Dynamical Casimir effect via four- and five-photon transitions using strongly detuned atom
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The scenario of a single-mode cavity with harmonically modulated frequency is revisited in the presence of strongly detuned qubit or cyclic qutrit. It is found that when the qubit frequency is close to $3\nu$ there is a peak in the photon generation rate via four-photon transitions for the modulation frequency $4\nu$, where $\nu$ is the average cavity frequency. Additional peaks appear for effective five-photon processes using a cyclic qutrit and the modulation frequency $5\nu$. These phenomena occur due to the counter-rotating terms and are confirmed by numeric simulations, which indicate their feasibility under weak dissipation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of photon generation from vacuum in response to fast variations of the geometry or material properties of some resonator has been extensively studied since the decade of 1970 [1] and became known as the dynamical Casimir effect (DCE) (see the reviews [2, 3] for details). The main role of the resonator is to enhance the photon creation [4, 5], as DCE also takes place in free space due to nonuniform acceleration of mirrors or dielectric bodies [6, 7]. In 2012 DCE was implemented experimentally in a microwave cavity using a Josephson metamaterial, where the cavity effective length was modulated by external magnetic flux [11].

The mechanism responsible for the photon generation can be understood from the paradigm of a single-mode cavity with an externally prescribed time-dependent frequency $\omega(t)$. As shown in Ref. [12], within the framework of instantaneous mode functions and the associated dynamical Fock space, the dynamics of the cavity field can be described by the effective Hamiltonian $\hat{H}/\hbar = \omega(t)\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} + i\chi(t)(\hat{a}^{\dagger 2} - \hat{a}^{2})$, where $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^{\dagger}$ are the instantaneous annihilation and creation operators and (in the simplest case) $\chi = (4\omega)^{-1}\frac{d\omega}{dt}$. The resulting dynamics resembles the well known phenomenon of parametric amplification [5], namely, photon pairs are generated from vacuum for the harmonic perturbation $\omega(t) = \nu + \varepsilon \sin(\eta t)$, where $\nu$ is the unperturbed cavity frequency, $\varepsilon$ is the amplitude and $\eta = 2\nu$ is the frequency of modulation [2]. Photon pairs can also be generated for fractional frequencies $2\nu/k$ due to higher harmonics (for nonmonochromatic modulation [13]) or $k$-th order effects (for harmonic perturbation [14]), where $k$ is a positive integer. Moreover, when the cavity field is coherently coupled to other quantum subsystems (e. g., multilevels atom or harmonic oscillators [15, 16]) photons can be generated (or annihilated [17, 18]) for several other modulation frequencies at the cost of entangling the subsystems. In particular, a dispersive cyclic qutrit [20, 21] with time-dependent energy splittings permits the generation of photons from vacuum for $\eta \approx \nu$ and $\eta \approx 3\nu$ via effective one- and three-photon transitions, respectively [22].

In this paper it is shown that photons can also be generated from vacuum for $\eta \approx 4\nu$ and $\eta \approx 5\nu$ (via effective four- and five-photon transitions) by placing into the oscillating cavity strongly detuned qubit and cyclic qutrit, respectively. For brevity, these phenomena are called 4- and 5-photon dynamical Casimir effects (4DCE and 5DCE), since the stationary atom remains approximately in the ground state during the evolution. The overall behavior does not depend on the precise dependence of $\chi$ on $\omega$, so for simplicity it is assumed $\chi = (4\omega)^{-1}\frac{d\omega}{dt}$ throughout the paper. The photon creation rates are usually very small, however, it is predicted analytically and confirmed numerically that they increase orders of magnitude in the vicinity of certain atomic frequencies, becoming of the order $10^{-3}\varepsilon$. The analytical description of the unitary dynamics is derived in the dressed-states basis, and it is shown that for a constant modulation frequency the amount of created photons is limited due to effective Kerr nonlinearities.

This paper is organized as follows. General analytical description of the unitary dynamics is presented in Sec. II. In Sec. III the case of a qubit is studied in details, and approximate expressions for the 4DCE transition rate are derived in different regimes of parameters. The possibility of 5DCE using a cyclic qutrit is raised in Sec. IV. Sec. V presents exact numeric results on the system dynamics for the initial vacuum state, confirming the analytical predictions and exemplifying typical behaviors of 4DCE and 5DCE; the influence of dissipation is also briefly discussed for the case of a qubit in Sec. VI. Finally, the conclusions are summarized in Sec. VII.

II. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Consider a single cavity mode with time-dependent frequency $\omega(t) = \nu + \varepsilon \sin(\eta t)$ that interacts with a qutrit in the cyclic configuration [20, 21], so that all the atomic transitions are allowed via one-photon transitions. The
Hamiltonian reads
\[
\hat{H}/\hbar = \omega \hat{n} + i \chi (\hat{a}^{\dagger 2} - \hat{a}^2) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} E_k \hat{\sigma}_{k,k} + \sum_{k=0}^{1} \sum_{l>k}^{2} G_{k,l} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})(\hat{\sigma}_{l,k} + \hat{\sigma}_{k,l}),
\]
where \(\hat{a} (\hat{a}^\dagger)\) is the cavity annihilation (creation) operator and \(\hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}\) is the photon number operator \[26\]. The atomic levels are \(E_0 = 0, E_1\) and \(E_2\), the corresponding states are denoted as \(|k\rangle\) and \(|l\rangle\) mediated by the cavity field, and it is employed a shorthand notation \(G_1 \equiv G_{0,1}\), \(G_2 \equiv G_{1,2}\) and \(G_3 \equiv G_{0,2}\). Notice also that the counter-rotating terms are included in \(\hat{D}_{k,l}\), otherwise the effects presented in this paper disappear.

For weak modulation, \(\varepsilon \ll \nu\), to the first order in \(\varepsilon\) one has \(\chi = (4\nu)^{-1} \varepsilon_0 \cos \eta t\). For the sake of generality the coupling strengths are also allowed to vary with time as
\[
G_i = g_i + \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \sin(\eta_0 t) + \phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,
\]
(2)
where the phases \(\phi_i\) are arbitrary. Such time-dependence may arise from the primary mechanism of atom-field interaction, or be input externally \[27\]. For example, in the case of a stationary qubit (when only \(G_1 \neq 0\)), the standard quantization in the Coulomb gauge \[28\] gives \(G_1/\sqrt{\omega}\), so to the first order in \(\varepsilon\) one gets \(\phi_1 = 0\) and \(\tilde{\varepsilon}_1 = g_1/2\nu\). This relationship will be used in Sec. III to illustrate the influence of eventual variation of \(G_i\), although the precise forms of \(\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\) and \(\phi_i\) do not affect the qualitative behavior.

The analytical description of the dynamics is most straightforward when the wavefunction is expanded as \[25\], \[29\]
\[
|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n b_n(t) e^{-i\lambda_n} \exp(i\langle \varphi_n | \hat{f} | \varphi_n \rangle) |\varphi_n\rangle.
\]
(3)
\(\lambda_n\) and \(|\varphi_n\rangle\) are the eigenfrequencies and eigenstates (dressed-states) of the unperturbed Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}_0 \equiv \hat{H} [\varepsilon = \chi = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i = 0]\), where the index \(n\) increases with energy. \(b_n\) is the slowly varying probability amplitude and
\[
\hat{f} \equiv \varepsilon \hat{n} \cos \eta t - \frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{\cos(\eta t + \phi_{k,l}) - \cos \phi_{k,l}}{\eta} + \sum_{k,l>k} \hat{\varepsilon}_{k,l} \hat{D}_{k,l} \frac{\cos(\eta t + \phi_{k,l}) - \cos \phi_{k,l}}{\eta}
\]
is an operator that will not influence the final results under the carried assumptions. In the low-excitation regime, \(\varepsilon \langle \hat{n} \rangle \ll \nu\), the time evolution is given by
\[
\dot{b}_n \approx \sum_{n<m} \Theta_{n,m} e^{it(\Delta_{nm} - \eta)} b_n - \sum_{n>m} \Theta_{m,n} e^{-it(\Delta_{nm} - \eta)} b_n,
\]
(3)
where \(\Delta_{nm} \equiv |\lambda_n - \lambda_m|\) is the transition frequency between the states \(\varphi_n\) and \(\varphi_m\) and the corresponding transition rate is
\[
\Theta_{m,n} \equiv \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} \left[ C_{m,n} + \sum_{k,l>k} \hat{\varepsilon}_{k,l} \exp(i\phi_{k,l}) A_{m,n} \right].
\]
(4)
Thus, the general problem has been reduced to evaluation of the matrix elements \(C_{m,n} \equiv \langle \varphi_m | [\hat{n} + (\eta/4\nu)(\hat{a}^2 - \hat{a}^{\dagger 2})] | \varphi_n \rangle\) (cavity’s contribution) and \(A_{m,n} \equiv \langle \varphi_m | \hat{D}_{k,l} | \varphi_n \rangle\) (atom’s contribution). It is worth noting that under above approximations a different functional dependence of \(\chi\) would merely modify the prefactor of the second term in \(C_{m,n}\); likewise, a modulation of atomic energies \[25\], \[29\] would be described by an additional matrix element in Eq. (4).

### III. 4-PHOTON DCE WITH A QUBIT

This section focuses on the case of a qubit with the levels \(|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}. During DCE the atom should remain in the same state (the ground state, due to unavoidable relaxation processes), so it is necessary to operate in the strong dispersive regime: \(|\nu - E_1| \gg g_1 \sqrt{\nu}\) for all the populated cavity Fock states \(|n\rangle\). Treating the term \(g_1 \hat{D}_{0,1}\) in \(\hat{H}_0\) via perturbation theory, one obtains the following (non-normalized) eigenstates with the atom mainly in the ground state

\[
|\varphi_{0,k}\rangle \approx |0, k\rangle + g_1 |1\rangle \left[ \frac{\sqrt{k}!(k-1)!}{(3\nu - E_1)(\nu - E_1)} |k-3\rangle - \frac{\sqrt{(k+3)!/k!}}{(3\nu + E_1)(\nu + E_1)} |k+3\rangle \right] + \frac{g_1^2}{2\nu^2} |0\rangle \left[ \frac{\sqrt{k}!(k-4)!}{(3\nu - E_1)(\nu - E_1)} |k-4\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{(k+4)!/k!}}{(3\nu + E_1)(\nu + E_1)} |k+4\rangle \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\sqrt{k}!(k-2)!}{(3\nu - E_1)(\nu - E_1)} |k-2\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{(k+2)!/k!}}{(3\nu + E_1)(\nu + E_1)} |k+2\rangle.
\]
where $k \geq 0$. The corresponding eigenfrequencies read

$$\lambda_{0,k} \approx k\nu + \frac{g_1^2}{\nu^2} \left[ \frac{k}{\nu - E_1} - \frac{k + 1}{\nu + E_1} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{g_1^4}{(\nu - E_1)^2} \left[ \frac{k - 1}{2\nu - \nu - E_1} + \frac{k + 1}{\nu + E_1} \right] - \frac{k + 1}{(\nu + E_1)^2} \left[ \frac{k + 2}{2\nu} - \frac{k}{\nu - E_1} - \frac{k + 1}{\nu + E_1} \right]$$

For the modulation frequency $\eta \approx 4\nu$ the cavity’s contribution to the transition rate between the states $|\varphi_{0,k}\rangle$ and $|\varphi_{0,k+4}\rangle$ is

$$C_{0,k,0,k+4} \approx \frac{3g_1^4E_1(\nu - E_1)^3}{(\nu + E_1)(3\nu - E_1)(3\nu + E_1)}.$$ (5)

In the dispersive regime this term describes the 4DCE whereby photons are generated in groups of four. At first sight the transition rate is very small, being proportional to $(g_1/\nu)^4$. Fortunately, Eq. (5) diverges for $E_1 \approx 3\nu$ (due to a failure of the above perturbative expansion), giving a hope that near such 3-photon resonance the transition rate might have a peak.

To evaluate the matrix elements in the vicinity of $E_1 \approx 3\nu$ one reapplies the perturbation theory choosing as perturbation $g_1(\hat{a}\sigma_{0,1} + \hat{a}^\dagger\sigma_{1,0})$. Now the eigenstates with the atom predominantly in the ground state read

$$|\varphi_{0,k=0}\rangle \approx |0\rangle |0\rangle + 2g_1 \left[ \frac{s_2}{3\nu - E_1 - \beta_2} |\alpha_2\rangle \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(3\nu - E_1)^2 - \beta_2^2} \left[ \frac{s_4}{(\nu + E_1 - \beta_4) |\alpha_4\rangle} \right] - \frac{c_4}{7\nu + E_1 + \beta_4 |\alpha_4^+\rangle}.$$ (6)

$$|\varphi_{0,k}\rangle \approx |\alpha_k^-\rangle + 2g_1 \left[ \frac{s_{k+2}|\alpha_k^-\rangle}{4\nu + \beta_k - \beta_{k+2}} - \frac{c_{k+2}|\alpha_k^+\rangle}{4\nu + \beta_k + \beta_{k+2}} \right]$$

$$- \sqrt{k - 1} s_k \left[ \frac{s_{k-2}|\alpha_k^-\rangle}{4\nu - \beta_k - \beta_{k-2}} + \frac{c_{k-2}|\alpha_k^+\rangle}{4\nu - \beta_k + \beta_{k-2}} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{(k + 1) s_{k+2}^2}{4\nu + \beta_k - \beta_{k+2}} + \frac{(k - 1) s_{k-2}^2}{4\nu - \beta_k - \beta_{k-2}} + \frac{(k + 1) c_{k+2}^2}{4\nu + \beta_k + \beta_{k+2}} + \frac{(k + 1) c_{k+2}^2}{4\nu + \beta_k + \beta_{k+2}} \frac{2c_k s_k |\alpha_k^+\rangle}{\beta_k}$$

$$- (1 - \delta_{k,0}) \sqrt{(k - 3) (k - 1)} \frac{s_{k-2} s_{k+2}}{4\nu} + \frac{8\beta_{k-2} s_{k-2} s_{k+2}}{2\nu} - \frac{s_{k-2} s_{k+2}}{4\nu} + \frac{(k - 1) c_{k+2}^2}{4\nu - \beta_k - \beta_{k-2}} + \frac{(k + 1) c_{k+2}^2}{4\nu + \beta_k + \beta_{k+2}} \frac{2c_k s_k |\alpha_k^+\rangle}{\beta_k}$$

$$- \frac{\delta_{k,0} s_{k-2} s_{k+2}}{4\nu + \beta_k - \beta_{k-2}},$$

Here $s_k = \sin \theta_k$, $c_k = \cos \theta_k$, $\theta_k = \arctan ([\nu - E_1 + \beta_k]/2g_1 \sqrt{\nu})$, $\beta_k = ([\nu - E_1]^2 + 4g_1^2k^2)^{1/2}$ and

$$|\alpha_k^+\rangle \equiv s_k |0\rangle + c_k |1\rangle,$$  
$$|\alpha_k^-\rangle \equiv c_k |0\rangle - s_k |1\rangle.$$ (7)

For $\eta \approx 4\nu$ the relevant matrix elements become

$$C_{0,k,0,k+4} \approx \frac{g_1^4}{4\nu (\nu - E_1)^2} \sqrt{\frac{(k + 4)!}{k!}} \left[ \frac{2 + 8\nu/4\nu - \beta_k}{4\nu - \beta_k} - \frac{3}{\nu - E_1} - \frac{2}{3\nu} - \frac{k}{4\nu} \right]$$

$$A_{0,k,0,k+4} \approx \frac{g_1^3}{4\nu (\nu - E_1)^2} \sqrt{\frac{(k + 4)!}{k!}} \left[ \frac{1 - 8\nu}{4\nu - \beta_k} \right].$$ (8)

So the total transition rate, Eq. (4), becomes (for the standard dipole qubit-field interaction, as explained in Sec. (1))

$$\Theta_{0,k,0,k+4} \approx \frac{\varepsilon g_1^4}{8\nu (\nu - E_1)^2} \sqrt{\frac{(k + 4)!}{k!}} \left[ \frac{2 \cdot 8\nu/4\nu - \beta_k - 3}{\nu - E_1} - \frac{1}{4\nu} \right].$$
As will be shown in Sec. [V A] these expressions are sufficient to estimate the 4DCE rate in the optimum regime of parameters, despite of a singularity at $4\nu = \beta_{k+4} + \beta_{k+2}$. This divergence occurs due to the degeneracy of the states $\{|\alpha_{k+4}^+\rangle, |\alpha_{k+2}^-\rangle\}$, and can be removed by using the degenerate perturbation theory with unperturbed states $|\alpha_{k+4}^-\rangle \pm |\alpha_{k+2}^+\rangle$, which correspond approximately to $|0, k + 4\rangle \pm |1, k + 1\rangle$. At the exact degeneracy there are two (non-normalized) eigenstates with the dominant contribution of the state $|0, k\rangle$, denoted as

$$
|\varphi_{\alpha,0,k}^\pm\rangle \approx |\alpha_{k}^-\rangle \pm |\alpha_{k+2}^+\rangle + 2g_1 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} c_{k+2}^2 |\alpha_{k+2}^+\rangle + \frac{c_{k-2}}{\sqrt{k}} |\alpha_{k-2}^-\rangle \right] \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{k-1}} \left( c_{k-2} - c_{k+2} \right) |\alpha_{k+2}^+\rangle + \frac{c_k}{\sqrt{k}} |\alpha_{k-2}^-\rangle \right]
$$

\[\pm(1 - \delta_k \times 3c_k) \left( \frac{1}{\nu - \beta_k + \beta_{k-2}} \right) \left( |\alpha_{k-4}^+\rangle + \frac{c_{k+4}}{\sqrt{k}} |\alpha_{k+4}^-\rangle \right) \]

\[\left( (\frac{1}{\nu - \beta_k} + \beta_{k-2}) + \frac{c_{k+4}}{\sqrt{k}} |\alpha_{k+4}^-\rangle \right).
\]

If a given value of $E_1$ such degeneracy occurs for the state containing $|0, k + 4\rangle$, then the state containing $|0, k\rangle$ will certainly be nondegenerate (since $\beta_{k+4} + \beta_{k+2} \neq \beta_k + \beta_{k-2}$). Therefore the relevant matrix elements become

$$
\langle \varphi_{\alpha,0,k}^\pm | \hat{H}| \varphi_{\alpha,0,k+4}^\pm \rangle \approx \frac{3g_1 \sqrt{k+1}}{\sqrt{2\nu}}, \quad \langle \varphi_{\alpha,0,k}^\pm | \hat{H}| \varphi_{\alpha,0,k+4}^\pm \rangle = \frac{k+1}{\sqrt{2}}.
$$

and the upper bound for Eq. [8] is established as $|\Theta_{0,0,0,0,4}\rangle \approx 5\varepsilon g_1 \sqrt{k+1}/(8\sqrt{2\nu})$.

Therefore the effective 4-photon transition between approximate states $|0, k\rangle$ and $|0, k + 4\rangle$ can be optimized by operating in the regime when $\beta_{k+4} + \beta_{k+2}$ is sufficiently close but not exactly equal to $4\nu$. The corresponding modulation frequency must be $\eta_k \equiv \lambda_{0,k+4} - \lambda_{0,k}$, where the eigenfrequencies reads approximately

$$
\lambda_{0,k=0} \approx -2g_1^2 \left[ \frac{c_{k+2}^2}{3\nu + E_1 + \beta_2} + \frac{s_{k+2}^2}{3\nu + E_1 - \beta_2} \right]
$$

$$
\lambda_{0,k=2} \approx -4\nu \left( k - 1/2 \right) + \frac{E_1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \beta_2 + 2g_1^2 \left[ \frac{s_{k+2}^2}{4\nu + \beta_k + \beta_{k+2}} + \frac{s_{k+2}^2}{4\nu + \beta_k - \beta_{k+2}} \right]
$$

and the resonant modulation frequencies become

$$
\eta_k \approx 4\nu \left( 1 - \frac{3g_1^2}{4\nu^2} + \frac{g_1^4}{2\nu^2} \right) + \frac{g_1^2}{\nu^2} k
$$

To create four photons from the initial zero-excitation state $|0, 0\rangle$ the modulation frequency $\eta$ must satisfy the condition $|\eta - \eta_0\rangle < |\Theta_{0,0,0,4}\rangle$. In order to simultaneously couple the states $\{|\varphi_{\alpha,4}\rangle, |\varphi_{\delta,8}\rangle\}$ it is also necessary $|\eta - \eta_4| < |\Theta_{0,4,0,8}\rangle$, which near $4\nu = \beta_4 + \beta_8$ requires roughly $\varepsilon/\nu \gg 10 (g_1/\nu)^3$. For a fixed value of $\varepsilon$, on one hand the small ratio $g_1/\nu$ is advantageous for multiple 4-photon transitions, but on the other hand it lowers the transition rate, increasing the role of dissipation and other spurious effects. Thus it seems that the best choice is to work with moderate values of $g_1/\nu \sim 0.05$. For instance, if $g_1 = 0.08\nu$ (bordering the ultra-strong coupling regime) then multiple 4-photon transitions can take place provided $\varepsilon/\nu > 5 \times 10^{-3}$. Therefore, for moderate coupling strengths and $\varepsilon/\nu \sim 10^{-2}$ one expects that only the states $|0, 4\rangle$ and $|0, 8\rangle$ will be significantly populated. In Sec. [V A] this prediction will be confirmed numerically.

**IV. 5-PHOTON DCE WITH A CYCLIC QUTRIT**

The steps of the previous section can be repeated for the complete bare Hamiltonian $H_0$. However, the approximate analytic expressions become too cumbersome, so it is easier to study the behavior numerically. To do so, it is still necessary to find out where the maxima of the transition rates occur as function of $E_1$ and $E_2$. Far from the one-photon ($E_1 = \nu$ or $E_2 = \nu$) and the two-photon ($E_2 = 2\nu$) resonances (for which the atom does not remain in the ground state) the nondegenerate per-
turbation theory gives
\[ C_{0,k;0,k+5} \approx \frac{g_1 g_2 g_3}{\nu^3} \sqrt{\frac{(k+5)!}{k!}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \frac{g_i}{\nu} \right)^2 Y_i, \]  \tag{11}

where \( Y_i \) are some complicated dimensionless functions of all the system parameters \( \{g_i, E_i, \nu\} \). Fortunately, the exact form of \( Y_i \) is irrelevant for the purposes of this paper, since the main goal is to find the regions where \( Y_i \) have singularities (due to degeneracy among two or more states). To the lowest order this happens for the atomic frequencies \( E_1 \approx n \nu \) (for \( n = 2, 3, 4 \)) or \( E_2 \approx m \nu \) (for \( m = 3, 4 \)). Therefore, the 5-photon DCE becomes possible for the cyclic qutrit, exhibiting highest transition rates in the vicinity of certain resonant values of the atomic frequencies. The exact behavior of the matrix element \( C_{0,k;0,k+5} \) will be studied numerically in Sec. V.B.

V. NUMERIC RESULTS

This section is devoted to the numeric evaluation of the system dynamics according to the complete Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_0 \) for the initial state \( |0, 0\rangle \). For the sake of clarity, it is assumed that the atomic coupling strengths are time-independent, \( \tilde{\epsilon}_i = 0 \). This does not lessen the generality of the obtained results, since the formulas \( [4, \ 7] \) and \( [9] \) show that additional modulation mechanisms would merely modify the numeric value of the total transition rate. Hence, the determination of the dynamics under the sole modulation of \( \omega(t) \) is primordial for further studies considering arbitrary modulations of \( G_i(t) \) (the relationship between \( G_i \) and other system parameters largely depends on the concrete implementation of the atom-cavity system). In all the subsequent simulations it is assumed \( \chi = (4\omega)^{-1}d\omega/dt \) and \( \varepsilon = 3 \times 10^{-2} \nu. \)

A. 4-photon DCE

First it is analyzed the qubit with a realistic \([24]\) coupling strength \( g_1 = 0.08\nu \). The behavior of the two lowest matrix elements \( C_4 \equiv |C_{0,0,0,4}\rangle \) and \( C_8 \equiv |C_{0,4,0,8}\rangle \) as function of the qubit’s frequency is shown in Figs. 1a-b. The exact values (solid lines) were obtained through numeric diagonalization of the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_0 \). Blue circles stand for the analytical formula \( [5] \) valid far from the three-photon resonance \( E_1 \approx 3\nu \), and the red triangles correspond to the expressions \( [6] \) and \( [9] \) applicable near this resonance. Although the perturbative approach is questionable for the assumed large value of \( g_1/\nu \), there is a good agreement between exact and approximate results. The main quantitative discrepancy is a slight displacement in the location of the three-photon resonances, expected analytically for \( 4\nu = \tilde{\beta}_k + 1 \tilde{\beta}_{k+2} \). Fig. 1a presents the exact results for the fidelity \( \Phi_m \equiv \langle 0, m | \tilde{\rho}_m | 0, m \rangle \) that measures the weight of the state \( |0, m\rangle \) in the dressed-state \( |\varphi_{0,m}\rangle \), for \( m = 4 \) and 8. As expected, in the strong dispersive regime \( \Phi_m = 1/2 \) at the three-photon resonances and \( \Phi_m \approx 1 \) otherwise. Hence, this figure confirms that near the three-photon resonance it is possible to implement 4DCE with the vacuum transition rate \( |\Theta_{0,0,0,4}| \approx 10^{-2} g_1/\nu \).

Fig. 1b illustrates the unitary dynamics for parameters \( E_1 = 2.968\nu \) and \( \eta = 3.9819\nu \), obtained by solving numerically the Schrödinger equation. \( \langle \nu \rangle \) is the average photon number, \( P_{\nu}(k) \) is the population of the atomic level \( |k\rangle \) and \( Q = \langle (|\Delta n|^2) \rangle - \langle n \rangle \rangle \) is the Mandel’s factor of the cavity field. Several photons are generated from vacuum via effective four-photon transitions, while the qubit remains mainly in the ground state. At certain times the \( Q \)-factor becomes negative, implying sub-Poissonian field statistics, while at other times it can assume large ratios, \( Q/\langle n \rangle \sim 10 \). Such behavior is easily understood by looking at the evolution of the field in the Fock basis. The largest photon-number probabilities \( \rho(m) = \text{Tr}[|m\rangle\langle m|\hat{\rho}] \) are displayed in Fig. 1b, where \( \hat{\rho} \) is the total density operator. \( Q < 0 \) corresponds to the system approximately in the state \( |0, 8\rangle \), while the case \( Q \gg \langle n \rangle \rangle \) occurs when the state \( |0, 0\rangle \) dominates but there are small populations of states \( |0, 8\rangle \) and \( |1, 1\rangle \). The denomination “four-photon dynamical Casimir effect” (4DCE) seems appropriate to describe this phenomenon, since only the states \( |0, 4\rangle \), \( |0, 8\rangle \) and \( |0, 12\rangle \) become significantly populated, although the population of the state \( |0, 12\rangle \) is quite low due to the effective Kerr nonlinearity [last term in Eq. [10]]. Due to the proximity
to three-photon resonance, there is also a slight occupation of the near-degenerate state \( |1, 1\rangle \), as seen from the low-amplitude oscillations of \( P_a(0) \) and \( p(1) \).

One can grasp the main qualitative effects of weak dissipation by solving numerically the phenomenological master equation at zero temperature \([31]\) (see Refs. \([15, 12]\) for the discussion on its validity in similar situations)

\[
\dot{\rho} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \rho] + \kappa \mathcal{L}[\hat{\alpha}] + \gamma \mathcal{L}[\hat{\sigma}_{0,1}] + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \mathcal{L}[\hat{\sigma}_z].
\]

Here \( \hat{\sigma}_z \equiv \hat{\sigma}_{1,1} - \hat{\sigma}_{0,0} \), \( \mathcal{L}[\hat{\alpha}] \equiv \hat{\alpha} \rho \hat{\alpha}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\alpha}^\dagger \hat{\alpha}^\dagger \hat{\alpha}^\dagger \hat{\alpha} \) is the Lindblad superoperator, \( \kappa \) is the cavity relaxation rate and \( \gamma (\gamma_0) \) is the atomic relaxation (pure dephasing) rate. Fig. 2 illustrates the behavior of \( \langle n \rangle \), \( Q \) and \( P_a(0) \) for feasible \([33, 34]\) dissipative parameters \( \gamma = 5 \times 10^{-4} g_1 \) and \( \kappa = 10^{-4} g_1 \). The main message is that several photons can still be generated from vacuum, and for initial times the dissipative behavior closely resembles the unitary one. For large times the cavity relaxation leads to excitation of all the Fock states with \( m \lesssim 10 \), so it is not surprising that the behavior is altered drastically.

As was shown in Fig. 1 minor changes of \( E_1 \) in the vicinity of three-photon resonance strongly affect the transition rates. This feature is illustrated in Fig. 3 where the parameters of Fig. 2 were slightly changed to \( E_1 = 2.99 \nu \) and \( \eta = 3.9821 \nu \). The new behavior is completely different: only the states \( |0, 0\rangle \) and \( |0, 4\rangle \) become significantly populated throughout the evolution; \( p(8) \) attains at most 0.04 (making the maximum value of \( \langle n \rangle \) slightly larger than 4), and all other populations are even smaller. The photon creation is slower than in Fig. 2 nonetheless, the phenomenon can still occur in the presence of weak dissipation.

![Figure 2: (color online) a) Unitary dynamics of 4DCE for parameters \( E_1 = 2.968\nu \) and \( \eta = 3.9819\nu \). b) Dynamics in the presence of weak Markovian dissipation. c) Behavior of the most populated cavity Fock states under unitary evolution.](image)

![Figure 3: (color online) a) Average photon number with and without dissipation for parameters \( E_1 = 2.99\nu \), \( \eta = 3.9821\nu \). b) Ground state population of the qubit, \( P_a(0) \), and the largest photon number probabilities \( p(k) \) during unitary evolution.](image)

B. 5-photon DCE

At last the cyclic qutrit is investigated, assuming coupling strengths \( g_1 = 6 \times 10^{-2} \nu \), \( g_2 = 8 \times 10^{-2} \nu \) and \( g_3 = 4 \times 10^{-2} \nu \). Fig. 4 displays the matrix elements \( C_m \equiv |\langle 0, m | \Phi_{\nu} \rangle|^2 \) as function of \( \nu E_2 / \nu m \) for \( m = 5 \) and 10. These quantities were obtained via exact numeric diagonalization of \( \hat{H}_0 \) for \( E_1 = 3.105 \nu \) (Fig. 4a) and \( E_1 = 2.2 \nu \) (Fig. 4b). As predicted in Sec. IV the five-photon transition rates present peaks near \( E_1 \approx \nu m \) (\( n = 2, 3, 4 \)) and \( E_2 \approx \nu m \) (\( m = 3, 4 \)). Near these peaks \( \Phi_k \approx 1/2 \) due to degeneracy of the state \( |0, k\rangle \) with other states. For example, for \( E_1 \approx 2\nu \) and \( E_2 \approx 3\nu \), the nearly degenerate states are \( |0, k\rangle \), \( |1, k - 2\rangle \) and \( |2, k - 3\rangle \). Therefore, there is a possibility of photon creation from vacuum via 5-photon effective transitions, or “five-photon DCE” (5DCE), with transition rate \( |\Theta_{0,0,0,5}| \sim 10^{-4} \nu \) near the resonances.

![Figure 4: (color online) Matrix elements \( C_k \) and fidelities \( \Phi_k \) as function of \( \nu E_2 / \nu m = 5 \)DCE (a), \( E_1 = 3.105\nu \) (b).](image)

Two examples of unitary dynamics are illustrated in Fig. 5 obtained via numeric solution of the Schrödinger
VI. CONCLUSIONS

The problem of a single-mode cavity with harmonically modulated frequency was revisited in the presence of a qubit or a cyclic qutrit. It was found analytically that the counter-rotating terms in the light-matter interaction Hamiltonian allow for photon generation from vacuum via effective 4- and 5-photons processes for qubit and cyclic qutrit, respectively, while the atom remains approximately in the ground state. Usually the associated transition rates are very small, but increase orders of magnitude in the strong dispersive regime near certain resonances. For the qubit such resonance occurs near $E_1 \approx 3\nu$, and for the qutrit – near $E_1 \approx n\nu$ ($n = 2, 3, 4$) or $E_2 = m\nu$ ($m = 3, 4$). Due to the effective Kerr nonlinearity, only a limited number of photons can be generated for a constant modulation frequency. Besides, dissipation alters drastically the dynamics after some time due to the population of other cavity Fock states. Nonetheless, for weak dissipation and sufficiently strong modulation amplitude, $\varepsilon/\nu \gtrapprox 10^{-3}$, 4- and 5-photons DCE could be implemented experimentally for modulation frequencies $\eta \approx 4\nu$ and $\eta \approx 5\nu$, respectively.
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[26] The distinction between the instantaneous and fixed annihilation and creation operators is neglected here, assuming that the measurements are made after the perturbation has ceased.


