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Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a class of locally $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebras which is a way of obtaining algebras from $C$-constructions, where $\Phi$ is an infinite admissible set of the integral numbers, and show that symmetric approximation sequences in weakly $n$-angulated categories give rise to derived equivalences between quotient algebras of locally $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebras in the sequences modulo some ghost and coghost ideas by the locally finite tilting complexes set. Then we get a class of derived equivalent algebras. Finally, we get derived equivalences between locally $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebras from a given derived equivalence.

1 Introduction

Derived categories have been one of the important tools in the study of many branches of mathematics: Lie theory, algebraic geometry, mathematical physics, stable homotopy theory, representation theory, etc. In the representation theory of algebras, derived equivalences have been shown to preserve many algebraic and geometric invariants and provide new connections. However, in general, it is very difficult to describe the derived equivalence class of a given ring. One idea is to study locally derived equivalences, that is, to establish some elementary derived equivalences between certain rings, and hope that derived equivalent rings can be related by a sequence of such elementary derived equivalences. Mutation of objects in categories provide many derived equivalent rings of such kind, where approximations play a central role. This occurs in many aspects such as mutations of tilting modules [17], mutations of exceptional sequences in the coherent sheaf category of varieties [42], mutations of cluster tilting objects [5], mutations of silting objects [1], and mutations of modifying modules [25] in the study of the NCCR conjecture (43 Conjecture 4.6).

It is interesting to know whether the mutation sequences always give derived equivalent endomorphism rings. If the mutation sequence is an Auslander-Reiten sequence over an artin algebra, the endomorphism algebras are derived equivalent [21]. And if this sequence is a triangle in algebraic triangulated category, the endomorphism algebras are derived equivalent [10]. However, this is not always true. For instance, the endomorphism rings of cluster tilting objects related by mutation are not always derived equivalent. Also, in general, Auslander-Reiten triangles do not give rise to derived equivalences. In [23], for certain triangles, the authors proved that the quotient algebras of endomorphism modulo some particularly defined ideals are still derived equivalent. Then Chen [8] generalized this result to n-angle case. In [9], Chen and Hu introduced symmetric approximation sequences in additive categories and weakly $n$-angulated categories which include (higher) Auslander-Reiten sequences (triangles) and mutation sequences in algebra and geometry, and show that such sequences always give rise to derived equivalences between the quotient rings of endomorphism rings of objects in the sequences modulo some ghost and coghost ideals.

In this paper, we focus on constructing locally tilting complexes set and the locally endomorphism rings of these complexes are isomorphic to quotient algebras of matrix algebras called locally $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebras, allowing us to obtain derived equivalences that have not been observed by using previous techniques. Then we generalize the main result [34] to a weakly $n$-angulated category $(n \geq 3)$ with an $n$-angle endo-functor $F$ and the locally $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebras. Note that the locally $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebra has locally unit.
Suppose that \( k \) is a field and \( \mathcal{T} \) is a weakly \( n \)-angulated \( k \)-category \( (n \geq 3) \) with an \( n \)-angle endo-functor \( F \). Let \( \Phi \) be an admissible subset of \( \mathbb{Z} \) and let \( s < m \in \Phi \). For an object \( X \in \mathcal{T} \), we define the locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green \( k \)-algebras \( \mathcal{G}^{\Phi,F}(X) \) as follows:

\[
\mathcal{G}^{\Phi,F}(X) = \left( \begin{array}{cccccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & E^F(X)_{i,s+1} & E^F(X)_{i,s+2} & \cdots & \cdots & E^F(X)_{i,m-1} & E^F(X)_{i,m} \\
\cdots & E^F(X)_{i+1,s+1} & E^F(X)_{i+1,s+2} & \cdots & \cdots & E^F(X)_{i+1,m-1} & E^F(X)_{i+1,m} \\
\cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & E^F(X)_{m-1,s+1} & E^F(X)_{m-1,s+2} & \cdots & \cdots & E^F(X)_{m-1,m-1} & E^F(X)_{m-1,m} \\
\cdots & E^F(X)_{m,s+1} & E^F(X)_{m,s+2} & \cdots & \cdots & E^F(X)_{m,m-1} & E^F(X)_{m,m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{array} \right)
\]

where \( E^F(X)_{i,j} = E^{-i,j,F}(X) \) is defined in Section 2.4, for \( i, j \in \Phi \). Let

\[
I = \left( \begin{array}{cccccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & Fcogh_M(M \oplus X) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & 0 & Fcogh_M(M \oplus X) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & Fcogh_M(M \oplus X) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & Fcogh_M(M \oplus X) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{array} \right)
\]

and

\[
J = \left( \begin{array}{cccccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & 0 & Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{array} \right)
\]

be ideals of locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras \( \mathcal{G}^{\Phi,F}(X) \) and \( \mathcal{G}^{\Phi,F}(Y) \), respectively, where \( Fcogh_M(M \oplus X) \) and \( Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) \) are ideals of factorizable \( M \)-ghosts and of factorizable \( M \)-cohosts defined in Section 2.2.

The main result can be described as the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Theorem 4.1) Let \( (\mathcal{T}, \Sigma, \cap) \) be a weakly \( n \)-angulated \( k \)-category \( (n \geq 3) \) with an \( n \)-angle endo-functor \( F \), and let \( M \) be an object in \( \mathcal{T} \). Suppose that \( \Phi \) is a finite admissible subset of \( \mathbb{Z} \). Let

\[
X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma X
\]

be an \( n \)-angle in \( \mathcal{T} \) such that \( M_j \in \text{add}(M) \) for all \( j = 1, \cdots, n-2 \), and that \( f \) is a left \( \mathcal{T} \)-\( \phi \)(\( M \))-approximation of \( X \) and \( g \) is a right \( \mathcal{T} \)-\( \phi \)(\( M \))-approximation of \( Y \) in \( \Phi \)-orbit category \( \mathcal{T}^{\phi} \). Suppose that
\[ T(M, F^i X) = 0 = T(Y, F^i M) \] for all \( i \neq 0 \in \Phi \) Then the quotient rings of locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras \( \mathcal{D}(X \oplus M)/I \) and \( \mathcal{D}(M \oplus Y)/J \) are derived equivalent, where \( I \) and \( J \) are ideals of \( \mathcal{D}(X \oplus M) \) and \( \mathcal{D}(M \oplus Y) \), respectively.

Remark 1.2. (1) If \( \Phi = 0 \), this is the Chen and Hu’s result [9] Theorem 4.3]. If \( \Phi \neq 0 \), then the locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebra has no unit, but has locally unit, let \( \mathcal{D}(A \oplus X)/I\text{-Mod} \) denote the abelian category of all left locally unital \( \mathcal{D}(A \oplus X)/I \)-modules, recall that, a \( \mathcal{D}(A \oplus X)/I \)-module \( X \) is locally unital if \( x \in X \) then there is a finite set \( J \subset \Phi \) such that \( x(\bigoplus_{e \in J} e_i) = x \). So the quotient rings of locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras \( \mathcal{D}(X \oplus M)/I \) and \( \mathcal{D}(M \oplus Y)/J \) are derived equivalent, this means that there is a triangle equivalence between \( \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(X \oplus M)/I\text{-Mod}) \) and \( \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(M \oplus Y)/J\text{-Mod}) \). We use Keller’s approach [20] to construct locally tilting complex set, and the locally endomorphism rings of these complexes are isomorphic to quotient algebras of matrix algebras called locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras, allowing us to obtain derived equivalences that have not been observed by using previous techniques.

(2) In [8] and [22], the authors start from an \( n \)-angle
\[
X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma X
\]
in an \( n \)-angulated category \( T \) with \( M_i \in \mathcal{D}(M) \) for some \( M \in T \). Let \( F \) be an \( n \)-angle auto-equivalence of \( T \). The main results in [8] Theorem 1.1] and [22] Theorem 1.1] state that, for each admissible subset \( \Phi \) of \( \mathbb{Z} \), there is a derived equivalence between the quotient rings \( E^F_{\Phi}(X \oplus M)/I \) and \( E^F_{\Phi}(M \oplus Y)/J \) of the \( \Phi \)-Auslander-Yoneda algebras provided that \( f \) and \( g \) are, respectively, left and right \( \Phi \)-add \( \mathcal{D}(M) \)-approximations in the \( \Phi \)-orbit category \( T^{\Phi} \) and \( T(M, F^i X) = 0 = T(Y, F^i M) \) for all \( 0 \neq i \in \Phi \). The ideals \( I = \text{Fcoh}_{\mathcal{D}}(X \oplus M) \) and \( J = \text{Fgh}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y \oplus M) \) are proved in [9]. Here we consider locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras which are \( C \)-construction in [20], where \( \Phi \) is an admissible subset of \( \mathbb{Z} \), but \( \Phi \)-Auslander-Yoneda algebras are in fact \( B \)-constructions in [30].

(3) As a consequence, Theorem 4.6] generalizes the main result in [22]. We consider weakly \( n \)-angulated categories instead of algebraic triangulated categories, and \( F \) is just an \( n \)-angle endo-functor of weakly \( n \)-angulated categories, not necessarily an auto-equivalence.

We also construct derived equivalences of locally finite \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras from a given derived equivalent.

Theorem 1.3. (Theorem 4.6] Let \( A \) and \( B \) be finite dimensional \( k \)-algebras. Suppose that \( G : \mathcal{D}(A\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B\text{-Mod}) \) is a derived equivalent. Denote by \( \bar{G} \) the stable functor induced by \( G \). If \( X \) is an \( A \)-module with \( \text{Ext}^i(X, A) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \). Let \( \Phi \) be an admissible subset of \( \mathbb{Z} \). Then locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras \( \mathcal{D}(A \oplus X) \) and \( \mathcal{D}(B \oplus \bar{G}(X)) \) are derived equivalent.

Remark 1.4. (1) Hu and Xi [22] constructed derived equivalences between \( \Phi \)-Auslander-Yoneda algebras from a given derived equivalent, here we consider locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras which are \( C \)-construction in [30], where \( \Phi \) is an admissible subset of \( \mathbb{Z} \), but \( \Phi \)-Auslander-Yoneda algebras are in fact \( B \)-constructions in [30]. Note that in [35], Peng considered derived equivalences of \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras in the case of \( \Phi \) is a finite admissible subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) by Rickard’s Morita theory for derived categories. But we use Keller’s approach [20] to get derived equivalences between locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras.

(2) In another paper we will prove that stable equivalences of Morita type for \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras from a given stable equivalence of Morita type [36].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic facts on derived equivalences, approximation, ghost and symmetric approximation sequences in weakly \( n \)-angulated categories. In Section 3, we introduce locally finite algebras and locally finite tilting complex set and the class of algebras called locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras with \( \Phi \) an admissible set of \( \mathbb{Z} \). In Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 4.6. Some examples are given in the final section.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall review basic definitions and facts which will be useful in the proofs later on.
2.1 Conventions

Throughout this paper, unless specified otherwise, $k$ will be a field.

We begin by briefly recalling some definitions and notations on derived categories and derived equivalences.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. For two morphisms $\alpha : X \to Y$ and $\beta : Y \to Z$, their composition is denoted by $\alpha \beta$. A complex $X^\bullet = (X^i, d^i_X)$ over $\mathcal{A}$ is a sequence of objects $X^i$ and morphisms $d^i_X$ in $\mathcal{A}$ of the form:

$$\cdots \to X^i \xrightarrow{d^i_X} X^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d^{i+1}_X} X^{i+2} \to \cdots,$$

such that $d^0_X d^{-1}_X = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $X^\bullet = (X^i, d^i_X)$ and $Y^\bullet = (Y^i, d^i_Y)$ are two complexes, then a morphism $f^\bullet : X^\bullet \to Y^\bullet$ is a sequence of morphisms $f^i : X^i \to Y^i$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $d^i_Y f^{i+1} = f^i d^i_X$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The map $f^\bullet$ is called a chain map between $X^\bullet$ and $Y^\bullet$. The category of complexes over $\mathcal{A}$ with chain maps is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $f^\bullet : X^\bullet \to Y^\bullet$ be a morphism of complexes. We say that $f^\bullet$ is null-homotopic if we get $f^i = d^i Y + r^i d^i X$, where $r^i : X^i \to Y^{i-1}$. The homotopy category of complexes over $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category, then a morphism of complexes $f^\bullet : X^\bullet \to Y^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism if $H^i(f^\bullet) : H^i(X^\bullet) \to H^i(Y^\bullet)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $H^i(X^\bullet)$ denotes the $i$-th cohomology group of the complex $X^\bullet$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ the derived category of complexes over $\mathcal{A}$. It is well known, that for an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, the categories $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ are triangulated categories. For basic results on triangulated categories, we refer the reader to [16] and [31].

Let $R$ be a commutative artinian ring with identity and let $A$ be an $R$-algebra. Denote by $A$-$\text{Mod}$ and $A$-$\text{mod}$ the category of left $A$-modules and finitely presented left $A$-modules, respectively. Note that if $A$ is a noetherian $R$-algebra, then $A$-$\text{mod}$ is an abelian category. The full subcategory of $A$-$\text{Mod}$ and $A$-$\text{mod}$ consisting of projective modules is denoted by $A$-$\text{Proj}$ and $A$-$\text{proj}$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{K}(A)$ denote the homotopy category of bounded complexes of $A$-$\text{modules}$ and let $\mathcal{D}(A)$ denote the bounded derived category of $A$-$\text{mod}$, respectively.

The following theorem is a key ingredient of Morita theory on derived equivalences for module categories of rings or algebras which was established by Rickard [39]. For more details on derived equivalences, we refer to [39].

**Theorem 2.1.** [29 Theorem 6.4] Let $A$ and $B$ be rings with identities. The following conditions are equivalent.

(i) $\mathcal{D}(A)$-$\text{Mod}$ and $\mathcal{D}(B)$-$\text{Mod}$ are equivalent as triangulated categories.

(ii) $\mathcal{K}(A)$-$\text{Proj}$ and $\mathcal{K}(B)$-$\text{Proj}$ are equivalent as triangulated categories.

(iii) $\mathcal{K}(A)$-$\text{Proj}$ and $\mathcal{K}(B)$-$\text{Proj}$ are equivalent as triangulated categories.

(iv) $\mathcal{K}(A)$-$\text{proj}$ and $\mathcal{K}(B)$-$\text{proj}$ are equivalent as triangulated categories.

(v) $B$ is isomorphic to $\text{End}(\mathcal{K}(A)$-$\text{Mod})(T^* \bullet)$ for some complex $T^* \bullet$ in $\mathcal{K}(A)$-$\text{proj}$ satisfying

(a) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(A)$-$\text{Mod}}(T^* \bullet, T^* \bullet[n]) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.

(b) add $(T^* \bullet)$, the category of direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of $T^* \bullet$, generates $\mathcal{K}(A)$-$\text{proj}$ as a triangulated category.

**Remarks.** (1) The rings $A$ and $B$ are said to be derived equivalent if $A$ and $B$ satisfy the conditions of the above theorem.

(2) The complex $T^* \bullet \in \mathcal{K}(A)$-$\text{proj}$ in Theorem 2.1 (v) which satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) is called a tilting complex for $A$.

Keller also [26] gave the Morita theory on derived equivalences for categories by differential graded categories which is useful to study locally finite algebras by locally finite tilting set in the later.

**Theorem 2.2.** [26 Theorem 9.2] Let $A$ and $B$ be small $k$-categories. Then the following are equivalent.

(i) There is an $A$-$B$-bimodule $Y$ such that the left derived functor $\text{LT}_Y : A \to B$ is a derived equivalence.

(ii) There is a triangulated equivalence between $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}(B)$.

(iii) $B$ is equivalent to a full subcategory $U$ of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ whose objects form a set of small generators and $\mathcal{D}(A)(U, V[i]) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ and $U, V \in U$.

2.2 Approximations, cohomological approximation and ghosts

Now we recall some definitions in [9].

Let $C$ be an additive category, and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a full additive subcategory of $C$ and an object $C$ in $C$. A morphism $f : D \to C$ in $C$ is called a right $\mathcal{D}$-approximation of $C$ if $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and the induced map $(D', f) : C(D', D) \to C(D', C)$ is surjective for all $D' \in \mathcal{D}$. Dually, we can define a right $\mathcal{D}$-approximation of $C$ in $C$.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an additive category, and $F$ is an endofunctor from $\mathcal{T}$ to itself. Suppose that $\Phi$ is an admissible subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Recall that the left and right cohomological approximations with respect to $\Phi$ in a triangulated
category $T$ have been introduced in [23]. Let $D$ be a full subcategory of $T$ and $X$ an object of $T$. A morphism $f : X \to D$ is called a left $(D,F,\Phi)$-approximation of $X$ if $D \in \mathcal{D}$, and for any morphism $f^\prime : X \to F D^\prime$ with $D^\prime \in D$ and $i \in \Phi$, there is a morphism $f^\prime \prime : D \to F D^\prime$ such that $f^\prime = f f^\prime \prime$. In the case that $\Phi$ is an admissible subset, we have the $\Phi$-orbit category $\mathcal{T}^{F,\Phi}$, and that $f$ is a left $(D,F,\Phi)$-approximation of $X$ is equivalent to saying that $\mathcal{T}^{F,\Phi}(D, D^\prime) \to \mathcal{T}^{F,\Phi}(X, D^\prime)$ is surjective for all $D^\prime \in D$. Similarly, we have the notion of a right $(D,F,\Phi)$-approximation of $X$ [9]. A morphism $g : D_X \to X$ is called a right $(D,F,\Phi)$-approximation of $X$, if for any morphism $D \to F' X$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $i \in \Phi$, there is a morphism $g^\prime : D \to F' D_X$ such that $g = g^\prime F' g$. In the case that $\Phi$ is an admissible subset, we have the $\Phi$-orbit category $\mathcal{T}^{F,\Phi}$, and that $f$ is a right $(D,F,\Phi)$-approximation of $X$ is equivalent to saying that $\mathcal{T}^{F,\Phi}(D, D_X) \to \mathcal{T}^{F,\Phi}(X, D)$ is surjective for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

Let $I$ be a full additive subcategory of $C$, and a morphism $f$ in $C$ is called a $D$-ghost provided that $(D,f)=0$. All $D$-ghosts in $C$ form an ideal of $C$, called the ideal of $D$-ghosts and denote by $gh_D$. Dually, a morphism $g$ in $C$ is called a $D$-coghost provided that $(g,D)=0$. All $D$-coghosts in $C$ form an ideal of $C$, called the ideal of $D$-coghosts and denote by cogh$_D$.

Let $F_D$ be the ideal of morphisms in $C$ factorizing through an object in $D$. The intersection cogh$_D \cap F_D$ is called the ideal of factorizable $D$-ghosts in $C$, denote by Fgh$_D$. Similarly, the intersection gh$_D \cap F_D$ is called the ideal of factorizable $D$-coghosts in $C$, denote by Fcogh$_D$. The following lemma is given in [9] Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.3.** (1) If $X \in C$ admits a right $D$-approximation $f_X : D_X \to X$, then

$$gh_D(Y, X) = \{g \in C(X,Y) | f_X g = 0 \}.$$

(2) If $Y \in C$ admits a left $D$-approximation $f_Y : Y \to D^\prime$, then

$$cogh_D(Y, X) = \{g \in C(X,Y) | g f_Y = 0 \}.$$

(3) If $X \in D$, then $gh_D(X, Y) = 0$ and $cogh_D(X, Y) = Fcogh_D(X, Y)$.

(4) If $Y \in D$, then $cogh_D(X, Y) = 0$ and $gh_D(X, Y) = Fgh_D(X, Y)$.

### 2.3 Symmetric approximation sequences in weakly $n$-angulated categories

Let $C$ be an additive category, and let $D$ be a full additive subcategory of $C$. A right $D$-approximation sequence in $C$ is a sequence

$$D_m \to D_{m-1} \to \cdots \to D_1 \to D_0 \to Y$$

with $D_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots m$ such that the following sequence is an exact sequence

$$C(D, D_m) \to C(D, D_{m-1}) \to \cdots \to C(D, D_1) \to C(D, Y) \to 0$$

for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$. We can define left $D$-approximation sequence dually. Recall that a weak kernel of a morphism $g : X \to Y$ is a morphism $f : Z \to X$ such that

$$C(C, Z) \to C(C, X) \to C(C, Y) \to 0$$

is exact for all $C \in C$. The weak cokernel is defined dually.

Recall that symmetric approximation sequences are defined in [9] Definition 3.1.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $C$ be an additive category, and let $D$ be a full additive subcategory of $C$. A sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{f_0} D_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} D_n \xrightarrow{f_n} Y$$

in $C$ is called a symmetric $D$-approximation sequence if the following three conditions are satisfied.

1. The sequence $D_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} D_n \xrightarrow{f_n} Y$ is a right $D$-approximation sequence in $C$;
2. The sequence $X \xrightarrow{f_0} D_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} D_n$ is a left $D$-approximation sequence in $C$;
3. The morphism $f_0$ is a weak kernel of $f_1$ and the morphism $f_n$ is a weak cokernel of $f_{n-1}$.
The above definition, the sequence (\(\ast\)) is called a higher \(D\)-split sequence, if we replace the condition (3) in the following condition

\((3')\) The morphism \(f_0\) is a kernel of \(f_1\) and the morphism \(f_n\) is a cokernel of \(f_{n-1}\).

Chen and Hu [9] introduce the definition of weakly \(n\)-angulated category which is obtained from the axioms (F1), (F2), (F3) and (F4) in the definition of \(n\)-angulated categories in [15] by dropping the pushout axiom (F4) and by dropping the condition (F1)(c) (each morphism can be embedded into an \(n\)-angle) to obtain (F1'). Recall that the notion of \(n\)-angulated category is given in [15] as a generalization of triangulated categories (in this case \(n = 3\)). Typical examples of \(n\)-angulated categories include certain \((n - 2)\)-cluster tilting subcategories in a triangulated category, which appear in recent cluster tilting theory.

**Definition 2.5.** [9 Definition 4.1] Let \(n \geq 3\) be an integer. A weakly \(n\)-angulated category is an additive category \(\mathcal{C}\) together with an automorphism \(\Sigma\) of \(\mathcal{C}\), and a class \(\circ\) of sequences of morphisms in \(\mathcal{C}\)

\[
X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1,
\]

called \(n\)-angles, satisfying the following axioms:

1. (F1'). For each \(X \in \mathcal{C}\), the sequence \(X \xrightarrow{1_X} X \xrightarrow{0} \cdots \xrightarrow{0} \Sigma X\) belongs to \(\circ\). The class \(\circ\) is closed under taking direct sums and direct summands.
2. (F2). A sequence \(X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1\) of morphisms in \(\mathcal{C}\) is in \(\circ\) if and only if so is \(X_2 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1\) for each commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & \Sigma Y_1
\end{array}
\]

with rows in \(\circ\), there exists maps \(h_i: X_i \to Y_i\) for \(3 \leq i \leq n\) making the diagram commute. In other words, any such diagram can be completed to a morphism of \(n\)-angles.

An additive covariant functor \(H\) from a weakly \(n\)-angulated category \((\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \circ)\) to \(\mathbb{Z}\)-Mod is called cohomological, if whenever

\[
X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1
\]
is an \(n\)-angle, the long sequence

\[
\cdots \to H(\Sigma X_1) \xrightarrow{H(\Sigma f_1)} H(\Sigma X_2) \xrightarrow{H(\Sigma f_2)} \cdots \xrightarrow{H(\Sigma f_{n-1})} H(\Sigma X_n) \xrightarrow{H(\Sigma f_n)} H(\Sigma X_1) \to \cdots
\]
is exact. Dually we have contravariant cohomological functors.

**Lemma 2.6.** [9 Lemma 4.2] Let \((\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \circ)\) be a weakly \(n\)-angulated category, and let

\[
X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1
\]
be an \(n\)-angle in \(\mathcal{C}\). Then we have the following:

1. \(f_i f_{i+1} = 0\) for all \(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n - 1\);
2. \(\mathcal{C}(X, -)\) and \(\mathcal{C}(-, X)\) are cohomological for all \(X \in \mathcal{C}\);
3. Suppose that \(2 \leq m < n\). Each commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} & X_m & \xrightarrow{f_m} & X_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{m+1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{m-1}} & Y_m & \xrightarrow{g_m} & Y_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{g_{m+1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & \Sigma Y_1
\end{array}
\]

with rows in \(\circ\) can be completed in \(\mathcal{C}\) to a morphism of \(n\)-angles.
Let \((\mathcal{T}, \Sigma, \circ)\) and \((\mathcal{T}', \Sigma', \circ')\) be weakly \(n\)-angulated categories. An additive functor \(F\) from \(\mathcal{T}\) to \(\mathcal{T}'\) is called an \(n\)-angle functor if there is a natural isomorphism \(\psi: \Sigma F \to F \Sigma\) and

\[
\begin{align*}
F(X_1) & \xrightarrow{F(f_1)} F(X_2) \xrightarrow{F(f_2)} \cdots \xrightarrow{F(f_{n-1})} F(X_n) \xrightarrow{F(f_n) \psi_{X_1}} \Sigma F(X_1)
\end{align*}
\]

is in \(\circ'\) whenever

\[
X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1
\]

is in \(\circ\).

Let \(\mathbb{Z}\) be the set of all integers. Recall that a subset of \(\mathbb{Z}\) containing 0 is called an admissible subset of \(\mathbb{Z}\) if the following condition is satisfied:

If \(i, j, k \in \Phi\) satisfy that \(i + j + k \in \Phi\), then \(i + j \in \Phi\) if and only if \(j + k \in \Phi\).

Now let \((\mathcal{T}, \Sigma, \circ)\) be a weakly \(n\)-angulated category, and let \(F\) be an \(n\)-angle functor from \(\mathcal{T}\) to itself. Suppose that \(\Phi\) is an admissible subset of \(\mathbb{Z}\), and \(\mathcal{T}^F\Phi\) is the \(\Phi\)-orbit category of \(\mathcal{T}\). Then one may ask whether the \(\Phi\)-orbit category is again naturally weakly \(n\)-angulated. The answer is proved in [9] Proposition 4.4.

**Lemma 2.7.** Keeping the notations above, the \(\Phi\)-orbit category \(\mathcal{T}^F\Phi\), together with \(\Sigma^\Phi\) and \(\circ^\Phi\), is a weakly \(n\)-angulated category.

The following lemma will be useful in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \((\mathcal{T}, \Sigma, \circ)\) be a weakly \(n\)-angulated category \((n \geq 3)\) with an \(n\)-angle endo-functor \(F\), and let \(M\) be an object in \(\mathcal{T}\). Suppose that \(\Phi\) is a finite admissible subset of \(\mathbb{Z}\). Let

\[
X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} \cdots \xrightarrow{g} M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma X
\]

be an \(n\)-angle in \(\mathcal{T}\) such that \(M_j \in \text{add}(M)\) for all \(j = 1, \cdots, n-2\), and that that \(f\) is a left \(\mathcal{T} \circ \Phi(M)\)-approximation of \(X\) and \(g\) is a right \(\mathcal{T} \circ \Phi(M)\)-approximation of \(Y\) in \(\Phi\)-orbit category \(\mathcal{T}^F\Phi\). Then the complex

\[
0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} \cdots \xrightarrow{g} M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} 0
\]

is a self-orthogonal in \(\mathcal{X}^b(\mathcal{T}^F\Phi / \text{cogh}_D)\) and \(\mathcal{X}^b(\mathcal{T}^F\Phi / \text{Fcogh}_D)\), where \(D = \text{add}_\mathcal{T} \circ \Phi(M)\).

**Proof.** Let \(D = \text{add}_\mathcal{T} \circ \Phi(M)\). Then by our assumptions,

\[
X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} \cdots \xrightarrow{g} M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} Y
\]

is a symmetric \(D\)-approximation sequence. Put \(X\) in degree zero and denote this complex by \(P^*\). By the condition (1) of symmetric \(D\)-approximation sequence, applying \(\mathcal{T}^F\Phi(-, -)\) to \(P^*\) results in an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^F\Phi(M,X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}^F\Phi(M,f)} \mathcal{T}^F\Phi(M,M_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^F\Phi(M,M_2) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^F\Phi(M,M_{n-2}) \longrightarrow 0
\]

with \(H^i(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T} \circ \Phi(M), P^*}) = 0\) for all \(i \neq 0, n-1\). By the condition (2) of symmetric \(D\)-approximation sequence applying \(\mathcal{T}^F\Phi(-, -)\) to \(P^*\) results in an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^F\Phi(M_{n-2},M) \longrightarrow \cdots \mathcal{T}^F\Phi(M_1,M) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^F\Phi(X,M) \longrightarrow 0
\]

with \(H^i(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T} \circ \Phi(P^*), M}) = 0\) for all \(i \neq -n+1\). Then by [9] Lemma 3.3], the complex

\[
P^* : 0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} \cdots \xrightarrow{g} M_{n-2}
\]

with \(X\) in degree zero is a self-orthogonal in \(\mathcal{X}^b(\mathcal{T}^F\Phi / \text{cogh}_D)\) and \(\mathcal{X}^b(\mathcal{T}^F\Phi / \text{Fcogh}_D)\). \(\square\)

### 3 Locally finite algebras and locally tilting complexes set

In this section, we shall introduce the locally finite \(\Phi\)-algebras, where \(\Phi\) is an admissible set of \(\mathbb{Z}\) and study derived equivalences between them by locally tilting complexes. Note that we do not assume that \(\Phi\) is a finite subset of \(\mathbb{Z}\), \(\Phi\) is a infinite subset of \(\mathbb{Z}\).
3.1 Locally finite algebras with enough idempotents

In this section, we introduce locally finite algebras and show that there is a tilting complexes for such algebras, it is known that the covering algebras of group graded algebras are locally finite algebras, we will prove that the covering algebras of group graded algebras are derived equivalent in subsequent paper [34].

Let $R$ be a commutative ring and let $\mathcal{I}$ be an index set. For each $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, let $\Lambda_i$ be an $R$-algebra and $\Lambda_{ij}$ be a $\Lambda_i$-$\Lambda_j$-bimodule, with $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_i$. For each $i, j, k \in \mathcal{I}$, we suppose that there is a $\Lambda_i - \Lambda_i$-bimodule homomorphism $\mu_{ijk} : \Lambda_i \otimes \Lambda_j \otimes \Lambda_k \rightarrow \Lambda_k$. We further assume that $\mu_{ijk} \otimes 1_{\Lambda_i} \circ \mu_{ij} = 1_{\Lambda_i} \otimes \mu_{jk} \circ \mu_{ij}$. We impose the following finiteness conditions.

(1) For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\Lambda_{ij} = 0$ for all but finitely many $j \in \mathcal{I}$;

(2) For each $j \in \mathcal{I}$, $\Lambda_{ij} = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let $\Lambda$ be the set of all $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}$ matrices, $(\lambda_{ij})$, such that, for $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, $a_{ij} \in \Lambda_{ij}$, and all but finite number of $\lambda_{ij}$ are zero. The matrix addition and matrix multiplication defined above provide $\Lambda$ with $R$-algebra structure. Note that if $\mathcal{I}$ is an infinite set, then $\Lambda$ will not have identity element. For $i \in \mathcal{I}$, let $e_i$ be the matrix in $\Lambda$ having $1 \in \Lambda_i$ in $(i, i)$-entry and $0$ in all other entries and denote $\mathcal{E} = \{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$. The algebra $\Lambda$ has enough orthogonal idempotents, namely, the elements of $\mathcal{E}$, since $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \Lambda e_i = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \Lambda$. We say that the pair $(\Lambda, \mathcal{E})$ is a locally finite $R$-algebra with respect to $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\Lambda$-Mod denote the category of left locally unital $\Lambda$-modules $\Lambda$-modules. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\Lambda_i$ is an infinite set, then this theorem is Rickard’s construction in [39].

In this section, we introduce locally finite algebras and show that there is a tilting complexes for such algebras, it is known that the covering algebras of group graded algebras are locally finite algebras, we will prove that the covering algebras of group graded algebras are derived equivalent in subsequent paper [34].

Definition 3.1. Let $(\Lambda, \mathcal{E})$ be a locally finite $R$-algebra. We say that $\{T_i^*\}$ is a locally finite tilting complex set if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $T_i^* \in \mathcal{X}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$;

2. $\text{Hom}(T_i^*, T_j^*)[n] = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ and $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$;

3. $\text{thick}(T_i^*, i \in \mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{X}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$.

Remark 3.2. If $I$ is finite, then $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} T_i^*$ is a tilting complex by [34]. If $I$ is infinite, then $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} T_i^*$ is not a tilting complex.

Let $\{T_i^*\}$ be a locally finite tilting complex set. For $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, define $\Sigma_{ij} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}^b(\Lambda)}(T_i^*, T_j^*)$ and let $\Sigma$ be the set of all $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}$ matrices, $(\sigma_{ij})$, such that, for $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, $a_{ij} \in \Sigma_{ij}$, and all but finite number of $\sigma_{ij}$ are zero. $\Sigma$ is an $R$-algebra via matrix addition and matrix multiplication, where the structure maps $\mu_{ijk} : \Sigma_{ij} \otimes \Sigma_{jk} \rightarrow \Sigma_{ik}$ are given by compositions. For $i \in \mathcal{I}$, let $d_i = (\sigma_{lm}) \in \Sigma$, where $\sigma_{lm} = 1_{T_i^*}$ for $i = l = m$ and $0$ otherwise and denote $\mathcal{D} = \{d_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(\Lambda, \mathcal{E})$ be a locally finite $k$-algebra with respect to $\mathcal{I}$ and let $\{T_i^*\}$ be a locally finite tilting complex set of $\Lambda$-complexes. Let $(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}^b(\Lambda)}(T_i^*, T_j^*), \mathcal{D})$ be the locally finite endomorphism ring of $\{T_i^*\}$ with respect to $\mathcal{I}$ and denote $\Gamma = (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}^b(\Lambda)}(T_i^*, T_j^*))$. Then there is a triangle equivalence between

$$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Gamma\text{-Mod}),$$

where the correspondence is given by $T_i^* \mapsto \Gamma d_i$.

Proof. If $(\Lambda, \mathcal{E})$ be a locally finite $k$-algebra with respect to $\mathcal{I}$, we can modify the structure of $k$-linear category structure $A$ in the following way: As the set of objects we take the set $\mathcal{I}$, The space of morphisms between object $(i), (j)$ is given by

$$\text{Hom}((i), (j)) = \Lambda_{ij}.$$

The category $\cal A$-Mod is then equivalent to $\Lambda$-Mod. This result follows from Theorem 2.2. □

Remark 3.4. (i) If $\mathcal{I}$ is a finite set, then this theorem is Rickard’s construction in [39].

(ii) If $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\Gamma\text{-Mod})$ is an equivalence, then we say that the locally finite algebras $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ are derived equivalent.
3.2 Locally finite $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebras

In this subsection, we shall introduce the locally $\Phi$-Green algebras, where $\Phi$ is an admissible set of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers. Recall that a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ containing $0$ is called an admissible subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ is defined in [22]. Let $\Phi$ be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. For an additive $R$-category $\mathcal{T}$ and an endo-functor $F$ from $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{T}$, we recall the definition of $\Phi$-Auslander-Yoneda $R$-algebras from [22] in the following. Let $E_{i,F}^\Phi$ be the bi-functor

$$ \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, (-, F^i)) : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{Z} \text{-Mod} $$

$$(X, Y) \mapsto E_{i,F}^\Phi(X, Y) := \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}(X, F^i Y), & \text{if } i \in \Phi.} \\ 0, & \text{if } i \notin \Phi. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a commutative ring and $\Phi$ be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose that $X, Y$ and $Z$ are objects in $\mathcal{T}$. Let $f_i \in E_{i,F}^\Phi(X, Y)$ and $g_j \in E_{i,F}^\Phi(Y, Z)$. The composition of $f_i$ and $g_j$ is defined as follows:

$$ E_{i,F}^\Phi(X, Y) \times E_{i,F}^\Phi(Y, Z) \to E_{i,F}^\Phi(X, Z) $$

$$(f_i, g_j) \mapsto f_i \circ g_j = \begin{cases} f_i(g_j), & \text{if } i \in \Phi, \ j \in \Phi \text{ and } i + j \in \Phi. \\ 0, & \text{others}. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

If $X = Y$, we write $E_{i,F}^\Phi(X)$ for $E_{i,F}^\Phi(X, X)$. Set $E_{i,F}^\Phi(X) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i,F}^\Phi(X)$. In case $\mathcal{T}$ is a triangulated category and $F = [1]$, we denote $E_{i,F}^\Phi(X, Y)$ and $E_{i,F}^\Phi(X)$ by $E^\Phi(X, Y)$ and $E^\Phi(X)$, respectively. In [22], Hu and Xi proved that $\Phi$ is an admissible subset in $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $E_{i,F}^\Phi(X)$ is an algebra. It is called the $\Phi$-Auslander–Yoneda algebra of $X$ [22].

Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $\Phi$ be an admissible subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Then we define the locally $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebras $\mathcal{G}_{i,F}^\Phi(X)$ for an object $X$ in $\mathcal{T}$, and mention some basic properties of these algebras. Let $s < m$. Firstly, let us define an $R$-module $\mathcal{G}_{i,F}^\Phi(X)$ as follows:

$$ \mathcal{G}_{i,F}^\Phi(X) = $$

$$ \left( \begin{array}{cccccccc} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array} \right) $$

where $E_i^\Phi(X)_{i,j} = E_{i,j,F}^\Phi(X)$ is defined as above, $i, j \in \Phi$. That is, $\mathcal{G}_{i,F}^\Phi(X) = (E_{i,F}^\Phi(X))_{i,j \in \Phi}$. Note that if $i - j \notin \Phi$, then $E_{i,j,F}^\Phi(X) = 0$. Secondly, for any $x = (x_{ij})_{i,j \in \Phi}$, $y = (y_{ij})_{i,j \in \Phi} \in \mathcal{G}_{i,F}^\Phi(X)$, the multiplication of $x$ and $y$ is defined as follows: $xy = z = (z_{ij})_{i,j \in \Phi}$, where:

$$ z_{kl} = \sum_{k-l \in \Phi} x_{ki} F^{l-k}_\Phi y_{lk} = \sum_{l-k \in \Phi} x_{lk} F^{l-k}_\Phi y_{kl} $$

where $F^{l-k}_\Phi$ is an element of $\mathcal{G}_{i,F}^\Phi(X)$. This completes the definition of $\mathcal{G}_{i,F}^\Phi(X)$.

(ii) If $\Phi \neq 0$, then the locally $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebra has no unit, but has locally unit, let $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)$ denote the abelian category of all left locally unital $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X)$-modules, recall that, a $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X)$-module $X$ is locally unital if $x \in X$ then there is a finite set $\mathcal{J} \subset \Phi$ such that $x(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{J}} e_i) = x$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}((\Phi,F)(X)$-Mod) the derived category of locally unital $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X)$-modules.

(iii) Let $\Phi$ be a finite admissible subset of $\mathbb{Z}$, the locally finite $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebra is defined in [32,38]. Here $\Phi$ can be infinite set of $\mathbb{Z}$. The following fact of the locally finite $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebra is useful, which can be easily check. Let

Let

$$e_i = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, as a left $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X)$-module, $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X)e_i \cong E^{i,F}(X)$, where $E^{i,F}(X) = \oplus_{j \in \Phi} E^{i,F}(X)_j$. Then $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X) \cong \oplus_{i \in \Phi} E^{i,F}(X)$ as left $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X)$-modules.

The following lemma is essentially taken from [22, Lemma 3.5] by its variation, the proof given there carries over to the present situation.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\Phi$ be an admissible subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $X$ be an object in $\mathcal{T}$. Assume that $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \text{add } X$. Then we have the following:

1. The $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X)$-module $E^{i,F}(X_1, X_2)$ is finitely generated projective, for any $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $k = 1, 2, 3$.

2. There is a natural isomorphism

$$\mu : E^{i,F}(X_1, X_2) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X)}(E^{i,F}(X_1, X_2), E^{i,F}(X_1))$$

which sends $x \in E^{i,F}(X_1, X_2)$ to the morphism $(x)\mu : E^{i,F}(X_1) \to E^{i,F}(X_1)$, which maps $(f_k)$ to $(f_k^{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}(x))})$.

3. If $x \in E^{i,F}(X_1, X_2)$ and $y \in E^{i,F}(X_2, X_3)$, then $(x f^{i,F}(y))\mu = (x)\mu(F^{i,F}(y))\mu$.

4 Proof of the main result

Let $\Phi$ be an admissible subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. With the notations in hands, we can give the following theorem which is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \Sigma, \circ)$ be a weakly $n$-angled $k$-category ($n \geq 3$) with an $n$-angle endo-functor $F$, and let $M$ be an object in $\mathcal{T}$. Suppose that $\Phi$ is an admissible subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \to M_2 \to \cdots \to M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma X$$

be an $n$-angle in $\mathcal{T}$ such that $M_j \in \text{add } (M)$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, n - 2$, and that $f$ is a left add $\mathcal{T}(M)$-approximation of $X$ and $g$ is a right add $\mathcal{T}(M)$-approximation of $Y$ in $\Phi$-orbit category $\mathcal{T}(\Phi)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}(M, F'X) = 0 = \mathcal{T}(Y, F'M)$ for all $0 \neq i \in \Phi$. Then the quotient rings of locally $\Phi$-Beilinson-Green algebras $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(X \oplus M)/I$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Phi,F)(M \oplus Y)/J$ are derived equivalent.
4.1 The locally \(\Phi\)-Beilinson-Green algebras of quotient categories and the quotient algebras of locally \(\Phi\)-Beilinson-Green algebras

**Lemma 4.2.** \((\mathcal{T}, \Sigma, \circ)\) be a weakly \(n\)-angled \(k\)-category \((n \geq 3)\) with an \(n\)-angle endo-functor \(F\), and let \(M\) be an object in \(\mathcal{T}\). Suppose that \(\Phi\) is an admissible subset of \(\mathbb{Z}\). Let

\[
X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma X
\]

be an \(n\)-angle in \(\mathcal{T}\) such that \(M_j \in \text{add}(M)\) for all \(j = 1, \cdots, n - 2\). Suppose that \(\mathcal{D} = \text{add}_{\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{S}}(M)\). Then we have

(1). If \(g\) is a right \(\mathcal{D}\)-approximation in \(\mathcal{T}^{F, \Phi}\), and \(\mathcal{T}(Y, FM) = 0\) for all \(0 \neq i \in \Phi\), then \(\text{Fgh}_\mathcal{D}(Y \oplus M) = \text{Fgh}_\mathcal{M}(Y \oplus M)\);

(2). If \(f\) is a left \(\mathcal{D}\)-approximation in \(\mathcal{T}^{F, \Phi}\), and \(\mathcal{T}(M, FX) = 0\) for all \(0 \neq i \in \Phi\), then \(\text{Fcoh}_\mathcal{D}(X \oplus M) = \text{Fcoh}_\mathcal{M}(X \oplus M)\).

**Proof.** We revise the proof of [9, Lemma 4.5] to our case.

(1). Set \(\bar{g} = \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : M_{n-2} \oplus M \rightarrow Y \oplus M\). By Lemma 2.3(3), we have \(\text{Fgh}_\mathcal{D}(M, Y \oplus M) = 0\). Now we consider \(\text{Fgh}_\mathcal{D}(Y, Y \oplus M)\). Since \(g\) is a right \(\mathcal{D}\)-approximation, by Lemma 2.3(1), \(\text{Fgh}_\mathcal{D}(Y, Y \oplus M)\) consists of morphisms \(x := (x_i) \in \mathcal{T}^{F, \Phi}(Y, Y \oplus M)\) such that the composite \(g x\) in \(\mathcal{T}^{F, \Phi}\) vanishes, or equivalently \(g * x_i = g x_i = 0\) in \(\mathcal{T}\) for all \(i \in \Phi\). Since \(\bar{g}\) is also a right \(\mathcal{D}\)-approximation, a morphism \(x := (x_i) \in \mathcal{T}^{F, \Phi}(Y, Y \oplus M)\) factorizes through an object in \(\mathcal{D}\) if and only if it factorizes through \(\bar{g}\). Thus \(\text{Fgh}_\mathcal{D}(Y, Y \oplus M)\) consists of precisely those morphisms \((x_i) \in \mathcal{T}^{F, \Phi}(Y, Y \oplus M)\) satisfying the conditions:

(a). \(g x_i = 0\) for all \(i \in \Phi\);
(b). There is some \((y_i) \in \mathcal{T}^{F, \Phi}(Y, M_{n-2} \oplus M)\) such that \(y_i * \bar{g} = x_i\) for all \(i \in \Phi\).

Note that, by our assumption that \(\mathcal{T}(Y, FM) = 0\) for all \(0 \neq i \in \Phi\), the morphism \(y_i\) in condition (b) above is zero for all \(0 \neq i \in \Phi\), and correspondingly \(x_i = 0\) for all \(0 \neq i \in \Phi\). Then \(\text{Fgh}_\mathcal{D}(Y, Y \oplus M)\) actually consists of morphisms \((x_i) \in \mathcal{T}^{F, \Phi}(Y, Y \oplus M)\) with \(x_i = 0\) for all \(0 \neq i \in \Phi\) such that \(g x_0 = 0\) and \(x_0 = y_0 \bar{g}\) for some \(y_0 : Y \rightarrow M_{n-2} \oplus M\) in \(\mathcal{T}\). This is equivalent to saying that \(x_0\) factorizes through \(w\) and \(\text{add}(M)\) in \(\mathcal{T}\). Hence \(\text{Fgh}_\mathcal{D}(Y \oplus M, Y \oplus M) = \text{Fgh}_\mathcal{M}(Y \oplus M)\) and the statement (1) is proved.

The proof of (2) is dual. \(\square\)

Note that the quotient rings are the precisely the endomorphism rings of \(M \oplus X\) and \(M \oplus Y\) in \(\mathcal{Q}^{F, \Phi}_\mathcal{T} / \text{Fcoh}_{\mathcal{D}''}\) and \(\mathcal{Q}^{F, \Phi}_\mathcal{T} / \text{Fgh}_{\mathcal{D}''}\), respectively, where \(\mathcal{D}'' = \text{add}_{\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{S}}(M)\). Therefore, \(\Phi\)-Beilinson-Green algebra of \(U = M \oplus X\) in \(\mathcal{Q}^{F, \Phi}_\mathcal{T} / \text{Fcoh}_{\mathcal{D}''}\) is \(\mathcal{Q}^{F, \Phi}_\mathcal{T} / \text{Fgh}_{\mathcal{D}''}(U) = (\mathcal{Q}^{F, \Phi}_\mathcal{T} / \text{Fcoh}_{\mathcal{D}''}(U))_{0 \leq i, j \leq m}\) is the following:

\[
\text{End}_{\mathcal{Q}^{F, \Phi}_\mathcal{T} / \text{Fgh}_{\mathcal{D}''}(U)} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}(U)/\text{Fcoh}_M(U)} & E^F(U)_{r,m} & \cdots & E^F(U)_{r,m-1} & E^F(U)_{r,m-2} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & E^F(U)_{0,m} & \cdots & \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}(U)/\text{Fcoh}_M(U)} & \cdots & E^F(U)_{0,m-1} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & E^F(U)_{m,s} & \cdots & \cdots & \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}(U)/\text{Fcoh}_M(U)} & \cdots & \cdots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where $E^F(U)_{i,j} = E^F_{i,j}^F(U)$. Let

$$I = \left( \begin{array}{ccccccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & Fgh_M(M \oplus X) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & 0 & Fgh_M(M \oplus X) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & Fgh_M(M \oplus X) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & Fgh_M(M \oplus X) & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & Fgh_M(M \oplus X) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\end{array} \right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^\Phi(U) = \left( \begin{array}{ccccccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & End_\tau(U) & E^F(U)_{r,s+1} & \cdots & E^F(U)_{r,m-1} & E^F(U)_{r,m} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & E^F(U)_{0,s} & \cdots & End_\tau(U) & \cdots & E^F(U)_{0,m} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & E^F(U)_{m,s} & \cdots & E^F(U)_{m,m-1} & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\end{array} \right).$$

And let

$$J = \left( \begin{array}{ccccccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & 0 & Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & Fgh_M(M \oplus Y) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\end{array} \right),$$

and

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccccccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & End_\tau(V) & E^F(V)_{r,s+1} & \cdots & E^F(V)_{r,m-1} & E^F(V)_{r,m} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & E^F(V)_{0,s} & \cdots & End_\tau(V) & \cdots & E^F(V)_{0,m} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots & E^F(V)_{m,s} & \cdots & E^F(V)_{m,m-1} & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\end{array} \right).$$

**Lemma 4.3.** The $I$ and $J$ are ideals of $\mathcal{D}^\Phi(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}^\Phi(V)$, respectively.
Proof. Let
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & x_{s,s} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & x_{0,0} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{m, m} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{pmatrix},
\]
be a element of \(I\), and
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
be an element of \(\mathcal{G}^R(U)\). Then
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & x_{s,s} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & x_{0,0} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{m, m} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots 
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots 
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots 
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Then we get \(x_{ii}u_{ij} = 0\) for \(i \neq j\), and \(x_{ii}u_{ii} \in \text{Fcoh}_M(U)\), since \(\text{Fcoh}_M(U)\) is an ideal of \(\text{End}_\tau(U)\). Similarly,
is fully faithful, which induces a fully faithful triangle functor

\[ X \rightarrow T \]

\[ \Phi \]

\[ u_{ij} x_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, \text{ and } u_{ii} x_{ii} \in \text{Fcogh}_M(U), \text{ since Fcogh}_M(U) \text{ is an ideal of } \tau(U). \]

Thus we have a quotient algebra of \( \mathcal{G}^\Phi(U) \) by \( I \),

\[ \mathcal{G}^\Phi(U)/I = \]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Consequently, \( \text{End}_{\mathcal{G}^\Phi/Fgh_{\tau'}^\Phi}(U) = \mathcal{G}^\Phi(U)/I \). Similarly, we have \( \text{End}_{\mathcal{G}^\Phi/Fgh_{\tau'}^\Phi}(V) \), where

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and \( \text{End}_{\mathcal{G}^\Phi/Fgh_{\tau'}^\Phi}(V) = \mathcal{G}^\Phi(V)/J \).

### 4.2 Locally tilting complexes set and locally endomorphism algebras

For \( i \in \Phi \), we denote by \( T^{\Phi,i,F} \) the category with the same objects of \( \Phi \)-orbit \( T^{F,\Phi} \), and the morphism space \( T^{\Phi,i,F}(X,Y) = \bigoplus_{j \in \Phi} T(X,F^{j-i}Y) \), for all \( X,Y \in \mathcal{T} \). If \( i = 0 \), then \( T^{\Phi,i,F} = T^{F,\Phi} \). Let

\[
X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma X
\]

be an \( n \)-angle in \( \mathcal{T} \) such that \( M_j \in \text{add}(M) \) for all \( j = 1, \cdots, n-2 \), and that \( f \) is a left add \( \tau^{\phi,M} \)-approximation of \( X \), \( g \) is a right add \( \tau^{\phi,M} \)-approximation of \( Y \) in \( \Phi \)-orbit category \( T^{F,\Phi} \). Let \( T^\bullet \) be the complex

\[
0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{n-2} \oplus M \rightarrow 0
\]

with \( X \) in degree zero. Then \( T^\bullet \) is self-orthogonal in \( \mathcal{K}^b(T^{F,\Phi}/\text{Fcogh}_{\tau'}) \). By Lemma ??,

\[
E^{\Phi,i,F}(U,-) : \text{add}_{\tau^{0,F}} U \rightarrow E^{\Phi,i,F}(U,U)-\text{proj} = \text{End}_{\tau^{0,F}}(U,U)-\text{proj}
\]

is fully faithful, which induces a fully faithful triangle functor

\[
E^{\Phi,i,F}(U,-) : \mathcal{K}^b(\text{add}_{\tau^{0,F}} U) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(\text{End}_{\tau^{0,F}}(U,U)-\text{proj}).
\]
Thus, we get a full triangle embedding
\[ \mathcal{E}^{\Phi_jF}(U, -) : \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{add } T \circ j \circ \mathcal{C}gh \circ \mathcal{P}_V, U) \to \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } T \circ j \circ \mathcal{C}gh \circ \mathcal{P}_V, (U, U)\text{-proj}). \]

Set \( \tilde{T}^*_i := E^{\Phi_jF}(U, T^*). \) Then \( \tilde{T}^*_i \) is self-orthogonal in \( \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } T \circ j \circ \mathcal{C}gh \circ \mathcal{P}_V, (U, U)\text{-proj}). \) Moreover, \( \text{add}(\tilde{T}^*_i) \) generates \( \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } T \circ j \circ \mathcal{C}gh \circ \mathcal{P}_V, (U, U)\text{-proj}) \) as a triangulated category. Therefore, \( \tilde{T}^*_i \) is a tilting complex over \( \text{End } T \circ j \circ \mathcal{C}gh \circ \mathcal{P}_V, (U, U). \) By Lemma 3.6, we get a full triangle embedding
\[ \Phi_jF(U, -) : \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{add } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, U) \to \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, U)\text{-proj}), \]
where \( \mathcal{D}' = \text{add } \Phi_jF(M). \)

Set \( \tilde{T}^*_i := E^{\Phi_jF}(U, T^*). \) By Lemma 2.3, \( \tilde{T}^*_i \) is self-orthogonal in \( \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, U)\text{-proj}). \) Therefore, \( (\tilde{T}^*_i, i \in \mathcal{I}) \) generate \( \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, U)\text{-proj}) \) as a triangulated category. So we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** \( (\tilde{T}^*_i, i \in \mathcal{I}) \) is a locally tilting complexes set over \( \text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, U). \)

**Remark.** (1) If \( \Phi \) is a finite admissible subset of \( \mathbb{Z}, \) then the complex \( \Phi \in \mathcal{I} \tilde{T}^*_i \) is a tilting complex in \( \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, U)\text{-proj}). \)

(2) In fact, by the definition, we get
\[
\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, X) = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots \ E_T(U, X)/Fgh/M(U, X) \ E^F(U, X)_{s, s+1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots \ E^F(U, X)_{0, s} \ E_T(U, X)/Fgh/M(U, X) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots \ E^F(U, X)_{m, s} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

and
\[
\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, M) = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots \ E_T(U, M) \ E^F(U, M)_{s, s+1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots \ E^F(U, M)_{0, s} \ E_T(U, M) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots \ E^F(U, M)_{m, s} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

To prove Theorem 4.1, it suffices to show that the locally endomorphism ring of \( (\tilde{T}^*_i, i \in \Phi) \) is isomorphic to \( \text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (V). \)

**Lemma 4.5.** The ring \( \text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (V) \) and locally endomorphism ring
\( \left( \text{Hom } \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, U)\text{-proj}) \tilde{T}^*_i, \tilde{T}^*_j \right)_{i, j \in \Phi} \) are isomorphic.

**Proof.** To show the lemma, for any \( i, j \in \Phi, \) we will construct an isomorphism from locally endomorphism ring
\( \left( \text{Hom } \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, U)\text{-proj}) \tilde{T}^*_i, \tilde{T}^*_j \right)_{i, j \in \Phi} \) to \( \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (V)). \) Since
\[
\left( \text{Hom } \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, U)\text{-proj}) \tilde{T}^*_i, \tilde{T}^*_j \right)_{i, j \in \Phi} \\
\cong \\
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots \ \text{Hom } \mathcal{K}^{b}(\text{End } \Phi_jF \circ \mathcal{G}_{\Phi j}, (U, U)\text{-proj}) \tilde{T}^*_i, \tilde{T}^*_j & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots \ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots \ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{pmatrix}_{\Phi \times \Phi},
\]
we will construct the isomorphism by calculating $\Hom_{\mathcal{C}(\End_{\mathcal{C}(\End \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U}))} \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})) \mathcal{U})
induced by the canonical functor \( T^{i \cdot j \cdot F \Phi} \to T^{i \cdot j \cdot F \Phi} / \text{Fcoh}_M \) and the canonical surjective ring homomorphism

\[
\text{End}_{\Phi(T^{i \cdot j \cdot F \Phi}/ \text{Fcoh}_{D^r}(T^*)} \to \text{End}_{\Phi(T^{i \cdot j \cdot F \Phi}/ \text{Fcoh}_{D^r}(T^*)}.
\]

Finally, we have to show that \( \theta_{i,j} \) and \( \varphi_{i,j} \) have the same kernel.

In case \( i = j \),

\[
\varphi_{i,i} : \text{End}_{\Phi(T^{i \cdot j \cdot F \Phi}/ \text{Fcoh}_{D^r}(T^*)} \to \text{End}_{\Phi(T^{i \cdot j \cdot F \Phi}/ \text{Fcoh}_{D^r}(T^*)},
\]

we shall show that \( \theta_{i,j} \) and \( \varphi_{i,j} \) have the same kernel. A chain map \( u^* \) is in \( \text{Ker} \varphi_{i,j} \) if and only if there exist \( h^* : T^l \to F^{i \cdot j}T^{l-1}, l = 1, \ldots, n-2 \) in \( T \) such that \( u^0 - d_3^h h^* \), \( u^l - h^{F^{i \cdot j} - 3} \) and \( u^{n-2} - h^{n-2} d_3^{h^{-1}} \) are all in \( \text{Fcoh}_{D^r} \). Using the fact that \( T^l \in \text{add}(M) \) for all \( i > 0 \), one can see, by Lemma 2.3, that this is equivalent to saying that \( u^{r-2} - h^{n-2} d_3^{-1} = 0, u^l = d_3^{l} h^{l-1} + d_3^{l} h^{l-1} \) for \( l = 1, \ldots, n-3 \), and \( u^0 - d_3^{h} h^* \) in \( \text{Fcoh}_M(T^0) \).

Let \( u^* \) be in \( \Phi_{i,j} \), and suppose that \( u \in \text{End}_\tau(Y + M) \) fits the commutative diagram (\( \star \)) above. Then \( \theta(u^*) = u = 0 \), that is, \( u \in \text{Ker}_M(Y + M). \) Since \( \bar{g} \) is a right add \( M \)-approximation, by Lemma 2.3 (1), we have \( \bar{g} u = 0 \). Thus \( u^{0 - 3} - \bar{g} = 0 \). By Lemma 2.6 (2), there is a morphism \( h^0 : T^l \to T^{l-3} \) such that \( u^0 - d_3^{h^0} h^0 = 0 \). If \( n \geq 4 \), then, by Lemma 2.6 (2), there is a left add \( M \)-approximation, we see that \( \theta \) factors through \( d_3^{h^0} \), and hence \( u^0 - d_3^{h^0} h^0 = 0 \). Consequently, the morphism \( u^0 \) also factors through \( d_3^{h^0} \), say, \( u^0 = d_3^{h^0} \alpha \). Thus \( \epsilon_\alpha(S^0) = \epsilon_\alpha(S^0) \), which must be zero by the axiom (F2) in Definition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 (1). Hence \( u^0 = \epsilon_\alpha(S^0) = 0 \), and consequently \( u \) factors through \( T^{n-2} \in \text{add}(M) \) by Lemma 2.6 (2). Altogether, we have shown that \( u \) belongs to \( \text{Fgh}_M(Y + M) \). It follows that \( u = 0 \) and \( u^* \in \text{Ker} \theta \). Hence \( \varphi \subseteq \text{Ker} \theta \).

Conversely, suppose that \( u^* \in \text{Ker} \varphi_{i,j} \) and \( u \in \text{End}_\tau(Y + M) \) fits the commutative diagram (\( \star \)). Then \( \theta(u^*) = u = 0 \), that is, \( u \in \text{Ker}_M(Y + M). \) Since \( \bar{g} \) is a right add \( M \)-approximation, by Lemma 2.3 (1), we have \( \bar{g} u = 0 \). Thus \( u^{0 - 3} - \bar{g} = 0 \). By Lemma 2.6 (2), there is a morphism \( h^0 : T^l \to T^{l-3} \) such that \( u^0 - h^{n-2} d_3^{-1} = 0, u^l = d_3^{l} h^{l-1} + d_3^{l} h^{l-1} \) for \( l = 1, \ldots, n-3 \), and \( u^0 - d_3^{h} h^* \) in \( \text{Fcoh}_M(T^0) \).

In case \( i \neq j \),

\[
\varphi_{i,j} : \text{End}_{\Phi(T^{i \cdot j \cdot F \Phi}/ \text{Fcoh}_{D^r}(T^*)} \to \text{End}_{\Phi(T^{i \cdot j \cdot F \Phi}/ \text{Fcoh}_{D^r}(T^*)},
\]

we shall show that \( \theta_{i,j} \) and \( \varphi_{i,j} \) have the same kernel. A chain map \( u^* \) is in \( \text{Ker} \varphi_{i,j} \) if and only if there exist \( h^* : T^l \to F^{i \cdot j}T^{l-1}, l = 1, \ldots, n-2 \) in \( T \) such that \( u^0 - d_3^{h^*} h^* \), \( u^l - h^{F^{i \cdot j} - 3} \) and \( u^{n-2} - h^{n-2} d_3^{h^{-1}} \) are all in \( \text{Fcoh}_{D^r} \). Using the fact that \( F^{i \cdot j}T^l \in \text{add}(M) \) for all \( l > 0 \), one can see, by Lemma 2.3, that this is equivalent to saying that \( u^{r-2} - h^{n-2} d_3^{-1} = 0, u^l = d_3^{l} h^{l-1} + d_3^{l} h^{l-1} \) for \( l = 1, \ldots, n-3 \), and \( u^0 - d_3^{h} h^* \) in \( \text{Fcoh}_M(T^0) \).

Let \( u^* \) be in \( \Phi_{i,j} \), and suppose that \( u \in \text{End}_\tau(Y + M) \) fits the commutative diagram (\( \star \)). Then \( \theta(u^*) = u \). We have \( u^{0 - 3} - \bar{g} = 0 \), and consequently \( \bar{g} u = u^{0 - 3} - \bar{g} = 0 \), which is zero by Lemma 2.6 (1). It follows from Lemma 2.3 (1) that \( u \in \text{Ker}_M(Y + M). \) Since \( \bar{g} \) is a right add \( M \)-approximation, by Lemma 2.3 (1), we have \( \bar{g} u = 0 \). Thus \( u^{0 - 2} - F^{i \cdot j} \bar{g} = 0 \). By Lemma 2.6 (2), there is a morphism \( h^0 : T^l \to T^{l-3} \) such that \( u^0 - h^{n-2} d_3^{-1} = 0, u^l = d_3^{l} h^{l-1} + d_3^{l} h^{l-1} \) for \( l = 1, \ldots, n-3 \), and \( u^0 - d_3^{h} h^* \) in \( \text{Fcoh}_M(T^0) \).
Let \( F \) factorizes through an object in Definition 2.5, the morphism \( \Phi \) in Theorem 4.6. In this subsection, we will prove that derived equivalent locally endomorphism ring \( \End_G(A) \) and \( \End_G(B) \) have the same kernel, and the locally endomorphism ring \( \End_G(A \oplus X) \) and \( \End_G(B \oplus \tilde{G}(X)) \) are derived equivalent.

**Proof of Theorem 4.6** By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 the theorem is straightforward from Theorem 3.3.

### 4.3 Derived equivalences of locally finite \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras from a given derived equivalence

In this subsection, we will prove that derived equivalent locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras can be constructed from a given derived equivalence.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \( A \) and \( B \) be finite dimensional \( k \)-algebras. Suppose that \( G : \mathcal{D}^b(A \text{-Mod}) \to \mathcal{D}^b(B \text{-Mod}) \) is a derived equivalence. Denote by \( \tilde{G} \) the stable functor induced by \( G \). If \( X \) is an \( A \)-module with \( \operatorname{Ext}^i(X, A) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \), then \( \tilde{G}(X) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{D}^b(B \text{-Mod}) \) and \( \mathcal{D}^b(B \text{-Mod}) \) are derived equivalent.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( G : \mathcal{D}^b(A \text{-Mod}) \to \mathcal{D}^b(B \text{-Mod}) \) is a derived equivalence, and let \( P^* \) be the tilting complex associated to \( G \). Without loss of generality, as in [21, 32], up to shift, we assume that \( P^* \) is a radical complex of the following form

\[
0 \to P^{-n} \to P^{-n+1} \to \cdots \to P^{-1} \to P^0 \to 0,
\]

and that \( P^0 \neq 0 \neq P^{-n} \). We also have the following fact. Then there is a tilting complex \( \tilde{P}^* \) for \( B \) associated to the quasi-inverse of \( G \) of the form

\[
0 \to \tilde{P}^{-n} \to \tilde{P}^{-n+1} \to \cdots \to \tilde{P}^{-1} \to \tilde{P}^0 \to 0,
\]

with the differentials being radical maps. Suppose that \( P^* \) and \( \tilde{P}^* \) are the tilting complexes associated to \( G \) and the quasi-inverse of \( G \), respectively. Then by [32, Lemma 3.3], if \( X \) is an \( A \)-module with \( \operatorname{Ext}^i(X, A) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \), the complex \( G(X) \) is isomorphic in \( \mathcal{D}^b(B) \) to a radical complex \( \tilde{P}^*_X \) of the form

\[
0 \to \tilde{P}^{-n}_X \to \tilde{P}^{-n+1}_X \to \cdots \to \tilde{P}^{-1}_X \to \tilde{P}^0_X \to 0
\]

with \( \tilde{P}^i_X \) projective \( B \)-modules for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( \tilde{P}^0_X \) is a \( B \)-module with \( \operatorname{Ext}^i_X(\tilde{P}^0_X, B) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \).

Recall that the stable functor \( \tilde{G} \) is defined in [21, 24] and \( \tilde{G}(X) = \tilde{P}^0_X \). Let \( \tilde{T}^* \) be \( P^* \oplus \tilde{P}^*_X \). Set \( \tilde{T}^*_i := E_{\Phi}^i(V, \tilde{T}^*) \).

We want to show that \( (\tilde{T}^*_i, i \in \Phi) \) is a locally tilting complexes set over \( \mathcal{D}^b(B \oplus \tilde{G}(X)) \).

(1) Hom \( \mathcal{D}^b(V, \tilde{T}^*) \), \( E_{\Phi}^i(V, \tilde{T}^*) \), \( E_{\Phi}^i(V, \tilde{T}^*)_m \) is 0 for any \( i, j \in \Phi \) and \( m \neq 0 \).

Assume that \( f = (f^i)_{i \geq 0} \) is in Hom \( \mathcal{D}^b(V, \tilde{T}^*) \), \( E_{\Phi}^i(V, \tilde{T}^*)_m \). Then we have the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{f^0} & E_{\Phi}^1(V, \tilde{T}^0) \\
| & | & | \\
E_{\Phi}^1(V, \tilde{T}^{m-1}) & \xrightarrow{f^m} & E_{\Phi}^1(V, \tilde{T}^m) \\
| & | & | \\
E_{\Phi}^1(V, \tilde{T}^{m+1}) & \xrightarrow{f^{m+1}} & E_{\Phi}^1(V, \tilde{T}^{m+2}) \\
& \cdots & \\
\end{array}
\]

By the construction as above, we see that \( E_{\Phi}^i(V, \tilde{T}^{j}) = 0 \) for \( t < s \) since \( \tilde{T}^* = P^* \oplus \tilde{P}^*_X \) has the form as follows:

\[
0 \to P^0 @ P^0_X \to P^1 @ P^1_X \to \cdots \to P^{n-1} @ P^{n-1}_X \to P^n \oplus P^n_X \to 0,
\]

where \( \tilde{T}^0 = P^0 @ P^0_X \) is non-projective and \( \tilde{T}^i = P^i @ P^i_X \) are projective for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). It follows from Lemma ?? that \( f^i = g^i \cdot f^j \), where \( g^i : \tilde{T}^i \to \tilde{T}^j \), for all \( 1 \leq k \leq n \). From the commutativity of the diagram with differentials, we have

\[
E_{\Phi}^i(V, d)f^{k+1} = f^k E_{\Phi}^i(V, d),
\]

[18]
Therefore, \( \mu(d^k g^{k+1}) = \mu(g^k) \mu(d^{k+m}) \).

Therefore, \( \mu(d^k g^{k+1} - g^k d^{k+m}) = 0 \). Hence, we get \( d^k g^{k+1} - g^k d^{k+m} = 0 \). Consequently, \( g^* = (g^k) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X}(i, j), \mathcal{X}(i - j + m)) \) and \( f^* = (f^k) = (\mu(g^k)) \). By [32, Lemma 3.7], \( g^* \) is null-homotopic, and consequently, \( f^* \) is null-homotopic. This shows the exceptionality of the complex \( E_{\mathcal{X}}^b(V, \mathcal{T}^*) \).

(2) \( [E_{b}^\Phi(V, \mathcal{T}^*)] \in \Phi \) generates \( \mathcal{K}^b(\mathcal{K}^b(V) - \text{proj}) \) as a triangulated category.

Indeed, by [32, Lemma 3.7], we see that add \( \mathcal{T}^* \) generates \( \mathcal{K}^b(\text{add}_{\mathcal{B}} V) \) as a triangulated category. It is easy to see that \( \mathcal{K}^b(V) \) is in the smallest subcategory which is generated by \( E_{\mathcal{X}}^f(V, \mathcal{T}^*) \), where \( V \) is in \( \mathcal{K}(\text{add}_{\mathcal{B}} V) \). Therefore, \( [E_{b}^\Phi(V, \mathcal{T}^*)] \in \Phi \) generates \( \mathcal{K}^b(\Phi^b(V) - \text{proj}) \) as a triangulated category.

(3) The locally endomorphism ring of \( T^* \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{K}^b(U) \). By Lemma 3.6 we have the following

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}^b(\Phi^b(V))}(E_{b}^\Phi(V, \mathcal{T}^*), E_{b}^\Phi(V, \mathcal{T}^*)) \cong \begin{cases} E_{\mathcal{X}}^\Phi(U), & \text{if } i \neq j; \\ E_{\mathcal{X}}^\Phi(U)_{i, j}, & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}
\]

Then by Theorem 4.3 the locally \( \Phi \)-Green algebras \( \Phi^\Phi(A \oplus X) \) and \( \Phi^\Phi(B \oplus \bar{G}(X)) \) are derived equivalent.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 4.7.** Let \( A \) and \( B \) be finite dimensional \( k \)-algebras. Suppose that \( G : \Phi^b(A - \text{Mod}) \rightarrow \Phi^b(B - \text{Mod}) \) is an almost \( \nu \)-derived equivalent. Denote by \( \bar{G} \) the stable functor induced by \( G \) and \( \Phi \) be an admissible subset of \( \mathbb{Z} \). If \( X \) is an \( A \)-module, then locally \( \Phi \)-Beilinson-Green algebras \( \Phi^\Phi(A \oplus X) \) and \( \Phi^\Phi(B \oplus \bar{G}(X)) \) are derived equivalent.

**Proof.** The definition of almost \( \nu \)-derived equivalence is given in [21]. The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 4.6.

\[\square\]

**5 Examples**

In this section, we give an example to illustrate our Theorem 4.1.

Throughout this section, we assume that \( A \) is a self-injective artin algebra, and write, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[ D_n := \text{add} \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \Sigma^{-i} A \right) \]

in \( \mathcal{X}(\text{-mod}A) \). For simplicity, we will write \( \mathcal{K} \) for \( \mathcal{K}(\text{-mod}A) \). The \( i \)-th cohomology of a complex \( C^* \) in \( \mathcal{X} \) is denoted by \( H^i(C^*) \). For an \( A \)-module \( X \), we denote by \( X^* \) the right \( A \)-module \( \operatorname{Hom}_A(X, A) \). Let \( D \) be the usual duality, and let \( \nu_A := D \operatorname{Hom}_A(\cdot, -) \) be the Nakayama functor. When \( P \) is a finitely generated projective \( A \)-module, there is a natural isomorphism \( \operatorname{Hom}_A(P, -) \cong D \operatorname{Hom}_A(\cdot, \nu_A P) \) which can be obtained by applying \( D \) to the isomorphism in [4, p.41, Proposition 4.4(b)]. This further induces an isomorphism \( \mathcal{X}(P^*, -) \cong D \mathcal{X}(\cdot, \nu_A P^*) \) for all bounded complexes \( P^* \) of finitely generated projective \( A \)-modules. This will be frequently used in this section.

**Lemma 5.1.** [20, Lemma 5.1] With notation as above, the ideals \( \text{cogh}_{D_n} \) and \( \text{gh}_{D_n} \) in \( \mathcal{X} \) are equal, and both of them consist of morphisms \( \alpha^* \) such that \( H^i(\alpha^*) = 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq i \leq n \).

**Remark.** This lemma implies \( \text{Fcoh}_{D_n} \) and \( \text{Fgh}_{D_n} \) also coincide. Denote by \( \mathcal{G} \) the ideal of \( \mathcal{X} \) consisting of ghost maps. Let \( X^* \) be a complex of \( A \)-modules. Then \( \text{cogh}_{D_n}(X^*) = \text{gh}_{D_n}(X^*) = \mathcal{G}(X^*) \). Let \( \mathcal{D}_n := \mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{D}_n \).

Then \( \mathcal{D}_n(X^*) = \text{Fcoh}_{D_n}(X^*) = \text{Fgh}_{D_n}(X^*) \).

In the following, we give a concrete example.

**Example.** Let \( k \) be a field, and let \( A = k[x, y]/(x^d - y^s, xy) \). Suppose that \( X^* \) is the complex

\[ 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \]

with the left \( A \) in degree zero. The endomorphism algebra \( \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{X}}(X^* \oplus A \oplus \Sigma^{-1} A) \) is denoted by \( \Lambda_\ast \). The construction above gives a \( D_1 \)-split triangle

\[ Y^* \rightarrow A \oplus \Sigma^{-1} A \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow \Sigma Y^* \]
in $\mathcal{K}$. An easy calculation shows that $Y^*$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{K}(A\text{-mod})$ to the complex

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\gamma} A \rightarrow 0.$$ 

Then the algebras $\Lambda_x = \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}}(Y^* \oplus A \oplus \Sigma^{-1}A)$ and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}}(Y^* \oplus A \oplus \Sigma^{-1}A)$ are derived equivalent. Note that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}}(Y^* \oplus A \oplus \Sigma^{-1}A)$ is just $\Lambda_x$. That is, the algebra $\Lambda_x$ is derived equivalent to $\Lambda_y$.

To describe $\Lambda_x$ in terms of quivers with relations, we give some morphisms in $\Lambda_x$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &: 0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} A \\
\beta_1 &: 0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} A,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_2 &: A \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} 0 \\
\beta_2 &: A \xrightarrow{\beta_2} A,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_3 &: A \xrightarrow{\beta_3} A \\
\beta_4 &: A \xrightarrow{\beta_4} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta &: A \xrightarrow{\beta} A.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the above morphisms generate the Jacobson radical of $\Lambda_x$.

In this case, the above morphisms are irreducible in $\text{add}(X^* \oplus A \oplus \Sigma^{-1}A)$ and the algebra $\Lambda_x$ is given by the following quiver with relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &\beta_1 &\beta_2 &\beta_3 &\beta_4 &\alpha_2 \\
1 &2 &3 & & &4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1\beta_1 &= \beta_3\alpha_1 = \alpha_2\beta_4 = \beta_1\beta_2 = \beta_4\beta_3 = \beta_2\alpha_2 = 0 \\
\alpha_1^2 &= (\beta_1\beta_3)^2, \quad \alpha_2^2 = (\beta_4\beta_2)^2, \\
(\beta_3\beta_1)^3 + (\beta_2\beta_4)^3 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$
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