Nuclear-nuclear interaction mediated by a mechanically controlled nitrogen-vacancy-center spin in diamond
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I. INTRODUCTION

Solid-state quantum systems are advantageous for quantum-information applications due to their inherent amenability to scaling [1]. Weakly coupled to the environment, nuclear spins have long coherence time in comparison with those of electron spins. Thus, nuclear spins are especially attractive for solid-state quantum storage [2] and for quantum gates [3]. Unfortunately, direct nuclear dipole-dipole interaction is negligible, which necessitates alternatives to couple nuclear spins. We propose using an extra auxiliary nitrogen-vacancy (NV) center electronic spin, which controls and mediates effective nuclear dipole-dipole indirect interaction.

NV center in diamond is a promising platform for highly sensitive nanoscale sensors [4, 5]. The NV center electronic spin has exceptional quantum properties including high sensitivity to external signals [6], and a long spin coherence time [7]. Additionally, NV center spin states can be prepared and read out by optical pulses or microwave pulses at room temperature [8]. These properties make NV center spin sensors an attractive candidate to detect nuclear-nuclear interactions [9–11], and open up a way to exploit its applications to solid-state quantum information. Recently, Chen et al. used periodical resets of an NV center to protect a nuclear quantum sensor against decoherence and relaxation of the NV center [12].

Driving spin transitions of an NV center is the key to using NV center spins for nuclear-spin sensing. Except for optical and magnetic pulses, mechanical driving usually is applied to spin control. Significant progress in integrating NV centers with micro-electromechanical systems paves the way for spins coupled to mechanical resonators [13–15]. Using mechanical driving, MacQuarrie et al. demonstrated direct spin-phonon interactions at room temperature as a means to drive magnetically forbidden spin transitions [16].

However, for nuclear-nuclear indirect interaction, two crucial challenges remain to be addressed: (1) disorder in spin positioning and (2) small stress-coupling coefficient in driving spin transitions. Here we present an approach to overcome these challenges, to thereby achieve high-fidelity two-nuclear quantum gates and quantum-state transfer. In our scheme, an NV center is a mediator, coupling two nearby nuclear spins via dipole-dipole interactions. At the same time, mechanical (stress) wave is used to drive the magnetically forbidden spin transition $|m_s = -1\rangle \leftrightarrow |m_s = +1\rangle$ of the NV center. Our physical model requires that the driving-field Rabi frequency is sufficiently weak relative to the dipole-coupling strength. In experiments, normally, the stress-coupling coefficient is small so that large stress is required to produce a driving field [17]. However, the small stress-coupling coefficient is helpful for our scheme, and large stress is not required.

Driving the NV center is analogous to frequent measurements in the quantum Zeno effect [18]. Under the quantum Zeno condition [19], coherent evolution between nuclear spins is achieved within the quantum Zeno dark subspaces with eigenvalue zero. Our approach has the following advantages: (1) time evolution within the quantum Zeno dark subspaces decouples the NV center and nuclear spins from the environment and (2) desired conditional manipulations do not require precise control of...
the dipole coupling. Hence, our scheme is robust against variations and uncertainties in the positions of the NV center and nuclear spins.

Paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the relevant background of our scheme, such as the quantum Zeno effect, the dipole-dipole interaction and mechanical driving on the NV center spin. Section III and Section IV presents our physical model and the quantum dynamics of the model. We propose a scheme to achieve entangling gates and quantum-state transfer based on this dynamics. We investigate fidelities versus parameter fluctuations (scaled Rabi frequency, dipole-dipole coupling strength, etc.) via numerical simulations. Section V presents the discussions of our physical model at ambient conditions and further applications. Section VI is our conclusion.

II. BACKGROUND

In this section, we present the relevant background of our scheme. First, we describe the quantum Zeno effect and quantum Zeno dynamics. Second, we present the relevant background of dipole-dipole interaction and magnetic-dipolar coupling in an electron-nuclear spin system. Third, we describe mechanical spin control of an NV center in diamond, which offers a route to quantum spin control of magnetically forbidden transitions.

A. Quantum Zeno effect

The quantum Zeno effect occurs, when frequent measurements are performed on a quantum system \[19\]. Repeated projective measurements block evolution of the quantum system prepared in a non-degenerate eigenstate of the measurement observable, so that the system is frozen in its initial state, which protects the system from decohering \[20\].

Frequent measurements cause wave-function collapse, and the system is reduced to an undecayed state. If measurement time is short enough, the probability of decay grows quadratically with the measurement time \[19\]. Consider taking \(N\) measurements, separated by time \(T/N\). The probability that the state survives for time \(T\) goes to one in the limit \(N \to \infty\). Hence, a continuously observed state never decays.

Quantum Zeno dynamics is achieved by employing strong continuous coupling, replacing projective measurements, which yield the “undecayed” result and hinder evolution of the quantum system \[21, 22\]. By continuous coupling, the system evolves away from its initial state and is forced to evolve in a set of orthogonal subspaces (quantum Zeno subspaces) of the Hilbert space. A dynamical superselection rule arises in the strong coupling limit.

Short timescales can be physically associated with strong couplings. Dynamical evolution of the quantum system is governed by the Hamiltonian \[21\]

\[
H_K = H + KH_c,
\]

where \(K\) is the coupling constant, \(H\) is the Hamiltonian of the quantum system to be studied, and \(H_c\) is an additional interaction Hamiltonian performing the continuous coupling.

The time evolution operator is

\[
U_K(t) = \exp(-iH_Kt).
\]

In the limit \(K \to \infty\) (“infinitely strong measurement” or “infinitely quick detector”), the time evolution operator \(U_K(t)\) is dominated by \(\exp(-iKH_c t)\). Consider \(P_n\) the eigenprojection of \(H_c\), corresponding to the eigenvalue \(\lambda_n\); i.e.,

\[
H_c = \sum_n \lambda_n P_n.
\]

Note that in Eq. 3, \(\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m\) for \(n \neq m\).

In the limit \(K \to \infty\), an effective superselection rule arises, and the Hilbert space is split into a set of quantum Zeno subspaces. The subspaces decouple from each other so they are invariant under the evolution. The system, with respect to \(H\), is dominated by the evolution operator \[21\]

\[
U_Z(t) = \lim_{K \to \infty} \exp(iKH_c t)U_K(t),
\]

which can be shown to have the form

\[
U_Z(t) = \exp(iH_Zt),
\]

where

\[
H_Z = \sum_n P_n H P_n,
\]

is the Zeno Hamiltonian.

Thus, the limiting time evolution operator \(U_K(t)\) in Eq. 2 can be rewritten as

\[
U_K(t) \sim \exp(-iKH_c t)U_Z(t) = \exp \left[ -i \sum_n \left( K\lambda_n P_n + P_n HP_n \right) t \right],
\]

whose block-diagonal structure is explicit and yields the Zeno subspaces. When quantum Zeno dynamics takes effect, strong continuous coupling destroys interference between different Zeno subspaces.

The quantum Zeno effect has been experimentally verified in trapped ions \[23\] and cavity quantum electrodynamics (CQED) \[24–26\]. Recently, quantum controls using quantum Zeno effect have been realized in circuit-QED systems \[27\] and NV center systems \[28\]. Gourgy et al. demonstrated that the quantum Zeno effect can be used to detect an escape from the system eigenstate, serving as a built-in form of error detection \[27\]. A nitrogen nuclear spin acts as a three-level quantum switch, while an NV center electron spin acts as a two-level register \[28\]. Taking advantage of the quantum Zeno effect, the system can remain in the Zeno subspace due to the frequent projection by the environment.
B. Dipole-dipole interactions

Dipole-dipole interaction, the key element underlying our proposal is a phenomenon discussed in the context of Rydberg atoms [29]. Rydberg atoms with principal quantum number $n \gg 1$ have exaggerated atomic properties including dipole-dipole interactions that scale as $n^4$ and radiative lifetimes that scale as $n^3$. Suppose $r$ is the separation between two particles; the interaction of ground-state atoms is dominated by $1/r^6$ van der Waals forces at short range and $1/r^3$ magnetic dipole-dipole forces beyond about 30 nm [20]. When spacings exceed 1 μm, the interaction is weak and less than 1 Hz in frequency units, which implies that an array of neutral atom qubits can be structurally stable. The dipole-dipole interaction is extremely sensitive to small low-frequency electric fields. Using such fields, strength and angular dependence of Rydberg-Rydberg interactions are tunable [29].

Dipole-dipole interactions enable the capabilities for future applications in quantum information processing [24, 30]. Numerous proposals suggest dipole-dipole interactions to implement quantum logic gates [31–33] and to prepare quantum states in different quantum systems, such as CQED [34, 35] and NV centers in diamond [36]. Recently, Wu et al. proposed a promising scheme to realize Rydberg-interaction two-qubit gates, which relies on adiabatic passage and phase control of driving fields [37].

Magnetic dipole-dipole interactions usually take place in dipolar spin systems [38–41], such as solid-state spin ensembles [38], hybrid systems [39], and NV-P1 coupling systems [40] (P1 is substitutional-nitrogen electronic spins). The Hamiltonian describing a nuclear spin coupled to an NV center via the magnetic dipolar coupling is [41]

$$H_{DD} = g \left[ \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{I} - 3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})(\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \right],$$

where $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ are the spin operators of the NV and the nuclear spin respectively, and $g = (\mu_0 \gamma_e \gamma_N)/(4\pi r^3)$ with $\mu_0$ the magnetic permeability, $\gamma_e$ and $\gamma_N$ the gyromagnetic ratio of the electron spin and nuclear spin, respectively. Here $r$ is the distance between the NV center and nuclear spin, and $\mathbf{r}$ is the unit vector connecting them. The last term defines the angular dependence of the coupling between the NV center and nuclear spin.

During the dipolar coupling, the NV electron spin feels a magnetic field $B_{DD}$ caused by nuclear spin and vice versa. The effect of $B_{DD}$ is closely related to relative orientations of spins. Denote $\theta$ as the angle between $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{z}$, where $\mathbf{z}$ axis is the [111] crystal axis. By choosing $\theta = 54.7^\circ$ (also known as the “magic angle”), $B_{DD}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{z}$ [41]. Then, the two-spin states $|m_s, m_N\rangle = \{0, +1/2 \}, \{-1, -1/2 \}$ are strongly mixed by the dipolar fields at the resonance condition. The dipole-coupling process dominates interactions between the NV spin and nuclear spins. In this case, in energy, the state $|m_s = +1\rangle_{NV}$ is far from $|m_s = 0\rangle_{NV}$ and $|m_s = -1\rangle_{NV}$ states, therefore, has a negligible effect on the dipole coupling.

An optical illumination polarizes the NV center spin $|m_s = -1\rangle_{NV}$ into $|m_s = 0\rangle_{NV}$, whereas the component of $B_{DD}$ leads to flip-flops between the NV center and nuclear spin determined by Eq. [5]. Dipole coupling NV-$^{13}\text{C}$ or NV-$^{14}\text{N}$ have been experimentally achieved at room temperature [42–44]. At room temperature, the hyperfine coupling between the $^{14}\text{N}$ electronic spin and $^{13}\text{C}$ nuclear spin has been achieved with the coupling parameters of $g \approx 2\pi \times 2.0\text{ MHz}$ [45]. Dipole-dipole interactions can also be used to manipulate multiple nuclear spins. Recently, Cramer et al. demonstrated quantum error correction by encoding a logical qubit in three nuclear spins mediated by an ancillary NV center [46].

C. Mechanically-driven NV center spin

Driving spin transitions is the key to using NV center spins for bio-sensing or for quantum information processing [13–17]. Magnetic fields or optical pulses usually are applied to control spin. Resonant lattice vibrations provide another avenue to manipulate NV center electronic spins. Spin-phonon interactions could offer a way to achieving quantum spin control of magnetically forbidden transitions.

By aligning a static magnetic field, a single NV center can be isolated from other NV centers in a diamond [17]. The diamond substrate acts as an acoustic Fabry-Perot cavity. A high-overtone bulk acoustic resonator(HBAR) is put onto one face of the diamond [16, 17]. Applying a voltage across the HBAR launches a stress wave into the diamond.

The Hamiltonian describing an NV center coupled via stress through its ground-state spin is [17]

$$H_{\text{drive}} = \epsilon_\parallel \sigma_\parallel \hat{S}_z^2 - \epsilon_\perp \sigma_\perp (\hat{S}_x^2 - \hat{S}_y^2) + \epsilon_\parallel \sigma_\parallel (\hat{S}_z \hat{S}_y + \hat{S}_y \hat{S}_z),$$

where $\epsilon_\parallel$ and $\epsilon_\perp = 0.03\text{ MHz/Mpa}$ are axial and perpendicular stress-coupling constants, and $\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y, \hat{S}_z$ are $x, y$ and $z$ components of the spin-1 operator, respectively. The axial stress $\sigma_\parallel$ shifts $|m_s = -1\rangle_{NV}$ and $|m_s = +1\rangle_{NV}$ equivalently and, therefore, has no effect on mechanical spin control performed on the $|m_s = \pm 1\rangle_{NV}$ spin. However, perpendicular stress couples $|m_s = -1\rangle_{NV}$ and $|m_s = +1\rangle_{NV}$, allowing a direct $|m_s = -1\rangle_{NV} \leftrightarrow |m_s = +1\rangle_{NV}$ spin transition to be driven by a stress wave. In the $S_z$ basis, this transition is magnetically forbidden by the magnetic dipole selection rule.

During the mechanical driving of the NV center, choosing a HBAR with $\omega_{\text{HBAR}} = 2\pi \times 1.076\text{ GHz}$, a stress wave is launched into the diamond with the corresponding perpendicular stress $\sigma_\perp \approx 7\text{ Mpa}$. Therefore, a spin driving field with Rabi frequency $\Omega \sim 2\pi \times 210\text{ kHz}$ is achieved [17].
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FIG. 1: (Color online) Illustration of the basic principle of indirect interaction between two nuclear spins mediated by an NV center. The interaction between the NV center and nuclear spins occurs via coupling dipoles on the transition |↑⟩ ↔ |↓⟩, with coupling strength g. The transition |0⟩ ↔ |↑⟩ of the NV center is resonantly driven by mechanical (stress) wave of Rabi frequency Ω.

III. PHYSICAL MODEL

In this section, we first describe a system comprising an NV center coupled to two nuclear spins. Then we propose a physical model of the NV-nuclear system, similar to frequent measurements in quantum Zeno effect. Finally, we describe the master equation for our model.

Our goal is to use the NV center as a mediator for indirect coherent interactions between two nuclear spins in diamond. The schematic setup is shown in Fig. 1. An NV center in diamond mediates two distinguishable nuclear spins. The ground state of the NV center is a spin-1 triplet state, labeled 3A, with zero-field splitting D = 2.87 GHz between the |m_s = 0⟩ and |m_s = ±1⟩ states. By applying an external magnetic field along the NV center symmetry axis, one can lift the degeneracy of |m_s = -1⟩ and |m_s = +1⟩ [17].

For simplicity, we denote the following states: NV center spin states

| ↓ ⟩_NV := |m_s = 0⟩,
| ↑ ⟩_NV := |m_s = -1⟩,
| 0 ⟩_NV := |m_s = +1⟩,

and nuclear spin states

| ↓_i ⟩ := | m_s = -1/2, m_I = 0 ⟩,
| ↑_i ⟩ := | m_s = +1/2, m_I = 0 ⟩,

where i denotes the nuclear spin. We assume that the interaction between the NV center and nuclear spins only occurs via dipole coupling on selected transitions |↑⟩ ↔ |↓⟩, whereas dipoles on other transitions do not interact with nuclear spins due to different frequencies or polarizations [40]. When the energy between |↑⟩_NV and |↓⟩_NV of the NV center matches the transition frequency of the nuclear spin, the flip-flop process is most efficient.

A perpendicular stress couples |0⟩_NV and |↑⟩_NV of the NV center, allowing a direct spin transition |0⟩_NV ↔ |↑⟩_NV to be resonantly driven by a gigahertz-frequency mechanical (stress) wave.

Under the rotating-wave approximation, the system can be described by the following effective Hamiltonian in the interaction picture: (ℏ = 1)

\[
H = H_{\text{drive}} + H_{\text{DD}},
\]

\[
H_{\text{drive}} = \Omega |0⟩_NV \langle ↑| + H. c.,
\]

\[
H_{\text{DD}} = \sum_{i=1,2} g_i \sigma^\text{NV}_{i↑} \sigma^\text{N}_{i↓} + H. c.,
\]

where \(H_{\text{drive}}\) describes the stress waves driving the NV center, and \(H_{\text{DD}}\) describes the dipole-dipole interaction. \(\Omega\) is the Rabi frequency relevant to the driving field, \(\sigma^\text{αβ}_{iμ} = |\alpha⟩ \langle β|\) are dipole operators and \(α, β \in \{↓, ↑\}\). \(g_i\) is the coupling constant between interacting dipoles related to the separation between the NV center spin and nuclear spins.

In our scheme, dipole-dipole interactions between the NV center and nuclear spins are taken as an additional interaction Hamiltonian, being an analog of the strong continuous coupling in quantum Zeno dynamics. The Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) can be rewritten as

\[
H_K = H_{\text{drive}} + H_{\text{DD}},
\]

where \(K = g/\Omega\) describes the ratio of the dipole-coupling strength and the driving-field Rabi frequency.

We choose the dipole-coupling strength \(g \sim 2\pi \times 2\) MHz, corresponding to the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between 14NV electronic spin and 13C nuclear spin [45], and the spin driving-field Rabi frequency \(Ω \sim 2\pi \times 210\) kHz [17]. The driving-field Rabi frequency is sufficiently weak relative to the dipole-coupling strength, which satisfies the quantum Zeno condition \(K \to \infty\). Then time evolution of quantum state inside each subspace is independent from each other. Here we call the eigenspace with eigenvalue zero the quantum Zeno dark subspace. If the initial state is within the quantum Zeno dark subspace, effective system Hamiltonian is equal to the Zeno Hamiltonian in Eq. (9), and time evolution of our system can be simplified to

\[
U(t) \sim e^{-i \sum_n (P_n H_{\text{drive}} P_n) t}.
\]

Here, \(P_n\) are the eigenprojections of \(H_{\text{DD}}\). In this case, quantum state evolves within the dark subspace, which is a decoherence-free subspace.

To model the system’s dynamics, decoherence effects, such as the spontaneous decay of the NV center and nuclear spin, are taken into account. We employ Lindblad formalism to the following master equation [48]

\[
\frac{∂ρ}{∂t} = -i[ρ, H] + γ_{NV} L_{NV}[ρ] + γ_N L_N[ρ],
\]
where $\gamma_{NV}$ is the relaxation rate of NV spins, $\gamma_N$ is the relaxation rate of nuclear spin, and the general form

$$L[\rho] = \sigma \rho \sigma^+ - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^+ \sigma \rho - \rho \sigma^+ \sigma) , \quad (16)$$

corresponds to the relaxation of electron spins or nuclear spins. Here, $\sigma$ is the dipole operator as $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$.

During the system dynamics, the system is initially in the state $|\Psi_0\rangle$, and then evolves under Eq. (12) for a choosing time, resulting in a final density $\rho$. The fidelity is defined as

$$F := |\langle \Psi_0 | \rho | \Psi_0 \rangle| , \quad (17)$$

where $\rho = |\Psi_t\rangle \langle \Psi_t|$. In the following, we show how to achieve the quantum entangling gates and quantum state transfer based on this model.

### IV. QUANTUM DYNAMICS AND QUANTUM INFORMATION PROCESSING

In this section, we first describe time evolution of the system states based on the model above. Second, we show an implementation scheme to achieve two-qubit entangling gates. Third, based on the same dynamics, we show how to carry out quantum-state transfer. We also numerically discuss the factors affecting the fidelity.

#### A. Quantum dynamics

We assume that quantum information is encoded in nuclear spin states $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$, and the NV center electron spin is an ancillary system, which is initially prepared in $|0\rangle$ state. For simplicity, we suppose there is only one single excitation during the whole system’s evolution [50].

Considering an initial system state $|\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}$, no dipole-dipole interaction takes effect. Time evolution of the system is within the subspace $\{|\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}, |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}\}$. The driving field only causes a single-qubit rotation. After a single Rabi cycle, the nuclear spin state returns to its original state timing a $\pi$ phase shift.

$$|\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV} \rightarrow e^{i\Omega t} |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV} . \quad (18)$$

When we consider an initial system state $|\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}$, the whole system evolves in a single-excitation subspace $S_1$ spanned by

$$|\phi_1\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV} ,$$
$$|\phi_2\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle_{NV} ,$$
$$|\phi_3\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle_{NV} ,$$
$$|\phi_4\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle_{NV} ,$$
$$|\phi_5\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV} , \quad (19)$$

Therefore, in the subspace $S_1$, setting $g_t = g$ to be constant coupling coefficients, the interaction Hamiltonian in Eq. (12) is simplified as

$$H_{\text{drive}} = \Omega (|\phi_1\rangle \langle \phi_2 | + |\phi_4\rangle \langle \phi_5 |) + \text{H. c.} ,$$
$$H_{\text{DD}} = g (|\phi_2\rangle + |\phi_4\rangle) / |\phi_3\rangle + \text{H. c.} . \quad (20)$$

Then, under the Zeno condition $\Omega \ll g$, the whole Hilbert subspace is split into three invariant Zeno subspaces according to the degeneracy of eigenvalues of $H_{\text{DD}}$,

$$Z_0 = \{|\phi_1\rangle, |\psi_1\rangle, |\phi_5\rangle\},$$
$$Z_+ = \{|\Psi_+\rangle\},$$
$$Z_- = \{|\Psi_-\rangle\} . \quad (21)$$

Within the quantum Zeno dark subspace $Z_0$, the effective Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{2}} (|\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1 | + \text{H. c.} . \quad (23)$$

The general evolution of Eq. (23) by solving the Schrödinger equation with the interaction time $t$ is

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1 + \cos \Omega t) |\phi_1\rangle + (1 - \cos \Omega t) |\phi_5\rangle + \sqrt{2} \sin \Omega t |\psi_1\rangle \right] . \quad (24)$$

By choosing interaction time $t = \pi / \Omega$, the final state becomes $|\Psi(t)\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}$. Due to the symmetry of this system, an initial state of the system $|\downarrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}$, after a time $t = \pi / \Omega$, evolves to $|\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}$.

Similar to the step above, when the system is in initial state $|\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}$, the whole system evolves in a single-excitation subspace $S_2$ spanned by:

$$|\phi_6\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV} ,$$
$$|\phi_7\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle_{NV} ,$$
$$|\phi_8\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle_{NV} ,$$
$$|\phi_9\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle |0\rangle_{NV} . \quad (25)$$

Therefore, under the quantum Zeno condition, the invariant Zeno subspaces $S_2$ are

$$Z_0 = \{|\phi_6\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle\},$$
$$Z_+ = \{|\Psi_+\rangle\},$$
$$Z_- = \{|\Psi_-\rangle\} . \quad (26)$$
interacts with the driving field of frequency \( Rabi \) frequency. In our model, the NV center resonantly interacts with the logical qubits during the gate operation, becoming nonperturbative entangling gates between distant nuclear spins in diamond. For a duration of \( T = \pi / \Omega \), the logical states of nuclear spins become

\[
\begin{align*}
|\uparrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle &\rightarrow - |\uparrow_1\rangle |\uparrow_2\rangle, \\
|\uparrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle &\rightarrow |\downarrow_1\rangle |\uparrow_2\rangle, \\
|\downarrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle &\rightarrow |\downarrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle,
\end{align*}
\]

which corresponds to a two-qubit entangling gate between the distant nuclear spins. The ancillary system (NV center), initially prepared in \(|0\rangle\), is entangled with the logical qubits during the gate operation, becoming once again decoupled by the end of the operation.

To verify the analytical results, we use numerical simulations to find the influences of the interaction. Our model is valid when \( \Omega \ll g \). Thus, we should consider the influence of the ratio \( \Omega / g \) on the fidelity of the entangling gate. Fig. 2 presents the fidelity as a function of \( \Omega / g \) disregarding the decay. Not surprisingly, the fidelity decreases as the ratio \( \Omega / g \) increases. The fidelity is 0.98, even when \( \Omega / g = 0.15 \). However, the interaction period \( T = \pi / \Omega \) depends on \( \Omega \), then a smaller ratio \( \Omega / g \) results in longer operating time and increased decoherence. To balance the fidelity and the operating time, we choose \( g \sim 2 \pi \times 2 \) MHz and \( \Omega \sim 2 \pi \times 210 \) kHz to satisfy the condition \( \Omega / g = 0.1 \) in the following discussions.

The manipulation time depends on the stress-wave Rabi frequency. In our model, the NV center resonantly interacts with the driving field of frequency \( \omega_{drive} \), and the corresponding eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = \sqrt{2}g, \lambda_3 = -\sqrt{2}g \), where

\[
|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (- |\phi_8\rangle + |\phi_9\rangle),
\]
\[
|\Psi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{2} |\phi_7\rangle + |\phi_8\rangle + |\phi_9\rangle),
\]
\[
|\Psi_-\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{2} |\phi_7\rangle + |\phi_8\rangle + |\phi_9\rangle).
\]

Because the initial state \( |\phi_9\rangle \) is orthogonal to \( |\psi_2\rangle \), \(|\Psi_+\rangle\) and \(|\Psi_-\rangle\), effective Hamiltonian \( H_{eff} = 0 \). The state \(|\downarrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}\) remains unchanged during system evolution.

### B. Quantum entangling gate

Recently, Leonardo et al. proposed a scheme to realize nonperturbative entangling gates between distant qubits using uniform cold atom chains [51]. In their work, an ideal mirror inverting dynamics generates a quantum gate \( G \) between qubit \( A \) and qubit \( B \), which reads

\[
G(a)|b\rangle = e^{i\phi_{ab}}|b\rangle|a\rangle,
\]

where \( a, b \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\} \) in the computational basis. Based on time evolution of our physics model, we can achieve the entangling gate of two distant nuclear spins in diamond. For a duration of \( T = \pi / \Omega \), the logical states of nuclear spins become

\[
\begin{align*}
|\uparrow_1\rangle |\uparrow_2\rangle &\rightarrow - |\uparrow_1\rangle |\uparrow_2\rangle, \\
|\uparrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle &\rightarrow |\downarrow_1\rangle |\uparrow_2\rangle, \\
|\downarrow_1\rangle |\uparrow_2\rangle &\rightarrow |\uparrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle, \\
|\downarrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle &\rightarrow |\downarrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle,
\end{align*}
\]

which is orthogonal to \(|\psi_2\rangle\), \(|\Psi_+\rangle\) and \(|\Psi_-\rangle\). However, under ambient conditions, the quantum dynamics is affected by the off-resonant coupling, which will cause errors (phase shifts). We add fluctuating term in the driving field, so \( H_{drive} \) can be rewritten as

\[
H_{drive} = \Omega \cdot e^{-i\Delta t} |0\rangle_{NV} \langle \uparrow | + H.c.,
\]

where \( \Delta := \omega_{10} - \omega_{drive} \) describes the off-resonant coupling. The population of state \(|\downarrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}\) as a function of the scaled time, considering the influence of detuning. The scaled ratio \( \Delta / \Omega \) ranges from 0 to 0.5, \( \gamma_N = \gamma_{NV} = 0 \), with \( g \sim 2 \pi \times 2 \) MHz and \( \Omega \sim 2 \pi \times 210 \) kHz.

FIG. 2: (Color online) The influence of the ratio \( \Omega / g \) on the fidelity of the two-qubit entangling gate under ideal conditions. \( \Omega / g \in [0.005, 0.25] \) and \( \gamma_N = \gamma_{NV} = 0 \).

FIG. 3: (Color online) The population of \(|\downarrow_1\rangle |\downarrow_2\rangle |0\rangle_{NV}\) as a function of the scaled time, considering the influence of detuning. The scaled ratio \( \Delta / \Omega \) ranges from 0 to 0.5, \( \gamma_N = \gamma_{NV} = 0 \), with \( g \sim 2 \pi \times 2 \) MHz and \( \Omega \sim 2 \pi \times 210 \) kHz.
Large splitting of energy levels results in the difficulty of the transitions between different energy levels. However, due to the dipole-dipole interaction, the system energy is split into three energy levels. The eigenvalues are \( \lambda = 0, \lambda_+ = \sqrt{2}g \) and \( \lambda_- = -\sqrt{2}g \), with the corresponding Hilbert subspaces \( Z_0, Z_+ \) and \( Z_- \). In the dark subspace \( Z_0 \), an intermediate state \( |\Psi_f\rangle \) couples with \( |\Psi_0\rangle \) and \( |\Psi_F\rangle \), via the driving by the mechanical wave (denoted by dot lines).

\[
|\Psi_F\rangle = |\downarrow_1\rangle (\alpha |\downarrow_2\rangle + \beta |\uparrow_2\rangle) |0\rangle_{NV}.
\]

The quantum information in nuclear spin 1 is transferred to nuclear spin 2.

To gain insight into the origin of this QST, we begin to consider a subset of Hilbert subspaces of the system (see Fig. 5). Dipole-dipole interaction causes a splitting of the system energy, with the corresponding energy eigenvalues 

\[
\lambda = 0, \lambda_+ = \sqrt{2}g, \text{ and } \lambda_- = -\sqrt{2}g, \text{ respectively. Then the total Hilbert space is split into three corresponding invariant subspaces}
\]

\[
Z_0 = \{ |\Psi_0\rangle, |\Psi_F\rangle, |\Psi_f\rangle \}, \\
Z_+ = \{ |\Psi_+\rangle_1, |\Psi_+\rangle_2 \}, \\
Z_- = \{ |\Psi_-\rangle_1, |\Psi_-\rangle_2 \},
\]

where

\[
|\Psi_0\rangle = (\alpha |\downarrow_1\rangle + \beta |\uparrow_1\rangle) |\downarrow_2\rangle |0\rangle_{NV},
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \), and \( |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \). According to Eqs. (15-24), after an interaction time \( t = \pi/\Omega \), the final system state becomes

\[
|\Psi_f\rangle = |\uparrow_1\rangle (|\downarrow_2\rangle + |\uparrow_2\rangle) |0\rangle_{NV}.
\]

C. Quantum-state transfer

Reliable quantum-state transfer (QST) between distant qubits has become a significant goal of quantum physics research, owing to its potential application in a scalable quantum information processing [52-55]. If the system is initially in the state

\[
|\Psi_0\rangle = (\alpha |\downarrow_1\rangle + \beta |\uparrow_1\rangle) |\downarrow_2\rangle |0\rangle_{NV},
\]

Large splitting of energy levels results in the difficulty of the transitions between different energy levels. However,
the states belong to the same energy level interact with each other easily. If the initial state lies in the invariant subspace $Z_0$, the survival probability in $Z_0$ remains unity. A field drives the state transition from $|Ψ_0⟩$ to $|Ψ_f⟩$, and then from $|Ψ_f⟩$ to $|Ψ_F⟩$, as shown in Fig. 3. Mediated by the NV center, the quantum state is transferred between two distant nuclear spins,

\[
\begin{align*}
& (α|↓1⟩ + β|↑1⟩)|↓2⟩|0⟩_{NV} \\
& \rightarrow (|↓1⟩|↓2⟩ - |↑1⟩|↑2⟩)(α|↓⟩_{NV} + β|↑⟩_{NV}) \\
& \rightarrow |↓1⟩(α|↓2⟩ + β|↑2⟩)|0⟩_{NV}. 
\end{align*}
\]

This process can be generalized to perform QST between any pair of multiple nuclear spins.

Now, we investigate the effect of systematic errors, which are caused by fixed fluctuations on the system parameters. For example, the fluctuation of driving-field Rabi frequency $Ω$ can be assumed as a fixed value $δΩ = Ω - Ω$ with $Ω$ being the real value in experiment. $H_{1D}$ in Eq. (12) describes dipole-dipole interaction between the NV center spin and nuclear spins. A small uncertainty or variation in the separation $r$ leads to a corresponding change in $g$. A small fluctuation of the interaction time $t$ also affects QST. Thus, we consider three factors during the process of QST. Figure 4 shows that small fluctuations of $g$, $Ω$ and $t$ have little impact on the fidelity of QST, on condition that $Ω ≪ g$. Even a large fluctuation ($δg/g = 0.1$, $δt/t = 0.1$), the fidelity remains high ($≥ 0.98$). The results of numerical simulation in fact demonstrate that QST between a nuclear-spin pair is robust against variations and uncertainty in the distance between the NV center and nuclear spins.

Considering the hybrid quantum devices based on NV centers in diamond, the dominant decoherence mechanism is photon emission via exciton decays of the NV center. In our scheme, according to quantum Zeno dynamics, weakly driving field keeps the NV center staying in its ground state. It is helpful to improve the fidelity of entangling gates, as the same effect of reinitializing the NV center to $|0⟩$ $56$. If system is initially in the state $|0⟩$, the survival probability reads

\[
P_0(t) = \left[ \frac{g^2 + Ω^2 \cos(\sqrt{g^2 + Ω^2}t)}{g^2 + Ω^2} \right]^2.
\]

As shown in Fig. 7 in regime $g ≫ Ω$, the survival probability in ground state $|0⟩$ is about 1. The probability in excited state is so small that the photon emission is suppressed.

Now, numerical simulations are used to show influences of spontaneous decay and off-resonant coupling. As shown in Fig. 8 when the spontaneous decay rate of NV center $γ_{NV}/g ≥ 0.01$, with the scaled off-resonant strength $Δ/g = 0.01$, the fidelity $F ≥ 0.94$. However, the fidelity $F ≥ 0.97$, when the spontaneous decay rate of nuclear spin $γ_{N}/g ≥ 0.01$. Thus, under the quantum Zeno condition, small off-resonant couplings and small decay rate of the NV center have little impact on the fidelity of QST.

\[
\begin{align*}
& FIG. 6: (Color online) The fluctuation of the interaction time, the coupling strength, and the Rabi frequency of driving field influence the fidelity of QST, considering no spontaneous decay, for the original $g ∼ 2π × 2 \text{MHz}$ and $Ω ∼ 2π × 210 \text{kHz}$. (a) The fidelity of QST versus $δg/g$ and $δΩ/Ω$. (b) The fidelity of QST versus $δg/g$ and $δt/t$ with the original interaction time $T = π/Ω$. \\
& FIG. 7: (Color online) The survival probability in ground state $|0⟩$ of NV center $P_0(t)$ versus the scaled time $t/T$ and $Ω/g$, with $g ∼ 2π × 2\text{MHz}$ and the interaction time $T = π/Ω$. \\
& V. DISCUSSION
\]

In this section, we explain the experimental realization of our model and present further applications. We first discuss how to prepare and manipulate the sys-
ical spin resonance corresponding to a resonance of the HBAR. The mechanical resonator [57]. In addition, in high purity di-
dynamical decoupling of an NV center spin with a me-
spin population into the state $|m_s = -1\rangle_{NV}$ at low temperature around 4 K [46]. The decoherence effect. A stress wave is turned on at a frequency $\omega_{\text{HBAR}}$ magnetic-dipole coupling and mechanically driving take
is the initial NV center spin state we need. Then, let
the dephasing rate $\gamma_{NV}$. The laser is then turned off and a
magnetic adiabatic passage through the $|m_s = 0\rangle_{NV} \rightarrow |m_s = +1\rangle_{NV}$ resonance robustly transfers the initialized
spin population into the state $|m_s = +1\rangle_{NV}$ [17], which is the initial NV center spin state we need. Then, let
magnetic-dipole coupling and mechanically driving take
effect. A stress wave is turned on at a frequency $\omega_{\text{HBAR}}$
corresponding to a resonance of the HBAR. The mechanical
spin resonance $|m_s = +1\rangle_{NV} \rightarrow |m_s = -1\rangle_{NV}$ spin
transition can be detected via optical pulses.

From the simulations above we can see that fidelity
of the scheme is spoiled by dephasing. Therefore, implement-
ing this proposal with high fidelity requires that the dephasing rate $\gamma_{NV}, \gamma_N \ll g$. The relaxation time $T_1$ of an NV center can be achieved the order of seconds
at room temperature [58]. Nuclear spins have long re-
laxation and coherence time in comparison with those of
electron spins in diamond. The relaxation time of single
nuclear spin $T_1 = (75 \pm 20) \mu$s at room temperature [41].
The operating time required of entangling gates or QST is $t = \pi/\Omega \approx 2.5 \mu$s, which is far less than $T_1$ and $T_2$ of the
NV center (or nuclear spins). Therefore reasonable
values of fidelity in our scheme can be anticipated.

Normally, driving NV electron spins independently of
the nuclear spins is not easy. In our scheme, mechanical
spin control of NV center and magnetic-dipolar coupling
of nuclear-NV spins is a good alternative. However, there
are also some challenges in experimental realization of
our model. It is challenging to individually address one
of the nuclear spins without affecting the other, no matter
whether two nuclear spins have similar couplings to the
NV center or not.

Our scheme can be extended to multi-qubit systems.
An NV center spin is placed at the center of a polygon
geometry with each vertex occupied by a nuclear spin.
Based on our scheme, any two nuclear spins indirectly
interact. Considered the polygon geometry a basic unit,
many-body location can be achieved mediated by the in-
teractions between distant NV centers [59]. Furthermore,
it may be used for simulating spin models with topolog-
ical order [60].

This scheme also can be applied in biological probe.
Nuclear spins in a single molecule were studied to learn structural information in chemical and biological pro-
cesses [61]. Through dipole coupling to nuclear spins, an
NV center can effectively act as a dipole “antenna”,
detecting spins at different spatial locations. For example,
NV centers can be used to detect the charge recombi-
ation rate in a radical pair reaction [62].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, based on quantum Zeno effect and dipole-
dipole coupling, we present a protocol for the generation
of entangling gates and quantum-state transfer between
two separated nuclear spins mediated by an NV center
in diamond. The system coherently evolves within the
quantum Zeno dark subspaces. The results of numerical
simulations show that our protocol is robust against the
fluctuations of external fields, and the uncertainty of the
distance between the NV center and nuclear spins.
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