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Abstract. We develop a fully discrete scheme for time-fractional diffusion equations by using a finite difference method in time and a finite element method in space. The fractional derivatives are used in Caputo sense. Stability and error estimates are derived. The accuracy and efficiency of the presented method is shown by conducting two numerical examples.

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus is the field of mathematical analysis that deals with the investigation and application of integrals and derivatives of arbitrary order. The fractional calculus may be considered an old topic, starting from some speculations of Leibniz and Euler, respectively in the 17th and 18th centuries, and yet a recent subject under strong development [2, 3, 22].

In recent years, time-fractional partial differential equations (TFPDEs) have aroused a considerable interest among mathematicians and also have been applied broadly in various applications of numerical analysis in different research areas, including fractal phenomena, diffusion processes, complex networks, stochastic structures, synoptic climatology, option pricing mechanisms, medical image processing, electromagnetic, electro-chemistry and material sciences, and chaotic dynamics of nonlinear systems [6, 14, 23]. In view of the importance of TFPDEs, many researchers investigate them in both analytical and numerical frameworks. Several works and methods have been developed, such as finite difference methods [5, 18, 19, 20, 26], finite element methods [7, 9], spectral methods [15], Adomian decomposition methods [21], and variational iteration methods [8].

Regarding analytical solutions to TFPDEs, one can use Green and Fox functions and their properties, similarity methods, and Fourier–Laplace transforms or Wright functions [11, 12, 13, 17].

Here we study a numerical approach to the following initial-boundary value time-fractional Caputo diffusion problem:

\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in [0, T],
\]

\[
u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
\]

\[
u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t \in [0, T],
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the order of the time-fractional derivative, \(0 < \alpha < 1\), and \(\Omega\) is a bounded open domain in \(\mathbb{R}^d\), \(1 \leq d \leq 3\). The operator \(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha}\) is the Caputo fractional derivative of order \(\alpha\) of function
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\( u(x,t) \), defined by
\[
C^\alpha \frac{D}{\Delta t^\alpha} u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{\partial u(x,s)}{\partial s} \frac{ds}{(t-s)^\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,
\]
where \( \Gamma \) denotes the Gamma function. In [16], some analytical solutions of the time-fractional diffusion equation (1) with a vanishing forcing term (i.e., \( f(x,t) \equiv 0 \)) are obtained, by applying the finite sine and Laplace transforms based on the fundamental Mittag–Leffler function. It is a hard task to search and to compute the exact solution, especially for large time, due to slow convergence of the series of the Mittag–Leffler function. Therefore, developing efficient numerical methods is a significant question, and considerable efforts have been devoted to develop numerical algorithms for this class of problems. In general, finite difference methods and finite element methods are the most accepted approaches for solving FPDEs. For instance, in [16] a practical finite difference/Legendre spectral method to solve the initial-boundary value time-fractional diffusion problem (1), on a finite domain, is considered. A finite element method for the time-fractional partial differential equation (1) on the sense of Riemann–Liouville is introduced in [10] and optimal order error estimates, both in semi-discrete and fully discrete cases, are obtained. Sidi Ammi and Jamiai have presented also a finite difference and Legendre spectral method for a time-fractional diffusion-convection equation for image restoration and a detailed error analysis was carried out [24]. In [25], Sidi Ammi and Torres consider a fractional nonlocal thermistor problem and develop a Galerkin spectral method. Some error estimates, in different contexts, are derived, showing that the combination of the backward differentiation in time and the Galerkin spectral method in space leads, for an enough smooth solution, to an approximation of exponential convergence in space [25]. Existence and uniqueness of solution for the fractional partial differential equation (1), with a left time Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative, is proved in [10] by using the Lax–Milgram Lemma. Here we propose a finite difference method in time and a finite element method in space to study the numerical solution of the time-fractional Caputo differential equation (1).

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, a finite difference scheme for solving the time-fractional diffusion equation is proposed, along with an unconditionally stability and convergence analysis. In Section 3, the finite element method is used and error estimates, in both time and space, are obtained. Then, some numerical tests are presented in Section 4, to verify the accuracy of the given method, comparing the obtained approximate results with the theoretical/exact ones. Some concluding remarks are given in Section 5. In the analysis of the numerical method that follows, we assume that problem (1) has a unique and enough regular solution.

2. Discretization in time: a finite difference scheme

In this section we consider the time discretization of (1). Define \( A = -\Delta \) and \( D(A) = H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \). Then the system (1) can be written, in abstract form, as
\[
C^\alpha \frac{D}{\Delta t^\alpha} u(t) + Au(t) = f(t), \quad 0 < t < T, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,
\]
(2)
\[
u(0) = u_0.
\]
Let \( 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_K = T \) be a partition of \([0,T]\), where \( t_k = k\Delta t, k = 0,1,\ldots,K, \) and \( \Delta t = \frac{T}{K} \) is the time step. Following [16, 24], we discretize the Caputo derivative by a difference approach as follows: for all \( 0 \leq k \leq K - 1, \)
\[
C^\alpha \frac{D}{\Delta t^\alpha} u(t_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)\Delta t^\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (u(t_{k+1-j}) - u(t_{k-j}))((j + 1)^{1-\alpha} - j^{1-\alpha}) + R_{k+1},
\]
where \( R_{k+1} \) is the truncation error satisfying
\[
R_{k+1} \leq c\Delta t^{2-\alpha}
\]
(4)
and $c_u$ is a constant depending only on $u$. To continue the construction of the scheme, let us denote $b_j = (j + 1)^{1-\alpha} - j^{1-\alpha}$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k$. It is easy to verify the following properties for $b_j$:

$$b_j > 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, k;$$

$$1 = b_0 > b_1 > \cdots > b_k, \quad b_k \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + b_{k+1} = (1 - b_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + b_k = 1.$$  

Define the discretized fractional operator $L_t^\alpha$ by

$$L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \left( u(t_{k+1}) - (1 - b_1)u(t_k) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})u(t_{k-j}) - b_k u(t_0) \right)$$

with

$$\alpha_0 := \Gamma(2 - \alpha) \Delta t^\alpha.$$  

Then,

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_0^\alpha}{\alpha_0} D_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \left( u(t_{k+1}) - (1 - b_1)u(t_k) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})u(t_{k-j}) - b_k u(t_0) \right) + \tilde{R}_{k+1}$$

$$= L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) + \tilde{R}_{k+1}.$$  

Let $t = t_{k+1}$. We can write (2) as

$$L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) + Au(t_{k+1}) = f(t_{k+1}) - \tilde{R}_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, K - 1.$$  

Denote $u^k \approx u(t_k)$ as the approximation of $u(t_k)$. We define the following time stepping method:

$$L_t^\alpha u^{k+1} + Au^{k+1} = f^{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, K - 1.$$  

To complete the semi-discrete problem, we consider the boundary conditions

$$u^{k+1}(x) = 0, \quad k \geq 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega,$$

and the initial condition

$$u^0(x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$  

We then obtain an equivalent form to (8):

$$u^{k+1} + \alpha_0 A u^{k+1} = \alpha_0 f^{k+1} + (1 - b_1)u^k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})u^{k-j} + b_k u^0, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $f^{k+1} = f(t_{k+1})$. For the particular case $k = 0$, the scheme becomes

$$u^1 + \alpha_0 A u^1 = \alpha_0 f^1 + u^0.$$  

If we define the error term $r^{k+1}$ by

$$r^{k+1} := \alpha_0 \left( \frac{\mathcal{C}_0^\alpha}{\alpha_0} D_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) - L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) \right),$$

then it follows from (4) and (6) that

$$|r^{k+1}| = \alpha_0 |r^{k+1}_{\Delta t^2}| \leq c_u \Delta t^2.$$  

Now we define some functional spaces endowed with standard norms and inner products that will be used in the remaining of the paper:

$$H^1(\Omega) := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega), \nabla v \in L^2(\Omega) \right\},$$

$$H^1_0(\Omega) := \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega), v|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \right\},$$

$$H^m(\Omega) := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega), \frac{d^k v}{dx^k} \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ for all positive integer } k \leq m \right\},$$

where $L^2(\Omega)$ is the space of measurable functions whose square is Lebesgue integrable in $\Omega$. The inner products of $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$ are defined, respectively, by

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} uv dx, \quad (u, v)_1 = (u, v) + (\nabla u, \nabla v),$$
which suggests the result at the first step. Suppose now that the following hypothesis holds:

We begin to prove that

The variational weak formulation of equation (10) subject to the boundary condition (9) reads: find \( u^{k+1} \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) such that

The proof is complete.

\( (I + \alpha_0 A)u^1 = \alpha_0 f^1 + u^0. \)

Then we get

Note that \( A \) is a positive definite elliptic operator, the eigenvalues of \( A \) are \( \lambda_j = j^2 \pi^2, j = 1, 2, 3 \ldots \)

It follows from the spectral method that the norm

Hence, by using (15), we have

Then,

which suggests the result at the first step. Suppose now that the following hypothesis holds:

We begin to prove that \( \|u^{k+1}\| \leq \|u^0\| + \alpha_0(k + 1)\|f\|_{L^\infty}. \) From (10), we have

Hence, by using (15) and (16), one has

Finally, the last equality of (5) yields

The proof is complete.

We are now ready to prove error estimates in the \( L^2 \) norm for the error \( u(t_k) - u^k \) of the approximate solution \( u^k \) of \( u \), the exact weak solution \( u(t_k) \) of (8).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( u(t_k) \) and \( u^k \) be the solution of (7) and (8), respectively. Then,

\[ \|u(t_k) - u^k\| \leq \frac{c_\alpha}{1 - \alpha} T^\alpha \Delta t^{2 - \alpha}, \]

\( k = 1, 2, \ldots, K. \)
Proof. We start by proving the following estimate:

(17) \[ \|u(t_k) - u^k\| \leq c_u b_{k-1}^{-1} \Delta t^2, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K. \]

For that we use a standard induction procedure. Let \( \varepsilon^k = u(t_k) - u^k \). For \( k = 1 \), we have, by calling together (7), (11) and (12), that the error equation is given by

\[
(I + \alpha_0 A)\varepsilon^1 = \varepsilon^0 + r^1.
\]

Hence, by using (15), we have

\[
\|\varepsilon^1\| = \|(I + \alpha_0 A)^{-1} r^1\| \leq \|r^1\|.
\]

With this in mind, and applying (13), one obtains that

\[
\|u(t_1) - u^1\| \leq c_u b_0^{-1} \Delta t^2.
\]

So, (17) is true for the case \( k = 1 \). Suppose now that (17) holds for all \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, K - 1 \). By gathering (7) and (10), we have

\[
\varepsilon^{k+1} = (I + \alpha_0 A)^{-1} \left( (1 - b_1)\varepsilon^k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})\varepsilon^{k-j} + b_k\varepsilon^0 + r^{k+1} \right).
\]

It follows that

\[
\|\varepsilon^{k+1}\| \leq (1 - b_1)\|\varepsilon^k\| + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})\|\varepsilon^{k-j}\| + b_k\|\varepsilon^0\| + \|r^{k+1}\|.
\]

Using the induction assumption, and the fact that the sequence \((b_j)\) is decreasing, we obtain that

\[
\|\varepsilon^{k+1}\| \leq \left( (1 - b_1)b_{k-1}^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})b_{k-j-1}^{-1} \right) c_u \Delta t^2 + c_u \Delta t^2
\]

\[
\leq \left( (1 - b_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + b_k \right) c_u b_k^{-1} \Delta t^2.
\]

Taking into account (5) in the above inequality, it follows that

\[
\|\varepsilon^{k+1}\| \leq c_u b_k^{-1} \Delta t^2.
\]

The auxiliary estimate (17) is then established. One can easily verify that \( k^{-\alpha} b_{k-1}^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \) and \( k\Delta t \leq T, \: k = 1, 2, \ldots, K \). Thus,

\[
\|u(t_k) - u^k\| \leq c_u k^{-\alpha} b_{k-1}^{-1} k^\alpha \Delta t^2 \leq \frac{c_u}{1 - \alpha} (k\Delta t)^\alpha \Delta t^{2-\alpha}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{c_u}{1 - \alpha} T^\alpha \Delta t^{2-\alpha}
\]

and the proof is complete. 

\[ \square \]

In the coming section, we consider the space discretization of (1).

3. Discretization in space: a finite element scheme

The variational formulation of (1) consists to find \( u(t) \in H^1_0(\Omega) \), such that

\[
(c_0 D_\alpha^t u(t), v) + (\nabla u(t), \nabla v) = (f(t), v), \quad \forall v \in H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

More precisely, let \( 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = 1 \) be an arbitrary space partition of \( \Omega = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R} \) and let \( h = \max(x_{i+1} - x_i) \). The set \( \Omega \) can be a set of \( \mathbb{R}^n, \: 1 \leq n \leq 3 \). Let \( S_h \subseteq H^1_0(\Omega) \) be a family of a finite element space consisting of piecewise linear continuous functions defined by

\[ S_h = \{ v_h \in C^0(\Omega) \mid v_h |_{x_i} \text{ is piecewise linear function on } \Omega \}. \]

Now consider the finite element method as follows: find \( u_h(t) \in S_h \), such that

\[
(c_0 D_\alpha^t u_h(t), \phi) + (\nabla u_h(t), \nabla \phi) = (f(t), \phi), \quad \forall \phi \in S_h.
\]
Denote $A_h = -\Delta_h : S_h \to S_h$, which satisfies
$$(A_h u_h, \phi) = (\nabla u_h, \nabla \phi), \quad \forall \phi \in S_h.$$ Let $P_h : H_0^1(\Omega) \to S_h$ be the standard $L_2$ projection operator via the orthogonal relation
$$(P_h v, \phi) = (v, \phi), \quad \forall \phi \in S_h, \quad v \in L_2^2(\Omega)$$ and $R_h : H_0^1(\Omega) \to S_h$ be the elliptic or the Ritz projection defined by
$$((\nabla (R_h u), \nabla \phi) = (\nabla u, \nabla \phi), \quad \forall \phi \in S_h.$$ We can write (2) into abstract form as
\begin{equation}
\frac{C}{\nu} D_t^\alpha u_h(t) + A_h u_h(t) = P_h f(t), \quad 0 < t < T.
\end{equation}
Denote by $u^I_h$ the approximation of $u(x, t_j)$. We define the following time stepping method:
\begin{equation}
L_t^\alpha u^{k+1}_h + A_h u^{k+1}_h = f^{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, K - 1.
\end{equation}
Now consider the finite element discretization of problem (14) as follows: find $u^{k+1}_h \in S_h$, such that for all $v_h \in S_h$
\begin{equation}
B_h(u^{k+1}_h, v_h) = F_h(v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in S_h,
\end{equation}
where the bilinear form $B_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by
$$B_h(u^{k+1}_h, v_h) = (u^{k+1}_h, v_h) + \alpha_0(A_h u^{k+1}_h, v_h)$$ and the functional $F_h(v_h)$ is given by
$$F_h(v_h) = \alpha_0(f^{k+1}_h, v_h) + (1 - b_1)(u^{k}_h, v_h) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(b_j - b_{j+1})(u^{k-j}_h, v_h) + b_k(u^0_h, v_h).$$
Then, under enough regularity of the exact solution $u$, the following error estimate holds.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $u(t_k)$ and $u^I_h$ be the solution of (2) and (19), respectively. Assume that $u \in H^2(H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), [0, T])$. Then, the following inequality holds:
\begin{equation}
\|u(t_k) - u^I_h\| \leq O(\Delta t^{2-\alpha} + h^2).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Let $\varepsilon^I_h = u^I_h - u(t_k)$. We write
$$\varepsilon^I_h = u^I_h - R_h u(t_k) + R_h u(t_k) - u(t_k) = \theta^I_h + \rho^I_h, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots,$$
where $\theta^I_h = u^I_h - R_h u(t_k)$ and $\rho^I_h = R_h u(t_k) - u(t_k)$. We make use of the following inequality that can be find in [1, 4]:
$$\|R_h v - v\| \leq Ch^2 \|v\|_2.$$ By the error estimate of the Ritz projection, we have
\begin{equation}
\|\rho^I_h\| = \|R_h u(t_k) - u(t_k)\| \leq Ch^2 \|u(t_k)\|_2.
\end{equation}
In order to bound $\theta^I_h$, we use the error equation obtained from (19):
\begin{equation}
L_t^\alpha \theta^{k+1}_h + A_h \theta^{k+1}_h = L_t^\alpha u^{k+1}_h + A_h u^{k+1}_h - L_t^\alpha R_h u(t_{k+1}) - A_h R_h u(t_{k+1}).
\end{equation}
From $\Delta_h R_h = P_h \Delta$ (see [27]), we hence obtain that
$$L_t^\alpha \theta^{k+1}_h + A_h \theta^{k+1}_h = P_h (f^{k+1}) - P_h A u(t_{k+1}) - L_t^\alpha R_h u(t_{k+1})$$
$$= P_h (f^{k+1}) + (P_h - R_h) L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) - P_h L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) - P_h A u(t_{k+1})$$
$$= P_h (f^{k+1}) + (P_h - R_h) L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) - P_h (L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) + Au(t_{k+1}))$$
$$= P_h (f^{k+1}) + P_h (I - R_h) L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) - P_h (f^{k+1} - \hat{R}_k)$$
$$= P_h \left( (I - R_h) L_t^\alpha u(t_{k+1}) + \hat{R}_k \right)$$
$$= P_h (\varepsilon^{k+1}_h),$$
where \( \omega_h^{k+1} = \sigma_h^{k+1} + \tilde{R}_{k+1} \) and \( \sigma_h^{k+1} = (I - R_h) L_h^0 u(t_{k+1}) \). Thus, we get

\[
L_t^0 b_h^{k+1} + A_b b_h^{k+1} = P_h \left( \sigma_h^{k+1} + \tilde{R}_{k+1} \right).
\]

Using the stability result of Theorem 2.1, we obtain that

\[
\| \theta_h^k \| \leq \| \theta^0 \| + \alpha_0 k \left\| P_h \left( \sigma_h^{k+1} + \tilde{R}_{k+1} \right) \right\|_\infty.
\]

Hence, by using (22), we have

\[
\alpha_0 \| \sigma_h^{k+1} \| = \alpha_0 \| R_h L_h^0 u(t_{k+1}) - L_h^0 u(t_{k+1}) \|
\leq \alpha_0 Ch^2 \| L_h^0 u(t_{k+1}) \|_2
\leq Ch^2 \left( \| u(t_{k+1}) \|_2 - (1 - b_1) \| u(t_k) \|_2 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \| u(t_{k-j}) \|_2 - b_k \| u(t_0) \|_2 \right)
\leq Ch^2 \left( \| u(t_{k+1}) \|_2 + (1 - b_1) \| u(t_k) \|_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \| u(t_{k-j}) \|_2 + b_k \| u(t_0) \|_2 \right)
\leq Ch^2 \left( 1 + (1 - b_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + b_k \right) \max_{0 \leq j \leq k+1} \| u(t_j) \|_2
\leq 2Ch^2 \max_{0 \leq j \leq k+1} \| u(t_j) \|_2.
\]

Keeping in mind that \( \| \tilde{R}_{k+1} \| \leq c_\Delta \Delta t^{2-\alpha} \), we obtain that

\[
\| \theta_h^k \| \leq \alpha_0 k c_\Delta \Delta t^{2-\alpha} + 2kCh^2 \max_{0 \leq j \leq k+1} \| u(t_j) \|_2.
\]

Together with this estimate, we get

\[
\| e_h^k \| \leq \| \rho_h^k \| + \| \theta_h^k \|
\leq \alpha_0 k c_\Delta \Delta t^{2-\alpha} + 2kCh^2 \max_{0 \leq j \leq k+1} \| u(t_j) \|_2 + Ch^2 \| u(t_k) \|_2
\leq \alpha_0 k c_\Delta \Delta t^{2-\alpha} + ch^2 \max_{0 \leq j \leq k+1} \| u(t_j) \|_2.
\]

Hence, (21) is proved. \( \square \)

## 4. Numerical validation

For completeness, our implementation is briefly described here.

### 4.1. Implementation

Considering problem (20), we express the function \( u_h^{k+1} \) in terms of the finite piecewise linear elements, tent-line, global interpolation functions \( \phi_j(x) \), \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, N \),

\[
u_h^{k+1}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N u_j(t_{k+1}) \phi_j(x),
\]

where \( u_j(t_{k+1}) = u(x_j, t_{k+1}) \) are unknowns of the numerical solution and \( \phi_j \) are the global interpolation functions satisfying the cardinal interpolation property

\[
\phi_j(x_i) = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}
\]

with \( \delta_{ij} \) the Kronecker-delta symbol. By combining (20) and (23), and taking into account the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition \( u_0^{k+1} = u_{N+1}^{k+1} = 0 \), we obtain the discrete system

\[
(M + \alpha_0 \mathcal{S}) U^{k+1} = \alpha_0 M f^{k+1} + (1 - b_1) M U^k + M \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) U^{k-j} + b_k M U^0,
\]

where

\[
U^k = [u_0^k, u_1^k, \ldots, u_{N-1}^k], \quad f^k = [f_1^k, f_2^k, \ldots, f_{N+1}^k],
\]

\[
\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha_0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
\( \mathbb{M} = (M_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}, \) \( M_{ij} = (\phi_i, \phi_j), \) \( S_{ij} = (\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j). \)

Since the matrix \( \mathbb{M} + \alpha_0 S \) is symmetric positive definite, one can choose, for example, the conjugate gradient method to solve (24).

4.2. Numerical results. Now we present two numerical approximation examples to confirm our theoretical statements. The main purpose is to check the convergence behavior of the discrete solution with respect to the time step \( \Delta t \) and the space step \( \Delta x \) used in the computations.

Example 4.1. Consider the time-fractional partial differential equation
\[
\frac{C}{0} D_t^\alpha u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) = f(x,t), \quad t \in [0,T], \quad 0 < x < 1,
\]
\[
u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,
\]
\[
u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, \quad t \in [0,T].
\]

The right hand side \( f \) and initial condition are selected as
\[
f(x,t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(3 - \alpha)} t^{2 - \alpha} \sin(2\pi x) + 4\pi^2 t \sin(2\pi x), \quad u_0(x) = 0.
\]

It is verified that the exact solution to the problem is
\[
u(x,t) = t^2 \sin(2\pi x).
\]

The numerical results have been given by choosing \( \Delta x = 0.001, T = 1, \) and \( \Delta t = 0.01, \) where \( N = T/\Delta t. \) Let \( u^n \) denote the approximate solution, \( u(t_n) \) the exact solution, and \( \epsilon^n \) the error at \( t = t_n, \) that is, \( \epsilon^n = u^n - u(t_n). \) Then we obtain Table 1 with the exact solution, the approximate solution, and the error for \( \alpha = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9. \) We plot the exact solution, the approximate solution, and the error, for \( \alpha = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9, \) in Figures 1, 2, and 3.

Table 1. Results for the problem of Example 4.1 with \( \alpha = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9. \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>exact sol</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>approximate sol</th>
<th>error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x_{100} )</td>
<td>0.571095</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.571093</td>
<td>2.10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.571100</td>
<td>-5.10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.571175</td>
<td>-8.10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_{300} )</td>
<td>0.934015</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.934012</td>
<td>3.10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.934023</td>
<td>-8.10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.931415</td>
<td>-1.310^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_{500} )</td>
<td>0.006158</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.006158</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.006158</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.006159</td>
<td>-1.10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_{700} )</td>
<td>-0.930209</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.930207</td>
<td>-3.10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.930217</td>
<td>8.10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-0.930339</td>
<td>1.310^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_{900} )</td>
<td>-0.581059</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.581057</td>
<td>-2.10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.581064</td>
<td>5.10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-0.581140</td>
<td>8.110^{-6}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 4.2. Consider the time-fractional partial differential equation
\[
\frac{C}{0} D_t^\alpha u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) = f(x,t), \quad t \in [0,T], \quad 0 < x < 1,
\]
\[
u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,
\]
\[
u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, \quad t \in [0,T],
\]

with the forcing term and initial condition given by
\[
f(x,t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \cos(\pi s) \sin(\pi x) ds - \pi^2 \sin(\pi t) \sin(\pi x), \quad u_0(x) = 0.
\]
Figure 1. Results for the problem of Example 4.1 with $\alpha = 0.1$.

Figure 2. Results for the problem of Example 4.1 with $\alpha = 0.5$. 
The approximate solution $u^n$. (b) The error between $u$ and $u^n$.

**Figure 3.** Results for the problem of Example 4.1 with $\alpha = 0.9$.

The exact solution is

$$u(x, t) = \sin(\pi t) \sin(\pi x).$$

In this second example, we choose $\alpha = 0.2$, $\Delta x = 0.01$, $T = 1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$, and $N = T/\Delta t$. Let $u^n$ denote the approximate solution, $u(t_n)$ the exact solution, and $\varepsilon^n = u^n - u(t_n)$ the error at $t = t_n$.

Figures 4(a) and 4(b) illustrate, respectively, the exact solution and the approximate solution at $t_N = 1$. Figure 4(c) presents a plot of the error at $t_N = 1$.

5. Conclusion

We have investigated a finite element method to Caputo time-fractional diffusion partial differential equations. A stability analysis is carried out and a convergent estimate is analyzed. We obtain error estimates in the $L_2$-norm between the exact solution and the approximate solutions in the fully discrete case. Two numerical examples are implemented and the numerical results are shown to be consistent with the theoretical results.
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**Figure 4.** Results for the problem of Example 4.2.
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