CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}$ AND $\mathcal{H}_{0,0,s}$ BY POWERS OF HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

AHMED ABDELJAWAD, CARMEN FERNÁNDEZ, ANTONIO GALBIS, JOACHIM TOFT, AND RÜYA ÜSTER

Abstract. We show that a smooth function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ belongs to the Pilipović space $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or the Pilipović space $\mathcal{H}_{0,0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, if and only if the $L^p$ norm of $H_N^d f$ for $N \geq 0$, satisfy certain types of estimates. Here $H_d = |x|^2 - \Delta_x$ is the harmonic oscillator.

0. Introduction

In the paper we characterize Pilipović spaces of the form $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, considered in [3, 12], in terms of estimates of powers of the harmonic oscillator, on the involved functions.

The set of Pilipović spaces is a family of Fourier invariant spaces, containing any Fourier invariant (standard) Gelfand-Shilov space. The (standard) Pilipović spaces $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, are the sets of all formal Hermite series expansions

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_\alpha(f) h_\alpha(x) \quad (0.1)$$

such that

$$|c_\alpha(f)| \lesssim e^{-r|\alpha|^2} \quad (0.2)$$

holds true for some $r > 0$ respective for every $r > 0$. (See [6] and Section 1 for notations.) Evidently, $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ increases with $s$. It is proved in [3] that if $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are the Gelfand-Shilov spaces of Roumieu respective Beurling types of order $s$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (0.3)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \Sigma_s(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s > \frac{1}{2}, \quad (0.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \neq \Sigma_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{0\}, \quad s = \frac{1}{2}.$$

It is also well-known that $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{0\}$ when $s < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Sigma_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{0\}$ when $s \leq \frac{1}{2}$. These relationships are completed in [12] by the
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\[ \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \neq \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{0\}, \quad s < \frac{1}{2} \]

and
\[ \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \neq \Sigma_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{0\}, \quad s \leq \frac{1}{2} \]

In particular, each Pilipović space is contained in the Schwartz space \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

For \( \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) (\( \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \)) we also have the characterizations
\[ f \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \iff \|H_d^N f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim r^N N!^{2s} \quad (0.5) \]

for some \( r > 0 \) (for every \( r > 0 \)) concerning estimates of powers of the harmonic oscillator
\[ H_d = |x|^2 - \Delta_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \]
acting on the involved functions. These relations were obtained in \([8]\) for \( s \geq \frac{1}{2} \), and in \([12]\) in the general case \( s > 0 \).

In \([3, 12]\) characterizations of \( \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) were also obtained by certain spaces of analytic functions on \( \mathbb{C}^d \), via the Bargmann transform. From these mapping properties it follows that near \( s = \frac{1}{2} \) there is a jump concerning these Bargmann images. More precisely, if \( s = \frac{1}{2} \), then the Bargmann image of \( \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) (of \( \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \)) is the set of all entire functions \( F \) on \( \mathbb{C}^d \) such that \( F \) obeys the condition
\[ |F(z)| \lesssim e^{(\frac{1}{2} - r)|z|^2} \quad (|F(z)| \lesssim e^{|z|^2}) \quad (0.6) \]

for some \( r > 0 \) (for every \( r > 0 \)). For \( s < \frac{1}{2} \), this estimate is replaced by
\[ |F(z)| \lesssim e^{r(\log(1+|z|))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (0.7) \]

for some \( r > 0 \) (for every \( r > 0 \)), which is indeed a stronger condition compared to the case \( s = \frac{1}{2} \).

An important motivation for considering the spaces \( \mathcal{H}_{\beta_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,\beta_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is to make this gap smaller. More precisely, \( \mathcal{H}_{\beta_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,\beta_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), which are Pilipović spaces of Roumieu respective Beurling types, is a family of function spaces, which increases with \( \sigma \) and such that
\[ \mathcal{H}_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{0,s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{0,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \quad s_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}. \]

The spaces \( \mathcal{H}_{\beta_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,\beta_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) consist of all formal Hermite series expansions \([7, 11]\) such that
\[ |c_\alpha(f)| \lesssim r^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \quad (0.8) \]
hold true for some \( r > 0 \) respectively for every \( r > 0 \). For the Bargmann images of \( \mathcal{H}_{b_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,b_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), the conditions (0.6) and (0.7) above are replaced by

\[
|F(z)| \lesssim e^{r|z|\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \log(N\sigma)}},
\]

for some \( r > 0 \) respectively for every \( r > 0 \). It follows that the gaps of the Bargmann images of \( \mathcal{H}_{b_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,b_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), the conditions (0.6) and (0.7) above are replaced by

\[
|F(z)| \lesssim e^{r|z|\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \log(N\sigma)}},
\]

for some \( r > 0 \) respectively for every \( r > 0 \). It follows that the gaps of the Bargmann images of \( \mathcal{H}_{b_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,b_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( \sigma > 0 \), in the family of Pilipović spaces.

In [3], characterizations of \( \mathcal{H}_{b_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,b_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) in terms of estimates of powers of the harmonic oscillator acting on the involved functions which corresponds to (0.5) are deduced. On the other hand, apart from the case \( \sigma = 1 \), it seems that no such characterizations for \( \mathcal{H}_{b_{\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,b_{\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) have been obtained so far.

In Section 2 we fill this gap in the theory, and deduce such characterizations. In particular, as a consequence of our main result, Theorem 2.1 in Section 2, we have

\[
f \in \mathcal{H}_{b_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b_\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow
\]

\[
\|H_N f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^n N^{\frac{n}{\log(N\sigma)}} \left( \frac{2N\sigma}{\log(N\sigma)} \right)^{N(1 - \frac{1}{\log(N\sigma)})}
\]

for some (every) \( r > 0 \). By choosing \( \sigma = 1 \) we regain the corresponding characterizations in [3] for \( \mathcal{H}_{b_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,b_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

1. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some facts about Gelfand-Shilov spaces and Pilipović spaces.

Let \( s > 0 \). Then the (Fourier invariant) Gelfand-Shilov spaces \( \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \Sigma_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) of Roumieu and Beurling types, respectively, consists of all \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that

\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_{s,r}} \equiv \sup_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left( \frac{\|x^\alpha D^\beta f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{r^{\alpha + \beta!/(\alpha!\beta!)^s}} \right)
\]

is finite, for some \( r > 0 \) respectively for every \( r > 0 \). The topologies of \( \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \Sigma_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) are the inductive limit topology and the projective limit topology, respectively, supplied by the norms (1.1).

For \( \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) we consider the norms

\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{s,r}} \equiv \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left( |c_\alpha(f)| e^{r|\alpha|\frac{\mathbb{P}}{2}} \right) \quad \text{when} \quad s \in \mathbb{R}_+
\]
In particular,

\[ \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{s,r}} \equiv \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left( |c_\alpha(f)| r^{\left|\alpha\right|}\alpha!^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \right) \text{ when } s = b_\sigma. \]

By extending \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) into \( \mathbb{R}_0 \equiv \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{ b_\sigma \}_{\sigma > 0} \) and letting

\[ s_1 < b_{\sigma_1} < b_{\sigma_2} < s_2 \text{ when } s_2 \geq 1, \ s_1 < 1 \text{ and } \sigma_1 < \sigma_2, \]

we have

\[ \mathcal{H}_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{0,s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d), \ s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}_0 \text{ and } s_1 < s_2. \]

Let \( r > 0 \) be fixed. Then the set \( \mathcal{H}_{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) consists of all \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that \( \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{s,r}} \) is finite. It follows that \( \mathcal{H}_{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is a Banach space.

The Pilipović spaces \( \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) are the inductive limit and the projective limit, respectively, of \( \mathcal{H}_{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with respect to \( r > 0 \). In particular,

\[ \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \bigcup_{r > 0} \mathcal{H}_{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \bigcap_{r > 0} \mathcal{H}_{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^d) \]

and it follows that \( \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is complete, and that \( \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is a Fréchet space. It is well-known that the identities (0.3) and (0.4) also hold in topological sense (cf. [3]).

We also need some facts about weight functions. A weight on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is a function \( \omega \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that \( \omega(x) > 0 \) for every \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( 1/\omega \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). The weight \( \omega \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is called moderate of polynomial type, if there is an integer \( N \geq 0 \) such that

\[ \omega(x+y) \lesssim \omega(x)(1+|y|)^N, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \]

The set of moderate weights of polynomial types on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

2. Characterizations of \( \mathcal{H}_{0,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{0,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) in Terms of Powers of the Harmonic Oscillator

In this section we deduce characterizations of the test function spaces \( \mathcal{H}_{0,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

More precisely we have the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( N \in \mathbb{N}, \ \sigma > 0, \ p \in [1, \infty] \) and let \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) be given by (1.1). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. \( f \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) (\( f \in \mathcal{H}_{0,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \));
2. for some \( r > 0 \) (for every \( r > 0 \)) it holds

\[ |c_\alpha(f)| \lesssim r^{\left|\alpha\right|} (\alpha!)^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}; \]
3. for some \( r > 0 \) (for every \( r > 0 \)) it holds

\[ \|H^N_d f\|_{L^p} \lesssim 2^N r^{\frac{N}{\log(N\sigma)}} \left( \frac{2N\sigma}{\log(N\sigma)} \right)^{N(1-\frac{1}{\log(N\sigma)})}. \quad (2.1) \]
First we need certain invariance properties concerning the norm condition (2.1). More precisely, the following result links the conditions
\[
\|H_d^N f\|_{L^p_0} \lesssim 2^{N} r^{\frac{N}{\log(N \sigma)}} \left( \frac{2N \sigma}{\log(N \sigma)} \right)^{N(1 - \frac{1}{\log(N \sigma)})}, \quad N \geq 0,
\]
and
\[
\|H_d^N f\|_{M^p,q(\omega)} < 2^{N} r^{\frac{N}{\log(N \sigma)}} \left( \frac{2N \sigma}{\log(N \sigma)} \right)^{N(1 - \frac{1}{\log(N \sigma)})}, \quad N \geq N_0.
\]
to each others and shows in particular that the \(L^p\) norm in (2.1) can be replaced by other types of Lebesgue or modulation space quasi-norms.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \(p_0 \in [1, \infty], p, q \in (0, \infty], N_0 \geq 0\) be an integer, \(\sigma > 0\) and let \(\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^2)\). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. (2.2) holds for some \(r > 0\) (for every \(r > 0\));
2. (2.3) holds for some \(r > 0\) (for every \(r > 0\)).

We need the following lemma for the proof of Proposition 2.2.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \(R \geq 1, I = (0, R]\),
\[
g(r, t_1, t_2) = \frac{t_2}{t_1} \log\left(\frac{1 + \frac{r}{t_1 + \log(t_1)}}{1 + \frac{r}{t_2 + \log(t_2)}}\right)
\]
and
\[
h(t_1, t_2) = \frac{t_1}{t_2} \log\left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{t_2 \log t_2}}{1 + \frac{1}{t_1 \log t_1}}\right),
\]
when \(t_1, t_2 > e\) and \(r > 0\). Then
\[
0 \leq g(r, t_1, t_2) \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq h(t_1, t_2) \leq C \frac{t_2}{t_1 \log t_1},
\]
when
\[
t_1, t_2 > R + 1, 0 \leq t_2 - t_1 \leq R, \quad r \in I,
\]
for some constant \(C > 0\) which only depends on \(R\).

**Proof.** Since \(t \mapsto \frac{t}{\log t}\) is increasing when \(t \geq e\), \(g\) is upper bounded by one when \(r \leq 1\), and the boundedness of \(g\) follows in this case.

If \(r \geq 1, t = t_1, u = t_2 - t_1 > 0\) and \(\rho = \log r\), then
\[
0 \leq \log g(r, t_1, t_2) = \left( \frac{t + u}{\log(t + u)} - \frac{t}{\log t} \right) \rho
\]
\[
= \frac{t}{\log t} \left( \frac{1 + \frac{u}{\log(1 + \frac{u}{\log t})}}{1 + \frac{1}{\log(1 + \frac{1}{\log t})}} - 1 \right) \rho
\]
\[
= \frac{t}{\log t} \left( \frac{u}{t} - \frac{\log(1 + \frac{1}{\log t})}{\log(1 + \frac{1}{\log t})} \right) \rho
\]
\[
< \frac{t}{\log t} \cdot \frac{u}{t} \cdot \rho = \frac{u\rho}{\log t} \leq C
\]
for some constant \(C\) which only depends on \(r\) and \(R\). This shows the boundedness of \(g\).
Next we show the estimates for $h(t_1, t_2)$ in (2.4). By taking the logarithm of $h(t_1, t_2) = h(t, t_2)$ we get
\[
\log h(t, t_2) = t_2 \log \left( \frac{2t_2}{\log t_2} \right) - t \log \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right) - b(t, t_2),
\]
where
\[
b(t, t_2) = \left( \frac{t_2}{\log t_2} \log \left( \frac{2t_2}{\log t_2} \right) - \frac{t}{\log t} \log \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right) \right).
\]
Since $b(t, t_2) > 0$ when $t_2 > t$, we get
\[
\log h(t_1, t_2) < t_2 \log \left( \frac{2t_2}{\log t_2} \right) - t \log \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right)
= (t + u) \left( \log \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right) + \log \left( \frac{1 + \frac{u}{t}}{1 + \frac{u}{\log(1 + \frac{u}{t})}} \right) \right) - t \log \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right)
\leq u \log \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right) + t \log \left( 1 + \frac{u}{t} \right) + C
\leq u \log \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right) + u + C \lesssim \frac{t^2}{\log t_2},
\]
for some constant $C \geq 0$.

Proof of Proposition 2.2: First we prove that (2.3) is independent of $N_0 \geq 0$ when $p, q \geq 1$. Evidently, if (2.3) is true for $N_0 = 0$, then it is true also for $N_0 > 0$. On the other hand, the map
\[
H^N_d : M^p,q_{(\omega)}(R^d) \to M^p,q_{(\omega)}(R^d), \quad v_N(x, \xi) = (1 + |x|^2 + |\xi|^2)^N, \quad (2.5)
\]
and its inverse are continuous and bijective (cf. e.g. [9, Theorem 3.10]). Hence, if $0 \leq N \leq N_0$, $N_1 = N_0 - N \geq 0$ and (2.3) holds for some $N_0 \geq 0$, then
\[
\|H^N_d f\|_{M^p,q_{(\omega)}} \lesssim \|H^{N_0} f\|_{M^p,q_{(\omega)}} \lesssim \|H^N_d f\|_{M^p,q_{(\omega)}} < \infty,
\]
and (2.3) holds for $N_0 = 0$. This implies that (2.3) is independent of $N_0 \geq 0$ when $p, q \geq 1$.

Next we prove that (2) is independent of the choice of $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(R^{2d})$. For every $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{P}(R^{2d})$, we may find an integer $N_0 \geq 0$ such that
\[
1/v_{N_0} \lesssim \omega_1, \omega_2 \lesssim v_{N_0},
\]
and then
\[
\|f\|_{M^p,q_{(\omega_1)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{M^p,q_{(\omega_2)}}, \quad \|f\|_{M^p,q_{(\omega_1)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{M^p,q_{(\omega_2)}}, \quad \|f\|_{M^p,q_{(\omega_0)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{M^p,q_{(\omega_0)}}, \quad (2.6)
\]
Hence the stated invariance follows if we prove that (2.3) holds for $\omega = v_{N_0}$, if it is true for $\omega = 1/v_{N_0}$.
Therefore, assume that (2.3) holds for \( \omega = 1/v_{N_0} \). Let \( g_N = H_d^{N} f \), \( u = 2N_0\sigma \), \( t = t_1 = N\sigma \), \( N_2 = N + 2N_0 \) and \( t_2 = t_1 + u = N_2\sigma \). If \( N \geq 2N_0 \), then the bijectivity of (2.5) gives
\[
\|g_N\|^\sigma_{M_{p,q}^{(\omega, v_{N_0})}} \lesssim 2^u (t^r_{t_1, t_2}) h(t_1, t_2) \cdot \|g_{N_2}\|^\sigma_{M_{p,q}^{(\omega, v_{N_0})}}.
\]
where \( g(r, t_1, t_2) \) and \( h(t_1, t_2) \) are the same as in Lemma 2.3. A combination of Lemma 2.3 and (2.7) shows that (2) is independent of \( \omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). For general \( p, q > 0 \), the invariance of (2.3) with respect to \( \omega, p \) and \( q \) is a consequence of the embeddings
\[
M_{(\omega, v_{N_0})}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq M_{(\omega)}^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq M_{(\omega, v_{N_0})}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad N > d\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right).
\]
The equivalence between (1) and (2) follows from these invariance properties and the continuous embeddings
\[
M_{p_0, q_1}^{(\omega)} \subseteq L^{p_0} \subseteq M_{p_0, q_2}^{(\omega)}, \quad q_1 = \min(p_0, p_0'), \quad q_2 = \max(p_0, p_0'),
\]
which can be found in e.g. [10]. □

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \sigma > 0 \). If
\[
\|H_d^{N} f\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{N} \left(\frac{2N\sigma}{\log(N\sigma)}\right)^{N(1-\frac{1}{\log(N\sigma)})}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \ N\sigma \geq e,
\]
for some \( r > 0 \) (for every \( r > 0 \)), then
\[
|c_\alpha(f)| \lesssim r^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{d}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d,
\]
for some \( r > 0 \) (for every \( r > 0 \)).

**Proposition 2.5.** Let \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \sigma > 0 \). If (2.9) holds for some \( r > 0 \) (for every \( r > 0 \)), then (2.8) holds for some \( r > 0 \) (for every \( r > 0 \)).

For the proofs we need some preparing lemmas.
Lemma 2.6. Let $\sigma > 0$, $\sigma_0 \in [0, \sigma]$ and let $F(r, t) = \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right)^{t(1 - \frac{1}{\log t})} r^{\frac{t}{\log t}}$, when $r \geq 0$ and $t > \sigma (e + 1) + e$. Then

$$F(r, t) \leq F(r, t + \sigma_0), \quad r \in [1, \infty),$$

(2.10)

and

$$F(r, t) \leq F(r^{-\frac{1}{s}}, t + \sigma_0), \quad r \in (0, 1].$$

(2.11)

Proof. If $r \geq 1$, then it follows by straight-forward tests with derivatives that $F(r, t)$ is increasing with respect to $t > e + \sigma$. This gives (2.10).

In order to prove (2.11), let $t_1 = t + \sigma_0$ and

$$h(t_1, \sigma_0) = \frac{1 - \frac{\sigma_0}{t_1}}{1 + \log(1 - \frac{\sigma_0}{t_1})},$$

where $0 \leq \sigma_0 \leq \sigma$. Then

$$
\left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right)^{t(1 - \frac{1}{\log t})} r^{\frac{t}{\log t}} \leq \left( \frac{2t_1}{\log t_1} \right)^{t_1(1 - \frac{1}{\log t_1})} r^{\frac{t_1}{\log t_1}}
$$

(2.12)

and

$$\frac{t}{\log t} = h(t_1, \sigma_0) \cdot \frac{t_1}{\log t_1}.$$

Since

$$0 \leq \frac{\sigma_0}{t_1} \leq \frac{1}{e} \quad \text{and} \quad -1 < \log(1 - \frac{\sigma_0}{t_1}) \leq 1.$$

we get

$$h(t_1, \sigma_0) \geq 1 - \frac{\sigma_0}{t_1} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{e}.$$

Hence the facts $\frac{t_1}{\log t_1} \geq 1$ and $0 < r \leq 1$ give

$$r^{\frac{t}{\log t}} = r^{h(t_1, \sigma_0) \frac{t_1}{\log t_1}} \leq r^{(1 - \frac{1}{e}) \frac{t_1}{\log t_1}}.$$

A combination of the latter inequality with (2.12) gives

$$F(r, t) \leq \left( \frac{2t_1}{\log t_1} \right)^{t_1(1 - \frac{1}{\log t_1})} \left( r^{(1 - \frac{1}{e}) \frac{t_1}{\log t_1}} \right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} = F(r^{-\frac{1}{s}}, t_1).$$

□

Lemma 2.7. Let $\sigma > 0$, $s \geq 10$,

$$\Omega_1 = [e, \infty) \cap (\sigma \cdot \mathbb{N}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2 = [e, \infty).$$

Then the following is true:

(1) for any $r_2 > 0$, there is an $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{t \in \Omega_j} \left( s^{-t} \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right)^{t(1 - \frac{1}{\log t})} r_1^{t \frac{t}{\log t}} \right) \lesssim r_2^s s^{-\frac{j}{s}}, \quad j = 1, 2;$$

(2.13)

(2) for any $r_1 > 0$, there is an $r_2 > 0$ such that (2.13) holds.
Proof. First prove the result for \( j = 2 \). Let \( x = \log t \), \( y = \log s \), \( \rho_j = \log r_j \), \( j = 1, 2 \). By applying the logarithm on (2.13), the statements (1) and (2) follow if we prove:

\[(1)’ \text{ for any } \rho_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ there is a } \rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that} \]
\[
\inf_{x \geq 1} F(x) \leq C
\]

for some constant \( C \), where

\[
F(x) = -e^x y + e^x \log 2 + e^x \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) (x - \log x) + \rho_1 \frac{e^x}{x} - \rho_2 e^y + \frac{e^y y}{2}
\]

\[(2)’ \text{ for any } \rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ there is a } \rho_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that (2.14) holds.}\]

By choosing \( x = y + \log y - \log 2 \) and letting \( h = \frac{\log y - \log 2}{y} > 0 \), which is small when \( y \) is large, (2.15) becomes

\[
F(y + \log y - \log 2) = e^y \left(-\frac{y^2}{2} + \frac{y \log 2}{2} + \frac{y}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{y + \log y - \log 2}\right) (y + \log y - \log 2 - \log(y + \log y - \log 2)) + \frac{\rho_1 y}{2(y + \log y - \log 2) - \rho_2 + \frac{y}{2}}\right)
\]

\[= e^y \left(-\frac{y}{2} \log(1 + h) + \frac{\log y + \log(1 + h)}{2(1 + h)} + \frac{\rho_1}{2(1 + h)} - \rho_2\right)\]

If \( \rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) is fixed, then we choose \( \rho_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\frac{\rho_1}{2(1 + h)} - \rho_2 \leq -C_0
\]

for some large number \( C_0 > 0 \). In the same way, if \( \rho_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) is fixed, then we choose \( \rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that (2.16) holds. For such choices and the fact that \( h > 0 \), Taylor expansions give

\[
F(y + \log y - \log 2) \leq e^y \left(-\frac{y}{2} \log(1 + h) + \frac{\log y + \log(1 + h)}{2(1 + h)} - C_0\right)
\]

\[\leq e^y \left(-\frac{y}{2} \log(1 + h) + \frac{\log y + \log(1 + h)}{2} - C_0\right)\]

\[\leq e^y \left(-\frac{\log y - \log 2}{2} + \frac{(\log y - \log 2)^2}{4y} + \frac{1}{2} (\log y + h) - C_0\right)\]

\[\leq e^y \left(\frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{(\log y - \log 2)^2}{4y} + \frac{h}{2} - C_0\right) < 0,\]

provided \( C_0 \) was chosen large enough. This gives the result in the case \( j = 2 \).
Next suppose that \( j = 1, r_2 > 0 \) and \( \rho \in (0,1) \). By the first part of the proof, there are \( t_1 > 0 \) and \( r_1 > 0 \) such that
\[
 s^{-t_1} \left( \frac{2t_1}{\log t_1} \right)^{t_1(1-\frac{1}{\log t_1})} \frac{1}{r_1^\frac{1}{\log t_1}} \lesssim (\rho r_2)^s s^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
By Lemma 2.6 and the fact that \( \rho^s s^{\alpha_0} \) is bounded, it follows that
\[
 s^{-t} \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right)^{t(1-\frac{1}{\log t})} \frac{1}{r_1^\frac{1}{\log t}} \lesssim r_2^s s^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\]
holds for some \( r_1 > 0 \), when \( t = N\sigma \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) is chosen such that \( 0 \leq t_1 - N\sigma \leq \sigma \). This gives (1) for \( j = 1 \).

By similar arguments, (2) for \( j = 1 \) follows from (2) in the case \( j = 2 \). The details are left for the reader. □

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Suppose that (2.8) holds for some \( r_1 > 0 \).

By the first part of the proof, there are \( t_1 > 0 \) and \( r_1 > 0 \) such that
\[
 s^{-t_1} \left( \frac{2t_1}{\log t_1} \right)^{t_1(1-\frac{1}{\log t_1})} \frac{1}{r_1^\frac{1}{\log t_1}} \lesssim (\rho r_2)^s s^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
By Lemma 2.6 and the fact that \( \rho^s s^{\alpha_0} \) is bounded, it follows that
\[
 s^{-t} \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right)^{t(1-\frac{1}{\log t})} \frac{1}{r_1^\frac{1}{\log t}} \lesssim r_2^s s^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\]
holds for some \( r_1 > 0 \), when \( t = N\sigma \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) is chosen such that \( 0 \leq t_1 - N\sigma \leq \sigma \). This gives (1) for \( j = 1 \).

By similar arguments, (2) for \( j = 1 \) follows from (2) in the case \( j = 2 \). The details are left for the reader. □

Proof of Proposition 2.5. Suppose that (2.8) holds for some \( r = r_1 > 0 \).

By
\[
 c_\alpha(H_d^N f) = (2|\alpha| + d)^N c_\alpha(f), \quad |c_\alpha(H_d^N f)| \leq \|H_d^N f\|_{L^2} \quad (2.17)
\]
and (2.8) we get
\[
 |c_\alpha(f)| = \frac{|c_\alpha(H_d^N f)|}{(2|\alpha| + d)^N} \lesssim \left( |\alpha| + \frac{d}{2} \right)^{-N} \frac{N}{\log(N\sigma)} \left( \frac{2N\sigma}{\log(N\sigma)} \right)^{N(1-\frac{1}{\log(N\sigma)})} \leq \left( |\alpha|^{-N\sigma} \frac{N}{\log(N\sigma)} \left( \frac{2N\sigma}{\log(N\sigma)} \right)^{N(1-\frac{1}{\log(N\sigma)})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
By taking the infimum over all \( N \geq 0 \), it follows from Lemma 2.7 (2) that
\[
 |c_\alpha(f)| \lesssim \left( r_2^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = r^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}
\]
for some \( r_2 > 0 \), where \( r = r_2^\frac{1}{2} \). Hence (2.9) holds for some \( r > 0 \).

By similar arguments, using (1) instead of (2) in Lemma 2.7, it follows that if (2.8) holds for every \( r > 0 \), then (2.9) holds for every \( r > 0 \). □

For the proof of Proposition 2.5 we will use the following slight extension of [3, Lemma 2].

Lemma 2.8. Let \( r > 0 \) and
\[
f(s, r) = \frac{s^2 t(2te)^s}{s^s}, \quad s \geq 1,
\]
for some fixed \( t \geq 0 \). Then the following is true:
(1) if in addition $t$ is an integer, then there exists a positive and increasing function $\theta$ on $[0, \infty)$ and an integer $t_0(r) > e$ such that

$$\max_{s > 0} f(s, r) \leq \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right) ^{2t(1 - \frac{1}{\log t})} \left( \theta(r) r \right) ^{\frac{2t}{\log t}}, \quad t \geq t_0(r); \quad (2.18)$$

(2) there exists a positive and increasing function $\theta$ on $[0, \infty)$ and an integer $t_0(r) > e$ such that

$$\max_{s > 0} f(s, r) \leq \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right) ^{2t(1 - \frac{1}{\log t})} \left( \theta(r) r + \left( \theta(r) r \right) ^{\frac{e-1}{e}} \right) ^{\frac{2t}{\log t}}, \quad t \geq t_0(r). \quad (2.19)$$

**Proof.** The assertion (1) is essentially a restatement of [Lemma 2.1].

If $\theta(r) r \geq 1$, then all factors on the right-hand sides of (2.18) and (2.19) are increasing with respect to $t$, giving that (2.18) is true for any real $t \geq e$. Hence (2) follows in this case.

Suppose instead that $\theta(r) r \leq 1$, and let $t_1$ be the integer part of $t$ and let $F$ be the same as in Lemma 2.6. Then (1) and Lemma 2.6 give

$$\max_{s > 0} f(s, r) \leq \left( \frac{2t_1}{\log t_1} \right) ^{2t_1(1 - \frac{1}{\log t_1})} \left( \theta(r) r \right) ^{\frac{2t_1}{\log t_1}} = F(\theta(r) r, t_1)^2$$

$$\leq F\left( \left( \theta(r) r \right) ^{\frac{e-1}{e}}, t \right)^2 = \left( \frac{2t}{\log t} \right) ^{2t(1 - \frac{1}{\log t})} \left( \left( \theta(r) r \right) ^{\frac{e-1}{e}} \right) ^{\frac{2t}{\log t}}$$

provided $t \geq t_0(r)$ and $t_0(r)$ is chosen large enough. This gives (2). \(\square\)

**Proof of Proposition 2.5.** Suppose that (2.9) holds for some $r > 0$ and let $r_2 > r$. From (2.9) and (2.17) we get

$$\|H_d^N f\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left| (2|\alpha| + d)^N c_\alpha(f) \right|^2$$

$$\lesssim \sup_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \left( (2|\alpha| + d)^{2N} r_2^{2|\alpha|} \frac{|\alpha|^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{d}}}{t_2^s} \right)$$

$$= \sup_{s \geq 1} \left( 2^{2t} \left( s + \frac{d}{2} \right)^{2t} r_2^{2s} s^{-s} \right)^{1 \over s},$$
where $s = |\alpha|$ and $t = N\sigma$. For $0 < \rho < 1$ we have

$$s^s = \left(s - \frac{d}{2} + \frac{d}{2}\right)^{s-d} s^d = \left(s - \frac{d}{2}\right)^{s-d} s^d \left(1 + \frac{d}{2s-d}\right)^{s-d} \leq \left(s - \frac{d}{2}\right)^{s-d} (se)^d \leq \left(s - \frac{d}{2}\right)^{s-d} \rho^{-2s}.$$  

This gives

$$\|H_d^N f\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sup_{s \geq 1+\frac{d}{2}} \left(2^{2t} \left(s + \frac{d}{2}\right)^{2t} r_2^{2s} s^{-s}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma} \leq \sup_{s \geq 1+\frac{d}{2}} \left(2^{2t} s^{2t} \left(r_2 \frac{r_2}{\rho}\right)^{2s} s^{-s}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma}.$$  

Using (2.20) and Lemma 2.8 we obtain

$$\|H_d^N f\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sup_{s \geq 1+\frac{d}{2}} \left(2^{2t} s^{2t} \left(r_2 \frac{r_2}{\rho}\right)^{2s} s^{-s}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma} \leq \sup_{s \geq 1+\frac{d}{2}} \left(2^{2t} s^{2t} (2r_3 e)^s s^{-s}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma}.$$  

where

$$r_3 = \frac{r_2^2}{2\rho^2 e}.$$  

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Proposition 2.2, we may assume that $p = 2$. The result now follows from Propositions 2.4 and 2.5 together with the fact that

$$\|d \cdot e\|_\infty |\alpha|^{\|\alpha\|} \leq \|e\|_\infty |\alpha|^{\|\alpha\|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$  
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