^{-\delta}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega. \right.$$
Lemma 2.3  Problem \((S_\lambda)\) has a unique solution \(u_\lambda\) in \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\) for all \(\lambda > 0\). Moreover, \(u_\lambda \geq \epsilon \lambda \hat{\phi}\) a.e. in \(\Omega\) for some \(\epsilon_\lambda > 0\).

**Proof.** The energy functional corresponding to \((S_\lambda)\) is given by

\[
I_\lambda(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^q - \frac{\lambda}{1-\delta} \int_\Omega u_{-}^{1-\delta} dx, \quad u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).
\]

It is easy to verify that \(I_\lambda\) is coercive and weakly lower semicontinuous on \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\). Therefore, \(I_\lambda\) has a global minimizer \(u_\lambda \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\). Moreover, due to the fact \(I_\lambda(0) = 0 > I_\lambda(\epsilon \hat{\phi})\) for sufficiently small \(\epsilon > 0\), we have \(u_\lambda \neq 0\) in \(\Omega\) and hence without loss of generality we may assume \(u_\lambda \geq 0\). Next we will show that \(u_\lambda \geq \epsilon \phi\) a.e. in \(\Omega\) for some constant \(\epsilon > 0\). First we observe that Gâteaux derivative \(I_\lambda'(\epsilon \hat{\phi})\) of \(I_\lambda\) exists at \(\epsilon \hat{\phi}\) and satisfies weakly

\[
I_\lambda'(\epsilon \hat{\phi}) = -\Delta_p(\epsilon \hat{\phi}) - \beta \Delta_q(\epsilon \hat{\phi}) - \lambda(\epsilon \hat{\phi})^{-\delta} = \hat{\lambda}(\epsilon \hat{\phi})^{q-1} - \lambda(\epsilon \hat{\phi})^{-\delta}
\]

\[
= (\epsilon \hat{\phi})^{-\delta} \left( \hat{\lambda}(\epsilon \hat{\phi})^{q-1} - \lambda \right) \leq -\frac{\lambda}{2} (\epsilon \hat{\phi})^{-\delta} < 0
\]

whenever \(\epsilon > 0\) is small enough, say, \(0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_\lambda\). Suppose the function \(v = (u_\lambda - \epsilon_\lambda \hat{\phi})_+ = (\epsilon_\lambda \hat{\phi} - u_\lambda)_+\) does not vanish identically on some positive measure subset of \(\Omega\). Set \(\xi(t) := I_\lambda(u_\lambda + tv)\) for \(t \geq 0\). We note that \(\xi\) is convex and \(\xi(t) \geq \xi(0)\) for all \(t > 0\). Furthermore, due to the fact \(u_\lambda + tv \geq \max\{u_\lambda, t\epsilon_\lambda \hat{\phi}\} \geq t\epsilon_\lambda \hat{\phi}\) for \(t > 0\), the Gâteaux derivative \(I_\lambda'(u_\lambda + tv)\) of \(I_\lambda\) exists at \(u_\lambda + tv\) and

\[
\xi'(t) = \langle I_\lambda'(u_\lambda + tv), v \rangle
\]

for all \(t > 0\). Due to convexity of \(\xi\) and the fact \(\xi(t) \geq \xi(0)\) for all \(t > 0\) we see that \(\xi'\) is nonnegative and nondecreasing. Therefore, with the help of (2.3), we have

\[
0 \leq \xi'(1) = \int_\Omega ((\nabla(u_\lambda + v)|^p - 2 \nabla(u_\lambda + v) \nabla v + \beta \nabla(u_\lambda + v)|^q - 2 \nabla(u_\lambda + v) \nabla v) dx
\]

\[
- \lambda \int_\Omega (u_\lambda + v)^{-\delta} v dx
\]

\[
\leq -\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\{v > 0\}} (\epsilon_\lambda \hat{\phi})^{-\delta} v < 0,
\]

which is a contradiction. Thus \(v \equiv 0\) in \(\Omega\), that is, \(u_\lambda \geq \epsilon_\lambda \hat{\phi}\) a.e. in \(\Omega\). Since \(I_\lambda\) is strictly convex on \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)_+\), we conclude that such a \(u_\lambda\) is unique. \(\square\)

Lemma 2.4 Let \(u_\lambda\) be the solution of problem \((S_\lambda)\) and \(\bar{u}\) be any weak supersolution (or solution) of \((P_\lambda)\), then the following comparison principle holds

\[
u_\lambda \leq \bar{u} \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega.
\]
Proof. Since \( \bar{u} \) is a weak supersolution of \((P_\lambda)\), we have
\[
\int_\Omega (|\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2}\nabla \bar{u} + \beta |\nabla \bar{u}|^{q-2}\nabla \bar{u}) \nabla \phi \, dx \geq \int_\Omega (\lambda \bar{u}^{\delta - \frac{1}{p}} + \bar{u}^{r-1}) \phi \, dx, \tag{2.4}
\]
for all \( \phi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) with \( \phi \geq 0 \). Let \( \eta \) be a smooth function such that \( \eta(t) = 1 \), for \( t \geq 1 \), \( \eta(t) = 0 \), for \( t \leq 0 \) and \( \eta'(t) \geq 0 \) for \( t \leq 0 \). For \( \epsilon > 0 \), set \( \eta_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u}) \) as a test function in (2.4) and in the weak formulation of \((S_\lambda)\), we infer
\[
\int_\Omega (\lambda \bar{u}^{\delta} + \bar{u}^{r-1}) \eta_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u}) \, dx \geq \lambda \int_\Omega (\bar{u}^{\delta} - u_\lambda^{\delta}) \eta_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u}) \, dx.
\]
Using the fact \( \nabla \eta_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u}) = \eta'_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u}) \nabla (u_\lambda - \bar{u}) \) and \( \eta'(t) \geq 0 \), we get
\[
\int_\Omega (|\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2}\nabla \bar{u} - |\nabla u_\lambda|^{p-2}\nabla u_\lambda) \nabla \eta_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u})
\]
\[
= - \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_\lambda|^{p-2}\nabla u_\lambda - |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2}\nabla \bar{u}) \nabla (u_\lambda - \bar{u}) \eta'_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u})
\]
\[
\leq - C_p \left\{ \int_\Omega |\nabla (u_\lambda - \bar{u})|^p \eta'_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u}), \text{ if } p \geq 2, \right. \\
\left. \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla (u_\lambda - \bar{u})|^2}{(|\nabla u_\lambda| + |\nabla \bar{u}|)^{2-p}} \eta'_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u}), \text{ if } 1 < p < 2 \right. \\
\right.
\leq 0.
\]
Here we used the inequality: there exists a constant \( C_p > 0 \) such that for \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n \),
\[
|a|^{p-2}|a| - |b|^{p-2}|b| \geq C_p \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} |a - b|^p, & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\
\frac{|a - b|^2}{(|a| + |b|)^{2-p}}, & \text{if } 1 < p < 2. \end{array} \right.
\]
Similar result holds for the other term on LHS of (2.5), thereby we infer
\[
\lambda \int_\Omega (\bar{u}^{\delta} - u_\lambda^{\delta}) \eta_\epsilon(u_\lambda - \bar{u}) \leq 0.
\]
Letting \( \epsilon \to 0 \), we obtain
\[
\int_{\{u_\lambda > \bar{u}\}} (\bar{u}^{\delta} - u_\lambda^{\delta}) \, dx \leq 0,
\]
which implies that \( |\{u_\lambda > \bar{u}\}| = 0 \), therefore \( u_\lambda \leq \bar{u} \) a.e. in \( \Omega \). \( \Box \)

Lemma 2.5 For each weak solution \( u \) of \((P_\lambda)\), \( |\nabla u| \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega) \) and there exists \( s \in (0, 1) \) such that \( u \in C^{1,s}_{loc}(\Omega) \).

Proof. Let \( f(x) := f(x, u(x)) = u^{\delta} + u^{r-1} \). Then it is easy to see that \( f \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega) \), therefore the result follows from [16] Theorem 1. \( \Box \)
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Proof of the regularity results follow from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5. Using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 we complete proof of the Theorem. □

3 The Nehari manifold

It is easy to verify that the energy functional $I_{\lambda}$ is not bounded below on $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$. For each $u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ define fibering map $J_u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ associated to the energy functional $I_{\lambda}$ as $J_u(t) = I_{\lambda}(tu)$ that is,

\[ J_u(t) = \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \beta \frac{t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q - \lambda \frac{t^{1-\delta}}{1-\delta} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} dx - \frac{t^r}{r} \int_{\Omega} |u|^r dx \]

\[ J_u'(t) = t^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \beta t^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q - \lambda t^{\frac{1-\delta}{1-\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} dx - t^{r-1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^r dx \] (3.1)

\[ J_u''(t) = (p-1)t^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \beta(q-1)t^{q-2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q + \lambda \delta t^{-\delta-1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} dx \] (3.2)

\[ - (r-1)t^{r-2} \int_{\Omega} |u|^r dx. \]

We define the Nehari manifold $N_{\lambda}$ associated to problem $(P_{\lambda})$ as

$N_{\lambda} = \{ u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) : u \neq 0, \ J_u'(1) = 0 \}$.

Lemma 3.1 The functional $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below on $N_{\lambda}$.

Proof. Let $u \in N_{\lambda}$. Then, using Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding theorems, we deduce that

\[ I_{\lambda}(u) = \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \beta \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q - \left( \frac{1}{1-\delta} - \frac{1}{1} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} dx \]

\[ \geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \|u\|^p - \left( \frac{1}{1-\delta} - \frac{1}{1} \right) C\|u\|^{1-\delta}. \]

Since $1 - \delta < p$, it follows that $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below in this case. □

We split $N_{\lambda}$ into points of maxima, points of minima and inflection points, that is

$N_{\lambda}^+ = \{ u \in N_{\lambda} : J_u''(1) \geq 0 \}$, and $N_{\lambda}^0 = \{ u \in N_{\lambda} : J_u''(1) = 0 \}$.

Define

$\theta_{\lambda} := \inf \{ I_{\lambda}(u) \mid u \in N_{\lambda} \}$ and $\theta_{\lambda}^\pm := \inf \{ I_{\lambda}(u) \mid u \in N_{\lambda}^\pm \}$.

Lemma 3.2 There exists $\lambda_* > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$, $N_{\lambda}^0 = \emptyset$. 


Define $E : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
E(u) = \frac{(r-p)\|\nabla u\|^p + \beta(r-q)\|\nabla u\|^q}{(r-1+\delta)} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta}dx.
$$

Then with the help of (3.4) we infer that $E(u) = 0$ for all $u \in N^0_\lambda$. Moreover,

$$
E(u) \geq \left(\frac{r-p}{r-1+\delta}\right)\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta}dx
\geq \left(\frac{r-p}{r-1+\delta}\right)\|u\|^p - \lambda S^{-\frac{1-\delta}{1-\beta}}\|\nabla u\|_q^{1-\delta}\|u\|^{1-\delta}
\geq \|u\|^{1-\delta}\left[\left(\frac{r-p}{r-1+\delta}\right)\|u\|^{p-1+\delta} - \lambda S^{-\frac{1-\delta}{1-\beta}}\|\nabla u\|_q^{1-\delta}\right].
$$

With the help of (3.3) and Sobolev embeddings, we have

$$
\|u\| \geq \left(\frac{(p-1+\delta)S^\frac{p}{r}}{(r-1+\delta)|\Omega|^{\frac{1-\delta}{1-\beta}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}},
$$

as a result

$$
E(u) \geq \|u\|^{1-\delta}\left[\left(\frac{r-p}{r-1+\delta}\right)\left(\frac{(p-1+\delta)S^\frac{p}{r}}{(r-1+\delta)|\Omega|^{\frac{1-\delta}{1-\beta}}}\right)^{\frac{p-1+\delta}{r-p}} - \lambda S^{-\frac{1-\delta}{1-\beta}}\|\nabla u\|_q^{1-\delta}\right].
$$

Set

$$
\lambda_* := \left(\frac{(r-p)S^{-\frac{1-\delta}{1-\beta}}}{(r-1+\delta)|\Omega|^{\frac{1-\delta}{1-\beta}}}\right)\left(\frac{(p-1+\delta)S^\frac{p}{r}}{(r-1+\delta)|\Omega|^{\frac{1-\delta}{1-\beta}}}\right)^{\frac{p-1+\delta}{r-p}} > 0,
$$

then $E(u) > 0$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$ and $u \in N^0_\lambda$, which contradicts the fact that $E(u) = 0$ for all $u \in N^0_\lambda$. This proves the lemma. \(\square\)

For fixed $u \in X$, define $M_u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
M_u(t) = t^{p-1+\delta}\|\nabla u\|^p + \beta t^{q-1+\delta}\|\nabla u\|^q - t^{r-1+\delta}\int_{\Omega} |u|^rdx.
$$

Then,

$$
M'_u(t) = (p-1+\delta)t^{p+\delta-2}\|\nabla u\|^p + \beta (q-1+\delta)t^{q+\delta-2}\|\nabla u\|^q - (r-1+\delta)t^{r+\delta-2}\int_{\Omega} |u|^rdx.
$$
We notice that for $t > 0$, $tu \in N_{\lambda}$ if and only if $t$ is a solution of $M_u(t) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} dx$ and if $tu \in N_{\lambda}$, then $J''_u(1) = t^{-\delta} M'_u(t)$. We claim that there exists unique $t_{\text{max}} > 0$ such that $M'_u(t_{\text{max}}) = 0$. We have

$$M'_u(t) = t^{q+\delta-2} G_u(t),$$

where $G_u(t) = (p-1+\delta) t^{p-q} ||\nabla u||^p_p + \beta (q-1+\delta) ||\nabla u||^q_q - (r-1+\delta) t^{r-q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^r dx$, then to prove the claim it is enough to show the existence of unique $t_{\text{max}} > 0$ satisfying $G_u(t_{\text{max}}) = 0$. Define $H_u(t) = (r-1+\delta) t^{r-q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^r dx - (p-1+\delta) t^{p-q} ||\nabla u||^p_p$, then $H_u(t_{\text{max}}) - \beta (q-1+\delta) ||\nabla u||^q_q = -G_u(t)$.

It is easy to see $H_u(t) < 0$ for $t$ small enough, $H_u(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Hence, there exists unique $t_*$ such that $H_u(t_*) = 0$. Therefore, there exists unique $t_{\text{max}} > t_* > 0$ such that $H_u(t_{\text{max}}) = \beta (q-1+\delta) ||\nabla u||^q_q$. Moreover, $M_u$ is increasing in $(0,t_{\text{max}})$ and decreasing in $(t_{\text{max}}, \infty)$. As a consequence

$$(p-1+\delta)t_{\text{max}}^p ||u||^p \leq (p-1+\delta) t_{\text{max}}^p ||\nabla u||^p_p + \beta (q-1+\delta) t_{\text{max}}^q ||\nabla u||^q_q$$

$$(r-1+\delta) \int_{\Omega} |u|^r dx \leq (r-1+\delta) t_{\text{max}}^r S^r \frac{\Omega}{r-1+\delta} ||u||^r,$$

set

$$T_0 := \frac{1}{||u||} \left( \frac{(p-1+\delta) S^p}{(r-1+\delta) \Omega^{1-\frac{r}{p}}} \right) \frac{1}{r-\delta} \leq t_{\text{max}},$$

then,

$$M_u(t_{\text{max}}) \geq M_u(T_0) \geq T_0^{p-1+\delta} ||u||^p - T_0^{r-1+\delta} S^r \frac{\Omega}{r-1+\delta} ||u||^r$$

$$= ||u||^{1-\delta} \left( \frac{r-p}{r-1+\delta} \right) \left( \frac{(p-1+\delta) S^p}{(r-1+\delta) \Omega^{1-\frac{r}{p}}} \right) \frac{p-1+\delta}{r-\delta} \geq 0.$$ 

Therefore, if $\lambda < \lambda_\ast$, we have $M_u(t_{\text{max}}) > \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} dx$, which ensures the existence of $\underline{t} < t_{\text{max}} < \overline{t}$ such that $M_u(\underline{t}) = M_u(\overline{t}) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} dx$. That is, $\underline{t} u$ and $\overline{t} u \in N_{\lambda}$. Also, $M'_u(\underline{t}) > 0$ and $M'_u(\overline{t}) < 0$ which implies $\underline{t} u \in N_{\lambda}^+$ and $\overline{t} u \in N_{\lambda}^-$. 

**Lemma 3.3** The following hold:

(i) $\sup \{ ||u|| : u \in N_{\lambda}^+ \} < \infty$

(ii) $\inf \{ ||v|| : v \in N_{\lambda}^- \} > 0$ and $\sup \{ ||v|| : v \in N_{\lambda}^-, I_\lambda(v) \leq M \} < \infty$ for all $M > 0$.

Moreover, $\theta_\lambda^+ > -\infty$ and $\theta_\lambda^- > -\infty$.

**Proof.** (i) Let $u \in N_{\lambda}^+$. We have

$$0 < J''_u(1) = (p-1+\delta) ||\nabla u||^p_p + \beta (q-r) ||\nabla u||^q_q + \lambda (r-1+\delta) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} dx.$$
then by means of Hölder inequality and Sobolev embeddings, we obtain
\[ \|u\|^{p-1+\delta} \leq \lambda \left( \frac{r-1+\delta}{r-p} \right) C, \]
which implies \( \sup\{\|u\| : u \in N^+_\lambda \} < \infty \).

(ii) Let \( v \in N^-_\lambda \). We have
\[ 0 > J''_u(1) = (p-1+\delta)\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \beta (q-1+\delta)\|\nabla u\|_q^q - (r-1+\delta) \int \Omega |u|^r dx, \]
which on using Sobolev embedding gives us
\[ \frac{p-1+\delta}{C(r-1+\delta)} \leq \|u\|^{r-p}. \]
Furthermore, if \( I_\lambda(u) \leq M \), we have
\[ I_\lambda(u) = \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \beta \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \|\nabla u\|_q^q - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{1-\delta} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \int \Omega |u|^{1-\delta} dx \leq M, \]
which implies that
\[ \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \|u\|^p \leq M + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{1-\delta} - \frac{1}{r} \right) C \|u\|^{1-\delta}. \]
Since \( 1-\delta < 1 < p \), we get the required result. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 3.4** For all \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda_*) \), \( \theta^+_{\lambda} < 0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( u \in N^+_\lambda \), then using (3.1) and (3.2), we have
\[ I_\lambda(u) = \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \beta \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \|\nabla u\|_q^q - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{1-\delta} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \int \Omega |u|^{1-\delta} dx \]
\[ = \left( \frac{p-1+\delta}{1-\delta} \right) \left( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \beta \left( \frac{q-1+\delta}{r-1+\delta} \right) \|\nabla u\|_q^q < 0. \]
This completes proof of the lemma. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 3.5** Suppose \( u \in N^+_\lambda \) and \( v \in N^-_\lambda \) are minimizers of \( I_\lambda \) on \( N^+_\lambda \) and \( N^-_\lambda \), respectively.
Then for each \( w \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)_+ \), the following hold:

(i) there exists \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that \( I_\lambda(u + \epsilon w) \geq I_\lambda(u) \) for all \( 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0 \),

(ii) \( t_\epsilon \to 1 \) as \( \epsilon \to 0^+ \), where for each \( \epsilon \geq 0 \), \( t_\epsilon \) is the unique positive real number satisfying \( t_\epsilon (u + \epsilon w) \in N^-_\lambda \).
Proof. Let \( w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \). (i) Set
\[
\Theta(\epsilon) = (p-1)\|\nabla (u + \epsilon w)\|_p^p + \beta (q-1)\|\nabla (u + \epsilon w)\|_q^q + \lambda \delta \int_{\Omega} |u + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta} dx - (r - 1)\|u + \epsilon w\|_r^r
\]
for \( \epsilon \geq 0 \). Then using continuity of \( \Theta \) and the fact that \( \Theta(0) = J''_v(1) > 0 \), there exists \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that \( \Theta(\epsilon) > 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0 \). Since for each \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( s_\epsilon > 0 \) such that \( s_\epsilon (u + \epsilon w) \in N_\lambda^+ \), for each \( \epsilon \in [0, \epsilon_0] \) we have
\[
I_\lambda(u + \epsilon w) \geq I_\lambda(s_\epsilon (u + \epsilon w)) \geq \theta_\lambda^+ = I_\lambda(u).
\]
(ii) We define a \( C^\infty \) function \( \xi : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R} \) by
\[
\xi(t, a, b, c, d) = at^{p-1} + b\beta t^{q-1} - \lambda ct^{-\delta} - dt^{r-1}
\]
for \( (t, a, b, c, d) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^4 \). We have
\[
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} \left( 1, \|\nabla v\|_p, \|\nabla v\|_q, \int_{\Omega} |v|^{1-\delta}, \|v\|_r \right) = J''_v(1) < 0, \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
\xi \left( t_\epsilon, \|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)\|_p, \|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)\|_q, \int_{\Omega} |v + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta}, \|v + \epsilon w\|_r \right) = J'_v(t_\epsilon) = 0
\]
for each \( \epsilon \geq 0 \). Moreover,
\[
\xi \left( 1, \|\nabla v\|_p, \|\nabla v\|_q, \int_{\Omega} |v|^{1-\delta}, \|v\|_r \right) = J'_v(1) = 0.
\]
Therefore, by implicit function theorem there exist open neighbourhood \( U \subset (0, \infty) \) and \( V \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \) containing 1 and \( (\|\nabla v\|_p, \|\nabla v\|_q, \int_{\Omega} |v|^{1-\delta}, \|v\|_r) \), respectively such that for all \( y \in V \), \( \xi(t, y) = 0 \) has a unique solution \( t = h(y) \in U \), where \( h : V \to U \) is a continuous function. Since
\[
\xi \left( t_\epsilon, \|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)\|_p, \|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)\|_q, \int_{\Omega} |v + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta}, \|v + \epsilon w\|_r \right) = 0,
\]
we have
\[
\left( \|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)\|_p, \|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)\|_q, \int_{\Omega} |v + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta}, \|v + \epsilon w\|_r \right) \in V \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
h \left( \|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)\|_p, \|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)\|_q, \int_{\Omega} |v + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta}, \|v + \epsilon w\|_r \right) = t_\epsilon.
\]
Thus by continuity of \( h \), we get \( t_\epsilon \to 1 \) as \( \epsilon \to 0^+ \). \( \square \)

Lemma 3.6 Suppose \( u \in N_\lambda^+ \) and \( v \in N_\lambda^- \) are minimizers of \( I_\lambda \) on \( N_\lambda^+ \) and \( N_\lambda^- \), respectively. Then for each \( w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \), we have \( u^{-\delta}w, v^{-\delta}w \in L^1(\Omega) \) and
\[
\int \Omega \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla w - \lambda u^{-\delta}w - u^{-1}w \right) dx \geq 0,
\]
\[
\int \Omega \left( |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla w + \beta |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla w - \lambda v^{-\delta}w - v^{-1}w \right) dx \geq 0.
\]
Therefore we will show these properties for $v$. Let $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)_+$, then by Lemma 3.5(i), for each $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, we have
\[
0 \leq \frac{I_\Lambda(u + \epsilon w) - I_\Lambda(u)}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{p \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla (u + \epsilon w)|^p - |\nabla u|^p) + \frac{\lambda}{q \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla (u + \epsilon w)|^q - |\nabla u|^q) \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
- \frac{\lambda}{(1 - \delta) \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|u + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta} - |u|^{1-\delta}) dx - \frac{1}{r \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|u + \epsilon w|^r - |u|^r) dx.
\]
It can be easily verified that as $\epsilon \to 0^+$
\[
\frac{1}{p \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla (u + \epsilon w)|^p - |\nabla u|^p) dx \to \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w dx
\]
\[
\frac{1}{q \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla (u + \epsilon w)|^q - |\nabla u|^q) dx \to \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla w, \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{r \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|u + \epsilon w|^r - |u|^r) dx \to \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r-2} u w dx,
\]
which imply that $\frac{|u + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta} - |u|^{1-\delta}}{(1 - \delta) \epsilon} \in L^1(\Omega)$. For each $x \in \Omega$,
\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{|u + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta}(x) - |u|^{1-\delta}(x)}{(1 - \delta) \epsilon} \right)
\]
is monotonically increasing as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ and
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{|u + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta}(x) - |u|^{1-\delta}(x)}{(1 - \delta) \epsilon} = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } w(x) = 0, \\
(u(x))^{-\delta} w(x) & \text{if } 0 < w(x), u(x) > 0 \\
\infty & \text{if } w(x) > 0, u(x) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]
So, by using the monotone convergence theorem, we get $u^{-\delta} w \in L^1(\Omega)$ and
\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w + \beta |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla w - \lambda u^{-\delta} w - u^{-r-1} w) dx \geq 0.
\]
Next, we will show these properties for $v$. For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that $t_\epsilon (v + \epsilon w) \in N^-_\lambda$. By Lemma 3.5(ii), for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, we have
\[
I_\Lambda(t_\epsilon(v + \epsilon w)) \geq I_\Lambda(v) \geq I_\Lambda(t_\epsilon v).
\]
Therefore
\[
\frac{I_\Lambda(v + \epsilon w) - I_\Lambda(t_\epsilon v)}{\epsilon} \geq 0,
\]
which implies that
\[
\frac{\lambda t_\epsilon^{1-\delta}}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|v + \epsilon w|^{1-\delta} - |v|^{1-\delta}) dx \leq \frac{t_\epsilon^p}{p \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)|^p - |\nabla v|^p) dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{\beta t_\epsilon^q}{q \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla (v + \epsilon w)|^q - |\nabla v|^q) dx
\]
\[
- \frac{t_\epsilon^r}{r \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (|v + \epsilon w|^r - |v|^r) dx.
\]
Since $t_\epsilon \to 1$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, using similar arguments as in the previous case, we obtain $v^{-\delta} w \in L^1(\Omega)$ and
\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla w + \beta |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla w - \lambda v^{-\delta} w - v^{-r-1} w) dx \geq 0.
\]
\[\square\]
Theorem 3.7 Suppose $u \in N_\lambda^+$ and $v \in N_\lambda^-$ are minimizers of $I_\lambda$ on $N_\lambda^+$ and $N_\lambda^-$, respectively. Then $u$ and $v$ are weak solutions of problem $(P_\lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For $\epsilon > 0$, define $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\psi \equiv (u + \epsilon \phi)^+ \geq 0.
$$

Set $\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) + \epsilon \phi(x) \geq 0\}$, then using Lemma 3.6 and the fact $u \in N_\lambda$, we deduce that

$$
0 \leq \int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \psi + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \psi - \lambda u^{-\delta} \psi - u^{-1} \psi\right) dx
$$

$$
= \int_{\Omega_+} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u (u + \epsilon \phi) + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u (u + \epsilon \phi) - \lambda u^{-\delta} (u + \epsilon \phi) - u^{-1} (u + \epsilon \phi)\right) dx
$$

$$
= \left(\int_\Omega - \int_{\{u + \epsilon \phi \leq 0\}} \right) \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u (u + \epsilon \phi) + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u (u + \epsilon \phi) - \lambda u^{-\delta} (u + \epsilon \phi) - u^{-1} (u + \epsilon \phi)\right) dx
$$

$$
= \int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^p + \beta |\nabla u|^q - \lambda u^{1-\delta} - u^r\right) dx
$$

$$
+ \epsilon \int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi - u^{-1} \phi\right) dx
$$

$$
- \int_{\{u + \epsilon \phi \leq 0\}} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u (u + \epsilon \phi) + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u (u + \epsilon \phi) - (\lambda u^{-\delta} - u^{-1})(u + \epsilon \phi)\right) dx
$$

$$
\leq \epsilon \int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi - u^{-1} \phi\right) dx
$$

$$
- \epsilon \int_{\{u + \epsilon \phi \leq 0\}} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi\right) dx.
$$

(3.5)

Since the measure of $\{u + \epsilon \phi < 0\}$ tends to 0 as $\epsilon \to 0$, it follows that

$$
\int_{\{u + \epsilon \phi < 0\}} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi\right) dx \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to 0.
$$

Dividing by $\epsilon$ and letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in (3.5), we obtain

$$
\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi - u^{-1} \phi\right) dx \geq 0.
$$

Since $\phi$ was arbitrary, this holds for $-\phi$ also. Hence, for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi - u^{-1} \phi\right) dx = 0,
$$

that is $u$ is a weak solution of $(P_\lambda)$ and analogous arguments hold for $v$ also. 
\qed
4 Multiplicity results

4.1 subcritical case \((r < p^*)\)

In this section we prove existence and multiplicity results for weak solutions of \((P_\lambda)\) in the subcritical case.

**Proposition 4.1** For all \(\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)\) and \(\beta > 0\), there exist \(u \in N_\lambda^+\) and \(v \in N_\lambda^-\) such that \(I_\lambda(u) = \theta_\lambda^+\) and \(I_\lambda(v) = \theta_\lambda^-\).

**Proof.** Let \(\{u_k\} \subset N_\lambda^+\) be such that \(I_\lambda(u_k) \to \theta_\lambda^+\) as \(k \to \infty\). By Lemma 3.3(i), \(\{u_k\}\) is bounded in \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\), therefore without loss of generality we may assume there exists \(u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\) such that \(u_k \rightharpoonup u\) weakly in \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\) and \(u_k(x) \to u(x)\) a.e. in \(\Omega\). We claim that \(u \neq 0\). Suppose \(u = 0\), then by Lemma 3.4 we have

\[
0 = I_\lambda(u) = \lim_{k \to \infty} I_\lambda(u_k) = \theta_\lambda^+ < 0,
\]

which is a contradiction. Now we will show that \(u_k \to u\) strongly in \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\). On the contrary assume \(\|\nabla(u_k - u)\|_p \to a_1 > 0\) and \(\|\nabla(u_k - u)\|_q \to a_2\). By Brezis-Lieb lemma and Sobolev embeddings, we have

\[
0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} J'_{u_k}(1) = J'_u(1) + a_1^p + a_2^q.
\]

Since \(\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)\), by fibering map analysis there exist \(0 < \underline{s} < \overline{s}\) such that \(J'_u(\underline{s}) = 0 = J'_u(\overline{s})\) and \(\underline{s}u \in N_\lambda^+\). By (4.1), we get \(J'_u(1) < 0\) which gives us \(1 < \underline{s}\) or \(\overline{s} < 1\). When \(1 < \underline{s}\), we have

\[
\theta_\lambda^+ \geq J_u(1) + \frac{a_1^p}{p} + \frac{a_2^q}{q} > J_u(1) > J_u(\underline{s}) \geq \theta_\lambda^+,
\]

which is a contradiction. Thus, we have \(\overline{s} > 1\). We set \(f(t) = J_u(t) + t^p a_1^p + t^q a_2^q\) for \(t > 0\). With the help of (4.1), we get \(f'(1) = 0\) and \(f'(\overline{s}) = a_1^p \overline{s}^{p-1} + a_2^q \overline{s}^{q-1} > 0\). So, \(f\) is increasing in \([\overline{s}, 1]\), thus we obtain

\[
\theta_\lambda^+ \geq f(1) > f(\overline{s}) > J_u(\overline{s}) > J_u(\underline{s}) \geq \theta_\lambda^+,
\]

which is also a contradiction. Hence we have \(a_1 = 0\) that is, \(u_k \to u\) strongly in \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\). Since \(\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)\), we get \(J'_u(1) > 0\), this implies that \(u \in N_\lambda^+\) and \(I_\lambda(u) = \theta_\lambda^+\).

Now we will show that there exists \(v \in N_\lambda^-\) such that \(I_\lambda(v) = \theta_\lambda^-\). Let \(\{v_k\} \subset N_\lambda^-\) be such that \(I_\lambda(v_k) \to \theta_\lambda^-\) as \(k \to \infty\). By Lemma 3.3(ii), we may assume there exists \(v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\) such that \(v_k \rightharpoonup v\) (upto subsequence) weakly in \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\) and \(v_k(x) \to v(x)\) a.e. in \(\Omega\). We will show that \(v \neq 0\). If \(v = 0\), then \(v_k\) converges to \(0\) strongly in \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\) which contradicts Lemma 3.3(ii). We will show that \(v_k \to v\) strongly in \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\). Suppose not, then we may
assume $\|\nabla(u_k - v)\|_p \to b_1 > 0$ and $\|\nabla(u_k - v)\|_q \to b_2$. By Brezis-Lieb lemma and Sobolev embeddings, we have

$$\theta^-_{\lambda} \geq I_{\lambda}(v) + \frac{b_1^p}{p} + \frac{b_2^q}{q}, \quad J'_{\lambda}(1) + b_1^p + b_2^q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad J''_{\lambda}(1) + b_1^p + b_2^q \leq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$, $J'_{\lambda}(1) < 0$ and $J''_{\lambda}(1) < 0$, there exists $\bar{t} \in (0, 1)$ such that $\bar{t}v \in N^-_{\lambda}$. Set $g(t) = J_{\lambda}(t) + \frac{b_1^p}{p}t^p + \frac{b_2^q}{q}t^q$ for $t > 0$. From (4.2), we get $g'(1) = 0$ and $g'(\bar{t}) = b_1^p \bar{t}^{p-1} + b_2^q \bar{t}^{q-1} > 0$. So, $g$ is increasing on $[\bar{t}, 1]$ and thus we obtain

$$\theta^-_{\lambda} \geq g(1) > g(\bar{t}) > I_{\lambda}(\bar{t}v) \geq \theta^-_{\lambda},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $b_1 = 0$ and $u_k \to v$ strongly in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$. Since $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$, we have $J''_{\lambda}(1) < 0$. Thus $v \in N^-_{\lambda}$ and $I_{\lambda}(v) = \theta^-_{\lambda}$. \hfill $\Box$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** Proof follows from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 3.7. \hfill $\Box$

### 4.2 Critical Case

Let $\tilde{\lambda}_* := \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sup\{\|u\|^p : u \in N^+_{\lambda} \} \leq \left( \frac{p^*}{p} \right)^{p^* - r} S_{p^* - r}^* \right\}$, then by Lemma 3.3(i) we can see that $\tilde{\lambda}_* > 0$. Set $\Lambda = \min\{\lambda_*, \tilde{\lambda}_*\} > 0$.

**Proposition 4.2** For all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$ and $\beta > 0$, there exists $u_{\lambda} \in N^+_{\lambda}$ such that $\theta^+_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda})$.

**Proof.** Let $\{u_k\} \subset N^+_{\lambda}$ be such that $I_{\lambda}(u_k) \to \theta^+_{\lambda}$ as $k \to \infty$. By Lemma 3.3(i), we get $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$, therefore we may assume there exists $u_{\lambda} \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_{\lambda}$ weakly in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and $u_k(x) \to u_{\lambda}(x)$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Set $w_k = u_k - u_{\lambda}$. By Brezis-Lieb lemma, we have

$$\theta^+_{\lambda} + o_{\lambda}(1) = I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_k|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_k|^q - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |w_k|^{p^*} \, dx \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_{\lambda}|^p + |\nabla w_k|^p) + \beta \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_{\lambda}|^q + |\nabla w_k|^q) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_{\lambda}|^{1-\delta} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (|u_{\lambda}|^{p^*} + |w_k|^{p^*}) \, dx. \quad (4.3)$$

We assume

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_k|^p \to l_1^p, \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_k|^q \to l_2^q \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |w_k|^{p^*} \to d^{p^*}.$$  

We claim that $u_{\lambda} \neq 0$. If $u_{\lambda} = 0$, then we have two cases:

**Case(a):** $l_1 = 0$.

By Lemma 3.4 and (4.3), we have

$$0 > \theta^+_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda}(0) = 0,$$
which is a contradiction.
Case (b): \( l_1 \neq 0 \).
In this case (4.3) implies
\[
\theta_\lambda^+ \geq \frac{1}{p} (l_1^p + l_2^p) - \frac{1}{p^*} d^{p^*} = \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) (l_1^p + l_2^p),
\]
then using the relation \( Sd^p \leq l_1^p \), we deduce that
\[
0 > \theta_\lambda^+ \geq \frac{1}{n} l_1^p \geq \frac{1}{n} Sd^p > 0,
\]
which is also a contradiction, hence \( u_\lambda \neq 0 \). Since \( \lambda \in (0, \Lambda) \), there exist \( 0 < \underline{s} < \overline{s} \) such that \( J'_{u_\lambda}(s) = 0 = J'_{u_\lambda}(\overline{s}) \) and \( su_\lambda \in N_\lambda^+ \). We consider the following cases:

(i) \( \overline{s} < 1 \),
(ii) \( \overline{s} \geq 1 \) and \( \frac{l_1^p}{p} - \frac{d^{p^*}}{p^*} < 0 \), and
(iii) \( \overline{s} \geq 1 \) and \( \frac{l_1^p}{p} - \frac{d^{p^*}}{p^*} \geq 0 \).

Case (i): Set \( f(t) = J_{u_\lambda}(t) + \frac{l_1^p t^p}{p} + \beta \frac{l_2^q t^q}{q} - \frac{d^{p^*} t^{p^*}}{p^*} \) for \( t > 0 \). Using fibering map analysis together with the fact \( \overline{s} < 1 \) and (4.3), we have
\[
f'(1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(\overline{s}) = J'_{u_\lambda}(\overline{s}) + \overline{s}^{p-1} l_1^p + \beta \overline{s}^{q-1} l_2^q - \overline{s}^{p^*-1} d^{p^*} \geq \overline{s}^{p-1} (l_1^p + \beta l_2^q - d^{p^*}) > 0,
\]
which implies that \( f \) is increasing on \([\overline{s}, 1]\). Thus,
\[
\theta_\lambda^+ = f(1) > f(\overline{s}) = J_{u_\lambda}(\overline{s}) + \overline{s}^{p-1} l_1^p + \beta \overline{s}^{q-1} l_2^q - \overline{s}^{p^*-1} d^{p^*} \geq J_{u_\lambda}(\overline{s}) + \frac{\overline{s}^p}{p} (l_1^p + \beta l_2^q - d^{p^*}) \geq J_{u_\lambda}(\overline{s}) \geq J_{u_\lambda}(\underline{s}) \geq \theta_\lambda^+,
\]
which is a contradiction.

Case (ii): In this case we have \( \frac{l_1^p}{p} - \frac{d^{p^*}}{p^*} < 0 \), then using \( Sd^p \leq l_1^p \), and the fact \( \lambda \in (0, \Lambda) \), we deduce that
\[
\sup\{\|u\|^p : u \in N_\lambda^+\} \leq \left( \frac{\overline{s}}{p} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p-\frac{p^*}{p}}} Sd^{p^*} < l_1^p \leq \sup\{\|u\|^p : u \in N_\lambda^+\},
\]
which is also a contradiction.

Case (iii): In this case we have
\[
\theta_\lambda^+ = J_{u_\lambda}(1) + \frac{l_1^p}{p} + \beta \frac{l_2^q}{q} - \frac{d^{p^*}}{p^*} \geq J_{u_\lambda}(1) \geq J_{u_\lambda}(\underline{s}) \geq \theta_\lambda^+,
\]
which implies that \( \frac{l_1^p}{p} + \beta \frac{l_2^q}{q} - \frac{d^{p^*}}{p^*} = 0 \) and \( \underline{s} = 1 \). Using (4.3) we get \( l_1 = 0 = l_2 \), hence \( u_k \to u_\lambda \) strongly in \( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \). Thus, \( u_\lambda \in N_\lambda^+ \) and \( I_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \theta_\lambda^+ \). \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Proof of the Theorem follows from Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 3.7. \( \square \)
Next we will show that there exists $v_\lambda \in N_\lambda^-\setminus\emptyset$ such that $I_{\lambda}(v_\lambda) = \theta_{\lambda}^-$. Without loss of generality we assume $0 \in \Omega$. Let $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ in $\Omega$, $\zeta(x) = 1$ in $B_\mu(0)$ and $\zeta \equiv 0$ in $B_{2\mu}(0)$, for some $\mu > 0$. Let

$$U_\epsilon(x) = C_n \frac{\frac{\alpha - p}{p} \epsilon^\frac{p}{p-1}}{\left(\epsilon^\frac{p}{p-1} + \frac{x}{p-1} \right)^\frac{\alpha - p}{p}},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and $C_n$ is a normalizing constant. Set $u_\epsilon(x) = U_\epsilon(x)\zeta(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Owing to regularity results we see that there exist $m, M > 0$ such that $m \leq u_\epsilon(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in B_{2\mu}(0)$.

**Lemma 4.3** Let $\frac{2n}{n+2} < p < 3$, then there exists $\beta_* > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$, $\beta \in (0, \beta_*)$ and sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sup \{I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) : t \geq 0\} < I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n} S_p^n.$$

**Proof.** By continuity of $I_{\lambda}$ and the fact $p_1 > p > q$, there exists $R_0 > 0$ (sufficiently large) such that

$$I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) < I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda), \text{ for all } t \geq R_0. \quad (4.4)$$

Next, we will show that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq R_0} I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) < I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n} S_p^n.$$

We have the following estimates which were proved in [11]

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla (u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon)|^p \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\lambda|^p + t^p \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p + pt \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_\lambda \nabla u_\epsilon + O(\epsilon^{\alpha_1}), \quad (4.5)$$

with $\alpha_1 > \frac{n-p}{p}$ and

$$\int_\Omega (u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon)^{p_1} dx \geq \int_\Omega u_\lambda^{p_1} + t^{p_1} \int_\Omega u_\epsilon^{p_1} + pt \int_\Omega u_\lambda^{p_1-1} u_\epsilon + p^* t^{p_1-1} \int_\Omega u_\lambda u_\epsilon^{p_1-1} + O(\epsilon^{\alpha_2}), \quad (4.6)$$

with $\alpha_2 > \frac{n-p}{p}$. Fix $p - 1 < \rho < \frac{n(p-1)}{n-p}$, then there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$\lambda \left( \frac{(a+b)^{1-\delta}}{1 - \delta} - \frac{a^{1-\delta}}{1 - \delta} - \frac{b}{a^\delta} \right) \geq -L b^\rho, \text{ for all } a \geq m \text{ and } b \geq 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Let $\beta = \epsilon^{\alpha_2}$, with $\alpha_3 > \frac{n-p}{p}$. Noting the fact that $u_\lambda$ is a weak solution of $(P_\lambda)$ and taking into account (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we deduce that

$$I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) - I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda) = I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) - I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda)$$

$$\leq t \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_\lambda \nabla u_\epsilon + \beta |\nabla u_\lambda|^{q-2} \nabla u_\lambda \nabla u_\epsilon - \lambda u_\lambda^{-\delta} u_\epsilon - u_\lambda^{-p-1} u_\epsilon) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p + O(\epsilon^{\alpha_1}) + O(\epsilon^{\alpha_3}) + L t^p \int_\Omega u_\epsilon^p + \frac{t^p}{p} \int_\Omega u_\epsilon^{p^*}$$

$$- t^{p-1} \int_\Omega u_\lambda u_\epsilon^{p^*-1} + O(\epsilon^{\alpha_2}).$$
We have the following estimates
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla U_1|^p + O(\epsilon^{n-p}), \quad \int_{\Omega} u^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_1^{p*} + O(\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} u^p = O(\epsilon^{n-1-p/2}),
\]
with $\rho^{n-p}/p > n-p$. Thus noting the fact that $u_\lambda \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, for $0 \leq t \leq R_0$, we obtain
\[
I_\lambda(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) - I_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla U_1|^p + O(\epsilon^{\alpha_4}) - \frac{t^{p*}}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |U_1|^{p*} - t^{p* - 1} C \epsilon^{n-p/2}
\]
with $\alpha_4 > n-p$ and $C > 0$. Now following the approach of [17], there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that
\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq R_0} I_\lambda(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) < I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n} S^{\frac{p}{p-1}}
\]
for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$, $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1)$ and $\beta \in (0, \beta_*)$, where $\beta_* := \epsilon_1^{n-p}$. This together with (4.4) completes the proof. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 4.4** Let $\frac{2n}{n+2} < p < 3$, then for each $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$ and $\beta \in (0, \beta_*)$, the following holds
\[
\theta_\lambda^- < I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n} S^{\frac{p}{p-1}}.
\]

**Proof.** The proof follows exactly on the same lines of [17, Lemma 8]. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 4.5** There exists a constant $D_0 > 0$ such that for all $u \in N_\lambda$,
\[
I_\lambda(u) \geq -D_0 \Lambda^{\frac{n}{p-1}}.
\]

**Proof.** Let $u \in N_\lambda$, then since $J_u'(1) = 0$, we have
\[
I_\lambda(u) = \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \|u\|_p^p + \beta \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \|u\|_q^q - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{1 - \delta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} \, dx 
\]
\[
\geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \|u\|^p - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{1 - \delta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} \, dx.
\]

Using Hölder inequality, Sobolev embeddings and Young inequality, we deduce that
\[
\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} \, dx \leq \Lambda S^{-\frac{1-\delta}{p}} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{1-\delta}{p^*}} \|u\|^{1-\delta}
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{p}{1-\delta} \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \delta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \right)^{\frac{1-\delta}{p}} \|u\|^{1-\delta} \leq \left( \frac{p}{1-\delta} \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \delta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \right)^{\frac{1-\delta}{p}} \|u\|^{p} + A \Lambda^{\frac{p-1}{p-1+\delta}},
\]
where $A = \left( \frac{p-1+\delta}{p} \right) \left( \frac{p^* - 1+\delta}{p^* - p} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \delta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \|u\|^{1-\delta} \Omega^{\frac{p-1+\delta}{p-1+\delta}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p^* - 1+\delta}{p-1+\delta}}$. Therefore, result follows from (4.9) and (4.10) with $D_0 = \left( \frac{1}{1-\delta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) A$. \hfill \Box
Lemma 4.6  Let $p \in (1, \frac{2n}{n+2}] \cup [3, n)$. Then there exist $\Lambda_0$, $\beta_0 > 0$, and $u_0 \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ and $\beta \in (0, \beta_0)$

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_\lambda(tu_0) < \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} - D_0 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+n}}.$$  

In particular $\theta^-_\lambda < \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} - D_0 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+n}} \leq \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} + I_\lambda(u_0)$.

**Proof.** Let $\gamma_0 > 0$ be such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \gamma_0)$, $\frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} - D_0 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+n}} > 0$ holds. Using Hölder inequality, we deduce that

$$I_\lambda(tu_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{t^p}{p} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p^p + \beta \frac{t^q}{q} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_q^q$$

$$\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p^p + C \beta \frac{t^q}{q} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p^q \leq C(t^p + t^q).$$

Therefore, there exists $t_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} I_\lambda(tu_\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} - D_0 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+n}}.$$  

Let $h(t) = \frac{t^p}{p} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p^p + \beta \frac{t^q}{q} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_q^q - \frac{t^p}{p} \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^p$. We note that $h(0) = 0$, $h(t) > 0$ for $t$ small enough, $h(t) < 0$ for $t$ large enough, and there exists $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} h(t) = h(t_\varepsilon)$, therefore

$$0 = h'(t_\varepsilon) = t_\varepsilon^{p-1} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p^p + \beta t_\varepsilon^{q-1} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_q^q - t_\varepsilon^{p-1} \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^p$$

which gives us

$$t_\varepsilon^{p-q} = \frac{1}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p} (\frac{t_\varepsilon^{p-q} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p^p + \beta \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_q^q}{\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p^p}) < C(1 + t_\varepsilon^{p-q}).$$

Since $p^* > p$, there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that $t_\varepsilon < t_1$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, we get

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} I_\lambda(tu_\varepsilon) \leq \sup_{t > 0} h(t) - \frac{t_0^{1-\delta}}{1-\delta} \lambda \int_{B_{p\varepsilon}(0)} |U_\varepsilon|^{1-\delta}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t > 0} \left( \frac{t_0^p}{p} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p^p - \frac{t_0^{p^*}}{p^*} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right) + \beta \frac{t_0^q}{q} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_q^q - \frac{t_0^{1-\delta}}{1-\delta} \lambda \int_{B_{p\varepsilon}(0)} |U_\varepsilon|^{1-\delta}.  \tag{4.11}$$

Set $g(t) = \frac{t_0^p}{p} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p - \frac{t_0^{p^*}}{p^*} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{p^*}^{p^*}$. A simple computation shows that $g$ attains maximum at $\tilde{t} = \left( \frac{1}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p} \right) \frac{p^*}{p}$. A simple computation shows that $g$ attains maximum at

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} g(t) = g(\tilde{t}) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{p^*}^{p^*}} \right) \frac{n}{p}$$

which on using (4.13) reduces to

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} g(t) \leq \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} + C_3 \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}.$$
Let \( \beta = e^{\alpha_0} \), with \( \alpha_0 > \frac{n-p}{p-1} \). For \( n - \frac{n-p}{p-1} (1-\delta) > 0 \), we have

\[
\int_{B_t(0)} |U_\epsilon|^{1-\delta} dx = \epsilon^{n-1(1-\delta)} \frac{\epsilon}{p-1} \int_{B_{\epsilon/\delta}(0)} \frac{1}{(1+|y|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{n-p}{p}(1-\delta)}} dy \\
\geq \epsilon^{n-1(1-\delta)} \frac{\epsilon}{p-1} \int_1^{\frac{r}{\epsilon}} \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+|y|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{n-p}{p}(1-\delta)}} dr \geq C \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p}(1-\delta)}.
\]

Furthermore, for \( n - \frac{n-p}{p-1} (1-\delta) \leq 0 \), following the approach of [28, Lemma 1.46], we have

\[
\int_{B_t(0)} |U_\epsilon|^{1-\delta} dx \geq C \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p}(1-\delta)}, & \text{if } n - \frac{n-p}{p-1} (1-\delta) < 0, \\
\epsilon\ln|\epsilon|, & \text{if } n - \frac{n-p}{p-1} (1-\delta) = 0.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Now collecting all the informations done so far in (4.11), we deduce that

\[
\sup_{t \geq t_0} I_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{n} S^n + C_3 \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - C_4 \lambda \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p}(1-\delta)}, & \text{if } \delta > \frac{2n-\mu}{n-p}, \\
\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p}(1-\delta)}, & \text{if } \delta < \frac{2n-\mu}{n-p}, \\
\epsilon\ln|\epsilon|, & \text{if } \delta = \frac{2n-\mu}{n-p}.
\end{array} \right. \tag{4.12}
\]

We consider the following cases:

**Case(1):** If \( \frac{2n-\mu}{n-p} < \delta < 1 \).

In this case since \( \frac{n-p}{p(p-1)} (1-\delta) < \frac{n-p}{p-1} \), there exists \( \hat{\epsilon} > 0 \) and \( \hat{\lambda} > 0 \) such that for all \( \epsilon \in (0, \hat{\epsilon}) \) and \( \lambda \in (0, \hat{\lambda}) \), we have

\[
\sup_{t \geq t_0} I_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < \frac{1}{n} S^n - D_0 \lambda^{1-\delta},
\]

for all \( \beta \in (0, \hat{\beta}) \), where \( \hat{\beta} := \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} \).

**Case(2):** If \( 0 < \delta \leq \frac{2n-\mu}{n-p} \).

Let \( \epsilon = \left( \lambda^{p-1+\delta} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \mu \). Then, (4.12) reduces to

\[
\sup_{t \geq t_0} I_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{n} S^n + C_3 \lambda^{p-1+\delta} - C_4 \lambda \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\lambda^{\frac{p-1+\delta}{p-1}} \left( \frac{n-\mu}{p} \right), & \text{if } \delta < \frac{2n-\mu}{n-p}, \\
\lambda^{\frac{1-\delta}{p-1+\delta}} \ln \lambda^{\frac{p}{n(p-1+\delta)}}, & \text{if } \delta = \frac{2n-\mu}{n-p}.
\end{array} \right. \tag{4.13}
\]

Subcase (2)(a): If \( \delta < \frac{2n-\mu}{n-p} \).

In this case we have \( n < \frac{n-p}{p-1} (1-\delta) \), which implies that

\[
1 + \frac{p}{p-1+\delta} \frac{p-1}{p} (n-\frac{n-p}{p} (1-\delta)) < \frac{p}{p-1+\delta}.
\]

Therefore there exists \( \gamma_2 > 0 \) such that for all \( \lambda \in (0, \gamma_2) \), we have

\[
C_3 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+\delta}} - C_4 \lambda^{1-\delta} < -D_0 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+\delta}}.
\]
Subcase (2)(b): If \( \delta = \frac{2n-mp-p}{n-p} \).

Since \( \ln \lambda^{\frac{p(1-\delta)}{n(p-1+\delta)}} \to \infty \) as \( \lambda \to 0 \), there exists \( \gamma_3 > 0 \) such that

\[
C_3 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+\delta}} - C_4 \lambda^{\frac{1-\delta}{n(p-1+\delta)}} \ln \lambda^{\frac{p(1-\delta)}{n(p-1+\delta)}} < -D_0 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+\delta}},
\]

for all \( \lambda \in (0, \gamma_3) \). Let \( \Lambda_0 = \min\{\frac{\mu}{p^{1-\delta}}, \gamma_0, \lambda_*, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \tilde{\gamma}\} > 0 \) and \( \beta_1 = \Lambda_0^{\frac{p}{p-1+\delta}} \). Then from \([4.13]\), for all \( \lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0) \) and \( \beta \in (0, \beta_1) \), we have

\[
\sup_{t \geq 0} I_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} - D_0 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+\delta}},
\]

for sufficiently small \( \epsilon > 0 \). Thus, for all \( \lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0) \) and \( \beta \in (0, \beta_0) \), we get

\[
\sup_{t \geq 0} I_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} - D_0 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+\delta}},
\]

where \( \beta_0 = \min\{\beta_1, \tilde{\beta}\} > 0 \), which proves the first part of the lemma. For the last part we observe that \( u_\epsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \) and since \( 0 < \lambda < \lambda_* \), there exists \( \tilde{t} > 0 \) such that \( \tilde{tu}_\epsilon \in N^{-}_\lambda \). Hence,

\[
\theta^-_\lambda \leq I_\lambda(\tilde{tu}_\epsilon) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} I_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} - D_0 \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1+\delta}},
\]

this together with lemma \([4.3]\) completes the proof for \( u_0 = u_\epsilon \). \hfill \Box

**Proposition 4.7** There exists \( v_\lambda \in N^{-}_\lambda \) such that \( I_\lambda(v_\lambda) = \theta^-_\lambda \) in each of the following cases:

(i) for all \( \lambda \in (0, \Lambda) \) and \( \beta \in (0, \beta_*) \), when \( \frac{2n}{n+2} < p < 3 \),

(ii) for all \( \lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0) \) and \( \beta \in (0, \beta_0) \), when \( p \in (1, \frac{2n}{n+2}) \cup [3, n) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{v_k\} \subset N^{-}_\lambda \) be such that \( I_\lambda(v_k) \to \theta^-_\lambda \) as \( k \to \infty \). By Lemma \([3.3]\) (ii), we may assume there exists \( v_\lambda \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) such that \( v_k \rightharpoonup v_\lambda \) weakly in \( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) and \( v_k(x) \to v_\lambda(x) \) a.e. in \( \Omega \) (upto subsequence). Set \( z_k = v_k - v_\lambda \), then by Brezis-Lieb lemma, we have

\[
\theta^-_\lambda + o_k(1) = I_\lambda(v_\lambda) + \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla z_k|^p + \beta \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |\nabla z_k|^q - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_\Omega |z_k|^{p^*} dx \text{ and}
\]

\[
\int_\Omega (|\nabla v_\lambda|^p + |\nabla z_k|^p) + \beta \int_\Omega (|\nabla v_\lambda|^q + |\nabla z_k|^q) = \lambda \int_\Omega |v_\lambda|^{1-\delta} dx + \int_\Omega (|v_\lambda|^{p^*} + |z_k|^{p^*}) dx.
\]

(4.14)

We assume

\[
\int_\Omega |\nabla z_k|^p \to l_1^p, \quad \int_\Omega |\nabla z_k|^q \to l_2^q \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Omega |z_k|^{p^*} \to l^{p^*}.
\]

We claim that \( v_\lambda \neq 0 \). On the contrary suppose \( v_\lambda = 0 \), then by Lemma \([3.3]\) (ii), \( l_1 \neq 0 \). Using the relation \( Sd^{p^*} \leq l_1^p \) and \([4.13]\), we deduce that

\[
\theta^-_\lambda = I_\lambda(0) + \frac{1}{p} l_1^p + \frac{\beta}{q} l_2^q - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_\Omega d^{p^*} \geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) (l_1^p + \beta l_2^q) \geq \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p}.
\]
Now we consider the following cases:
Case (i): If $\frac{2n}{n+2} < p < 3$, then by Lemma 4.4 we have
$$\theta_\lambda^+ + \frac{1}{n} S_\lambda^+ = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n} S_\lambda^+ > \theta_\lambda^- \geq \frac{1}{n} S_\lambda^+,$$
this implies $\theta_\lambda^+ > 0$, which contradicts lemma 3.4.
Case (ii): If $p \in (1, \frac{2n}{n+2}) \cup [3, n)$, then by lemma 4.6 we have
$$\frac{1}{n} S_\lambda^+ - D_0 \lambda^+ \frac{1}{p} > \theta_\lambda^- \geq \frac{1}{n} S_\lambda^+,$$
which is also a contradiction. Hence in all cases we get $v_\lambda \neq 0$. From the assumption $0 < \lambda < \lambda_*$, there exist $0 < \tau < \Omega$ such that $J_{\nu_\lambda}(\tau) = 0 = J_{\nu_\lambda}^*(\tau)$, and $\nu_\lambda \in N_\lambda^-$ and $\nu_\lambda \in N_\lambda^-$. We define $\eta, f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ as
$$\eta(t) = \frac{1}{p} t^p + \beta \frac{t^q}{q} - \frac{p}{p^*} t^{p^*} \text{ and } f(t) = J_{\nu_\lambda}(t) + \eta(t) \text{ for } t > 0.$$ We consider the following cases:

(a) $\tau < 1$,
(b) $\tau \geq 1$ and $d > 0$, and
(c) $\tau \geq 1$ and $d = 0$.

Case (a): Using (4.14), we get $f'(1) = 0$, and $f'(\tau) = \tau^{p-1} t_1^p + \beta \tau^{p-1} t_2^q - \tau^{p^*} t^{p^*} \geq \tau^{p-1} (t_1^p + \beta t_2^q - d t^{p^*}) > 0$. Therefore we see that $f$ is increasing on $[\tau, 1]$.
$$\theta_\lambda^- = f(1) > f(\tau) \geq J_{\nu_\lambda}(\tau) + \frac{\tau^{p-1} t_1^p + \beta t_2^q - d t^{p^*}}{p} \geq J_{\nu_\lambda}(t) > J_{\nu_\lambda}(\tau) \geq \theta_\lambda^-,$$
which is a contradiction.

Case (b): It is easy to see that there exists $t_m > 0$ such that $\eta(t_m) \geq \frac{1}{p} S_\lambda^+ \eta', \eta'(t_m) = 0, \eta'(t) > 0$ for all $0 < t < t_m$ and $\eta'(t) < 0$ for all $t > t_m$. By the assumption $0 < \lambda < \lambda_*$ we have $f(1) = \max_{t \geq 0} f(t) \geq f(t_m)$. So, if $t_m \leq 1$
$$\theta_\lambda^- = f(1) \geq f(t_m) = J_{\nu_\lambda}(t_m) + \eta(t_m) \geq I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n} S_\lambda^+ \geq I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n} S_\lambda^+,$$
which is a contradiction to lemma 4.4 and lemma 4.6. Thus we have $t_m > 1$. Since $f'(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in [1, t_m]$, we have $J_{\nu_\lambda}(t) \leq -\eta'(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in [1, t_m]$. This gives either $t_m \leq \frac{1}{\beta}$ or $\tau = 1$. If $t_m \leq \frac{1}{\beta}$ then (4.15) holds which yields a contradiction. Hence, $\tau = 1$, that is $v_\lambda \in N_\lambda^-$ and we have
$$\theta_\lambda^- = f(1) = I_\lambda(v_\lambda) + \frac{\beta}{p} t_1^p + \beta \frac{t_2^q}{q} - d t^{p^*} \geq I_\lambda(v_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n} S_\lambda^+ \geq I_\lambda(v_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n} S_\lambda^+,$$
which is also a contradiction.
Consequently only (c) holds. If $l_1 \neq 0$, then we have $J_{\nu_\lambda}'(1) < 0$ and $J_{\nu_\lambda}''(1) < 0$ which contradicts the fact that $\tau \geq 1$. Thus $l_1 = 0$ that is, $v_k \to v_\lambda$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore, $v_\lambda \in N_\lambda^-$ and $I_\lambda(v_\lambda) = \theta_\lambda^-$. \qed
Proof of Theorem 1.4: Proof of the Theorem follows from Propositions 4.2, 4.7 and Theorem 3.7. □

Now we will prove the existence of second solution for all $\beta > 0$ in the case $r = p^*$.

Lemma 4.8 Let $p \in \left(\frac{2n}{n+2}, 3\right)$, then for all $\beta > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$ following holds

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) < \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} + I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda)$$

in each of the following cases:

1. $\max\{p - 1, 1\} < q < \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}$,
2. $\frac{n(p-1)}{n-1} < q < \frac{n(p-1)+p}{n}$.

Proof. Using the following one dimensional inequality

$$(1 + t^2 + 2t \cos \alpha)^\frac{2}{p} \leq \begin{cases} 1 + t^2 + qt \cos \alpha + Ct', & \text{if } 1 < q < 2, \text{ for all } \nu \in (1, q), \\ 1 + t^2 + qt \cos \alpha + Ct', & \text{if } 2 \leq q < 3, \text{ for all } \nu \in [q - 1, 2], \end{cases}$$

we can prove

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon)|^q \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_\lambda|^q + t^q |\nabla u_\epsilon|^q + qt|\nabla u_\lambda|^{q-2} \nabla u_\lambda \nabla u_\epsilon + C|\nabla u_\lambda|^{q-\nu} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^\nu \right), \quad (4.16)$$

for all $\nu \in (1, q)$ if $1 < q < 2$ and $\nu \in [q - 1, 2]$ if $2 \leq q < 3$. Moreover, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^l \leq C \begin{cases} \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p}} l, & \text{if } 1 \leq l < \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1} \\ \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{p}} l, & \text{if } \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1} < l < p. \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

From (4.4) it follows that we need to prove

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq R_0} I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) < \frac{1}{n} S^\frac{n}{p} + I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda).$$

Using (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.16), we deduce that

$$I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) - I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda) = I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) - I_{\lambda}(u_\lambda)$$

$$\leq -t \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_\lambda \nabla u_\epsilon + \beta |\nabla u_\lambda|^{q-2} \nabla u_\lambda \nabla u_\epsilon - \lambda u_\lambda u_\epsilon + u_\lambda^{p-1} u_\epsilon \right) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p + \beta \frac{t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^q + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\lambda|^{q-\nu} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^\nu + L \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon^p$$

$$- \frac{t^p}{p^p} \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon^p - t^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} u_\lambda u_\epsilon^{p-1} + O(\epsilon^{\alpha_4}), \quad (4.18)$$

where $\alpha_4 > (n - p)/p$. Now we consider following cases:

Case (1): If $\max\{p - 1, 1\} < q < \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}$. 

\[
\text{(Continued on the next page)}
\]
Since $\max\{p-1, 1\} < q < \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}$, we choose $\nu > 1$ such that

$$\nu \in \begin{cases} (1, q) \cap (p-1, \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}) & \text{if } 1 < q < 2, \\ [q-1, 2] \cap (p-1, \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}) & \text{if } 2 \leq q < 3. \end{cases}$$

Then, using the fact $|\nabla u_\lambda| \in L^\infty_\text{loc}(\Omega)$ and (4.17), we obtain

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\epsilon|^q \leq C\epsilon^{l_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\lambda|^{q-\nu} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^\nu \leq C\epsilon^{l_2},$$

where $l_1, l_2 > \frac{n-p}{p}$. Thus, for $0 \leq t \leq R_0$, taking into account (4.8) and (4.18), we deduce that

$$I_\lambda(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) - I_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq \frac{tp}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla U_1|^p - \frac{tp^*}{p^*} \int_\Omega |U_1|^{p^*} - p^{r-1}C\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p}} + O(\epsilon^{l_3}),$$

where $l_3 > \frac{(n-p)}{p}$. Following the approach as in Lemma 4.3 we get the required result in this case.

**Case(2):** If $\frac{n(p-1)}{n-1} < q < \frac{n(p-1)+p}{n}$.

We note that there exists

$$\nu \in \begin{cases} (1, q) \cap (\frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}, \infty) & \text{if } 1 < q < 2, \\ [q-1, 2] \cap (\frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}, \infty) & \text{if } 2 \leq q < 3. \end{cases}$$

In this case using (4.17) and (4.8) in (4.18), we deduce that

$$I_\lambda(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) - I_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq \frac{tp}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla U_1|^p + C_1\epsilon^{n-\frac{n}{p}\nu} + C_2\epsilon^{n-\frac{n}{p}\nu} - \frac{tp^*}{p^*} \int_\Omega |U_1|^{p^*} - p^{r-1}C\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p}}$$

$$+ O(\epsilon^{l_4}),$$

where $l_4 > (n-p)/p$. Using the fact that $\nu < q < \frac{n(p-1)+p}{n}$, we have $n - \frac{np}{p} > n - \frac{n}{p}q > \frac{n-p}{p}$, and hence

$$I_\lambda(u_\lambda + tu_\epsilon) - I_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq \frac{tp}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla U_1|^p - \frac{tp^*}{p^*} \int_\Omega |U_1|^{p^*} - p^{r-1}C\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p}} + O(\epsilon^{l_6}),$$

where $l_6 > (n-p)/p$. Now approaching as Case(1) we can complete the proof. \(\Box\)

**Proof of Theorem 1.5** With the help of Lemma 4.8 approaching the proof in same way as in Lemma 4.4 we can show that $\theta^\Lambda \subset I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{n}S_\Lambda^\epsilon$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$ and $\beta > 0$. Then following the proof of Proposition 4.14 we get $v_\lambda \in N^\Lambda_\lambda$ such that $I_\lambda(v_\lambda) = \theta^\Lambda$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$ and $\beta > 0$. Now with the help of Theorem 3.7 we see that $v_\lambda$ is a solution of $(P_\lambda)$. \(\Box\)
5 Global Existence Result

In this section we prove the global existence and non existence result (for all \( \lambda \) and \( \beta \)) for problem \((P_\lambda)\). Let us define

\[
\Lambda^* = \sup\{\lambda > 0 : (P_\lambda) \text{ has a solution}\}.
\]

**Lemma 5.1** We have \( 0 < \Lambda^* < \infty \).

**Proof.** With the help of Theorems \([1,2]\) and \([1,3]\) we infer that \( \Lambda^* \geq \lambda_\star \geq \Lambda > 0 \). Next, we will show \( \Lambda^* < \infty \). On the contrary suppose there exists a non-decreasing sequence \( \{\lambda_k\} \) such that \( \lambda_k \to \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \) and \((P_{\lambda_k})\) has a solution \( u_k \). There exists \( \Delta > 0 \) such that

\[
\frac{\lambda}{t^\beta} + t^{r-1} \geq (\lambda_1(q, \beta) - \epsilon)t^{q-1}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } \lambda > \lambda_\star.
\]

Choose \( \lambda_m > \lambda_\star \), then \( u_m \) is a super solution of \((Q_\epsilon)\) \[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u - \beta \Delta_q u &= (\lambda_1(q, \beta) - \epsilon)u^{q-1}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\
\end{cases}
\]
that is, for all \( \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) with \( \phi \geq 0 \), we have

\[
\int_\Omega (|\nabla u_m|^{p-2}\nabla u_m + \beta|\nabla u_m|^{q-2}\nabla u_m)\nabla \phi \, dx \geq \int_\Omega ((\lambda_1(q, \beta) - \epsilon)u_m^{q-1}) \phi \, dx.
\]

We choose \( q > 0 \) small enough such that \( \rho \hat{\phi} < u_m \) (this can be done because of Theorem \([1,1]\)) and \( \rho \hat{\phi} \) is a subsolution of \((Q_\epsilon)\). That is, for all \( \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) with \( \phi \geq 0 \), we have

\[
\int_\Omega (|\nabla (\rho \hat{\phi})|^{p-2}\nabla (\rho \hat{\phi}) + \beta|\nabla (\rho \hat{\phi})|^{q-2}\nabla (\rho \hat{\phi}))\nabla \phi \, dx \leq ((\lambda_1(q, \beta) - \epsilon)\int_\Omega (\rho \hat{\phi})^{q-1}) \phi \, dx.
\]

By monotone iteration procedure we obtain a solution \( w \) for \((Q_\epsilon)\) for \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( 0 < \rho \hat{\phi} \leq w \leq u_m \), which contradicts \([26, \text{Theorem 1}]\). This completes the proof of Lemma. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 5.2** Let \( u, \overline{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) be such that \( u \) is a weak subsolution and \( \overline{u} \) is a weak supersolution of \((P_\lambda)\) satisfying \( u \leq \overline{u} \) a.e. in \( \Omega \). Then there exists a weak solution \( u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) of \((P_\lambda)\) such that \( u \leq u \leq \overline{u} \) a.e. in \( \Omega \).

**Proof.** The proof given here is an adaptation of \([15]\). Set \( M := \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : u \leq u \leq \overline{u} \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\} \), then \( M \) is closed and convex. It is easy to verify that \( I_\lambda \) is weakly lower semicontinuous on \( M \). Therefore, there exists a relative minimizer \( u \) of \( I_\lambda \) on \( M \). We will show that \( u \) is a weak solution of \((P_\lambda)\). For \( \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), let \( v_\epsilon = u + \epsilon \phi - \phi^\epsilon + \phi_\epsilon \in M \), where \( \phi^\epsilon := (u + \epsilon \phi - \overline{u})_+ \geq 0 \) and \( \phi_\epsilon := (u + \epsilon \phi - u)_- \geq 0 \).
For $0 < t < 1$ we see that $u + t(v_\epsilon - u) \in M$. Therefore using the fact that $u$ is a relative minimizer of $I_\lambda$ on $M$, we have

$$0 \leq \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{I_\lambda(u + t(v_\epsilon - u)) - I_\lambda(u)}{t} = \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + \beta|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u) \nabla (v_\epsilon - u) - \int_\Omega (\lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{-1}) (v_\epsilon - u) dx,$$

which on using definition of $v_\epsilon$ simplifies to

$$\int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \beta|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi - u^{-1} \phi) dx \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} (E^\epsilon - E_\epsilon), \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$E^\epsilon = \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi^\epsilon + \beta|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi^\epsilon - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi^\epsilon - u^{-1} \phi^\epsilon) dx \quad \text{and} \quad E_\epsilon = \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi_\epsilon + \beta|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi_\epsilon - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi_\epsilon - u^{-1} \phi_\epsilon) dx.$$

Now we will estimate $\frac{1}{\epsilon} E^\epsilon$. For this, set $\Omega^\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega : (u + \epsilon \phi)(x) \geq \bar{u}(x) > u(x)\}$. Then

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi^\epsilon = \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla (u + \epsilon \phi - \bar{u})$$

$$= \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \nabla (u - \bar{u}) + \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla (u - \bar{u})$$

$$+ \epsilon \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi$$

$$\geq C_p \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\int_{\Omega^\epsilon} |\nabla (u - \bar{u})|^p, & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\
\int_{\Omega^\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla (u - \bar{u})|^2}{(\nabla u + |\nabla \bar{u}|)^{1-p}}, & \text{if } 1 < p < 2,
\end{array} \right. + \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla (u - \bar{u})$$

$$+ \epsilon \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla (u - \bar{u}) + \epsilon \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi.$$

Similar result holds for $\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi^\epsilon$ also. Thus we obtain

$$E^\epsilon \geq \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} + \beta|\nabla \bar{u}|^{q-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \nabla (u - \bar{u}) + \epsilon \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + \beta|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u) \nabla \phi$$

$$- \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (\lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{-1}) \phi^\epsilon$$

$$\geq \int_\Omega (|\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} + \beta|\nabla \bar{u}|^{q-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \nabla \phi^\epsilon - \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (\lambda u^{-\delta} + u^{-1}) \phi^\epsilon$$

$$+ \epsilon \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + \beta|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u - |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} - \beta|\nabla \bar{u}|^{q-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \nabla \phi,$$
Lemma 5.3

For \( \lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*) \), \((P_\lambda)\) has a weak solution \( u_\lambda \) in \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \).

Proof. Fix \( \lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*) \). Let \( u_\lambda \) be the solution of the purely singular problem \((S_\lambda)\) (obtained in Lemma 2.3). By definition of \( \Lambda^* \), there exists \( \bar{\lambda} \in (\lambda, \Lambda^*) \) such that \((P_\lambda)\) has a solution \( u_\lambda \). Then, by the weak formulations of \((P_\lambda)\) and \((S_\lambda)\), it is easy to see that \( u_\lambda \) is a supersolution and \( u_\lambda \) is a subsolution of \((P_\lambda)\). Applying Lemma 2.4 for \( \bar{u} = u_\lambda \) and \( \overline{u}_{\Lambda} \), we get \( \underline{u}_{\lambda} \leq u_\lambda \) a.e. in \( \Omega \). Then employing Lemma 5.2 for \( u = u_\lambda \) and \( \overline{u} = \bar{u} \) when \( \lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*) \), we get a solution \( u_{\lambda_{\lambda}} \) of \((P_\lambda)\) such that \( \underline{u}_{\lambda} \leq u_{\lambda_{\lambda}} \leq u_\lambda \). Moreover, by the fact that \( u_{\lambda} \) is a minimizer of \( I_\lambda \) on \( M \), we deduce that \( I_\lambda(u_{\lambda}) \leq I_\lambda(u_{\lambda_{\lambda}}) \leq \bar{I}_\lambda(u_{\lambda_{\lambda}}) < 0 \).

For \( \lambda = \Lambda^* \), let \( \lambda_k \in (0, \Lambda^*) \) be an increasing sequence such that \( \lambda_k \to \Lambda^* \) and \( u_k \) be the solution of \((P_{\lambda_k})\) obtained above. Moreover,

\[
I_{\lambda_k}(u_k) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^q - \frac{\lambda_k}{1-\delta} \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^{1-\delta} \ dx - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^r \ dx < 0, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\|\nabla u_k\|_p^p + \beta \| \nabla u_k \|_q^q - \lambda_k \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^{1-\delta} \ dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^r \ dx = 0,
\]

which on using the fact that \( \overline{u} \) is a weak super solution of \((P_\lambda)\), implies

\[
E_\epsilon \geq \epsilon \int_{\Omega^c} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u - |\nabla \overline{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \overline{u} + \beta |\nabla \overline{u}|^{q-2} \nabla \overline{u}) \nabla \phi
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Omega^c} (\lambda |\nabla \overline{u}|^\delta + |\nabla \overline{u}|^{r-1} - \lambda u^{-\delta} - u^{r-1}) \phi.
\]

Thus,

\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon} E_\epsilon \geq \int_{\Omega^c} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u - |\nabla \overline{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \overline{u} + \beta |\nabla \overline{u}|^{q-2} \nabla \overline{u}) \nabla \phi
\]

\[
- \lambda \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla \overline{u}|^\delta - u^{-\delta} |\phi|
\]

\[
= o(1), \quad \text{as} \ \epsilon \to 0,
\]

since \( |\Omega^c| \to 0 \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \). An analogous argument shows that

\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon} E_\epsilon \leq o(1), \quad \text{as} \ \epsilon \to 0.
\]

Thus, from (6.3) letting \( \epsilon \to 0 \), we obtain

\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi - u^{r-1} \phi) \ dx \geq 0.
\]

Since \( \phi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) was arbitrary, so taking \(-\phi\) in place of \( \phi \), we get

\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \beta |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi - \lambda u^{-\delta} \phi - u^{r-1} \phi) \ dx = 0, \quad \text{for all} \ \phi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega).
\]

□
implies that \( \{u_k\} \) is bounded in \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \). Thus, there exists \( u_{\Lambda^*} \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) such that \( u_k \rightharpoonup u_{\Lambda^*} \) weakly in \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) and \( u_k(x) \to u_{\Lambda^*}(x) \) a.e. in \( \Omega \) (upto subsequence). By Lemma 2.4 we have \( u_{\Lambda^*} \geq u_{\Lambda_1} > 0 \) in \( \Omega \). Letting \( k \to \infty \) in the weak formulation of \( (P_{\Lambda_k}) \) and using Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get that \( u_{\Lambda^*} \) is a weak solution of \( (P_{\Lambda^*}) \).

\[ \square \]

**Proof of Theorem 1.6:** Proof of the Theorem follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3

\[ \square \]
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