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Abstract. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, and $X$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule. A derivation $D : A \to X$ from Banach algebra into Banach space is called semi-inner if there are $\eta, \xi \in X$ such that

$$D(a) = a.\eta - \xi.a = \delta_{\eta, \xi}(a), \quad (a \in A).$$

A Banach algebra $A$ is semi-amenable (resp. semi-contractible) if, for each Banach $A$-bimodule $X$, every derivation $D$ from $A$ into $X^*$ (resp. into $X$) is semi-inner. In this paper, we study some problems in semi-amenability of Banach algebras which have been studied in amenability case. We extend some definitions and concepts for semi-amenability, that is, we introduce approximately semi-amenability, semi-contractibility with solving some problems which former have been studied for amenability case.
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1. Introduction

The cohomological notion of an amenable Banach algebra was introduced by Barry E. Johnson in 1972 in [11] and has proved to be of enormous importance in Banach algebra theory, and has widely application in modern analysis. The concept of amenability originated from the measure theoretic problems, it moves from there to abstract harmonic analysis where amenable locally compact groups were considered. A locally compact group $G$ is called amenable if it possesses a translation invariant mean. That is, if there is a linear functional $m : L^\infty(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$m(1) = \|m\| = 1$$

and

$$m(L_x * f) = m(f), \quad (x \in G, \ f \in L^\infty(G)).$$

Johnson, initiated the theory of amenable Banach algebras by showing that, for a locally compact group $G$, it’s group algebra, $L^1(G)$ is amenable if and only if $G$ is amenable group in the classical sense (which is a famous and motivating theorem of B. E. Johnson.) [11]. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra. We say $X$ is a Banach $A$-bimodule, that is, $X$ is a Banach space and an $A$-module such that, the module operations $(a,x) \to ax$ and $(x,a) \to xa$
from $A \times \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X} \times A$ into $\mathcal{X}$ respectively, are jointly continuous i.e. there is a constant $k > 0$ such that
\[ \|a.x\| \leq k\|a\|\|x\|, \quad \|x.a\| \leq k\|x\|\|a\|, \quad (a \in A, x \in \mathcal{X}); \]
which also module actions satisfy $(a.x).b = a.(x.b)$. By renorming $\mathcal{X}$, we may suppose that $k = 1$. We define module actions on $\mathcal{X}^*$ (the normed dual space of $\mathcal{X}$), by
\[ \langle x, f.a \rangle := \langle a.x, f \rangle \]
\[ \langle x, a.f \rangle := \langle x.a, f \rangle \]
which makes it into a Banach $A$-bimodule, for $a \in A$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$. A derivation $D$ from $A$ into $\mathcal{X}$ is a continuous linear map which satisfies,
\[ D(ab) = D(a).b + a.D(b), \quad (a, b \in A). \]
For $x \in \mathcal{X}$ we define $\delta_x(a) = a.x - x.a$, ($a \in A$) which is a derivation from $A$ into $\mathcal{X}$, and is called inner derivation. Let $Z^1(A, \mathcal{X})$ be the space of all continuous derivations from $A$ into $\mathcal{X}$. Clearly, $Z^1(A, \mathcal{X})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}(A, \mathcal{X})$. Let $N^1(A, \mathcal{X})$ be the space of all inner derivations from $A$ into $\mathcal{X}$. Then $N^1(A, \mathcal{X})$ is a (not necessarily closed) subspace of $Z^1(A, \mathcal{X})$. The first cohomology group of $A$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{X}$ is the quotient space $H^1(A, \mathcal{X}) = Z^1(A, \mathcal{X})/N^1(A, \mathcal{X})$.
According to the classical definition, a Banach algebra $A$ is amenable if and only if every derivation from $A$ into $\mathcal{X}^*$ is inner i.e. $A$ is amenable if and only if $H^1(A, \mathcal{X}^*) = \{0\}$, for each Banach $A$-bimodule $\mathcal{X}$. For a Banach algebra $A$ the projective tensor product $\hat{A} \otimes A$ is completion of algebraic tensor product $A \otimes A$ with respect to projective tensor norm, and is a Banach $A$-bimodule by the multiplication specified by
\[ a.(b \otimes c) := ab \otimes c, \]
\[ (b \otimes c).a := b \otimes ca, \quad (a, b, c \in A). \]
The dual space $(\hat{A} \otimes A)^*$ is $BL(A \times A)$, the space of bounded bilinear forms on $A \times A$. The product map and it’s dual, respectively, specify by
\[ \pi : \hat{A} \otimes A \to A \]
\[ a \otimes b \to ab \]
and
\[ \pi^* : A^* \to (\hat{A} \otimes A)^* \]
\[ \pi^*(f)(a \otimes b) = f(ab) \]
for $a, b \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. Clearly, $\pi$ is an $A$-bimodule homomorphism with respect to the above module stricture on $\hat{A} \otimes A$.
Also we need and recall the following definitions,
A bounded approximate diagonal, for a Banach algebra $A$ is a bounded net $(m_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $A\hat{\otimes}A$ such that, for each $a \in A$,
\[ a.m_\alpha - m_\alpha.a \to 0 \]
\[ a\pi(m_\alpha) \to a \]
in the norm topologies of $A\hat{\otimes}A$ and $A$, respectively.

A virtual diagonal, for a Banach algebra $A$ is an element $M \in (A\hat{\otimes}A)^{**}$ such that, for each $a \in A$,
\[ a.M = M.a \]
\[ \pi^{**}(M)a = a. \]

In this paper we focus on semi-amenability, semi-contractile and approximately semi-amenability of Banach algebras. We investigate relations between these cohomological properties of Banach algebras $A$, $B$ and that of algebras $A \oplus B$ and $A\hat{\otimes}B$.

2. Main Results

Although, the semi-inner maps without the derivation condition are called generalized inner, or "generalized inner derivations" in the literatures [1, 3, 4]. But F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy, in [8] applied the terminology of "semi-inner" for derivations with characteristic in Definition 2.1 as follows. Also semi-amenability and approximately semi-amenability were introduced and investigated by them. They also studied relations between the approximately amenability and approximately semi-amenability of projective tensor product of Banach algebras in [8]. In this section, we investigate on some problems, for semi-amenability and approximately semi-amenability of Banach algebras which former, they have been studied, for amenability and approximately amenability of Banach algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, and $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule. A derivation $\mathcal{D} : A \to \mathcal{X}$ is semi-inner if there are $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{X}$ such that
\[ \mathcal{D}(a) = a.\eta - \xi.a = \delta_{\eta,\xi}(a), \quad (a \in A). \]
More generally, a continuous derivation $\mathcal{D} : A \to \mathcal{X}$ is approximately semi-inner if there exist nets $(\eta_\alpha)_\alpha$ and $(\xi_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\mathcal{X}$, with
\[ \mathcal{D}(a) = \lim_\alpha (a.\eta_\alpha - \xi_\alpha.a) = \lim_\alpha \delta_{\eta_\alpha,\xi_\alpha}(a), \quad (a \in A), \]
so that $\mathcal{D} = \lim_\alpha \delta_{\eta_\alpha,\xi_\alpha}$ in the strong-operator topology of $B(A, \mathcal{X})$, [8].

Let $A$ be a Banach algebra.

(i) $A$ is semi-amenable (resp. semi-contractible) if, for each Banach $A$-bimodule $\mathcal{X}$, every derivation $\mathcal{D}$ from $A$ into $\mathcal{X}^*$ (resp. into $\mathcal{X}$) is semi-inner.

(ii) $A$ is approximately semi-amenable (resp. approximately semi-contractible) if, for each Banach $A$-bimodule $\mathcal{X}$, every derivation $\mathcal{D}$ from $A$ into $\mathcal{X}^*$ (resp. into $\mathcal{X}$) is approximately semi-inner.
It is clear that every amenable (resp. approximately amenable) Banach algebra is semi-amenable (resp. approximately semi-amenable) but in general every semi-amenable (resp. approximately semi-amenable) is not amenable (resp. approximately amenable). So the notion of semi-amenability is weaker than amenability. In the following we have some examples:

**Example 2.2.** (i) Consider, Banach sequence algebra \( l^2 = l^2(\mathbb{N}) \) under pointwise operations. Let \( X \) be a Banach \( l^2 \)-bimodule and \( D : l^2 \to X \) be a continuous derivation. Set \( E_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \) where \( \delta_i \) is characteristic function of \( \{i\} \) for \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Clearly, the sequence \((E_n)_n\) is the standard (unbounded) approximate identity of \( l^2 \). For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we set \( A_n = E_n l^2 \) which is a finite-dimensional semi-simple subalgebra of \( l^2 \), and hence is amenable \([5], \text{Corollary 1.9.22}\). Let \( D_n \) be the restriction of \( D \) on \( A_n \), that is, \( D_n : A_n \to X \). So \( D_n \) is an inner derivation, and there exists \( \xi_n \in X \) such that

\[
D(a) = \lim_n D(E_n a) \\
= \lim_n (E_n a \xi_n - \xi_n E_n a) \\
= \lim_n (a (E_n \xi_n) - (\xi_n E_n) a)
\]

where \( a \in A \). Thus \( D \) is approximately semi-inner. Consequently, \( l^2 \) is approximately semi-contractible, and equivalently, approximately semi-amenable.

But \( l^2 \) is neither amenable, nor approximately amenable \([6], \text{Theorem 4.1}\). Also \( B(l^2) \) is not amenable \([5], \text{Corollary 5.6.74}\).

Now let us consider an example of Banach algebra in the following, which is neither amenable \([2]\) nor semi-amenable.

(ii) Let \( A = A(D) \) be the disc algebra and let \( \mathbb{C} \) be the complex numbers algebra. Then \( \mathbb{C} \) is a Banach \( A \)-bimodule by following module actions

\[
\lambda f = \lambda f(0) = f \lambda, \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad f \in A).
\]

The mapping \( D \) from \( A \) into \( \mathbb{C} \) specified by \( D(f) = f'(0) \) is a non-zero continuous derivation, but \( \mathcal{A}^1(A, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\} \). So \( \mathcal{H}^1(A, \mathbb{C}) \neq \{0\} \) and hence \( A \) is not amenable. Also \( D \) is not semi-inner, for that let \( \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \lambda \neq \mu \). Then for each \( f \in A \), \( f = \frac{f'(0)}{\lambda - \mu} \) and this contradiction shows that \( A \) is not semi-amenable.

Remark: A bounded net \((e_\alpha)_\alpha\) in a Banach algebra \( A \) is called a bounded approximate identity for \( A \), if \( ae_\alpha \to a \) and \( e_\alpha a \to a \), in the norm topology of \( A \), for all \( a \in A \). We will sometimes abbreviate the phrase “bounded approximate identity” to B.A.I.

Runde in \([17]\) showed that a Banach algebra \( A \) has a bounded approximate identity when \( A \) is an amenable Banach algebra. In the following lemma, we introduce this problem for semi-amenability case.
Lemma 2.3. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra.

(i) If $A$ is semi-amenable then it has a bounded approximate identity.

(ii) If $A$ is semi-contractible then it has an identity.

Proof. (i) The argument is similar to proof of [[17] Proposition 2.2.1].

(ii) This is similar as in the contractible case and is arguing analogu to [[5] Theorem 1.9.21]. □

Example 2.4. Let $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be the collection of complex-valued, Lebesgue measurable and integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}$. $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra with pointwise operations and $L^1$-norm and convolution product, specified with $f \ast g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)g(x-t)dt$. By [[11] Theorem 2.5], it’s amenable so is semi-amenable Banach algebra. The sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, specified with $f_n = n\chi_{[0,1/n]}$, is a B.A.I for $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, where $\chi$ is characteristic function on $\mathbb{R}$. To see that, let $C_{00}(\mathbb{R})$ be the collection of all continuous complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ whose support is compact. $C_{00}(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra with pointwise operations and $L^1$-norm and convolution product. By [[16] Theorem 3.14], $C_{00}(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, that is, $\overline{C_{00}(\mathbb{R})}^{||.||_1} = L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Now let $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. If $g \in C_{00}(\mathbb{R})$ then $g$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ and for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

\[
f_n \ast g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(t)g(x-t)dt \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} n\chi_{[0,1/n]}(t)g(x-t)dt \\
= n \int_{0}^{1/n} g(x-t)dt \to g(x)
\]

when $n \to \infty$ and therefore

(2.1) \quad $f_n \ast g \to g$

in the norm topology of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

If $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus C_{00}(\mathbb{R})$ then there exists a sequence $(g_m)_m$ in $C_{00}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

(2.2) \quad $g_m \to g$

in the norm topology of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Now by continuity of convolution product and Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) we have

\[
||f_n \ast g - g||_1 \leq ||f_n \ast g - f_n \ast g_m||_1 + ||f_n \ast g_m - g_m||_1 + ||g_m - g||_1 \to 0
\]

when $n, m \to \infty$. By a similar argument $g \ast f_n \to g$, in the norm topology of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and the result follows.

It’s well known that $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ has not identity element so by lemma 2.3, (ii), it’s not semi-contractible. But $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is approximately semi-contractible.

We note in general, the converse of Lemma 2.3 is not true. For instance we have the following example.
Example 2.5. Consider the disc Banach algebra \( \mathcal{A} = A(D) \). By Example 2.2, (ii), \( \mathcal{A} \) is not semi-amenable. Consequently, it’s not semi-contractive. But it’s unital and easily verified that the sequence \((f_n)_{n \geq 1} \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) specified with \( f_n(x) = x^{2n}/(1 + x^{2n}) \), \( x \in \overline{D} \) is a bounded approximate identity for \( \mathcal{A} \).

Proposition 2.6. Suppose that \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) are Banach algebras. Then we have

(a) If \( \mathcal{B} \) is a dense, semi-amenable Banach subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A} \) then \( \mathcal{A} \) is semi-amenable.

(b) Let \( \theta : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A} \) be a continuous epimorphism. If \( \mathcal{B} \) is semi-amenable (resp. approximately semi-amenable) then so is \( \mathcal{A} \).

Proof. The proof is similar as in amenability case. \( \square \)

Now we have the following obvious results from Proposition 2.6, (ii).

i) Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a semi-amenable (resp. approximately semi-amenable) Banach algebra and \( \mathcal{I} \) be a closed two-sided ideal of \( \mathcal{A} \). Since the canonical mapping \( \pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \) is a contractive, surjective homomorphism and therefore is continuous epimorphism. Then \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \) is semi-amenable (resp. approximately semi-amenable).

ii) Let \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) be Banach algebras. Let the Banach algebra \( \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \) be semi-amenable (resp. approximately semi-amenable), since the mapping

\[
\theta : \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A} \quad (\text{or } \mathcal{B}) \\
\theta(a, b) = a \quad (\text{or } b)
\]

is a continuous epimorphism. Then so are \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \).

Corollary 2.7. \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) are amenable Banach algebras, if and only if \( \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \) is.

Proof. Since \( \mathcal{A} \oplus \{0_{\mathcal{B}}\} \) and \( \{0_{\mathcal{A}}\} \oplus \mathcal{B} \) are closed ideals of \( \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \), \( \{0_{\mathcal{A}}\} \oplus \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \oplus \{0_{\mathcal{B}}\} \cong \mathcal{A} \) and \( (\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})/\{0_{\mathcal{A}}\} \cong (\mathcal{A} \oplus \{0_{\mathcal{B}}\}) \cong \mathcal{A} \) so by Proposition 2.6 and [17] Theorem 2.3.10 the result follows. \( \square \)

Theorem 2.8. If the Banach algebras \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) are semi-amenable then \( \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \) is approximately semi-amenable.

Proof. Suppose that \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) are semi-amenable Banach algebras. Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a Banach \( \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \)-bimodule then \( \mathcal{X} \) is a Banach \( \mathcal{A} \) (and \( \mathcal{B} \))-bimodule, by following module actions

\[
a.x := (a, 0)x, \quad x.a := x(a, 0),
\]

and

\[
(b.x := (0, b)x, \quad x.b := x(0, b)),
\]

for each \( a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B} \) and \( x \in \mathcal{X} \).

By Lemma 2.3, (i), each \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) have a B.A.I. Let \( (f_\alpha)_\alpha \) and \( (e_\alpha)_\alpha \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) be...
left and right B.A.I for \( A \), also \( (f'_\alpha)_\alpha \) and \( (e'_\alpha)_\alpha \) in \( B \) be left and right B.A.I for \( B \), respectively. Then we have
\[
(a, 0) = \lim_{\alpha}(a, b). (e_\alpha, 0) = \lim_{\alpha}(f_\alpha, 0). (a, b),
\]
\[
(0, b) = \lim_{\alpha}(a, b). (0, e'_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha}(0, f'_\alpha). (a, b),
\]
for each \( a \in A \) and \( b \in B \). Let \( D \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}^*) \) be continuous. \( D \) induces two continuous derivations as follows
\[
\begin{align*}
D_1 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*, & \quad D_1(a) = D(a, 0) \\
D_2 : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*, & \quad D_2(b) = D(0, b).
\end{align*}
\]
Since \( A \) and \( B \) are semi-amenable so there are \( \xi, \eta, \mu \) and \( \nu \) in \( \mathcal{X}^* \) such that for each \( a \in A \),
\[ D_1(a) = a. \xi - \nu.a, \]
and for each \( b \in B \),
\[ D_2(b) = b. \eta - \mu.b. \]
Now by Eqs. (??) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
D_1(a) &= (a, 0). \xi - \nu.(a, 0) \\
&= \lim_{\alpha}(a, b). (e_\alpha, 0). \xi - \lim_{\alpha}(f_\alpha, 0). (a, b) \\
D_2(b) &= (0, b). \eta - \mu.(0, b) \\
&= \lim_{\alpha}(a, b). (0, e'_\alpha). \eta - \lim_{\alpha}(0, f'_\alpha). (a, b) \\
D(a, b) &= D_1(a) + D_2(b) \\
&= \lim_{\alpha}((a, b). [(e_\alpha, 0). \xi + (0, e'_\alpha). \eta] - [\nu.(f_\alpha, 0) + \mu.(0, f'_\alpha)]). (a, b)).
\end{align*}
\]
Letting, \( \varphi_\alpha = (e_\alpha, 0). \xi + (0, e'_\alpha). \eta \) and \( \zeta_\alpha = \nu.(f_\alpha, 0) + \mu.(0, f'_\alpha) \). Therefore,
\[ D(a, b) = \lim_{\alpha}[(a, b). \varphi_\alpha - \zeta_\alpha]. (a, b)] \]
Consequently, \( D \) is approximately semi-inner and \( A \oplus B \) is approximately semi-amenable. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.9.** Let \( A \) and \( B \) be Banach algebras. Then \( A \oplus B \) is semi-contractible (resp. approximately semi-contractible) if and only if \( A \) and \( B \) are semi-contractible (resp. approximately semi-contractible).

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) be respectively, Banach \( A \)-bimodule and Banach \( B \)-bimodule and \( D_1 \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) \), \( D_2 \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Y}) \) be continuous. Then \( \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \) is a Banach \( A \oplus B \)-bimodule, by following module actions
\[
\begin{align*}
(a, b). (x, y) &= (ax, by), \\
(x, y). (a, b) &= (xa, yb),
\end{align*}
\]
for \( a \in A \), \( b \in B \), \( x \in \mathcal{X} \) and \( y \in \mathcal{Y} \). Clearly, the mapping \( D^\sim : A \oplus B \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \) specified by \( D^\sim(a, b) := (D_1(a), D_2(b)) \) is a continuous derivation. So
by assumption there are \((\zeta, \eta), (\mu, \xi) \in \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y}, (\text{resp. nets } \{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\}_\alpha \text{ and } \{(x'_\alpha, y'_\alpha)\}_\alpha \text{ in } X \oplus Y)\), \((\zeta, \mu, x_\alpha, x'_\alpha \in \mathcal{X}, \text{ and } \eta, \xi, y_\alpha, y'_\alpha \in \mathcal{Y})\) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
(D_1(a), D_2(b)) &= D^\sim(a, b) \\
&= \lim_{\alpha} ((a, b). (\zeta, \eta) - (\mu, \xi). (a, b)) \\
&= \lim_{\alpha} (a. \zeta - \mu. a, b. \eta - \xi. b).
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\text{(resp. } (D_1(a), D_2(b)) = D^\sim(a, b) \\
&= \lim_{\alpha} ((a, b). (x_\alpha, y_\alpha) - (x'_\alpha, y'_\alpha). (a, b)) \\
&= \lim_{\alpha} (a. x_\alpha - x'_\alpha.a, b. y_\alpha - y'_\alpha.b).)
\]

Therefore, \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) are semi-inner (resp. approximately semi-inner). Consequently, \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) are semi-contractible (resp. approximately semi-contractible).

Conversely, let \(\mathcal{X}\) be a Banach \(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}\)-bimodule and \(D: \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{X}\) be a continuous derivation. Then \(\mathcal{X}\) is a Banach \(\mathcal{A}\) (and \(\mathcal{B}\))-bimodule by following module actions,
\[
a.x := (a, 0)x, \quad x.a := x(a, 0), \\
(b.x := (0, b)x, \quad x.b := x(0, b),
\]

for each \(a \in \mathcal{A}\), \(b \in \mathcal{B}\) and \(x \in \mathcal{X}\). Clearly, \(D\) induces two continuous derivations as follows
\[
D_1: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{X}, \quad D_1(a) = D(a, 0), \\
D_2: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{X}, \quad D_2(b) = D(0, b).
\]

So there are \(\zeta, \xi, \mu, \eta \in \mathcal{X}\), (resp. nets \((\zeta_\alpha)_{\alpha}, (\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha}, (\mu_\alpha)_{\alpha}\) and \((\eta_\alpha)_{\alpha}\) in \(\mathcal{X}\)) such that
\[
D_1(a) = a. \xi - \zeta. a = (a, 0). \xi - \zeta. (a, 0) \\
D_2(b) = b. \mu - \eta. b = (0, b). \mu - \eta. (0, b)
\]
\[
\text{(resp. } D_1(a) = \lim_{\alpha}[a. \xi_\alpha - \zeta_\alpha. a] = \lim_{\alpha}[(a, 0). \xi_\alpha - \zeta_\alpha. (a, 0)] \\
D_2(b) = \lim_{\alpha}[b. \mu_\alpha - \eta_\alpha. b] = \lim_{\alpha}[(0, b). \mu_\alpha - \eta_\alpha. (0, b)])
\]

for each \(a \in \mathcal{A}\) and \(b \in \mathcal{B}\).

\[
D(a, b) = D_1(a) + D_2(b) \\
= (a, 0). \xi - \zeta. (a, 0) + (0, b). \mu - \eta. (0, b)
\]
\[
\text{(resp. } D(a, b) = \lim_{\alpha}[(a, 0). \xi_\alpha - \zeta_\alpha. (a, 0)] + \lim_{\alpha}[(0, b). \mu_\alpha - \eta_\alpha. (0, b)])
\]

According to semi-contractibility of \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\mathcal{B}\), by Lemma 2.3 they have identity and B.A.I. Let \(e \in \mathcal{A}\) and \(f \in \mathcal{B}\) be them identity and let \((e_\alpha)_{\alpha}\) and \((f_\alpha)_{\alpha}\) be right and left B.A.I for \(\mathcal{A}\), respectively. Also \((e'_\alpha)_{\alpha}\) and \((f'_\alpha)_{\alpha}\) be
right and left B.A.I for B, respectively. Then for each (a, b) ∈ A ⊕ B, we have

\[(a, 0) = (a, b). (e, 0) = (e, 0). (a, b),\]
\[(0, b) = (a, b). (0, f) = (0, f). (a, b).\]

(resp. \[(a, 0) = \lim_\alpha (a, b). (e_\alpha, 0) = \lim_\alpha (f_\alpha, 0). (a, b),\]
\[(0, b) = \lim_\alpha (a, b). (0, e'_\alpha) = \lim_\alpha (0, f'_\alpha). (a, b).\])

Now from Eqs. (??) and (??) we have

\[D(a, b) = (a, b). ((e, 0)\xi + (0, f)\mu) - (\zeta.(e, 0) + \eta.(0, f)). (a, b)\]

(resp. \[D(a, b) = \lim_\alpha [(a, b). ((e_\alpha, 0)\xi_\alpha + (0, e'_\alpha)\mu_\alpha) - (\zeta_\alpha. (f_\alpha, 0) + \eta_\alpha. (0, f'_\alpha)). (a, b)].\])

Letting,

\[
\psi = (e, 0)\xi + (0, f)\mu \\
\varphi = \zeta.(e, 0) + \eta.(0, f)
\]

(resp. \[
\psi_\alpha = \{(e_\alpha, 0)\xi_\alpha + (0, e'_\alpha)\mu_\alpha\}_\alpha \\
\varphi_\alpha = \{\zeta_\alpha. (f_\alpha, 0) + \eta_\alpha. (0, f'_\alpha)\}_\alpha.
\]

Thus \(\psi, \varphi \in X\) and the nets \((\psi_\alpha), (\mu_\alpha)\) are in \(X\) and

\[D(a, b) = (a, b). \psi - \varphi. (a, b).\]

(resp. \[D(a, b) = \lim_\alpha [(a, b). \psi_\alpha - \varphi_\alpha. (a, b)].\])

So \(D\) is semi-inner (resp. approximately semi-inner) and consequently, \(A \oplus B\) is semi-contractible (resp. approximately semi-contractible). \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.10.** Suppose that \(I\) is a closed ideal of a Banach algebra \(A\), which \(I\) has a B.A.I. If \(A\) is semi-amenable (resp. approximately semi-amenable) then so is \(I\).

**Proof.** The proof is similar as in the amenability case. \(\square\)

**Theorem 2.11.** [17] Suppose that \(J\) is a closed two-sided ideal of Banach algebra \(A\). Then \(A\) is semi-amenable if and only if \(J\) and \(A/J\) are semi-amenable.

**Proof.** ' \(\Rightarrow\)' is evident. ' \(\Leftarrow\)' is arguving with a similar fashion of [[17] Theorem 2.3.10]. \(\square\)

**Corollary 2.12.** A Banach algebra \(A\) is semi-amenable if and only if it’s unitization \(A^\sharp\) is semi-amenable.

**Proof.** Let \(A\) be a non-unital Banach algebra. Then \(A^\sharp = A \oplus \mathbb{C}\), the unitization of \(A\) is a unital Banach algebra which contains \(A\) as a closed two-sided ideal and \(A^\sharp/A \cong \mathbb{C}\). So the result follows from Theorem 2.11. \(\square\)
Theorem 2.13 ([8], Theorem 3.3). Let $A$ and $B$ be Banach algebras.

(i) If $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is approximately amenable. Then every continuous derivation $D$ from $A$, or $B$ into any bimodule is approximately semi-inner.

(ii) If $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is boundedly approximately amenable. Then every continuous derivation $D$ from $A$ or $B$ into any dual bimodule is boundedly approximately semi-inner.

(iii) If $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is boundedly approximately contractible. Then every continuous derivation $D$ from $A$, or $B$ into any bimodule is boundedly approximately semi-inner.

Corollary 2.14. Let $A$ be an amenable Banach algebra then it is approximately semi-contractible. But the converse is not true.

Proof. $A$ is amenable then by [[11] Theorem 5.4] $A \hat{\otimes} A$ is amenable. Consequently, $A \hat{\otimes} A$ is approximately amenable. Thus by Theorem 2.13, (i), $A$ is approximately semi-contractible. For converse, consider Banach sequence algebra $l^2$ which is approximately semi-contractible but is not amenable [Example 2.2, (i)]. □

Example 2.15. By [[11] Theorem 2.5], for each locally compact, amenable group $G$, $L^1(G)$ is amenable. By [[2] Theorem 12 section 43], for each compact Huassdorff space $\Omega$ the Banach algebra $C(\Omega)$, is amenable. The Banach sequence algebra $c_0$ by conjugate involution is a commutative $C^*$-algebra so by [[18] Theorem 6.3.8] is amenable. Now by Corollary 2.14, all of them are approximately semi-contractible.

Barry. E. Johnson in [13] introduced approximate and virtual diagonal for Banach algebra $A$. He showed in [13] Lemma 1.2, and Theorem 1.3] that $A$ is amenable if and only if it has a virtual diagonal if and only if it has an approximate diagonal. In this section, we extend these concepts for studying of semi-amenability of Banach algebras and we study the semi-amenability version of Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 from [13].

Definition 2.16. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra. The bounded nets $(m_\alpha)_\alpha$ and $(n_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $A \hat{\otimes} A$ are called right and left approximate semi-diagonals for $A$, respectively, if for each $a \in A$

\begin{align*}
  i) & \ a \pi(m_\alpha) \to a \\
  ii) & \ \pi(n_\alpha)a \to a \\
  iii) & \ a.m_\alpha - n_\alpha.a \to 0
\end{align*}

in the norm topologies of $A$ and $A \hat{\otimes} A$, respectively.

Definition 2.17. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra. The elements $M, N \in (A \hat{\otimes} A)^{\ast\ast}$ are called right and left virtual semi-diagonals for $A$, respectively,
if for each \( a \in A \),

\[
\begin{align*}
  i) & \ a^{**}(M) = a \\
  ii) & \ \pi^{**}(N)a = a \\
  iii) & \ a.M = N.a.
\end{align*}
\]

In the following, we suggest useful connection between semi-amenability and the existence of virtual semi-diagonals, approximate semi-diagonals of Banach algebras. This result is interesting application of virtual semi-diagonals and approximate semi-diagonals of Banach algebras which its proof has the same technique of \([17]\).

**Theorem 2.18.** \([17]\) For a Banach algebra \( A \), the following are equivalent:

i) \( A \) is semi-amenable.

ii) \( A \) has approximate semi-diagonals.

iii) \( A \) has virtual semi-diagonals.

**Proof.** Most of the proof is the same as \([17]\), Theorem 2.2.4, only \((i) \Rightarrow (iii)\) and \((iii) \Rightarrow (ii)\) need some consideration.

\( (i) \Rightarrow (iii) \): By Lemma 2.3, we know that \( A \) has a B.A.I. Suppose that \((e_\alpha)\alpha\) and \((f_\alpha)\alpha\) are right and left B.A.I, for \( A \), respectively. It’s easily verified that \( \{(e_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha\}\alpha\) and \( \{(f_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha\}\alpha\) are right and left B.A.I of \( \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \) and Let \( E,F \in (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A})^{**} \) be \( w^*\)-accumulation points of them, respectively. Then, for each \( a \in A \) we have

\[
\pi^{**}(a.E - F.a) = w^* - \lim_\alpha \pi(ae_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha - f_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha a)
\]

and for \( x \in A^* \),

\[
\langle \pi(ae_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha - f_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha a), x \rangle = \langle ae_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha - f_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha a, \pi^*(x) \rangle
= \langle ae_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha, \pi^*(x) \rangle - \langle f_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha a, \pi^*(x) \rangle
= \langle \pi(ae_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha), x \rangle - \langle \pi(f_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha a), x \rangle
= \langle ae_\alpha^2 - f_\alpha^2 a, x \rangle
\rightarrow (0, x)
\]

So \( \delta_{E,F}(A) \subseteq ker \pi^{**} \). Since \( \pi \) is a \( \hat{A} \)-bimodule homomorphism, so is \( \pi^{**} \), and consequently, \( ker \pi^{**} \) is a Banach \( \hat{A} \)-bimodule. Also, since \( A \) has a B.A.I., Cohen’s factorization theorem [\[2\] Section 11, Theorem 10] implies that \( \pi \) is surjective and thus is open mapping. Consequently, \( ker \pi^{**} \cong (ker \pi)^{**} \) and \( ker \pi^{**} \) is in fact a dual Banach \( \hat{A} \)-bimodule. By assumption \( A \) is semi-amenable, so there are \( G,H \in ker \pi^{**} \) such that \( \delta_{E,F}(a) = a.E - F.a \)

\[G = H.a = \delta_{G,H}(a), \text{ for each } a \in A. \]

Letting, \( M := E - G, N := F - H \), then we obtain

1) \( a^{**}(M) = a^{**}(E - G) = a^{**}(E) - a^{**}(G) \)

\[= a^{**}(E) = w^* - \lim_\alpha a \pi(e_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha) \]

\[= w^* - \lim_\alpha (ae_\alpha^2) = a. \]
Similarly, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
2) \; \pi^{**}(N).a &= a \\
3) \; a.M &= N.a
\end{align*}
\]
for all \(a \in \mathcal{A}\). Consequently, \(M\) and \(N\) are right and left virtual semi-diagonals, for \(\mathcal{A}\), respectively.

\((iii) \Rightarrow (ii)\): Let \(M\) and \(N\) in \((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^{**}\) be right and left semi-virtual diagonals, for \(\mathcal{A}\), respectively. Let \((m_\alpha)\) and \((n_\alpha)\) be bounded nets in \(\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}\) such that,

\[
\begin{align*}
M &= w^* - \lim_\alpha m_\alpha \\
N &= w^* - \lim_\alpha n_\alpha
\end{align*}
\]

in \(w^*\)-topology of \((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^{**}\). So for each \(a \in \mathcal{A}\), we have

\[
0 = a.M - Na = w^* - \lim_\alpha (a.m_\alpha - n_\alpha.a).
\]

Consequently,

\[
(2.3) \quad w - \lim_\alpha (a.m_\alpha - n_\alpha.a) = 0.
\]

Also, we have

\[
a = a\pi^{**}(M) = w^* - \lim_\alpha a\pi(m_\alpha).
\]

Consequently,

\[
(2.4) \quad w - \lim_\alpha a\pi(m_\alpha) = a.
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
(2.5) \quad w - \lim_\alpha \pi(n_\alpha)a = a
\]

for each \(a \in \mathcal{A}\). Now by using the fact that the weak closure of a convex set is the same as its norm closure, the limits \((2.3), (2.4), (2.5)\) can be replaced by norm limits, and thus we obtain right and left approximate semi-diagonals, for \(\mathcal{A}\).

\[
\square
\]

**Proposition 2.19.** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a Banach algebra, and let \((\mathcal{A}_\alpha)_\alpha\) be a directed family of closed semi-amenable subalgebras of \(\mathcal{A}\) such that \(\bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha = \mathcal{A}\). Then \(\mathcal{A}\) is semi-amenable.

**Proof.** Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be the family of all finite subsets of \(\bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha\). For \(F \in \mathcal{F}\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\), we choose \(\mathcal{A}_\alpha\) with \(F \subset \mathcal{A}_\alpha\). Since \(\mathcal{A}_\alpha\) is semi-amenable, by Theorem 2.19, it has approximate semi-diagonals. Let the bounded nets \((m_{F,\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0,F \in \mathcal{F}}\) and \((n_{F,\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0,F \in \mathcal{F}}\) in \(\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}\), with \(\|m_{F,\varepsilon}\| < \infty\) and \(\|n_{F,\varepsilon}\| < \infty\), be respectively right and left approximate semi-diagonals of it such that,

\[
\begin{align*}
\|a.\pi(m_{F,\varepsilon}) - a\| < \varepsilon, & \quad \|\pi(n_{F,\varepsilon}).a - a\| < \varepsilon, \\
\|a.m_{F,\varepsilon} - n_{F,\varepsilon}.a\| < \varepsilon,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\square
\]
for each \( a \in F \). Since \( F \) is arbitrary, so above inequalities hold, for each \( a \in \cup_\alpha A_\alpha \). It follows that \((m_F)_{F \in F, \epsilon > 0}\) and \((n_F)_{F \in F, \epsilon > 0}\) are respectively right and left approximate semi-diagonals for \( \cup_\alpha A_\alpha \). Thus by Proposition 2.6, (i) and Theorem 2.19, \( A \) is semi-amenable. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.20.** An alternative proof for Proposition 2.6, (ii).

**Proof.** The mapping \( \theta : B \to A \) is a continuous epimorphism, so \( \theta \otimes \theta : B \hat{\otimes} B \to A \hat{\otimes} A \) maps any \((m_\alpha)_\alpha\) and \((n_\alpha)_\alpha\) right and left approximate semi-diagonals for \( B \) to \(((\theta \otimes \theta)m_\alpha)_\alpha\) and \(((\theta \otimes \theta)n_\alpha)_\alpha\) right and left approximate semi-diagonals for \( A \), respectively. Thus by Theorem 2.19, \( A \) is semi-amenable. \( \square \)

We need and remark the following lemma from [7, Lemma 1.7].

**Lemma 2.21.** Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra. Then there is a continuous linear mapping \( \psi : A^{**} \hat{\otimes} A^{**} \to (A \hat{\otimes} A)^{**} \) such that, for \( a, b, x, m \in A^{**} \hat{\otimes} A^{**} \) the following statements hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad \psi(a \otimes b) = a \otimes b; \\
(ii) & \quad \psi(m).x = \psi(m.x); \\
(iii) & \quad x.\psi(m) = \psi(x.m); \\
(iv) & \quad (\pi_A^{**})(\psi(m)) = \pi_{A^{**}}(m).
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** See [7]. \( \square \)

Now let \( A^{**} \) be the second dual of a Banach algebra \( A \) equipped with the first or the second Arens product. Then we have the following theorem, which is similar to a result relating amenability of \( A^{**} \) to that of \( A \), [7, Theorem, 1.8].

**Theorem 2.22.** Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra. Suppose that \( A^{**} \) is semi-amenable. Then so is \( A \).

**Proof.** Let the bounded nets \((m_\alpha)_\alpha\) and \((n_\alpha)_\alpha\) in \( A^{**} \hat{\otimes} A^{**} \) be respectively, right and left approximate semi-diagonals for \( A^{**} \). By regarding Lemma 2.22, let \( M \) and \( N \) be \( w^* \)-cluster points of \( \{\psi(m_\alpha)\}_\alpha \) and \( \{\psi(n_\alpha)\}_\alpha \) in \( (A \hat{\otimes} A)^{**} \), respectively. Then

\[
x.M = w^* - \lim_\alpha x.\psi(m_\alpha) = w^* - \lim_\alpha \psi(x.m_\alpha) \\
= w^* - \lim_\alpha \psi(n_\alpha.x) = w^* - \lim_\alpha \psi(n_\alpha).x \\
= N.x
\]

for each \( x \in A \). Also by weak* continuity of \( \pi_A^{**} \) and using the fact that \( \pi_{A^{**}}(m_\alpha) \), is right approximate identity for \( A^{**} \), we have

\[
x.\pi_A^{**}(M) = w^* - \lim_\alpha x.\pi_A^{**}(\psi(m_\alpha)) \\
= w^* - \lim_\alpha x.\pi_{A^{**}}(m_\alpha) = x
\]
for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly,
\[
\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^{**}(N).x = x.
\]

Therefore, $M$ and $N$ are respectively, right and left virtual semi-diagonals for $\mathcal{A}$. So by Theorem 2.18, $\mathcal{A}$ is semi-amenable. \hfill \Box

**Problem:** Given an example of Banach algebra which has virtual semi-diagonals and approximate semi-diagonals, but it has not virtual diagonals and approximate diagonals.
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